Check for updates

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY Limei Zhang, National Autonomous University of Mexico, Mexico

REVIEWED BY Fernando Jauregui Huerta, University of Guadalajara, Mexico Sarah Melzer, Medical University of Vienna, Austria

\*CORRESPONDENCE Masahiro Yamaguchi ⊠ yamaguchi@kochi-u.ac.jp Yoshihiro Murata ⊠ murata@kochi-u.ac.jp

RECEIVED 31 July 2024 ACCEPTED 25 October 2024 PUBLISHED 07 November 2024

#### CITATION

Podder S, Murata Y, Taniguchi M, Shimizu S and Yamaguchi M (2024) Synaptic plasticity and roles of orexin in distinct domains of the olfactory tubercle. *Front. Neural Circuits* 18:1473403. doi: 10.3389/fncir.2024.1473403

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Podder, Murata, Taniguchi, Shimizu and Yamaguchi. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Synaptic plasticity and roles of orexin in distinct domains of the olfactory tubercle

#### Sajib Podder, Yoshihiro Murata\*, Mutsuo Taniguchi, Shogo Shimizu and Masahiro Yamaguchi\*

Department of Physiology, Kochi Medical School, Kochi University, Kochi, Japan

Olfactory behavior is highly plastic, and the olfactory tubercle (OT), a component of the olfactory cortex and ventral striatum, includes anteromedial (amOT) and lateral (IOT) domains with roles in attractive and aversive olfactory behavioral learning, respectively. However, the underlying properties of synaptic plasticity in these domains are incompletely understood. Synaptic plasticity is regulated by multiple signals including synaptic inputs and neuromodulators. Interestingly, the amOT domain exhibits high expression of various receptors for neuromodulators. We investigated synaptic plasticity in mouse OT slices by combining electrical stimulation and treatment with the appetite-promoting neuropeptide orexin, the receptors of which are highly expressed in the amOT. In both the amOT and IOT, one round of 2-Hz burst stimulation elicited shortterm potentiation of the field excitatory postsynaptic potential, whereas three rounds of stimulation induced long-term potentiation (LTP) that persisted for 150 min. In the amOT, orexin-A induced LTP was blocked by the orexin receptor type 1 antagonist SB334867. Orexin-A also facilitated LTP induction in the amOT by one round of 2-Hz burst stimulation. By contrast, these effects were not observed in the IOT. These results highlighted the similarity and difference in synaptic plasticity between the OT domains and suggested that orexin facilitates synaptic plasticity in the amOT during olfactory learning processes such as food odor learning.

#### KEYWORDS

synaptic plasticity, glutamatergic transmission, orexigenic neuromodulator, olfactory cortex, olfactory memory

#### **1** Introduction

Olfactory behavior is highly plastic, and it is generally linked to emotion and motivation. Previous studies identified critical brain regions for plastic changes in odorguided motivated behaviors. In the olfactory cortex, the olfactory tubercle (OT), also termed the tubular striatum (Heimer, 1978; Wesson and Wilson, 2011; Wesson, 2020), is involved in plastic behavioral changes. The OT participates in the olfactory experiencedependent acquisition of behaviors (Gadziola et al., 2015, 2020; Zhang et al., 2017; Millman and Murthy, 2020).

The OT integrates peripheral inputs from the olfactory bulb and central inputs from the intracortical areas and sends major outputs to the ventral pallidum, a subregion of the ventral basal forebrain complex that regulates emotions, motivation, and motivated behaviors (Heimer, 1978; Wesson and Wilson, 2011; Yamaguchi, 2024). Several lines of evidence have shown that the OT serves as a crucial hub for odor-guided motivated behaviors (FitzGerald et al., 2014; Dibenedictis et al., 2015; Gadziola et al., 2015). We previously demonstrated that distinct domains of the OT become activated following attractive or aversive odor learning (Murata et al., 2015). The anteromedial OT domain (amOT) is activated by odor conditioned with a food reward, and the lateral OT domain (IOT) is activated by odor conditioned with a foot-shock punishment. Subsequent studies also showed the involvement of the medial OT in odor-attractive behaviors (Dibenedictis et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2017). Our recent study using optogenetics identified structural changes of synaptic boutons in the amOT and lOT by associating the activation of synaptic inputs with reward or punishment (Sha et al., 2023). These results suggested that OT domain-specific modifications of synaptic efficacy, i.e., synaptic plasticity, underlie the mechanisms of odor-guided motivated behaviors.

Synaptic plasticity is regulated by multiple signals including synaptic inputs and neuromodulators. Orexin, also known as hypocretin (de Lecea et al., 1998), is an appetite-stimulating neuropeptide that facilitates motivated and reward-seeking behaviors (Boutrel et al., 2013; Mahler et al., 2014; Milbank and López, 2019). Orexin neurons are mainly localized in the hypothalamus, and they project to various brain regions including olfactory cortical regions (Peyron et al., 1998). Orexin has two receptor subtypes, namely orexin receptor type 1 (OxR1) and 2 (OxR2), which are abundantly expressed in the brain (Sakurai et al., 1998). The OT expresses orexin receptors in rodents (Hervieu et al., 2001; Cluderay et al., 2002; Caillol et al., 2003). Our previous study using qRT-PCR indicated that the mRNA expression of Hcrtr1 (OxR1) and Hcrtr2 (OxR2) were higher in the amOT than in the lOT, and the extent was greater in Hcrtr1 than in Hcrtr2 (Nogi et al., 2020). This characteristic expression of Hcrtr1, together with the general notion that reward-related behaviors are more closely associated with OxR1 activation than with OxR2 activation (Mahler et al., 2014), suggests the functional significance of orexin for odor-guided attractive behaviors through OxR1 signaling in the amOT.

