#### Check for updates

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY Emanuele D'amico, University of Foggia, Italy

REVIEWED BY Eslam Shosha, McMaster University, Canada Aurora Zanghi, Sant'Elia Hospital, Italy

\*CORRESPONDENCE Hamdan Alzarooni ⊠ halzarooni@protonmail.com

RECEIVED 14 August 2024 ACCEPTED 28 October 2024 PUBLISHED 09 December 2024

#### CITATION

Alzarooni H, Inshasi J, Alawadhi A and Giacomini P (2024) MOGAD and NMOSD: insights on patients' radiological and laboratory findings from a single UAE center. *Front. Neurol.* 15:1480723. doi: 10.3389/fneur.2024.1480723

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Alzarooni, Inshasi, Alawadhi and Giacomini. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# MOGAD and NMOSD: insights on patients' radiological and laboratory findings from a single UAE center

Hamdan Alzarooni<sup>1\*</sup>, Jihad Inshasi<sup>1</sup>, Ahmad Alawadhi<sup>1</sup> and Paul Giacomini<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Neurology Department, Rashid Hospital, Dubai, United Arab Emirates, <sup>2</sup>Department of Neurology and Neurosurgery, Mcgill University, Montreal, QC, Canada

**Introduction:** Although neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorders (NMOSD) and myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein antibody disease (MOGAD) are rare diseases, they pose a significant burden on both society and the healthcare system. This study aims to discuss the demographics and patient characteristics of these diseases in a single center in the United Arab Emirates (UAE).

**Methods:** This is a retrospective, descriptive study that included patients with either NMOSD or MOGAD treated at Rashid Hospital, UAE during the period between January 2019 and January 2024. Patients were selected and categorized according to NMOSD criteria, aquaporin-4 antibodies, and MOG antibodies. Patient demographics, clinical characteristics, and medical history were retrieved from their medical records and descriptively analyzed in the light of patients' serological data.

**Results:** We identified 34 patients with non-multiple sclerosis atypical CNS inflammatory/demyelinating syndromes. Twenty-seven patients (79.4%) fulfilled the criteria for NMOSD, while seven (20.6%) tested positive for MOG antibodies, fulfilling the criteria for MOGAD. In the NMOSD cohort, 19% (n = 5) were AQP4- antibody negative. Seventy-four percent of the NMOSD cohort and 43% of the MOGAD cohort were female. For MOGAD patients, disease onset was at a younger age (median onset age of 25 years) compared to the overall study population (mean onset age of 28.94 years). Long segment transverse myelitis was only detected in NMOSD patients (33.3%), and brainstem syndrome with area postrema syndrome was more common in the MOGAD cohort (29% vs. 4%). The rate of positive response to intravenous methylprednisolone as initial therapy was comparable across both cohorts (74% in case of NMOSD and 71% in case of MOGAD).

**Conclusion:** This study provides valuable insights into the status of NMOSD and MOGAD in the UAE, highlighting the need for larger, prospective studies to further characterize these diseases in the local population, as well as the need for improved understanding of the epidemiology and management of these rare but debilitating conditions.

#### KEYWORDS

neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorder (NMOSD), MOGAD, MOG-IgG, United Arab Emirates (UAE), multiple sclerois and neuroimmunology, Dubai, epidemiology

## Introduction

Neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorders (NMOSD) and myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein antibody disease (MOGAD) are both immune-mediated demyelinating disorders frequently involving optic nerve and/or spinal cord causing a wide range of neurological disabilities (1). For decades, patients presenting with the clinical picture now linked to NMOSD (i.e., transverse myelitis [TM], unilateral or bilateral optic neuritis [ON], and brain and spinal cord lesions) were often misdiagnosed with severe multiple sclerosis (MS) (2, 3). Later on, the identification and detection of the antibodies to the protein aquaporin-4 (AQP4-IgG) allowed for the differentiation of these patients from those with MS (2). This has fundamentally transformed the diagnosis and pathogenetic understanding of NMOSD, expanding its defined clinical presentation to comprise cases of acute postrema syndrome (APS) (defined as an episode of otherwise interactable unexplained hiccups or nausea and vomiting), brainstem, hypothalamic, diencephalic and cerebral MRI lesions in addition to the originally described optic neuritis and longitudinally extensive spinal cord disease (2).

Similarly, and following years of their association to NMOSD patients with undetectable AQP4-IgG antibodies (4), the characterization of MOG-IgG antibodies—which was initially detected in 2009 in acute disseminated encephalomyelitis (ADEM) cases (5)—has paved the way for establishing MOGAD as a distinct demyelinating disorder, with its diagnosis centered around a confirmed positive MOG-IgG antibodies test, in addition to the presence of a core clinical demyelinating event (e.g., ON, TM, ADEM, cerebral monofocal or polyfocal deficits, brainstem or cerebellar deficits, and cerebral cortical encephalitis, often with seizures) and the exclusion of MS. Similar to NMOSD, a low positive antibodies test requires further clinical or MRI findings, in addition to a seronegative aquaporin AQP4-IgG test (6).

