



Reliability of Assessing Non-severe Elevation of Intracranial Pressure Using Optic Nerve Sheath Diameter and Transcranial Doppler Parameters

Li-min Chen^{1†}, Li-juan Wang^{1†}, Lin Shi², Hong-xiu Chen¹, Xiao-han Jiang¹, Qian-qian Chen¹ and Ying-qi Xing^{1*}

¹ Department of Neurology and Neuroscience Center, The First Hospital of Jilin University, Changchun, China, ² Department of Neurosurgery, The Affiliated Hospital of Changchun University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Changchun, China

Background/Aims: Non-invasive measurement of intracranial pressure (ICP) using ultrasound has garnered increasing attention. This study aimed to compare the reliability of ultrasonographic measurement of optic nerve sheath diameter (ONSD) and transcranial Doppler (TCD) in detecting potential ICP elevations.

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Michael S. Salman, Children's Hospital Foundation, Canada

Reviewed by:

Essam Mohamed Elmatbouly Saber, Benha University, Egypt Maurizio Versino, University of Pavia, Italy

> *Correspondence: Ying-qi Xing xingyq2009@sina.com

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Neuro-Ophthalmology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Neurology

Received: 07 July 2019 Accepted: 30 September 2019 Published: 22 October 2019

Citation:

Chen L, Wang L, Shi L, Chen H, Jiang X, Chen Q and Xing Y (2019) Reliability of Assessing Non-severe Elevation of Intracranial Pressure Using Optic Nerve Sheath Diameter and Transcranial Doppler Parameters. Front. Neurol. 10:1091. doi: 10.3389/fneur.2019.01091 **Methods:** Patients who needed lumbar puncture (LP) in the Department of Neurology were recruited from December 2016 to July 2017. The ONSD and TCD measurements were completed before LP.

Results: One hundred sixty-five participants (mean age, 41.96 ± 14.64 years; 80 men; 29 patients with elevated ICP) were included in this study. The mean ICP was 170 \pm 52 mmH₂O (range, 75–400 mmH₂O). Univariate analyses revealed that ICP was non-significantly associated with TCD parameters and significantly associated with ONSD (r = 0.60, P < 0.001). The mean ONSD of the elevated ICP group was significantly higher than that of the normal ICP group (4.53 ± 0.40 mm vs. 3.97 ± 0.23 mm; P < 0.001). Multivariate linear regression determined that the difference between ICP and ONSD is significant.

Conclusions: In the early stage of intracranial hypertension, ONSD is more reliable for evaluating ICP than TCD.

Keywords: intracranial pressure, transcranial Doppler, ultrasonography, optic nerve sheath diameter, non-invasive

INTRODUCTION

Intracranial hypertension is an acute condition that impairs cerebral blood flow and metabolism, and it is associated with poor clinical outcomes and high mortality rates (1). Although the surgical placement of a transducer or lumbar puncture (LP) is the standard means by which clinicians can monitor intracranial pressure (ICP) (2), this procedure is associated with risks of cerebral damage and infection (3). Non-invasive alternatives for assessing ICP are, therefore, urgently needed. Changes in ICP depend on the pressure exerted by cranial contents [brain tissue, cerebrospinal fluid (CSF), and blood] on the wall of the cranial cavity. Recently, optic nerve sheath diameter (ONSD) has been proposed as a promising surrogate for the detection of elevated ICP with low intra- and inter-observer variability and high reliability (4–6). The fundamental basis for this method

1

is the anatomical continuation of the optic nerve sheath across the three layers of meninges and its containment of CSF. International guidelines have recommended transcranial Doppler (TCD) as an effective and powerful method to confirm the clinical diagnosis of brain death (7). Brain death is the final manifestation of extremely elevated ICP. An increase in ICP beyond 40 mmHg (about 550 mmH₂O) is considered a severe increase (8). However, the sensitivity of TCD in detecting non-severe elevation of ICP increases remains to be determined.