These observations suggest that the OT has substantial synaptic plasticity, and that orexin is involved in the synaptic plasticity of the amOT that underlies odor-guided attractive behaviors. Thus, we examined whether long-term potentiation (LTP) of excitatory synaptic transmission, a cellular mechanism of learning and memory, occurs in the OT, by measuring excitatory postsynaptic potential (EPSP) in mouse OT brain slices and investigating the effect of orexin on synaptic plasticity.

# 2 Materials and methods

#### 2.1 Animals

All experimental procedures were conducted according to the Physiology Society of Japan guidelines and were approved by the Kochi Medical School Animal Care and Use Committee. International guidelines were followed to reduce the number of animals used. C57BL/6N mice (Japan SLC Inc., Shizuoka, Japan) were bred and housed in the Kochi Medical School animal facility. Before experimentation, these mice were housed in plastic cages with wood shavings as bedding and granted *ad libitum* access to food and water.

#### 2.2 Acute brain slice preparation

Male mice at age of 25-35 days were used for preparing acute brain slices according to our previous LTP studies in the olfactory bulb of young mice (Namba et al., 2016) and rats (Tong et al., 2017). We previously reported that OT domain-specific activation was already evident in mice at postnatal day 21 (Murofushi et al., 2018). A male mouse was anesthetized with isoflurane and sacrificed to dissect the brain. A hemisphere of the brain without the olfactory bulbs, cerebellum and brainstem was embedded in 5% agarose in HEPES buffer (pH 7.4) to prepare coronal sections including the OT (300 µm thick) of Paxinos coordinates from 5.78 mm interaural and 1.98 mm bregma to 4.30 mm interaural and 0.50 mm bregma in sucrose-modified artificial cerebrospinal fluid (ACSF) containing 200 mM sucrose, 25 mM NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, 3 mM KCl, 1 mM NaH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, 3.6 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 15 mM glucose, and 0.5 mM CaCl<sub>2</sub> (pH 7.4, saturated with 95% O<sub>2</sub> and 5% CO<sub>2</sub>) using a vibratome (700smz, Campden Instruments, England). The OT slices were incubated in normal ACSF containing 125 mM NaCl, 25 mM NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, 3 mM KCl, 1 mM NaH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, 1 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 15 mM glucose, and 2 mM CaCl<sub>2</sub> (pH 7.4, saturated with 95% O<sub>2</sub> and 5% CO<sub>2</sub>) for 1 h at 32°C. Subsequently, one of the OT slices was transferred to a recording chamber for electrophysiological experiments. The recording chamber was perfused continuously using normal ACSF at a flow rate of 1 mL/min throughout the experiment.

#### 2.3 Electrophysiology

To induce EPSPs at synapses in the OT, a stainless steel concentric bipolar stimulating electrode (Inter Medical, Nagoya, Japan) was placed on either layer I or III of the OT to stimulate input axons from other brain regions such as the olfactory bulb and various cortical and subcortical areas (Figure 1a). For field EPSP (fEPSP) recording, a glass microelectrode filled with normal ACSF (1-2 M $\Omega$ ) was positioned on the same layer of the OT as the stimulating electrode. An electrical pulse (0.05-0.15 mA, duration of 50 µs) was applied every 30 s to elicit population spikes of the input axons to the OT (Figure 2, field-potential component 1), followed by fEPSP (Figure 2, field-potential component 2), in which the pulse intensity was adjusted so that the maximum initial slope (fEPSP slope) became 40-50% of the saturated fEPSP slope. The evoked field potentials were recorded, digitized at 10 kHz, and analyzed using the PowerLab/4sp system with Scope software (ADInstruments, Castle Hill, NSW, Australia). Using the system, two traces were averaged into a 1-min record and the fEPSP slope was measured to monitor synaptic efficacy throughout the recording. For LTP induction, 2-Hz burst stimulation was applied with the same intensity and pulse duration as the test stimulus. One round of 2-Hz burst stimulation consisted of 10 pulses at 100 Hz repeated at 2 Hz for 5 s, which was repeated three times at 175s intervals for three rounds of 2-Hz burst stimulation. The burst frequency of 2 Hz was based on the results of our pilot study that



