Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Chunyu Li, Sichuan University, China

REVIEWED BY Kimia Jazi, Qom University of Medical Sciences, Iran Xinglong Yang, The First Affiliated Hospital of Kunming Medical University, China

*CORRESPONDENCE Xianfeng Zhang ⊠ zhangxianf@jlu.edu.cn

RECEIVED 22 February 2024 ACCEPTED 13 May 2024 PUBLISHED 24 May 2024

CITATION

Qin H, Suo S, Yang F, Hao P and Zhang X (2024) The role of digestive system diseases in cerebrovascular disease: a comprehensive Mendelian randomization study. *Front. Neurol.* 15:1389352. doi: 10.3389/fneur.2024.1389352

uol. 10.5569/Ineul.2024..

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Qin, Suo, Yang, Hao and Zhang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

The role of digestive system diseases in cerebrovascular disease: a comprehensive Mendelian randomization study

Hao Qin¹, Shihuan Suo¹, Fan Yang², Pengfei Hao³ and Xianfeng Zhang¹*

¹Department of Neurosurgery, The First Hospital of Jilin University, Changchun, China, ²Department of Anesthesiology, The First Hospital of Jilin University, Changchun, China, ³Department of Neurosurgery, Tongji Shanxi Hospital, Shanxi Bethune Hospital, Shanxi Academy of Medical Sciences, Third Hospital of Shanxi Medical University, Taiyuan, China

Background: Cerebrovascular disease, among the most prevalent neurological disorders, poses a substantial threat to human health with its elevated mortality and disability rates, placing considerable strain on healthcare systems. Although several studies in recent years have suggested a potential association between digestive system diseases and cerebrovascular diseases, the findings remain inconsistent.

Methods: Genome-wide association study (GWAS) summary data for 12 digestive diseases and cerebrovascular diseases were used to conduct Mendelian randomization (MR) analysis. In this investigation, we endeavored to elucidate the causal relationship between digestive system diseases and cerebrovascular diseases. Employing a comprehensive approach, including two-sample MR (TSMR), multivariate MR (MVMR), and two-step MR analysis, we leveraged summary statistics data obtained from published GWAS. The primary analysis method employed was inverse variance weighted (IVW), with MR-Egger and weighted median (WM) as secondary methods. Sensitivity analysis included heterogeneity testing, horizontal multivariate testing, MR-PRESSO, and a "leave-one-out" method. Additionally, the *F*-statistic was utilized to assess the strength of instrumental variables, ensuring robust results.

Results: In the TSMR analysis, this study found a significant causal relationship between genetically predicted gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD) and any stroke (AS), any ischemic stroke (AIS), large-artery atherosclerotic stroke (LAS), intracranial aneurysm (IA), and subarachnoid hemorrhage (SAH). In MVMR analysis, this study found that even after adjusting for systolic blood pressure (SBP), body mass index (BMI) and type 2 diabetes (T2D), the causal relationship remains exist. In the two-step MR mediation analysis, it was found that BMI, SBP and T2D play mediating role in the causal relationship between GERD and cerebrovascular diseases.

Conclusion: This study indicates a clear positive causal relationship between GERD and cerebrovascular diseases, and this causal association remains significant even after adjusting for BMI, SBP and T2D. The mediation MR analysis suggests that BMI, SBP and T2D may mediate the causal relationship between GERD and the risk of cerebrovascular diseases.

KEYWORDS

digestive system diseases, stroke, intracranial aneurysm, Mendelian randomization, causal relationship

Introduction

Digestive and cerebrovascular diseases are two major disease categories affecting global health, and they involve, respectively, the body's digestive and circulatory systems. The state of health of these two systems is closely linked, and a number of studies are gradually revealing the complex relationships that may exist between them.

Digestive disorders, such as inflammatory bowel disease (IBD), liver disease, and GERD, encompass a variety of conditions that impact food digestion, absorption, and excretion. This group of diseases is increasingly prevalent worldwide, garnering significant attention within the medical community. In contrast, cerebrovascular diseases, including strokes and cerebral aneurysms, result in disruptions or abnormalities in blood supply to the brain. While traditionally associated with elderly individuals, cerebrovascular diseases are now affecting younger and middle-aged populations more frequently, imposing a substantial burden on the healthcare system. These conditions are not only a leading cause of disability and mortality (1) but also contribute significantly to the overall social health system challenges (2).

Several studies have examined the association between various digestive disorders and the risk of stroke. In a prospective study involving Taiwanese individuals, the incidence of stroke, including ischemic and hemorrhagic strokes, was notably higher in those with gallstone disease compared to those without (3). A separate prospective study investigating the relationship between peptic ulcer and stroke found that peptic ulcer increased the risk of large atherosclerotic stroke, even after adjusting for factors such as age, gender, hypertension, and diabetes (4). Furthermore, research has indicated that patients diagnosed with Crohn's disease (CD) may have a heightened risk of stroke based on several studies (5-7). A combined analysis of patients with CD and ulcerative colitis (UC) revealed that 1.3 to 6.4% of adults with inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) and 3.3% of children with IBD experienced cerebrovascular complications (8). Similarly, Ludvigsson's et al. (9) study identified a positive correlation between celiac disease and cerebral hemorrhage and ischemic stroke. However, conflicting findings emerged from another study, which reported no significant disparity in stroke risk between adults with celiac disease and the general population (10). Despite the collective body of literature investigating the link between digestive disorders and cerebrovascular disease, the findings are often influenced by multiple confounding variables, thus complicating the ability to establish consistent outcomes.

In traditional observational epidemiologic studies, causal inference is often hindered by confounding and the potential for reverse causation (11). However, the method of Mendelian randomization (MR) offers an alternative approach to uncovering causal relationships between exposures and outcomes. Just as in the case of Mendel's laws of inheritance, genes are passed on randomly from parents to offspring, leading to offspring genotypes that are typically uncorrelated with confounding variables in the population. Furthermore, genotypes are established at the moment of conception and remain constant, thus circumventing the issue of reverse causation. Previous observational studies have identified a correlation between certain digestive diseases and a heightened likelihood of developing cerebrovascular disease. Our study is to explore the causal relationship between digestive system diseases and cerebrovascular diseases through two-sample MR, multivariate MR, and mediated MR. By utilizing MR, this research methodology bypasses the impact of confounding variables often present in observational studies,

leading to more reliable outcomes. Consequently, these findings offer valuable insights for clinical strategies aimed at mitigating the risk of cerebrovascular disease subsequent to digestive disorders.

Methods

Detailed information of all GWAS data was listed in Table 1.

Digestive system diseases data source

GWAS data for GERD, gastric ulcer (GU), gastric cancer, celiac disease, UC, CD, acute pancreatitis (AP), chronic pancreatitis (CP), cirrhosis of the liver, and primary biliary cholangitis (PBC) were obtained from the Integrated Epidemiology Unit open Genome-Wide Association Study (IEU open GWAS) database (website: https://gwas.mrcieu.ac.uk/) in the present study; for non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD), from the GWAS catalog (website: https://www.ebi. ac.uk/gwas/home); and for cholecystitis, from the UK Biobank (website: https://pan.ukbb.broadinstitute.org/). The sample size ranged from 20,883-778,614. All studies are multi-centre, large-sample, repeatedly validated gene-disease association studies conducted at the genome-wide level.

Stroke data sources

The GWAS summary data for stroke were sourced from the MEGASTROKE Consortium (12). This study comprised 29 investigations, encompassing a total of 67,162 cases and 454,450 controls. The MEGASTROKE Consortium defined stroke as a rapidly developing focal (or global) disturbance of cerebral function lasting more than 24 h or leading to death, with no apparent cause other than vascular origin. The MEGASTROKE Consortium classified strokes into AS (40,585 cases and 406,111 controls), AIS (34,217 cases and 406,111 controls), LAS (4,373 cases and 146,392 controls), CES (7,193 cases and 204,570 controls), and SVS (5,386 cases and 192,662 controls) according to the Trial of Org 10,172 in acute stroke treatment classification. To reduce population stratification bias, we restricted the stroke population to individuals of European ancestry.

Intracranial aneurysm data sources

The summary statistics for individuals of European ancestry with intracranial aneurysms were obtained from a genome-wide association study (13). This study comprised 23 cohorts, totaling 79,429 individuals. It included three combined datasets: GWAS summary data for all intracranial aneurysms (ruptured, unruptured, and unknown rupture status) (n=7,495); for unruptured intracranial aneurysms (n=2,070), and for aneurysmal subarachnoid hemorrhage (aSAH) (n=5,140).

Metabolic factors data sources

The GWAS data for systolic blood pressure (SBP), body mass index (BMI) and type 2 diabetes (T2D) are sourced from the IEU

TABLE 1 GWAS summary data information.

Characteristic	Resource	Sample size	Population ancestry	PMID
GERD	IEU	602,604	European	34187846
GU	IEU	474,278	European	34594039
Gastric cancer	IEU	347,406	European	34594039
Celiac disease	IEU	23,649	European	22057235
CD	IEU	20,883	European	26192919
UC	IEU	417,932	European	34594039
Cirrhosis	IEU	347,406	European	34594039
NAFLD	GWAS catalog	778,614	European	34841290
Cholecystitis	GWAS catalog	456,348	European	34737426
PBC	IEU	24,510	European	34033851
AP	IEU	479,902	European	34594039
СР	IEU	477,528	European	34594039
SBP	IEU	385,798	European	34017140
BMI	IEU	461,460	European	
T2D	IEU	655,666	European	30054458
AS	METASTROKE	446,696	European	29531354
AIS	METASTROKE	440,328	European	29531354
CES	METASTROKE	211,763	European	29531354
LAS	METASTROKE	150,765	European	29531354
SVS	METASTROKE	198,048	European	29531354
IA		317,636	European	33199917
uIA		317,636	European	33199917
SAH		317,636	European	33199917

GERD, gastroesophageal reflux disease; GC, gastric ulcer; CD, Crohn's disease; UC, ulcerative colitis; NAFLD, non-alcoholic fatty liver disease; PBC, primary biliary cholangitis; AP, acute pancreatitis; CP, chronic pancreatitis; SBP, systolic blood pressure; BMI, body mass index; T2D, type 2 diabetes; AS, any stroke; AIS, any ischemic stroke; CES, cardioembolic stroke; LAS, large-artery atherosclerotic stroke; SVS, small-vessel stroke; IA, intracranial aneurysm; uIA, unruptured intracranial aneurysm; SAH, subarachnoid hemorrhage.