Despite the similarity in clinical features, NMOSD and MOGAD vary in patient demographics, disease course, prognosis, and treatment outcomes. This can be attributed to the pathogenically different immune-mediation pathways, where the main target of immune attack is myelin in MOGAD versus astrocytes in AQP4 + NMOSD (7). Patients with MOGAD also tend to experience more severe acute attacks compared to those with NMOSD (8, 9). However, MOGAD is also associated with better recovery and less irreversible neurological damage, suggesting more favorable longterm outcomes (10). In contrast, NMOSD, specifically in AQP4 seropositive cases, is marked by a higher risk of accumulated disability over time.

Existing MS treatment options were previously employed for NMOSD patients, primarily due to the overlapping clinical pictures of MS and NMOSD and the absence of medications specific to the latter (11, 12). However, several immunomodulatory drugs that are used in MS were associated with exacerbations in AQP4-ab positive NMOSD patients, which shifted the therapeutic approaches towards selecting medications based on known mechanisms of action for NMOSD and its pathophysiological characteristics (13). Consequently, MS drugs that mainly aim at B-cell depletion were adapted for use in NMOSD, e.g., mitoxantrone, rituximab, and azathioprine (14, 15).

Similarly, therapeutic approaches for MOGAD management have largely been extrapolated from NMOSD management approaches. Yet, emerging evidence indicates that there are distinct differences in how these disorders respond to various treatments (8). This highlights the need for a more tailored, condition-specific approach to managing MOGAD, rather than relying solely on the NMOSD treatment paradigm.

The worldwide estimated prevalence of NMOSD ranges from 0.5 to four per 100,000 and differs greatly among different races and regions (16). Regarding the Arab population, several hospital-based studies that aimed at quantification and characterization of NMOSD patients are published, with one being a retrospective multicenter study in The United Arab Emirates (UAE), which reported a prevalence of 1.76 per 100,000 for NMOSD (17). Additionally, a regional registry for NMOSD in the Arabian Gulf retrospectively reported on 144 NMOSD patients from five Arab countries, including The UAE, with the aim of describing demographical, clinical and radiological findings of NMOSD patients treated in 15 MS and neurology centers in the Arabian Gulf (18). Despite these efforts, data on NMOSD patients in UAE remain scarce. On the other hand, the worldwide prevalence of MOGAD is currently estimated at 1.3-2.5/100,000 (19); however, more accurate estimates are to be anticipated following the recent consensus on MOGAD's diagnostic criteria. To date, there are no available epidemiological data on MOGAD in the UAE.

Consequently, and given the lack of a national registries for both demyelinating disorders (20), more data on the clinical presentation of NMOSD and MOGAD are needed to quantify their burden and establish standardized diagnosis and management strategies for them. Our study aimed to provide these data on a localized institutional level to precede and call for future generalized initiatives considering larger patient populations.

## Methods

This was a retrospective, descriptive study on all patients having either NMOSD, based on the international NMOSD criteria (2), or MOGAD, identified from cohorts of previously considered seronegative NMOSD, treated and followed in Rashid hospital, a tertiary care center and MS research center in Dubai, UAE. Data collection was initiated on 30<sup>th</sup> of January 2024, where patients' data from the preceding five years were included.

Retrieved data comprised patients' demographics, including age, gender, and ethnicity, as well as disease characteristics including age at onset, disease duration, and attacks presentations; treatments received and patients' response to them; and laboratory and radiological findings. The collected data was then analyzed in the light of regional and international data.

## Sample size calculation

Given the descriptive nature of our study, we used convenient sampling with no formal sample size calculation. The final sample constituted a total of 34 patients.

## Statistical analysis

This was a descriptive-analysis study. Quantitative variables are presented as mean (standard deviation [SD]) and median

(interquartile range [IQR]), and categorical variables are presented as counts and percentages.

## **Ethical considerations**

The ethics committee approval was obtained from the study site. Anonymity and confidentiality of patients' data were strictly ensured throughout the study.

# Results

# Patients disposition, demographics, and study cohorts

Thirty-four patients with non-MS demyelinating CNS disorders were included in our study. All patients had undergone testing for AQP4 and MOG antibodies. The detection of AQP4 antibodies was done using bicinchoninic acid assay (BCA) in four patients, enzymelinked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) in nine patients, and an immunofluorescence assay (IFA) in eight patients. The serology testing method for the rest of the study population was unknown. Patients were classified into two cohorts according to their serological status: (1) NMOSD cohort (N = 27), including AQP4 seropositive patients, AQP4 and MOG seronegative patients, and double seropositive patients; (2) MOGAD cohort (N = 7), including AQP4 seronegative patients harboring anti-MOG antibodies. More details on both cohorts are illustrated in Figure 1. Twenty-three of our patients were females, constituting 74% of the NMOSD cohort and 43% of the MOGAD cohort. The majority of the enrolled patients were Arab (73.5%, n = 25) and the mean (SD) age at enrollment was 38.1 (13.3) years. More details are available in Table 1.