This study therefore compared the reliabilities of the measurement of ONSD and TCD parameters in detecting potential elevations of ICP.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Subjects

We performed a prospective observational study to compare the ICP estimated via measurement of TCD parameters and ONSD. Patients who needed LP in the Department of Neurology were recruited from December 2016 to July 2017. The experimental

protocol was approved by the ethics committee of The First Hospital of Jilin University (approval number: 2016-376) and all participants provided written informed consent. Exclusion criteria were as follows: (1) age <18 years or >80 years; (2) cerebrovascular stenosis or deformity confirmed by imaging; (3) poor acoustic ultrasound windows; (4) cardiovascular diseases that cause hemodynamic variations and affect TCD readings, such as severe arrhythmia; and (5) suspected eye or orbit pathologies, such as glaucoma, lens opacity, or lens trauma. The data included daily recordings of age, sex, head circumference, waistline, body mass index (BMI), systolic blood pressure (SBP), diastolic blood pressure (DBP), and mean arterial blood pressure [MABP, $(1/3 \times SBP) + (2/3 \times DBP)$].

Measurements

DelicaMVU-6300 (Shenzhen, Guangzhou, China) was used to obtain both TCD and ONSD measurements: specifically, a 1.6 MHz probe and 14–5 MHz probe with B-mode, respectively, were used. The ONSD and TCD measurements were performed independently by two experienced observers who were blinded



to each other's assessments, all of which were completed before LP (**Figure 1**). There were 5 min intervals between each of the TCD measurement, ONSD measurement, and LP. Every step of the operation adhered to the principle "as low as reasonably achievable" to avoid damage to the patients (9).

The patients were examined in supine position and ONSD was measured in both eyes. The probe was gently placed on the closed upper eyelid with standard ultrasound gel. We positioned the probe in a suitable angle to facilitate the display of the optic-nerve entry into the eyeball and froze the images. ONSD measurements were recorded at a depth of 3 mm behind the eye globe (4). Two measurements were obtained for each eye: one in the sagittal plane and the other in the transverse plane (4). The final results were derived from the mean value of each side.

The patients were examined in the supine and prone positions. The TCD measurements were performed over the acoustic bone windows for insonation of the left and right middle cerebral arteries (LMCA and RMCA, respectively) at a depth ranging from 50 to 65 mm; the left and right vertebral arteries (LVA and RVA, respectively), from 60 to 75 mm; and the basilar artery (BA), from 80 to 120 mm (10). The TCD probe was hand-held in place during the entire recording. Recorded TCD parameters included the following: systolic blood flow velocity (TCD_{Vs}), diastolic blood flow velocity (TCD_{Vg}), mean blood flow velocity (TCD_{Vm}, [TCD_{Vs} + TCD_{Vd} × 2]/3), and plasticity index (TCD_{PI}, [TCD_{Vs} - TCD_{Vd}]/TCD_{Vm}).

Thereafter, LP was immediately performed by a senior neurologist who was blinded to previous results according



to a standard procedure. Patients were all in the left lateral position with knees and head maximally flexed. After a local skin disinfection and anesthesia with 5 ml of a 2% lidocaine hydrochloride solution, the LP needle was placed into the

TABLE 1 | Demographic data in the normal and elevated ICP groups.

