#### Check for updates

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY Abdelali Agouni, Qatar University, Qatar

REVIEWED BY Muhammad Ammar Zahid, Qatar University, Qatar Loulia Bader, Qatar University, Qatar

\*CORRESPONDENCE Hao-fei Hu ⋈ huhaofei0319@126.com Zhe Deng ⋈ dengz163@163.com

<sup>†</sup>These authors have contributed equally to this work and share first authorship

RECEIVED 26 January 2024 ACCEPTED 12 April 2024 PUBLISHED 24 April 2024

#### CITATION

Wang L-x, Liu R-I, Zhou P, Hu H-f and Deng Z (2024) Nonlinear relationship between platelet count and 30-day in-hospital mortality in intensive care unit stroke patients: a multicenter retrospective cohort study. *Front. Neurol.* 15:1374159. doi: 10.3389/fneur.2024.1374159

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Wang, Liu, Zhou, Hu and Deng. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons

Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Nonlinear relationship between platelet count and 30-day in-hospital mortality in intensive care unit stroke patients: a multicenter retrospective cohort study

Lan-xiang Wang<sup>1†</sup>, Ren-li Liu<sup>2†</sup>, Pan Zhou<sup>2†</sup>, Hao-fei Hu<sup>3\*</sup> and Zhe Deng<sup>2\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Emergency Medicine, Shenzhen Luohu People's Hospital, Shenzhen, China, <sup>2</sup>Departemnt of Emergency Medicine, The First Affiliated Hospital of Shenzhen University, Shenzhen Second People's Hospital, Shenzhen, China, <sup>3</sup>Department of Nephrology, Shenzhen Second People's Hospital/The First Affiliated Hospital of Shenzhen University Health Science Center, Shenzhen, China

**Background:** Evidence of the relationship between platelet count and 30-day in-hospital mortality in ICU stroke patients is still scarce. Therefore, the purpose of this study was to explore the relationship between platelet count and 30-day in-hospital mortality among ICU stroke patients.

**Methods:** We conducted a multicenter retrospective cohort study using data from 8,029 ICU stroke patients in the US eICU-CRD v2.0 database from 2014 to 2015. Utilizing binary logistic regression, smooth curve fitting, and subgroup analyses, we examined the link between platelet count and 30-day in-hospital mortality.

**Results:** The 30-day in-hospital mortality prevalence was 14.02%, and the mean platelet count of  $223 \times 10^9$ /L. Adjusting for covariates, our findings revealed an inverse association between platelet count and 30-day in-hospital mortality (OR = 0.975, 95% CI: 0.966, 0.984). Subgroup analyses supported the robustness of these results. Moreover, a nonlinear relationship was observed between platelet count and 30-day in-hospital mortality, with the inflection point at  $163 \times 10^9$ /L. On the left side of the inflection point, the effect size (OR) was 0.92 (0.89, 0.95), while on the right side, the relationship was not statistically significant.

**Conclusion:** This study establishes an independent negative association between platelet count and 30-day in-hospital mortality in ICU stroke patients. Furthermore, a nonlinear relationship with a saturation effect was identified, suggesting that maintaining the platelet count around  $163 \times 10^9$ /L can reduce 30-day in-hospital mortality in these patients.

#### KEYWORDS

platelet count, ICU stroke patient, 30-day in-hospital mortality, nonlinear relationship, multicenter study

# **1** Introduction

Stroke, the second leading global cause of death and third in disability (1, 2), recorded 12.2 million incidents, 101 million prevalent cases, and 6.55 million deaths in 2019 (2). In the U.S., nearly 800,000 patients are affected annually, with a rising proportion of young stroke patients (3, 4). Despite recent progress in treatment, the global burden of stroke is rapidly increasing, posing challenges many countries cannot meet (1). In summary, stroke significantly impacts social economy and health (5), necessitating early identification of risk factors for poor patient outcomes.

While studies identify factors like age, obesity, diabetes, hypertension, heart disease, and stroke etiology affecting stroke outcomes (2, 6–8), intervening in these factors during a stroke is challenging. Thus, some studies focus on the relationship between laboratory biomarkers and stroke outcomes (9, 10), as these factors are more manageable.

Platelets, produced by 2-4 µm megakaryocytes, circulate for 7-10 days, primarily responsible for hemostasis and coagulation (11). Recent research links platelets not just to thrombosis but also other physiological and pathological processes. Studies associate platelet count and mean platelet volume with tumor metastasis (12, 13). Additionally, within the normal range, elevated platelet count correlates with increased arterial stiffness in young and middle-aged individuals (14). A retrospective analysis of 2056 intensive care units (ICU) patients associate thrombocytopenia with acute respiratory distress syndrome and death (15). In a prospective study of 84 critically ill patients, elevated platelet volume after ICU admission independently associates with higher in-hospital mortality (16). However, the association between platelet count and stroke outcomes lacks epidemiological evidence. Considering the unique physiological basis of critically ill patients, we speculate a decreased baseline platelet count before treatment may relate to poor stroke prognosis. High-quality multicenter data clarifying this relationship can offer reliable evidence for future studies.

Thus, we analyzed the eICU-CRD v2.0 to explore the impact of baseline platelet count on 30-day in-hospital mortality in ICU stroke patients.

# 2 Methods

#### 2.1 Study design

This study is a multicenter retrospective cohort, focusing on platelet count as the independent variable and 30-day in-hospital death in stroke patients as the dependent variable (dichotomized into death or survival).

### 2.2 Data source

The eICU Collaborative Research Database, a freely available multi-center database for critical care research. Pollard TJ, Johnson AEW, Raffa JD, Celi LA, Mark RG and Badawi O. Scientific Data (2018). DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/sdata.2018.178. Available from: https://www.nature.com/articles/sdata2018178. This database

comprises high-quality data from 200,859 patients admitted to ICU in 208 U.S. hospitals during 2014 and 2015. It includes various clinical information such as vital signs, nursing plans, disease severity, diagnosis, and treatment details (17). The data is accessible to the public after registration, with the collection process meeting the standards of the MIT Ethics Committee (No: 0403000206) and aligning with the 1964 Declaration of Helsinki.

#### 2.3 Study population

This study included 8,209 eligible individuals, determined by the following exclusion criteria: (1) non-stroke patients: n = 189,752 excluded; (2) age <18 years: n = 3 excluded; (3) ICU stay time <24 h or >30 days: n = 2,436 excluded; (4) missing in-hospital mortality data: n = 109 excluded; (5) missing platelet count: n = 246 excluded; (6) extreme values (three standard deviations above or below the mean) of platelet count: n = 104 excluded. After applying these criteria, 8,209 participants remained for the analysis. The participant selection process is illustrated in Figure 1.

#### 2.4 Variables

The platelet count was initially recorded as a continuous variable. Subsequently, it was categorized based on quartiles: Q1 (2.0– $172.0 \times 10^{9}$ /L), Q2 (173.0–215.0×10<sup>9</sup>/L), Q3 (216.0–264.0×10<sup>9</sup>/L), and Q4 (265.0–487.0×10<sup>9</sup>/L).

