Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Paolo Ragonese, University of Palermo, Italy

REVIEWED BY Zhongrui Yan, Jining First People's Hospital, China Adria Arboix, Sacred Heart University Hospital, Spain

*CORRESPONDENCE Lin Song zzusonglin@163.com Yifeng Du

du-yifeng@hotmail.com RECEIVED 21 February 2024

ACCEPTED 26 June 2024 PUBLISHED 05 July 2024

CITATION

Li C, Wang J, Han X, Li Y, Liu K, Zhao M, Gong T, Hou T, Wang Y, Cong L, Song L and Du Y (2024) Development and validation of a diagnostic model for cerebral small vessel disease among rural older adults in China. *Front. Neurol.* 15:1388653. [doi: 10.3389/fneur.2024.1388653](https://doi.org/10.3389/fneur.2024.1388653)

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Li, Wang, Han, Li, Liu, Zhao, Gong, Hou, Wang, Cong, Song and Du. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [License \(CC BY\)](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

[Development and validation of a](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fneur.2024.1388653/full) [diagnostic model for cerebral](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fneur.2024.1388653/full) [small vessel disease among rural](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fneur.2024.1388653/full) [older adults in China](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fneur.2024.1388653/full)

Chunyan Li¹, Jiafeng Wang², Xiaodong Han², Yuanjing Li³, Keke Liu^{1,2,4}, Mingqing Zhao¹, Tao Gong^{1,2}, Tingting Hou^{1,2,4}, Yongxiang Wang^{1,2,3,4}, Lin Cong^{1,2,4}, Lin Song^{1,2,4*} and Yifeng $Du^{1,2,4*}$

1 Key Laboratory of Endocrine Glucose & Lipids Metabolism and Brain Aging, Ministry of Education, Department of Neurology, Shandong Provincial Hospital Affiliated to Shandong First Medical University, Jinan, Shandong, China, ²Department of Neurology, Shandong Provincial Hospital, Shandong University, Jinan, Shandong, China, 3Aging Research Center and Center for Alzheimer Research, Department of Neurobiology, Care Sciences and Society, Karolinska Institutet-Stockholm University, Stockholm, Sweden, 4Shandong Provincial Clinical Research Center for Neurological Diseases, Jinan, Shandong, China

Objectives: Cerebral small vessel disease (CSVD) visible on MRI can be asymptomatic. We sought to develop and validate a model for detecting CSVD in rural older adults.

Methods: This study included 1,192 participants in the MRI sub-study within the Multidomain Interventions to Delay Dementia and Disability in Rural China. Total sample was randomly divided into training set and validation set. MRI markers of CSVD were assessed following the international criteria, and total CSVD burden was assessed on a scale from 0 to 4. Logistic regression analyses were used to screen risk factors and develop the diagnostic model. A nomogram was used to visualize the model. Model performance was assessed using the area under the receiver-operating characteristic curve (AUC), calibration plot, and decision curve analysis.

Results: The model included age, high blood pressure, white blood cell count, neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio (NLR), and history of cerebral infarction. The AUC was 0.71 (95% CI, 0.67–0.76) in the training set and 0.69 (95% CI, 0.63–0.76) in the validation set. The model showed high coherence between predicted and observed probabilities in both the training and validation sets. The model had higher net benefits than the strategy assuming all participants either at high risk or low risk of CSVD for probability thresholds ranging 50–90% in the training set, and 65–98% in the validation set.

Conclusion: A model that integrates routine clinical factors could detect CSVD in older adults, with good discrimination and calibration. The model has implication for clinical decision-making.

KEYWORDS

cerebral small vessel disease, diagnostic model, blood pressure, inflammation, population-based study

Cerebral small vessel disease (CSVD) refers to as various pathologic processes affecting the small arteries, arterioles, capillaries, and probably venules of the brain [\(1\)](#page-8-0). The MRI markers for CSVD manifest as recent small subcortical infarcts, brain atrophy, white matter hyperintensity (WMH), lacunes, microbleeds, and enlarged perivascular space (EPVS) [\(2](#page-8-1)). As people age, CSVD is increasingly common. For instance, The prevalence of WMH affecting approximately 5% of individuals aged 50years and to almost 100% of individuals aged 90years [\(3](#page-8-2)). Similarly, the prevalence of CMB rises from 6.5% among individuals aged 45–50years to 35.7% among those of 80years and older ([4\)](#page-8-3).

In addition to older age, previous studies have suggested that CSVD is associated with conventional vascular risk factors such as smoking, hypertension, diabetes mellitus, dyslipidemia, and obesity ([5,](#page-8-4) [6\)](#page-8-5). Furthermore, a community-based study showed that CSVD was associated with higher white blood cell (WBC) count, neutrophil count (NC), neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio (NLR), and systemic immune-inflammation index (SII) ([7\)](#page-8-6). This supports the view that systemic inflammation is involved in the pathogenesis of CSVD ([8\)](#page-8-7).