	Normal (<i>n</i> = 136)	Elevated ($n = 29$)	P-value
Age, mean (SD), years	42.63 (14.85)	38.79 (13.44)	0.201
Male, (n, %)	64 (47%)	16 (55%)	0.540
Height, mean (SD), m	1.66 (0.08)	1.69 (0.08)	0.055
Weight, mean (SD), kg	63.42 (11.72)	68.98 (18.17)	0.124
BMI, mean (SD), kg/m ²	22.95 (3.82)	23.88 (5.34)	0.385
Waistline, mean (SD), cm	79.52 (9.65)	84.14 (13.23)	0.084
Head circumference, mean (SD), cm	55.11 (1.83)	56.71 (2.29)	0.057
SABP, mean (SD), mmHg	128.97 (17.77)	135.97 (19.66)	0.085
DABP, mean (SD), mmHg	79.07 (11.30)	81.97 (14.08)	0.307
MABP, mean (SD), mmHg	95.71 (12.51)	99.97 (14.99)	0.162
ONSD, mean (SD), mm	3.97 (0.23)	4.53 (0.40)	<0.001
LMCA-TCD _{vs} , mean (SD), cm/s	98.51 (20.07)	103.45 (22.22)	0.277
LMCA-TCD _{vd} , mean (SD), cm/s	47 (11.52)	46.90 (12.97)	0.968
LMCA-TCD _{vm} , mean (SD), cm/s	64.17 (14.03)	65.77 (15.72)	0.586
LMCA-TCD _{PI} , mean (SD)	0.81 (0.12)	0.88 (0.14)	0.008
RMCA-TCD _{vs} , mean (SD), cm/s	97.98 (20.04)	101.97 (22.20)	0.377
RMCA-TCD _{vd} , mean (SD), cm/s	46.94 (12.00)	46.38 (12.14)	0.822
RMCA-TCD _{vm} , mean (SD), cm/s	63.95 (14.33)	64.95 (15.16)	0.735
RMCA-TCD _{PI} , mean (SD)	0.81 (0.12)	0.87 (0.12)	0.019
LVA-TCD _{vs} , mean (SD), cm/s	48.94 (12.60)	48.38 (12.00)	0.831
LVA-TCD _{vd} , mean (SD), cm/s	23.78 (6.81)	22.81 (7.42)	0.511
LVA-TCD _{vm} , mean (SD), cm/s	32.17 (8.58)	31.33 (8.68)	0.651
LVA-TCD _{PI} , mean (SD)	0.79 (0.11)	0.84 (0.17)	0.171
RVA-TCD _{vs} , mean (SD), cm/s	48.13 (12.34)	44.81 (11.40)	0.187
RVA-TCD _{vd} , mean (SD), cm/s	23.01 (6.05)	20.62 (5.89)	0.066
RVA-TCD _{vm} , mean (SD), cm/s	31.38 (7.97)	28.68 (7.46)	0.112
RVA-TCD _{PI} , mean (SD)	0.80 (0.12)	0.85 (0.17)	0.157
BA-TCD _{vs} , mean (SD), cm/s	48.11 (12.47)	47.77 (14.69)	0.901
BA-TCD _{vd} , mean (SD), cm/s	23.37 (6.50)	22.69 (7.69)	0.640
BA-TCD _{vm} , mean (SD), cm/s	31.58 (8.31)	31.08 (9.75)	0.783
BA-TCD _{PI} , mean (SD)	0.79 (0.10)	0.82 (0.15)	0.258
ICP, mean (SD), mmH ₂ O	152 (28)	254 (58)	<0.001

BMI, body mass index; SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; MABP, mean arterial blood pressure. [(1/3 \times SBP) + (2/3 \times DBP)]. Bold values are normal ICP, elevated ICP.

intervertebral space either between L3 (the third lumbar vertebrae) and L4 or between L4 and L5. Once CSF appeared, the pressure manometer was connected and the knees were extended to avoid falsely enlarged CSF pressure due to abdominal compression. Initial value of ICP was recorded. A pressure of $>200 \text{ mmH}_2\text{O}$ was defined as elevated ICP (11).

Statistical Analyses

Data were analyzed with SPSS software (version 20.0; Chicago, IL, USA). Continuous variables were expressed as means \pm standard deviations (SDs) or medians with interquartile ranges (IQRs), and categorical variables were expressed as frequencies and percentages. Normality of the distribution was assessed by Kolmogorov–Smirnov test. We assessed differences in demographic variables, TCD parameters, and ONSD between the normal ICP group and elevated ICP group using independent samples *t*-test. Chi-squared test was used to compare proportions. Pearson correlation coefficient with a *P*-value was used to assess associations among ICP, TCD parameters, ONSD, and demographic variables, with *r* representing the correlation coefficient. Multiple linear regression models were constructed to identify the parameters that were significantly and independently associated with ICP. A

receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve was generated to determine the optimal cutoff point. All tests were two-tailed with significance set to P < 0.05.