### 2.5 Covariates

The covariates were selected based on clinical experience and previous studies (18–21). The included variables were: (1) categorical variables: sex, ethnicity, acute respiratory failure (ARF), atrial fibrillation (AF), acute coronary syndrome (ACS), congestive heart failure (CHF), chronic kidney disease (CKD), chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), diabetes mellitus, gastrointestinal bleeding (GB), hypertension, sepsis, use of antiplatelet medications, anticoagulants, glucocorticoids, carbapenems, cephalosporins, vancomycin, and mechanical ventilation; (2) continuous variables: age, body mass index (BMI), hemoglobin concentration (Hb), serum creatinine (Scr), and Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation-IV score (APACHE-IV score). BMI was computed as weight (kg) divided by height (m) squared (kg/m<sup>2</sup>). Baseline parameters were collected within 24 h of ICU admission.

#### 2.6 Missing data processing

In the present study, the number of participants with missing data of sex, BMI, Scr and APACHE-IV score were 1 (0.01%), 99 (1.21%), 33 (0.4%), and 980 (11.94%), respectively. Multiple imputations handled missing covariate data (22), with the imputation model encompassing ethnicity, age, Hb, ARF, AF, ACS, CHF, CKD, COPD, diabetes mellitus, GB, hypertension, sepsis, use of anti-platelet medications, anticoagulants, glucocorticoids, carbapenems,



cephalosporins, vancomycin, and mechanical ventilation. The missing data analysis assumption was based on the missing-at-random assumption (MAR) (23).

# 2.7 Statistical analysis

Individuals were categorized into four groups based on platelet count quartiles. Continuous variables were presented as mean (standard deviation) for normally distributed data and median (range) for non-normally distributed data. Categorical variables were represented as numbers (%). To assess differences between platelet count groups, we employed one-way analysis of variance for normal distribution, the  $\chi^2$  method for categorical variables, or the Kruskal-Wallis *H* test for skewed distribution.

# 2.7.1 To analyze the independent linear relationship of the platelet count and 30-day in-hospital mortality

After collinearity screening (Supplementary Table S1: no covariates were excluded), three models were constructed using univariate and multivariate binary logistic regression, in accordance with the STROBE statement (24), to examine the relationship between platelet count and 30-day in-hospital mortality. The models were: (1) crude model (no covariates adjusted); (2) minimally-adjusted model (model I: adjusted for sex, age, and ethnicity); (3) fully-adjusted model

(model II: adjusted for sex, ethnicity, age, BMI, Hb, Scr, APACHE-IV score, ARF, AF, ACS, CHF, CKD, COPD, diabetes mellitus, GB, hypertension, sepsis, anti-platelet, anticoagulant, glucocorticoid, carbapenems, cephalosporins, vancomycin, and mechanical ventilation). Effect sizes with 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) were recorded. Adjustments for covariates were made when their addition to the model resulted in an odds ratio (OR) change of 10% or more (24). Subgroup analyses were conducted to assess result robustness. Platelet count was categorized by quartile, and p for trend was calculated to test continuous variable results and explore non-linearity. Additionally, potential unmeasured confounding between platelet count and 30-day in-hospital mortality was investigated using *E*-values.

### 2.7.2 Subgroup analysis

Subgroup analyses utilized a stratified binary logistic regression model across various subgroups (including sex, ethnicity, ARF, AF, ACS, CHF, CKD, COPD, diabetes mellitus, GB, hypertension, sepsis, and mechanical ventilation). Besides the stratification factor, each stratum was adjusted for all factors (sex, ethnicity, age, BMI, Hb, Scr, APACHE-IV score, ARF, AF, ACS, CHF, CKD, COPD, diabetes mellitus, GB, hypertension, sepsis, anti-platelet, anticoagulant, glucocorticoid, carbapenems, cephalosporins, vancomycin, and mechanical ventilation). Finally, interaction tests were conducted using the likelihood ratio test of models with and without interaction terms (25, 26).

# 2.7.3 To analyze the nonlinear relationship of the platelet and 30-day in-hospital mortality

Utilizing binary logistic regression models can sometimes be limited in addressing nonlinearity. To address this concern, we applied generalized additive models (GAM) and employed smooth curve fitting (penalized spline method) to further investigate the nonlinear relationship between the platelet count and 30-day in-hospital mortality. In the presence of nonlinearity, our approach involved initially determining the inflection point through a recursive algorithm. Subsequently, we established a two-piece binary logistic regression model on either side of the inflection point (27). The log-likelihood ratio test was then employed to identify the most appropriate model that describes the association between the platelet count and 30-day in-hospital mortality.

All results adhere to the STROBE statement (24). Analyses were conducted using statistical software packages R (R Foundation)2 and EmpowerStats3 (X&Y Solutions, Inc., Boston, MA). Statistically significant findings were determined at a threshold of p < 0.05 (two-sided).

### **3 Results**

### 3.1 Characteristics of individuals

The individuals' characteristics are summarized in Table 1. Analysis of 8,209 patients based on platelet count quartiles (Q1–Q4) revealed notable trends in various demographic and clinical features. Significantly higher 30-day in-hospital mortality was observed in the lowest quartile (p<0.001). Male sex demonstrated a significant prevalence in lower quartiles compared to higher ones (p < 0.001). Age exhibited a significant decrease across quartiles (p < 0.001), suggesting a correlation between older age and lower platelet counts. Ethnicity, however, did not significantly differ between quartiles (p = 0.177). Clinically, lower platelet quartiles were associated with lower BMI (p < 0.001), lower Hb (p < 0.001), higher serum creatinine (p < 0.001), and higher APACHE-IV scores (p < 0.001), indicating a potential connection between lower platelet counts and worse clinical status. Several comorbidities, including ARF (p < 0.001), AF (p < 0.001), CKD (p=0.049), and sepsis (p<0.001), exhibited significant differences between quartiles, suggesting that lower platelet counts may correlate with an increased risk of these complications. Notably, the usage of certain antibiotics like cephalosporins (p = 0.005) and vancomycin (p=0.004), as well as the need for mechanical ventilation (p<0.001), were higher in lower platelet quartiles.

Figure 2 depicts the distribution of platelet count, presenting a normal distribution within the range of  $2.0 \times 10^9$ /L to  $487.0 \times 10^9$ /L, with a mean of  $223.0 \times 10^9$ /L. In Figure 3, participants were categorized into two groups based on survival status. The platelet count distribution in the death group was notably lower, while the survival group exhibited a relatively higher platelet count.

