#### Check for updates

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY Zhifeng Shi, Fudan University, China

REVIEWED BY Hailiang Tang, Fudan University, China Ranjith Kumar Kankala, Huaqiao University, China

\*CORRESPONDENCE Motoki Tanikawa ⊠ mtnkw@med.nagoya-cu.ac.jp

RECEIVED 20 February 2023 ACCEPTED 03 April 2023 PUBLISHED 21 April 2023

#### CITATION

Sakata T, Tanikawa M, Yamada H, Fujinami R, Nishikawa Y, Yamada S and Mase M (2023) Minimally invasive treatment for glioblastoma through endoscopic surgery including tumor embolization when necessary: a technical note.

Front. Neurol. 14:1170045. doi: 10.3389/fneur.2023.1170045

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Sakata, Tanikawa, Yamada, Fujinami, Nishikawa, Yamada and Mase. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Minimally invasive treatment for glioblastoma through endoscopic surgery including tumor embolization when necessary: a technical note

Tomohiro Sakata, Motoki Tanikawa\*, Hiroshi Yamada, Ryota Fujinami, Yusuke Nishikawa, Shigeki Yamada and Mitsuhito Mase

Department of Neurosurgery, Nagoya City University Graduate School of Medical Sciences, Nagoya, Japan

**Background:** Although there have been some reports on endoscopic glioblastoma surgery, the indication has been limited to deep-seated lesions, and the difficulty of hemostasis has been a concern. In that light, we attempted to establish an endoscopic procedure for excision of glioblastoma which could be applied even to hypervascular or superficial lesions, in combination with pre-operative endovascular tumor embolization.

**Methods:** Medical records of six consecutive glioblastoma patients who received exclusive endoscopic removal between September and November 2020 were analyzed. Preoperative tumor embolization was performed in cases with marked tumor stain and proper feeder arteries having an abnormal shape, for instance, tortuous or dilated, without passing through branches to the normal brain. Endoscopic tumor removal through a key-hole craniotomy was performed by using an inside-out excision for a deep-seated lesion, with the addition of an outside-in extirpation for a shallow portion when needed.

**Results:** Endoscopic removal was successfully performed in all six cases. Before resection, endovascular tumor embolization was performed in four cases with no resulting complications, including ischemia or brain swelling. Gross total resection was achieved in three cases, and near total resection in the other three cases. Intraoperative blood loss exceeded 1,000ml in only one case, whose tumor showed a prominent tumor stain but no proper feeder artery for embolization. In all patients, a smooth transition to adjuvant therapy was possible with no surgical site infection.

**Conclusion:** Endoscopic removal for glioblastoma was considered to be a promising procedure with minimal invasiveness and a favorable impact on prognosis.

#### KEYWORDS

endoscope, glioblastoma, tumor embolization, cylinder surgery, key-hole surgery

# Introduction

Glioblastoma, one of the most common primary brain neoplasms, comprises 15% of all intracranial neoplasms and 60-75% of astrocytic tumors (1). Its treatment outcomes have improved only incrementally over the last several decades, with a tragic course, having a mean survival time fewer than 2 years after diagnosis, despite many efforts having been made in various fields, including surgical resection, radiation therapy, chemotherapy, and treatments based on novel concepts (2-4). Regarding surgical treatment, maximizing the extent of resection, which must be balanced finely with minimizing morbidities, has been confirmed to be essential for extending patients' survival time (1, 5, 6). A broad variety of refinements to achieve optimal resection have been made through the utilization of multiple modalities, including preoperative techniques such as diffusion tensor imaging (7), functional magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) (8-10), or magnetoencephalography (11), and intraoperative modalities such as image guidance (12), photodynamic diagnosis, for instance using 5-aminolevulinic acid (13), functional mapping via awake surgery, intraoperative ultrasound imaging, or intraoperative MRI (14, 15). Nevertheless, the prognosis of this devastating disease has not been substantially improved. Given this state of affairs, anything that can contribute to ameliorating the bleak prognosis of this disease is to be greatly desired.

