



# Association Between Asymptomatic Vulnerable Carotid Plaques and Cognitive Impairment in Rural Adults

Jia Zhang<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Zixuan Wang<sup>4</sup>, Mingyue Zhou<sup>5</sup>, Jiaokun Jia<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Yanfang Liu<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Anxin Wang<sup>1,2</sup>, Mengyi Guo<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Shengyun Chen<sup>5\*</sup> and Xingquan Zhao<sup>1,2,3\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Neurology, Beijing Tiantan Hospital, Capital Medical University, Beijing, China, <sup>2</sup> China National Clinical Research Center for Neurological Diseases, Beijing Tiantan Hospital, Capital Medical University, Beijing, China, <sup>3</sup> Center of Stroke, Beijing Institute for Brain Disorders, Beijing, China, <sup>4</sup> Department of Infectious Diseases, Beijing Tiantan Hospital, Capital Medical University, Beijing, China, <sup>5</sup> Department of Neurology, Beijing Sanbo Brain Hospital, Capital Medical University, Beijing, China

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

#### Edited by:

Rosanna Tortelli, University College London, United Kingdom

#### Reviewed by:

Magda Tsolaki, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Greece Asli Demirtas-Tatlidede, Bahçeşehir University, Turkey Alexander Yuklun Lau, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, China

#### \*Correspondence:

Shengyun Chen csywindy@163.com Xingquan Zhao zxq@vip.163.com

#### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Dementia and Neurodegenerative Diseases, a section of the journal Frontiers in Neurology

> Received: 16 January 2020 Accepted: 02 June 2020 Published: 07 July 2020

#### Citation:

Zhang J, Wang Z, Zhou M, Jia J, Liu Y, Wang A, Guo M, Chen S and Zhao X (2020) Association Between Asymptomatic Vulnerable Carotid Plaques and Cognitive Impairment in Rural Adults. Front. Neurol. 11:662. doi: 10.3389/fneur.2020.00662 **Background:** The prevalence of cognitive impairment is growing and higher in rural areas. The association between carotid plaque and cognitive impairment remains uncertain, and few studies focused on the cognitive function of the rural population. We designed this study to investigate the association between carotid plaque and cognitive impairment in a rural community.

**Methods:** We enrolled 3,336 participants who underwent carotid ultrasound and cognitive function measurements, free of cerebrovascular diseases, and without neurological deficits, from the China National Stroke Screen Survey program. Cognitive function was evaluated by the Montreal Cognitive Assessment (Beijing version). We used multivariable logistic regression to assess the association between asymptomatic carotid plaques and the presence of cognitive impairment.

**Results:** Nine hundred seventy-six participants had cognitive impairment in this study. After adjustment for potential confounding factors, asymptomatic carotid plaques (odds ratio was 1.35; 95% confidence interval, 1.15–1.58), especially vulnerable carotid plaques (odds ratio was 1.54; 95% confidence interval, 1.28–1.85), were associated with cognitive impairment.

**Conclusion:** In this community-based and observational study, asymptomatic vulnerable carotid plaque is an independent and significant risk factor for cognitive impairment in rural residents.

Keywords: cognitive impairment, asymptomatic vulnerable carotid plaques, atherosclerosis, epidemiology, risk factors

### INTRODUCTION

With society aging, the prevalence of dementia is growing. In China, around 5.6% of individuals aged  $\geq 65$  years old have dementia (1), which accounts for  $\sim 25\%$  of the total number of dementia patients worldwide (2). This senile disease creates enormous challenges for families and society. Meanwhile, with gradually increased prevalence, cognitive impairment, which involved deteriorations in several fields of cognition, is a risk factor for dementia, disability, and other

1

neuropsychiatric diseases (3). In China, the prevalence of dementia is higher in rural areas than in urban areas (4). However, in county hospitals, identification and establishment diagnoses of dementia are even more difficult for lack of neuropsychological experts (2). That means cognitive dysfunction of rural areas and potential risk factors need to be cared for, identified, and managed.

Carotid atherosclerosis is a well-known cause of stroke, and asymptomatic carotid atherosclerosis has a high prevalence in middle-aged and older people (5). Patients with stroke or strokerelated risk factors (such as hypertension, diabetes mellitus) could have cognitive problems (6). So, more and more researchers have focused on the impact of carotid atherosclerosis on cognition. Researchers found that carotid intima-media thickness was a risk factor for cognitive decline (7), but they had a conflict on whether carotid plaques were associated with cognitive impairment (8, 9). Besides, few studies have assessed the association between asymptomatic carotid plaque and cognitive dysfunction in rural China, and few studies have focused on the effect of plaque stability on cognitive function. Early detection of cognitive morbidity in Chinese rural community is of great significance for public health. Thus, the association between asymptomatic carotid plaque and cognitive decline, and the effect of plaque features on cognitive function need to be explored. We conducted this sizeable community-based study to investigate the impact of asymptomatic carotid plaque, especially the effect of plaque stability, on cognitive impairment in a rural population with a high risk of dementia in China.

