## Check for updates

## **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED AND REVIEWED BY Jan Kassubek, University of Ulm, Germany

\*CORRESPONDENCE Donghui Song ⊠ donghuipsy@gmail.com

RECEIVED 17 February 2024 ACCEPTED 26 February 2024 PUBLISHED 14 March 2024

#### CITATION

Song D, Jann K and Wang DJJ (2024) Editorial: Methodological development and applications of nonlinear dynamic analysis for neuroimaging. *Front. Neurol.* 15:1387356. doi: 10.3389/fneur.2024.1387356

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Song, Jann and Wang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Editorial: Methodological development and applications of nonlinear dynamic analysis for neuroimaging

## Donghui Song<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Kay Jann<sup>3</sup> and Danny J. J. Wang<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>The State Key Laboratory of Cognitive Neuroscience and Learning, Beijing Normal University, Beijing, China, <sup>2</sup>IDG/McGovern Institute for Brain Research, Beijing Normal University, Beijing, China, <sup>3</sup>Laboratory of Functional MRI Technology (LOFT), Keck School of Medicine, USC Stevens Neuroimaging and Informatics Institute, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA, United States

### KEYWORDS

neuroimaging, nonlinear dynamic analysis, brain entropy, complexity, dynamic causal modeling (DCM), dynamic brain networks, fMRI

## Editorial on the Research Topic Methodological development and applications of nonlinear dynamic analysis for neuroimaging

The human brain is a complex system with non-linear spatiotemporal dynamics. High-level brain functions emerge from the complex interactions of neurons over a wide range of temporal and spatial scales, and evaluating nonlinear dynamics of the brain's signals offers a new perspective in both normal and diseased conditions. With the ongoing advancements in neuroimaging, there has been an increasing interest and research focus on the application of nonlinear dynamics analysis in recent years. Therefore, this Research Topic, "*Methodological Development and Applications of Nonlinear Dynamic Analysis for Neuroimaging*," is dedicated to the research of nonlinear dynamic analysis methods and application for neuroimaging. Specifically, the Research Topic delves into brain entropy and complexity, dynamic brain networks, and dynamic causal models (DCM), all within the broader context of nonlinear dynamics analysis.

Complexity metrics such as sample entropy have been extensively applied to the study of various brain functions and diseases, revealing patterns associated with cognitive functions and disorders. Liu et al. applied sample entropy of resting-state fMRI in classical trigeminal neuralgia (CTN) which is a common and severe chronic neuropathic facial pain disorder. They found increased sample entropy in the thalamus and brainstem, and decreased sample entropy in the inferior semilunar lobule in CTN compared to healthy controls (HCs). Moreover, thalamus sample entropy and neuropsychological assessments revealed a significant positive correlation. Liu et al. also used sample entropy as feature to the classification of CTN and HCs using machine learning, and have shown the potential utility of sample entropy alteration as a diagnostic marker for CTN (Liu et al.). Estimation of fMRI complexity can be influenced by many factors, such as signal time scale and sensitivity threshold, as well as the signal variations induced by head movements. Previous studies have made some efforts in understanding and evaluating these potential influences (1–3). In this Research Topic, Roediger et al. proposed an optimized multiscale sample entropy approach using a windowing approach to reduce motion effects and a process

for selecting analysis parameters. These findings emphasize the importance of addressing motion for the calculation of multiscale sample entropy (Roediger et al.).

Brain network analysis based on functional connectivity (FC) is widely used in the neuroimaging field and several large-scale brain networks have been consistently and repeatedly identified in the human brain, such as default-mode network (DMN), salience network (SN), and central executive network (CEN). While static FC (sFC) treats the whole timeseries as one state, dynamic FC (dFC) of brain networks provides more detailed information about dynamic state changes. Feng et al. applied sFC and dFC in amnestic mild cognitive impairment (aMCI) and Alzheimer's disease (AD) based on a triple network model, including the above-mentioned networks. Significant differences in sFC and dFC within the triple network were observed, with sFC and dFC capturing distinct characteristics of spontaneous brain activity in HCs, aMCI, and AD (Feng et al.).

Finally, while common fMRI task analysis using general linear models is able to identify brain areas responding to specific task conditions, they are unable to test non-linear interactions and dynamic changes within and between brain areas. DCM, however, facilitate the understanding of effective connections among different brain regions and estimate hidden neuronal states based on measured brain activity. Yang et al. identified the motor cortex, cerebellum, and visual cortex by using a general linear model during the tracking tasks with and without feedback and then employed a DCM and parametric empirical Bayes to quantitatively elucidate the interactions among the left motor cortex (ML), right cerebellum (CBR) and left visual cortex (VL). They found that the tracking task with visual feedback strongly affected the modulation of connection strength in ML  $\rightarrow$  CBR and ML $\leftrightarrow$ VL and the modulation of VL  $\rightarrow$  ML, ML  $\rightarrow$  ML, and ML  $\rightarrow$  CBR by the tracking task with visual feedback could explain individual differences in tracking performance and muscle activity, this study enhances our understanding of the mechanisms underlying human motor control (Yang et al.).

Overall, this Research Topic focuses on the methodological development and applications of nonlinear dynamic analysis for neuroimaging. These studies contribute to expanding the application of nonlinear dynamics analysis in neuroimaging and optimizing existing measurement methods. We anticipate that nonlinear dynamic analysis will serve as a robust tool, accelerating our understanding of complex brain functions and fostering its application in clinical translational research.

# Author contributions

DS: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. KJ: Writing – review & editing. DW: Writing – review & editing.

# Funding

The author(s) declare that no financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

# **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

## Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

# References

1. Jann K, Boudreau J, Albrecht D, Cen SY, Cabeen RP, Ringman JM, et al. FMRI complexity correlates with tau-PET and cognitive decline in late-onset and autosomal dominant Alzheimer's disease. J Alzheimer's Dis. (2023) 95:437–51. doi: 10.3233/JAD-220851

2. Wang Z, Li Y, Childress AR, Detre AJ Brain entropy mapping

using fMRI. *PLoS ONE.* (2014) 9:e89948. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.008 9948

3. Yang AC, Tsai S-J, Lin C-P, Peng CK. A strategy to reduce bias of entropy estimates in resting-state fMRI signals. *Front. Neurosci.* (2018) 12:398. doi: 10.3389/fnins.2018.00398