tetanic or theta (5 Hz) burst stimulation frequently used in other brain regions failed to induce LTP in the OT, whereas reducing the bursting frequency from 5 to 2 Hz enabled LTP induction. The fEPSP slopes were normalized by baseline values, i.e., mean values of those for 10 min prior to 2-Hz burst stimulation or bath application of drug. LTP was evaluated at 140-150 min after the electrical stimulation and/or bath application of drug, similar to our previous studies using the olfactory bulb (Namba et al., 2016; Tong et al., 2017). The following numbers of animals were used in this study: fEPSP characterization, 1 mouse (Figure 2) and 2 mice (Supplementary Figure 1) in each layer of am/IOT; LTP induction by 2-Hz burst stimulation (Figure 3), 5 mice in each condition of am/IOT layers and one or three round(s) of 2-Hz burst stimulation; orexin action in the amOT (Figure 4), for one round of 2-Hz burst stimulation + orexin-A, 6 mice in layer I and 7 mice in layer III, for one round of 2-Hz burst stimulation + orexin-A + SB334867, 5 mice in each layer, for orexin-A, 6 mice in layer I and 5 mice in layer III, for orexin-A + SB334867, 5 mice in each layer, for ACSF, 3 mice in each layer (Supplementary Figure 2); orexin action in the IOT (Figure 5), for each condition of layers I/III and with or without 2-Hz burst stimulation, 5 mice, for one round of 2-Hz burst stimulation + SB334867, 3 mice (Supplementary Figure 3), for SB334867, 3 mice (Supplementary Figure 3).

#### 2.4 Histochemistry

After the electrophysiological recording, the OT slices were stained with DAPI to identify the layer structures of the

OT and the electrode locations (Figure 1b). The OT slices of 300  $\mu$ m thick were fixed overnight in 4% paraformaldehyde at 4°C, rinsed 3 times with PBS for 15 min, stained with DAPI (1:500 diluted in PBS) for 15 min at room temperature. The stained preparations were observed under the upright fluorescent microscope with the monochrome digital camera (DM6000B, Leica, Wetzlar, Germany) and MetaMorph (Molecular Devices, CA, USA) to acquire the digitized images.

# 2.5 Chemicals

The reagents in this study including the α-amino-3-hydroxy-5 methyl-4-isoxazolepropionic acid (AMPA) and kainate receptor antagonist 6-cyano-7-nitroquinoxaline-2,3-dione (CNQX, 30 µM), the N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) receptor antagonist DL-amino-5-phosphonopentanoate (AP-5, 50 µM), and the voltage-gated sodium channel blocker tetrodotoxin (TTX,  $1 \,\mu$ M) were purchased from Sigma Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). The orexin receptor agonist or exin-A (100 nM) and OxR1 antagonist SB334867 (3  $\mu$ M) were purchased from Tocris Bioscience (Bristol, UK). All other reagents were purchased from FUJIFILM Wako Pure Chemical Corporation (Osaka, Japan). Orexin-A binds both OxR1 and OxR2 with similar affinity (Scammell and Winrow, 2011). The concentration of orexin-A used in this study was based on previous reports on the hippocampus (Selbach et al., 2004; Lu et al., 2016) and the ventral tegmental area (Borgland et al., 2006).



#### FIGURE 2

Evoked field potentials in the OT. Representative recording traces and their pharmacological characteristics are presented in columns. Each column presents a recording set from the same brain slice. Each brain slice was obtained from an individual mouse. The recording traces in the control present the weak negativity (field-potential component 1) followed by one (layer III) or two (layer I) slow deflections (field-potential components 2 and 3). Bath application of drug: NMDA receptor antagonist AP-5 (50  $\mu$ M), AMPA and kainate receptor antagonist CNQX (30  $\mu$ M), or voltage-gated sodium channel blocker TTX (1  $\mu$ M).



#### FIGURE 3

Two-hertz burst stimulation induces LTP in the amOT and IOT. (a) Time courses of mean fEPSP slopes (n = 5 brain slices from 5 mice in each condition of am/IOT layers with one or three round(s) of 2-Hz burst stimulation); (b) Comparisons of fEPSP slopes averaged at 140-150 min between one and three rounds of 2-Hz burst stimulation (unpaired t-test: \*\*p < 0.01, \*\*\*p < 0.001). The bars in the columns indicate the mean  $\pm$  SEM.



Orexin-A induces LTP in the amO1. (a) Time courses of mean fEPSP slopes (one round + OxA, n = 6 brain slices from 6 mice in layer II, n = 7 brain slices from 7 mice in layer III; one round + OxA + SB, n = 5 brain slices from 5 mice in layer I, n = 5 brain slices from 5 mice in layer III; OxA, n = 6 brain slices from 6 mice in layer I, n = 5 brain slices from 5 mice in layer III; OxA, n = 6 brain slices from 6 mice in layer I, n = 5 brain slices from 5 mice in layer III; OxA, n = 6 brain slices from 6 mice in layer I, n = 5 brain slices from 5 mice in layer III; OxA, n = 6 brain slices from 6 mice in layer II, n = 5 brain slices from 5 mice in layer III; OxA, n = 6 brain slices from 6 mice in layer II, n = 5 brain slices from 5 mice in layer III; OxA, n = 6 brain slices from 6 mice in layer I, n = 5 brain slices from 5 mice in layer III; OxA, n = 6 brain slices from 6 mice in layer III, n = 5 brain slices from 5 mice in layer III; OxA, n = 6 brain slices from 6 mice in layer III, n = 5 brain slices from 5 mice in layer III; OxA, n = 6 brain slices from 6 mice in layer III; OxA, n = 6 brain slices from 6 mice in layer III; OxA, n = 5 brain slices from 5 mice in layer III; OxA, n = 5 brain slices from 5 mice in layer III; OxA, n = 5 brain slices from 5 mice in layer III; OxA, n = 5 brain slices from 5 mice in layer III; OxA, n = 5 brain slices from 5 mice in layer III; OxA, n = 5 brain slices from 5 mice in layer III; OxA, n = 5 brain slices from 5 mice in layer III; OxA, n = 5 brain slices from 5 mice in layer III; OxA, n = 5 brain slices from 5 mice in layer III; OxA, n = 5 brain slices from 5 mice in layer III; OxA, n = 5 brain slices from 5 mice in layer III; OxA, n = 5 brain slices from 5 mice in layer III; OxA, n = 5 brain slices from 5 mice in layer III; OxA, n = 5 brain slices from 5 mice in layer III; OxA, n = 5 brain slices from 5 mice in layer III; OxA, n = 5 brain slices from 5 mice in layer III; OxA, n = 5 brain slices from