RESULTS

Among the 170 patients recruited in the present study, five were excluded: four owing to the lack of proper temporal windows for TCD examination and one owing to the lack of ONSD data (**Figure 2**). Thus, 165 participants (mean age, 41.96 \pm 14.64 years; range, 18–77 years; men, 80; patients with elevated ICP, 29) were included in this study. Baseline data, TCD parameters, and ONSD values were available for each participant. The mean ICP was 170 \pm 52 mmH₂O (range, 75–400 mmH₂O).

There were no significant differences in age, sex, head circumference, waistline, BMI, arterial blood pressure, or some TCD parameters (LMCA-TCDvs,vd,vm; RMCA-TCDvs,vd,vm; LVA-TCDvs,vd,vm,pI; RVA-TCDvs,vd,vm,pI; and BA-TCDvs,vd,vm,pI) between the normal and elevated ICP groups (**Table 1**).

The LMCA-TCD_{PI} and RMCA-TCD_{PI} values were higher in the elevated ICP group than in the normal ICP group (0.88 \pm 0.14 vs. 0.81 \pm 0.12, P = 0.008 and 0.87 \pm 0.12 vs. 0.81 \pm



FIGURE 3 | (A) Simple correlation analysis of all patients showed that intracranial pressure (ICP) was non-significantly associated with the plasticity index of the left middle cerebral artery (LMCA-TCD_{Pl}) (Pearson correlation coefficient r = 0.139, P = 0.074). **(B)** Simple correlation analysis of all patients showed that intracranial pressure (ICP) was non-significantly associated with the plasticity index of the right middle cerebral artery (RMCA-TCD_{Pl}) (Pearson correlation coefficient r = 0.149, P = 0.057).



FIGURE 4 | Correlation analysis of all patients revealed a significant correlation between intracranial pressure (ICP) and ultrasonographic measurement of ONSD (Pearson correlation coefficient r = 0.60, P < 0.001).



0.12, P = 0.019, respectively). In all patients, the mean LMCA-TCD_{PI} and RMCA-TCD_{PI} values were 0.82 ± 0.34 (range, 0.57–1.10) and 0.82 ± 0.12 (range, 0.57–1.13), respectively. However, the univariate analysis revealed that ICP was non-significantly

associated with LMCA-TCD_{PI} (r = 0.139, P = 0.074; Figure 3A) and RMCA-TCD_{PI} (r = 0.149, P = 0.057; Figure 3B). The mean ONSD of all participants was 4.07 ± 0.34 mm (range, 3.38–5.70 mm) and that of the elevated ICP group was 4.53 \pm 0.40 mm, which was significantly higher than that of the normal ICP group (3.97 \pm 0.23 mm; P < 0.001). Univariate analysis revealed a significant correlation between ICP and ONSD in all the patients (r = 0.60, P < 0.001; Figure 4). In addition, ICP was also found to be significantly associated with SBP (r = 0.20, P= 0.006) and MABP (r = 0.18, P = 0.013) in all the patients. Multivariate linear regression analysis was performed to select the dependent variables, which include age, sex, MABP, LMCA-TCD_{PI}, RMCA-TCD_{PI}, and ONSD; SBP was not selected on account of its collinearity with MABP. Only ONSD and MABP remained in the final model: predicted ICP = $99.46 \times \text{ONSD}$ + 0.66 \times MABP -298.31. The Durbin-Watson value of the function was 1.69. Analysis of variance showed that the difference between ICP and ONSD is significant (F = 68.49, P < 0.001); the residuals were normally distributed, and the adjusted coefficient of determination (adjusted R^2) was 0.451. Using the opening pressure of LP as the standard criterion, we generated an ROC curve to determine the cutoff point of ONSD (Figure 5). The ROC curve analysis revealed that the area under the curve (AUC) was 0.932 (95% CI: 0.893-0.971). The ONSD cutoff point for the identification of elevated opening pressure on LP was 4.14 mm, which yielded a sensitivity of 87% and a specificity of 93%.