#### 3.2 30-day in-hospital mortality results

Table 2 presents the 30-day in-hospital mortality, indicating a rate of 14.02% (1,151/8,209). Notably, participants in the lowest quartile Q1 exhibited the highest mortality rate at 18.37% (95% CI:

16.69–20.05%), significantly surpassing the mortality rate in the highest quartile Q4, which stood at 12.51% (95% CI: 11.08–13.93%). Q3 displayed an intermediate rate of 13.26% (95% CI: 11.79–14.76%), aligning between Q1 and Q4. A discernible downward trend in mortality rates is observed from lower to higher platelet count quartiles, as supported by the significant p for trend 0.0001 (see Figure 4).

# 3.3 Univariate analyses results using a binary logistic regression model

Supplementary Table S2 displays the outcomes of univariate regression analyses investigating the impact of various factors on 30-day in-hospital mortality. Gender showed no significant association with mortality, with females having similar odds (OR 1.01, 95% CI 0.89–1.14, p=0.8774) compared to males. Similarly, there was no substantial correlation between ethnicity and mortality (p > 0.05). Elevated age (OR 1.013, 95% CI 1.008-1.017, p<0.0001) and APACHE-IV score (OR 1.041, 95% CI 1.038-1.044, p<0.0001) significantly predicted higher mortality. Conversely, a reduction in Hb levels (OR 0.94, 95% CI 0.91–0.96, *p* < 0.0001) and a decrease in BMI (OR 0.99, 95% CI 0.98-1.00, p=0.0366) were associated with significantly higher mortality rates. Several comorbidities were linked to significantly higher mortality, including ARF (OR 4.51, 95% CI 3.94-5.16, p<0.0001), AF (OR 1.37, 95% CI 1.15-1.62), ACS (OR 1.87, 95% CI 1.45–2.40, *p* < 0.0001), CHF (OR 1.83, 95% CI 1.44–2.31, *p* < 0.0001), COPD (OR 1.52, 95% CI 1.17–1.99, *p* = 0.0019), diabetes mellitus (OR 1.41, 95% CI 1.19–1.66, *p* < 0.0001), and sepsis (OR 2.63, 95% CI 2.14–3.22, *p* < 0.0001). The use of glucocorticoids (OR 1.82, 95% CI 1.39–2.37, p<0.0001), carbapenems (OR 2.11, 95% CI 1.12– 3.97, p=0.0207), cephalosporins (OR 1.38, 95% 1.04-1.83), vancomycin (OR 2.56, 95% CI 2.00-3.29, p<0.0001), and mechanical ventilation (OR 7.27, 95% CI 6.35–8.31, p<0.0001) were associated with a higher mortality risk.

# 3.4 Multivariate analyses results using the binary logistic regression model

The clinical significance of increasing or decreasing platelet count by  $1 \times 10^{9}$ /L is considered limited. As depicted in Supplementary Table S3, the OR values for the crude model, Model I, and Model II are all 0.99. Therefore, in multivariate regression analysis, dividing the platelet count by 10 yields more practical clinical significance, as demonstrated in Table 3. In the crude model, an elevation in platelet count of  $10 \times 10^9$ /L corresponds to a 2.9% reduction in mortality (OR 0.971, 95% CI 0.963-0.979, p < 0.001). In the minimally adjusted model (Model I), where adjustments were made solely for demographic variables (age, sex, and ethnicity), an increase in platelet count of  $10 \times 10^9/L$  is associated with a 2.8% decrease in mortality (OR 0.972, 95% CI 0.964–0.981, p < 0.0001). In the fully adjusted model (Model II:adjusted for sex, ethnicity, age, BMI, Hb, Scr, APACHE-IV score, ARF, AF, ACS, CHF, CKD, COPD, diabetes mellitus, GB, hypertension, sepsis, anti-platelet, anticoagulant, glucocorticoid, carbapenems, cephalosporins, vancomycin, and mechanical ventilation), an increase in platelet count of  $10 \times 10^9$ /L is associated

#### TABLE 1 Baseline characteristics of participants (N = 8,209).

| Platelet (×10 <sup>9</sup> /L) | Q1 (2.0–172.0)   | Q2 (173.0–215.0)  | Q3 (216.0–264.0)  | Q4 (265.0–<br>487.0) | <i>p</i> -value |
|--------------------------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|----------------------|-----------------|
| Participants                   | 2036             | 2066              | 2036              | 2071                 |                 |
| Sex                            |                  |                   |                   |                      | <0.001          |
| Male                           | 1,284 (63.06%)   | 1,142 (55.28%)    | 1,014 (49.80%)    | 828 (39.98%)         |                 |
| Female                         | 752 (36.94%)     | 924 (44.72%)      | 1,022 (50.20%)    | 1,243 (60.02%)       |                 |
| Ethnicity                      |                  |                   |                   |                      | 0.177           |
| Caucasian                      | 1,542 (75.74%)   | 1,554 (75.22%)    | 1,467 (72.05%)    | 1,531 (73.93%)       |                 |
| African American               | 255 (12.52%)     | 244 (11.81%)      | 273 (13.41%)      | 284 (13.71%)         |                 |
| Hispanic                       | 94 (4.62%)       | 90 (4.36%)        | 117 (5.75%)       | 99 (4.78%)           |                 |
| Asian                          | 39 (1.92%)       | 50 (2.42%)        | 43 (2.11%)        | 40 (1.93%)           |                 |
| Other/unknown                  | 106 (5.21%)      | 128 (6.20%)       | 136 (6.68%)       | 117 (5.65%)          |                 |
| Age (years)                    | 69.34±13.97      | $67.85 \pm 14.61$ | $66.30 \pm 14.80$ | $64.34 \pm 15.75$    | < 0.001         |
| BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )       | 26.9 (23.2–31.3) | 27.2 (23.6–31.7)  | 27.6 (23.9–32.4)  | 27.4 (23.2–32.4)     | <0.001          |
| Hb (g/dL)                      | $12.40 \pm 2.41$ | $13.10 \pm 2.20$  | 12.99±2.22        | $12.50 \pm 2.36$     | < 0.001         |
| Scr (mg/dL)                    | 1.02 (0.79–1.40) | 0.94 (0.75-1.24)  | 0.93 (0.73-1.21)  | 0.90 (0.70-1.20)     | < 0.001         |
| APACHE-IV score                | 56.0 (42.0-75.0) | 50.0 (38.0-66.0)  | 50.0 (35.0-68.0)  | 51.0 (37.0-70.0)     | < 0.001         |
| Comorbid conditions            |                  |                   |                   |                      |                 |
| ARF                            | 413 (20.28%)     | 314 (15.20%)      | 367 (18.03%)      | 433 (20.91%)         | < 0.001         |
| AF                             | 319 (15.67%)     | 275 (13.31%)      | 222 (10.90%)      | 237 (11.44%)         | <0.001          |
| ACS                            | 92 (4.52%)       | 84 (4.07%)        | 96 (4.72%)        | 102 (4.93%)          | 0.590           |
| CHF                            | 126 (6.19%)      | 107 (5.18%)       | 99 (4.86%)        | 99 (4.78%)           | 0.160           |
| CKD                            | 44 (2.16%)       | 34 (1.65%)        | 23 (1.13%)        | 28 (1.35%)           | 0.049           |
| COPD                           | 87 (4.27%)       | 101 (4.89%)       | 83 (4.08%)        | 97 (4.68%)           | 0.576           |
| Diabetes mellitus              | 280 (13.75%)     | 265 (12.83%)      | 274 (13.46%)      | 282 (13.62%)         | 0.828           |
| GB                             | 77 (3.78%)       | 49 (2.37%)        | 52 (2.55%)        | 51 (2.46%)           | 0.019           |
| Hypertension                   | 613 (30.11%)     | 706 (34.17%)      | 655 (32.17%)      | 666 (32.16%)         | 0.051           |
| Sepsis                         | 167 (8.20%)      | 102 (4.94%)       | 107 (5.26%)       | 140 (6.76%)          | <0.001          |
| Treatment                      |                  |                   |                   |                      |                 |
| Antiplatelet                   | 130 (6.39%)      | 122 (5.91%)       | 107 (5.26%)       | 139 (6.71%)          | 0.229           |
| Anticoagulant                  | 40 (1.96%)       | 44 (2.13%)        | 41 (2.01%)        | 40 (1.93%)           | 0.971           |
| Glucocorticoid                 | 83 (4.08%)       | 77 (3.73%)        | 79 (3.88%)        | 97 (4.68%)           | 0.426           |
| Carbapenems                    | 14 (0.69%)       | 11 (0.53%)        | 10 (0.49%)        | 16 (0.77%)           | 0.629           |
| Cephalosporins                 | 112 (5.50%)      | 75 (3.63%)        | 73 (3.59%)        | 81 (3.91%)           | 0.005           |
| Vancomycin                     | 109 (5.35%)      | 73 (3.53%)        | 70 (3.44%)        | 75 (3.62%)           | 0.004           |
| Mechanical ventilation         | 613 (30.11%)     | 486 (23.52%)      | 511 (25.10%)      | 558 (26.94%)         | <0.001          |
| Mortality                      |                  |                   |                   |                      | <0.001          |
| No                             | 1,662 (81.63%)   | 1818 (88.00%)     | 1766 (86.74%)     | 1812 (87.49%)        |                 |
| Yes                            | 374 (18.37%)     | 248 (12.00%)      | 270 (13.26%)      | 259 (12.51%)         |                 |