GWAS database. The sample size for systolic blood pressure is 385,798, for BMI, it is 461,460, and for T2D, it is 655,666.

Study design

As shown in Figure 1, firstly, this study used two-sample Mendelian randomization (TSMR) analysis to explore the causal relationship between digestive system diseases and cerebrovascular diseases. The Bonferroni correction method was applied for multiple testing corrections, with a significance threshold set at $p < 5.21 \times 10^{-4}$ considered a significant causal relationship, and $5.21 \times 10^{-4} considered a suggestive causal relationship.$ Secondly, a significant exposure factors identified from the TSMR results and metabolic factors (SBP, BMI and T2D) were subjected to a MVMR analysis with cerebrovascular diseases. Thirdly, a two-step MR analysis was employed to explore whether metabolic factors play a mediating role between genetically predicted digestive system diseases and cerebrovascular diseases. Separate two-sample MR analyses were conducted to investigate the causal relationships between digestive system diseases and metabolic factors, as well as between metabolic factors and cerebrovascular diseases. To determine the mediating role of metabolic factors in the causal relationship between digestive system diseases and cerebrovascular diseases, the causal effect between genetically predicted digestive system diseases and cerebrovascular diseases, referred to as the total effect, was defined as $\beta 1$. The causal effect of digestive system diseases on metabolic factors was defined as $\beta 2$, and the causal effect of metabolic factors on cerebrovascular diseases was defined as $\beta 3$. Here, $\beta 2 \times \beta 3$ represents the mediation effect, and $\beta 2 \times \beta 3/\beta 1$ represents the percentage of the mediating effect.

Instrumental variable selection

In order for genetic variation to qualify as a valid IV for causal inference in MR studies, three core assumptions must be satisfied. First, genetic variation must be strongly correlated with exposure. Secondly, genetic variation should not be associated with confounders of the exposure and outcome relationship. Thirdly, genetic variation should only be associated with outcomes through the exposure being studied. Therefore, we select single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) associated with exposure factors at the genome-wide significance level ($p < 5 \times 10^{-8}$). And Linkage disequilibrium (LD) was removed with $R^2 < 0.001$ and clumping window size >10,000 kb. SNPs with minor allele frequency (MAF) ≤ 0.01 and with palindrome were excluded. The *F*-statistic (beta²/se²) was used to assess the power of every SNP. SNPs with *F*-statistic <10 were excluded. Finally, we excluded



SNPs that were associated with confounders or outcomes according to the PhenoScanner database.¹

Sensitivity analysis

Statistical analysis

We perform MR analysis using the TwoSampleMR package and MR-PRESSO package in R software (version 4.2.2).

In this study, many methods were employed to assess the causal relationship between digestive system diseases and cerebrovascular diseases, including the Wald ratio method, inverse variance weighted (IVW), MR-Egger method, and weighted median method (WM).

The Wald ratio method is a primary approach that uses a single SNP as IV to assess the causal relationship between exposure and outcome. Additionally, when more than one IV is available, this method can be used to calculate the causal effect for each IV. The IVW method is the main method in our study. When genetic variations are effective IVs, each variant provides a consistent estimate of the causal effect. Therefore, IVW method usually provides a consistent estimate of the causal effect of the risk factor on the outcome only when all genetic variations are effective IVs (14). If one or more variants are ineffective, the estimate may be biased (14). MR-Egger method and WM are used as secondary methods. The MR-Egger method not only provides consistent estimates of causal effects under InSIDE (INstrument Strength Independent of Direct Effect) assumption but also assesses the presence of horizontal pleiotropy. Under the InSIDE assumption, the intercept of the MR-Egger analysis can be interpreted as the average pleiotropic effect of the included genetic variations. If the average pleiotropic effect is zero, IVW method gives consistent estimates of the causal effect. Conversely, if the intercept of the MR-Egger analysis is non-zero, indicating a non-zero average pleiotropic effect, or if the InSIDE assumption is violated, it suggests bias in the IVW estimates. Additionally, testing the intercept of the MR-Egger analysis can evaluate the level of horizontal pleiotropy in the instrumental variables (15). Similarly, the weighted median method often yields robust estimates when up to 50% of SNPs are ineffective IVs (16).

To ensure the impartial results, a series of sensitivity analyses were performed. Cochran's IVW *Q* statistic was used to quantify the heterogeneity of the IVs. And *p*-value >0.05 of Cochran's IVW *Q* indicated no heterogeneity. Additionally, the MR-Egger regression intercept method was employed to estimate horizontal pleiotropy of SNPs, with a *p*-value >0.05 suggesting no horizontal pleiotropy (17). In the presence of horizontal pleiotropy, MR-Pleiotropy Residual Sum and Outlier (MR-PRESSO) were used to further detect it. If the MR-PRESSO global test indicated the presence of horizontal pleiotropy, SNPs with outliers demonstrating horizontal pleiotropy were excluded, and the IVW analysis was reperformed to decrease the heterogeneity of causal effects (18). Finally, a leave-one-out analysis was conducted as a sensitivity analysis. The leave-one-out method assesses the independent impact of each SNP on the outcome (19). This approach aimed to obtain more robust results.

Results

In exploring the genetically predicted causal association of digestive diseases as exposure factors on the risk of cerebrovascular disease, a series of IVs' selecting processes were performed and a total of 376 SNPs were extracted from the GWAS summary data of 12 digestive system disorders, ranging from 1 to 121 SNPs. In exploring the genetically predicted causal association of metabolic factors on the risk of cerebrovascular disease, a total of 382 SNPs were extracted from the GWAS summary data of SBP, BMI and T2D, respectively. All *F*-statistic >10. The detailed information of IVs extracted for all exposure factors is shown in Supplementary Table S1.

Results of TSMR

MR results of digestive system diseases on stroke

As shown in Table 2; Supplementary Tables S2, S3; Figure 2, when genetically predicted digestive system diseases were considered as the exposure factor, we observed significant positive causal relationships

¹ http://www.phenoscanner.medschl.cam.ac.uk/

between gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD) and AS (OR: 1.232, 95% CI: 1.133–1.340, p = 1.171 \times 10^-6), AIS (OR: 1.210, 95% CI: 1.106– 1.323, $p = 3.031 \times 10^{-5}$), and LAS (OR: 1.579, 95% CI: 1.257–1.984, $p = 8.576 \times 10^{-5}$), with no evidence of heterogeneity or horizontal pleiotropy. Additionally, genetically predicted primary biliary cholangitis showed suggestive causal relationships with AS (OR: 1.021, 95% CI: 1.003–1.039, p=0.023), AIS (OR: 1.025, 95% CI: 1.005–1.045, *p* = 0.014), and CES (OR: 1.041, 95% CI: 1.002–1.082, *p* = 0.040), with no observed heterogeneity or horizontal pleiotropy. And, cholecystitis demonstrated suggestive causal relationships with AS (OR: 0.908, 95% CI: 0.831–0.993, *p* = 0.034) and AIS (OR: 0.892, 95% CI: 0.816–0.975, p = 0.012), without heterogeneity, but the test for horizontal pleiotropy could not be performed with only including 2 SNPs. In the IVW method, a suggestive positive causal relationship between celiac disease and LAS (OR: 1.076, 95% CI: 1.009-1.148, p=0.026) was observed, without horizontal pleiotropy but with heterogeneity so the weighted median method results were considered as evaluation method. However, this suggestive causal relationship disappeared in the weighted median method, indicating no causal relationship between genetic prediction of celiac disease and LAS. Genetically predicted NAFLD showed a suggestive negative causal relationship with LAS (OR: 0.750, 95% CI: 0.621-0.906, p=0.003), with no observed heterogeneity. However, due to only including 2 available SNPs, the test of horizontal pleiotropy was not performed. Genetically predicted acute pancreatitis exhibited significant negative causal relationships with AS (OR: 0.810, 95% CI: 0.729-0.899, $p = 7.971 \times 10^{-5}$), AIS (OR: 0.788, 95% CI: 0.703-0.884, $p = 4.831 \times 10^{-5}$), and LAS (OR: 0.545, 95% CI: 0.401-0.742, $p = 1.152 \times 10^{-4}$). However, considering only one IV, the MR-Egger

intercept and Cochran's *Q* tests for its pleiotropy and heterogeneity were not feasible, and visualization of the results was not possible. Therefore, no definitive causal relationship between acute pancreatitis and AS, AIS, and LAS can be concluded.

MR results of digestive system diseases on intracranial aneurysms

In the IVW, we found that genetically predicted GERD presented significant positive causality with IA (OR: 1.824, 95% CI: 1.418–2.348, $p = 2.975 \times 10^{-6}$) and SAH (OR: 1.785, 95% CI: 1.378– 2.312, $p = 1.123 \times 10^{-5}$), and suggestive positive causality with uIA (OR: 2.029, 95% CI: 1.358–3.030, $p = 5.485 \times 10^{-4}$). However, heterogeneity was found for both IA and uIA analyses, so the weighted median was suggestive; this causality remained under the weighted median approach, so the results were considered robust. In all analyses, there was no horizontal pleiotropy. In addition, there was a suggestive causal relationship between genetically predicted chronic pancreatitis and SAH (OR: 0.780, 95% CI: 0.610-0.997, p = 0.048), which was not considered to be established. Similarly, there was only one SNP, heterogeneity and horizontal pleiotropy could not be detected, and visualization of the results was not possible. All results were shown in Table 2; Supplementary Tables S4, S5; Figure 2.