# METHODS

### **Study Population**

The study is a community-based and observational study in rural Chinese adults. The population was part of the China National Stroke Screen Survey (CNSSS) for the period between September 2015-September 2017. The CNSSS is an ongoing governmental program which is administered by the National Project Office of Stroke Prevention and Control (details can be found at the official website: http://cnstroke.com/ WebManage/InterveneProject/Index). The survey is carried out in 31 provinces of China (not including Hong Kong, Macau, or Taiwan) among residents and aims to stroke prevention and control. Firstly, researchers selected 200 project areas in proportion to the local population size and the numbers of total counties. And then, according to geographical locations and suggestions from local hospitals, an urban community and a rural community were selected from each area as primary units. A two-stage cluster sampling method was used in every primary sampling unit. All residents aged  $\geq 40$  years of the selected communities were invited to join this study during the primary screening. The protocol of the CNSSS has been described in detail previously (10). From September 2015 to September 2017, subjects from rural communities (Beiqijia community, Changping District, Beijing and Konggang community, Shunyi District, Beijing) were enrolled in this survey. The inclusion criteria were as follows: (1)  $\geq$ 40 years old, (2) target community residents as well as living in the community for at least half

of a year before the survey date. A total of 5,188 residents were enrolled. Then we excluded 1,852 subjects who meet the exclusion criteria. Finally, 3,336 subjects were included in the present study. The exclusion criteria were as follows: (1) a history of stroke or TIA, (2) presence of neurologic deficits for the previous stroke, (3) a history of psychosis or schizophrenia disease, (4) other factors could interfere cognitive assessment, such as hearing loss, visual impairment, difficult to cooperate. All subjects underwent cognitive assessment, laboratory assessment, and carotid duplex sonography besides a standard questionnaire. The database was comprised of all the above information. The standard questionnaire included demographic information (such as age, sex, education, and occupation), modifiable lifestyle risk factors (such as smoking, drinking, and physical inactivity), medical history (such as hypertension, diabetes mellitus, and dyslipidemia), family history and other essential information (5). All the staff involved were trained and evaluated by the CNSSS. Senior investigators were responsible for the quality control process of screening and data entry. Researchers entered data into a database in a double-blind manner using EpiData 3.1. Written informed consent had been obtained from each participant. The study was performed according to the ethical guidelines of the 1975 Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the Ethics Committee of Xuanwu Hospital and Beijing Tiantan Hospital.

# **Assessment of Cognitive Function**

Cognitive function was measured by the Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA) (Beijing version). MoCA is a screening tool with high sensitivity and specificity in detecting cognitive decline (11, 12). The assessment consists of a 30-point test, including visuospatial/executive function, naming, attention, language, abstraction, memory, and orientation. MoCA score needs to be added 1 point when the subject is educated 12 years or less if the total score is < 30 points (13). The previous study found that MoCA cut-off value to detect cognitive impairment was 21/22, at which the sensitivity and specificity were 68.7 and 63.9%, respectively (14). So, in the present study, cognitive impairment was defined as an overall MoCA score < 22 points.

# Assessment of Carotid Ultrasound

Carotid ultrasound examinations were performed by qualified ultrasound technologists using the iU22 (Philips Healthcare) with a 6 to 10 MHz linear array transducer. All of the ultrasound technologists received unified training by ultrasound experts and passed the theoretical and practical exams before participating in the CNSSS project. A fixed ultrasound expert group was responsible for the on-site supervision of the examination and the quality control of results. After quality control, ultrasound test results could be entered into the database. All procedures were performed in accordance with the Chinses stroke vascular ultrasound examination guidelines (15). We detected plaques on each common carotid artery, bifurcation of the common carotid artery, and internal carotid artery, both near and far walls of these arterial parts were detected in longitudinal and transverse planes. All the detailed procedures were described previously (5). Carotid plaque was defined as a focal thickening of at least 50% greater than surrounding wall thickness or an

Vulnerable Carotid Plaques and Cognition

intima-media thickness  $\geq 1.5$  mm. The stability of carotid plaque was determined via carotid ultrasound based on the morphology of plaque. Ultrasonographers recorded surface, echogenicity, and plaque texture during the examination. A vulnerable plaque was defined as anechogenic or heterogeneous plaque or plaque with ulcerative or irregular surfaces (15).