Categorical data are expressed as n (%). Continuous data are expressed as mean  $\pm$  SD or median (Q1–Q3). BMI, body mass index; Hb, hemoglobin; Scr, creatinine; APACHE-IV score, Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation-IV score; ARF, acute respiratory failure; AF, atrial fibrillation; ACS, acute coronary syndrome; CHF, congestive heart failure; CKD, chronic kidney disease; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; GB, gastrointestinal bleeding; mortality, 30 day in-hospital mortality.

with a 2.5% decrease in mortality (OR=0.975, 95% CI: 0.966–0.984, p < 0.0001). Significantly, in all three models, the mortality risk of Q2, Q3, and Q4 was lower than that in Q1, and the dose-response relationship remained significant (all p for trend <0.05). Additionally, we computed an *E*-value to evaluate sensitivity to

unmeasured confounding, which was determined to be 1.21. This value, exceeding the relative risk of unmeasured confounders and platelet count, indicates that unknown or unmeasured confounders had minimal impact on the relationship between platelet count and 30-day in-hospital mortality.

#### 3.5 Subgroup analysis results

We designated sex, ethnicity, ARF, AF, ACS, CHF, CKD, COPD, diabetes mellitus, GB, hypertension, sepsis, anticoagulant, glucocorticoid, carbapenems, cephalosporins, vancomycin, antiplatelet and mechanical ventilation as predetermined effect modifiers. Our objective was to observe the stability of the association between platelet count and 30-day in-hospital mortality across various subgroups. Table 4 displays the outcomes of interaction analyses and subgroup analyses exploring the connection between platelet count and 30-day in-hospital mortality. Our findings indicate no significant interaction between sex, ethnicity, ARF, AF, ACS, CHF, CKD, COPD, diabetes mellitus, GB, hypertension, sepsis, anticoagulant, glucocorticoid, carbapenems, cephalosporins, vancomycin, mechanical ventilation across all



FIGURE 2

Distribution of platelet count. It displayed a nearly normal distribution ranging from  $2 \times 10^9$ /L to  $487 \times 10^9$ /L, with an mean of  $223 \times 10^9$ /L.

pre-specified or exploratory evaluation subgroups (all *p* for interaction >0.05). However, significant interactions were observed within the subgroup receiving antiplatelet therapy. Specifically, within the antiplatelet treatment group, a stronger correlation was noted between platelet count and 30-day in-hospital mortality (OR = 0.994, 95% CI: 0.990–0.997), whereas the correlation was comparatively weaker in patients not receiving antiplatelet therapy (OR = 0.998, 95% CI: 0.997–0.999).

# 3.6 Addressing nonlinearity with generalized additive models

In this study, we employed GAM to explore potential nonlinearities in the relationship between platelet count and 30-day in-hospital mortality (refer to Figure 5). Following adjustments for various factors such as sex, ethnicity, age, BMI, Hb, Scr, APACHE-IV score, ARF, AF, ACS, CHF, CKD, COPD, diabetes mellitus, GB, hypertension, sepsis, anti-platelet, anticoagulant, glucocorticoid, carbapenems, cephalosporins, vancomycin, and mechanical ventilation, a significant nonlinear association emerged (Log-likelihood ratio test p < 0.0001). Table 5 outlines the outcomes of a two-piecewise linear regression model examining the link between platelet count and 30-day in-hospital mortality. The model identified an inflection point at  $163 \times 10^9$ /L platelet count. Below this threshold, an increase in platelet count of  $10 \times 10^{9}$ /L is associated with a 8% decrease in mortality (OR 0.92, 95% CI 0.89-0.95, p<0.0001). However, beyond  $163 \times 10^{9}$ /L, the mortality risk plateaued, showing no significant change (OR 0.99, 95% CI 0.98-1.00, p=0.1169). The log-likelihood ratio test comparing the two models was highly significant (p < 0.001), indicating that the two-piecewise model better captured the data.