Results of multivariate MR analysis

As shown in Table 3 and Figure 3, in the multivariate MR analysis, it was found that when adjusted for BMI, SBP and T2D, GERD was

Exposures	Outcome	Methods	SNP	<i>p</i> val	OR (95% CI)	Cochran's IVW_p	MR-Egger intercept_ <i>p</i>
АР	AS	Wald ratio	1	7.971 ×10 ⁻⁵	0.810 (0.729–0.899)	_	—
Cholecystitis	AS	IVW	2	3.416 ×10 ⁻²	0.908 (0.831-0.993)	0.100	—
GERD	AS	IVW	66	1.171 ×10 ⁻⁶	1.232 (1.133–1.34)	0.068	0.641
РВС	AS	IVW	33	2.332×10^{-2}	1.021 (1.003–1.039)	0.126	0.213
АР	AIS	Wald ratio	1	4.831 ×10 ⁻⁵	0.788 (0.703-0.884)		_
Cholecystitis	AIS	IVW	2	1.219 ×10 ⁻²	0.892 (0.816-0.975)	0.134	—
GERD	AIS	IVW	66	3.031 ×10 ⁻⁵	1.210 (1.106–1.323)	0.116	0.822
РВС	AIS	IVW	33	1.352×10^{-2}	1.025 (1.005-1.045)	0.103	0.275
РВС	CES	IVW	33	3.969 ×10 ⁻²	1.041 (1.002–1.082)	0.073	0.354
АР	LAS	Wald ratio	1	1.152×10^{-4}	0.545 (0.401-0.742)	_	—
Celiac disease	LAS	IVW	34	2.580×10^{-2}	1.076 (1.009–1.148)	0.001	0.859
GERD	LAS	IVW	66	8.576 ×10 ⁻⁵	1.579 (1.257–1.984)	0.062	0.881
NAFLD	LAS	IVW	2	2.867 ×10 ⁻³	0.750 (0.621-0.906)	0.526	—
GERD	IA	IVW	56	2.975 ×10 ⁻⁶	1.824 (1.418-2.348)	0.001	0.424
GERD	uIA	IVW	56	5.485×10^{-4}	2.029 (1.358-3.03)	0.038	0.380
СР	SAH	Wald ratio	1	4.755×10^{-2}	0.780 (0.610-0.997)	_	—
GERD	SAH	IVW	56	1.123 ×10 ⁻⁵	1.785 (1.378-2.312)	0.087	0.086

TABLE 2 TSMR positive results of digestive system diseases on cerebrovascular diseases.

GERD, gastroesophageal reflux disease; PBC, primary biliary cholangitis; AP, acute pancreatitis; CP, chronic pancreatitis; AS, any stroke; AIS, any ischemic stroke; CES, cardioembolic stroke; LAS, large-artery atherosclerotic stroke; IA, intracranial aneurysm; uIA, unruptured intracranial aneurysm; SAH, subarachnoid hemorrhage; IVW, inverse variance weighted; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.

05

Exposure	Outcome	Methods			р	OR(95CI%)
Celiac disease	LAS	IVW		н	2.580E-02	1.076(1.009-1.148)
СР	SAH	Wald ratio	⊢	ł	4.755E-02	0.780(0.610-0.997)
NAFLD	LAS	IVW	H•-I		2.867E-03	0.750(0.621-0.906)
Cholecystitis	AS	IVW	H		3.416E-02	0.908(0.831-0.993)
	AIS	IVW	H		1.219E-02	0.892(0.816-0.975)
AP	AS	Wald ratio	н		7.971E-05	0.810(0.729-0.899)
	AIS	Wald ratio	ы		4.831E-05	0.788(0.703-0.884)
	LAS	Wald ratio 🖡	•-1		1.152E-04	0.545(0.401-0.742)
PBC	AS	IVW		N	2.332E-02	1.021(1.003-1.039)
	AIS	IVW		K	1.352E-02	1.025(1.005-1.045)
	CES	IVW		Н	3.969E-02	1.041(1.002-1.082)
GERD	AS	IVW		H	1.171E-06	1.232(1.133-1.34)
	AIS	IVW		H-1	3.031E-05	1.210(1.106-1.323)
	LAS	IVW		├─ ः──┥	8.576E-05	1.579(1.257-1.984)
	IA	IVW		⊢ 1	2.975E-06	1.824(1.418-2.348)
	ulA	IVW		F	5.485E-04	2.029 (1.358-3.03)
	SAH	IVW			1.123E-05	1.785(1.378-2.312)
		().5	1 1.5 2 2.5 3		

FIGURE 2

TSMR positive results of digestive system diseases on cerebrovascular diseases. GERD, gastroesophageal reflux disease; PBC, primary biliary cholangitis; AP, acute pancreatitis; CP, chronic pancreatitis; AS, any stroke; AIS, any ischemic stroke; CES, cardioembolic stroke; LAS, large-artery atherosclerotic stroke; IA, intracranial aneurysm; uIA, unruptured intracranial aneurysm; SAH, subarachnoid hemorrhage; IVW, inverse variance weighted; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.

still associated with AS (OR: 1.274, 95% CI: 1.117–1.452, $p = 3.06 \times 10^{-4}$), AIS (OR: 1.250, 95% CI: 1.084–1.441, $p = 2.15 \times 10^{-3}$), LAS (OR: 1.488, 95% CI: 1.077–2.055, $p = 1.60 \times 10^{-2}$), IA (OR: 2.075,

95% CI: 1.472–2.924, $p = 3.04 \times 10^{-5}$), uIA (OR: 2.773, 95% CI: 1.665–4.617, $p = 8.84 \times 10^{-5}$), and SAH (OR: 1.784, 95% CI: 1.231–2.585, $p = 3.43 \times 10^{-4}$) showed a positive causal relationship.

TABLE 3 MVMR results of GERD on cerebrovascular di	iseases after adjusting for BMI, SBP and T2D.
--	---

Exposures	Outcomes	SNP	pval	OR (95% CI)
GERD	AS	24	3.06×10^{-4}	1.274 (1.117–1.452)
SBP	AS	68	$2.77 imes 10^{-10}$	1.575 (1.368–1.814)
BMI	AS	218	3.76×10^{-2}	0.865 (0.754–0.992)
T2D	AS	48	1.88×10^{-4}	1.078 (1.036–1.121)
GERD	AIS	24	2.15×10^{-3}	1.250 (1.084–1.441)
SBP	AIS	68	2.57×10^{-9}	1.595 (1.368–1.861)
BMI	AIS	218	8.05×10^{-2}	0.876 (0.756–1.016)
T2D	AIS	48	3.37×10^{-5}	1.095 (1.049–1.144)
GERD	LAS	24	1.60×10^{-2}	1.488 (1.077–2.055)
SBP	LAS	68	9.62×10^{-6}	2.196 (1.550–3.110)
BMI	LAS	218	9.19 × 10 ⁻²	0.748 (0.534–1.048)
T2D	LAS	48	4.82×10^{-4}	1.191 (1.079–1.313)
GERD	IA	21	3.04×10^{-5}	2.075 (1.472–2.924)
SBP	IA	51	3.62×10^{-11}	3.572 (2.450-5.208)
BMI	IA	172	7.21×10^{-2}	0.722 (0.506-1.030)
T2D	IA	42	$2.21 imes 10^{-2}$	0.899 (0.821–0.985)
GERD	uIA	21	8.84×10^{-5}	2.773 (1.665-4.617)
SBP	uIA	51	4.01×10^{-5}	3.236 (1.848-5.668)
BMI	uIA	172	1.93×10^{-2}	0.531 (0.312-0.902)
T2D	uIA	41	$4.42 imes 10^{-1}$	0.948 (0.827-1.087)
GERD	SAH	21	$3.43 imes 10^{-4}$	2.001 (1.369–2.924)
SBP	SAH	51	$7.98 imes 10^{-10}$	3.711 (2.440–5.637)
BMI	SAH	172	$9.40 imes 10^{-2}$	0.715 (0.482–1.059)
T2D	SAH	42	$2.90 imes 10^{-2}$	0.893 (0.807–0.989)

GERD, gastroesophageal reflux disease; SBP, systolic blood pressure; BMI, body mass index; T2D, type 2 diabetes; AS, any stroke; AIS, any ischemic stroke; LAS, large-artery atherosclerotic stroke; IA, intracranial aneurysm; uIA, unruptured intracranial aneurysm; SAH, subarachnoid hemorrhage; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.

Results of two-step MR analysis

MR results of GERD on metabolic factors

When genetically predicted GERD was used as an exposure factor, a positive causal relationship was found with both SBP (OR: 1.088, 95% CI: 1.057–1.120, $p = 1.27 \times 10^{-8}$), BMI (OR: 1.242, 95% CI: 1.200–1.286, $p = 2.44 \times 10^{-34}$) and T2D (OR: 1.688, 95% CI: 1.469–1.938, $p = 1.40 \times 10^{-13}$), although there was heterogeneity, the results of the weighted median approach remained significant and no horizontal pleiotropy was detected (Table 4), so the results were considered robust.

