Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Martin Rusnak, University of Trnava, Slovakia

REVIEWED BY Jixian Lin, Fudan University, China Dominika Plancikova, Trnava University, Slovakia

*CORRESPONDENCE Yan Zhang ⊠ doctorzhangyan@163.com ShiQi Cheng ⊠ doctorchengshiqi@outlook.com

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work

RECEIVED 27 July 2023 ACCEPTED 05 December 2023 PUBLISHED 05 January 2024

CITATION

Chen P, Jiang Y, Cai J, Fan HY, Liang J, Yuan R, Wu H, Wang Y, Cheng S and Zhang Y (2024) Prediction of prognosis in patients with nontraumatic intracranial hemorrhage using blood urea nitrogen-tocreatinine ratio on admission: a retrospective cohort study based on data from the medical information Mart for intensive care-IV database. *Front. Neurol.* 14:1267815. doi: 10.3389/fneur.2023.1267815

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Chen, Jiang, Cai, Fan, Liang, Yuan, Wu, Wang, Cheng and Zhang. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms. Prediction of prognosis in patients with nontraumatic intracranial hemorrhage using blood urea nitrogen-to-creatinine ratio on admission: a retrospective cohort study based on data from the medical information Mart for intensive care-IV database

Peng Chen^{1†}, YongAn Jiang^{1†}, JiaHong Cai^{1†}, Heng Yi Fan¹, JiaWei Liang¹, RaoRao Yuan¹, Hao Wu², YongHong Wang², ShiQi Cheng^{1*}and Yan Zhang^{1*}

¹Department of Neurosurgery, The Second Affiliated Hospital, Jiangxi Medical College, Nanchang University, Nanchang, Jiangxi, China, ²Department of Neurosurgery, Shanxi Bethune Hospital, Shanxi Academy of Medical Sciences, Tongji Shanxi Hospital, Third Hospital of Shanxi Medical University, Taiyuan, Shanxi, China

Background: The blood urea nitrogen-to-creatinine ratio (BUNCR) has been proposed as a potential biomarker for critical illness-induced catabolism. However, its specific relevance and significance in the context of non-traumatic intracranial hemorrhage (NTIH) remains unclear. As such, the primary objective of this study was to determine the role of BUNCR in the prognosis of patients with NTIH.

Materials and methods: All data were sourced from the Medical Information Mart for Intensive Care-IV 2.0 (MIMIC-IV) database. Study outcomes included 30-day and 1-year mortality rates. Univariate and multivariate logistic regression analyses were used to calculate adjusted odds ratio with corresponding 95% confidence interval, and generalized additive model were used to identify both linear and non-linear relationships between BUNCR and mortality rates. A two-piecewise regression model was performed to calculate the saturation effect. Subgroup analyses were performed to evaluate outcome stability in various groups.

Results: A retrospective study of 3,069 patients with NTIH revealed a U-shaped relationship between BUNCR levels and 30-day/1-year mortality. The two-piecewise regression model showed that the inflection points for 30-day and 1-year mortality were 10.455 and 16.25, respectively. On the left side of the inflection point, the 30-day and 1-year mortality rate decreased by 17.7% (OR = 0.823, 95%CI: 0.705-0.960; p = 0.013) and 5.3% (OR = 0.947, 95%CI: 0.899-0.999; p = 0.046), respectively, per 1 unit increment of BUNCR. On the right side of the inflection point, the 30-day and 1-year mortality rate increased by 1.6% (OR = 1.016, 95%CI: 1.000-1.031; p = 0.046) and 3.6% (OR = 1.036, 95%CI:1.019-1.054; p < 0.001) per 1 unit decrement of BUNCR. Subgroup analyses revealed consistent results across different strata.

Conclusion: This study identified a nonlinear relationship between BUNCR and mortality in patients with NTIH, indicating that BUNCR may be valuable prognostic marker for early identification and proactive management.

KEYWORDS

nontraumatic intracranial hemorrhage, urea nitrogen, creatinine, U-shaped curve, mortality

1 Introduction

Non-traumatic intracranial hemorrhage (NTIH) refers to a condition in which brain vessel rupture leads to bleeding without any external injury (1), accounting for 10–15% of all stroke cases (2). Although significant improvements have been made in the treatment and management of ischemic stroke, the same does not hold true for NTIH, which continues to exhibit high mortality and morbidity rates. NTIH has a fatality rate exceeding 50% within the first month, with only 20% of survivors achieving complete functional recovery within 6 months (3). The first clinical manifestation of NTIH is the sudden onset of focal neurological deficits, and approximately one-third of patients experience worsening clinical symptoms as the hematoma expands (4). Thus, apart from timely neuroimaging to assess the impact of hematoma(s) on brain function, early evaluation of systemic organ status and disease condition is crucial for improving the prognosis of patients with NTIH.

Blood urea nitrogen (BUN) and creatinine, the ultimate products of nitrogen metabolism in the body, are commonly used indicators of renal glomerular filtration rate and renal function (5, 6). Approximately 95% of creatinine resides within muscle tissues, and its production significantly influenced by muscle mass, rendering it a prevalent hematological marker used in diagnosing muscle depletion disorders (7). However, BUN originates from the breakdown of endogenous protein metabolism and its generation is unaffected by muscle mass. Consequently, the BUN-to-creatinine ratio (BUNCR) is frequently used to detect the presence and assess the degree of renal impairment (8). In previous research, elevated BUNCR has been intricately associated with unfavorable prognoses and heightened mortality rates across a spectrum of medical conditions, encompassing chronic congestive heart failure (CHF) (9), acute decompensated heart failure (10), acute pancreatitis (11), gastrointestinal malignancies (12), ischemic stroke (13), traumatic brain injury (14), and septic shock (15). Intriguingly, heightened BUN levels or BUNCR have also exhibited a correlative surge in inpatient mortality rates among individuals diagnosed with the novel coronavirus disease COVID-19 (16). Based on recent large retrospective studies involving >1,000 trauma intensive care unit (ICU) patients, BUNCR may outperform clinical severity on admission or other patient characteristics in predicting prolonged hospital stay and poor outcomes (17). Similar observations have been made in mixed ICU cohorts (18); thus, BUNCR has been proposed as a potential general biomarker for critical illnessassociated catabolism.

The mechanisms underlying brain injury following NTIH can be categorized into primary and secondary injuries. Primary injury is caused by elevated overall pressure due to bleeding and local structural compression (19), whereas secondary injury is induced by pathological responses such as edema, inflammation, and the toxic biochemical and metabolic effects of hematoma components (20). During inflammation, there is a concurrent escalation in protein breakdown metabolism, with the resulting peptides and amino acid byproducts playing a contributory role in irreversible neural damage post-NTIH (21-23). Notably, BUN, a metabolic byproduct, has the potential to increase the risk for delayed cerebral hemorrhage and systemic organ dysfunction in affected patients (24). Considering its association with disease severity in ischemic stroke, inflammation-induced catabolism may play a pivotal role in NTIH. We posit that BUNCR is subject to metabolic regulation in patients with NTIH under the influence of hematoma-driven protein breakdown and inflammatory conditions, subsequently affecting the prognosis of patients with NTIH. Consequently, this ratio, which indirectly reflects the severity of hemorrhage and neural injury, holds promise for assisting in the assessment of survival rates among patients with NTIH.

Given the current research evidence, we collected data from 3,069 patients with NTIH housed in the Medical Information Mart for Intensive Care-IV 2.0 (MIMIC-IV) database, including clinical variables, such as comorbidities and blood biochemical parameters. This study investigated and validated the association between the initial BUNCR on admission and 30-day and 1-year mortality rates in patients with NTIH.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Data source

Patient data included in the NTIH study were sourced from the MIMIC-IV database (25), an extensive open-access database at the Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center (Boston, MA, USA) housing information from 382,278 patients and 524,740 admissions between 2008 and 2019. Essential data, such as patient characteristics, vital signs recorded hourly, comorbid diseases, laboratory investigation results, microbial culture findings, medication records, and survival outcomes, were collected.

The use of the MIMIC-IV database was authorized by the Institutional Review Board of the Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center and the Massachusetts Institute of Technology (Cambridge, MA, United States). Because the data provided were anonymized, informed consent was not required. To gain access to the database, the necessary online courses and examinations were successfully completed [Record ID: 58572169 (for YAJ)].

2.2 Patients and data variables

The data extraction process involved the use of Structured Query Language (SQL) programming in PostgreSQL (version 14.0). The SQL script codes required to extract relevant patient information were sourced from the GitHub website.¹

Patients diagnosed with NTIH were identified from the MIMIC-IV database according to *International Classification of Diseases* (ICD) codes. Specifically, the Ninth Revision (i.e., ICD-9) codes 430, 431, and 432, and the Tenth Revision (ICD-10) codes I60, I61, and I62. To ensure accuracy, specific exclusion criteria were applied, including patients <18 years of age and those with an ICU stay <24 h. For cases in which patients had multiple ICU admissions, only data from their first admission were considered.

On identifying eligible patients with NTIH, baseline parameter data, recorded immediately after admission to the ICU, were collected. This included demographic information, comorbid diseases, vital signs, laboratory indicators, medications, Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS) scores, and lengths of stay in the ICU and hospital. Vital signs, laboratory indicators, medications, and GCS scores were recorded as initial values within the first 24h after admission to the ICU.

2.3 Clinical outcomes

The clinical endpoints were categorized into two groups: 30-day and 1-year mortality rates. The 30-day mortality rate was assessed in patients who died during hospitalization or within 30-day period following admission to the ICU, while 1-year mortality was defined as death within one year of admission to the ICU.

2.4 Statistical analysis

Normality of the continuous variables was examined using the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test. Measurement data conforming to a normal distribution are expressed as mean \pm standard deviation (SD), while non-normally distributed data are expressed as median (interquartile range [IQR] [M (Q1, Q3)]). Categorical variables are expressed as composition ratios or frequencies (%). To compare the differences between the two groups, we used chi-square tests or Fisher's exact test for categorical variables and Student's t-test or the Mann–Whitney U-test for quantitative variables.

2.4.1 Data analysis

Data analysis was divided into three steps.

Step 1: Univariate and multivariate logistic regression analyses were employed to identify variables associated with mortality outcomes in patients with BUNCR. Initially, univariate analysis was performed on relevant variables to determine the covariates for multivariate logistic regression analysis. Through covariate regression analysis, covariates that had an impact on the outcome of patient death beyond 10% were selected, and further adjustments were made based on clinical significance to determine the covariates for

multivariate logistic regression (Supplementary Table S1). Thus, three models were established: model 1, without covariate adjustment; model 2, with adjustment for demographic data only; and model 3, with adjustment for covariates, as reported in Supplementary Table S1.