## Clinical and laboratory data

The mean age of onset for the overall population was 28.94 (10.85), with MOGAD cohort having the lower median age of onset (25.00 [19.5, 31.5]). The first attack presented as long segment TM in 11 NMOSD patients (40%) and only one MOGAD patient (14%), unilateral ON in six NMOSD patients (22.2%) and one MOGAD patient (14%), and brainstem syndrome in four NMOSD patients (12.5%) and 2 MOGAD patients (28.5%). In the case of NMOSD, long segment TM was the most common overall attack presentation (33.3%), while brainstem syndrome and brainstem syndrome with area postrema syndrome corresponded to that in the MOGAD cohort (29% each). Impaired visual acuity was present in 26% of the NMOSD cohort and 43% of the MOGAD cohort. More details on clinical history for each cohort are presented in Table 1.

Cerebrospinal fluid oligoclonal bands were only detected in three patients, all belonging to the NMOSD cohort. MRI findings have shown long spinal lesions in most of the NMOSD cohort (60%) versus only one patient in the MOGAD cohort. However, the MRI findings for the majority of both cohorts did not satisfy McDonald's criteria. More details on laboratory and radiological findings are available in Table 2.



#### TABLE 1 Demographics and clinical characteristics of the study population.

Characteristic	NMOSD Col	MOGAD cohort, $N = 7$	
	Seronegative, N = 5	Seropositive, $N = 22$	
Gender, <i>n / N</i> (%)			
Female	2 / 5 (40%)	18 / 22 (82%)	3 / 7 (43%)
Male	3 / 5 (60%)	4 / 22 (18%)	4 / 7 (57%)
Ethnicity, n / N (%)			
African	0 / 5 (0%)	2 / 22 (9.1%)	0/7 (0%)
Arab	5 / 5 (100%)	15 / 22 (68%)	5 / 7 (71%)
Asian	0 / 5 (0%)	2 / 22 (9.1%)	2 / 7 (29%)
Farsi	0 / 5 (0%)	3 / 22 (14%)	0/7 (0%)
Current age—years, mean (SD)		38.1 (13.4)	
	38.6 (15.1)	40.3 (13.1)	29.1 (23.5, 34.1)
Age at onset—years, mean (SD)		28.9 (10.8)	
	31.0 (15.9)	29.2 (9.6)	25.0 (19.5, 31.5)
Disease duration—years, mean (SD)		7.44 (6.4)	^
	7.2 (5.4)	9.00 (6.8)	1.0 (1.0, 4.0)
Presentation of attack, $n / N$ (%)			
Brainstem syndrome	0 / 5 (0%)	4 / 22 (18%)	2 / 7 (29%)
Brainstem syndrome & Area postrema syndrome	0 / 5 (0%)	1 / 22 (4.5%)	2 / 7 (29%)
Long segment transverse myelitis	2 / 5 (40%)	7 / 22 (32%)	0/7 (0%)
Undifferentiated optic neuritis	1 / 5 (20%)	2 / 22 (9.1%)	1 / 7 (14%)
Unilateral optic neuritis	0 / 5 (0%)	4 / 22 (18%)	1 / 7 (14%)
Unilateral optic neuritis & long segment transverse myelitis	2 / 5 (40%)	4 / 22 (18%)	1 / 7 (14%)
Presentation of first attack, n / N (S	%)		
Area postrema syndrome	0 / 5 (0%)	1 / 22 (4.5%)	0/7 (0%)
Bilateral optic neuritis	0 / 5 (0%)	0 / 22 (0%)	2 / 7 (29%)
Brainstem syndrome	0 / 5 (0%)	4 / 22 (18%)	2 / 7 (29%)
Long segment transverse myelitis	3 / 5 (60%)	8 / 22 (36%)	1 / 7 (14%)
Optic neuritis & transverse myelitis	0 / 5 (0%)	1 / 22 (4.5%)	0/7 (0%)
Undifferentiated optic neuritis	1 / 5 (20%)	2 / 22 (9.1%)	1 / 7 (14%)
Unilateral optic neuritis	0 / 5 (0%)	6 / 22 (27%)	2 / 7 (29%)
Unilateral optic neuritis & long segment	1 / 5 (20%)	0 / 22 (0%)	0/7 (0%)
transverse myelitis			
Visual acuity, <i>n / N</i> (%)			
Blindness	0 / 5 (0%)	1 / 22 (4.5%)	1 / 7 (14%)
Impaired	2 / 5 (40%)	5 / 22 (23%)	3 / 7 (43%)
Normal	3 / 5 (60%)	22 (73%)	3 / 7 (43%)