#### 2.6 Statistical analysis

In LTP experiments, we used GraphPad Prism (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA, USA) to estimate significant differences, which were indicated by p < 0.05. In Figure 3, unpaired *t*-test was applied for comparisons between one and three round(s) of 2-Hz burst stimulation at 140-150 min after the burst stimulation according to the results of Shapiro-Wilk test and *F*-test (Supplementary Table 1). In Figures 4, 5, Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed rank test was applied for comparisons between at -30 and -20 min and 140-150 min after the burst stimulation and/or bath application of drug as Shapiro-Wilk test rejected the null hypothesis of normality in some experimental groups (Supplementary Table 1). For sample size suitability in Figures 3–5, we performed sample size calculation using G\*power software (Heinrich-Heine-Universität Düsseldorf, Düsseldorf, Germany, Supplementary Table 2).

#### **3** Results

#### 3.1 OT field potentials

First, the basic properties of field potentials in response to electrical stimulation were examined in layers I and III of the

amOT and IOT. Layer I contains axons from the olfactory bulb and olfactory cortical areas including the piriform cortex, and layer III contains axons from olfactory cortical areas and presumably from other cortical and subcortical areas (Heimer, 1978; Luskin and Price, 1983; Wesson and Wilson, 2011; Sha et al., 2023). Representative evoked field potentials are shown in Figure 2 (n = 1 brain slice from 1 mouse in each layer of the am/IOT)and Supplementary Figure 1 (n = 2 brain slices from 2 mice)in each layer of the am/IOT). In all recordings without drug application (control), weak negativity (field-potential component 1) was observed after the stimulus artifact. This weak negativity was followed by two slow deflections (field-potential components 2 and 3) in layer I and by one deflection (field-potential component 2) in layer III. AP-5 did not affect the response. CNQX abolished the slow deflections while maintaining the weak negativity. TTX eliminated the weak negativity and subsequent slow deflections.

These pharmacological experiments demonstrated that the weak negativity (component 1) was a population spike of the input fibers to the OT, and component 2 was a nonNMDA receptormediated fEPSP. Considering the OT neural circuits and onset latency (Owen and Halliwell, 2001; Carriero et al., 2009; Wieland et al., 2015), component 2 was regarded as a monosynaptic fEPSP induced *via* direct inputs from the olfactory bulb and other brain areas. Component 3 in layer I was considered a multisynaptic fEPSP



evoked by the input from other olfactory cortical areas in response to layer I stimulation.

The wave form characteristics and pharmacological properties of field-potential components 1 and 2 were similar in layers I and III of the amOT and lOT. In the subsequent analyses of synaptic plasticity, we focused on component 2, the monosynaptic fEPSP elicited in response to electrical stimulation.

# 3.2 LTP induction by 2-Hz burst stimulation in the OT

To examine the plastic properties at synapses in the OT, the effects of 2-Hz burst stimulation on LTP induction were tested. In both layers I and III of the amOT and lOT, one round of 2-Hz burst stimulation induced short-term potentiation of the synaptic responses that decayed back to their baseline values, whereas three rounds of stimulation induced LTP that was maintained for 150 min (Figure 3a). The magnitudes of fEPSP slopes at 140-150 min were significantly larger for three rounds of stimulation than for one round of stimulation (Figure 3b). Although the mean magnitudes after one round of stimulation at 140-150 min were 93%-99% of the baseline values, those after three rounds of stimulation were 140% in layers I and III of the amOT (p = 0.0009 in layer I, p = 0.0021 in layer III, compared with one round of stimulation, unpaired *t*-test), respectively, and 137% and 159% in layers I and III of the IOT (p = 0.0004 in layer I, p = 0.0014 in

layer III, compared with one round of stimulation, unpaired *t*-test), respectively. These observations indicated that LTP can be induced in the OT synapses, and the properties of synaptic plasticity in response to electrical stimulation in this study were similar between layers I and III in both the amOT and IOT.

# 3.3 Effects of orexin on LTP in the amOT and IOT

To determine the effects of orexin on synaptic plasticity in the OT, orexin-A was applied. In the amOT, bath application of orexin-A (100 nM) for 5 min induced LTP (Figure 4a). In both layers I and III, the magnitudes of fEPSP slopes at 140-150 min (LTP) were significantly larger than the baseline (control) values (OxA, p = 0.0313 in layer I, p = 0.0313 in layer III, Wilcoxon matchedpairs signed rank test, Figure 4b). Orexin-A-induced LTP in the amOT was abolished in the presence of SB334867 (OxA + SB, p = 0.8125 in layer I, p = 0.8125 in layer III, Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed rank test, Figure 4b). In addition, the enduring LTP was also induced in the amOT when subthreshold 2-Hz burst stimulation (one round) was paired with orexin-A application (one round + OxA, p = 0.0313 in layer I, p = 0.0156 in layer III, Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed rank test, Figure 4b). The effect of orexin-A was eliminated in the presence of SB334867 (one round + OxA + SB, p = 0.1250 in layer I, p = 0.3125 in layer III, Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed rank test, Figure 4b). Stable fEPSP recordings for 180 min under ACSF perfusion were confirmed by showing no differences in fEPSP slopes between -30 and -20 min and 140-150 min (Supplementary Figure 2).