CSVD has been associated with series of clinical sequelae, such as clinical stroke, cognitive impairment, and gait and balance disturbances ([9](#page-8-8)). It's reported that CSVD contributes to 25% of ischaemic strokes and most hemorrhagic strokes [\(9\)](#page-8-8). Furthermore, CSVD is the prime cause of vascular dementia, and contributes to up to 45% of all dementia [\(10\)](#page-8-9). Despite the substantial impact of CSVD on health, there has no available effective treatment. Thus, early detection of CSVD may provide the potential for preventive or therapeutic interventions to delay or prevent its clinical sequelae because most risk factors for CSVD are modifiable or clinically manageable. A large-scale population-based study of middle-aged people found that adding NC to the basic model of traditional vascular risk factors could significantly improve the accuracy of detecting CSVD [\(7](#page-8-6)). However, a simple, practical, and clinically useful model for detecting CSVD among older adults remains to be developed.

In this population-based study of older adults who were living in rural communities, we sought to (1) explore the possible risk factors associated with CSVD; (2) develop and validate a diagnostic model to detect CSVD; and (3) evaluate the clinical net benefits of the model.

Materials and methods

Study design and participants

The protocols of MIND-China and MRI sub-study were reviewed and approved by the Ethics Committee at Shandong Provincial Hospital in Jinan, Shandong, China. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants or proxies.

We used data derived from the baseline assessments of the Multimodal INtervention to delay Dementia and disability in the rural China (MIND-China) that is a participating project in the World-Wide FINGERS Network, as described previously [\(11,](#page-8-10) [12\)](#page-8-11). Briefly, the MIND-China study targeted people aged 60years and older and living in 52 rural communities of Yanlou Town, Yanggu County, western Shandong province, China. From March to September 2018, 5,765 participants were examined for MIND-China. Of them, 1,304 participants from 26 villages randomly selected from all the 52 villages accomplished the structural brain MRI scans.

MRI acquisition and processing

All participants underwent the brain MRI scans either on the Philips Ingenia 3.0T MR System (Philips Healthcare, Best, The Netherlands) in Southwestern Lu Hospital or on the Philips Achieva 3.0T MR System (Philips Healthcare, Best, The Netherlands) in Liaocheng People's Hospital. The MRI sequences included the sagittal 3D sT1-weighted, axial T2-weighted, sagittal 3D Fluid-attenuated inversion recovery (FLAIR) images, and axial susceptibility weighted imaging (SWI). The detailed parameters of the core MRI sequences have been reported previously [\(13\)](#page-8-12).

We assessed the following four MRI markers for CSVD. Cerebral microbleeds (CMBs) were focal, rounded hypodense lesions measuring less than 5mm in diameter on SWI. The CMBs was quantitatively acquired by AccuBrain® (BrainNow Medical Technology Ltd., Shenzhen, Guangdong, China) as described previously [\(14](#page-8-13), [15\)](#page-8-14). Briefly, CMBs were detected on SWI images via a fully connected network that was trained by deep learning technique. For a given SWI image, the network showed CMB location by exporting a probability map.

The visual assessment of lacunes, WMH, and PVS was performed by two well-trained raters (M.Z. for EPVS and J.W. for lacunes and WMH) according to the standards for reporting vascular changes on neuroimaging 1 (STRIVE-1) [\(2](#page-8-1)). The raters were blinded to participants' clinical data and under the supervision of an experienced clinical neurologist (L.S) and an experienced neuroradiologist (T.G.). Lacunes were rounded or ovoid lesions, 3–15mm in diameter, generally in the intensity of cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) signal on T2 and FLAIR sequences. The trained rater (J.W.) counted lacunes in each hemisphere on FLAIR sequence, and then added up the numbers of lacunes in bilateral hemispheres. WMH was defined as symmetrical hyperintense on T2 images in the brain white matter, and was evaluated in periventricular and deep white matter region according to the Fazekas scale ([16](#page-8-15)). We defined periventricular WMH (PWMH) and deep WMH (DWMH) following the "continuity to ventricle" rule ([17](#page-8-16)). The rater (J.W.) evaluated WMH on the slice with the most severe white matter lesions. We defined EPVS as small (<3mm) punctate (if perpendicular) or linear (if longitudinal to the plane of scan) hyperintensities on T2 images. We rated EPVS using the validated semiquantitative scale ([18](#page-8-17)). Briefly, the rater (M.Z.) visually counted bilateral EPVS in basal ganglia (BG) and centrum semiovale (CSO) on all slice, and categorized EPVS in BG and CSO according to the highest counts on the slice and hemisphere with the most EPVS.

Six months after the initial assessment, the rater re-assessed MR images of 200 randomly selected subjects for lacunes and WMH, which yielded the weighted **K** statistic of 0.84 for lacunes, 0.86 for DWMH, and 0.89 for PWMH. Similarly, three months after the initial assessment, the rater re-evaluated EPVS in MRI images of 30 randomly selected subjects, which yielded a weighted **K** statistic of 0.75 for BG-EPVS and 0.74 for CSO-EPVS.

Total CSVD score was assessed as previously reported ([19](#page-8-18)). One point was assigned for the presence of: (a) lacunes; (b) CMBs; (c) moderate-to-severe EPVS (>10) in BG; and (d) DWMH (Fazekas score 2–3) or PWMH (Fazekas score 3). We dichotomized the total CSVD score into the absence of CSVD (total CSVD score=0) and the presence of CSVD (total CSVD score \geq 1) [\(7\)](#page-8-6).