## **Medication history**

Patients in both cohorts received either intravenous methylprednisolone (IVMP) and/or plasma exchange (PLEX) as an acute therapy for disease attacks. Eighty-five percent of the NMOSD cohort and all the MOGAD cohort initially received IVMP, of which

74 and 71%, respectively, had a positive response to it. Eight NMOSD patients and one MOGAD patient received PLEX, and all of them, except one patient in the NMOSD cohort, reported a positive response to it. More details on both acute and maintenance treatments are presented in Table 3. In both NMOSD and MOGAD cohorts, most of the patients received azathioprine as a first-line

### TABLE 2 Laboratory and radiological findings.

	NMOSD Col	MOGAD Cohort, $N = 7$				
Characteristic	Seronegative, N = 5	Seropositive, <i>N</i> = 22				
Positive cerebrospinal fluid oligocl	onal band screen					
Missing	1 / 5 (20%)	4 / 22 (18%)	0/7 (0%)			
Negative	2 / 5 (40%)	17 / 22 (77%)	7/7 (100%)			
Positive	2 / 5 (40%)	1 / 22 (4.5%)	0/7 (0%)			
Long spinal lesions apparent in MRI						
No	0 / 5 (0%)	11 / 22 (50%)	6 / 7 (86%)			
Yes	5 / 5 (100%)	11 / 22 (50%)	1 / 7 (14%)			
MRI satisfying McDonald's criteria						
No	3 / 5 (60%)	15 / 22 (68%)	6 / 7 (86%)			
Yes	2 / 5 (40%)	7 / 22 (32%)	1 / 7 (14%)			

#### TABLE 3 Treatments received.

		NMOSD Cohort, N	MOGAD Cohort, $N = 7$		
Characteristic	N	Seronegative, N = 5	Seropositive, N = 22	N	
IVMP	27			7	
Missing		1 / 5 (20%)	0 / 22 (0%)		0/7 (0%)
No		1 / 5 (20%)	2 / 22 (9.1%)		0/7 (0%)
Yes		3 / 5 (60%)	20 / 22 (91%)		7 / 7 (100%)
Response to IVMP	27			7	
Yes		3 / 5 (60%)	17 / 22 (82%)		5 / 7 (71%)
PLEX	27			7	
NA		1 / 5 (20%)	0 / 22 (0%)		0/7 (0%)
No		2 / 5 (40%)	16 / 22 (73%)		6 / 7 (86%)
Yes		2 / 5 (40%)	6 / 22 (27%)		1 / 7 (14%)
Response to PLEX	27			7	
Yes		2 / 5 (40%)	5 / 22 (23%)		1/7 (14%)
Maintenance treatment <sup>a</sup>	27			6	
AZA		2 / 5 (40%)	12 / 22 (55%)		4 / 6 (67%)
AZA + MMF		0 / 5 (0%)	1 / 22 (4.5%)		0/6 (0%)
AZA + Other		0 / 5 (0%)	1 / 22 (4.5%)		0/6 (0%)
AZA + RTX		2 / 5 (40%)	1 / 22 (4.5%)		0/6 (0%)
MMF		0 / 5 (0%)	1 / 22 (4.5%)		0/6 (0%)
NA		0 / 5 (0%)	1 / 22 (4.5%)		0/6 (0%)
OTHER		1 / 5 (20%)	3 / 22 (14%)		1 / 6 (17%)
RTX		0 / 5 (0%)	1 / 22 (4.5%)		1 / 6 (17%)
RTX + MMF		0 / 5 (0%)	1 / 22 (4.5%)		0/6 (0%)

<sup>a</sup>Treatments are listed in order of treatment setting (i.e., first line followed by second line).

IVMP, intravenous methylprednisolone; PLEX, plasma exchange; AZA, azathioprine; MMF, Mycophenolate mofetil; RTX, rituximab.

maintenance treatment. Overall, four patients experienced side effects that led to first-line treatment discontinuation, while two patients discontinued the first-line therapy due to lack of efficacy: one of which was receiving azathioprine and the other was receiving IVMP. Data on the treatment types and durations are specified in Tables 4, 5.

## **Clinical outcomes**

## EDSS median score at onset versus follow up

Median (IQR) EDSS scores were reduced from 2.8 (1.5) at disease onset to 2.0 (2.0) at the last follow-up for the NMOSD seropositive group, from 4.0 (2.0) to 3.5 (3.0) for the NMOSD