Platelet count levels in the survival and death groups. The figure illustrates lower platelet counts in the death group compared to the relative platelet distribution in the survival group.

| Platelet<br>count<br>(×10 <sup>9</sup> /L) | Participants<br>(n) | Mortality<br>events | Incidence of<br>mortality (95%<br>CI) (%) |
|--|---------------------|---------------------|---|
| Total                                      | 8,209               | 1,151               | 14.02 (13.27, 14.77)                      |
| Q1   | 2,036               | 374                 | 18.37 (16.69, 20.05)                      |
| Q2   | 2,066               | 248                 | 12.00 (10.60, 13.40)                      |
| Q3   | 2,036               | 270                 | 13.26 (11.79, 14.76)                      |
| Q4   | 2,071               | 259                 | 12.51 (11.08, 13.93)                      |
| <i>p</i> for trend                         |                     |                     | 0.0001                                    |

#### TABLE 2 30 day in-hospital mortality.



TABLE 3 Relationship between platelet and 30-day in-hospital mortality in different models.

| Exposure                | Crude<br>model (OR,<br>95% CI, <i>p</i> ) | Model<br>I (OR, 95%<br>CI, <i>p</i> ) | Model II<br>(OR, 95%<br>CI, <i>p</i> ) |
|-------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|--|
| Platelet count/10       | 0.971 (0.963,                             | 0.972 (0.964,                         | 0.975 (0.966,                          |
|                         | 0.979) <0.0001                            | 0.981) <0.0001                        | 0.984) <0.0001                         |
| Platelet count (quartil | e)  |                                       |  |
| Q1                      | Ref.                                      | Ref.                                  | Ref.                                   |
| Q2                      | 0.606 (0.510,                             | 0.610 (0.512,                         | 0.700 (0.574,                          |
|                         | 0.721) <0.0001                            | 0.726) <0.0001                        | 0.853) 0.0004                          |
| Q3                      | 0.679 (0.573,                             | 0.692 (0.583,                         | 0.774 (0.637,                          |
|                         | 0.806) <0.0001                            | 0.823) <0.0001                        | 0.941) 0.0102                          |
| Q4                      | 0.635 (0.535,                             | 0.666 (0.559,                         | 0.649 (0.533,                          |
|                         | 0.754) <0.0001                            | 0.795) <0.0001                        | 0.792) <0.0001                         |
| <i>p</i> for trend      | <0.0001                                   | < 0.0001                              | 0.0001                                 |

CI, confidence interval. Model I adjusted for age, sex, and ethnicity. Model II adjusted for adjusted age, sex, and ethnicity, BMI, Hb, Scr, APACHE-IV score, ARF, AF, ACS, CHF, CKD, COPD, diabetes mellitus, GB, hypertension, sepsis, anti-platelet, anticoagulant, glucocorticoid, carbapenems, cephalosporins, vancomycin, and mechanical ventilation.

# 4 Discussion

This study utilized multicenter eICU-CRDv2.0 data to explore the association between platelet count and 30-day in-hospital mortality among ICU stroke patients. The findings indicate that higher platelet

count are linked to a significantly reduced risk of mortality. Furthermore, this relationship remains consistent across various subgroups. Notably, a threshold effect curve reveals distinct correlations between platelet count and 30-day in-hospital mortality on either side of the inflection point. Below  $163 \times 10^{9}$ /L, an increase in platelet count of  $10 \times 10^{9}$ /L is associated with a 8% decrease in mortality. Beyond  $163 \times 10^{9}$ /L, the increase in platelet levels ceases to significantly impact mortality.

The association between platelet count and adverse outcomes in critically ill patients has garnered increased attention. Jonsson et al. (28), in a cohort study involving 215,098 ICU patients, discovered that thrombocytopenia was linked to elevated mortality. Anthon et al. (29), in a prospective cohort study spanning 52 ICUs across 10 countries with 1,166 patients, observed that 43% of critically ill patients developed thrombocytopenia, leading to worse outcomes, including increased mortality. In a retrospective study involving 167 COVID-19 ICU patients, Zhu et al. (30) revealed that thrombocytopenia not only correlated with respiratory function deterioration but also increased mortality in severe COVID-19 cases. These studies underscore platelet count as a crucial prognostic indicator for critically ill ICU patients. Notably, Lillemäe et al. (31) multicenter retrospective study, encompassing 4,419 patients with traumatic brain injury, established that early thrombocytopenia was associated with an elevated risk of death in ICU-treated traumatic brain injury patients. This further emphasizes the impact of thrombocytopenia on the prognosis of neurological diseases. Despite this wealth of information, there is a noticeable gap in literature regarding the relationship between baseline platelet count and the 30-day risk of in-hospital mortality in ICU stroke patients. Consequently, prompted by these observations, we sought to explore the correlation between baseline platelet levels and the 30-day in-hospital mortality risk in ICU stroke patients.

This study not only established an association between low baseline platelet count and increased mortality in ICU stroke patients but also identified a saturation threshold effect. Initially, the authors examined the linear relationship between baseline platelet count reduction and the 30-day in-hospital death risk in ICU stroke patients through univariate and multivariate logistic regression analysis. A higher platelet count demonstrated a significant and independent correlation with lower 30-day mortality in a dose-dependent manner (p for trend 0.0001). Additionally, the stability of this relationship was confirmed through subgroup risk analysis. Furthermore, employing GAM and smooth curve fitting methods, the authors delved into the nonlinear relationship between platelet count and 30-day in-hospital mortality in ICU stroke patients. Clinically, this implies that among patients with severe thrombocytopenia, even modest increases in platelet count confer meaningful survival benefits. However, once the count surpasses approximately 163×109/L, additional increments cease to impact prognosis. Recognizing this nonlinear relationship holds significant implications for optimizing transfusion thresholds across various clinical scenarios. In summary, the two-piecewise regression model revealed a clinically informative inflection point in the platelet-mortality association.

Drawing on previous research, we posit that thrombocytopenia and the heightened 30-day in-hospital mortality share several underlying mechanisms. Firstly, platelets play a pivotal role in hemostasis and coagulation (11). A decrease in platelet count inherently escalates the risk of primary or secondary hemorrhage in vital organs, diminishing overall survival rates. In ICU settings,