### **Potential Covariates Assessment**

We used standard questionnaires to collect demographic information (e.g., age, sex, education), smoking and drinking status, and medical history. We recorded weight and height, and calculated body mass index. Smoking and drinking status were classified as "never" and "current," according to selfreported information. The participants needed to ask the frequency of drinking ("never," "hardly ever," or " $\geq$  3 times per week"). Hypertension was defined as a self-reported history, systolic blood pressure ≥140 mmHg, diastolic blood pressure >90 mmHg, or taking antihypertensive medications (16). Diabetes mellitus was defined as a self-reported history, fasting blood glucose level ≥7.0 mmol/L, or any use of insulin or oral hypoglycemic agents (16). Dyslipidemia was defined as the presence of a history of dyslipidemia, triglycerides  $\geq 1.7$ mmol/L, total cholesterol ≥5.17 mmol/L, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol  $\geq$  3.37 mmol/L, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol <1.04 mmol/L, or treated with lipid-lowering medications (16). Myocardial infarction and atrial fibrillation were defined as self-reported histories. Hyperhomocysteinemia was identified as plasma homocysteine level >15  $\mu$ mol/L (17).

### **Statistical Analysis**

Statistical analysis was performed using Statistic Package for Social Science (SPSS) 23.0 for mac (IBM-SPSS, Chicago, Illinois, USA). Because the number of drinking > 3 times per week group was small (n = 86), we treated this group together with "hardly ever." So, the classified of the frequency of drinking was the same as the drinking status. Continuous variables were presented as mean and standard deviation (SD) (for normally distributed), or medians and interquartile ranges (IQR) (for nonnormally distributed). Categorical variables were presented as frequencies and percentages. Depending on the distribution of continuous variables, we used Student's t-test, Mann-Whitney test, or Kruskal-Wallis test to compare them. The chi-squared test or Fisher's test was used for categorical variables. We used logistic regression to assess the association between asymptomatic carotid plaques and the presence of cognitive impairment, and calculated odds rates (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs). All the statistical tests were two-sided, and a *p*-value <0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

## RESULTS

Of 3,336 participants included, 1,446 (43.3%) had carotid plaques, 874 (26.2%) were detected with vulnerable plaques, and 572 (17.1%) with stable plaques, 976 (29.3%) subjects were identified having cognitive impairment. Of all subjects, the mean age was  $58.43 \pm 8.43$  years, 1,546 (46.3%) were male, 1,790

**TABLE 1** | Clinical characteristics of study population according to the MoCA score.

| Characteristics                | Total<br>n = 3336 | MoCA ≥ 22<br>n = 2360<br>(70.7%) | MoCA < 22<br>n = 976<br>(29.3%) | p       |
|--------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------|
| Age, years                     | 58.43 ± 8.43      | 57.44 ± 8.39                     | 60.84 ± 8.04                    | <0.001  |
| Sex, n (%)                     |                   |                                  |                                 | 0.360   |
| Male                           | 1,546 (46.3)      | 1,106 (46.9)                     | 440 (45.1)                      |         |
| Female                         | 1,790 (53.7)      | 1,254 (53.1)                     | 536 (54.9)                      |         |
| Education, n (%)               |                   |                                  |                                 |         |
| $\leq$ 12 years                | 3,147 (94.3)      | 2,195 (93.0)                     | 952 (97.5)                      | < 0.001 |
| >12 years                      | 189 (5.7)         | 165 (7.0)                        | 24 (2.5)                        |         |
| Smoking, n (%)                 | 868 (26.0)        | 621 (26.3)                       | 247 (25.3)                      | 0.573   |
| Drinking, n (%)                | 854 (25.6)        | 628 (26.6)                       | 226 (23.2)                      | 0.040   |
| Hypertension, n (%)            | 1,074 (32.2)      | 744 (31.5)                       | 330 (33.8)                      | 0.207   |
| Dyslipidemia, n (%)            | 2,401 (72.0)      | 1,718 (72.8)                     | 683 (70.0)                      | 0.107   |
| Diabetes mellitus, n (%)       | 682 (20.5)        | 464 (19.7)                       | 219 (22.4)                      | 0.073   |
| BMI, n (%)                     |                   |                                  |                                 | 0.198   |
| <30 kg/m <sup>2</sup>          | 2,891 (86.7)      | 2,057 (87.2)                     | 834 (85.5)                      |         |
| $\geq$ 30 kg/m <sup>2</sup>    | 445 (13.3)        | 303 (12.8)                       | 142 (14.5)                      |         |
| Heart diseases, n (%)          | 204 (6.1)         | 139 (5.9)                        | 65 (6.7)                        | 0.427   |
| Hyperhomocysteinemia,<br>n (%) | 1,512 (45.3)      | 1,036 (43.9)                     | 476 (48.8)                      | 0.010   |
| Carotid plaques, n (%)         | 1,446 (43.3)      | 948 (40.2)                       | 498 (51.0)                      | < 0.001 |
| Vulnerable carotid<br>plaques  | 874 (26.2)        | 549 (23.3)                       | 325 (33.3)                      | < 0.001 |

Data are presented as mean (SD), or N (%).

No., number; MoCA, Montreal Cognitive Assessment (Bejing version); BMI, body mass index.

(53.7%) were female, and the median score of the MoCA was 24 (IQR: 21, 27).