Data collection and definitions of candidate risk factors

In March–September 2018, extensive data were collected by trained staff through face-to-face interviews, clinical examinations or laboratory tests. According to the literature ([5](#page-8-4)–[8](#page-8-7), [10,](#page-8-9) [20](#page-8-19)), we selected the following candidate risk factors for CSVD: age, sex, education, body mass index (BMI), smoking, alcohol drinking, high blood pressure, pulse pressure, history of cerebral infarction, fasting blood glucose (FBG), low-density lipoprotein (LDL), WBC count, NC, NLR, and SII. Education was dichotomized into low education (primary school and below) and high education (middle school and above). Alcohol consumption and smoking status were categorized as current, former, and never drinking or smoking, respectively. Arterial pressure was measured as described previously ([21\)](#page-8-20), and high blood pressure was defined as systolic pressure≥140 mmHg or diastolic pressure≥90 mmHg. FBG and LDL was measured using an automatic biochemical analyzer (DIRUICS-600B; DIRUI Corporation, Changchun, China) [\(22](#page-8-21)). The automated blood cell analyzer (BC1800, Mindray Corporation, Shenzhen, China) was used for routine blood tests ([12\)](#page-8-11). NLR (neutrophil count/lymphocyte count) and SII (platelet count × neutrophil count/lymphocyte count) were calculated based on the absolute NC $(x10⁹/L)$, lymphocyte count $(x10⁹/L)$, and platelet $count(x10⁹/L).$

We defined clinical risk factors (i.e., high blood pressure, high pulse pressure, obesity, and high FBG) according to current clinical criteria, as described above. For laboratory measurements (e.g., LDL, WBC, NC, NLR, and SII), abnormal values were defined according to the optimal cutoff points determined using the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) analyses and Youden index ([Supplementary Table S1](#page-7-0)).

Statistical analysis

We performed the analyses among participants with complete data. We presented frequencies (%) for categorical variables and the median (interquartile range, IQR) for continuous variables. The Chi-square test or Mann–Whitney test was used for the comparisons of categorical or continuous variables, respectively. Univariate logistic regression analyses were performed to screen potential risk factors at the level of $p < 0.10$, and multivariable logistic regression analyses using backward stepwise approach was used to select independent risk factors associated with CSVD.

We assessed the model performance using discrimination and calibration. The discrimination refers to as the model's ability to distinguish between high- and low-risk participants and was assessed by calculating the area under the ROC (AUC) that ranged from 0.5 (no better than chance) to 1.0 (perfect discrimination) ([23\)](#page-8-22). The calibration was defined as the agreement between the predicted and observed probability. It was determined by Hosmer-Lemeshow test and calibration curve ([23](#page-8-22)), where people were evenly divided into ten groups based on decile of predicted risk, and the predicted probability

was plotted against the observed probability. A diagonal line with intercept of 0 and slope of 1 represented ideal calibration.

We assessed the clinical usefulness of the model using decision curve analysis (DCA), which compared the net benefit of using the diagnostic model vs. the strategy of assuming that all people were at high or low risk of CSVD ([24](#page-8-23)). Graphically, the line parallel to the x-axis was drawn to show no net benefit when assuming all people with low CSVD risk and no intervention given, whereas the solid black curve represented all people with high CSVD risk and received intervention. The DCA curve (black dotted curve) was drawn for the established diagnostic model, and the curve with the highest net benefit corresponded to a higher clinical value.

All analyses were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 26.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA) and Stata Statistical Software: Release 15.0 (Stata Corp LLC., College Station, TX, USA) for Windows. Two-sided *p*<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Characteristics of study participants

In 1304 participants accomplished the structural brain MRI scans, we excluded 112 participants due to suboptimal image quality (*n*=70) or missing data on clinical features and laboratory measures (*n*=42), leaving 1,192 participants for the current analysis. These participants were randomly divided into the training set (70%, *n*=847) and the validation set (30%, $n=345$). Figure 1 shows the flowchart of the study participants.

The median age of the 1,192 participants was 69years (IQR: 66–72years), 58.56% were female, and 80.37% had limited education (i.e., primary school or no school education) ([Table 1\)](#page-4-0). Out of these, 934 (78.36%) were presented with CSVD (i.e., total CSVD score \geq 1), including 659 (77.80%) in the training set and 275 (79.71%) in the validation set. There was no statistical significance between the two datasets with respect to all the examined variables [\(Table 1](#page-4-0)).

Independent risk factors for CSVD in the training set

Univariate logistic regression analysis suggested nine of the 15 candidate risk factors were associated with CSVD at the *p*<0.10 ([Table 2](#page-5-0)). These nine risk factors were then entered into the multivariable logistic regression model, and five risk factors, i.e., older age, high blood pressure, history of cerebral infarction, increased WBC count, and increased NLR, were independently associated with CSVD and selected for the final diagnostic model [\(Table 2](#page-5-0)).