#### TABLE 4 Results of interaction analysis and subgroup analysis.

| Characteristic    | No of participants | OR, 95% CI, <i>p</i>         | p for interaction |
|-------------------|--------------------|------------------------------|-------------------|
| Sex               |                    |                              | 0.4671            |
| Male              | 4,268 (51.99%)     | 0.998 (0.996, 0.999) 0.0009  |                   |
| Female            | 3,941 (48.01%)     | 0.997 (0.996, 0.998) <0.0001 |                   |
| Ethnicity         |                    |                              | 0.4252            |
| Caucasian         | 6,094 (74.24%)     | 0.998 (0.993, 1.002) 0.2878  |                   |
| African American  | 1,056 (12.86%)     | 0.998 (0.997, 0.999) 0.0001  |                   |
| Hispanic          | 400 (4.87%)        | 0.996 (0.987, 1.005) 0.3465  |                   |
| Asian             | 172 (2.10%)        | 0.996 (0.993, 0.999) 0.0042  |                   |
| Other/unknown     | 487 (5.93%)        | 0.994 (0.990, 0.999) 0.0130  |                   |
| ARF               |                    |                              | 0.2854            |
| No                | 6,682 (81.40%)     | 0.997 (0.996, 0.998) <0.0001 |                   |
| Yes               | 1,527 (18.60%)     | 0.998 (0.997, 1.000) 0.0098  |                   |
| AF                |                    |                              | 0.6977            |
| No                | 7,156 (87.17%)     | 0.997 (0.996, 0.998) <0.0001 |                   |
| Yes               | 1,053 (12.83%)     | 0.998 (0.995, 1.000) 0.0884  |                   |
| ACS               |                    |                              | 0.1930            |
| No                | 7,835 (95.44%)     | 0.997 (0.996, 0.998) <0.0001 |                   |
| Yes               | 374 (4.56%)        | 1.000 (0.996, 1.003) 0.8229  |                   |
| CHF               |                    |                              | 0.3824            |
| No                | 7,778 (94.75%)     | 0.998 (0.997, 0.999) <0.0001 |                   |
| Yes               | 431 (5.25%)        | 0.996 (0.993, 0.999) 0.0231  |                   |
| CKD               |                    |                              | 0.6163            |
| No                | 8,080 (98.43%)     | 0.997 (0.997, 0.998) <0.0001 |                   |
| Yes               | 129 (1.57%)        | 1.000 (0.992, 1.008) 0.9135  |                   |
| COPD              |                    |                              | 0.0562            |
| No                | 7,841 (95.52%)     | 0.997 (0.996, 0.998) <0.0001 |                   |
| Yes               | 368 (4.48%)        | 1.002 (0.997, 1.006) 0.4777  |                   |
| Diabetes mellitus |                    |                              | 0.4629            |
| No                | 7,108 (86.59%)     | 0.997 (0.996, 0.998) <0.0001 |                   |
| Yes               | 1,101 (13.41%)     | 0.998 (0.996, 1.001) 0.1392  |                   |
| GB                |                    |                              | 0.1559            |
| No                | 7,980 (97.21%)     | 0.998 (0.997, 0.999) <0.0001 |                   |
| Yes               | 229 (2.79%)        | 0.994 (0.989, 0.999) 0.0176  |                   |
| Hypertension      |                    |                              | 0.2474            |
| No                | 5,569 (67.84%)     | 0.997 (0.996, 0.998) <0.0001 |                   |
| Yes               | 2,640 (32.16%)     | 0.998 (0.997, 1.000) 0.0472  |                   |
| Sepsis            |                    |                              | 0.8016            |
| No                | 7,693 (93.71%)     | 0.997 (0.996, 0.999) <0.0001 |                   |
| Yes               | 516 (6.29%)        | 0.997 (0.995, 1.000) 0.0219  |                   |
| Anticoagulant     |                    |                              | 0.2001            |
| No                | 8,044 (97.99%)     | 0.998 (0.997, 0.998) <0.0001 |                   |
| Yes               | 165 (2.01%)        | 0.992 (0.984, 1.000) 0.0648  |                   |
| Glucocorticoid    |                    |                              | 0.8172            |
| No                | 7,873 (95.91%)     | 0.998 (0.997, 0.998) <0.0001 |                   |
| Yes               | 336 (4.09%)        | 0.997 (0.993, 1.001) 0.1459  |                   |

#### TABLE 4 (Continued)

| Characteristic         | No of participants | OR, 95% CI, p                | p for interaction |
|------------------------|--------------------|------------------------------|-------------------|
| Carbapenems            |                    |                              | 0.8486            |
| No                     | 8,158 (99.38%)     | 0.997 (0.996, 0.998) <0.0001 |                   |
| Yes                    | 51 (0.62%)         | 0.999 (0.985, 1.013) 0.8610  |                   |
| Cephalosporins         |                    |                              | 0.3281            |
| No                     | 7,868 (95.85%)     | 0.998 (0.997, 0.999) <0.0001 |                   |
| Yes                    | 341 (4.15%)        | 0.996 (0.992, 1.000) 0.0281  |                   |
| Vancomycin             |                    |                              | 0.6495            |
| No                     | 7,882 (96.02%)     | 0.998 (0.997, 0.998) <0.0001 |                   |
| Yes                    | 327 (3.98%)        | 0.997 (0.993, 1.000) 0.0610  |                   |
| Mechanical ventilation |                    |                              | 0.2229            |
| No                     | 6,041 (73.59%)     | 0.997 (0.995, 0.998) <0.0001 |                   |
| Yes                    | 2,168 (26.41%)     | 0.998 (0.997, 0.999) 0.0004  |                   |
| Antiplatelet           |                    |                              | 0.0298            |
| No                     | 7,711 (93.93%)     | 0.998 (0.997, 0.999) <0.0001 |                   |
| Yes                    | 498 (6.07%)        | 0.994 (0.990, 0.997) 0.0007  |                   |

ARF, acute respiratory failure; AF, atrial fibrillation; ACS, acute coronary syndrome; CHF, congestive heart failure; CKD, chronic kidney disease; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; GB, gastrointestinal bleeding; Mortality, 30-day in-hospital mortality.



where severe organ hemorrhage can be fatal, this risk is particularly pronounced. Secondly, reduced platelet count serves as an indirect marker of increased illness severity (32–36). Patients with lower platelet count often necessitate intensified medical interventions, such as heightened use of vasoactive drugs, renal replacement therapy, and mechanical ventilation. As corroborated by Table 1 in this study, the Q1 group exhibited elevated creatinine levels, higher APACHE-IV scores, and a greater incidence of complications like

TABLE 5 The result of the two-piecewise linear regression model.

| 30-day in-hospital<br>mortality             | OR, 95% Cl, <i>p</i>      |  |  |
|---|---------------------------|--|--|
| Fitting model by standard linear regression | 0.97 (0.97, 0.98) <0.0001 |  |  |
| Fitting model by two-piecewise regression   |                           |  |  |
| Inflection point of platelet count          | 163×10 <sup>9</sup> /L    |  |  |
| $\leq 163 \times 10^9/L$                    | 0.92 (0.89, 0.95) <0.0001 |  |  |
| >163×10 <sup>9</sup> /L                     | 0.99 (0.98, 1.00) 0.1169  |  |  |
| <i>p</i> for log-likelihood ratio test      | <0.001                    |  |  |

gastrointestinal bleeding, sepsis, and acute respiratory failure-all indicative of a heightened risk of mortality (all p < 0.05). Such patients are prone to extended ICU stays and elevated mortality risks. Furthermore, platelets are acknowledged as the first responders in innate immunity, engaging with pathogens, including bacteria and viruses, via various surface receptors (37-39). Operating as immune cells, platelets also interact with other immune entities like neutrophils, monocytes, dendritic cells, and lymphocytes (40). These factors suggest that patients with lower baseline platelet count may experience compromised immunity, elevating their risk of mortality. These factors likely contribute to the observed negative correlation between platelet count and 30-day in-hospital mortality on the left side of this study's inflection point. Conversely, on the right side of the inflection point, this relationship loses statistical significance, possibly owing to worsening patient conditions and heightened influence of other confounding factors. Therefore, the authors assert that maintaining an appropriate platelet count is pivotal.