Step 2: The nonlinear relationship between BUNCR and patient mortality rate was investigated using a generalized additive model (GAM). If nonlinearity was detected, a recursive algorithm was used to calculate the inflection points and a two-piecewise regression model was constructed on either side of the inflection points. The best-fitting model was determined based on the *value of p* from the likelihood ratio test, with the aim of validating the results using BUNCR as a continuous variable and examining the possibility of nonlinearity.

Step 3: Subgroup analysis was performed to explore whether the association between BUNCR and patient mortality rate was influenced by variables such as age, sex, race, chronic kidney disease, hypertension, cerebral infarction, myocardial infarction, diabetes, malignant cancer, and GCS score. The interactions between BUNCR and each variable were tested. All statistical analyses were performed using R version 4.2.0 (http://www.r-project.org; R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria) and EmpowerStats (http://www.Empowerstat.org/en/; X&Y Solutions Inc., Boston, MA, United States).

3 Results

3.1 Baseline characteristics of the study cohort

A comprehensive review of data from 5,450 patients with NTIH housed in the MIMIC-IV database was performed. Following application of the exclusion criteria, 3,069 patients with NTIH admitted to the ICU for the first time were ultimately included in the present study. A flow-diagram illustrating the subject selection process is shown in Figure 1, and baseline characteristics of the subjects in each group are summarized in Table 1. The mean $(\pm SD)$ age of the 3,069 patients with NTIH was 66.53 ± 15.68 years, comprising 1,429 females (46.56%) and 1,640 males (53.44%). All patients were categorized into 2 groups based on their survival status within 30 days and 1 year (i.e., alive or dead). Statistically significant differences were observed between the 2 groups in terms of age, race, comorbidities (excluding cerebral infarction), vital signs (excluding systolic blood pressure [SBP]), laboratory indicators (excluding lymphocytes and C-reactive protein [CRP]), medications, GCS score, and length of ICU and hospital stay (all p < 0.05). Notably, among the 3,069 patients, the BUNCR values recorded were the initial measurements performed within 24 h of ICU admission, with a mean value of 19.07 ± 7.48 . A significant difference was observed between the 2 groups (p < 0.001).

3.2 Univariate analysis

Univariate analysis indicated that several factors were associated with increased 30-day and 1-year mortality risk (Table 2), including age, comorbidities (such as chronic kidney disease, hypertension, myocardial infarction, diabetes, malignant cancer, and CHF), vital signs (such as heart rate and respiratory rate), and various laboratory

¹ https://github.com/MIT-LCP/mimic-code/tree/main/mimic-iv



indicators (including BUN, creatinine, BUNCR, white blood cell count, monocytes, neutrophils, prothrombin time, partial thromboplastin time, international normalized ratio (INR), and GCS (score, 3–8)) (odds ratio [OR] >1; p < 0.05). Other factors, including sex, race, cerebral infarction, SBP, oxygen saturation (SpO₂), lymphocytes, CRP, and medications, were found not to be significantly associated with 30-day or 1-year mortality (p > 0.05).

3.3 Analysis of non-linear relationship

As shown in the smoothing spline, BUNCR demonstrated a non-linear relationship with patient mortality (Figure 2). After full adjustment for covariates (sex, age, race, chronic kidney disease [CKD], hypertension, cerebral infarction, myocardial infarction, diabetes, malignant cancer, CHF, GCS, heart rate, respiratory rate, temperature, SBP, SpO₂, lymphocytes, monocytes, neutrophils, WBC count, red blood cell count, platelet count, and INR), the two-piecewise regression model revealed inflection points of 10.455 and 16.25 for the 30-day and 1-year mortality rates, based on BUNCR (Table 3). Subsequently, OR values for NTIH mortality on either side of the inflection point were computed using the fitted logistic regression model. On the left side of the inflection point, the incidence of 30-day mortality decreased 17.7% per 1 unit in BUNCR (95% CI 0.705–0.960; *p*=0.013). On the right side of the inflection point, the incidence of 30-day mortality increased 1.6% per 1 unit in BUNCR (95% CI 1.000-1.031; p=0.046). On the left and right sides of the inflection point, the 1-year mortality decreased and increased 5.3% (95% CI 0.899-0.999; p=0.046) and 3.6% (95% CI 1.019–1.054; *p* < 0.001) per 1 unit in BUNCR, respectively.

3.4 Association between BUNCR and patient prognosis

Three models were constructed to explore the association between BUNCR and patient mortality (Table 4). In crude model adjusted for none, when BUNCR \leq 10.455 and \leq 16.25, BUNCR was associated with a reduced risk of 30-day mortality (OR=0.826 [95% CI 0.706–0.967]; p=0.017) and 1-year mortality (OR=0.955 [95% CI 0.912–0.999]; p=0.044), respectively. After adjustment for different confounders, the association between BUNCR and patient mortality remained significant in both adjusted model 1 and model 2 when BUNCR was \leq 10.455 and \leq 16.25 (OR < 1). In the above models, when BUNCR was >10.455 and >16.25, it was associated with increased risk of 30-day mortality and 1-year mortality, respectively (OR >1).

3.5 Results of subgroup analysis

Subgroup analyses were performed to investigate the stability of the association between BUNCR levels and 1-year mortality (Table 5). The associations were similar in every stratum and no interactions were observed (p > 0.05).

4 Discussion

In this study, the relationship between BUNCR and 30-day and 1-year mortality in patients with NTIH was determined using

TABLE 1 Clinical characteristics of ICU patients with nontraumatic intracranial hemorrhage at baseline.