The overall clinical characteristics of the study population, according to the MoCA score, are presented in **Table 1**. There were significant differences in age, education, drinking, history of hyperhomocysteinemia, presence of asymptomatic carotid plaques, and vulnerable carotid plaques between two groups. Compared with subjects with MoCA score  $\geq$ 22 points (intact cognition), subjects with MoCA score <22 points (cognitive impairment) were older (60.84  $\pm$  8.04 years vs. 57.44  $\pm$  8.39 years, *p* < 0.001), lower educated (ratio of 12 years of education or less: 97.5 vs. 93.0%, *p* < 0.001), having higher prevalence of hyperhomocysteinemia (48.8 vs. 43.9%, *p* = 0.010), having more presence of asymptomatic carotid plaques (51.0 vs. 40.2%, *p* < 0.001), and vulnerable carotid plaques (33.3 vs. 23.3%, *p* < 0.001), and have lower prevalence of drinking (23.3 vs. 26.6%, *p* = 0.040).

**Table 2** shows the association between asymptomatic carotid plaques and cognitive impairment in regression models. In univariate logistic regression analysis, the asymptomatic carotid plaque was associated with the presence of cognitive impairment, OR value was 1.55 (95% CI, 1.34–1.80), p < 0.001. After adjusting for age, sex, education level, and other potential confounding factors, the carotid plaque was still an indicator of cognitive impairment, multivariate-adjusted OR value was 1.35 (95% CI, 1.15–1.58), p < 0.001. Then

TABLE 2 | Odds ratios for cognitive impairment according to asymptomatic carotid plaques.

|             | Carotid plaques   |                    |        |  |  |
|-------------|-------------------|--------------------|--------|--|--|
|             | No<br>OR (95% CI) | Yes<br>OR (95% Cl) | p      |  |  |
| Crude model | Reference         | 1.55 (1.34–1.80)   | <0.001 |  |  |
| Model 1     | Reference         | 1.32 (1.12–1.54)   | 0.001  |  |  |
| Model 2     | Reference         | 1.34 (1.14–1.57)   | <0001  |  |  |
| Model 3     | Reference         | 1.35 (1.15–1.58)   | <0.001 |  |  |
|             |                   | <b>.</b>           |        |  |  |

|             | No<br>OR (95% CI) | Stable plaques<br>OR (95% Cl) | p     | Vulnerable plaques<br>OR (95% CI) | р       |  |
|-------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|-------|-----------------------------------|---------|--|
| Crude model | Reference         | 1.28 (1.04–1.57)              | 0.019 | 1.75 (1.47–2.08)                  | <0.001  |  |
| Model 1     | Reference         | 1.10 (0.89–1.37)              | 0.373 | 1.53 (1.28–1.84)                  | < 0.001 |  |
| Model 2     | Reference         | 1.09 (0.88–1.35)              | 0.443 | 1.53 (1.28–1.84)                  | < 0.001 |  |
| Model 3     | Reference         | 1.09 (0.88–1.36)              | 0.416 | 1.54 (1.28–1.85)                  | <0.001  |  |

OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.

Model 1, adjusted for age, sex, and education; Model 2, adjusted for age, sex, education, drinking, diabetes mellitus, and hyperhomocysteinemia; Model 3, adjusted for age, sex, education, smoking, drinking, hypertension, dyslipidemia, diabetes mellitus, heart diseases, hyperhomocysteinemia, and body mass index.

we divided all subjects into three groups according to plaque stability and performed analyses. Results indicated that vulnerable plaque was associated with cognitive impairment after adjusting for any possible confounding factors, the multivariate-adjusted OR value was 1.54 (95% CI, 1.28–1.85), p < 0.001.

Further analyses of the interaction effects in predefined subgroups were shown in **Table 3**. There was no evidence of significant interaction effects on the association between asymptomatic vulnerable carotid plaques and cognitive impairments in any predefined subgroups (all p for interaction > 0.05) though heart disease showed a trend for significance.

### DISCUSSION

In this large community-based study, we investigated the cognitive function of rural adults and found that the presence of cognitive impairment was 29.3%. The main results of our study were that asymptomatic carotid plaques, especially the vulnerable plaques, were associated with a decline in cognition. We did not find that age, sex, education, smoking, drinking, hypertension, dyslipidemia, diabetes mellitus, heart disease (myocardial infarction, and atrial fibrillation), hyperhomocysteinemia, or BMI had effects on the association between vulnerable plaques and cognitive decline.

In Chinese rural areas, in addition to having a higher prevalence of cognitive impairment, the prevalence of atherosclerotic carotid disease is also higher (41.6%) than in urban areas (30.8%) (5). In our study, we focused on both cognitive impairment and carotid atherosclerosis and explored the relationship between asymptomatic carotid plaque and cognitive dysfunction. To our knowledge, our study is the first study to provide evidence for the association between asymptomatic vulnerable carotid plaques and cognitive function in Chinese rural people. Based on our results, medical professionals need to pay more attention to rural patients with asymptomatic vulnerable carotid plaques to early identify cognitive impairment and prevent the development of the decline in cognition.