Patient no.ª	First line	Start	End	Second line	Start	End
1	AZA	2014	2017	MMF	13-Feb-2016	Ongoing
2	AZA	25-Apr-2009	Ongoing	Monthly pulses IVMP	11-May-2013	Ongoing
3	AZA	01-Feb-2017	Ongoing	RTX	30-Oct-2017	Ongoing
4	AZA	01-Feb-2017	2015	RTX	2015	Ongoing
5	MMF	01-Mar-2015	01-Jun-2015	RTX	Nov-2015	Ongoing
6	AZA	23-Oct-2012	Ongoing	-	-	-
7	AZA	01-Jul-2017	Ongoing	-	-	_
8	AZA	01-Mar-2017	Ongoing	-	_	_
9	AZA	2004	Ongoing	-	-	-
10	AZA	20-Nov-2017	Ongoing	-	-	-
11	AZA	2012	Ongoing	_	-	_
12	AZA	2015	Ongoing	_	_	Ongoing
13	AZA	2016	Ongoing	-	_	_
14	MMF	2020	Ongoing	-	-	Ongoing
15	RTX	2020	Ongoing	-	_	_
16	AZA, RTX	2021	Ongoing	RTX	2021	Ongoing
17	Prednisolone, IVIG	2010	2020	IVIG	2020	Ongoing
18	AZA	2019	Ongoing	_	-	Ongoing
19	AZA	01-Nov-2022	Ongoing	_	-	-
20	OFATUMUMAB	24-Jan-2023	Ongoing	-	-	-
21	AZA	01-Jan-2017	Ongoing	-	-	_
22	AZA	20-Jun-2023	ongoing	IVMP	_	_
23	AZA, RTX	Unknown	Ongoing	-	-	_
24	Prednisolone	1-Aug-2023	Ongoing	-	_	-
25	AZA	2008	Ongoing	_	-	_
26	AZA	20-Oct-2023	Ongoing	-	-	-

TABLE 4 First- and second-line treatments & their durations-NMOSD cohort.

<sup>a</sup>Data on maintenance treatment was missing for one participant.

IVMP, intravenous methylprednisolone; PLEX, plasma exchange; AZA, azathioprine; RTX, rituximab; IVIG, intravenous immunoglobulin; MMF, mycophenolate mofetil.

seronegative group, and from 2.0 (2.0) to 1.0 (0.5) in the MOGAD group (Figure 2).

### **Relapses frequency**

In the NMOSD cohort, patients experienced up to nine relapses over the follow-up period; however, 40% (n = 11) only experienced one relapse during this period. While for MOGAD, the maximum total number of relapses was two, experienced by four of the patients (57%). Five NMOSD patients and three MOGAD patients had at least one relapse in the last year of follow up. More details on number of relapses experienced since disease onset for both cohorts are provided in Figure 3.

## Discussion

Despite the rarity of CNS demyelination diseases, they still represent a great burden on both society and health care system; accordingly, our study discusses the demographics and patient characteristics observed for these diseases in a single UAE center. We identified 34 patients with clinical presentation fitting the clinical picture of non-multiple sclerosis atypical CNS inflammatory/demyelinating syndromes. Twenty-seven patients fulfilled the criteria of NMOSD, while seven patients tested positive for MOG-IgG antibodies fulfilling the criteria for an established MOGAD diagnosis. Regarding the NMOSD cohort, our results match the percentage for AQP4 seronegativity observed for NMOSD in literature, where five patients (19%) were AQP4-ab negative. Previous literature reported that about 20% to 30% of NMOSD patients have undetectable AQP4 antibodies (21). However, 34.7% (n = 50) of the 2019 Arabian Gulf NMOSD Registry population, and 53% (n = 50) of 103 NMOSD patients included in a population-based study in Iran, were AQP4-ab negative (18, 22). Consequently, and given that many NMOSD cases are still initially misdiagnosed as MS, applying the 2015 NMOSD diagnostic criteria to both AQP4 seropositive and seronegative patients allows for more efficient detection of NMOSD (2, 23).

On the other hand, in parallel with the evolvement of identification patterns for MOGAD, these patients are commonly retrospectively identified in NMOSD patient cohorts with AQP4-ab negative status (24). MOG-IgG antibodies were detected in 11.2% of clinically diagnosed NMOSD seronegative patients in a Chinese

TABLE 5	First- and	second-line	treatments	& their	durations-	-MOGAD c	ohort.

Patient no.	First line	Start	End	Second line	Start	End
1	AZA	2016	Ongoing	-	-	-
2	RTX	2016	Ongoing	RTX	2016	Ongoing
3	IVMP	2022	_	IVIG	30-Sep-2022	Ongoing
4	IVMP	2022	_	IVIG	10-Aug-2022	Ongoing
5	AZA	2020	2022	IVIG	10-Aug-2022	-
6	AZA	01-Nov-2022	Ongoing	IVMP	7-Oct-2022	-
7	AZA	01-Dec-2022	Ongoing	IVMP	-	-

IVMP, intravenous methylprednisolone; PLEX, plasma exchange; AZA, azathioprine; RTX, rituximab; IVIG, intravenous immunoglobulin.



study of 125 NMOSD patients (25); similarly, a Brazilian study detected MOG-IgG antibodies in four of 47 patients with AQP4 seronegative NMOSD (26), while an Argentinean study reported that 27.7% of NMOSD seronegative patients tested positive for MOG-IgG antibodies (21). In the Arabian Gulf 2019 NMOSD registry, only 36%

(n = 18) of the AQP4-serone gative patients were tested for MOG-IgG antibodies, with no positive results returned (18).