Development of a nomogram in the training set

A nomogram was built based on the multivariable logistic regression [\(Figure 2](#page-5-1)). Each variable was assigned a weighted score based on odds ratio. A total score was generated by adding each weighted score of the risk factors, then the probability of having CSVD

was determined by projecting the total score to the total point scale. For example, a person aged 62years with a history of cerebral infarction, high blood pressure, WBC count of 7.0×109 /L, and NLR of 2.2 had a total point 19.4, representing approximately 92% probability of having CSVD [\(Supplementary Figure S1\)](#page-7-0).

Internal validation of the diagnostic model

The diagnostic model yielded AUC of 0.71 (95% CI, 0.67–0.76) in the training set (Figure $3A$) and 0.69 (95% CI, 0.63–0.76) in the validation set ([Figure 3B](#page-6-0)). Ten-fold cross-validation was performed in the training set, with the AUC being 0.69 [\(Figure 3A](#page-6-0)). The calibration plot showed a good agreement between the predicted and the observed probabilities of having CSVD in both the training ([Figure 3C](#page-6-0)) and the validation sets [\(Figure 3D](#page-6-0)), which was verified by Hosmer-Lemeshow test, with χ^2 being 10.50 ($p = 0.40$) and 10.36 $(p=0.41)$ for the training and the validation set, respectively, indicating no significant difference between the predicted and observed probabilities.

Decision curve analysis

We assessed the clinical utility of the nomogram using DCA. In the training set ([Figure 4A](#page-7-1)), the curve of applying the model crossed

the curves of treating all participants as having low and high risk approximately at threshold probability of 90 and 50%, respectively, suggesting that the diagnostic model had higher net benefits for risk thresholds between 50 and 90%. In the validation set ([Figure 4B\)](#page-7-1), the model had higher net benefits than the strategy assuming all participants either at high risk or low risk of CSVD for risk thresholds between 65 and 98%.

Discussion

Using data from a population of rural-dwelling older adults, we developed and validated a diagnostic model for detecting CSVD, and the model included age, high blood pressure, history of cerebral infarction, WBC count, and NLR. The AUC and calibration plot confirmed the model's relatively good discriminative ability and calibration. DCA metrics indicated the model had good clinical application value.

Our diagnostic model yielded AUC of 0.71 in the training set and 0.69 in the validation set, which indicated an acceptable discriminative ability. It has been reported that AUC values higher than 0.7 are generally considered fair and values higher than 0.9 excellent [\(25](#page-8-24)). To our best knowledge, little diagnostic models have been developed for CSVD. Only a hospital-based study reported a predictive model incorporating nine predictors, of which AUC of the model was 0.85 [\(26](#page-8-25)). Though higher than ours, most variables incorporated in the model were

TABLE 1 Characteristics of participants in the training and validation sets.

Characteristics	Total sample $(n = 1,192)$	Training set $(n = 847)$	Validation set $(n = 345)$	p value
Demographic factors				
Age (years)	69 (66, 72)	69 (66, 73)	69 (66, 72)	0.95
Female, n $(\%)$	698 (58.56)	495 (58.44)	203 (58.84)	0.90
Educational level, n (%)				0.91
Low (primary school or below)	958 (80.37)	680 (80.28)	278 (80.58)	
High (middle school or above)	234 (19.63)	167 (19.72)	67(19.42)	
Risk factors				
Body mass index $(kg/m2)$	24.90 (22.50, 27.10)	24.80 (22.70, 27.10)	24.90 (22.10, 27.35)	0.72
Current smoking, n (%)	242 (20.30)	169 (19.95)	73 (21.16)	0.64
Current drinking, n (%)	379 (31.80)	270 (31.88)	109 (31.59)	0.92
Systolic pressure (mmHg)	142 (130, 156)	142 (130, 156)	141 (130, 158)	0.97
Diastolic pressure (mmHg)	85 (79, 92)	85 (79, 92)	84 (79, 91)	0.24
Pulse pressure (mmHg)	56 (46, 67)	56 (46, 67)	58 (46, 68)	0.38
Cerebral infarction, n (%)	144 (12.08)	106(12.51)	38 (11.01)	0.47
Laboratory data				
FBG (mmol/L)	5.24 (4.89, 5.78)	5.23 (4.91, 5.78)	5.27 (4.84, 5.77)	0.79
LDL (mmol/ L)	2.67(2.31, 3.01)	2.66(2.29, 3.00)	2.70(2.33, 3.04)	0.40
white blood cell count $(x10^9/L)$	5.60 (4.90, 6.70)	5.60 (4.80, 6.70)	5.70 (4.90, 6.60)	0.63
Neutrophil count $(\times 10^9/L)$	3.40(2.80, 4.20)	3.50(2.80, 4.20)	3.40 (2.90, 4.20)	0.84
NLR	2.00(1.59, 2.55)	2.00(1.59, 2.56)	2.00(1.59, 2.52)	0.85
SII (×10 ⁹ /L)	402.00 (303.13, 529.98)	402.32 (302.64, 530.06)	401.75 (307.54, 527.85)	0.80

Data were median (IQR), unless otherwise specified. FBG, fasting blood glucose; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; NLR, neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio; SII, systemic immune-inflammation index: IOR, interquartile range.

unconventional indicators, which may limit the generalizability of the model, especially for rural-dwelling older adults. In addition, we use Hosmer-Lemeshow test to assess the model's calibration. It has been reported that Hosmer-Lemeshow test is susceptible to sample size, and with large sample sizes, a minor difference between the predicted and the observed risk could be identified to be statistically significant (i.e., false-positive results) [\(27\)](#page-8-26). Furthermore, Hosmer-Lemeshow test is powerless in detecting overfitting of predictor effects ([24\)](#page-8-23). However, calibration plot, another way to assess calibration which is preferred over the Hosmer-Lemeshow tests ([24\)](#page-8-23), confirmed a high coherence between predicted and observed probabilities in our study.