MR results of metabolic factors on cerebrovascular disease

When stroke was considered as the outcome, it was found that genetically predicted SBP had a positive causal relationship with AS (OR: 1.740, 95% CI: 1.562–1.938, $p=8.743 \times 10^{-24}$), AIS (OR: 1.766, 95% CI: 1.575–1.981, $p=2.649 \times 10^{-22}$), and LAS (OR: 2.826, 95% CI: 2.212–3.612, $p=1.009 \times 10^{-16}$). When genetically predicted BMI was considered as the exposure factor, a positive causal relationship was observed with AS (OR: 1.206, 95% CI: 1.128–1.290, $p=5.184 \times 10^{-8}$), AIS (OR: 1.216, 95% CI: 1.130–1.309, $p=1.887 \times 10^{-7}$), and LAS (OR: 1.429, 95% CI: 1.191–1.715, $p=1.245 \times 10^{-4}$). When genetically predicted T2D was considered as the exposure factor, a positive causal

relationship was observed with AS (OR: 1.086, 95% CI: 1.054–1.119, $p = 5.69 \times 10^{-8}$), AIS (OR: 1.091, 95% CI: 1.058–1.126, $p = 5.37 \times 10^{-8}$), and LAS (OR: 1.219, 95% CI: 1.118–1.330, $p = 7.36 \times 10^{-6}$). Although there was evidence of heterogeneity in the above analyses, the results of the weighted median method remained significant, and no horizontal pleiotropy was detected (Table 5). Therefore, the results were considered robust.

When intracranial aneurysm was considered as the outcome, it was found that SBP had a positive causal relationship with IA (OR: 3.361, 95% CI: 2.525–4.474, $p = 9.605 \times 10^{-17}$), unruptured IA (uIA) (OR: 3.220, 95% CI: 2.139–4.845, $p = 2.055 \times 10^{-8}$), and subarachnoid hemorrhage (SAH) (OR: 3.901, 95% CI: 2.825–5.386, $p = 1.353 \times 10^{-16}$). In the MR analysis with IA and SAH, there was heterogeneity but no horizontal pleiotropy. The results of the weighted median method still showed a significant causal relationship between them, indicating the robustness of the results. Genetically predicted BMI had a positive causal relationship with IA (OR: 1.353, 95% CI: 1.150-1.593, $p = 2.779 \times 10^{-4}$), with heterogeneity, but the MR results of the weighted median method remained significant, and no horizontal pleiotropy was found. Therefore, the results were considered robust. Although genetically predicted BMI had a significant causal relationship with SAH (OR: 1.290, 95% CI: 1.061–1.568, *p*=0.011), there was heterogeneity. When evaluated using the weighted median

Exposure C	Outcome	SNPs		р	OR(95CI%)
GERD	AS	24	Hert	3.06E-04	1.274(1.117-1.452)
SBP	AS	68	Hel	2.77E-10	1.575(1.368-1.814)
BMI	AS	218	н	3.76E-02	0.865(0.754-0.992)
T2D	AS	48	м	1.88E-04	1.078(1.036-1.121)
GERD	AIS	24	H	2.15E-03	1.250(1.084-1.441)
SBP	AIS	68	H=4	2.57E-09	1.595(1.368-1.861)
BMI	AIS	218	н	8.05E-02	0.876(0.756-1.016)
T2D	AIS	48	м	3.37E-05	1.095(1.049-1.144)
GERD	LAS	24	┝╼╾┥	1.60E-02	1.488(1.077-2.055)
SBP	LAS	68	⊢ ⊶−−1	9.62E-06	2.196(1.550-3.110)
BMI	LAS	218	Ha-1	9.19E-02	0.748(0.534-1.048)
T2D	LAS	48	H	4.82E-04	1.191(1.079-1.313)
GERD	IA	21	F1	3.04E-05	2.075(1.472-2.924)
SBP	IA	51	F	3.62E-11	3.572(2.450-5.208)
BMI	IA	172	⊢=−I	7.21E-02	0.722(0.506-1.030)
T2D	IA	42	н	2.21E-02	0.899(0.821-0.985)
GERD	ulA	21	⊢−− −−−−−1	8.84E-05	2.773(1.665-4.617)
SBP	ulA	51	⊢	4.01E-05	3.236(1.848-5.668)
BMI	ulA	172	┝╼╼┥	1.93E-02	0.531(0.312-0.902)
T2D	ulA	41	H=1	4.42E-01	0.948(0.827-1.087)
GERD	SAH	21	⊢	3.43E-04	2.001(1.369-2.924)
SBP	SAH	51	⊢	7.98E-10	3.711(2.440-5.637)
BMI	SAH	172	⊢ =−1	9.40E-02	0.715(0.482-1.059)
T2D	SAH	42		2.90E-02	0.893(0.807-0.989)
			0.5 1 1.5 2 2.5 3 3.5 4 4.5 5 5.5		

FIGURE 3

MVMR results of GERD on cerebrovascular diseases after adjusting for BMI, SBP and T2D. GERD, gastroesophageal reflux disease; SBP, systolic blood pressure; BMI, body mass index; T2D, type 2 diabetes; AS, any stroke; AIS, any ischemic stroke; LAS, large-artery atherosclerotic stroke; IA, intracranial aneurysm; uIA, unruptured intracranial aneurysm; SAH, subarachnoid hemorrhage; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.

Exposures	Outcome	Methods	<i>p</i> val	OR (95% CI)	Heterogeneity test	Pleiotropy test
					Cochran's IVW_p	MR-Egger intercept_p
		MR Egger	6.45×10^{-2}	1.188 (0.993–1.421)		0.334
GERD SBP	SBP	WM	$7.74 imes 10^{-6}$	1.068 (1.038–1.100)	$3.20 imes 10^{-14}$	
		IVW	$1.27 imes 10^{-8}$	1.088 (1.057–1.120)		
GERD BMI		MR Egger	$3.42 imes 10^{-1}$	1.136 (0.876–1.473)		0.501
	BMI	WM	$3.83\times10^{\scriptscriptstyle-18}$	1.196 (1.149–1.246)	$3.91 imes 10^{-6}$	
		IVW	$2.44\times10^{_{-34}}$	1.242 (1.200–1.286)		
GERD T2D		MR Egger	$3.29 imes 10^{-2}$	2.550 (1.098-5.924)		0.333
	T2D	WM	7.21×10^{-21}	1.649 (1.485–1.831)	2.48×10^{-42}	
		IVW	1.40×10^{-13}	1.688 (1.469–1.938)		

TABLE 4 TSMR results of GERD on metabolic factors.

GERD, gastroesophageal reflux disease; SBP, systolic blood pressure; BMI, body mass index; T2D, type 2 diabetes; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; IVW, inverse variance weighted; WM, weighted median.

method, the significance disappeared, and therefore, a causal effect between BMI and SAH could not be concluded. There was no causal relationship between genetically predicted BMI and uIA (OR: 1.280, 95% CI: 0.978–1.677, p=0.07), and no heterogeneity or horizontal pleiotropy was observed. However, the significant causal relationship were not found in T2D on IA, uIA, and SAH (Table 5).

Results mediation MR analysis

Through two-step MR analysis, it was found that when the outcome was stroke, the causal relationship between GERD and AS, AIS, and LAS was partially mediated by SBP and BMI. Specifically, the mediated effects of GERD, mediated by SBP, on AS, AIS, and LAS were 0.047, 0.048, and 0.087, accounting for 22.49, 25.13, and 19.04% of the total effects, respectively. The mediated effects of GERD, mediated by BMI, on AS, AIS, and LAS were 0.041, 0.043, and 0.077, accounting for 19.62, 22.51, and 16.85% of the total effects, respectively. The mediated by T2D, on AS, AIS, and LAS were 0.043, 0.046, and 0.104, accounting for 20.57, 24.08, and 22.76% of the total effects, respectively (Table 6).

When the outcome was intracranial aneurysm, SBP and BMI were also identified as factors mediating the causal relationship between GERD and intracranial aneurysm. Specifically, the mediated effects of GERD, mediated by SBP, on IA, unruptured IA (uIA), and subarachnoid hemorrhage (SAH) were 0.102, 0.098, and 0.114, accounting for 16.97, 13.86, and 19.66% of the total effects, respectively. The mediated effects of GERD, mediated by BMI, on IA and SAH were 0.066 and 0.055, accounting for 10.98 and 9.48% of the total effects, respectively (Table 6).

Discussion

This MR study investigated the causal link between digestive system diseases and the development of cerebrovascular diseases. The research findings revealed a significant causal association between gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD) and the risk of cerebrovascular diseases. This insight underscores the genetic predisposition of GERD as a major risk factor for cerebrovascular conditions. The study's sensitivity analysis demonstrated consistent and robust causal effects. Moreover, in the multivariable MR analysis, even upon adjusting for variables like BMI, systolic blood pressure (SBP), and type 2 diabetes (T2D), the causal relationship between GERD and cerebrovascular diseases remained evident. Additionally, the two-step MR analysis outcomes indicated that BMI, SBP, and T2D potentially act as partial mediators in the causal pathway linking GERD to cerebrovascular diseases. Therefore, this paragraph will focus solely on discussing the relationship between GERD and cerebrovascular disease.

Our MR findings are consistent with previous studies on the causal relationship between GERD and cerebrovascular diseases. Sheu et al. (20) explored the risk of stroke in young individuals within a year of GERD diagnosis. They reported that after accounting for other stroke risk factors, young individuals with GERD had a 1.68 times higher likelihood of experiencing a stroke during the one-year follow-up period compared to age- and gender-matched controls. Additionally, Jansson et al. (21) proposed a plausible link between stroke and GERD based on a population-based cross-sectional study conducted in Norway.