Two patients in our study cohort were double positive for both MOG-IgG and AQP4-IgG antibodies. This status was previously reported in an individual-case manner (4, 25, 27, 28); however,



whether this population requires distinct management strategies is yet unknown. Similar to previous studies, the sample size in our study was too low to evaluate any of these outcomes in this population.

In our study, we compared patients with a definite NMOSD diagnosis to those with MOG antibodies-who were initially considered for an NMOSD diagnosis. For instance, the female predominance observed for NMOSD, particularly AQP4 seropositive cases, was not mirrored in the MOGAD cohort. Similar trend was observed in previous trials (8, 29, 30), where the female-to-male ratio can reach up to 3-9:1 in NMOSD, in contrary to a ratio of 1:1 in MOGAD (29, 31). Regarding the age of onset, our results go in line with literature suggesting that MOGAD usually present at a younger age than that for NMOSD (4). In contrast, in a study of 215 patients comparing NMOSD and MOGAD characteristics, the median onset age reported for MOGAD patients was 37.5 years, and that for APQ4 seropositive NMOSD was 37 years, while the lowest age of onset (32.5 years) was reported for the APQ4 seronegative study population (30). In a population-based study in Tehran, the mean age of onset was 31.5 years (range 8-61), which also matched that of the Arabian Gulf registry  $(31 \pm 12 \text{ years})$  (18, 22).

In our cohort, the immunosuppressive maintenance therapies included azathioprine, IVMP, IVIG, mycophenolate mofetil (MMF), or rituximab as initial therapy, with a combination of the first and the latter in two NMOSD patients, and the combination of prednisolone and IVIG in another. In non-MS demyelinating disorders, treatment outcomes highly vary with treatment duration and disease onset and clinical picture. For instance, rituximab's efficacy correlates with B cells levels, which are also subject to individual variations (32, 33). This calls for extensive understanding the relationships between patient variables and treatment outcomes; however, the small sample size in our study hindered the quantifications of such outcomes to drive the needed conclusions.

Regarding recovery degrees, reflected by the change in EDSS following the follow up period, MOGAD patients had greater median EDSS change and lower median EDSS scores at follow-up, which goes in line with previously reported data for MOGAD (34). For NMOSD, the seropositive group has demonstrated a slightly higher median EDSS change (0.8 vs. 0.5), which may be attributed to the lower baseline EDSS (2.8 vs. 4.0) (35). In literature, improvement in mean EDSS is comparable between seropositive and seronegative NMOSD populations (18).

In our study, most of the NMOSD AQP4 positive patients (82%) reported a positive response to the first line acute therapy IVMP; the same outcome was observed in the MOGAD cohort. Azathioprine was the most common maintenance therapy in both cohorts; however, our cohort of patients received different treatments with different durations, which hinders the interpretation of treatment outcomes in the light of other patient characteristics. This also may explain the variation observed in the number of relapses experienced by the study patients.

Our study has the limitation of small sample size, especially in the MOGAD cohort; therefore, care must be employed upon deriving definite conclusions where generalization is not optimal given the convenient sampling approach. However, our study lays the ground for further research to evaluate these outcomes in adequately powered comparative studies. Due to the retrospective nature of this study, correlation assessments for different clinical features, disease course, and the response to immunotherapies were not done. In that context, our study also highlights the limitations in logistical capabilities which complicated reporting on a larger array of disease features. Moreover, our study was conducted on an institutional level, while population-based studies are needed to assess the prevalence and incidence rates. However, conducting such studies for conditions like NMOSD and MOGAD may be challenging. This is because it can be difficult to establish consistent diagnostic criteria across different settings and to standardize the laboratory testing for the associated antibodies. Without these unifying factors, accurately assessing the true population-level epidemiology of NMOSD might not be feasible.

# Conclusion

Our study provided valuable insights on the status of both NMOSD and MOGAD in our center; however, adequately powered prospective studies applying standardized definitions and methodologies are needed to further characterize NMOSD patients clinically and epidemiologically in the UAE.

## Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

## **Ethics statement**

The studies involving humans were approved by the University Student and Resident Research Subcommittee—Mohammed Bin Rashid University Institutional Review Board. The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. The ethics committee/institutional review board waived the requirement of written informed consent for participation from the participants or the participants' legal guardians/next of kin due to the retrospective nature of the study.