Current neurosurgical procedure is undergoing what could be considered a transitional era, with a conventional operating microscope (OM), an endoscope, or an exoscope employed divergently according to the lesion or to surgeons' preferences. The OM, which appeared in the 1960s, revolutionized improvement in neurosurgical outcomes (16, 17) by providing a stable, illuminated, magnified, 3-dimensional view, though at the cost of sacrificing the surgeon's ergonomic comfort insofar as a bulky object between the operative field and the surgeon's eyes (18). In contrast, an exoscope, developed through advances in digital imaging, offers an operative view equivalent to an OM and superior ergonomics, though even experts in microsurgery require a certain amount of practice to attain a level clinically comparable to maneuvering under an OM (19). Since an exoscope, as with OM, utilizes illumination from the outside, a sufficiently large opening is necessitated; nevertheless, blind spots are still likely to occur in the deep areas (18). On the other hand, an endoscopic procedure with superior ergonomics can be performed through a narrow opening and corridor and reduce blind spots in deep areas (20). However, its maneuverability is limited by space, and in comparison to other devices, some time is required to acquire a sufficient level of skill. In addition, the current technology of endoscope provides inferior images of the operative field compared to other devices.

Introducing endoscopic surgery through a small opening for glioblastoma removal might benefit patients by virtue of limiting the invasiveness of the procedure, reducing the burden on patients, simplifying wound healing, decreasing the chance of infection, and contributing to the smooth transition to adjuvant treatment. In fact, some reports have described the utilization of an endoscope for glioblastoma surgery through a narrow transparent sheath, and it has been confirmed that endoscopic procedures could be performed as efficaciously as with an OM (21, 22). However, the same reports recommended that the procedure be essentially limited to deep-seated lesions. Moreover, the procedure necessitates initially entering the middle of a lesion, which can sometimes be vascular-rich, creating difficulty in achieving hemostasis through a limited corridor. Therefore, in this study, we attempted to develop an optimal and minimally invasive surgery for glioblastoma, which could be applied even to hypervascular or superficial lesions, by utilizing endovascular tumor embolization and endoscopic removal through an outside-in procedure following inside-out decompression (Figure 1).

### Methods

All procedures performed in this study were in accordance with the 1964 Declaration of Helsinki and its later amendments and were reviewed and approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) at Nagoya City University (IRB number: 60-20-0187). Additionally, written informed consent was obtained from all the participants or appropriate surrogate decision-makers. Between September and November 2020, 6 consecutive glioblastoma patients, who were set to undergo surgical resection, were enrolled in this study. Data regarding clinical manifestation, neuroimaging, intraoperative videos, and surgical outcomes were analyzed.

# Surgical procedure

### Endovascular tumor embolization

Tumor embolization was performed if there was a marked tumor stain and prominent feeding arteries with abnormal shapes, for instance, tortuous or dilated, without passing through branches to the normal brain (Figure 2A). A cone-beam computed tomography (CT) was effective for confirming these findings. Under general anesthesia, a 5Fr guiding catheter was inserted into the main trunk, and a flowguide microcatheter (Marathon; ev3 Neurovascular, Irvine, CA, United States) was advanced into the feeder vessel as close to the tumor as possible. The tumor was embolized with approximately 20% NBCA (Figure 2B). Platinum coils were also used for feeder vessel occlusion to prevent migration of NBCA. After this procedure, tumor removal proceeded continuously, or if time was required until tumor excision, general anesthesia was maintained in the intensive care unit to avoid brain swelling.

### Endoscopic tumor removal

Surgical procedures of endoscopic tumor removal were performed with the aid of 4-mm rigid endoscopes with 0 or 30-degree angled lenses fixed with an exclusive holder (HD-EndoArm, Olympus, Tokyo, Japan), a high-speed drill, an ultrasonic surgical aspirator, and a series of endoscopic surgical instruments with a slender or single shaft. Under general anesthesia, patients were placed with their head positioned so that the location of a key-hole craniotomy was highest in the operative field and the axis from there to the center of the tumor was slightly tilted forward, allowing both hands of the surgeon to assume an ergonomically advantageous position. A key-hole craniotomy, which was simulated preoperatively to determine the proper size and location using an image workstation, was set precisely and performed using an image guidance system (SealthStation S8; Medtronic, Minneapolis, MN, United States) (Figure 3A). The lateral edge of the craniotomy was conically widened to allow, to the extent