Our study boasts several strengths that significantly contribute to the depth and reliability of our findings. Notably, its multicenter and relatively large sample size enhance the generalizability of our results. The novelty of our research lies in its focus on ICU stroke patients, a unique population that has not been extensively studied in this context before. Additionally, our exploration of non-linearity adds a nuanced layer to our understanding of the relationship between platelet count and 30-day in-hospital mortality, surpassing the scope of previous studies. The use of multiple imputations to handle missing data ensures the mitigation of potential bias, maximizing statistical power. We further bolster the robustness of our findings through meticulous subgroup analysis and the calculation of E values. Beyond the academic realm, the practical implications of our study are heightened by the cost-effectiveness and accessibility of platelet count as a general laboratory measure, underscoring its applicability in diverse clinical scenarios.

This work, however, has certain limitations. Firstly, our study focused exclusively on Americans, necessitating further validation across diverse geographic and ethnic groups to generalize the findings. Secondly, the observational nature of our study design precludes establishing definitive causality. Despite this limitation, we meticulously adjusted for confounding factors, ensuring robustness through subgroup analysis. The calculated *E*-value also indicates that unmeasured confounders are unlikely to significantly impact the results. Thirdly, the absence of stroke classification data in our database hinders a detailed exploration of the relationship between platelet count and specific stroke subtypes. Notably, our study exclusively included ICU-hospitalized stroke patients, warranting verification for those with milder strokes. These considerations underscore the need for incorporating more detailed variables in the design of future studies.

In summary, this study reveals a negative and nonlinear correlation between platelet count and 30-day in-hospital mortality among ICU stroke patients. Notably, a threshold effect is observed, indicating a significant negative association when the platelet count is  $\leq 163 \times 10^9$ /L. Conversely, when the platelet count exceeds  $163 \times 10^9$ /L, this relationship becomes statistically insignificant. These findings offer valuable insights for clinicians, suggesting that maintaining the platelet count around  $163 \times 10^9$ /L could potentially reduce 30-day in-hospital mortality in ICU stroke patients.

# Data availability statement

The data analyzed in this study was obtained from the electronic Intensive Care Unit Collaborative Research Database (eICU-CRD), the following licenses/restrictions apply: To access the files, users must be credentialed users, complete the required training (CITI Data or Specimens Only Research) and sign the data use agreement for the project. Requests to access these datasets should be directed to PhysioNet, https://physionet.org/, DOI: 10.13026/C2WM1R.

# References

1. Owolabi MO, Thrift AG, Mahal A, Ishida M, Martins S, Johnson WD, et al. Primary stroke prevention worldwide: translating evidence into action. *Lancet Public Health*. (2022) 7:e74–85. doi: 10.1016/S2468-2667(21)00230-9

2. GBD 2019 Stroke Collaborators. Global, regional, and national burden of stroke and its risk factors, 1990–2019: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden

# **Ethics statement**

Ethical review and approval was not required for the study on human participants in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. Written informed consent from the patients/participants or patients/participants' legal guardian/next of kin was not required to participate in this study in accordance with the national legislation and the institutional requirements.

# Author contributions

L-xW: Methodology, Writing – original draft. R-lL: Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Writing – original draft. PZ: Visualization, Writing – original draft. H-fH: Supervision, Writing – review & editing. ZD: Funding acquisition, Investigation, Project administration, Supervision, Writing – review & editing.

# Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. Shenzhen Science and Technology Program (JCYJ20180228163014668; KCXFZ20230731094100002). Shenzhen Second People's Hospital Clinical Research Fund of Guangdong Province High-level Hospital Construction Project (No.20223357005).

# **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

# Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

# Supplementary material

The Supplementary material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fneur.2024.1374159/ full#supplementary-material

of Disease Study 2019. Lancet Neurol. (2021) 20:795-820. doi: 10.1016/S1474-4422(21)00252-0

<sup>3.</sup> Mendelson SJ, Prabhakaran S. Diagnosis and management of transient ischemic attack and acute ischemic stroke: a review. *JAMA*. (2021) 325:1088–98. doi: 10.1001/jama.2020.26867

4. Katan M, Luft A. Global burden of stroke. *Semin Neurol.* (2018) 38:208–11. doi: 10.1055/s-0038-1649503

5. Feigin VL, Norrving B, Mensah GA. Global burden of stroke. *Circ Res.* (2017) 120:439–48. doi: 10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.116.308413

6. Powers WJ, Rabinstein AA, Ackerson T, Adeoye OM, Bambakidis NC, Becker K, et al. Guidelines for the early management of patients with acute ischemic stroke: 2019 update to the 2018 guidelines for the early management of acute ischemic stroke: a guideline for healthcare professionals from the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association. *Stroke*. (2019) 50:e344–418. doi: 10.1161/STR.00000000000211

7. Jacob L, Tanislav C, Kostev K. Long-term risk of stroke and its predictors in transient ischaemic attack patients in Germany. *Eur J Neurol.* (2020) 27:723–8. doi: 10.1111/ene.14136

8. Feigin VL, Norrving B, George MG, Foltz JL, Roth GA, Mensah GA. Prevention of stroke: a strategic global imperative. *Nat Rev Neurol.* (2016) 12:501–12. doi: 10.1038/nrneurol.2016.107

9. You S, Zheng D, Zhong C, Wang X, Tang W, Sheng L, et al. Prognostic significance of blood urea nitrogen in acute ischemic stroke. *Circ J.* (2018) 82:572–8. doi: 10.1253/ circj.CJ-17-0485

10. Park EJ, Yoo SD. Nutritional biomarkers and heart rate variability in patients with subacute stroke. *Nutrients*. (2022) 14:5320. doi: 10.3390/nu14245320

11. Quach ME, Chen W, Li R. Mechanisms of platelet clearance and translation to improve platelet storage. *Blood.* (2018) 131:1512–21. doi: 10.1182/blood-2017-08-743229

12. Ohuchi M, Inoue S, Ozaki Y, Ueda K. Platelet count and mean platelet volume are associated with not only bone, soft tissue, and lymph node metastases but also with malignant pleural effusion in lung cancer patients. *Neoplasma*. (2017) 64:140–7. doi: 10.4149/neo\_2017\_118

13. Haemmerle M, Stone RL, Menter DG, Afshar-Kharghan V, Sood AK. The platelet lifeline to cancer: challenges and opportunities. *Cancer Cell.* (2018) 33:965–83. doi: 10.1016/j.ccell.2018.03.002

14. Chou YT, Chen HY, Wu IH, Su FL, Li WH, Hsu HL, et al. Higher platelet count, even within normal range, is associated with increased arterial stiffness in young and middle-aged adults. *Aging*. (2022) 14:8061–76. doi: 10.18632/aging.204335

15. Wang T, Liu Z, Wang Z, Duan M, Li G, Wang S, et al. Thrombocytopenia is associated with acute respiratory distress syndrome mortality: an international study. *PLoS One.* (2014) 9:e94124. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0094124