By contrast, these effects of orexin-A were not apparent in the IOT (Figure 5a). Orexin-A application did not affect fEPSP slopes (OxA, p > 0.9999 in layer I, p = 0.6250 in layer III, Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed rank test, Figure 5b). Similarly, pairing of subthreshold 2-Hz burst stimulation (one round) and orexin-A application had no effect on fEPSP slopes (one round + OxA, p = 0.3125 in layer I, p = 0.1250 in layer III, Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed rank test, Figure 5b). SB334867 application also had no effect on fEPSP in the IOT (Supplementary Figure 3), arguing against the possibility that endogenous orexin in the IOT occluded the effect of exogenous orexin-A application. These results indicate that orexin-A promotes synaptic plasticity *via* OxR1 specifically in the amOT, but not in the IOT.

# 4 Discussion

## 4.1 NonNMDA receptor-mediated fEPSP and its activity-dependent long-lasting LTP at synapses in the mouse OT

Our recordings in the mouse OT indicated that the main component of field potentials induced by electrical stimulation of synaptic inputs in layers I and III was nonNMDA receptormediated fEPSP. This is consistent with the anatomical evidence that layer I includes glutamatergic axons from the olfactory bulb and olfactory cortical areas, whereas layer III includes glutamatergic axons from olfactory cortices and other cortical areas (Heimer, 1978; Luskin and Price, 1983; Wesson and Wilson, 2011; Sha et al., 2023). These properties of field potentials are consistent with those reported previously in the OT of rats (Owen and Halliwell, 2001), guinea pigs (Carriero et al., 2009), and mice (Wieland et al., 2015).

In the present analyses of synaptic plasticity, the characteristics of LTP induction by electrical axonal input stimulation were shared between layers I and III in the amOT and IOT. Three rounds of 2-Hz burst stimulation produced LTP that lasted for 150 min in the layers of the amOT and IOT. Previous studies found that LTP is induced by electrical burst stimulation at synapses in the piriform cortex, the largest area of the olfactory cortex (Kanter and Haberly, 1990; Poo and Isaacson, 2007; Morrison et al., 2013). Our results demonstrated that activity-dependent long-lasting LTP can also occur in the OT. Considering the general understanding that the mechanisms of long-lasting LTP in the brain include new protein synthesis to strengthen synaptic structures (Pfeiffer and Huber, 2006), the plastic property of the OT synapses can explain our recent observation that the synaptic ultrastructure of the OT develops when activation of the sensory or intracortical inputs to the OT was associated with reward or punishment (Sha et al., 2023).

The condition of electrical burst stimulation was adopted from our pilot study that tetanic or theta (5 Hz) burst stimulation, which is frequently used in other brain regions, failed to induce LTP in the OT, but reducing the bursting frequency to 2 Hz enabled LTP induction. The effectiveness of 2-Hz stimulation could reflect that the respiratory rhythm, closely related to olfaction, is critical for LTP induction at synapses in the OT. The rhythm of 2 Hz is within the lower range of the mouse breathing rate. Respiratory patterns are tightly related to animals' behavior (Janke et al., 2022), and respiration-related oscillations are observed in the olfactory system, including the olfactory bulb, OT and piriform cortex and in many other brain areas including hippocampus and prefrontal cortex, which likely contribute to memory function (Carlson et al., 2014; Heck et al., 2019). Possible relationships among animals' behavior, respiration, memory formation, and synaptic plasticity in the OT could be important for understanding the experience-dependent acquisition of motivated behaviors.

#### 4.2 Orexin facilitates LTP in the amOT

Orexin accelerates LTP development in the amOT in an OxR1dependent manner (Figure 4), but this was not replicated in the IOT (Figure 5). This domain-specific modulation by orexin reflects the higher expression of OxR1 in the amOT (Nogi et al., 2020), the crucial domain for odor-guided attraction (Murata et al., 2015). Glutamatergic transmission in the rodent OT is modified by acetylcholine (Hadley and Halliwell, 2010), serotonin (Owen and Halliwell, 2001), and dopamine (Wieland et al., 2015). In addition to these neurotransmitters, orexin can also modulate glutamatergic transmission in the mouse OT. Orexin ameliorates synaptic transmission efficiency in the CA1 and dentate gyrus of the hippocampus to induce LTP (Deadwyler et al., 2007; Zhao et al., 2014). Antagonizing OxR1 in the dentate gyrus impairs LTP induction (Akbari et al., 2011). Similarly, as observed in the hippocampus, our study revealed the effects of orexin on LTP induction via OxR1 signaling at glutamatergic transmission in the amOT. This action of orexin might contribute to the structural development of synaptic inputs to the amOT and activation of the amOT by odor-food reward association learning (Murata et al., 2015; Sha et al., 2023).