#### FIGURE 1

Schematic representation demonstrating surgical procedures. (A) As the first step, the tumor was removed in an inside-out fashion through a transparent sheath inserted into the tumor's center under the guidance of a neuronavigation system. (B) For tumors having a shallow portion, the residual part was removed in an outside-in fashion after obtaining enough space by subsidence of the brain surface through substantial tumor volume reduction



Case 4: lateral view of pre-embolization (A) and post-embolization (B) in digital subtraction angiograms of the right internal carotid artery. Marked tumor stain and prominent feeding arteries with abnormal shapes (arrowheads) disappeared through tumor embolization with 20% NBCA, and normal arteries were preserved.

possible, manipulation over a wide range (Figure 1). After insertion of a transparent sheath with a diameter of 10 mm (NeuroPort; Olympus, Tokyo, Japan) into the center of the tumor, guided by the image guidance system (Figure 3B), an endoscope was introduced. Initially, internal decompression of the tumor was conducted using suction or an ultrasonic surgical aspirator in an inside-out fashion while attaining hemostasis rigorously through cauterization by bipolar forceps with a slender or single shaft, or hemostatic material such as fibrin glue. If a lesion had only a deep-seated portion, it could be entirely removed through repetition of these procedures. For a lesion that also had shallow portions, after obtaining substantial subsidence of the brain surface and sufficient working space by internal decompression, the transparent sheath was taken out (Figure 3C), and the remaining part

was resected in an outside-in fashion through a sufficient cortical incision (Figure 3D). Finally, after confirming hemostasis, BCNU wafers were placed over the extent of the tumor bed. The highlights of the procedure can be seen in Supplementary Video S1.

# Results

Endoscopic removal was successfully performed in six glioblastoma cases as an initial treatment through a key-hole craniotomy approximately 20 mm in diameter. Clinical features and surgical outcomes of six cases were shown in Table 1. Prior to resection, endovascular tumor embolization was performed in four



#### FIGURE 3

Case 2: intraoperative photographs of right cerebellar glioblastoma. (A) Key-hole craniotomy approximately 20mm in diameter. (B) Insertion of 10mm transparent sheath into center of tumor through guidance of neuronavigation system. The tumor was subsequently removed in an inside-out fashion. (C) After obtaining sufficient space by subsidence of brain surface through substantial tumor volume reduction, transparent sheath was removed. (D) Residual portion of tumor resected in an outside-in fashion through sufficient cortical incision.

cases, in which marked tumor stain and proper feeding arteries were detected by preoperative angiography. In all four cases, there were no complications related to tumor embolization such as ischemia or brain swelling. In three cases, where tumor developed only in a deep-seated area, the portion identified on gadolinium-enhanced T1-weighted MRI was removed entirely in one and near-totally in two cases, in an inside-out fashion (Figures 4A-C). In the remaining three cases, the procedure was supplemented with outside-in excision, and gross-total resection in two patients (Figures 4D,E) and near-total resection in one patient was achieved (Figure 4F). In two patients where a premotor area was involved, tumor removal could be performed with minimal deterioration of hemiparesis (one grade on MMT) by identifying and preserving the motor strip and pathway through motor-evoked potential mapping, after creating space by initially removing the forward portion of the lesion, a maneuver which was considered to be relatively safe. One other patient had transient upper extremity motor weakness postoperatively. Intraoperative blood loss exceeded 1,000 ml in only one case, where the patient did not receive preoperative tumor embolization due to the lack of a proper feeder for embolization, despite having a prominent tumor stain. In all patients, a smooth transition to adjuvant therapy was possible with no surgical site infection.