16. Zampieri FG, Ranzani OT, Sabatoski V, de Souza HP, Barbeiro H, da Neto LM, et al. An increase in mean platelet volume after admission is associated with higher mortality in critically ill patients. *Ann Intensive Care.* (2014) 4:20. doi: 10.1186/s13613-014-0020-1

17. Pollard TJ, Johnson AEW, Raffa JD, Celi LA, Mark RG, Badawi O. The eICU Collaborative Research Database, a freely available multi-center database for critical care research. *Sci Data*. (2018) 5:180178. doi: 10.1038/sdata.2018.178

18. Qin X, Cen J, Hu H, Chen X, Wei Z, Wan Q, et al. Non-linear relationship between albumin-corrected calcium and 30-day in-hospital mortality in ICU patients: a multicenter retrospective cohort study. *Front Endocrinol.* (2022) 13:1059201. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2022.1059201

19. Yang Z, Li Z, He X, Yao Z, Xie X, Zhang S, et al. The impact of heart rate circadian rhythm on in-hospital mortality in patients with stroke and critically ill: insights from the eICU Collaborative Research Database. *Heart Rhythm.* (2022) 19:1325–33. doi: 10.1016/j.hrthm.2022.03.1230

20. Serpa Neto A, Deliberato RO, Johnson AEW, Bos LD, Amorim P, Pereira SM, et al. Mechanical power of ventilation is associated with mortality in critically ill patients: an analysis of patients in two observational cohorts. *Intensive Care Med.* (2018) 44:1914–22. doi: 10.1007/s00134-018-5375-6

21. van den Boom W, Hoy M, Sankaran J, Liu M, Chahed H, Feng M, et al. The search for optimal oxygen saturation targets in critically ill patients: observational data from large ICU databases. *Chest.* (2020) 157:566–73. doi: 10.1016/j.chest.2019.09.015

22. Groenwold RH, White IR, Donders AR, Carpenter JR, Altman DG, Moons KG. Missing covariate data in clinical research: when and when not to use the missing-

indicator method for analysis. CMAJ. (2012) 184:1265-9. doi: 10.1503/cmaj. 110977

23. White IR, Royston P, Wood AM. Multiple imputation using chained equations: issues and guidance for practice. *Stat Med.* (2011) 30:377–99. doi: 10.1002/sim.4067

24. von Elm E, Altman DG, Egger M, Pocock SJ, Gøtzsche PC, Vandenbroucke JP. The strengthening the reporting of observational studies in epidemiology (STROBE) statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies. *Int J Surg.* (2014) 12:1495–9. doi: 10.1016/j.ijsu.2014.07.013

25. Mullee A, Romaguera D, Pearson-Stuttard J, Viallon V, Stepien M, Freisling H, et al. Association between soft drink consumption and mortality in 10 European countries. *JAMA Intern Med.* (2019) 179:1479–90. doi: 10.1001/jamainternmed.2019.2478

26. Keidel D, Anto JM, Basagaña X, Bono R, Burte E, Carsin AE, et al. The role of socioeconomic status in the association of lung function and air pollution-a pooled analysis of three adult ESCAPE cohorts. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. (2019) 16:1901. doi: 10.3390/ijerph16111901

27. Rothenbacher D, Rehm M, Iacoviello L, Costanzo S, Tunstall-Pedoe H, Belch JJF, et al. Contribution of cystatin C- and creatinine-based definitions of chronic kidney disease to cardiovascular risk assessment in 20 population-based and 3 disease cohorts: the BiomarCaRE project. *BMC Med.* (2020) 18:300. doi: 10.1186/s12916-020-01776-7

28. Jonsson AB, Rygård SL, Hildebrandt T, Perner A, Møller MH, Russell L. Thrombocytopenia in intensive care unit patients: a scoping review. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand.* (2021) 65:2–14. doi: 10.1111/aas.13699

29. Anthon CT, Pène F, Perner A, Azoulay E, Puxty K, Van De Louw A, et al. Thrombocytopenia and platelet transfusions in ICU patients: an international inception cohort study (PLOT-ICU). *Intensive Care Med.* (2023) 49:1327–38. doi: 10.1007/s00134-023-07225-2

30. Zhu Y, Zhang J, Li Y, Liu F, Zhou Q, Peng Z. Association between thrombocytopenia and 180-day prognosis of COVID-19 patients in intensive care units: a two-center observational study. *PLoS One.* (2021) 16:e0248671. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0248671

31. Lillemäe K, Luostarinen T, Reinikainen M, Bendel S, Laitio R, Hoppu S, et al. Early thrombocytopenia is associated with an increased risk of mortality in patients with traumatic brain injury treated in the intensive care unit: a Finnish Intensive Care Consortium study. *Acta Neurochir.* (2022) 164:2731–40. doi: 10.1007/s00701-022-05277-9

32. Shin J, Kwon D, Youn SK, Park JH. Characteristics and factors associated with death among patients hospitalized for severe fever with thrombocytopenia syndrome, South Korea, 2013. *Emerg Infect Dis.* (2015) 21:1704–10. doi: 10.3201/eid2110.141928

33. Chen Q, Yang D, Zhang Y, Zhu M, Chen N, Yushan Z. Transmission and mortality risk assessment of severe fever with thrombocytopenia syndrome in China: results from 11-years' study. *Infect Dis Poverty*. (2022) 11:93. doi: 10.1186/s40249-022-01017-4

34. Vincent JL, Castro P, Hunt BJ, Jörres A, Praga M, Rojas-Suarez J, et al. Thrombocytopenia in the ICU: disseminated intravascular coagulation and thrombotic microangiopathies-what intensivists need to know. *Crit Care.* (2018) 22:158. doi: 10.1186/s13054-018-2073-2

35. He S, Fan C, Ma J, Tang C, Chen Y. Platelet transfusion in patients with Sepsis and thrombocytopenia: a propensity score-matched analysis using a large ICU database. *Front Med.* (2022) 9:830177. doi: 10.3389/fmed.2022.830177

36. Thachil J, Warkentin TE. How do we approach thrombocytopenia in critically ill patients? *Br J Haematol.* (2017) 177:27–38. doi: 10.1111/bjh.14482

37. Mussbacher M, Brunnthaler L, Panhuber A, Starlinger P, Assinger A. Till death do us part-the multifaceted role of platelets in liver diseases. *Int J Mol Sci.* (2021) 22:3113. doi: 10.3390/ijms22063113

38. Kerrigan SW, Devine T, Fitzpatrick G, Thachil J, Cox D. Early host interactions that drive the dysregulated response in sepsis. *Front Immunol.* (2019) 10:1748. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2019.01748

39. Alonso AL, Cox D. Platelet interactions with viruses and parasites. *Platelets*. (2015) 26:317-23. doi: 10.3109/09537104.2015.1025376

40. Koupenova M, Clancy L, Corkrey HA, Freedman JE. Circulating platelets as mediators of immunity, inflammation, and thrombosis. *Circ Res.* (2018) 122:337–51. doi: 10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.117.310795