Alive group (n = 2,02) Dead group (n = 2,02) p-value (n = 1,027) Dead group (n = 1,027) p-value (n = 1,02	Variables	30-day mortality		1-year mortality			
App. (mod)Q200) 4.95/4.56.0(R07) 7.76 + LA2)(0.000(1042) (0.127, 0.14, 0.40)(0.000)Mak. (m)L.54 (64.40)Ale (65.378)0.008Ale (65.474, 0.40)Ale (75.474, 0.40)NakaL.270 (62.284)Ale (75.378, 0.40)L.270 (64.00)Ale (75.378, 0.40)Ale (75.378, 0.40)NakaL.26 (8.270)Ale (75.378, 0.40)L.07 (0.188, 0.40)Ale (77.01, 0.40)Ale (77.01, 0.40)OherJ20 (13.08)Ale (77.02, 0.40)Ale (77.01, 0.40)Ale (77.01, 0.40)Ale (77.01, 0.40)OherJ20 (13.09)J21 (23.49)Ale (77.01, 0.40)Ale (77.01, 0.40)Ale (77.01, 0.40)Ale (77.01, 0.40)OherJ20 (13.09)J21 (23.49)Ale (77.01, 0.40)Ale (77.01, 0.40)Ale (77.01, 0.40)Ale (77.01, 0.40)ChanneJ21 (23.49)J21 (23.49)Ale (77.01, 0.40)Ale (77.01, 0.40)Ale (77.01, 0.40)Ale (77.01, 0.40)MyondulardineJ21 (23.49)J21 (23.49)Ale (77.01, 0.40)Ale (77.01, 0.40)Ale (77.01, 0.40)Ale (77.01, 0.40)MyondulardineJ21 (79.01, 0.40)Ale (77.01, 0.40)Ale (77.01, 0.40)Ale (77.01, 0.40)Ale (77.01, 0.40)Ale (77.01, 0.40)MyondulardineJ21 (23.49)Ale (77.01, 0.40)Ale (77.01, 0.40)Ale (77.01, 0.40)Ale (77.01, 0.40)Ale (77.01, 0.40)MyondulardineJ22 (97.01, 0.40)Ale (77.01, 0.40)Ale (77.01, 0.40)Ale (77.01, 0.40)Ale (77.01, 0.40)Ale (77.01, 0.40)MyondulardineJ22 (97.01, 0.40, 0.40)Ale (77.01, 0.40, 0.		Alive group (<i>n = 2,302</i>)	Dead group (<i>n = 767</i>)	<i>p</i> -value	Alive group (<i>n</i> = 1942)	Dead group (<i>n = 1,127</i>)	<i>p</i> -value
Make, netw1,251 (54.09)36,963.39)0.0301,088 (54.09)S12 (13.09)0.123Rae, netw1,479 (44.09)409 (53.39)11,247 (44.09)464 (67.328)1Bick226 9.829)69 (68.879)11.70 (49.97)107 05.9901Other321 (13.09)78 (10.790)11.70 (49.97)107 05.9901Other229 (99.99)129 (16.879)0.0001.23 (16.490)91 (17.19)0.000Perture229 (99.99)1.91 (65.77)0.0011.32 (64.19)92 (17.19)0.001Byperna229 (99.99)1.91 (65.77)0.0023.13 (16.19)2.83 (17.19)0.001Other229 (99.99)1.91 (65.77)0.0023.13 (16.19)2.83 (17.19)0.001Byperna229 (99.99)1.91 (27.290)0.0023.13 (16.19)2.83 (17.19)0.001Magnar care229 (99.99)1.91 (27.290)0.0023.13 (16.19)0.4010.001Magnar care229 (17.290)1.01 (27.190)0.0101.01 (17.191)0.0010.010Magnar care229 (17.190)1.02 (17.191)0.0101.01 (17.191)0.0010.001Magnar care229 (17.190)1.02 (17.191)0.0110.0110.0110.011Magnar care229 (17.191)0.011 (17.191)0.0110.0110.011Magnar care229 (17.191)0.011 (17.191)0.0110.0110.011Magnar care229 (17.191)0.011 (17.191)0.011 <t< td=""><td>Age, (years)</td><td>(2302) 64.79±15.61</td><td>(767) 71.76±14.72</td><td>< 0.001</td><td>(1942) 63.29±15.47</td><td>(1127) 72.13±14.43</td><td><0.001</td></t<>	Age, (years)	(2302) 64.79±15.61	(767) 71.76±14.72	< 0.001	(1942) 63.29±15.47	(1127) 72.13±14.43	<0.001
Rec, @)Image<	Male, <i>n</i> (%)	1,254 (54.48%)	386 (50.33%)	0.046	1,058 (54.49%)	582 (51.65%)	0.129
Nuke1.479 (e1289)040 (33.390)1.521 (24.0400)040 (70.0500)Nuke2.52 (0.8,200)04.02 (34.0400)1.07 (0.0800)1.07 (0.0800)Oher3.23 (0.8,300)0.22 (27.400)0.20 (12.0,400)2.57 (22.400)1.00 (0.000)Dukmon3.64 (0.2,800)0.20 (12.0,400)0.20 (12.0,400)0.40 (0.0,100)0.0000Commetter1.00 (0.9350)0.90 (12.0,100)0.0001.23 (0.4,100)0.40 (0.0,100)0.0000Orbendindricton4.41 (0.0,100)1.07 (23.0,100)0.0001.07 (13.0,100)0.00000.00000.000000.00000Oherson4.42 (0.0,100)1.07 (23.0,100)0.0000.000 (13.0,100,100)0.00000.000000.000000.00000Oherson4.42 (0.0,100)1.07 (13.0,100)0.00000.0000000.0000000.0000000.0000000.0000000.0000000.0000000.0000000.0000000.0000000.0000000.0000000.0000000.0000000.0000000.0000000.0000000.0000000.00000000.00000000.00000000.00000000.00000000.00000000.000000 <td>Race, n (%)</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>< 0.001</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>< 0.001</td>	Race, n (%)			< 0.001			< 0.001
Back226 02%)06 (0.8.7%)107 (0.9.%)107 (0.9.%)107 (0.9.%)Otheroom121 (13.5%)72 (10.7.%)72 (10.7.%)72 (10.9.%)72 (10.9.%)Otheroom220 (29.%)121 (0.8.7.%)70 (11.2.%)70 (11.2.%)70 (11.2.%)70 (11.2.%)Importantion120 (0.9.%)121 (0.8.7.%)10.0.0142 (0.9.%)70 (11.2.%)	White	1,479 (64.25%)	409 (53.33%)		1,242 (64.00%)	646 (57.32%)	
OderJ12 (135%)74 (0.1%)27 (14.0%)117 (0.3%)117 (0.3%)Galow210 (2.6%)20 (12.6%)20 (12.6%)20 (12.6%)20 (12.6%)Cambel220 (95%)120 (6.6%)0.0011.420 (6.4%)94 (17.1%)4.001Hyperanian1.60 (025%)58 (15.7%)0.0011.320 (6.4%)28 (12.6%)0.001Gorden Infaction4.01 (020%)1.77 (2.1%)0.0243.77 (19.4%)2.86 (2.5%)-0.001Myocaldialinárcion121 (12.9%)1.02 (16.5%)2.08 (17.6%)2.86 (17.6%)-0.001Myocaldialinárcion126 (0.5%)1.02 (16.5%)2.08 (17.6%)-0.001-0.001Myocaldialinárcion126 (0.5%)1.02 (16.5%)0.05 (16.5%)-0.001-0.001Myocaldialinárcion126 (0.5%)1.02 (16.5%)0.05 (16.5%)-0.001-0.001Myocaldialinárcion129 (18.4%)1.40 (18.5%)0.0011.01 (18.5%)-0.001Ritherminin(2.99 1.01 (16.2%)1.60 (19.5%)1.01 (19.5%)-0.001-0.001Ritherminin(2.90 1.05 (17.1%)1.05 (17.1%)1.01 (19.5%)-0.001-0.001Ritherminin(2.90 1.05 (17.1%)1.05 (17.1%)1.01 (19.5%)-0.001-0.001Ritherminin(2.90 1.05 (17.1%)1.05 (17.1%)1.01 (17.1%)0.0011.01 (19.5%)-0.001Ritherminin(2.90 1.05 (17.1%)1.05 (17.1%)1.01 (17.1%)1.01 (17.1%)0.0011.01 (19.1%)0.001Ritherminin(2.90 1.05 (17.1%) <t< td=""><td>Black</td><td>226 (9.82%)</td><td>68 (8.87%)</td><td></td><td>187 (9.63%)</td><td>107 (9.50%)</td><td></td></t<>	Black	226 (9.82%)	68 (8.87%)		187 (9.63%)	107 (9.50%)	
Homomy281(23%)212(23%)212(23%)212(23%)212(23%)212(23%)212(33%)Correlationes132(95%)13(163%)1401143(24%)140(23%)4.00Apperdand140(03%)140(12%)1010123(24%)120(23%)120(23%)Apperdandine410(03%)171(13%)1001317(15%)30(15%)30(15%)30(15%)Automy121(23%)121(23%)121(23%)121(23%)121(23%)30(15%)30(15%)Automy121(23%)121(23%)121(23%)121(23%)121(23%)30(15%)30(15%)Automy121(23%)121(23%)121(23%)121(23%)121(23%)30(15%)30(15%)Automy121(23%)121(23%)121(23%)121(23%)121(23%)30(15%)30(15%)30(15%)Automy121(23%)121(23%)121(23%)121(23%)121(23%)30(15%) <td< td=""><td>Other</td><td>312 (13.55%)</td><td>78 (10.17%)</td><td></td><td>273 (14.06%)</td><td>117 (10.38%)</td><td></td></td<>	Other	312 (13.55%)	78 (10.17%)		273 (14.06%)	117 (10.38%)	
ConstructionGR020909%01201680%6.0001.420648%06.910710%6.0001Ihpretesim4.04030%0170210%00.2045.7375%00.241645%06.36126%0Moracialiniariam4120730%1170230%00.0003.211645%02.64027%06.0001Minagananee42024%02.17040%00.0003.10163%06.01021%06.0001Minagananee120183%07.4063%00.000191093%01011037%16.0001CF4201837.605375400.000191093%010113774186.0011Rifemsimin(2091801686.7653754780.002109378540101193754366.0011Rifemsimin2091801486.763575480.00310931864240101193754366.0011Signeminin2091801486.763574880.004109375478101193754366.0011Signeminin2091801486.763574880.004109375428101193754366.0011Signeminin2091801486.763574880.004109375428101193754366.001Signeminin2091801486.763574880.0021019375436101019375486.001Signeminin2091801486.763574880.00210193754361019375486.001Signeminin209181486.76377480.0021019375481019375486.001Signeminin209181486.76377486.0021019375481019375486.001Signeminin209181486.76374	Unknown	285 (12.38%)	212 (27.64%)		240 (12.36%)	257 (22.80%)	
CXD229 (999%)129 (1682%)<.0.001164 (43%)194 (17.21%)<.0.001Hyrension1.0.01 (95.5%)368 (17.5%)0.0.011.329 (84.4%)353 (75.6%)0.003Cercharlunkcico441 (20.3%)170 (22.16%)0.002371 (15.4%)30.02 (51.6%)0.003Myseardal infarcion442 (20.4%)2.15 (28.0%)0.002337 (19.9%)310 (27.51%)0.002Diabets182 (8.3.4%)74 (9.6%)0.063100 (54.4%)161 (14.2%)0.001CHT2.26 (15.6%)142 (8.51%)0.600109 (39.01%)0.101 (14.2%)0.001Rak (mes/min)(229) 18.15 ±4776.65 19.51 24.10%4.001101 (13.19.17%)4.001Rak (mes/min)(299) 18.01 ±68(76.5 85.12 ±197)4.001(19.37 18.51 ±64)(11.19.35 24.50.4%)4.002Rak (mes/min)(299) 18.51 ±476.001(19.37 18.51 ±64)(11.19.35 24.50.4%)4.002BR (mel/min)(299) 18.51 ±476.001(19.37 15.51 ±65)(11.19.35 24.50.4%)4.002BR (mel/min)(29.01 85.12.4%)0.004(19.37 15.51 ±65)(11.19.35 24.50.4%)4.002BR (mel/min)(29.91 85.12.4%)76.93 27.84.5%(19.97 55.15.14)(11.19.35 24.50.4%)4.002BR (mel/min)(29.91 85.12.4%)76.93 27.84.5%(19.97 55.15.14)(11.19.35 24.50.4%)4.002BR (mel/min)(29.91 85.12.4%)76.93 27.84.5%(19.97 55.15.14)(11.19.35 24.5%4.002BR (mel/min)(29.91 85.12.4%)76.93 27.84.5% <td>Comorbid disease, n (%)</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Comorbid disease, n (%)						
Hypertension1.001 (9055%)SNI (575%)0.0011.329 (84.4%)SN3 (57.6%)0.001Corebal Infaction441 (02.03%)1.70 (23.0%)0.0243.71 (13.4%)2.41 (2.5.4%)0.001Myoarad Infanctono412 (17.9%)1.72 (33.0%)0.00013.87 (19.9%)3.03 (2.3.7%)0.0001Malignant cancer192 (8.4.4%)7.4 (9.6.5%)0.00013.87 (19.9%)1.01 (14.2.9%)0.0001CFF2.66 (11.6.6%)7.4 (9.6.5%)0.00011.01 (9.9.9%)1.01 (14.9.9%)0.0001FR (imersionin(2.99) 1.00 + 6.4(7.65) 8.51.2 + 10.70.00011.01 (13.9.7.5.2.40.0001R (imersionin(2.99) 1.00 + 6.4(7.65) 8.7.2 + 10.8(1.937) 1.66.6 + 2.4(11.01 1.8.7.5.2.5.70.0011R (imersionin(2.99) 1.00 + 6.4(7.65) 8.9.7.1 + 10.8(1.997) 1.56.6 + 2.4(1.101 1.8.7.5.2.5.70.0021R (imersionin(2.99) 1.01 + 6.4(7.65) 8.9.7.1 + 10.8(1.997) 1.56.6 + 2.4(1.101 1.8.7.5.2.5.70.0021D R (imersionin(2.99) 1.05 + 12.4(1.01 1.9.6.1 + 10.8(1.011 1.5.1.1.10.0011D R (imersionin(2.99) 1.05 + 12.4(1.99) 9.9.1 + 12.4(1.99) 9.9.1 + 12.4(1.99) 9.9.1 + 12.40.0021D R (imersionin(2.99) 1.05 + 12.4(1.99) 9.9.1 + 12.4(1.99) 9.9.1 + 12.4(1.99) 9.9.1 + 12.40.0021D R (imersionin(2.99) 1.05 + 12.4(1.99) 9.9.1 + 12.4(1.99) 9.9.1 + 12.4(1.99) 9.9.1 + 12.4(1.99) 9.9.1 + 12.40.0021D R (imersionin(2.99) 1.05 + 12.4 <td>CKD</td> <td>229 (9.95%)</td> <td>129 (16.82%)</td> <td>< 0.001</td> <td>164 (8.45%)</td> <td>194 (17.21%)</td> <td><0.001</td>	CKD	229 (9.95%)	129 (16.82%)	< 0.001	164 (8.45%)	194 (17.21%)	<0.001
Icreard461(20.03%)170 (22.08%)0.024377 (19.41%)24 (22.54%)0.039Moreard442 (20.94%)177 (23.08%)0.000321 (16.35%)28 (03.78%)0.000Malignart Cancer442 (20.94%)121 (28.05%)0.201110 (14.99%)0.101 (14.98%)0.001CHF26 (11.56%)122 (18.15%)120 (18.15%)120 (18.05%)0.001110 (19.05%)0.101 (11.15%)0.001RR (mis/min)(29.91 8.06 ± 6.6%)(76.91 5.27.54%)0.001(19.01 9.07.54%)(11.01 3.07.54.54%)0.001<	Hypertension	1,601 (69.55%)	581 (75.75%)	0.001	1,329 (68.44%)	853 (75.69%)	<0.001
İnycardainfactione142 (17 y00)17 (7 20 x00)10 00012 (10 x00)10 (10 x00)10 (10 x00)Dinbers482 (00 x00)71 (60 x00)10 (10 x00)10 (10 x00)10 (10 x00)10 x00)Chr10 20 (10 x00)10 (10 x00)10 (10 x00)10 (10 x00)10 x00)10 x00)Chr10 20 x10 x10010 (10 x00)10 (10 x00)10 (10 x00)10 x00)10 x00)Ruineymin10 20 x10 x10010 (10 x00)10 (10 x00)10 x00)10 x00)10 x00)SR (milgon)10 20 x10 x0010 (10 x00)10 x00)10 x00)10 x00)10 x00)SR (milgon)10 20 x10 x0010 (10 x00)10 x00)10 x00)10 x00)10 x00)SR (milgon)10 20 x10 x0010 (10 x00)10 x00)10 x00)10 x00)10 x00)10 x00)SR (milgon)10 x00 x0010 x00 x0010 x00)10 x00)<	Cerebral infarction	461 (20.03%)	170 (22.16%)	0.204	377 (19.41%)	254 (22.54%)	0.039
Industant42 (2049)12 (52 (300)-0.00130 (70 (100))-0.001Malgant ancer12 (8.0.00)74 (9.0.00)0.0.0010.0.0010.0.0010.0.001CH22 (30.0.001)74 (9.0.0.001)0.0.0.0010.0.0.0010.0.0.0.0010.0.0.0.0010.0.0.0.001Balantant20 (20 (3.0.0.001)60 (3.0.0.0.001)0.0.0.0.0010.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.	Myocardial infarction	412 (17.90%)	177 (23.08%)	0.002	321 (16.53%)	268 (23.78%)	< 0.001
Magnataner192(8.3)749.63)0.026105(8.4)104(1.4)0.001CHF264(1.5)10.40(1.4)0.401010.401010.401010.4010CH2001000010.7019010010.7019010010.7019010010.7019010010.7019010010.70190100Ratinamin0.20180100010.70190100010.7019010010.7019010010.7019010010.7019010010.70190100Samana201910200010.7019010010.7019010010.7019010010.7019010010.7019010010.701000Bartinamin201910200010.7019010010.701900010.7019010010.701900010.701900010.701900010.7019000Bartinamin201910200010.701900010.701900010.701900010.701900010.701900010.701900010.701900010.7019000Bartinamin2019102002	Diabetes	482 (20.94%)	215 (28.03%)	< 0.001	387 (19.93%)	310 (27.51%)	< 0.001