Given that glutamatergic transmission in the OT includes peripheral inputs from the olfactory bulb and central inputs from the piriform cortex, one possibility is that orexin activates OxR1 expressed on the postsynaptic side of glutamatergic transmission in the amOT to modulate the synaptic efficacy. Previous investigations in rats reported that orexin acts on the postsynaptic side of the hippocampus CA1 neurons to modify LTP (Aou et al., 2003) and that of the prefrontal cortex to excite pyramidal neurons (Xia et al., 2005). The other possibility is that orexin binds to OxR1 expressed at the presynaptic side of glutamatergic transmission in the amOT to modulate glutamate release. A previous study in mice demonstrated that orexin stimulates neurons in part by promoting glutamate release from the presynaptic axon terminals of interneurons to neurons in the lateral hypothalamus (Li et al., 2002). Further experiments such as in situ hybridization of OxR1 and patch-clamp recording of OT neurons would elucidate the mechanisms of action of orexin at glutamatergic synapses in the amOT.

The present study identified the contribution of glutamatergic inputs and neuromodulatory signals in the synaptic plasticity of the OT. Combining these two factors is considered crucial for promoting OT domain-specific circuit plasticity and acquiring odor valences in a learning-dependent manner. Further understanding of this combinatory role could facilitate the neural circuit mechanisms of adaptive learning of odor-guided motivated behaviors.

## Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in this study are included in this article/Supplementary material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding authors.

#### **Ethics statement**

The animal study was approved by the Kochi Medical School Animal Care and Use Committee. The study was conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements.

#### Author contributions

SP: Writing – review and editing, Writing – original draft, Validation, Investigation, Formal analysis, Data curation, Conceptualization. YM: Writing – review and editing, Writing – original draft, Visualization, Project administration, Methodology, Funding acquisition, Formal analysis, Data curation, Conceptualization. MT: Writing – review and editing, Resources, Methodology, Funding acquisition, Conceptualization. SS: Writing – review and editing. MY: Writing – review and editing, Supervision, Project administration, Funding acquisition, Conceptualization.

#### Funding

The authors declare that financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This

#### References

Akbari, E., Motamedi, F., Davoodi, F. G., Noorbakhshnia, M., and Ghanbarian, E. (2011). Orexin-1 receptor mediates long-term potentiation in the dentate gyrus area of freely moving rats. *Behav. Brain Res.* 216, 375–380. doi: 10.1016/j.bbr.2010.08.017

Aou, S., Li, X. L., Li, A. J., Oomura, Y., Shiraishi, T., Sasaki, K., et al. (2003). Orexin-A (hypocretin-1) impairs Morris water maze performance and CA1-Schaffer collateral long-term potentiation in rats. *Neuroscience* 119, 1221–1228. doi: 10.1016/ S0306-4522(02)00745-5

Borgland, S. L., Taha, S. A., Sarti, F., Fields, H. L., and Bonci, A. (2006). Orexin A in the VTA is critical for the induction of synaptic plasticity and behavioral sensitization to cocaine. *Neuron* 49, 589–601. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2006.01.016

Boutrel, B., Steiner, N., and Halfon, O. (2013). The hypocretins and the reward function: What have we learned so far? *Front. Behav. Neurosci.* 7:59. doi: 10.3389/fnbeh.2013.00059

Caillol, M., Aïoun, J., Baly, C., Persuy, M.-A., and Salesse, R. (2003). Localization of orexins and their receptors in the rat olfactory system: Possible modulation of olfactory perception by a neuropeptide synthetized centrally or locally. *Brain Res.* 960, 48–61. doi: 10.1016/S0006-8993(02)03755-1

Carlson, K. S., Dillione, M. R., and Wesson, D. W. (2014). Odor- and state-dependent olfactory tubercle local field potential dynamics in awake rats. *J. Neurophysiol.* 111, 2109–2123. doi: 10.1152/jn.00829.2013

work was supported by the JSPS KAKENHI (grant numbers 19H03341, 22H02734, 22K02112, 22K06831, and 24K22038) and Research Grant from Urakami Foundation for Food and Food Culture Promotion.

#### Acknowledgments

We thank Dr. Md. Monjurul Ahasan, Dr. Md. Fazley Rabbi Sha, and Mr. Md. Tasnim Alam for their support and advice.

## **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

## Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

#### Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fncir.2024. 1473403/full#supplementary-material

Carriero, G., Uva, L., Gnatkovsky, V., and De Curtis, M. (2009). Distribution of the olfactory fiber input into the olfactory tubercle of the in vitro isolated guinea pig brain. *J. Neurophysiol.* 101, 1613–1619. doi: 10.1152/jn.90792.2008

Cluderay, J. E., Harrison, D. C., and Hervieu, G. J. (2002). Protein distribution of the orexin-2 receptor in the rat central nervous system. *Regul. Pept.* 104, 131–144. doi: 10.1016/S0167-0115(01)00357-3

de Lecea, L., Kilduff, T. S., Peyron, C., Gao, X., Foye, P. E., Danielson, P. E., et al. (1998). The hypocretins: Hypothalamus-specific peptides with neuroexcitatory activity. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 95, 322–327. doi: 10.1073/pnas.95.1.322