DISCUSSION

Our results demonstrate that the LMCA-TCD_{PI} and RMCA-TCD_{PI} values of the elevated ICP group were higher than those of the normal ICP group. However, the univariate analysis showed that ICP is non-significantly associated with LMCA-TCD_{PI} and RMCA-TCD_{PI}. The mean ONSD of the elevated ICP group was significantly higher than that of the normal ICP group. The univariate analysis of all patients revealed a significant correlation between ICP and ONSD. The multivariate linear regression analysis determined that the difference between ICP and ONSD is significant.

Similar to the results of a previous study, results of the current study confirm that ONSD is correlated with ICP (12). Ultrasound measurement of ONSD is a non-invasive, lowcost, quick bedside operation. Measurements of ONSD have demonstrated additional potential for the detection of increased ICP when combined with computed tomography or magnetic resonance imaging; the results obtained using these methods were consistent with those of ultrasound imaging (13, 14). Studies on Western populations indicate 5.0-5.9 mm as a reliable cutoff value to predict an ICP of >200 mmH₂O (5, 15–17); however, a study in Bangladesh showed that an ONSD of >4.75 mm was considered abnormal (18). In our study too, the ONSD cutoff point for the identification of an elevated opening pressure of LP was <5.0 mm. These differences in cutoff points may implicate genetic differences (19). Alternatively, the patients in previous studies had severe injuries or required treatment in the intensive care unit; interventions such as intensive care or intubation may

have affected the results. In contrast, we measured ONSD and ICP at an early stage of high ICP without interference from critical care measures.

After its introduction in 1982, TCD was quickly applied to study the intracranial blood flow patterns in cases of increased ICP and cerebral circulatory arrest (20). International guidelines have recommended TCD as an effective and powerful method to confirm the clinical diagnosis of brain death (7). Low-frequency transducers (<2 MHz) placed on the scalps of patients over specific acoustic windows have hitherto facilitated the display of intracranial arterial vessels and evaluation of the cerebral blood flow velocity as well as its changes across various conditions. However, while some studies have suggested that TCD can be used to evaluate ICP (21), others have found that it is unreliable (22). Moreno et al. reported that PI = 0.48 + 0.03 ICP $[r^2 =$ 0.69, P < 0.000; (21)] in their study, and the mean ICP and PI values were 22.07 \pm 17.29 mmHg (~300 \pm 235 mmH₂O) and 1.26 \pm 0.73, respectively. Conversely, Robba et al. found that PI did not significantly correlate with ICP (22), and Zweifel et al. reported that the correlation between PI and ICP was 0.31 (P < 0.001) (23); these results indicate a diminished value of PI for the assessment of ICP. The present investigation also found that PI-based predictions of the early stages of high ICP may not be as accurate as expected; we observed the mean ICP and PI to be $170 \pm 52 \text{ mmH}_2\text{O}$ and 0.82 ± 0.34 , respectively, and a non-significant correlation between ICP and PI. These results indicate that PI may not be suitable for the evaluation of the early stages of high ICP; however, it may be helpful when applied to cases of significantly elevated ICP and even extremely elevated ICP to diagnose brain death (20). Previously, researchers have mostly used MCA when using TCD to evaluate ICP. Nevertheless, no study has hitherto proven the feasibility of evaluating ICP using VA and BA. Our study shows that there are no significant differences in the parameters of LVA, RVA, or BA between the normal and elevated ICP groups. Some researchers have recently explored the use of other hemodynamic parameters derived from TCD to evaluate ICP. Ragauskas et al. described the two-depth high-resolution TCD insonation of the ophthalmic artery with a pressure cuff surrounding eye-ball tissues (24). This method does not require calibration, and it is performed when the blood flow parameters of the intracranial and extracranial ophthalmic artery segments are approximately equivalent and the external pressure equals the ICP. Schmidt et al. constructed a "black-box" model based on the arterial blood pressure and the TCD waveform of arterial blood flow, which demonstrates the promise of reliability in predicting ICP (25). Furthermore, TCD measurements of veins may reportedly have predictive value in the evaluation of ICP (22). However, these methods

REFERENCES

- Czosnyka M, Pickard JD. Monitoring and interpretation of intracranial pressure. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatr. (2004) 75:813–21. doi: 10.1136/jnnp.2003.033126
- 2. Raboel PH, Bartek J Jr, Andresen M, Bellander BM, Romner B. Intracranial pressure monitoring: invasive versus non-invasive methods-a

require experienced operators. Hence, the applications of these techniques to clinical practice are currently limited, and research of other hemodynamic parameters is thus warranted.