CHT9a(01.50)142 (185.00)164 (0.90)124 (149.00)124 (149.00)124 (149.00)VI-INST	Malignant cancer	192 (8.34%)	74 (9.65%)	0.265	105 (5.41%)	161 (14.29%)	< 0.001
VietargentIA (informing)(209) 0.01016(C08) 5.214.0(A000)(A000, A000,	CHF	266 (11.56%)	142 (18.51%)	< 0.001	194 (9.99%)	214 (18.99%)	< 0.001
HR (imesyind)(259) 81.00 (36)(765) 81.21 (37)(700)(190) 80.00 (100)(101) 80.00 (100)(101) 80.00 (100)R (imesyind)(260) 83.82 (100)(760) 87.95 (100)(760) (100) 80.00 (100)(760) (100)(760)(760)S R (mark)(260) 73.02 (100)(760) 73.02 (100)(760) 73.02 (100)(760) 73.02 (100)(760) 73.02 (100)(760)S R (mark)(200) 73.02 (100	Vital signs						
R.(times/min)(2291) 81.51 ± 91(765) 195.75 ± 40(1030)(1033) 180.21 ± 40(1131) 180.21 ± 40(1030)Temperature, (C)(220) 36.82 ± 40(763) 135.72 ± 63(104)(1937) 186.62 ± 40(1161) 78.05 ± 75.165(1161) 78.155(116	HR, (times/min)	(2299) 81.00±16.66	(765) 85.12±19.70	< 0.001	(1940) 80.79±16.69	(1124) 84.17±18.79	< 0.001
Immerature, (°C)(299) 36.82±0.01(750) 36.73±0.930.005(1937) 36.82±0.01(1115) 36.76±0.840.023SBR (mmHg)(229) 137.02±2.62(762) 135.70±2.6340.018(1937) 751.5±1.663(1116) 73.01±7.950.001DBR (mmHg)(229) 91.80±1.763(765) 98.7±1.8980.002(1940) 92.0±1.744(1124) 98.82±1.8090.001SpC (M)(229) 91.80±1.763(765) 98.7±1.8980.002(1940) 92.0±1.744(1124) 98.82±1.8090.001SpC (M)(229) 91.80±1.763(765) 98.4±3.870.002(1940) 92.0±1.744(1124) 98.82±1.8090.001SpC (M)(229) 91.80±1.743(765) 98.4±3.870.002(1940) 92.0±1.744(1124) 98.82±1.8090.001BC (M)(229) 11.2±1.110(761) 3.00±1.034(0.001(1918) 1.4±9.05(1111) 3.5±4.830.001JPG (M)(2274) 4.2±0.71(760) 3.99±0.82<0.001	RR, (times/min)	(2294) 18.15±4.97	(765) 19.57±5.44	< 0.001	(1936) 18.07±4.95	(1123) 19.27±5.34	< 0.001
SBR (mmHg)(2291) 137.02 ± 22.67(762) 135.70 ± 26.54(0.183)(1937) 136.66 ± 22.45(116) 136.75 ± 25.74(0.091)DBR (mmHg)(229) 14.88 ± 16.82(763) 72.79 ± 18.990.004(1937) 75.15 ± 16.65(1116) 73.00 ± 17.95(-0.001)SPQ. (%)(2299) 91.80 ± 17.65(765) 98.41 ± 3.870.002(1940) 92.03 ± 17.44(1124) 98.52 ± 18.900.001SPQ. (%)(2298) 97.54 ± 2.74(765) 97.84 ± 3.870.02(1939) 97.54 ± 2.07(1124) 97.75 ± 3.600.064Laboratory indicators:U(275) 11.21 ± 11.10(761) 13.00 ± 10.34-0.001(1919) 11.14 ± 9.05(1117) 12.54 ± 13.55-0.001RRC, (1017).(2274) 4.22 ± 0.71(760) 3.99 ± 0.82-0.001(1918) 4.29 ± 0.66(1116) 3.95 ± 0.83-0.001Jymphocytes, (107).(1463) 15.00 ± 0.34-0.001(1233) 1.65 ± 0.53(711) 0.68 ± 0.80-0.001Meurophils, (1017).(1462) 5.81 ± 0.65-0.001(1933) 3.76 ± 1.34-0.001-0.001Neutrophils, (1017).(1462) 5.81 ± 0.75-0.001(1133) 3.83 ± 4.54(711) 9.94 ± 5.82-0.001Platelet, (x107).(1462) 5.81 ± 0.75-0.001(1183) 2.67 ± 5.24(711) 9.94 ± 5.82-0.001PT, (sec)(2216) 13.90 ± 5.23(749) 1.56 ± 10.74(740) 1.55 ± 1.67 ± 0.44-0.001-0.001-0.001PT, (sec)(2216) 1.37 ± 0.35(749) 1.64 ± 1.06-0.001(186) 1.25 ± 9.92(1118) 2.48 ± 2.067-0.001DRN, (mg/L1)(2215) 1.67 ± 1.34(761) 1.34 ± 1.17-0.001<	Temperature, (°C)	(2296) 36.82±0.61	(756) 36.73±0.93	0.005	(1937) 36.82±0.61	(1115) 36.76±0.86	0.023
DBR (mmHg)(220) 74.88±16.80(762) 72.79±18.090.004(1937) 75.15±16.65(1116) 73.00±1.79.5(-0.001MBR (mmHg)(229) 91.80±17.65(765) 98.47±18.980.002(1939) 97.54±2.70(1124) 98.75±3.600.004SpO2 (%)(228) 97.54±2.74(765) 97.84±3.870.02(1939) 97.54±2.70(1124) 97.75±3.600.064 Laboratory indicators (U USEC (x10 ⁷ /L)(2275) 11.21±1.10(761) 3.00±1.03(-0.01(1919) 11.14±9.05(1117) 12.54±1.355<-0.001	SBP, (mmHg)	(2291) 137.02±22.67	(762) 135.70±26.54	0.183	(1937) 136.66±22.45	(1116) 136.75±25.74	0.919
MBR (nmHg)(2299) 91.0 ± 1.7.63(765) 89.47 ± 1.8.940.002(1940) 92.03 ± 1.7.44(1124) 89.82 ± 1.8.090.001SpO2 (%)(2298) 97.54 ± 2.74(765) 97.84 ± 3.870.02(1939) 97.54 ± 2.70(1124) 97.75 ± 3.600.064Laboratory indicators.(U)(2275) 11.21 ± 1.1.10(761) 13.00 ± 10.34<0.001(1919) 11.14 ± 9.05(1117) 12.54 ± 13.55<0.001RBC, (x10 ¹⁷ L)(2274) 42.22 ± 0.71(760) 3.99 ± 0.82<0.001(1918) 4.29 ± 0.66(1116) 3.95 ± 0.83<0.001Jumphocytes, (x10 ¹⁷ L)(1462) 0.58 ± 0.56(482) 0.67 ± 0.550.002(1233) 0.56 ± 0.35(711) 0.68 ± 0.80<0.001Neutrophiks, (x10 ¹⁷ L)(1462) 0.58 ± 0.56(482) 0.67 ± 0.550.002(1233) 0.56 ± 0.35(711) 0.94 ± 0.82<0.001Patelet, (x10 ¹⁷ L)(1422) 8.28 ± 8.87.17(762) 204.59 ± 10.26<0.001(118) 207.42 ± 10.07<0.001PT, (sec)(2216) 1.39 ± 8.52(749) 1.59 ± 10.93<0.001(1868) 1.37 ± 8.43(1097) 1.54 ± 10.74<0.001PT, (sec)(2216) 1.37 ± 8.43(744) 3.3.29 ± 19.84<0.001(1866) 2.97 ± 11.84(1097) 1.43 ± 1.07<0.001INR(2216) 1.27 ± 0.83(749) 1.46 ± 1.06<0.017(1866) 2.97 ± 11.98(1097) 1.43 ± 1.04<0.001BUN, (mg/L)(144) 27.66 ± 52.34(749) 1.46 ± 1.06<0.011(1866) 1.25 ± 0.79(1117) 1.31 ± 1.67<0.001BUN, (regrin, mg/d)(275) 1.07 ± 1.34(761) 1.33 ± 1.17<0.001(1199) 1.25 ± 1.51(1117) 1.31 ± 6.7<0.001 <t< td=""><td>DBP, (mmHg)</td><td>(2291) 74.88±16.82</td><td>(762) 72.79±18.09</td><td>0.004</td><td>(1937) 75.15±16.65</td><td>(1116) 73.00±17.95</td><td><0.001</td></t<>	DBP, (mmHg)	(2291) 74.88±16.82	(762) 72.79±18.09	0.004	(1937) 75.15±16.65	(1116) 73.00±17.95	<0.001
SpO2.%)(228) 97.54±2.74(76) 97.84±3.870.02(1939) 97.54±2.70(1124) 97.75±3.600.004JABCR (x10 ⁷ L)(227) 11.21±11.00(76) 13.00±10.34<<(1919) 11.41±9.05(1117) 12.54±13.55<<RBC, (x10 ⁷ L)(227) 4.22±0.71(760) 3.99±0.82<<(1918) 4.29±0.66(1116) 3.95±0.83<<<Jymphorytes, (x10 ⁷ L)(1463) 1.60±6.86(442) 1.77±9.080.666(1233) 1.61±0.35(711) 1.96±12.270.164Monocytes, (x10 ⁷ L)(1462) 5.85±0.65(442) 0.66±0.10<<(1233) 5.8±4.32(711) 9.9±5.82<<Neutrophils, (x10 ⁷ L)(142) 7.24±8.95±0(762) 2.0459±10.265<<(123) 8.78±4.62(711) 9.9±5.82<<<Platelet, (x10 ⁷ L)(212) 2.93±11.78(762) 2.0459±10.265<<<(118) 2.07.2±10.07<<<<PTT, (sec)(221) 2.93±11.78(74) 3.32±19.89<<<<(186) 1.37.0±8(1097) 1.43±1.04<<<<JNR(216) 1.27±0.83(74) 3.29±19.85<<<<(186) 1.25±0.79(1097) 1.43±1.04<<<<BUN, (mg/L)(227) 1.87±1.26(762) 2.51±2.03<<(192) 1.74±9.99(1111) 3.1±1.67<<<<BUN, (rearing, mg/L)(275) 1.97±7.48(51) 2.3±1.17<<<<<<<<<BUN, (rearing, mg/L)(275) 1.97±7.48(51) 2.1±1	MBP, (mmHg)	(2299) 91.80±17.65	(765) 89.47±18.98	0.002	(1940) 92.03±17.44	(1124) 89.82±18.90	0.001
Babaratory indicatorySelection Selection Select	SpO2, (%)	(2298) 97.54±2.74	(765) 97.84±3.87	0.02	(1939) 97.54±2.70	(1124) 97.75±3.60	0.064
WBC, (x10 ⁷ /L) (2275) 11.21 ± 11.10 (761) 13.00 ± 10.34 <0.001 (1191) 11.14 ± 9.05 (1117) 12.54 ± 13.55 <0.001 RBC, (x10 ⁷ /L) (2274) 4.22 ± 0.71 (760) 3.99 ± 0.82 <0.001	Laboratory indicators, (Uni	it)					
RBC, (x10 ¹² /L) (2274) 4.22±0.71 (760) 3.99±0.82 <0001 (1918) 4.29±0.66 (1116) 3.95±0.83 <0001 Lymphocytes, (x10 ¹⁷ /L) (1463) 1.60±6.86 (482) 1.77±9.08 0.66 (1234) 1.47±1.07 (711) 1.9±12.27 0.164 Monocytes, (x10 ¹⁷ /L) (1462) 0.55±0.56 (482) 0.67±0.55 0.002 (1233) 0.5±0.35 (711) 0.9±12.27 0.010 Neutrophils, (x10 ¹⁷ /L) (1462) 0.7±2.4.66 (482) 0.66±6.10 <<0001	WBC, (x10 ⁹ /L)	(2275) 11.21±11.10	$(761)13.00\pm10.34$	< 0.001	(1919) 11.14±9.05	(1117) 12.54±13.55	< 0.001
Lymphocytes, (x10 ⁷ /L) (1463) 1.60±6.86 (482) 1.77±9.08 0.66 (1234) 1.47±1.07 (711) 1.96±12.27 0.164 Monocytes, (x10 ⁷ /L) (1462) 0.58±0.56 (482) 0.67±0.55 0.002 (1233) 0.56±0.35 (711) 0.68±0.80 <0.001	RBC, (x10 ¹² /L)	(2274) 4.22±0.71	(760) 3.99±0.82	< 0.001	(1918) 4.29±0.66	(1116) 3.95±0.83	<0.001
Monocytes, (x10 ⁹ /L) (1462) 0.58 ± 0.56 (482) 0.67 ± 0.55 0.002 (1233) 0.56 ± 0.35 (711) 0.68 ± 0.80 <0.001 Neutrophils, (x10 ⁹ /L) (1462) 8.72 ± 4.66 (482) 10.66 ± 6.10 <0.001	Lymphocytes, (x10 ⁹ /L)	(1463) 1.60±6.86	(482) 1.77±9.08	0.66	(1234) 1.47±1.07	(711) 1.96±12.27	0.164
Neutrophils, (x10°/L) (1462) 8.72 ± 4.66 (482) 10.66 ± 6.10 <0.001 (1233) 8.78 ± 4.62 (711) 9.94 ± 5.82 <0.001 Platelet, (x10°/L) (2274) 224.85 ± 87.17 (762) 204.59 ± 10.265 <0.001	Monocytes, (x10 ⁹ /L)	(1462) 0.58±0.56	$(482)\ 0.67 \pm 0.55$	0.002	(1233) 0.56±0.35	(711) 0.68±0.80	<0.001
Platelet, (x10 ⁷ /L) (2274) 224.85 ± 87.17 (762) 204.59 ± 102.65 <0.001 (1918) 226.97 ± 85.24 (1118) 207.42 ± 100.70 <0.001 PT, (sec) (2216) 13.90 ± 8.52 (749) 15.95 ± 10.93 <0.001	Neutrophils, (x10 ⁹ /L)	(1462) 8.72±4.66	$(482)\ 10.66 \pm 6.10$	< 0.001	(1233) 8.78±4.62	(711) 9.94±5.82	< 0.001
PT, (sec)(2216) 13.90 ± 8.52(749) 15.95 ± 10.93<0.001(1868) 13.70 ± 8.13(1097) 15.64 ± 10.74<0.001PTT, (sec)(2212) 29.83 ± 11.78(744) 33.29 ± 19.98<0.001	Platelet, (x10 ⁹ /L)	(2274) 224.85±87.17	(762) 204.59±102.65	< 0.001	(1918) 226.97±85.24	(1118) 207.42±100.70	< 0.001
PTT, (sec)(2212) 29.83 ± 11.78(744) 33.29 ± 19.98<0.001(1866) 29.73 ± 11.98(1090) 32.37 ± 17.60<0.001INR(2216) 1.27 ± 0.83(749) 1.46 ± 1.06<0.001	PT, (sec)	(2216) 13.90±8.52	(749) 15.95±10.93	< 0.001	(1868) 13.70±8.13	(1097) 15.64±10.74	< 0.001
INR(2216) 1.27 ± 0.83(749) 1.46 ± 1.06<0.001(1868) 1.25 ± 0.79(1097) 1.43 ± 1.04<0.001CRP, (mg/L)(144) 27.66 ± 52.34(42) 34.05 ± 44.4580.473(128) 22.79 ± 44.72(58) 43.02 ± 59.800.011BUN, (mg/dL)(2276) 18.57 ± 12.61(762) 25.14 ± 20.43<0.001	PTT, (sec)	(2212) 29.83±11.78	(744) 33.29±19.98	< 0.001	(1866) 29.73±11.98	(1090) 32.37±17.60	<0.001
CRP, (mg/L) (144) 27.66±52.34 (42) 34.05±44.458 0.473 (128) 22.79±44.72 (58) 43.02±59.80 0.011 BUN, (mg/dL) (2276) 18.57±12.61 (762) 25.14±20.43 <0.001	INR	(2216) 1.27±0.83	(749) 1.46±1.06	< 0.001	(1868) 1.25±0.79	(1097) 1.43±1.04	<0.001
BUN, (mg/dL) (2276) 18.57 ± 12.61 (762) 25.14 ± 20.43 <0.001 (1920) 17.49 ± 9.90 (1118) 24.89 ± 20.67 <0.001 Creatinine, (mg/dL) (2275) 1.07 ± 1.34 (761) 1.33 ± 1.17 <0.001	CRP, (mg/L)	(144) 27.66±52.34	(42) 34.05±44.458	0.473	(128) 22.79±44.72	(58) 43.02±59.80	0.011
Creatinine, (mg/dL) (2275) 1.07 ± 1.34 (761) 1.33 ± 1.17 <0.001 (1919) 1.02 ± 1.01 (1117) 1.31 ± 1.67 <0.001 BUN / Creatinine Ratio (BUNCR) (2275) 19.07 ± 7.48 (761) 21.24 ± 10.00 <0.001	BUN, (mg/dL)	(2276) 18.57±12.61	$(762) 25.14 \pm 20.43$	< 0.001	(1920) 17.49±9.90	(1118) 24.89±20.67	<0.001
BUN / Creatinine Ratio (BUNCR) (2275) 19.07 ± 7.48 (761) 21.24 ± 10.00 <0.001 (1919) 18.51 ± 6.81 (1117) 21.51 ± 9.95 <0.001 Medications, n (%) <0.001	Creatinine, (mg/dL)	(2275) 1.07±1.34	(761) 1.33±1.17	< 0.001	(1919) 1.02±1.01	(1117) 1.31±1.67	< 0.001
Medications, n (%) Medicat	BUN / Creatinine Ratio (BUNCR)	(2275) 19.07±7.48	(761) 21.24±10.00	<0.001	(1919) 18.51±6.81	(1117) 21.51±9.95	<0.001
Vasopressin 17 (0.74%) 61 (7.95%) 0.601 11 (0.57%) 67 (5.94%) 0.688 Nitrate esters 63 (2.74%) 25 (3.26%) 0.532 52 (2.68%) 36 (3.19%) 0.409 Benzodiazepine 537 (23.33%) 211 (27.51%) 0.111 455 (23.43%) 293 (26.00%) 0.213 B recentor blocker 1179 (51 22%) 393 (51 24%) 0.564 988 (50 88%) 584 (51 82%) 0.442	Medications, n (%)	1		I	1		
Nitrate esters 63 (2.74%) 25 (3.26%) 0.532 52 (2.68%) 36 (3.19%) 0.409 Benzodiazepine 537 (23.33%) 211 (27.51%) 0.111 455 (23.43%) 293 (26.00%) 0.213 B recentor blocker 1.179 (51 22%) 393 (51 24%) 0.564 988 (50 88%) 584 (51 82%) 0.442	Vasopressin	17 (0.74%)	61 (7.95%)	0.601	11 (0.57%)	67 (5.94%)	0.688
Benzodiazepine 537 (23.33%) 211 (27.51%) 0.111 455 (23.43%) 293 (26.00%) 0.213 B recentor blocker 1.179 (51.22%) 393 (51.24%) 0.564 988 (50.88%) 584 (51.82%) 0.442	Nitrate esters	63 (2.74%)	25 (3.26%)	0.532	52 (2.68%)	36 (3.19%)	0.409
ß recentar blocker 1 179 (51 22%) 393 (51 24%) 0 564 988 (50 88%) 584 (51 82%) 0 442	Benzodiazepine	537 (23.33%)	211 (27.51%)	0.111	455 (23.43%)	293 (26.00%)	0.213
p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p = p =	β receptor blocker	1,179 (51.22%)	393 (51.24%)	0.564	988 (50.88%)	584 (51.82%)	0.442

