



OPEN ACCESS

APPROVED BY
Frontiers Editorial Office,
Frontiers Media SA, Switzerland

*CORRESPONDENCE
David Cotán
✉ d.cotan@pronacera.com

RECEIVED 24 January 2024
ACCEPTED 25 January 2024
PUBLISHED 09 February 2024

CITATION
del Amo LL, Durán-González E,
Ramírez-Tejero JA, Martínez-Lara A and
Cotán D (2024) Corrigendum: Study protocol
for FIBROKIT: a new tool for fibromyalgia
diagnosis and patient follow-up.
Front. Neurol. 15:1375764.
doi: 10.3389/fneur.2024.1375764

COPYRIGHT
© 2024 del Amo, Durán-González,
Ramírez-Tejero, Martínez-Lara and Cotán.
This is an open-access article distributed
under the terms of the [Creative Commons
Attribution License \(CC BY\)](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). The use,
distribution or reproduction in other forums is
permitted, provided the original author(s) and
the copyright owner(s) are credited and that
the original publication in this journal is cited,
in accordance with accepted academic
practice. No use, distribution or reproduction
is permitted which does not comply with
these terms.

Corrigendum: Study protocol for FIBROKIT: a new tool for fibromyalgia diagnosis and patient follow-up

Laura Lucena del Amo, Elena Durán-González,
Jorge A. Ramírez-Tejero, Antonio Martínez-Lara and
David Cotán*

Pronacera Therapeutics S.L., Seville, Spain

KEYWORDS

fibromyalgia, gut microbiota, oxidative stress, proteomics, mitochondria, metagenomics

A corrigendum on

[Study protocol for FIBROKIT: a new tool for fibromyalgia diagnosis and patient follow-up](#)

by del Amo, L. L., Durán-González, E., Ramírez-Tejero, J. A., Martínez-Lara, A., and Cotán, D. (2023). *Front. Neurol.* 14:1286539. doi: 10.3389/fneur.2023.1286539

In the published article, there was an error. The number of pain points was incorrectly reported.

A correction has been made to Introduction, paragraph number 1. This sentence previously stated:

“Traditionally, exploration of tender points was also used for diagnosis, establishing the threshold at a minimum of 11 out of 11 pain points, although this diagnostic procedure is becoming outdated.”

The corrected sentence appears below:

“Traditionally, exploration of tender points was also used for diagnosis, establishing the threshold at a minimum of 11 out of 18 pain points, although this diagnostic procedure is becoming outdated.”

In the published article, there was an error in [Figure 2](#) as published. A typographical error “Forth sample collection” instead of “Fourth sample collection” was erroneously written. The corrected [Figure 2](#) appears below.

The authors apologize for this error and state that this does not change the scientific conclusions of the article in any way. The original article has been updated.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