Several researches have associated carotid atherosclerosis with cognitive impairment, but these researches only evaluated the presence and some plaque features including site, number, and total plaque area of carotid plaque (8, 18-20). However, some studies controversially found that carotid plaque was not a risk factor for cognitive impairment (21, 22). Note that none of the above studies evaluated the stability of carotid plaques. We found the conflict results of these studies may be caused by that they did not assess the stability of carotid plaques. A few studies (23-25) focused on the stability of carotid plaques and indicated the association between vulnerable plaques and cognitive impairment. Our results were in line with them. Our study explored the effects of both the presence and stability of carotid plaque on cognitive function and favored the association between vulnerable carotid plaque and the decline in cognition.

There are some potential mechanisms that may explain the relationship between carotid plaque and cognitive impairment. Rupture of vulnerable carotid plaque followed by thromboembolism is one of the major causes of ischemic stroke (26), and the cerebral emboli are believed to be one of the potential causes of cognitive impairment (27). Besides, carotid atherosclerosis could decrease cerebral perfusion pressure. Cerebral hypoperfusion may contribute to brain dysfunction, which was related to the onset of clinical dementia (28). All of these may impair brain structure. Studies found

| Subgroup              | Stability of carotid plaques |                               |       |                                   |         |                  |
|-----------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------|-----------------------------------|---------|------------------|
|                       | No plaques<br>OR (95% Cl)    | Stable plaques<br>OR (95% CI) | p     | Vulnerable plaques<br>OR (95% CI) | p       | P<br>interaction |
| Age                   |                              |                               |       |                                   |         | 0.203            |
| <60 years             | Reference                    | 1.37 (0.99–1.90)              | 0.055 | 1.58 (1.19–2.11)                  | 0.002   |                  |
| $\geq$ 60 years       | Reference                    | 0.93 (0.69–1.24)              | 0.621 | 1.52 (1.17–1.96)                  | 0.001   |                  |
| Sex                   | Reference                    |                               |       |                                   |         | 0.819            |
| Male                  | Reference                    | 1.19 (0.87–1.63)              | 0.265 | 1.66 (1.26–2.17)                  | < 0.001 |                  |
| Female                | Reference                    | 1.03 (0.76-1.40)              | 0.847 | 1.52 (1.17–1.99)                  | 0.002   |                  |
| Education             | Reference                    |                               |       |                                   |         | 0.831            |
| $\leq$ 12 years       | Reference                    | 1.11 (0.89–1.38)              | 0.359 | 1.58 (1.30–1.91)                  | <0.001  |                  |
| >12 years             | Reference                    | 0.93 (0.20-4.24)              | 0.929 | 1.46 (0.48-4.23)                  | 0.509   |                  |
| Smoking               | Reference                    |                               |       |                                   |         | 0.134            |
| Yes                   | Reference                    | 1.32 (0.87-1.98)              | 0.188 | 1.28 (0.88–1.84)                  | 0.192   |                  |
| No                    | Reference                    | 1.02 (0.79–1.33)              | 0.861 | 1.74 (1.39–2.16)                  | < 0.001 |                  |
| Drinking              | Reference                    |                               |       |                                   |         | 0.741            |
| Yes                   | Reference                    | 1.20 (0.77-1.86)              | 0.426 | 1.69 (1.16-2.46)                  | 0.007   |                  |
| No                    | Reference                    | 1.09 (0.85–1.40)              | 0.497 | 1.53 (1.23–1.91)                  | <0.001  |                  |
| Hypertension          | Reference                    |                               |       |                                   |         | 0.433            |
| Yes                   | Reference                    | 1.26 (0.87-1.82)              | 0.224 | 1.81 (1.31–2.50)                  | < 0.001 |                  |
| No                    | Reference                    | 1.04 (0.79–1.37)              | 0.771 | 1.49 (1.18–1.89)                  | 0.001   |                  |
| Dyslipidemia          | Reference                    |                               |       |                                   |         | 0.896            |
| Yes                   | Reference                    | 1.13 (0.87–1.45)              | 0.359 | 1.55 (1.24–1.93)                  | <0.001  |                  |
| No                    | Reference                    | 1.04 (0.68–1.59)              | 0.858 | 1.74 (1.20-2.52)                  | 0.003   |                  |
| Diabetes mellitus     | Reference                    |                               |       |                                   |         | 0.828            |
| Yes                   | Reference                    | 0.94 (0.59-1.51)              | 0.802 | 1.54 (1.04–2.27)                  | 0.029   |                  |
| No                    | Reference                    | 1.15 (0.90–1.47)              | 0.261 | 1.59 (1.28–1.97)                  | < 0.001 |                  |
| Heart diseases        | Reference                    |                               |       |                                   |         | 0.062            |
| Yes                   | Reference                    | 2.88 (1.06-7.81)              | 0.038 | 2.75 (1.18-6.43)                  | 0.019   |                  |
| No                    | Reference                    | 1.04 (0.83-1.30)              | 0.753 | 1.53 (1.26–1.8)                   | < 0.001 |                  |
| HHCY                  | Reference                    |                               |       |                                   |         | 0.206            |
| Yes                   | Reference                    | 0.96 (0.70-1.30)              | 0.780 | 1.65 (1.26–2.15)                  | <0.001  |                  |
| No                    | Reference                    | 1.30 (0.96–1.76)              | 0.095 | 1.48 (1.13–1.94)                  | 0.004   |                  |
| BMI                   | Reference                    | . ,                           |       |                                   |         | 0.914            |
| <30 kg/m <sup>2</sup> | Reference                    | 1.11 (0.88–1.41)              | 0.367 | 1.57 (1.28–1.93)                  | <0.001  |                  |
| ≥30 kg/m <sup>2</sup> | Reference                    | 1.08 (0.60–1.97)              | 0.790 | 1.68 (1.01–2.80)                  | 0.045   |                  |
| -                     |                              |                               |       |                                   |         |                  |