(Continued)

Variables	3	30-day mortality			1-year mortality			
	Alive group (<i>n = 2,302</i>)	Dead group (<i>n = 767</i>)	p-value	Alive group (<i>n = 1942</i>)	Dead group (<i>n = 1,127</i>)	<i>p</i> -value		
Statins	537 (23.33%)	145 (18.90%)	0.604	436 (22.45%)	246 (21.83%)	0.453		
Potassium	1,405 (61.03%)	502 (65.45%)	0.55	1,201 (61.84%)	706 (62.64%)	0.443		
GCS, n (%)			<0.001			< 0.001		
13–15	1,225 (53.22%)	221 (28.89%)		1,092 (56.23%)	354 (31.47%)			
9–12	556 (24.15%)	116 (15.16%)		448 (23.07%)	224 (19.91%)			
3-8	521 (22.63%)	428 (55.95%)		402 (20.70%)	547 (48.62%)			
Outcome-related measures	Outcome-related measures							
Length of ICU, (days)	(2302) 7.07±7.64	$(767) 5.25 \pm 4.88$	< 0.001	(1942) 6.81±7.10	(1127) 6.29±7.07	0.049		
Length of hospital, (days)	(2302) 14.33±14.44	(767) 7.35±6.52	<0.001	(1942) 13.31±13.19	(1127) 11.35±13.32	<0.001		