Several mechanisms have been proposed to explain the potential links between GERD and the increased risk of cerebrovascular diseases. Firstly, Helicobacter pylori (H. pylori) infection is a crucial mechanism. H. pylori, known as a high-risk factor for digestive diseases (22), can lead to conditions such as peptic ulcer and gastric cancer. The impact of H. pylori on the development of GERD may involve alterations in gastroesophageal barrier function, changes like reflux, or effects on gastric emptying (23). A study involving 156 patients with peptic ulcer and reflux esophagitis demonstrated that the eradication of H. pylori significantly improved GERD symptoms when compared to patients with persistent infection (24). Furthermore, cross-sectional studies have suggested a potential association between H. pylori infection and conditions like atherosclerotic coronary artery disease (25, 26) or ischemic stroke (27, 28). H. pylori can persistently infect and survive in the gastric mucosa, triggering acute and chronic inflammatory responses (22, 29, 30). These inflammatory responses can subsequently initiate and promote atherosclerosis (31). H. pylori can be categorized into two main strains depending on the presence

TABLE 5 TSMR results of metabolic factors on cerebrovascular diseases.

Exposures	Outcomes	Methods	<i>p</i> val	OR (95% CI)	Heterogeneity test	Pleiotropy test
					IVW_p	MR-Egger intercept_ <i>p</i>
		MR Egger	$1.19 imes 10^{-4}$	1.921 (1.386–2.663)		
SBP	AS	WM	3.63×10^{-17}	1.765 (1.546–2.014)	$8.44\times10^{\scriptscriptstyle-12}$	0.528
		IVW	$8.74 imes 10^{-24}$	1.740 (1.562–1.938)		
		MR Egger	$1.35 imes 10^{-4}$	1.986 (1.405–2.806)		
SBP	AIS	WM	1.51×10^{-15}	1.793 (1.553–2.069)	$2.81\times10^{_{-10}}$	0.482
		IVW	2.65×10^{-22}	1.766 (1.575–1.981)		
		MR Egger	4.17×10^{-4}	3.891 (1.851-8.178)		
SBP	LAS	WM	$1.28 imes 10^{-6}$	2.331 (1.655-3.283)	2.66×10^{-3}	0.372
		IVW	1.01×10^{-16}	2.826 (2.212-3.612)		
		MR Egger	$1.28 imes 10^{-4}$	5.911 (2.437-14.334)		
SBP	IA	WM	4.59×10^{-12}	3.640 (2.524-5.248)	$8.24 imes 10^{-8}$	0.189
		IVW	9.61×10^{-17}	3.361 (2.525-4.474)		
		MR Egger	4.52×10^{-3}	6.180 (1.791-21.323)		
SBP	uIA	WM	3.57×10^{-6}	3.910 (2.197-6.960)	$1.43 imes 10^{-1}$	0.276
		IVW	2.06×10^{-8}	3.220 (2.139-4.845)		
		MR Egger	1.75×10^{-5}	8.858 (3.379-23.226)		
SBP	SAH	WM	1.96×10^{-9}	3.438 (2.297-5.146)	1.82×10^{-6}	0.079
		IVW	$1.35 imes 10^{-16}$	3.901 (2.825-5.386)		
		MR Egger	1.01×10^{-1}	1.163 (0.972–1.393)		
BMI AS	AS	WM	1.02×10^{-2}	1.151 (1.034–1.281)	6.23×10^{-5}	0.670
		IVW	5.18×10^{-8}	1.206 (1.128–1.290)		
		MR Egger	4.13×10^{-2}	1.228 (1.009–1.494)		0.916
BMI	AIS	WM	1.99×10^{-2}	1.156 (1.023–1.307)	5.76×10^{-5}	
		IVW	$1.89 imes 10^{-7}$	1.216 (1.130–1.309)		
		MR Egger	6.12×10^{-2}	1.595 (0.980–2.597)		0.633
BMI	LAS	WM	1.26×10^{-3}	1.615 (1.207–2.162)	$4.83 imes 10^{-5}$	
		IVW	$1.25 imes 10^{-4}$	1.429 (1.191–1.715)		
		MR Egger	$5.58 imes 10^{-1}$	1.139 (0.738–1.757)		
BMI	IA	WM	4.31×10^{-2}	1.336 (1.009–1.77)	3.13×10^{-2}	0.400
		IVW	$2.78 imes 10^{-4}$	1.353 (1.150–1.593)		
		MR Egger	$3.04 imes 10^{-1}$	0.686 (0.335-1.406)		
BMI	uIA	WM	$3.73 imes 10^{-1}$	1.256 (0.761–2.073)	$6.58 imes 10^{-1}$	0.067
		IVW	7.25×10^{-2}	1.280 (0.978–1.677)		
		MR Egger	$5.78 imes 10^{-1}$	1.159 (0.690–1.947)		
BMI	SAH	WM	$1.54 imes 10^{-1}$	1.277 (0.913–1.788)	$7.44 imes 10^{-3}$	0.662
		IVW	1.06×10^{-2}	1.290 (1.061–1.568)		
		MR Egger	1.00×10^{-2}	1.097 (1.024–1.176)		
T2D	AS	WM	$5.91 imes 10^{-4}$	1.092 (1.038–1.148)	$5.48 imes 10^{-2}$	0.750
		IVW	5.69×10^{-8}	1.086 (1.054–1.119)		
		MR Egger	5.57×10^{-3}	1.113 (1.033–1.198)		
T2D	AIS	WM	$2.34 imes 10^{-4}$	1.107 (1.049–1.169)	1.24×10^{-1}	0.575
		IVW	$5.37 imes 10^{-8}$	1.091 (1.058–1.126)		

(Continued)

Exposures	xposures Outcomes M		pval	OR (95% CI)	Heterogeneity test	Pleiotropy test
					IVW_p	MR-Egger intercept_p
		MR Egger	2.90×10^{-3}	1.368 (1.118–1.673)		
T2D	LAS	WM	$1.99 imes 10^{-4}$	1.255 (1.114–1.415)	2.61×10^{-3}	0.219
		IVW	$7.36 imes 10^{-6}$	1.219 (1.118–1.330)		
		MR Egger	$2.36 imes 10^{-2}$	0.803 (0.666-0.968)		0.006
T2D	IA	WM	$3.71 imes 10^{-1}$	0.945 (0.835–1.070)	1.44×10^{-6}	
		IVW	$6.47 imes 10^{-1}$	1.021 (0.935–1.114)		
		MR Egger	$1.78 imes 10^{-1}$	0.836 (0.645-1.083)		0.100
T2D	uIA	WM	$9.58 imes 10^{-1}$	1.006 (0.807–1.254)	$3.94 imes 10^{-1}$	
		IVW	$7.80 imes 10^{-1}$	1.017 (0.904–1.144)		
		MR Egger	$3.92 imes 10^{-2}$	0.804 (0.655-0.986)		
T2D	SAH	WM	2.53×10^{-1}	0.920 (0.798-1.061)	1.95×10^{-4}	0.009
		IVW	$5.46 imes 10^{-1}$	1.030 (0.936–1.134)		

TABLE 5 (Continued)

SBP, systolic blood pressure; BMI, body mass index; T2D, type 2 diabetes; AS, any stroke; AIS, any ischemic stroke; LAS, large-artery atherosclerotic stroke; IA, intracranial aneurysm; uIA, unruptured intracranial aneurysm; SAH, subarachnoid hemorrhage; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; IVW, inverse variance weighted; WM, weighted median.

Exposure	Mediation	Outcome	β1	β2	β3	Mediating effect	Mediating ratio
		AS	0.209		0.554	0.047	22.49%
		AIS	0.191		0.569	0.048	25.13%
	CDD	LAS	0.457		1.039	0.087	19.04%
	SDP	IA	0.601	0.084	1.212	0.102	16.97%
		uIA	0.707	-	1.169	0.098	13.86%
		SAH	0.58		1.361	0.114	19.66%
CERD		AS	0.209		0.188	0.041	19.62%
GERD		AIS	0.191		0.195	0.043	22.51%
	BMI	LAS	0.457	0.217	0.357	0.077	16.85%
		IA	0.601		0.303	0.066	10.98%
		SAH	0.58		0.255	0.055	9.48%
		AS	0.209		0.083	0.043	20.57%
	T2D	AIS	0.191	0.523	0.087	0.046	24.08%
		LAS	0.457		0.198	0.104	22.76%

TABLE 6 The results of mediation MR analysis.