TABLE 3 | Association between cognitive impairment and asymptomatic carotid plaques in predefined subgroups.

OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; Ref, reference; HHCY, hyperhomocysteinemia; BMI, body mass index.

Adjusted for age, sex, education, smoking, drinking, hypertension, dyslipidemia, diabetes mellitus, heart diseases, hyperhomocysteinemia, and body mass index.

that silent brain infarction may play an intermediate role in atherosclerotic carotid disease and cognitive impairment (29). The silent brain infarcts increased the risk of dementia (30). Carotid plaques were also associated with white matter lesions, which were also the pathogenesis of cognitive impairment (31, 32). Furthermore, carotid atherosclerosis, especially vulnerable plaque, may be a marker of inflammation and endothelial dysfunction. These pathogenic pathways may cause brain atrophy, which could lead to cognitive impairment (33, 34).

Different from previous studies assessing several specific cognitive domains or evaluating general cognition by minimental state examination (MMSE), we used MoCA to

measure general cognitive function. MoCA covers more cognition domains and is more sensitive in identifying mild cognitive impairment compared with MMSE (12). Using MoCA is good for detecting cognitive decline earlier. In our study, we identified a higher prevalence of cognitive decline in Chinese rural areas via MoCA, and people with vulnerable carotid plaques were at high risk of cognitive impairment. Community doctors and local health systems need to pay more attention to the cognitive function of rural populations with asymptomatic vulnerable carotid plaque. Early identification and intervention of asymptomatic vulnerable carotid plaque are necessary to prevent the progression of cognitive decline.

The strength of the present study includes large sample size and focusing on the stability of carotid plaques. Several limitations of our study need to be noted. We used the ultrasound examination and did not assess the thickness of the plaques. Further studies need to assess the thickness of the plaques and use more accurate examination, such as high-resolution magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), to validate our findings. We did not do the cranial MRI test, which could detect such as silent embolic infarcts, white matter lesions, brain atrophy, and evaluate the vertebrobasilar system. Besides, education years affect cognitive assessment performance. We need to collect more detailed information on education to confirm our results in future studies. The present study showed a potential trend of an interaction of heart disease with vulnerable carotid plaques on cognitive impairment, which was needed to be confirmed in future studies. Our study was conducted in Asians. More studies, including other races, ethnicities, and nations, are needed to confirm our findings. All of these limitations need to be addressed in future studies.

## CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the study results suggest that asymptomatic vulnerable carotid plaque is an independent and significant risk factor for cognitive impairment in rural Chinese population.

## DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The datasets generated for this study are available on request to the corresponding author.

## REFERENCES

- Huang Y, Wang Y, Wang H, Liu Z, Yu X, Yan J, et al. Prevalence of mental disorders in China: a cross-sectional epidemiological study. *Lancet Psychiatry*. (2019) 6:211–24. doi: 10.1016/S2215-0366(18)30511-X
- Jia L, Quan M, Fu Y, Zhao T, Li Y, Wei C, et al. Dementia in China: epidemiology, clinical management, and research advances. *Lancet Neurol.* (2020) 19:81–92. doi: 10.1016/S1474-4422(19)30290-X
- 3. Weinstein G, Lutski M, Goldbourt U, Tanne D. C-reactive protein is related to future cognitive impairment and decline in elderly individuals with cardiovascular disease. *Arch Gerontol Geriatr.* (2017) 69:31–7. doi: 10.1016/j.archger.2016.11.002
- Jia J, Wang F, Wei C, Zhou A, Jia X, Li F, et al. The prevalence of dementia in urban and rural areas of China. *Alzheimer's Dement*. (2014) 10:1–9. doi: 10.1016/j.jalz.2013.01.012
- Wang X, Li W, Song F, Wang L, Fu Q, Cao S, et al. Carotid atherosclerosis detected by ultrasonography: a national cross-sectional study. J Am Heart Assoc. (2018) 7:e008701. doi: 10.1161/JAHA.118.008701
- O'Brien JT, Erkinjuntti T, Reisberg B, Roman G, Sawada T, Pantoni L, et al. Vascular cognitive impairment. *Lancet Neurol.* (2003) 2:89–98. doi: 10.1016/S1474-4422(03)00305-3
- Romero JR, Beiser A, Seshadri S, Benjamin EJ, Polak JF, Vasan RS, et al. Carotid artery atherosclerosis, MRI indices of brain ischemia, aging, and cognitive impairment: the Framingham study. *Stroke.* (2009) 40:1590–6. doi: 10.1161/STROKEAHA.108.535245
- Arntzen KA, Schirmer H, Johnsen SH, Wilsgaard T, Mathiesen EB. Carotid artery plaque progression and cognitive decline: the Tromso Study 1994–2008. *Eur J Neurol.* (2012) 19:1318–24. doi: 10.1111/j.1468-1331.2012.03728.x