In this study, older age was the strongest contributing factor to our nomogram, consistent with previous studies [\(5,](#page-8-4) [6](#page-8-5)). High blood pressure was an independent risk factor for CSVD in the model. Longterm high blood pressure could cause endothelial dysfunction and subsequent blood–brain barrier (BBB) dysfunction that was a driving force leading to CSVD. Increased BBB permeability allowed the leakage of fluid and blood products into the perivascular spaces, leading to EPVS ([28](#page-8-27)). Moreover, chronic hypertension can cause structural alterations to cerebral small vessels (e.g., thickening of the vessel walls and narrowing of the lumen), thus, leading to chronic hypoperfusion and cerebral ischemic lesions [\(29\)](#page-8-28).

We identified two indicators of systemic inflammation, WBC count and NLR, that contributed to the diagnostic model for CSVD. Increased WBC count, a risk factor for arteriosclerosis, has been associated with coronary heart disease and stroke [\(30,](#page-8-29) [31](#page-8-30)). However,

very few population-based studies have investigated the association between WBC and CSVD. A community-based study showed middleaged people with CSVD had higher WBC count [\(7\)](#page-8-6). A Mendelian randomization study revealed that higher WBC count was associated with small vessel stroke [\(32](#page-8-31)). NLR has been a strong predictor of stroke and cardiovascular disease [\(33,](#page-8-32) [34\)](#page-8-33), but the relationship between NLR and CSVD remains poorly understood. Previously, CSVD was found to be associated with a higher NLR in one population-based study of middle-aged adults ([7\)](#page-8-6), but not in another community-based study and a hospital-based study of middle-aged and older adults [\(35](#page-8-34), [36](#page-8-35)). The discrepant findings across studies may be partly attributed to different characteristics of study participants (e.g., age, education, and settings).

The following potential mechanisms may underlie the associations of WBC and NLR with CSVD. Firstly, increased WBC could adhere to vascular endothelium, resulting in endothelial dysfunction and subsequent atherosclerosis and BBB damage ([7\)](#page-8-6). Secondly, a higher NLR indicates increased neutrophils or decreased lymphocytes or both. High neutrophils could release various inflammatory cytokines, triggering inflammatory cascades ([37\)](#page-8-36). In contrast, lymphocytes could be a healing promotor by secreting interleukin-10 [\(37](#page-8-36)).

The major strength of our study was the relatively large-scale sample that engaged rural-dwelling older adults in western Shandong Province, China, a sociodemographic group that has been rarely targeted in brain aging research. Our study also has limitations. Firstly, the model was developed and validated based on data from a single center, the external validation in the future would increase the

TABLE 2 Univariate and multivariate analysis of risk factors for CSVD in training set.

CSVD, cerebral small vessel disease; BMI, body mass index; FBG, fasting blood glucose; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; NLR, neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio; SII, systemic immuneinflammation index; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.

blood pressure) +4.2 (if with a history of cerebral infarction) + 0/2.7/7.1/10 (if age range of 60-64, 65-69, 70-74, and ≥75 years, respectively).

diagnostic model before (black) and after 10-fold cross-validation (gray) in the training set (A) and the validation set (B). Calibration curve of the model in the training set (C) and the validation set (D) of the presence of CSVD. Abbreviations: ROC, receiver operating characteristic curve

generalizability of the findings. Secondly, although the four MRI markers were used in previous studies for assessing the CSVD burden ([7,](#page-8-6) [19](#page-8-18)), we did not have additional MRI markers such as recent small subcortical infarcts and brain atrophy, which may underestimate the CSVD burden in older people.

As the majority of older adults with CSVD are clinically asymptomatic, early detection is crucial for effective interventions to prevent occurrence of catastrophic cerebrovascular events and cognitive consequences. Brain MRI is not cost-effective and clinically not feasible, especially in rural areas. Instead, the diagnostic model based on easily accessible variables could be simple and practical to identify CSVD at asymptomatic stage, thus assisting clinical decision-making with regard to the necessity for further MRI examination and prevention and therapeutic interventions to slow progression of CSVD and related clinical consequences. Further exploration of sensitive biomarkers for CSVD is essential, especially plasma biomarkers and genetic factors, which would help to improve model performance. Additionally, prediction model for CSVD from large scale longitudinal study to early identify those at high risk of CSVD is urgently needed.