TABLE 1 (Continued)

ICU, intensive Care Unit; CKD, chronic kidney disease; CHF, congestive heart failure; HR, heart rate; RR, respiratory rate; SBP, systolic blood pressures; DBP, diastolic blood pressures; MBP, mean arterial pressures; SpO2, oxygen saturation; WBC, white blood cell; RBC, red blood cell; PT, prothrombin time; PTT, partial thromboplastin time; INR, international normalized ratio; CRP, C-reactive protein; BUN, blood urea nitrogen; GCS, Glasgow coma scale.

In Table 1, GCS scores were categorized for 765 cases in the 'dead group' for both 30-day and 1-year mortality. Two cases in the 'dead group' for 30-day mortality and 1-year mortality had missing GCS scores, accounting for the discrepancy in the total number of cases.

generalized linear models (GLM); more specifically, logistic regression and GAM. Fully adjusted GLM analysis demonstrated no statistically significant linear association between BUNCR and patient mortality. Furthermore, both the GAM and two-piecewise regression models revealed a non-linear relationship between BUNCR and 30-day/1year mortality. To the best of our knowledge, this non-linear relationship has not been previously reported. Our study revealed that, to the left of the inflection point, a decrease of 1 unit in BUNCR corresponded to a 17.7% reduction in 30-day mortality rate and a 6.3% reduction in the 1-year mortality rate. Conversely, to the right of the inflection point, an increase of 1 unit in BUNCR was correlated with a 1.6% increase in the 30-day mortality rate and a 3.6% increase in the 1-year mortality rate.

Previous research has traditionally focused on using BUN or creatinine as independent indicators to predict their association with patients experiencing cerebral hemorrhage or stroke (26). However, our study represents the first attempt to analyze the association between BUNCR and mortality in patients with NTIH by using a novel approach that considers the ratio of the 2 markers. According to our findings, the relationship between BUNCR and patient mortality resembled a U-shaped curve, with mortality gradually decreasing on the left side of the inflection point as BUNCR increased. This observation considers the fact that, as the denominator of the ratio, creatinine levels tend to be higher in patients with better physical condition (27), indicating greater muscle mass compared to those who are physically weaker, thus resulting in a decrease in BUNCR. Previous studies have indicated that previous muscle mass plays a crucial role in the occurrence of postoperative complications in patients who experience cerebral hemorrhage, such as pulmonary infections and deep vein thrombosis in the lower limbs (28). For this group of patients, BUNCR can serve as a reliable basis for personalized nutritional supplementation and early rehabilitation training.

As previously described, BUN predominantly originates from the catabolism of endogenous proteins. For individuals severely affected by traumatic brain injury, cerebral metabolic demand surges by 40% compared with an uninjured brain, consequently precipitating a

conspicuous surge in proteolytic activity (29). Previous investigations have posited that elevated intracranial pressure consequent to cerebral hemorrhage causes hypothalamus-pituitary axis dysregulation, culminating in the excessive release of antidiuretic hormones and renin-angiotensin, ultimately resulting in diminished renal perfusion, reduced glomerular filtration rate, and impaired urea nitrogen excretion (30). Furthermore, in cases in which the hemorrhage is located in close proximity to the midline and engenders substantial bleeding volumes, its propensity to involve the hypothalamus and incite stress-induced disturbances is intensified (31). Additionally, enzymatic degradation of hematoma tissue yields amino acids, which, upon entry into the systemic circulation, undergo reductive deamination, yielding ammonia. Subsequently, ammonia re-enters the circulation, contributing to urea biosynthesis and ultimately culminating in increased BUN concentrations (32). In our observations, we noted that urea nitrogen levels exhibited early elevations in the disease course, indicative of the initial pathophysiological cascades pertaining to brain injury, and the extent of urea nitrogen elevation was markedly more pronounced in patients prognosed with poorer outcomes than in counterparts with more favorable results. This finding aligns with those reported by Luo et al. (26). It is discernible from the foregoing that BUNCR mirrors not only the severity of cerebral injury but also patient muscular constitution, both of which have profound implications for NTIH prognosis. Therefore, when BUNCR resides within the nadir of the U-shaped curve, it signifies diminished cerebral injury and enhanced muscular mass, thereby indicating a more favorable clinical trajectory. In summary, we posit that BUNCR functions as an indirect conduit through which to understand the pathophysiological cascades following NTIH, and its dynamic oscillations mirror patient survival probabilities, thus endowing it with significant prognostic importance.

An accurate prognosis plays a crucial role in the diagnosis and treatment of NTIH because many critically ill patients with this condition may prematurely abandon aggressive therapy, resulting in unfavorable outcomes. Traditional prognostic indicators for NTIH include patient age, smoking and alcohol history, blood pressure, TABLE 2 Univariable analysis for associations of BUNCR with 30-day mortality and 1-year mortality in ICU patients with nontraumatic intracranial hemorrhage.

Variables	30-day mortality		1-year mortality			
	OR 95%CI	<i>p</i> -value	OR 95%CI	<i>p</i> -value		
Age	1.032 (1.026, 1.038)	<0.00001	1.041 (1.035, 1.047)	<0.00001		
Gender				1		
Male	Ref		Ref			
Female	1.181 (1.003, 1.391)	0.04618	1.121 (0.967, 1.298)	0.12868		
Race		I		1		
White	Ref		Ref			
Black	1.088 (0.812, 1.458)	0.57163	1.100 (0.852, 1.421)	0.46498		
Other	0.904 (0.689, 1.186)	0.46594	0.824 (0.650, 1.044)	0.10862		
Unknown	2.690 (2.183, 3.314)	<0.00001	2.059 (1.686, 2.515)	<0.00001		
Comorbid disease				1		
CKD	1.830 (1.449, 2.311)	<0.00001	2.254 (1.805, 2.816)	<0.00001		
Hypertension	1.368 (1.134, 1.650)	0.00106	1.436 (1.216, 1.696)	0.00002		
Cerebral infarction	1.137 (0.932, 1.387)	0.20463	1.208 (1.009, 1.445)	0.0391		
Myocardial infarction	1.376 (1.128, 1.679)	0.00165	1.576 (1.313, 1.890)	<0.00001		
Diabetes	1.471 (1.220, 1.773)	0.00005	1.525 (1.284, 1.810)	<0.00001		
Malignant cancer	1.173 (0.886, 1.555)	0.26545	2.916 (2.253, 3.774)	<0.00001		
CHF	1.739 (1.392, 2.172)	<0.00001	2.112 (1.712, 2.606)	<0.00001		
Vital signs				1		
HR	1.013 (1.008, 1.018)	<0.00001	1.011 (1.007, 1.015)	<0.00001		
RR	1.053 (1.037, 1.069)	<0.00001	1.046 (1.031, 1.061)	<0.00001		
Temperature	0.848 (0.756, 0.951)	0.00497	0.886 (0.798, 0.984)	0.02358		
SBP	0.998 (0.994, 1.001)	0.18305	1.000 (0.997, 1.003)	0.91908		
DBP	0.993 (0.988, 0.998)	0.00372	0.993 (0.988, 0.997)	0.00093		
MBP	0.993 (0.988, 0.997)	0.00196	0.993 (0.989, 0.997)	0.00111		
SpO2	1.037 (1.006, 1.068)	0.01917	1.024 (0.998, 1.051)	0.06536		
Laboratory indicators						
WBC	1.017 (1.005, 1.028)	0.00374	1.017 (1.005, 1.028)	0.00461		
RBC	0.661 (0.592, 0.739)	<0.00001	0.521 (0.468, 0.579)	<0.00001		
Lymphocytes	1.003 (0.990, 1.015)	0.66478	1.010 (0.993, 1.026)	0.24828		
Monocytes	1.308 (1.078, 1.587)	0.00656	1.583 (1.273, 1.967)	0.00003		
Neutrophils	1.071 (1.050, 1.093)	<0.00001	1.044 (1.026, 1.064)	<0.00001		
Platelet	0.997 (0.996, 0.998)	<0.00001	0.998 (0.997, 0.998)	<0.00001		
РТ	1.021 (1.012, 1.031)	<0.00001	1.026 (1.016, 1.037)	<0.00001		
PTT	1.014 (1.009, 1.020)	<0.00001	1.013 (1.007, 1.018)	<0.00001		
INR	1.235 (1.125, 1.357)	<0.00001	1.296 (1.164, 1.444)	<0.00001		
CRP	1.002 (0.996, 1.009)	0.47333	1.007 (1.001, 1.014)	0.01708		
BUN	1.028 (1.022, 1.034)	<0.00001	1.043 (1.036, 1.051)	<0.00001		
Creatinine	1.176 (1.093, 1.264)	0.00001	1.275 (1.174, 1.385)	<0.00001		
BUN / Creatinine Ratio (BUNCR)	1.030 (1.020, 1.040)	<0.00001	1.046 (1.036, 1.056)	<0.00001		
GCS						
13–15	Ref		Ref			
9–12	1.156 (0.904, 1.479)	0.24690	1.542 (1.262, 1.884)	0.00002		
3-8	4.554 (3.758, 5.517)	<0.00001	4.197 (3.520, 5.005)	<0.00001		

Blood urea nitrogen-creatinine ratio, BUNCR; ICU, intensive Care Unit; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; CKD, chronic kidney disease; CHF, congestive heart failure; HR, heart rate; RR, respiratory rate; SBP, systolic blood pressures; DBP, diastolic blood pressures; MBP, mean arterial pressures; SpO2, Oxygen saturation; WBC, white blood cell; RBC, red blood cell; PT, prothrombin time; PTT, partial thromboplastin time; INR, international normalized ratio; CRP, C-reactive protein; BUN, blood urea nitrogen; GCS, Glasgow coma scale.