## Author contributions

HA: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Supervision, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. JI: Conceptualization, Data curation, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Supervision, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. AA: Data curation, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Supervision, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. PG: Data curation, Methodology,

## References

 Asseyer S, Cooper G, Paul F. Pain in NMOSD and MOGAD: a systematic literature review of pathophysiology, symptoms, and current treatment strategies. *Front Neurol.* (2020) 11:778. doi: 10.3389/fneur.2020.00778

2. Wingerchuk DM, Banwell B, Bennett JL, Cabre P, Carroll W, Chitnis T, et al. International consensus diagnostic criteria for neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorders. *Neurology*. (2015) 85:177–89. doi: 10.1212/WNL.000000000001729

3. Carnero Contentti E, Correale J. Neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorders: from pathophysiology to therapeutic strategies. *J Neuroinflammation*. (2021) 18:208. doi: 10.1186/s12974-021-02249-1

4. Höftberger R, Sepulveda M, Armangue T, Blanco Y, Rostásy K, Cobo Calvo A, et al. Antibodies to MOG and AQP4 in adults with neuromyelitis optica and suspected limited forms of the disease. *Mult Scl.* (2015) 21:866–74. doi: 10.1177/1352458514555785

5. Brilot F, Dale RC, Selter RC, Grummel V, Reddy Kalluri S, Aslam M, et al. Antibodies to native myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein in children with inflammatory demyelinating central nervous system disease. *Ann Neurol.* (2009) 66:833–42. doi: 10.1002/ana.21916

 Banwell B, Bennett JL, Marignier R, Kim HJ, Brilot F, Flanagan EP, et al. Diagnosis of myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein antibody-associated disease: international MOGAD panel proposed criteria. *Lancet Neurol.* (2023) 22:268–82. doi: 10.1016/ S1474-4422(22)00431-8

7. Siritho S, Gastaldi M, Chitnis T, Corbali O. Pathophysiology of myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein antibody disease. *Front Neurol.* (2023) 14:1137998. doi: 10.3389/fneur.2023.1137998

8. Kitley J, Waters P, Woodhall M, Leite MI, Murchison A, George J, et al. Neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorders with aquaporin-4 and myelin-oligodendrocyte glycoprotein antibodies a comparative study. *JAMA Neurol.* (2014) 71:276–83. doi: 10.1001/jamaneurol.2013.5857

 Matthews L, Marasco R, Jenkinson M, Küker W, Luppe S, Leite MI, et al. Distinction of seropositive NMO spectrum disorder and MS brain lesion distribution. *Neurology*. (2013) 80:1330–7. doi: 10.1212/WNL.0b013e3182887957 Supervision, Project administration, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing.

## Funding

The authors declare that financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. Medical writing and editorial support were provided by DataClin CRO and were funded by Roche Pharmaceuticals Middle East FZCO - Dubai Branch. The funder was not involved in the study design, collection, analysis, interpretation of data, the writing of this article, or the decision to submit it for publication.

# **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

## Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

10. Healy S, Elhadd KT, Gibbons E, Whittam D, Griffiths M, Jacob A, et al. Treatment of myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein immunoglobulin G-associated disease. *Clin Exp Neuroimmunol.* (2021) 12:22–41. doi: 10.1111/cen3.12630

11. Sellner J, Boggild M, Clanet M, Hintzen RQ, Illes Z, Montalban X, et al. EFNS guidelines on diagnosis and management of neuromyelitis optica. *Eur J Neurol.* (2010) 17:1019–32. doi: 10.1111/j.1468-1331.2010.03066.x

12. Kawachi I, Nishizawa M. NMO spectrum disorder. *Nihon Rinsho*. (2015) 73 Suppl 7:289–93. doi: 10.1055/s-0043-102456

13. Kleiter I, Gold R. Present and future therapies in Neuromyelitis Optica Spectrum disorders. *Neurotherapeutics*. (2016) 13:70-83. doi: 10.1007/s13311-015-0400-8

14. Traub J, Häusser-Kinzel S, Weber MS. Differential effects of MS therapeutics on b cells—implications for their use and failure in AQP4-positive NMOSD patients. *IJMS*. (2020) 21:1–30. doi: 10.3390/ijms21145021

15. Duchow A, Paul F, Bellmann-Strobl J. Current and emerging biologics for the treatment of neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorders. *Expert Opin Biol Ther.* (2020) 20:1061–72. doi: 10.1080/14712598.2020.1749259

16. Hor JY, Asgari N, Nakashima I, Broadley SA, Leite MI, Kissani N, et al. Epidemiology of Neuromyelitis Optica Spectrum disorder and its prevalence and incidence worldwide. *Front Neurol.* (2020) 11:1–13. doi: 10.3389/fneur.2020.00501

17. Holroyd KB, Aziz F, Szolics M, Alsaadi T, Levy M, Schiess N. Prevalence and characteristics of transverse myelitis and neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorders in the United Arab Emirates: a multicenter, retrospective study. *Clin Exp Neuroimmunol.* (2018) 9:155–61. doi: 10.1111/cen3.12458

18. Shosha E, Al Asmi A, Nasim E, Inshasi J, Abdulla F, Al Malik Y, et al. Neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorders in Arabian gulf (NMOAG); establishment and initial characterization of a patient registry. *Mult Scler Relat Disord*. (2020) 38:101448. doi: 10.1016/j.msard.2019.101448