# ETHICS STATEMENT

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by Xuanwu Hospital and Beijing Tiantan Hospital. The patients/participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

# **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

JZ interpreted the data and drafted the manuscript. ZW made review and editing. MZ and JJ acquired the data. YL, AW, and MG analyzed the data and prepared the manuscript. SC designed the research. XZ designed the research and handled funding and supervision. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

# FUNDING

This work was supported by the Ministry of Finance of the People's Republic of China [issued by Finance and Social Security [2015] Document No. 82 and [2016] Document No. 50, Ministry of Finance], Beijing Municipal Administration of Hospitals' Ascent Plan (Code DFL20150501), and National Science and Technology Major Project (2017ZX09304018).

# ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank all of the staffs and participants of the China National Stroke Screen Survey program.

- Newman AB, Fitzpatrick AL, Lopez O, Jackson S, Lyketsos C, Jagust W, et al. Dementia and Alzheimer's disease incidence in relationship to cardiovascular disease in the cardiovascular health study cohort. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* (2005) 53:1101–7. doi: 10.1111/j.1532-5415.2005.53360.x
- Longde W, Ling Y, Yang H, Yi Z, Yongjun W, Xunming J, et al. Fixed-dose combination treatment after stroke for secondary prevention in China: a national community-based study. *Stroke.* (2015) 46:1295–300. doi: 10.1161/STROKEAHA.114.007384
- Gluhm S, Goldstein J, Loc K, Colt A, Liew CV, Corey-Bloom J. Cognitive performance on the mini-mental state examination and the montreal cognitive assessment across the healthy adult lifespan. *Cogn Behav Neurol.* (2013) 26:1–5. doi: 10.1097/WNN.0b013e31828b7d26
- Nasreddine ZS, Phillips NA, Bedirian V, Charbonneau S, Whitehead V, Collin I, et al. The montreal cognitive assessment, MoCA: a brief screening tool for mild cognitive impairment. J Am Geriatr Soc. (2005) 53:695–9. doi: 10.1111/j.1532-5415.2005.53221.x
- Popovic IM, Seric V, Demarin V. Mild cognitive impairment in symptomatic and asymptomatic cerebrovascular disease. J Neurol Sci. (2007) 257:185–93. doi: 10.1016/j.jns.2007.01.029
- Yu J, Li J, Huang X. The Beijing version of the montreal cognitive assessment as a brief screening tool for mild cognitive impairment: a communitybased study. *BMC psychiatry*. (2012) 12:156. doi: 10.1186/1471-244X-12-156
- Hua Y, Hui P, Xing Y. Chinese stroke vascular ultrasound examination guidelines. *Chin J Med Ultrasound*. (2015) 12:599–610. doi: 10.3877/cma.j.issn.1672-6448.2015.08.004
- 16. Wang J, Liu Y, Zhang L, Li N, Wang C, Gao X, et al. Associations of high sensitivity C-reactive protein levels with the prevalence of

asymptomatic intracranial arterial stenosis. *Eur J Neurol.* (2014) 21:512–8. doi: 10.1111/ene.12342