FIGURE 2

(A, B) Smoothed curves for the relationships between blood urea nitrogen-to-creatinine ratio (BUNCR) and mortality risk in patients with nontraumatic intracranial hemorrhage.

TADLEZ	There is the second second	the state of a second state of all all the	 according to a set of the set o	a la atoma ana DUNIC	D 70 .l	and the state of t	A second second second second second
LABLE 5	IWO-DIECEWISE	rearession model to	o evaluate relationshii	o perween Kuini	R WITH SU-day	vs mortality and	1-vear mortality
1710	into piccentise	regression model u	o crataate retationsing	5 DC(11CC11 DOI10		yo morearry and	- your morearcy.

	30-day mort	ality	1-year mortality		
	BUNCR (OR 95% CI)	<i>P</i> -value	BUNCR (OR 95% CI)	<i>p</i> -value	
Fitting model by one-line regression	1.009 (0.995, 1.024)	0.2223	1.022 (1.008, 1.036)	0.0022	
Fitting model by two-piecewise regression					
The Inflection Point of BUNCR	10.455		16.25		
< Inflection Point	0.823 (0.705, 0.960)	0.0131	0.947 (0.899, 0.999)	0.0460	
> Inflection Point	1.016 (1.000, 1.031)	0.0461	1.036 (1.019, 1.054)	<0.0001	
<i>P</i> for log likelihood ratio test		0.011		0.004	

Blood urea nitrogen-creatinine ratio, BUNCR; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.

Adjusted: age, gender, race, chronic kidney disease, hypertension, cerebral infarction, myocardial infarction, diabetes, malignant cancer, congestive heart failure, Glasgow coma scale, heart rate, respiratory rate, temperature, systolic blood pressures, Oxygen saturation, lymphocytes, monocytes, neutrophils, white blood cell, red blood cell, platelet, international normalized ratio.

TABLE 4 Association between BUNCR and 30-day mortality and 1-year mortality in different models.

Variable	Crude r	nodel	Adjusted model I		Adjusted model II	
	OR (95%CI)	<i>p</i> -value	OR (95%CI)	<i>p</i> -value	OR (95%CI)	<i>p</i> -value
30-days mortality						
BUNCR ≤10.455	0.826 (0.706, 0.967)	0.01717	0.849 (0.724, 0.996)	0.04438	0.962 (0.637, 1.452)	0.8525
BUNCR >10.455	1.037 (1.026, 1.048)	< 0.00001	1.028 (1.018, 1.039)	< 0.00001	1.015 (1.000, 1.031)	0.05517
1-year mortality						
BUNCR ≤16.25	0.955 (0.912, 0.999)	0.04464	0.919 (0.876, 0.963)	0.00048	0.958 (0.888, 1.033)	0.26401
BUNCR >16.25	1.057 (1.043, 1.072)	< 0.00001	1.052 (1.038, 1.067)	<0.00001	1.036 (1.017, 1.055)	0.00022

Blood urea nitrogen-creatinine ratio, BUNCR; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.

Crude model: did not adjust covariates.

Adjusted model I: age, gender.

Adjusted model II: age, gender, race, chronic kidney disease, hypertension, cerebral infarction, myocardial infarction, diabetes, malignant cancer, congestive heart failure, Glasgow coma scale, heart rate, respiratory rate, temperature, systolic blood pressures, Oxygen saturation, lymphocytes, monocytes, neutrophils, white blood cell, red blood cell, platelet, international normalized ratio.

hematoma volume, coagulation function, and GCS score (33). Additionally, malignant tumors, blood glucose levels, and medical insurance coverage are vital factors that determine the long-term survival prospects of patients (34). In our study, we performed subgroup analyses and interaction tests on selected factors, revealing that the association between BUNCR and the prognostic mortality rate in patients with NTIH remains unaffected by confounding factors, establishing BUNCR as a stable prognostic predictor for

TABLE 5 Adjusted association of BUNCR with 1-year mortality in prespecified and exploratory subgroups.

Variables		BUNCR ≤ 16.25		BUNCR>16.25			
	OR (95%CI)	P-value	P for interaction	OR (95%CI)	P-value	P for interaction	
Age							
≤ 68	0.956 (0.897, 1.020)	0.1717	0.712	1.057 (1.034, 1.080)	<0.0001	0.4200	
> 68	0.975 (0.898, 1.059)	0.5432	0.712	1.045 (1.026, 1.065)	< 0.0001	0.4389	
Gender							
Female	0.983 (0.907, 1.065)	0.6687	0.5212	1.046 (1.027, 1.065)	< 0.0001	0.4460	
Male	0.951 (0.891, 1.014)	0.127	0.5212	1.058 (1.033, 1.083)	< 0.0001	0.4468	
Race	- -						
White	0.909 (0.845, 0.979)	0.0112		1.049 (1.031, 1.067)	<0.0001		
Black	0.973 (0.869, 1.090)	0.6373	0.1000	1.089 (1.019, 1.165)	0.012	0.4700	
Other	1.051 (0.917, 1.204)	0.4769	0.1333	1.067 (1.020, 1.116)	0.0051	0.4698	
Unknown	1.031 (0.921, 1.153)	0.5989	-	1.035 (1.003, 1.069)	0.032		
CKD	·		'	·			
NO	0.986 (0.926, 1.051)	0.6711	0 1000	1.052 (1.037, 1.068)	<0.0001	0.0550	
Yes	0.915 (0.835, 1.003)	0.0572	0.1803	1.030 (0.985, 1.077)	0.2	0.3753	
Hypertension	Hypertension						
NO	0.982 (0.887, 1.088)	0.7331	0.67.40	1.049 (1.024, 1.075)	< 0.0001	0.0005	
Yes	0.957 (0.901, 1.015)	0.1441	0.6549	1.051 (1.032, 1.069)	< 0.0001	0.9225	
Cerebral infarction	- -						
NO	0.975 (0.921, 1.033)	0.3866	0.2175	1.053 (1.036, 1.069)	<0.0001	0.4064	
Yes	0.913 (0.813, 1.026)	0.1271	0.31/5	1.039 (1.006, 1.073)	0.0194	0.4864	
Myocardial infarction							
NO	0.970 (0.913, 1.030)	0.3173	0.6651	1.052 (1.035, 1.069)	< 0.0001	0.7254	
Yes	0.947 (0.863, 1.039)	0.2494	0.6651	1.045 (1.015, 1.077)	0.0032	0.7254	
Diabetes							
NO	0.988 (0.930, 1.049)	0.6893	0.0070	1.054 (1.037, 1.071)	< 0.0001	0.4022	
Yes	0.899 (0.816, 0.991)	0.0326	0.0979	1.039 (1.011, 1.068)	0.0056	0.4023	
Malignant cancer							
NO	0.948 (0.898, 1.001)	0.0554	0.0007	1.054 (1.037, 1.071)	< 0.0001	0.4022	
Yes	1.107 (0.942, 1.301)	0.2161	0.0687	1.039 (1.011, 1.068)	0.0056	0.4023	
GCS							
3-8	0.984 (0.904, 1.071)	0.709		1.035 (1.010, 1.061)	0.0062		
9-12	1.041 (0.930, 1.166)	0.4836	0.4366	1.037 (1.011, 1.064)	0.0048	0.0896	
13–15	0.952 (0.876, 1.035)	0.2493		1.071 (1.046, 1.097)	< 0.0001		

Blood urea nitrogen-creatinine ratio, BUNCR; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; CKD, chronic kidney disease; GCS, Glasgow coma scale.

Adjusted for age, gender, race, chronic kidney disease, hypertension, cerebral infarction, myocardial infarction, diabetes, malignant cancer, Glasgow coma scale.

NTIH. Compared with traditional prognostic markers, BUNCR is easily obtainable through routine blood tests and requires no additional costs or complex procedures. Moreover, BUNCR can reflect changes in kidney function, thus providing an indirect means of assessing patient metabolic status and the extent of kidney damage. By combining this ratio with other clinical indicators and imaging results, a more comprehensive evaluation of patient prognostic risk can be achieved, thereby supporting early intervention and proactive treatment.

The present study, however, had several limitations that should be acknowledged. First, BUNCR is influenced by multiple confounding factors, including common factors such as renal dysfunction and acute massive bleeding, leading to reduced blood volume, hemoconcentration status, and dietary protein intake. Although we excluded some of these confounding factors, the heterogeneity of patient conditions and various treatment requirements were not fully accounted for. Specifically, we did not statistically analyze the specific dosages and durations of hormones, sedatives, vasoactive drugs, or insulin used in the study. Furthermore, we did not conduct a baseline comparison of energy intake and nutritional pathways (total or partial enteral nutrition), which may introduce discrepancies in the research outcomes and potentially limit the generalizability of the BUNCR index. We believe that future studies should delve deeper into the impact of these factors to refine the prognostic utility of BUNCR in patients with NTIH.

Moreover, it is important to acknowledge that our data were sourced from a single institution, which may have introduced selection bias. The MIMIC-IV database contains clinical data from a single institution, which may not fully represent diverse populations and regions worldwide. Therefore, before applying these results to other populations, we recognize the need for further multicenter, large-sample, prospective studies to investigate the clinical utility of BUNCR in patients with NTIH. In light of these promising results based on BUNCR, we are also committed to monitoring this indicator in our clinical practice and will further substantiate this viewpoint as we gather additional clinical data.

5 Conclusion

In conclusion, this study focused on patients with NTIH and explored the association between BUNCR and mortality rates. Through innovative statistical analysis, we identified a U-shaped curve relationship that has not been previously reported. BUNCR has been confirmed to be a stable prognostic indicator reflecting the body's metabolic status and muscle quality. These findings emphasize the importance of the early identification and proactive management of patients with adverse prognostic factors. Despite its limitations, this study highlights the potential clinical utility of BUNCR in guiding treatment decisions for patients with NTIH, thus contributing to better patient outcomes and reducing economic and health burdens.