SBP, systolic blood pressure; BMI, body mass index; T2D, type 2 diabetes; AS, any stroke; AIS, any ischemic stroke; LAS, large-artery atherosclerotic stroke; IA, intracranial aneurysm; uIA, unruptured intracranial aneurysm; SAH, subarachnoid hemorrhage.

or absence of the cytotoxin-associated gene-A (CagA) gene. Type 1 *H. pylori*, which possesses the CagA gene, expresses both CagA and vacuolating cytotoxin-A (VacA), whereas type 2 *H. pylori* lacks its expression (32). VacA has been identified to bind to cell membrane receptors, be internalized by cells, translocate to mitochondria, and trigger inflammation and apoptosis (33). Furthermore, GroEL, a newly recognized virulence factor in *H. pylori*, acts as a molecular chaperone protein essential for the correct folding of proteins in the bacterium (34). Studies have shown that GroEL also plays a role in the gastric colonization of *H. pylori* and subsequent initiation of the inflammatory response (35). A MR study examining the causal

relationship between *H. pylori* and stroke revealed that the link between *H. pylori* and stroke was solely mediated by the pro-inflammatory factor C-reactive protein (CRP) (36). Previous research has consistently demonstrated that elevated CRP levels are correlated with an elevated risk of cardiovascular disease and stroke (37, 38). Several studies have demonstrated that elevated neutrophil counts are linked to increased severity of infarcts and poorer neurological outcomes (39–42). Moreover, *H. pylori* has the ability to recruit various immune cells, including neutrophils, macrophages, dendritic cells, T and B cells, and IL-8 (43–46). Several mechanisms may contribute to the association between leukocyte counts and

increased infarct severity. Atherosclerosis is increasingly viewed as a chronic inflammatory disease (47), and one possibility is that elevated leukocyte counts precede stroke episodes, reflecting the burden of atherosclerotic disease. Leukocytosis is associated with the degree of atherosclerosis (48, 49), is a risk factor for cardiovascular events and stroke (50, 51), and is associated with stroke subtypes (50). In addition, there is evidence that leukocytosis may be associated with plaque instability and induction of acute thrombotic events. Secondly, GERDinduced stimulation of the esophagus and stomach can lead to impaired cardiac conduction signals or autonomic regulation, potentially causing arrhythmias (52). Additionally, GERD-related reflexes, such as the esophagus-heart reflex, could contribute to coronary vasoconstriction and reduced coronary blood flow, potentially leading to cardioembolic stroke (53). Thirdly, In GERD, there is a high prevalence of vagal nerve dysfunction, which is associated with delayed esophageal transit, abnormal peristalsis, and an increased frequency of transient lower esophageal sphincter relaxation (54, 55). Because the immune system directly controls the vagal nerve through the cholinergic anti-inflammatory pathway, dysfunction of the vagal nerve may trigger an exaggerated inflammatory response and the spread of inflammatory mediators into the bloodstream, potentially initiating the common atherosclerotic process and leading to cardiovascular events (56). Finally, cardiovascular autonomic dysfunction mediated by the vagus nerve in GERD patients may lead to an imbalance in the sympathetic and parasympathetic nervous systems in the cerebrovascular system. This alteration could result in a defect in the autoregulation of cerebral blood flow, thereby increasing the risk of cerebrovascular diseases (57, 58). As GERD can seriously increase the risk of atherosclerosis, it can seriously increase the burden on blood vessels, resulting in an increased prevalence of aneurysms.

Several pathological cascades of obesity-induced responses can lead to thrombosis and cardiovascular disease. Obesity is associated with increased inflammatory markers, leading to a state of low-grade chronic inflammation (59). This low-grade inflammation and systemic oxidative stress can be deleterious to endothelial cells, pushing them toward a prothrombotic state. Mechanisms such as platelet reactivity, enhanced coagulation, and impaired fibrinolysis are recognized contributors to this process (60). The most intuitive pathways involve elevated blood pressure and diabetes mellitus, both established causative factors for atherosclerosis in both large and small vessels (61). Furthermore, studies have shown that GERD is associated with various risk factors for vascular disease, including BMI, T2D, SBP, smoking, triglycerides (TG), and insomnia. GERD and obesity often coexist, with weight loss potentially improving GERD symptoms (62). Meta-analysis studies conducted in the US have identified a positive association between increased BMI and GERD incidence (63). Additionally, a recent Mendelian randomization study linked genetically predicted higher BMI, T2D, and smoking to an elevated risk of GERD (64). Turning to diabetes, it is a recognized risk factor for stroke and vascular disease through multiple mechanisms. These include increased production of free oxygen radicals and oxidative stress (65), heightened glycosylation product production (66), increased aldose reductase activity in the polyol pathway (65, 67), and activation of specific protein kinase C (PKC) isoforms (67). Diabetes can also contribute to cerebrovascular disease by inducing autonomic neuropathy, which in turn impacts cerebrovascular sympathetic and parasympathetic innervation (68, 69). Autonomic neuropathy leads to inadequate blood flow autoregulation, rendering cerebral vessels more vulnerable to damage and occlusion (70, 71). Evidence has demonstrated that diabetic autonomic neuropathy causes a consistent rise in sympathetic tone at night, resulting in elevated heart rate and blood pressure levels (72). Additionally, hypertension, a prevalent cardiovascular risk factor, is provoked by prolonged high blood pressure, promoting atherosclerosis and vascular remodeling that leads to thickened arterial walls (73). Hypertension also induces cardiac morphological changes such as left ventricular hypertrophy and left atrial dilatation, which are independent risk factors for stroke (74–76).

In addition, recent research has intensified the focus on the microbial-gut-brain axis, particularly in exploring the interplay between gut microbiota and GERD. Liu et al. (77) observed a lower prevalence of the Actinobacteria phylum among individuals with GERD (78), consistent with the findings of Wang's et al. (79) MR analysis. Specifically, in a study involving pediatric patients with GERD, higher levels of Aspergillus and Anaplasma phyla were detected, while concentrations of Thickettsia and Actinobacteria phyla were notably reduced (80). Notably, a Japanese study employed a novel method utilizing quantitative 16S rRNA gene PCR to assess total bacterial counts. The results indicated that the relative proportions of taxa such as Aspergillus, Thick-walled Bacteria, Anaplasma, Clostridium, and Actinobacteria exhibited stronger correlations with esophageal diseases than absolute bacterial counts (77). Moreover, a separate MR study revealed a causal link between gut microbes and cerebrovascular disease (19), paving the way for further investigation into the mechanisms of the microbe-gut-brain axis.

In this study, we utilized published GWAS summary data to conduct a thorough MR analysis. This approach enabled us to assess the causal association between digestive system diseases and cerebrovascular diseases more effectively, eliminating confounding factors and reverse causality commonly found in traditional observational studies. Our study benefitted from the substantial sample sizes of all GWAS data and the genetic homogeneity of European populations included, thereby mitigating concerns related to population stratification and genetic variation. Furthermore, we employed sensitivity analysis to enhance the reliability and robustness of our MR findings. Nevertheless, it is important to acknowledge the presence of certain limitations in our study. Firstly, using instruments with an F-statistic >10 only reduces bias to less than a certain level, and the issues with weak instrument bias still occur (81). Secondly, there was significant heterogeneity present in some of the analyses which relate to directional pleiotropy. Confounding by cryptic pleiotropy is a known limitation of MR analyses (82). However, we addressed this possibility by quantifying pleiotropy and by using multiple MR approaches with different modeling assumptions regarding the use of pleiotropic variants in the analyses to further strengthen the validity of our MR models. Finally, there are other limitations, such as the generalizability of the conclusions to other ethnicities and the inability to assess selection bias due to the lack of individual-level data for binary variables.

Conclusion

This study indicates a clear positive causal relationship between GERD and cerebrovascular diseases. Moreover, this causal association

remains significant even after adjusting for BMI, SBP and T2D. The mediation MR analysis suggests that BMI, SBP and T2D may mediate the causal relationship between GERD and the risk of cerebrovascular diseases.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

Ethics statement

Ethical review and approval was not required for the study on human participants in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. Written informed consent from the patients/participants or patients/participants' legal guardian/next of kin was not required to participate in this study in accordance with the national legislation and the institutional requirements.

Author contributions

HQ: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Methodology, Resources, Software, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. SS: Supervision, Validation, Writing – review & editing. FY: Supervision, Validation, Writing – review & editing. PH: Data curation, Methodology, Resources, Writing – review & editing. XZ: Supervision, Writing – review & editing.

References

1. An SJ, Kim TJ, Yoon BW. Epidemiology, risk factors, and clinical features of intracerebral hemorrhage: an update. *J Stroke*. (2017) 19:3–10. doi: 10.5853/jos.2016.00864

2. GBD 2016 Causes of Death Collaborators. Global, regional, and national age-sex specific mortality for 264 causes of death, 1980–2016: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2016. *Lancet.* (2017) 390:1151–210. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(17)32152-9

3. Wei CY, Chung TC, Chen CH, Lin CC, Sung FC, Chung WT, et al. Gallstone disease and the risk of stroke: a nationwide population-based study. *J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis.* (2014) 23:1813–20. doi: 10.1016/j.jstrokecerebrovasdis.2014.04.024

4. Xu Z, Wang H, Lin Y, Zhai Q, Sun W, Wang Z, et al. The impacts of peptic ulcer on functional outcomes of ischemic stroke. *J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis.* (2019) 28:311–6. doi: 10.1016/j.jstrokecerebrovasdis.2018.09.056

5. Xiao Z, Pei Z, Yuan M, Li X, Chen S, Xu L. Risk of stroke in patients with inflammatory bowel disease: a systematic review and Meta-analysis. *J Stroke Cerebrovasc Dis.* (2015) 24:2774–80. doi: 10.1016/j.jstrokecerebrovasdis.2015.08.008

6. Keller JJ, Wang J, Hwang YL, Chou CC, Wang LH, Hsu JL, et al. Increased risk of stroke among patients with Crohn's disease: a population-based matched cohort study. *Int J Color Dis.* (2015) 30:645–53. doi: 10.1007/s00384-015-2132-y

7. Andersohn F, Waring M, Garbe E. Risk of ischemic stroke in patients with Crohn's disease: a population-based nested case-control study. *Inflamm Bowel Dis.* (2010) 16:1387–92. doi: 10.1002/ibd.21187

8. Standridge S, de los Reyes E. Inflammatory bowel disease and cerebrovascular arterial and venous thromboembolic events in 4 pediatric patients: a case series and review of the literature. *J Child Neurol.* (2008) 23:59–66. doi: 10.1177/0883073807308706

9. Ludvigsson JF, de Faire U, Ekbom A, Montgomery SM. Vascular disease in a population-based cohort of individuals hospitalised with coeliac disease. *Heart.* (2007) 93:1111-5. doi: 10.1136/hrt.2006.097097

Funding

The author(s) declare that no financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Acknowledgments

We gratefully acknowledge the authors and participants of all GWAS from which we used summary statistics data.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fneur.2024.1389352/ full#supplementary-material