# Discussion

This study successfully demonstrated the feasibility and effectiveness of an endoscopic excision for glioblastoma not only for the deep-seated portion *via* the inside-out procedure through a narrow transparent sheath, but in addition even for the shallow portion *via* the outside-in procedure. Since the goals of surgical

resection of glioblastoma are to provide ample tissue for an accurate histological and genomic diagnosis and continued study of the disease, to relieve symptoms, and to extend patient survival by achieving maximal cytoreduction without morbidity, it was thought that this procedure could realize these aims to nearly the same extent as the ordinary microscopic procedure. Predicted difficulty in manipulation capability through narrow opening and corridor produced virtually no stress even during the inside-out phase through a 10 mm transparent sheath. Furthermore, in the subsequent process preceded by thorough internal decompression, the space through the key-hole craniotomy could be sufficient for adequate removal *via* the outside-in procedure.

Bleeding is one of the most likely complications during the removal of glioblastoma because glioblastoma is often highly vascularized by neoformed vessels, as microvascular proliferation is one of its diagnostic hallmarks (23). Therefore, endovascular tumor embolization was considered indispensable for the smooth and safe progression of an endoscopic glioblastoma excision procedure if the target lesion was vascular-rich. In fact, blood loss relatively increased in the case of hypervascular lesion, which could not be embolized due to a lack of a suitable feeder artery. In such cases, thorough preparation of hemostatic devices and transfusion blood will be required. On the other hand, because glioblastoma is an intraparenchymal tumor, proper selection of intratumoral vessels to be embolized, which were characteristically tortuous and dilated in shape (24), and which ended in the tumor without passing through branches to the normal brain, was considered very important. A cone-beam CT, which does not require particularly exceptional equipment (25), was useful for identifying those findings in this study. In either case, endovascular tumor embolization has not been standard treatment for glioblastoma, and even in this study, it was

Endoscopic Extent of Blood Pathological Survival Complications procedure resection loss diagnosis time	I-O GTR 336 ml Glioblastoma, IDH-wildtype 123 weeks, alive Upper limb motor weakness (transient)	I-O NTR 1,048 ml Glioblatoma, IDH-wildtype 49 weeks None	I-O NTR 106 ml Glioblastoma, IDH-wildtype 29 weeks Deterioration of hemiparesis (persistent)	O-I following I-O GTR 580 ml Glioblastoma, IDH-wildtype 129 weeks, alive None	O-I following I-O GTR 354 ml Glioblatoma, IDH-wildtype 45 weeks None	O-I following I-O NTR 651 ml Glioblatoma, IDH-wildtype 45 weeks Deterioration of hemiparesis (persistent)	
eoperative Endoscopic nbolization procedure	I-0	I-0	s I-O	s O-I following I-O	s O-I following I-O	s O-I following I-O	antinal more defense.
Location Pr er	Rt frontal lobe Nc	Lt parietal lobe Nc	Rt frontal lobe Ye:	Rt frontal lobe Ye.	Rt cerebellum Ye.	Rt frontal lobe Ye.	and and an inclusion later
Size (mm)	$32 \times 31 \times 30$	$40 \times 34 \times 29$	$28 \times 24 \times 36$	$53 \times 51 \times 36$	$55 \times 31 \times 42$	$69 \times 53 \times 49$	dTO 11
Age, Sex	70 years, M	59 years, F	72 years, F	68 years, F	73 years, F	66 years, M	10-1-1
Case	1	2	3	4	5	6	

only performed in four cases. Therefore, a future study including a more significant number of cases will be necessary to establish the efficacy and safety of this technique.

Surgical intervention is confirmed to cause a neurophysiological reflex response involving hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis activation, and results in complex neuroendocrine, inflammatory, metabolic cascade, and immunological responses (26-29). Experimentally, inflammatory mediators, such as CINC1, IL-8, TNF- $\alpha$ , and NO, have also been found to increase proportionately depending on the length of the skin incision experimentally (29). In addition, the reduction of the inflammatory response has been proven with the laparoscopic procedure compared to open surgery (30-32). Therefore, surgical intervention, including skin incision, muscle dissection, and craniotomy, should be minimized not just for the sake of reducing pain and better cosmetic results but also from the standpoint of mitigating the surgical stress response, and the endoscopic procedure was considered to be suitable for its realization even in surgery of glioblastoma.