Data availability statement

The data analyzed in this study was obtained from the Medical Information Mart for Intensive Care III (MIMIC-III) database, the following licenses/restrictions apply: to access the files, users must be credentialed users, complete the required training (CITI Data or Specimens Only Research) and sign the data use agreement for the project. Requests to access these datasets should be directed to PhysioNet, https://physionet.org/, https://doi.org/10.13026/6mm1-ek67.

Ethics statement

The requirement of ethical approval was waived by Medical Research Ethics Committee of the Second Affiliated Hospital, Nanchang University for the studies on humans because in this study, patients from outside the institution were included, and the MIMIC database is a publicly accessible and open database, which is exempt from ethical approval. The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. Written informed consent for participation was not required from the participants or the participants' legal guardians/next of kin in accordance with the national legislation and institutional requirements. The human samples used in this study were acquired from gifted from another research group.

Author contributions

PC: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing, Formal Analysis. YJ: Writing – review & editing, Formal Analysis. JC: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing, Data curation. HF: Writing – review & editing, Data curation. JL: Writing – review & editing, Data curation. RY: Writing – review & editing, Data curation. HW: Writing – review & editing, Data curation. YW: Writing – review & editing, Data curation. SC: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. YZ: Writing – review & editing, Conceptualization, Methodology.

Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This research was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation (Grant nos. 82260378 and 82260388) and Internal Funding Project of the Second Affiliated Hospital of Nanchang University (Grant no. 2021efyC09).

Acknowledgments

We thank all subjects for their participation in this study.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fneur.2023.1267815/ full#supplementary-material

References

1. Vilela P, Wiesmann M. IDKD springer series nontraumatic intracranial hemorrhage. In: J Hodler, RA Kubik-Huch and SchulthessGK von, editors. *Diseases of the brain, head and neck, spine 2020–2023: Diagnostic imaging.* Cham (CH): Springer. (2020).

2. Gürsoy M, Basilico R, Çalli C. Nontraumatic intracranial hemorrhage In: M Scaglione, C Çalli, M Muto and S Wirth, editors. *Emergency radiology of the head and spine*. Cham: Springer International Publishing (2022). 141–69.

3. van Asch CJ, Luitse MJ, Rinkel GJ, van der Tweel I, Algra A, Klijn CJ. Incidence, case fatality, and functional outcome of intracerebral haemorrhage over time, according to age, sex, and ethnic origin: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet Neurol.* (2010) 9:167–76. doi: 10.1016/S1474-4422(09)70340-0

4. Brouwers HB, Greenberg SM. Hematoma expansion following acute intracerebral hemorrhage. *Cerebrovascular diseases (Basel, Switzerland)*. (2013) 35:195–201. doi: 10.1159/000346599

5. Haines RW, Zolfaghari P, Wan Y, Pearse RM, Puthucheary Z, Prowle JR. Elevated urea-to-creatinine ratio provides a biochemical signature of muscle catabolism and persistent critical illness after major trauma. *Intensive Care Med.* (2019) 45:1718–31. doi: 10.1007/s00134-019-05760-5

 Shahbaz H, Gupta M. Creatinine clearance. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing, (2023). Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK544228/.

7. Bonilla DA, Kreider RB, Stout JR, Forero DA, Kerksick CM, Roberts MD, et al. Metabolic basis of Creatine in health and disease: a bioinformatics-assisted review. *Nutrients*. (2021) 13:1238. doi: 10.3390/nu13041238

8. Gounden V, Bhatt H, Jialal I. *Renal Function Tests*. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing LLC, (2023). Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK5 07821/

 Lin H-J, Chao C-L, Chien K-L, Ho Y-L, Lee C-M, Lin Y-H, et al. Elevated blood urea nitrogen-to-creatinine ratio increased the risk of hospitalization and all-cause death in patients with chronic heart failure. *Clin Res Cardiol*. (2009) 98:487–92. doi: 10.1007/ s00392-009-0025-1

10. Sujino Y, Nakano S, Tanno J, Shiraishi Y, Goda A, Mizuno A, et al. Clinical implications of the blood urea nitrogen/creatinine ratio in heart failure and their association with haemoconcentration. *ESC Heart Fail*. (2019) 6:1274–82. doi: 10.1002/ehf2.12531

11. Vitale DS, Hornung L, Lin TK, Nathan JD, Prasad S, Thompson T, et al. Blood urea nitrogen elevation is a marker for pediatric severe acute pancreatitis. *Pancreas*. (2019) 48:363–6. doi: 10.1097/MPA.00000000001265

12. Lin H-L, Chen C-W, Lu C-Y, Sun L-C, Shih Y-L, Chuang J-F, et al. High preoperative ratio of blood urea nitrogen to creatinine increased mortality in gastrointestinal cancer patients who developed postoperative enteric fistulas. *Kaohsiung J Med Sci.* (2012) 28:418–22. doi: 10.1016/j.kjms.2012.02.011

13. Schrock JW, Glasenapp M, Drogell K. Elevated blood urea nitrogen/creatinine ratio is associated with poor outcome in patients with ischemic stroke. *Clin Neurol Neurosurg.* (2012) 114:881-4. doi: 10.1016/j.clineuro.2012.01.031

14. Hillman J, Milos P, Yu ZQ, Sjögren F, Anderson C, Mellergård P. Intracerebral microdialysis in neurosurgical intensive care patients utilising catheters with different molecular cut-off (20 and 100 kD). *Acta Neurochir.* (2006) 148:319–24. doi: 10.1007/s00701-005-0670-8

15. Han D, Zhang L, Zheng S, Xu F, Li C, Yang R, et al. Prognostic value of blood urea nitrogen/creatinine ratio for septic shock: an analysis of the MIMIC-III clinical database. *Biomed Res Int.* (2021) 2021:1–16. doi: 10.1155/2021/5595042

16. Ok F, Erdogan O, Durmus E, Carkci S, Canik A. Predictive values of blood urea nitrogen/creatinine ratio and other routine blood parameters on disease severity and survival of COVID-19 patients. *J Med Virol.* (2021) 93:786–93. doi: 10.1002/jmv.26300

17. Sakurai T, Irii T, Iwadate K. Simultaneous quantification of urea, uric acid, and creatinine in human urine by liquid chromatography/mass spectrometry. *Leg Med (Tokyo).* (2022) 55:102011. doi: 10.1016/j.legalmed.2021.102011

18. Gunst J, Kashani KB, Hermans G. The urea-creatinine ratio as a novel biomarker of critical illness-associated catabolism. *Intensive Care Med.* (2019) 45:1813–5. doi: 10.1007/s00134-019-05810-y

19. Qureshi AI, Mendelow AD, Hanley DF. Intracerebral haemorrhage. *Lancet.* (2009) 373:1632–44. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(09)60371-8

20. Aronowski J, Zhao X. Molecular pathophysiology of cerebral hemorrhage: secondary brain injury. *Stroke*. (2011) 42:1781–6. doi: 10.1161/STROKEAHA.110.596718

21. Willis C, Lybrand S, Bellamy N. Excitatory amino acid inhibitors for traumatic brain injury. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* (2004) 2003:Cd003986. doi: 10.1002/14651858. CD003986.pub2

22. Ye Z, Wang S, Zhang C, Zhao Y. Coordinated modulation of energy metabolism and inflammation by branched-chain amino acids and fatty acids. *Front Endocrinol.* (2020) 11:617. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2020.00617

23. Yang R-P, Cai D-K, Chen Y-X, Gang H-N, Wei M, Zhu D-Q, et al. Metabolic insight into the neuroprotective effect of Tao-he-Cheng-qi (THCQ) decoction on ICH rats using untargeted metabolomics. *Front Pharmacol.* (2021) 12:12. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2021.636457

24. Deng L, Qiu S, Wang C, Bian H, Wang L, Li Y, et al. Effects of the blood urea nitrogen to creatinine ratio on haemorrhagic transformation in AIS patients with diabetes mellitus. *BMC Neurol.* (2019) 19:63. doi: 10.1186/s12883-019-1290-x

25. Johnson AEW, Bulgarelli L, Shen L, Gayles A, Shammout A, Horng S, et al. MIMIC-IV, a freely accessible electronic health record dataset. *Scientific Data*. (2023) 10:1. doi: 10.1038/s41597-022-01899-x

26. Luo H, Yang X, Chen K, Lan S, Liao G, Xu J. Blood creatinine and urea nitrogen at ICU admission and the risk of in-hospital death and 1-year mortality in patients with intracranial hemorrhage. *Front Cardiovascular Med.* (2022) 9:9. doi: 10.3389/ fcvm.2022.967614

27. Baxmann AC, Ahmed MS, Marques NC, Menon VB, Pereira AB, Kirsztajn GM, et al. Influence of muscle mass and physical activity on serum and urinary creatinine and serum cystatin C. *Clinical J Am Soc Nephrol: CJASN.* (2008) 3:348–54. doi: 10.2215/CJN.02870707

28. Aprisunadi NN, Mustikasari M, Ifadah E, Hapsari ED. Effect of early mobilization on hip and lower extremity postoperative: a literature review. *SAGE open nursing*. (2023) 9:237796082311678. doi: 10.1177/23779608231167825

29. Stocker RA. Intensive Care in Traumatic Brain Injury Including Multi-Modal Monitoring and Neuroprotection. *Med Sci.* (2019) 7:37. doi: 10.3390/medsci7030037

30. Keller WJ, Mullaj E. Antidiuretic hormone release associated with increased intracranial pressure independent of plasma osmolality. *Brain and behavior*. (2018) 8:e01005. doi: 10.1002/brb3.1005

31. Zhang K, Wei L, Zhou X, Yang B, Meng J, Wang P. Risk factors for poor outcomes of spontaneous supratentorial cerebral hemorrhage after surgery. *J Neurol.* (2022) 269:3015–25. doi: 10.1007/s00415-021-10888-w

32. Kohlmeier M. Chapter 8 - amino acids and nitrogen compounds In: M Kohlmeier, editor. *Nutrient Metabolism*. San Diego: Academic Press (2015). 265–477.

33. Cho DY, Chen CC, Lee HC, Lee WY, Lin HL. Glasgow coma scale and hematoma volume as criteria for treatment of putaminal and thalamic intracerebral hemorrhage. *Surg Neurol.* (2008) 70:628–33. doi: 10.1016/j.surneu.2007.08.006

34. Sacco S, Marini C, Toni D, Olivieri L, Carolei A. Incidence and 10-year survival of intracerebral hemorrhage in a population-based registry. *Stroke*. (2009) 40:394–9. doi: 10.1161/STROKEAHA.108.523209

11