Deadwyler, S. A., Porrino, L., Siegel, J. M., and Hampson, R. E. (2007). Systemic and nasal delivery of orexin-A (hypocretin-1) reduces the effects of sleep deprivation on cognitive performance in nonhuman primates. *J. Neurosci.* 27, 14239–14247. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3878-07.2007

Dibenedictis, B. T., Olugbemi, A. O., Baum, M. J., and Cherry, J. A. (2015). DREADD-induced silencing of the medial olfactory tubercle disrupts the preference of female mice for opposite-sex chemosignals. *eNeuro* 2, e0078–15. doi: 10.1523/ENEURO.0078-15.2015

FitzGerald, B. J., Richardson, K., and Wesson, D. W. (2014). Olfactory tubercle stimulation alters odor preference behavior and recruits forebrain reward and motivational centers. *Front. Behav. Neurosci.* 8:81. doi: 10.3389/fnbeh.2014.00081

Gadziola, M. A., Stetzik, L. A., Wright, K. N., Milton, A. J., Arakawa, K., del Mar, et al. (2020). A neural system that represents the association of odors with rewarded outcomes and promotes behavioral engagement. *Cell Rep.* 32:107919. doi: 10.1016/j. celrep.2020.107919

Gadziola, M. A., Tylicki, K. A., Christian, D. L., and Wesson, D. W. (2015). The olfactory tubercle encodes odor valence in behaving mice. *J. Neurosci.* 35, 4515–4527. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4750-14.2015

Hadley, J. K., and Halliwell, J. V. (2010). Serotonin modulates glutamatergic transmission in the rat olfactory tubercle. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* 31, 659–672. doi: 10.1111/j. 1460-9568.2010.07084.x

Heck, D. H., Kozma, R., and Kay, L. M. (2019). The rhythm of memory: How breathing shapes memory function. *J. Neurophysiol.* 122, 563–571. doi: 10.1152/jn. 00200.2019

Heimer, L. (1978). "The olfactory cortex and the ventral striatum," in *Limbic mechanisms*, eds K. E. Livingston and O. Hornykiewicz (Boston, MA: Springer US), 95–187. doi: 10.1007/978-1-4757-0716-8\_7

Hervieu, G. J., Cluderay, J. E., Harrison, D. C., Roberts, J. C., and Leslie, R. A. (2001). Gene expression and protein distribution of the orexin-1 receptor in the rat brain and spinal cord. *Neuroscience* 103, 777–797. doi: 10.1016/S0306-4522(01)00033-1

Janke, E., Zhang, M., Ryu, S. E., Bhattarai, J. P., Schreck, M. R., Moberly, A. H., et al. (2022). Machine learning-based clustering and classification of mouse behaviors via respiratory patterns. *iScience* 25:105625. doi: 10.1016/j.isci.2022.105625

Kanter, E. D., and Haberly, L. B. (1990). NMDA-dependent induction of long-term potentiation in afferent and association fiber systems of piriform cortex in vitro. *Brain Res.* 525, 175–179. doi: 10.1016/0006-8993(90)91337-G

Li, Y., Gao, X.-B., Sakurai, T., and van den Pol, A. N. (2002). Hypocretin/orexin excites hypocretin neurons via a local glutamate neuron-a potential mechanism for orchestrating the hypothalamic arousal system. *Neuron* 36, 1169–1181. doi: 10.1016/S0896-6273(02)01132-7

Lu, G.-L., Lee, C.-H., and Chiou, L.-C. (2016). Orexin A induces bidirectional modulation of synaptic plasticity: Inhibiting long-term potentiation and preventing depotentiation. *Neuropharmacology* 107, 168–180. doi: 10.1016/j.neuropharm.2016. 03.005

Luskin, M. B., and Price, J. (1983). The laminar distribution of intracortical fibers originating in the olfactory cortex of the rat. J. Comp. Neurol. 216, 292–302. doi: 10.1002/cne.902160306

Mahler, S. V., Moorman, D. E., Smith, R. J., James, M. H., and Aston-Jones, G. (2014). Motivational activation: A unifying hypothesis of orexin/hypocretin function. *Nat. Neurosci.* 17, 1298–1303. doi: 10.1038/nn.3810

Milbank, E., and López, M. (2019). Orexins/hypocretins: Key regulators of energy homeostasis. *Front. Endocrinol.* 10:830. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2019.00830

Millman, D. J., and Murthy, V. N. (2020). Rapid learning of odor-value association in the olfactory striatum. *J. Neurosci.* 40, 4335–4347. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2604-19.2020

Morrison, G. L., Fontaine, C. J., Harley, C. W., and Yuan, Q. (2013). A role for the anterior piriform cortex in early odor preference learning: Evidence for multiple olfactory learning structures in the rat pup. *J. Neurophysiol.* 110, 141–152. doi: 10.1152/jn.00072.2013

Murata, K., Kanno, M., Ieki, N., Mori, K., and Yamaguchi, M. (2015). Mapping of learned odor-induced motivated behaviors in the mouse olfactory tubercle. *J. Neurosci.* 35, 10581–10599. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0073-15.2015

Murofushi, W., Mori, K., Murata, K., and Yamaguchi, M. (2018). Functional development of olfactory tubercle domains during weaning period in mice. *Sci. Rep.* 8:13204. doi: 10.1038/s41598-018-31604-1