Surgical site infections (SSI) after cranial surgery, which are an inevitable complication and have been reported to occur in 1-16% of patients (33-42), especially in glioblastoma surgery, would not only lead to the discontinuation of postoperative treatment but would also affect patient survival (33). While various risk factors for SSI have been assumed, e.g., number of operations (34-38, 41), duration of operation (35, 37, 39, 40), emergency operation (37), cerebrospinal fluid leakage (34, 35, 37, 39, 42), CSF drainage (35, 39), and American Society of Anesthesiologists score (>2) including body mass index and diabetes mellitus (34-36, 38, 42), there have also been reports that craniotomy itself could constitute a risk factor (40, 41). Therefore, by using endoscopic resection for glioblastoma through key-hole craniotomy as in this study, it may be possible to reduce SSI to a level similar to that of other organs (43, 44), and facilitate a smooth transition to postoperative therapy.

The largeness of a lesion would not be a contraindicating factor for this procedure since, in principle, the larger the lesion, the larger the space that can hypothetically be secured through an internal decompression. On the other hand, it can be inherently difficult in this procedure, which employs endoscopic surgery through a narrow opening, to remove a lesion which extends widely in a shallow area. Even for such lesions, however, successful removal could be achieved in this study by making space through internal decompression, provided there was the appropriate volume and depth. This study was not able to establish the relationship between the extent of the surface and volume in the deep portion, where this procedure can be successfully utilized. A lesion close to eloquent areas, for which the use of brain mapping would be essential for removal, could be assumed to be another limitation of this procedure. Brain mapping may also be possible if a lesion involving the eloquent area has a significant amount of lesion in the adjacent non-eloquent area, as was the situation in two cases in this study, whose premotor lesions were successfully resected by using motor evoked potential mapping. Moreover, this procedure, performed through small skin incision and craniotomy, may be suitable for awake surgery because of the reduced burden on the patient. Notwithstanding, since the present study is only a preliminary report, including a small number of patients and only a few types of lesions, further studies with more cases are needed to clarify the efficacy and safety of this endoscopic procedure for glioblastoma and to eliminate such limitations.

TABLE 1 Clinical characteristics and outcomes of the six patients with fully endoscopic glioblastoma removal



#### FIGURE 4

Preoperative (three columns on the left) and postoperative (three columns on the right) gadolinium-enhanced magnetic resonance images of all cases. Cases 1, 2, 3 (A–C) were treated through tumor removal only in an inside-out fashion. Cases 4, 5, 6 (D–F) combined excision in an outside-in fashion. As a result, gross-total resection in cases 1, 4, and 5 (A,D,E) and near-total resection in cases 2, 3, and 6 (B,C,F) was achieved.

# Conclusion

Endoscopic removal of glioblastoma using an inside-out style excision for a deep-seated lesion was considered to be a promising procedure, with the addition of an outside-in style extirpation for a shallow portion if needed. This procedure could be performed *via* small skin incision and key-hole craniotomy less invasively and had a positive effect on patients' prognosis, facilitating a smooth transition to postoperative adjuvant therapy. In addition, endovascular tumor embolization, when it was needed before resection, was also considered beneficial. However, a more extensive number of patients will need to be evaluated in future studies to confirm the efficacy and safety of this procedure.

# Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

# **Ethics statement**

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by Institutional Review Board at Nagoya City University. The patients/participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study. Written informed consent was obtained from the individual(s) for the publication of any potentially identifiable images or data included in this article.

# Author contributions

TS: conceptualization, project administration, acquisition, methodology, investigation, resources, data curation, formal analysis, and writing–original draft. MT: methodology, investigation, resources, and writing–review and editing. HY, RF, YN, and SY: methodology, investigation, and resources. MM: conceptualization, funding acquisition, and supervision. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

# Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

### References

1. Young RM, Jamshidi A, Davis G, Sherman JH. Current trends in the surgical management and treatment of adult glioblastoma. *Ann Transl Med.* (2015) 3:121. doi: 10.3978/j.issn.2305-5839.2015.05.10

2. Kobayashi T, Nitta M, Shimizu K, Saito T, Tsuzuki S, Fukui A, et al. Therapeutic options for recurrent glioblastoma-efficacy of Talaporfin sodium mediated photodynamic therapy. *Pharmaceutics*. (2022) 14:353. doi: 10.3390/pharmaceutics14020353

