



## OPEN ACCESS

APPROVED BY  
Frontiers Editorial Office,  
Frontiers Media SA, Switzerland

\*CORRESPONDENCE  
Frontiers Editorial Office  
✉ editorial.office@frontiersin.org

RECEIVED 26 January 2024  
ACCEPTED 26 January 2024  
PUBLISHED 05 February 2024

CITATION  
Frontiers Editorial Office (2024) Retraction:  
NeuroSuites: an online platform for running  
neuroscience, statistical, and machine  
learning tools.  
*Front. Neuroinform.* 18:1376953.  
doi: 10.3389/fninf.2024.1376953

COPYRIGHT  
© 2024 Frontiers Editorial Office. This is an  
open-access article distributed under the  
terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution  
License \(CC BY\)](#). The use, distribution or  
reproduction in other forums is permitted,  
provided the original author(s) and the  
copyright owner(s) are credited and that the  
original publication in this journal is cited, in  
accordance with accepted academic practice.  
No use, distribution or reproduction is  
permitted which does not comply with these  
terms.

# Retraction: NeuroSuites: an online platform for running neuroscience, statistical, and machine learning tools

Frontiers Editorial Office\*

## A Retraction of the Original Research Article

### [NeuroSuites: an online platform for running neuroscience, statistical, and machine learning tools](#)

by Moreno-Rodríguez, J. L., Larrañaga, P., and Bielza, C. (2023). *Front. Neuroinform.* 17:1092967. doi: 10.3389/fninf.2023.1092967

The journal retracts the 17th February 2023 article above.

In light of complaints received following publication of the original research article, Frontiers carried out a detailed investigation of the academic, ethical, and legal aspects of the work. This investigation did not identify any issues with the academic and ethical aspects of the study. It did, however, determine that the legal context is insufficiently clear and cannot be addressed through correction; the article is therefore retracted.

This retraction was approved by the Chief Executive Editor of Frontiers. The authors understand this decision, while they stand by their article and regret the limitations on academic freedom which can be caused by legal factors.