Namba, T., Taniguchi, M., Murata, Y., Tong, J., Wang, Y., Okutani, F., et al. (2016). Activation of arginine vasopressin receptor 1a facilitates the induction of long-term potentiation in the accessory olfactory bulb of male mice. *Neurosci. Lett.* 634, 107–113. doi: 10.1016/j.neulet.2016.09.056

Nogi, Y., Ahasan, M. M., Murata, Y., Taniguchi, M., Sha, M. F. R., Ijichi, C., et al. (2020). Expression of feeding-related neuromodulatory signalling molecules in the mouse central olfactory system. *Sci. Rep.* 10:890. doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-57605-7

Owen, G. S., and Halliwell, J. V. (2001). Electrophysiological characterization of laminar synaptic inputs to the olfactory tubercle of the rat studied in vitro: Modulation of glutamatergic transmission by cholinergic agents is pathway-specific. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* 13, 1767–1780. doi: 10.1046/j.0953-816X.2001.01556.x

Peyron, C., Tighe, D. K., van den Pol, A. N., de Lecea, L., Heller, H. C., Sutcliffe, J. G., et al. (1998). Neurons containing hypocretin (Orexin) project to multiple neuronal systems. *J. Neurosci.* 18, 9996–10015. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.18-23-09996.1998

Pfeiffer, B. E., and Huber, K. M. (2006). Current advances in local protein synthesis and synaptic plasticity. *J. Neurosci.* 26, 7147–7150. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1797-06. 2006

Poo, C., and Isaacson, J. S. (2007). An early critical period for long-term plasticity and structural modification of sensory synapses in olfactory cortex. *J. Neurosci.* 27, 7553–7558. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1786-07.2007

Sakurai, T., Amemiya, A., Ishii, M., Matsuzaki, I., Chemelli, R. M., Tanaka, H., et al. (1998). Orexins and orexin receptors: A family of hypothalamic neuropeptides and G protein-coupled receptors that regulate feeding behavior. *Cell* 92, 573–585. doi: 10.1016/S0092-8674(00)80949-6

Scammell, T., and Winrow, T. (2011). Orexin receptors: Pharmacology and therapeutic opportunities. *Annu. Rev. Pharmocol. Toxicol.* 51, 243–266. doi: 10.1146/ annurev-pharmtox-010510-100528

Selbach, O., Doreulee, N., Bohla, C., Eriksson, K. S., Sergeeva, O. A., Poelchen, W., et al. (2004). Orexin/hypocretins cause sharp wave- and  $\theta$ -related synaptic plasticity in the hippocampus via glutamatergic, GABAergic, noradrenergic, and cholinergic signaling. *Neuroscience* 127, 519–528. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroscience.2004.05.012

Sha, M. F. R., Koga, Y., Murata, Y., Taniguchi, M., and Yamaguchi, M. (2023). Learning-dependent structural plasticity of intracortical and sensory connections to functional domains of the olfactory tubercle. *Front. Neurosci.* 17:1247375. doi: 10. 3389/fnins.2023.1247375

Tong, J., Okutani, F., Murata, Y., Taniguchi, M., Namba, T., Wang, Y. J., et al. (2017). Tunicamycin impairs olfactory learning and synaptic plasticity in the olfactory bulb. *Neuroscience* 344, 371–379. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroscience.2017.01.001

Wesson, D. W. (2020). The tubular striatum. J. Neurosci. 40, 7379-7386. doi: 10. 1523/JNEUROSCI.1109-20.2020

Wesson, D. W., and Wilson, D. A. (2011). Sniffing out the contributions of the olfactory tubercle to the sense of smell: Hedonics, sensory integration, and more? *Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev.* 35, 655–668. doi: 10.1016/j.neubiorev.2010.08.004

Wieland, S., Schindler, S., Huber, C., Köhr, G., Oswald, M. J., and Kelsch, W. (2015). Phasic dopamine modifies sensory-driven output of striatal neurons through synaptic plasticity. *J. Neurosci.* 35, 9946–9956. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0127-15.2015

Xia, J., Chen, X., Song, C., Ye, J., Yu, Z., and Hu, Z. (2005). Postsynaptic excitation of prefrontal cortical pyramidal neurons by hypocretin-1/orexin A through the inhibition of potassium currents. *J. Neurosci. Res.* 82, 729–736. doi: 10.1002/jnr.20667

Yamaguchi, M. (2024). Connectivity of the olfactory tubercle: Inputs, outputs, and their plasticity. *Front. Neural Circuits* 18:1423505. doi: 10.3389/fncir.2024.1423505

Zhang, Z., Liu, Q., Wen, P., Zhang, J., Rao, X., Zhou, Z., et al. (2017). Activation of the dopaminergic pathway from VTA to the medial olfactory tubercle generates odor-preference and reward. *eLife* 6:e25423. doi: 10.7554/eLife.25423

Zhao, X., Zhang, R. X., Tang, S., Ren, Y. Y., Yang, W. X., Liu, X. M., et al. (2014). Orexin-A-induced ERK1/2 activation reverses impaired spatial learning and memory in pentylenetetrazol-kindled rats via OX1R-mediated hippocampal neurogenesis. *Peptides (N.Y.)* 54, 140–147. doi: 10.1016/j.peptides.2013.11.019