3. Wen PY, Weller M, Lee EQ, Alexander BM, Barnholtz-Sloan JS, Barthel FP, et al. Glioblastoma in adults: a Society for Neuro-Oncology (SNO) and European Society of Neuro-Oncology (EANO) consensus review on current management and future directions. *Neuro-Oncology*. (2020) 22:1073–113. doi: 10.1093/neuonc/noaa106

4. Kinzel A, Ambrogi M, Varshaver M, Kirson ED. Tumor treating fields for glioblastoma treatment: patient satisfaction and compliance with the second-generation Optune((R)) system. *Clin Med Insights Oncol.* (2019) 13:1179554918825449. doi: 10.1177/1179554918825449

5. Li YM, Suki D, Hess K, Sawaya R. The influence of maximum safe resection of glioblastoma on survival in 1229 patients: can we do better than gross-total resection? *J Neurosurg*, (2016) 124:977–88. doi: 10.3171/2015.5.JNS142087

6. Sanai N, Polley MY, McDermott MW, Parsa AT, Berger MS. An extent of resection threshold for newly diagnosed glioblastomas. *J Neurosurg.* (2011) 115:3-8. doi: 10.3171/2011.2.JNS10998

7. Zhu FP, Wu JS, Song YY, Yao CJ, Zhuang DX, Xu G, et al. Clinical application of motor pathway mapping using diffusion tensor imaging tractography and intraoperative direct subcortical stimulation in cerebral glioma surgery: a prospective cohort study. *Neurosurgery*. (2012) 71:1170–84. doi: 10.1227/NEU.0b013e318271bc61

8. Kuchcinski G, Mellerio C, Pallud J, Dezamis E, Turc G, Rigaux-Viode O, et al. Three-tesla functional MR language mapping: comparison with direct cortical stimulation in gliomas. *Neurology*. (2015) 84:560–8. doi: 10.1212/ WNL.000000000001226

9. Trinh VT, Fahim DK, Maldaun MV, Shah K, McCutcheon IE, Rao G, et al. Impact of preoperative functional magnetic resonance imaging during awake craniotomy procedures for intraoperative guidance and complication avoidance. *Stereotact Funct Neurosurg.* (2014) 92:315–22. doi: 10.1159/000365224

10. Roux FE, Boulanouar K, Ranjeva JP, Tremoulet M, Henry P, Manelfe C, et al. Usefulness of motor functional MRI correlated to cortical mapping in Rolandic low-grade astrocytomas. *Acta Neurochir.* (1999) 141:71–9. doi: 10.1007/s007010050268

11. Tarapore PE, Tate MC, Findlay AM, Honma SM, Mizuiri D, Berger MS, et al. Preoperative multimodal motor mapping: a comparison of magnetoencephalography imaging, navigated transcranial magnetic stimulation, and direct cortical stimulation. *J Neurosurg.* (2012) 117:354–62. doi: 10.3171/2012.5.JNS112124

12. Wu JS, Zhou LF, Tang WJ, Mao Y, Hu J, Song YY, et al. Clinical evaluation and follow-up outcome of diffusion tensor imaging-based functional neuronavigation: a prospective, controlled study in patients with gliomas involving pyramidal tracts. *Neurosurgery.* (2007) 61:935–49. doi: 10.1227/01.neu.0000303189.80049.ab

13. Stummer W, Pichlmeier U, Meinel T, Wiestler OD, Zanella F, Reulen HJ, et al. Fluorescence-guided surgery with 5-aminolevulinic acid for resection of malignant glioma: a randomised controlled multicentre phase III trial. *Lancet Oncol.* (2006) 7:392–401. doi: 10.1016/S1470-2045(06)70665-9

14. Serra C, Stauffer A, Actor B, Burkhardt JK, Ulrich NH, Bernays RL, et al. Intraoperative high frequency ultrasound in intracerebral high-grade tumors. *Ultraschall Med.* (2012) 33:E306–12. doi: 10.1055/s-0032-1325369

### Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

## Supplementary material

The Supplementary material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fneur.2023.1170045/ full#supplementary-material

15. Senft C, Bink A, Franz K, Vatter H, Gasser T, Seifert V. Intraoperative MRI guidance and extent of resection in glioma surgery: a randomised, controlled trial. *Lancet Oncol.* (2011) 12:997–1003. doi: 10.1016/s1470-2045(11)70196-6

16. Jamieson KG. Excision of pineal tumors. J Neurosurg. (1971) 35:550-3. doi: 10.3171/jns.1971.35.5.0550

17. Stein BM. The infratentorial supracerebellar approach to pineal lesions. J Neurosurg. (1971) 35:197–202. doi: 10.3171/jns.1971.35.2.0197

18. Ridge SE, Shetty KR, Lee DJ. Heads-up surgery: endoscopes and exoscopes for otology and Neurotology in the era of the COVID-19 pandemic. *Otolaryngol Clin N Am.* (2021) 54:11–23. doi: 10.1016/j.otc.2020.09.024

19. Pafitanis G, Hadjiandreou M, Alamri A, Uff C, Walsh D, Myers S. The exoscope versus operating microscope in microvascular surgery: A simulation non-inferiority trial. *Arch Plast Surg.* (2020) 47:242–9. doi: 10.5999/aps.2019.01473

20. Tanikawa M, Yamada H, Sakata T, Hayashi Y, Sasagawa Y, Watanabe T, et al. Exclusive endoscopic occipital transtentorial approach for pineal region tumors. *World Neurosurg.* (2019) 131:167–73. doi: 10.1016/j.wneu.2019.08.038

21. Ogura K, Tachibana E, Aoshima C, Sumitomo M. New microsurgical technique for intraparenchymal lesions of the brain: transcylinder approach. *Acta Neurochir.* (2006) 148:779–85. doi: 10.1007/s00701-006-0768-7

22. Kassam AB, Engh JA, Mintz AH, Prevedello DM. Completely endoscopic resection of intraparenchymal brain tumors. *J Neurosurg.* (2009) 110:116–23. doi: 10.3171/2008.7.JNS08226

23. Rajagopalan V, Chouhan RS, Pandia MP, Lamsal R, Rath GP. Effect of intraoperative blood loss on perioperative complications and neurological outcome in adult patients undergoing elective brain tumor surgery. *J Neurosci Rural Pract.* (2019) 10:631–40. doi: 10.1055/s-0039-3399487

24. Jain RK, di Tomaso E, Duda DG, Loeffler JS, Sorensen AG, Batchelor TT. Angiogenesis in brain tumours. *Nat Rev Neurosci.* (2007) 8:610-22. doi: 10.1038/ nrn2175

25. Radbruch A, Eidel O, Wiestler B, Paech D, Burth S, Kickingereder P, et al. Quantification of tumor vessels in glioblastoma patients using time-of-flight angiography at 7 tesla: a feasibility study. *PLoS One.* (2014) 9:e110727. doi: 10.1371/journal. pone.0110727

26. Kohl BA, Deutschman CS. The inflammatory response to surgery and trauma. *Curr Opin Crit Care*. (2006) 12:325–32. doi: 10.1097/01. ccx.0000235210.85073.fc

27. Desborough JP. The stress response to trauma and surgery. Br J Anaesth. (2000) 85:109–17. doi: 10.1093/bja/85.1.109

28. Watt DG, Horgan PG, McMillan DC. Routine clinical markers of the magnitude of the systemic inflammatory response after elective operation: a systematic review. *Surgery*. (2015) 157:362–80. doi: 10.1016/j.surg.2014.09.009

29. Ioannidis A, Arvanitidis K, Filidou E, Valatas V, Stavrou G, Michalopoulos A, et al. The length of surgical skin incision in postoperative inflammatory reaction. *JSLS*. (2018) 22:e2018.00045. doi: 10.4293/JSLS.2018.00045

30. Novitsky YW, Litwin DE, Callery MP. The net immunologic advantage of laparoscopic surgery. Surg Endosc. (2004) 18:1411–9. doi: 10.1007/s00464-003-8275-x