LIMITATIONS

The present study was conducted in a single center. A multicenter study with a larger sample size is, therefore, required. While this investigation focused on non-invasive methods for the assessment of early-stage high ICP, further research should apply the same methods to assess extremely high ICP. Third, the individual ONSD differences should also be considered in future research.

CONCLUSION

Our results indicate that in the early stage of intracranial hypertension, cerebral arterial hemodynamics assessed by TCD could not predict ICP with sufficient reliability; however, ONSD may be a reliable predictive index for elevated ICP.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The datasets generated for this study are available on request to the corresponding author.

ETHICS STATEMENT

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by The First Hospital of Jilin University (approval number: 2016–376). The patients/participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

YX and LW: conceived and designed the experiments. LC, LS, HC, XJ, and QC: performed the experiments. LC: analyzed the data. LC and LW: wrote the paper.

FUNDING

This project was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 81801707).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank the patients who participated in this study and their relatives.

review. Crit Care Res Pract. (2012) 2012:950393. doi: 10.1155/2012/ 950393

- Dasic D, Hanna SJ, Bojanic S, Kerr RS. External ventricular drain infection: the effect of a strict protocol on infection rates and a review of the literature. *Br J Neurosurg.* (2006) 20:296–300. doi: 10.1080/02688690600999901
- 4. Geeraerts T, Merceron S, Benhamou D, Vigue B, Duranteau J. Noninvasive assessment of intracranial pressure using ocular sonography

in neurocritical care patients. *Intensive Care Med.* (2008) 34:2062-7. doi: 10.1007/s00134-008-1149-x

- Dubourg J, Javouhey E, Geeraerts T, Messerer M, Kassai B. Ultrasonography of optic nerve sheath diameter for detection of raised intracranial pressure: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Intensive Care Med.* (2011) 37:1059–68. doi: 10.1007/s00134-011-2224-2
- Bauerle J, Lochner P, Kaps M, Nedelmann M. Intra- and interobsever reliability of sonographic assessment of the optic nerve sheath diameter in healthy adults. *J Neuroimaging*. (2012) 22:42–5. doi: 10.1111/j.1552-6569.2010.00546.x
- Lange MC, Zetola VHF, Miranda-Alves M, Moro CHC, Silvado CE, Rodrigues DLG, et al. Brazilian guidelines for the application of transcranial ultrasound as a diagnostic test for the confirmation of brain death. *Arq Neuropsiquiatr.* (2012) 70:373–80. doi: 10.1590/S0004-282X2012000500012
- Harada K, Hayashi T, Anegawa S, Torigoe R, Nishio N, Moriyama T, et al. [Transcranial Doppler sonography in acute intracranial hypertension modelusefulness of pulsatility index]. *No To Shinkei.* (1993) 45:851–6.
- Toms DA. The mechanical index, ultrasound practices, and the ALARA principle. J Ultrasound Med. (2006) 25:560–1. doi: 10.7863/jum.2006.25. 4.560
- Marinoni M, Ginanneschi A, Forleo P, Amaducci L. Technical limits in transcranial Doppler recording: inadequate acoustic windows. *Ultrasound Med Biol.* (1997) 23:1275–7. doi: 10.1016/S0301-5629(97) 00077-X
- 11. Kabat AG. Intracranial hypertension. Optom Clin. (1996) 5:153-79.
- Wang LJ, Yao Y, Feng LS, Wang YZ, Zheng NN, Feng JC, et al. Noninvasive and quantitative intracranial pressure estimation using ultrasonographic measurement of optic nerve sheath diameter. *Sci Rep.* (2017) 7:42063. doi: 10.1038/srep42063
- Bauerle J, Schuchardt F, Schroeder L, Egger K, Weigel M, Harloff A. Reproducibility and accuracy of optic nerve sheath diameter assessment using ultrasound compared to magnetic resonance imaging. *BMC Neurol.* (2013) 13:187. doi: 10.1186/1471-2377-13-187
- 14. Sekhon MS, Griesdale DE, Robba C, McGlashan N, Needham E, Walland K, et al. Optic nerve sheath diameter on computed tomography is correlated with simultaneously measured intracranial pressure in patients with severe traumatic brain injury. *Intensive Care Med.* (2014) 40:1267–74. doi: 10.1007/s00134-014-3392-7
- Kimberly HH, Shah S, Marill K, Noble V. Correlation of optic nerve sheath diameter with direct measurement of intracranial pressure. *Acad Emerg Med.* (2008) 15:201–4. doi: 10.1111/j.1553-2712.2007.00031.x
- Soldatos T, Chatzimichail K, Papathanasiou M, Gouliamos A. Optic nerve sonography: a new window for the non-invasive evaluation of intracranial pressure in brain injury. *Emerg Med J.* (2009) 26:630–4. doi: 10.1136/emj.2008.058453