10. West J, Logan RF, Card TR, Smith C, Hubbard R. Risk of vascular disease in adults with diagnosed coeliac disease: a population-based study. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther.* (2004) 20:73–9. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2036.2004.02008.x

11. Smith GD, Ebrahim S. Data dredging, bias, or confounding. *BMJ*. (2002) 325:1437–8. doi: 10.1136/bmj.325.7378.1437

12. Malik R, Chauhan G, Traylor M, Sargurupremraj M, Okada Y, Mishra A, et al. Multiancestry genome-wide association study of 520,000 subjects identifies 32 loci associated with stroke and stroke subtypes. *Nat Genet.* (2018) 50:524–37. doi: 10.1038/ s41588-018-0058-3

13. Bakker MK, van der Spek RAA, van Rheenen W, Morel S, Bourcier R, Hostettler IC, et al. Genome-wide association study of intracranial aneurysms identifies 17 risk loci and genetic overlap with clinical risk factors. *Nat Genet.* (2020) 52:1303–13. doi: 10.1038/s41588-020-00725-7

14. Burgess S, Foley CN, Zuber V. Inferring causal relationships between risk factors and outcomes from genome-wide association study data. *Annu Rev Genomics Hum Genet.* (2018) 19:303–27. doi: 10.1146/annurev-genom-083117-021731

15. Burgess S, Thompson SG. Interpreting findings from Mendelian randomization using the MR-Egger method. *Eur J Epidemiol.* (2017) 32:377–89. doi: 10.1007/s10654-017-0255-x

16. Burgess S, Dudbridge F, Thompson SG. Combining information on multiple instrumental variables in Mendelian randomization: comparison of allele score and summarized data methods. *Stat Med.* (2016) 35:1880–906. doi: 10.1002/sim.6835

17. Bowden J, Davey Smith G, Burgess S. Mendelian randomization with invalid instruments: effect estimation and bias detection through egger regression. *Int J Epidemiol.* (2015) 44:512–25. doi: 10.1093/ije/dyv080

18. Verbanck M, Chen CY, Neale B, Do R. Detection of widespread horizontal pleiotropy in causal relationships inferred from Mendelian randomization between complex traits and diseases. *Nat Genet*. (2018) 50:693–8. doi: 10.1038/s41588-018-0099-7

19. Qin H, Yang F, Hao P, Zhang X. Gut microbiota and cerebrovascular diseases: a Mendelian randomization study. *Front Microbiol.* (2023) 14:1228815. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2023.1228815

20. Sheu JJ, Kang JH, Lou HY, Lin HC. Reflux esophagitis and the risk of stroke in young adults: a 1-year population-based follow-up study. *Stroke*. (2010) 41:2033–7. doi: 10.1161/STROKEAHA.110.588558

21. Jansson C, Nordenstedt H, Wallander MA, Johansson S, Johnsen R, Hveem K, et al. Severe symptoms of gastro-oesophageal reflux disease are associated with cardiovascular disease and other gastrointestinal symptoms, but not diabetes: a population-based study. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther.* (2008) 27:58–65. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2036.2007.03537.x

22. Kurata JH, Nogawa AN. Meta-analysis of risk factors for peptic ulcer. Nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs, *Helicobacter pylori*, and smoking. *J Clin Gastroenterol*. (1997) 24:2–17. doi: 10.1097/00004836-199701000-00002

23. Metz DC, Kroser JA. Helicobacter pylori and gastroesophageal reflux disease. Gastroenterol Clin N Am. (1999) 28:971-85. doi: 10.1016/S0889-8553(05)70100-X

24. Ishiki K, Mizuno M, Take S, Nagahara Y, Yoshida T, Yamamoto K, et al. *Helicobacter pylori* eradication improves pre-existing reflux esophagitis in patients with duodenal ulcer disease. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol.* (2004) 2:474–9. doi: 10.1016/S1542-3565(04)00165-X

25. Sun L, Zheng H, Qiu M, Hao S, Liu X, Zhu X, et al. *Helicobacter pylori* infection and risk of cardiovascular disease. *Helicobacter*. (2023) 28:e12967. doi: 10.1111/ hel.12967

26. Shmuely H, Wattad M, Solodky A, Yahav J, Samra Z, Zafrir N. Association of *Helicobacter pylori* with coronary artery disease and myocardial infarction assessed by myocardial perfusion imaging. *Isr Med Assoc J*. (2014) 16:341–6.

27. Diomedi M, Pietroiusti A, Silvestrini M, Rizzato B, Cupini LM, Ferrante F, et al. CagA-positive *Helicobacter pylori* strains may influence the natural history of atherosclerotic stroke. *Neurology*. (2004) 63:800–4. doi: 10.1212/01. WNL.0000138025.82419.80

28. Pietroiusti A, Diomedi M, Silvestrini M, Cupini LM, Luzzi I, Gomez-Miguel MJ, et al. Cytotoxin-associated gene-A—positive *Helicobacter pylori* strains are associated with atherosclerotic stroke. *Circulation*. (2002) 106:580–4. doi: 10.1161/01. CIR.0000023894.10871.2F

29. Tamer GS, Tengiz I, Ercan E, Duman C, Alioglu E, Turk UO. *Helicobacter pylori* seropositivity in patients with acute coronary syndromes. *Dig Dis Sci.* (2009) 54:1253–6. doi: 10.1007/s10620-008-0482-9

30. Buzás GM. Metabolic consequences of *Helicobacter pylori* infection and eradication. *World J Gastroenterol.* (2014) 20:5226–34. doi: 10.3748/wjg.v20.i18.5226

31. Algood HM, Cover TL. *Helicobacter pylori* persistence: an overview of interactions between *H. Pylori* and host immune defenses. *Clin Microbiol Rev.* (2006) 19:597–613. doi: 10.1128/CMR.00006-06

32. Xiang Z, Censini S, Bayeli PF, Telford JL, Figura N, Rappuoli R, et al. Analysis of expression of CagA and VacA virulence factors in 43 strains of *Helicobacter pylori* reveals that clinical isolates can be divided into two major types and that CagA is not necessary for expression of the vacuolating cytotoxin. *Infect Immun.* (1995) 63:94–8. doi: 10.1128/ iai.63.1.94-98.1995

33. Palframan SL, Kwok T, Gabriel K. Vacuolating cytotoxin A (VacA), a key toxin for *Helicobacter pylori* pathogenesis. *Front Cell Infect Microbiol*. (2012) 2:92. doi: 10.3389/ fcimb.2012.00092

34. Pan KF, Formichella L, Zhang L, Zhang Y, Ma JL, Li ZX, et al. *Helicobacter pylori* antibody responses and evolution of precancerous gastric lesions in a Chinese population. *Int J Cancer.* (2014) 134:2118–25. doi: 10.1002/ijc.28560

35. Bergonzelli GE, Granato D, Pridmore RD, Marvin-Guy LF, Donnicola D, Corthésy-Theulaz IE. GroEL of *Lactobacillus johnsonii* La1 (NCC 533) is cell surface associated: potential role in interactions with the host and the gastric pathogen *Helicobacter pylori*. *Infect Immun*. (2006) 74:425–34. doi: 10.1128/ IAI.74.1.425-434.2006

36. Guo X, Tang P, Zhang X, Li R. Causal associations of circulating *Helicobacter pylori* antibodies with stroke and the mediating role of inflammation. *Inflamm Res.* (2023) 72:1193–202. doi: 10.1007/s00011-023-01740-0

37. Georgakis MK, Malik R, Björkbacka H, Pana TA, Demissie S, Ayers C, et al. Circulating monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 and risk of stroke: meta-analysis of population-based studies involving 17180 individuals. *Circ Res.* (2019) 125:773–82. doi: 10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.119.315380

38. Hong SI, Kim JS, Bae HJ, Kim WY. C-reactive protein for stroke detection in the emergency department in patients with dizziness without neurological deficits. *Front Neurol.* (2021) 12:662510. doi: 10.3389/fneur.2021.662510

39. Buck BH, Liebeskind DS, Saver JL, Bang OY, Yun SW, Starkman S, et al. Early neutrophilia is associated with volume of ischemic tissue in acute stroke. *Stroke*. (2008) 39:355–60. doi: 10.1161/STROKEAHA.107.490128

40. Kim J, Song TJ, Park JH, Lee HS, Nam CM, Nam HS, et al. Different prognostic value of white blood cell subtypes in patients with acute cerebral infarction. *Atherosclerosis.* (2012) 222:464–7. doi: 10.1016/j.atherosclerosis.2012.02.042

41. Mo X, Li T, Ji G, Lu W, Hu Z. Peripheral polymorphonuclear leukocyte activation as a systemic inflammatory response in ischemic stroke. *Neurol Sci.* (2013) 34:1509–16. doi: 10.1007/s10072-013-1447-0

42. Price CJ, Menon DK, Peters AM, Ballinger JR, Barber RW, Balan KK, et al. Cerebral neutrophil recruitment, histology, and outcome in acute ischemic stroke: an imaging-based study. *Stroke.* (2004) 35:1659–64. doi: 10.1161/01. STR.0000130592.71028.92

43. Crowe SE, Alvarez L, Dytoc M, Hunt RH, Muller M, Sherman P, et al. Expression of interleukin 8 and CD54 by human gastric epithelium after *Helicobacter pylori* infection *in vitro. Gastroenterology.* (1995) 108:65–74. doi: 10.1016/0016-5085(95)90009-8

44. Alvarez-Arellano L, Maldonado-Bernal C. *Helicobacter pylori* and neurological diseases: married by the laws of inflammation. *World J Gastrointest Pathophysiol*. (2014) 5:400–4. doi: 10.4291/wjgp.v5.i4.400