19. Hor JY, Fujihara K. Epidemiology of myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein antibody-associated disease: a review of prevalence and incidence worldwide. *Front Neurol.* (2023) 14. doi: 10.3389/fneur.2023.1260358

20. Alroughani R, Qadi N, Inshasi J, Shosha E. Neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorders in the Arabian gulf: challenges and growing experience. *Mult Scler J Exp Transl Clin.* (2020) 6:2055217319850195. doi: 10.1177/2055217319850195

21. Carnero Contentti E, Lopez PA, Pettinicchi JP, Pappolla A, Miguez J, Patrucco L, et al. What percentage of AQP4-ab-negative NMOSD patients are MOG-ab positive? A study from the Argentinean multiple sclerosis registry (RelevarEM). *Mult Scler Relat Disord*. (2021) 49:102742. doi: 10.1016/j.msard.2021.102742

22. Eskandarieh S, Nedjat S, Azimi AR, Moghadasi AN, Sahraian MA. Neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorders in Iran. *Mult Scler Relat Disord*. (2017) 18:209–12. doi: 10.1016/j.msard.2017.10.007

23. Smith AD, Moog TM, Burgess KW, McCreary M, Okuda DT. Factors associated with the misdiagnosis of neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorder. *Mult Scler Relat Disord* [Internet]. (2023) 70:104498. doi: 10.1016/j.msard.2023.104498

24. Reindl M, Waters P. Myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein antibodies in neurological disease. *Nat Clin Pract Neurol.* (2019) 15:89–102. doi: 10.1038/ s41582-018-0112-x

25. Yan Y, Li Y, Fu Y, Yang L, Su L, Shi K, et al. Autoantibody to MOG suggests two distinct clinical subtypes of NMOSD. *Sci China Life Sci.* (2016) 59:1270–81. doi: 10.1007/s11427-015-4997-y

26. Fragoso YD, Sousa NAC, Alves-Leon SV, Dias RM, Pimentel MLV, Gomes S, et al. Clinical characteristics of 153 Brazilian patients with neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorder (NMOSD). *Mult Scler Relat Disord.* (2019) 27:392–6. doi: 10.1016/j. msard.2018.11.031

27. Gou B, Yang P, Feng J, Li Y, Huang G, Shi J, et al. The case report of AQP4 and MOG IgG double positive NMOSD treated with subcutaneous Ofatumumab. *J Neuroimmunol.* (2023) 376:578035. doi: 10.1016/j.jneuroim.2023.578035

28. Spiezia AL, Carotenuto A, Iovino A, Moccia M, Gastaldi M, Iodice R, et al. AQP4-MOG double-positive Neuromyelitis Optica Spectrum disorder: case report with central and peripheral nervous system involvement and review of literature. *Int J Mol Sci.* (2022) 23:14559. doi: 10.3390/ijms232314559

29. Diem L, Hammer H, Hoepner R, Pistor M, Remlinger J, Salmen A. Sex and gender differences in autoimmune demyelinating CNS disorders: multiple sclerosis (MS), neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorder (NMOSD) and myelin-oligodendrocyte-glycoprotein antibody associated disorder (MOGAD). *Int Rev Neurobiol.* (2022):129–78. doi: 10.1016/bs.irr.2022.06.011

30. Sato DK, Callegaro D, Lana-Peixoto MA, Waters PJ, de Haidar Jorge FM, Takahashi T. Distinction between MOG antibody-positive and AQP4 antibody-positive NMO spectrum disorders. *Neurology*. (2014) 82:474–81. doi: 10.1212/WNL.000000000000101

31. Paul S, Mondal GP, Bhattacharyya R, Ghosh KC, Bhat IA. Neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorders. J Neurol Sci. (2021) 420:117225. doi: 10.1016/j.jns.2020.117225

32. Kim SH, Huh SY, Lee SJ, Joung AR, Kim HJ. A 5-year follow-up of rituximab treatment in patients with neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorder. *JAMA Neurol.* (2013) 70:1110–7. doi: 10.1001/jamaneurol.2013.3071

33. D'Amico E, Zanghì A, Gastaldi M, Patti F, Zappia M, Franciotta D. Placing CD20targeted B cell depletion in multiple sclerosis therapeutic scenario: present and future perspectives. *Autoimmun Rev.* (2019) 18:665–72. doi: 10.1016/j.autrev.2019.05.003

34. Lopez-Chiriboga AS, Sechi E, Buciuc M, Chen JJ, Pittock SJ, Lucchinetti CF, et al. Long-term outcomes in patients with myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein immunoglobulin G-associated disorder. *JAMA Neurol [Internet]*. (2020) 77:1575–7. doi: 10.1001/jamaneurol.2020.3115

35. Wang L, du L, Li Q, Li F, Wang B, Zhao Y, et al. Neuromyelitis Optica Spectrum disorder with anti-Aquaporin-4 antibody: outcome prediction models. *Front Immunol.* (2022) 13:13. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2022.873576