- Amini A, Kariman H, Arhami Dolatabadi A, Hatamabadi HR, Derakhshanfar H, Mansouri B, et al. Use of the sonographic diameter of optic nerve sheath to estimate intracranial pressure. *Am J Emerg Med.* (2013) 31:236–9. doi: 10.1016/j.ajem.2012.06.025
- Maude RR, Hossain MA, Hassan MU, Osbourne S, Sayeed KL, Karim MR, et al. Transorbital sonographic evaluation of normal optic nerve sheath diameter in healthy volunteers in Bangladesh. *PLoS ONE.* (2013) 8:e81013. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0081013
- Wang L, Feng L, Yao Y, Wang Y, Chen Y, Feng J, et al. Optimal optic nerve sheath diameter threshold for the identification of elevated opening pressure on lumbar puncture in a Chinese population. *PLoS ONE.* (2015) 10:e0117939. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0117939
- Hashemian SM, Delavarkasmaei H, Najafizadeh K, Mojtabae M, Ardehali SH, Kamranmanesh MR, et al. Role of transcranial doppler sonography in diagnosis of brain death: a single center study. *Tanaffos*. (2016) 15:213–7.
- Moreno JA, Mesalles E, Gener J, Tomasa A, Ley A, Roca J, et al. Evaluating the outcome of severe head injury with transcranial Doppler ultrasonography. *Neurosurg Focus.* (2000) 8:e8. doi: 10.3171/foc.2000.8.1.1702
- Robba C, Cardim D, Tajsic T, Pietersen J, Bulman M, Donnelly J, et al. Ultrasound non-invasive measurement of intracranial pressure in neurointensive care: a prospective observational study. *PLoS Med.* (2017) 14:e1002356. doi: 10.1371/journal.pmed.1002356
- Zweifel C, Czosnyka M, Carrera E, de Riva N, Pickard JD, Smielewski P. Reliability of the blood flow velocity pulsatility index for assessment of intracranial and cerebral perfusion pressures in head-injured patients. *Neurosurgery*. (2012) 71:853–61. doi: 10.1227/NEU.0b013e3182675b42
- Ragauskas A, Matijosaitis V, Zakelis R, Petrikonis K, Rastenyte D, Piper I, et al. Clinical assessment of noninvasive intracranial pressure absolute value measurement method. *Neurology.* (2012) 78:1684–91. doi: 10.1212/WNL.0b013e3182574f50
- Schmidt B, Czosnyka M, Klingelhofer J. Clinical applications of a noninvasive ICP monitoring method. *Eur J Ultrasound*. (2002) 16:37–45. doi: 10.1016/S0929-8266(02)00044-7

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2019 Chen, Wang, Shi, Chen, Jiang, Chen and Xing. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.