- Malinow MR, Bostom AG, Krauss RM. Homocyst(e)ine, diet, and cardiovascular diseases: a statement for healthcare professionals from the Nutrition Committee, American Heart Association. *Circulation*. (1999) 99:178–82. doi: 10.1161/01.CIR.99.1.178
- Arntzen KA, Schirmer H, Johnsen SH, Wilsgaard T, Mathiesen EB. Carotid atherosclerosis predicts lower cognitive test results: a 7-year follow-up study of 4,371 stroke-free subjects - the Tromso study. *Cerebrovasc Dis.* (2012) 33:159–65. doi: 10.1159/000334182
- Zhong W, Cruickshanks KJ, Huang GH, Klein BE, Klein R, Nieto FJ, et al. Carotid atherosclerosis and cognitive function in midlife: the beaver dam offspring study. *Atherosclerosis.* (2011) 219:330–3. doi: 10.1016/j.atherosclerosis.2011.07.013
- Wendell CR, Waldstein SR, Ferrucci L, O'Brien RJ, Strait JB, Zonderman AB. Carotid atherosclerosis and prospective risk of dementia. *Stroke.* (2012) 43:3319–24. doi: 10.1161/STROKEAHA.112.672527
- Gardener H, Caunca MR, Dong C, Cheung YK, Elkind MSV, Sacco RL, et al. Ultrasound markers of carotid atherosclerosis and cognition: The Northern Manhattan Study. *Stroke*. (2017) 48:1855–61. doi: 10.1161/STROKEAHA.117.016921
- Zhong W, Cruickshanks KJ, Schubert CR, Acher CW, Carlsson CM, Klein BE, et al. Carotid atherosclerosis and 10-year changes in cognitive function. *Atherosclerosis.* (2012) 224:506–10. doi: 10.1016/j.atherosclerosis.2012.07.024
- Wang X, Jackson DC, Mitchell CC, Varghese T, Hermann BP, Kliewer MA, et al. Estimation of ultrasound strain indices in carotid plaque and correlation to cognitive dysfunction. *Conf Proc IEEE Eng Med Biol Soc.* (2014) 2014:5627–30. doi: 10.1109/EMBC.2014.6944903
- Wang X, Jackson DC, Varghese T, Mitchell CC, Hermann BP, Kliewer MA, et al. Correlation of cognitive function with ultrasound strain indices in carotid plaque. *Ultrasound Med Biol.* (2014) 40:78–89. doi: 10.1016/j.ultrasmedbio.2013.08.001
- 25. Wang X, Jackson DC, Mitchell CC, Varghese T, Wilbrand SM, Rocque BG, et al. Classification of Symptomatic and Asymptomatic Patients with and without Cognitive decline using non-invasive carotid plaque strain indices as biomarkers. *Ultrasound Med Biol.* (2016) 42:909–18. doi: 10.1016/j.ultrasmedbio.2015.11.025
- 26. Zhao H, Zhao X, Liu X, Cao Y, Hippe DS, Sun J, et al. Association of carotid atherosclerotic plaque features with acute ischemic stroke: a magnetic resonance imaging study. *Eur J Radiol.* (2013) 82:e465–70. doi: 10.1016/j.ejrad.2013.04.014

- Dempsey RJ, Vemuganti R, Varghese T, Hermann BP. A review of carotid atherosclerosis and vascular cognitive declinea new understanding of the keys to symptomology. *Neurosurgery.* (2010) 67:493–4. doi: 10.1227/01.NEU.0000371730.11404.36
- Ruitenberg A, den Heijer T, Bakker SLM, van Swieten JC, Koudstaal PJ, Hofman A, et al. Cerebral hypoperfusion and clinical onset of dementia: the Rotterdam study. *Ann Neurol.* (2005) 57:789–94. doi: 10.1002/ana.20493
- Mathiesen EB, Waterloo K, Joakimsen O, Bakke SJ, Jacobsen EA, Bonaa KH. Reduced neuropsychological test performance in asymptomatic carotid stenosis: the Tromso study. *Neurology*. (2004) 62:695–701. doi: 10.1212/01.WNL.0000113759.80877.1F
- Vermeer SE, Prins ND, den Heijer T, Hofman A, Koudstaal PJ, Breteler MM. Silent brain infarcts and the risk of dementia and cognitive decline. N Engl J Med. (2003) 348:1215–22. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa022066
- Breteler MM, van Swieten JC, Bots ML, Grobbee DE, Claus JJ, van den Hout JH, et al. Cerebral white matter lesions, vascular risk factors, and cognitive function in a population-based study: the Rotterdam Study. *Neurology*. (1994) 44:1246–52. doi: 10.1212/WNL.44.7.1246
- 32. de Leeuw FE, de Groot JC, Bots ML, Witteman JCM, Oudkerk M, Hofman A, et al. Carotid atherosclerosis and cerebral white matter lesions in a population based magnetic resonance imaging study. J Neurol. (2000) 247:291–6. doi: 10.1007/s004150050586
- Stampfer MJ. Cardiovascular disease and Alzheimer's disease: common links. *Journal of internal medicine*. (2006) 260:211–23. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2796.2006.01687.x
- 34. Dede DS, Yavuz B, Yavuz BB, Cankurtaran M, Halil M, Ulger Z, et al. Assessment of endothelial function in Alzheimer's disease: is Alzheimer's disease a vascular disease? J Am Geriatr Soc. (2007) 55:1613–7. doi: 10.1111/j.1532-5415.2007.01378.x

**Conflict of Interest:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2020 Zhang, Wang, Zhou, Jia, Liu, Wang, Guo, Chen and Zhao. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.