Conclusion

In conclusion, we developed and validated a diagnostic model by integrating five easily accessible factors for detecting CSVD in rural older adults. The model with good discrimination, calibration, and clinical benefits has the potential to detect CSVD at asymptomatic stage, and thus, provide the potential for secondary interventions of CSVD and functional consequences.

Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

Ethics statement

The studies involving humans were approved by the Ethics Committee at Shandong Provincial Hospital in Jinan, Shandong,

China. The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. The participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

Author contributions

CL: Conceptualization, Validation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Software, Writing – original draft. JW: Methodology, Validation, Writing – review & editing. XH: Methodology, Software, Writing – review & editing. YL: Methodology, Validation, Writing – review & editing. KL: Investigation, Methodology, Writing – review & editing. MZ: Investigation, Writing – review & editing. TG: Investigation, Methodology, Writing – review & editing. TH: Data curation, Resources, Writing – review & editing. YW: Data curation, Resources, Writing – review & editing. LC: Data curation, Resources, Writing – review & editing. LS: Conceptualization, Data curation, Funding acquisition, Resources, Supervision, Validation, Writing – review & editing. YD: Conceptualization, Data curation, Funding acquisition, Project administration, Resources, Supervision, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. The MIND-China Project was financially supported by the STI2030- Major Project (Grant nos.: 2021ZD0201808 and 2022ZD0211600) and by additional grants from the National Key R&D Program of China (Grant no. 2017YFC1310100), the National Nature Science Foundation of China (grants nos. 82171175, 82011530139, and 82001120), the Academic Promotion Program of Shandong First Medical University (Grant nos. 2019QL020 and 2020RC009), and the Taishan Scholar Program of Shandong Province (Grant nos. ts20190977 and Tsqn201909182). This work was further supported by additional grants from the Nature Science Foundation of Shandong Province (Grant no.: ZR2020QH098), the Integrated Traditional Chinese and Western Medicine Program in Shandong Province (YXH2019ZXY008) and Shandong Provincial Key Research and Development Program (Grant no.: 2021LCZX03). The funding agencies had no role in the study design, data collection and data analysis, the writing of this article, and in the decision to submit the work for publication.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank all the participants in the MIND-China project as well as the MIND-China Research Group for their collaboration in data collection and management.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary material for this article can be found online at: [https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fneur.2024.1388653/](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fneur.2024.1388653/full#supplementary-material) [full#supplementary-material](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fneur.2024.1388653/full#supplementary-material)

Li et al. [10.3389/fneur.2024.1388653](https://doi.org/10.3389/fneur.2024.1388653)

References

1. Wardlaw JM, Smith C, Dichgans M. Small vessel disease: mechanisms and clinical implications. *The Lancet Neurology.* (2019) 18:684–96. doi: [10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/s1474-4422(19)30079-1) [s1474-4422\(19\)30079-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/s1474-4422(19)30079-1)

2. Wardlaw JM, Smith EE, Biessels GJ, Cordonnier C, Fazekas F, Frayne R, et al. Neuroimaging standards for research into small vessel disease and its contribution to ageing and neurodegeneration. *The Lancet Neurology*. (2013) 12:822–38. doi: [10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/s1474-4422(13)70124-8) [s1474-4422\(13\)70124-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/s1474-4422(13)70124-8)

3. de Leeuw FE, de Groot JC, Achten E, Oudkerk M, Ramos LM, Heijboer R, et al. Prevalence of cerebral white matter lesions in elderly people: a population based magnetic resonance imaging study. The Rotterdam scan study. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry*. (2001) 70:9–14. doi: [10.1136/jnnp.70.1.9](https://doi.org/10.1136/jnnp.70.1.9)

4. Poels MM, Vernooij MW, Ikram MA, Hofman A, Krestin GP, van der Lugt A, et al. Prevalence and risk factors of cerebral microbleeds: an update of the Rotterdam scan study. *Stroke*. (2010) 41:S103–6. doi: [10.1161/STROKEAHA.110.595181](https://doi.org/10.1161/STROKEAHA.110.595181)

5. Han F, Zhai FF, Wang Q, Zhou LX, Ni J, Yao M, et al. Prevalence and risk factors of cerebral small vessel disease in a Chinese population-based sample. *J Stroke*. (2018) 20:239–46. doi: [10.5853/jos.2017.02110](https://doi.org/10.5853/jos.2017.02110)

6. Hilal S, Mok V, Youn YC, Wong A, Ikram MK, Chen CL. Prevalence, risk factors and consequences of cerebral small vessel diseases: data from three Asian countries. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry*. (2017) 88:669–74. doi: [10.1136/jnnp-2016-315324](https://doi.org/10.1136/jnnp-2016-315324)

7. Jiang L, Cai X, Yao D, Jing J, Mei L, Yang Y, et al. Association of inflammatory markers with cerebral small vessel disease in community-based population. *J Neuroinflammation*. (2022) 19:106. doi: [10.1186/s12974-022-02468-0](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12974-022-02468-0)

8. Low A, Mak E, Rowe JB, Markus HS, O'Brien JT. Inflammation and cerebral small vessel disease: a systematic review. *Ageing Res Rev*. (2019) 53:100916. doi: [10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arr.2019.100916) [arr.2019.100916](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arr.2019.100916)