45. Xu Y, Wang Q, Liu Y, Cui R, Zhao Y. Is *Helicobacter pylori* infection a critical risk factor for vascular dementia? *Int J Neurosci.* (2016) 126:899–903. doi: 10.3109/00207454.2015.1081387

46. Consolazio A, Borgia MC, Ferro D, Iacopini F, Paoluzi OA, Crispino P, et al. Increased thrombin generation and circulating levels of tumour necrosis factor-alpha in patients with chronic *Helicobacter pylori*-positive gastritis. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther.* (2004) 20:289–94. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2036.2004.02074.x

47. Ross R. Atherosclerosis—an inflammatory disease. N Engl J Med. (1999) 340:115–26. doi: 10.1056/NEJM199901143400207

48. Loimaala A, Rontu R, Vuori I, Mercuri M, Lehtimäki T, Nenonen A, et al. Blood leukocyte count is a risk factor for intima-media thickening and subclinical carotid atherosclerosis in middle-aged men. *Atherosclerosis*. (2006) 188:363–9. doi: 10.1016/j. atherosclerosis.2005.11.021

49. Elkind MS, Cheng J, Boden-Albala B, Paik MC, Sacco RLNorthern Manhattan Stroke Study. Elevated white blood cell count and carotid plaque thickness: the northern Manhattan stroke study. *Stroke.* (2001) 32:842–9. doi: 10.1161/01.STR.32.4.842

50. Elkind MS, Sciacca RR, Boden-Albala B, Rundek T, Paik MC, Sacco RL. Relative elevation in baseline leukocyte count predicts first cerebral infarction. *Neurology*. (2005) 64:2121–5. doi: 10.1212/01.WNL.0000165989.12122.49

51. Madjid M, Awan I, Willerson JT, Casscells SW. Leukocyte count and coronary heart disease: implications for risk assessment. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* (2004) 44:1945–56. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2004.07.056

52. Cuomo R, De Giorgi F, Adinolfi L, Sarnelli G, Loffredo F, Efficie E, et al. Oesophageal acid exposure and altered neurocardiac function in patients with GERD and idiopathic cardiac dysrhythmias. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther*. (2006) 24:361–70. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2036.2006.02987.x

53. Chauhan A, Petch MC, Schofield PM. Effect of oesophageal acid instillation on coronary blood flow. *Lancet.* (1993) 341:1309–10. doi: 10.1016/0140-6736(93)90817-Z

54. Cunningham KM, Horowitz M, Riddell PS, Maddern GJ, Myers JC, Holloway RH, et al. Relations among autonomic nerve dysfunction, oesophageal motility, and gastric emptying in gastro-oesophageal reflux disease. *Gut.* (1991) 32:1436–40. doi: 10.1136/gut.32.12.1436

55. Orlando RC. Pathophysiology of gastroesophageal reflux disease. J Clin Gastroenterol. (2008) 42:584–8. doi: 10.1097/MCG.0b013e31815d0628

56. Tracey KJ. The inflammatory reflex. *Nature*. (2002) 420:853–9. doi: 10.1038/ nature01321

57. Töyry JP, Niskanen LK, Länsimies EA, Partanen KP, Uusitupa MI. Autonomic neuropathy predicts the development of stroke in patients with non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. *Stroke*. (1996) 27:1316–8. doi: 10.1161/01.STR.27.8.1316

58. Ko SH, Song KH, Park SA, Kim SR, Cha BY, Son HY, et al. Cardiovascular autonomic dysfunction predicts acute ischaemic stroke in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus: a 7-year follow-up study. *Diabet Med.* (2008) 25:1171–7. doi: 10.1111/j.1464-5491.2008.02567.x

59. Haley MJ, Lawrence CB. Obesity and stroke: can we translate from rodents to patients? J Cereb Blood Flow Metab. (2016) 36:2007–21. doi: 10.1177/0271678X16670411

60. Vilahur G, Ben-Aicha S, Badimon L. New insights into the role of adipose tissue in thrombosis. *Cardiovasc Res.* (2017) 113:1046–54. doi: 10.1093/cvr/cvx086

61. Pantoni L. Cerebral small vessel disease: from pathogenesis and clinical characteristics to therapeutic challenges. *Lancet Neurol.* (2010) 9:689–701. doi: 10.1016/S1474-4422(10)70104-6

62. Anand G, Katz PO. Gastroesophageal reflux disease and obesity. *Gastroenterol Clin N Am.* (2010) 39:39–46. doi: 10.1016/j.gtc.2009.12.002

63. Corley DA, Kubo A. Body mass index and gastroesophageal reflux disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Am J Gastroenterol.* (2006) 101:2619–28. doi: 10.1111/j.1572-0241.2006.00849.x

64. Yuan S, Larsson SC. Adiposity, diabetes, lifestyle factors and risk of gastroesophageal reflux disease: a Mendelian randomization study. *Eur J Epidemiol.* (2022) 37:747–54. doi: 10.1007/s10654-022-00842-z

65. Baynes JW, Thorpe SR. Role of oxidative stress in diabetic complications: a new perspective on an old paradigm. *Diabetes*. (1999) 48:1–9. doi: 10.2337/diabetes.48.1.1

66. Schmidt AM, Yan SD, Wautier JL, Stern D. Activation of receptor for advanced glycation end products: a mechanism for chronic vascular dysfunction in diabetic vasculopathy and atherosclerosis. *Circ Res.* (1999) 84:489–97. doi: 10.1161/01. RES.84.5.489

67. Idris I, Gray S, Donnelly R. Protein kinase C activation: isozyme-specific effects on metabolism and cardiovascular complications in diabetes. *Diabetologia*. (2001) 44:659–73. doi: 10.1007/s001250051675

68. Morita-Tsuzuki Y, Hardebo JE, Bouskela E. Inhibition of nitric oxide synthase attenuates the cerebral blood flow response to stimulation of postganglionic parasympathetic nerves in the rat. *J Cereb Blood Flow Metab.* (1993) 13:993–7. doi: 10.1038/jcbfm.1993.124

69. Morita-Tsuzuki Y, Hardebo JE, Bouskela E. Interaction between cerebrovascular sympathetic, parasympathetic and sensory nerves in blood flow regulation. *J Vasc Res.* (1993) 30:263–71. doi: 10.1159/000159005

70. Mayhan WG. Impairment of endothelium-dependent dilatation of cerebral arterioles during diabetes mellitus. *Am J Phys.* (1989) 256:H621–5. doi: 10.1152/ajpheart.1989.256.3.H621

71. Mayhan WG, Simmons LK, Sharpe GM. Mechanism of impaired responses of cerebral arterioles during diabetes mellitus. *Am J Phys.* (1991) 260:H319–26. doi: 10.1152/ajpheart.1991.260.2.H319

72. Karamitsos DT, Didangelos TP, Athyros VG, Kontopoulos AG. The natural history of recently diagnosed autonomic neuropathy over a period of 2 years. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract.* (1998) 42:55–63. doi: 10.1016/S0168-8227(98)00089-8

73. Wiesmann M, Zerbi V, Jansen D, Lütjohann D, Veltien A, Heerschap A, et al. Hypertension, cerebrovascular impairment, and cognitive decline in aged AβPP/PS1 mice. *Theranostics*. (2017) 7:1277–89. doi: 10.7150/thno.18509

74. Benjamin EJ, D'Agostino RB, Belanger AJ, Wolf PA, Levy D. Left atrial size and the risk of stroke and death. The Framingham Heart Study. *Circulation*. (1995) 92:835–41. doi: 10.1161/01.CIR.92.4.835

75. Di Tullio MR, Sacco RL, Sciacca RR, Homma S. Left atrial size and the risk of ischemic stroke in an ethnically mixed population. *Stroke*. (1999) 30:2019–24. doi: 10.1161/01.STR.30.10.2019

76. Gardin JM, McClelland R, Kitzman D, Lima JAC, Bommer W, Klopfenstein HS, et al. M-mode echocardiographic predictors of six- to seven-year incidence of coronary heart disease, stroke, congestive heart failure, and mortality in an elderly cohort (the Cardiovascular Health Study). *Am J Cardiol.* (2001) 87:1051–7. doi: 10.1016/S0002-9149(01)01460-6

77. Liu N, Ando T, Ishiguro K, Maeda O, Watanabe O, Funasaka K, et al. Characterization of bacterial biota in the distal esophagus of Japanese patients with reflux esophagitis and Barrett's esophagus. *BMC Infect Dis.* (2013) 13:130. doi: 10.1186/1471-2334-13-130

78. Pei Z, Yang L, Peek RM Jr, Levine SM, Pride DT, Blaser MJ. Bacterial biota in reflux esophagitis and Barrett's esophagus. *World J Gastroenterol.* (2005) 11:7277–83. doi: 10.3748/wjg.v11.i46.7277

79. Wang K, Wang S, Chen Y, Lu X, Wang D, Zhang Y, et al. Causal relationship between gut microbiota and risk of gastroesophageal reflux disease: a genetic correlation and bidirectional Mendelian randomization study. *Front Immunol.* (2024) 15:1327503. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2024.1327503

80. Ye X, Yu F, Zhou J, Zhao C, Wu J, Ni X. Analysis of the gut microbiota in children with gastroesophageal reflux disease using metagenomics and metabolomics. *Front Cell Infect Microbiol.* (2023) 13:1267192. doi: 10.3389/fcimb.2023.1267192

81. Burgess S, Thompson SGCRP CHD Genetics Collaboration. Avoiding bias from weak instruments in Mendelian randomization studies. *Int J Epidemiol.* (2011) 40:755–64. doi: 10.1093/ije/dyr036

82. Bennett DA, Holmes MV. Mendelian randomisation in cardiovascular research: an introduction for clinicians. *Heart*. (2017) 103:1400–7. doi: 10.1136/heartjnl-2016-310605