31. Kim TK, Yoon JR. Comparison of the neuroendocrine and inflammatory responses after laparoscopic and abdominal hysterectomy. *Korean J Anesthesiol.* (2010) 59:265–9. doi: 10.4097/kjae.2010.59.4.265

32. Evans C, Galustian C, Kumar D, Hagger R, Melville DM, Bodman-Smith M, et al. Impact of surgery on immunologic function: comparison between minimally invasive techniques and conventional laparotomy for surgical resection of colorectal tumors. *Am J Surg.* (2009) 197:238–45. doi: 10.1016/j.amjsurg.2008.01.021

33. Salle H, Deluche E, Couve-Deacon E, Beaujeux AC, Pallud J, Roux A, et al. Surgical site infections after glioblastoma surgery: results of a multicentric retrospective study. *Infection.* (2021) 49:267–75. doi: 10.1007/s15010-020-01534-0

34. Chiang HY, Kamath AS, Pottinger JM, Greenlee JD, Howard MA 3rd, Cavanaugh JE, et al. Risk factors and outcomes associated with surgical site infections after craniotomy or craniectomy. *J Neurosurg.* (2014) 120:509–21. doi: 10.3171/2013.9.JNS13843

35. Fang C, Zhu T, Zhang P, Xia L, Sun C. Risk factors of neurosurgical site infection after craniotomy: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Am J Infect Control.* (2017) 45:e123–34. doi: 10.1016/j.ajic.2017.06.009

36. Jimenez-Martinez E, Cuervo G, Hornero A, Ciercoles P, Gabarros A, Cabellos C, et al. Risk factors for surgical site infection after craniotomy: a prospective cohort study. *Antimicrob Resist Infect Control.* (2019) 8:69. doi: 10.1186/s13756-019-0525-3

37. Korinek AM. Risk factors for neurosurgical site infections after craniotomy: a prospective multicenter study of 2944 patients. The French study Group of Neurosurgical Infections, the SEHP, and the C-CLIN Paris-Nord. Service Epidemiologie hygiene et prevention. *Neurosurgery*. (1997) 41:1073–81. doi: 10.1097/00006123-199711000-00010

38. Abode-Iyamah KO, Chiang HY, Winslow N, Park B, Zanaty M, Dlouhy BJ, et al. Risk factors for surgical site infections and assessment of vancomycin powder as a preventive measure in patients undergoing first-time cranioplasty. *J Neurosurg.* (2018) 128:1241–9. doi: 10.3171/2016.12.JNS161967

39. Shi ZH, Xu M, Wang YZ, Luo XY, Chen GQ, Wang X, et al. Post-craniotomy intracranial infection in patients with brain tumors: a retrospective analysis of 5723 consecutive patients. *Br J Neurosurg.* (2017) 31:5–9. doi: 10.1080/02688697. 2016.1253827

40. Abu Hamdeh S, Lytsy B, Ronne-Engstrom E. Surgical site infections in standard neurosurgery procedures-a study of incidence, impact and potential risk factors. *Br J Neurosurg.* (2014) 28:270–5. doi: 10.3109/02688697.2013.835376

41. Tenney JH, Vlahov D, Salcman M, Ducker TB. Wide variation in risk of wound infection following clean neurosurgery. Implications for perioperative antibiotic prophylaxis. *J Neurosurg.* (1985) 62:243–7. doi: 10.3171/jns.1985.62.2.0243

42. McClelland S 3rd, Hall WA. Postoperative central nervous system infection: incidence and associated factors in 2111 neurosurgical procedures. *Clin Infect Dis.* (2007) 45:55–9. doi: 10.1086/518580

43. Jensen KK, Henriksen NA, Jorgensen LN. Endoscopic component separation for ventral hernia causes fewer wound complications compared to open components separation: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Surg Endosc.* (2014) 28:3046–52. doi: 10.1007/s00464-014-3599-2

44. Shabanzadeh DM, Sorensen LT. Laparoscopic surgery compared with open surgery decreases surgical site infection in obese patients: a systematic review and metaanalysis. *Ann Surg.* (2012) 256:934–45. doi: 10.1097/SLA.0b013e318269a46b