9. Wardlaw JM, Debette S, Jokinen H, De Leeuw FE, Pantoni L, Chabriat H, et al. ESO guideline on covert cerebral small vessel disease. *Eur Stroke J*. (2021) 6:CXI–CLXII. doi: [10.1177/23969873211012132](https://doi.org/10.1177/23969873211012132)

10. Taylor-Bateman V, Gill D, Georgakis M, Malik R, Munroe P, Traylor M, et al. Cardiovascular risk factors and MRI markers of cerebral small vessel disease: a Mendelian randomization study. *Neurology*. (2021) 98:e343–51. doi: [10.1212/](https://doi.org/10.1212/WNL.0000000000013120) [WNL.0000000000013120](https://doi.org/10.1212/WNL.0000000000013120)

11. Cong L, Ren Y, Wang Y, Hou T, Dong Y, Han X, et al. Mild cognitive impairment among rural-dwelling older adults in China: a community-based study. *Alzheimers Dement*. (2023) 19:56–66. doi: [10.1002/alz.12629](https://doi.org/10.1002/alz.12629)

12. Wang Y, Han X, Zhang X, Zhang Z, Cong L, Tang S, et al. Health status and risk profiles for brain aging of rural-dwelling older adults: data from the interdisciplinary baseline assessments in MIND-China. *Alzheimers Dement (N Y)*. (2022) 8:e12254. doi: [10.1002/trc2.12254](https://doi.org/10.1002/trc2.12254)

13. Song L, Han X, Li Y, Han X, Zhao M, Li C, et al. Thalamic gray matter volume mediates the association between KIBRA polymorphism and olfactory function among older adults: a population-based study. *Cereb Cortex*. (2022) 33:3664–73. doi: [10.1093/](https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhac299) [cercor/bhac299](https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhac299)

14. Ouyang F, Wang M, Liao M, Lan L, Liu X, Li H, et al. Association between periodic limb movements during sleep and neuroimaging features of cerebral small vessel disease: a preliminary cross-sectional study. *J Sleep Res*. (2022) 31:e13573. doi: [10.1111/jsr.13573](https://doi.org/10.1111/jsr.13573)

15. Shao P, Xu H, Sheng X, Qin R, Ma J, Luo Y, et al. Lobar cerebral microbleeds are associated with cognitive decline in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Front Neurol*. (2022) 13:843260. doi: [10.3389/fneur.2022.843260](https://doi.org/10.3389/fneur.2022.843260)

16. Fazekas F, Kleinert R, Offenbacher H, Schmidt R, Kleinert G, Payer F, et al. Pathologic correlates of incidental MRI white matter signal hyperintensities. *Neurology*. (1993) 43:1683–9. doi: [10.1212/wnl.43.9.1683](https://doi.org/10.1212/wnl.43.9.1683)

17. Griffanti L, Jenkinson M, Suri S, Zsoldos E, Mahmood A, Filippini N, et al. Classification and characterization of periventricular and deep white matter hyperintensities on MRI: a study in older adults. *NeuroImage*. (2018) 170:174–81. doi: [10.1016/j.neuroimage.2017.03.024](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2017.03.024)

18. Doubal FN, MacLullich AM, Ferguson KJ, Dennis MS, Wardlaw JM. Enlarged perivascular spaces on MRI are a feature of cerebral small vessel disease. *Stroke*. (2010) 41:450–4. doi: [10.1161/STROKEAHA.109.564914](https://doi.org/10.1161/STROKEAHA.109.564914)

19. Staals J, Makin SD, Doubal FN, Dennis MS, Wardlaw JM. Stroke subtype, vascular risk factors, and total MRI brain small-vessel disease burden. *Neurology*. (2014) 83:1228–34. doi: [10.1212/WNL.0000000000000837](https://doi.org/10.1212/WNL.0000000000000837)

20. Backhouse EV, Shenkin SD, McIntosh AM, Bastin ME, Whalley HC, Valdez Hernandez M, et al. Early life predictors of late life cerebral small vessel disease in four prospective cohort studies. *Brain*. (2021) 144:3769–78. doi: [10.1093/brain/](https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/awab331) [awab331](https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/awab331)

21. Liu R, Tang S, Wang Y, Dong Y, Hou T, Ren Y, et al. Self-reported sleep characteristics associated with dementia among rural-dwelling Chinese older adults: a population-based study. *BMC Neurol*. (2022) 22:5. doi: [10.1186/](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12883-021-02521-0) [s12883-021-02521-0](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12883-021-02521-0)

22. Wang Y, Li Y, Liu K, Han X, Dong Y, Wang X, et al. Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease, serum cytokines, and dementia among rural-dwelling older adults in China: a population-based study. *Eur J Neurol*. (2022) 29:2612–21. doi: [10.1111/ene.15416](https://doi.org/10.1111/ene.15416)

23. Alba AC, Agoritsas T, Walsh M, Hanna S, Iorio A, Devereaux PJ, et al. Discrimination and calibration of clinical prediction models. *JAMA*. (2017) 318:1377–84. doi: [10.1001/jama.2017.12126](https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2017.12126)

24. Wang QL, Ness-Jensen E, Santoni G, Xie SH, Lagergren J. Development and validation of a risk prediction model for esophageal squamous cell carcinoma using cohort studies. *Am J Gastroenterol*. (2021) 116:683–91. doi: [10.14309/](https://doi.org/10.14309/ajg.0000000000001094) [ajg.0000000000001094](https://doi.org/10.14309/ajg.0000000000001094)

25. Colin Cameron A, Windmeijer FAG. An R-squared measure of goodness of fit for some common nonlinear regression models. *J Econ*. (2017) 77:329–42. doi: [10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0304-4076(96)01818-0) [s0304-4076\(96\)01818-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0304-4076(96)01818-0)

26. Li N, Li YL, Li LT. Development and validation of a nomogram predictive model for cerebral small vessel disease: a comprehensive retrospective analysis. *Front Neurol*. (2023) 14:1340492. doi: [10.3389/fneur.2023.1340492](https://doi.org/10.3389/fneur.2023.1340492)

27. Kramer AA, Zimmerman JE. Assessing the calibration of mortality benchmarks in critical care: the Hosmer-Lemeshow test revisited. *Crit Care Med*. (2007) 35:2052–6. doi: [10.1097/01.CCM.0000275267.64078.B0](https://doi.org/10.1097/01.CCM.0000275267.64078.B0)

28. Klarenbeek P, van Oostenbrugge RJ, Rouhl RP, Knottnerus IL, Staals J. Ambulatory blood pressure in patients with lacunar stroke: association with total MRI burden of cerebral small vessel disease. *Stroke*. (2013) 44:2995–9. doi: [10.1161/](https://doi.org/10.1161/STROKEAHA.113.002545) [STROKEAHA.113.002545](https://doi.org/10.1161/STROKEAHA.113.002545)

29. van Dijk EJ, Breteler MM, Schmidt R, Berger K, Nilsson LG, Oudkerk M, et al. The association between blood pressure, hypertension, and cerebral white matter lesions: cardiovascular determinants of dementia study. *Hypertension*. (2004) 44:625–30. doi: [10.1161/01.HYP.0000145857.98904.20](https://doi.org/10.1161/01.HYP.0000145857.98904.20)

30. Hu ZB, Lu ZX, Zhu F, Jiang CQ, Zhang WS, Pan J, et al. Higher total white blood cell and neutrophil counts are associated with an increased risk of fatal stroke occurrence: the Guangzhou biobank cohort study. *BMC Neurol*. (2021) 21:470. doi: [10.1186/s12883-021-02495-z](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12883-021-02495-z)

31. Li J, Imano H, Yamagishi K, Tanaka M, Cui R, Muraki I, et al. Leukocyte count and risks of stroke and coronary heart disease: the circulatory risk in communities study (CIRCS). *J Atheroscler Thromb*. (2022) 29:527–35. doi: [10.5551/jat.60889](https://doi.org/10.5551/jat.60889)

32. Zhang XQ, Yang YX, Zhang C, Leng XY, Chen SD, Ou YN, et al. Validation of external and internal exposome of the findings associated to cerebral small vessel disease: a Mendelian randomization study. *J Cereb Blood Flow Metab*. (2022) 42:1078–90. doi: [10.1177/0271678X221074223](https://doi.org/10.1177/0271678X221074223)

33. Ferro D, Matias M, Neto J, Dias R, Moreira G, Petersen N, et al. Neutrophil-tolymphocyte ratio predicts cerebral edema and clinical worsening early after reperfusion therapy in stroke. *Stroke*. (2021) 52:859–67. doi: [10.1161/STROKEAHA.120.032130](https://doi.org/10.1161/STROKEAHA.120.032130)

34. Afari ME, Bhat T. Neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio (NLR) and cardiovascular diseases: an update. *Expert Rev Cardiovasc Ther*. (2016) 14:573–7. doi: [10.1586/14779072.2016.1154788](https://doi.org/10.1586/14779072.2016.1154788)

35. Costa AF, Zambrano M, Del Brutto OH. Relationship between the neutrophil-tolymphocyte ratio and silent cerebral small vessel disease in community-dwelling older adults. The Atahualpa Project. *Geriatr Gerontol Int*. (2017) 17:2637–9. doi: [10.1111/](https://doi.org/10.1111/ggi.13145) [ggi.13145](https://doi.org/10.1111/ggi.13145)

36. Chung D, Lee KO, Choi JW, Kim NK, Kim OJ, Kim SH, et al. Blood neutrophil/ lymphocyte ratio is associated with cerebral large-artery atherosclerosis but not with cerebral small-vessel disease. *Front Neurol*. (2020) 11:1022. doi: [10.3389/](https://doi.org/10.3389/fneur.2020.01022) [fneur.2020.01022](https://doi.org/10.3389/fneur.2020.01022)

37. Nam KW, Kwon HM, Jeong HY, Park JH, Kim SH, Jeong SM, et al. High neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio is associated with white matter hyperintensity in a healthy population. *J Neurol Sci*. (2017) 380:128–31. doi: [10.1016/j.jns.2017.07.024](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jns.2017.07.024)