Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Feng Gao, The Sixth Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-sen University, China

REVIEWED BY Shuang Hu, Capital Medical University, China Zhang Haoling, University of Science Malaysia (USM), Malaysia Xiaocong Cao, Johns Hopkins University, United States

*CORRESPONDENCE Yuanhong Xu, ⊠ xyhong1964@163.com Ping Hu, ⊠ huping@ecust.edu.cn

RECEIVED 20 December 2024 ACCEPTED 17 March 2025 PUBLISHED 07 April 2025

CITATION

Wang X, Xu X, Jia R, Xu Y and Hu P (2025) UPLC-Q-TOF-MS-based unbiased serum metabolomics investigation of cholangiocarcinoma. *Front. Mol. Biosci.* 12:1549223. doi: 10.3389/fmolb.2025.1549223

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Wang, Xu, Jia, Xu and Hu. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

UPLC-Q-TOF-MS-based unbiased serum metabolomics investigation of cholangiocarcinoma

Xiaowei Wang¹, Xuefeng Xu¹, Ran Jia², Yuanhong Xu^{1*} and Ping Hu^{3*}

¹Department of Clinical Laboratory, The First Affiliated Hospital of Anhui Medical University, Hefei, China, ²Department of Hepatobiliary surgery, The First Affiliated Hospital of Anhui Medical University, Hefei, China, ³School of Chemistry and Molecular Engineering, East China University of Science and Technology, Shanghai, China

Objective: Cholangiocarcinoma (CCA) is a highly aggressive malignancy, and early diagnosis remains challenging. Metabolic biomarkers are increasingly recognized as promising tools for the early detection of cancer. However, a comprehensive exploration of metabolic alterations in CCA, especially from a global metabolic perspective, has yet to be fully realized. To identify reliable metabolic markers for the early diagnosis of CCA and to explore its potential pathogenesis through an in-depth analysis of global metabolism.

Methods: Serum samples from 30 CCA patients and 31 healthy individuals were analyzed using an unbiased UPLC-Q-TOF-MS based metabolomics approach. Principal component analysis (PCA) and orthogonal projections to latent structures discriminant analysis (OPLS-DA) were applied to identify potential biomarkers. High-resolution MS/MS and available standards were used to further confirm the identified metabolites. A systematic metabolic pathway analysis was conducted to interpret the biological roles of these biomarkers and explore their relevance to CCA progression.

Results: A total of 25 marker metabolites were identified, including lysophosphatidylcholines (LysoPCs), phosphatidylcholines (PCs), organic acids, sphinganine, and ketoleucine. These metabolites effectively distinguished CCA patients from healthy controls, with an AUC of 0.995 for increased biomarkers and 0.992 for decreased biomarkers in positive mode. In negative mode, the AUC for increased and decreased biomarkers was 0.899 and 0.976, respectively. The metabolic pathway analysis revealed critical biological functions linked to these biomarkers, offering insights into the molecular mechanisms underlying CCA initiation and progression.

Conclusion: This study identifies novel metabolic biomarkers for the early diagnosis of CCA and provides a deeper understanding of the metabolic alterations associated with the disease. These findings could

contribute to the development of diagnostic strategies and therapeutic interventions for CCA.

KEYWORDS

cholangiocarcinoma, diagnosis, unbiased serum metabolomics, UPLC-Q-ToF-MS, biomarker screen and identification

1 Introduction

Cholangiocarcinoma (CCA) is a rare and heterogeneous malignancy of the biliary system, originating from the epithelial cells lining the bile duct (Banales et al., 2020). CCA is currently the second most prevalent primary liver cancer after hepatocellular carcinoma (Banales et al., 2016) and is classified into three subtypes based on anatomical location: intrahepatic (iCCA), perihilar (pCCA), and distal (dCCA) (Sulpice et al., 2013). Although CCA remains relatively uncommon, its clinical incidence has been steadily increasing over recent decades, reaching an annual rate of 0.3-6 cases per 100,000 individuals (Guilhamon et al., 2013). Traditional diagnostic methods are hindered by a lack of sensitive and specific tests. While magnetic resonance imaging, computed tomography, and endoscopic ultrasound offer useful diagnostic insights in select patients, these techniques are often invasive, time-consuming, and costly. Early diagnosis remains challenging, with ultrasonography and endoscopic retrograde cholangiography still being the primary tools for CCA detection (Intuyod et al., 2019), yet these methods often fail to identify the tumor at its earliest stages, contributing to the disease's high mortality rates (Wang et al., 2013; Lindner et al., 2015). Although nonspecific biomarkers such as carbohydrate antigen 199 (CA199) (Liang et al., 2015) and carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA) (Loosen et al., 2017) are used in diagnosis, their levels are also elevated in hepatocellular carcinoma, cholangitis, and other hepatobiliary diseases, complicating their reliability as diagnostic markers for CCA (Macias et al., 2018; Chen et al., 2002). Therefore, there is an urgent need for the identification of specific biomarkers to facilitate early detection, improve prognosis, and guide treatment strategies for CCA.

Metabolomics, a powerful analytical approach developed after genomics, transcriptomics, and proteomics (Saito and Matsuda, 2010), is emerging as a promising tool for biomarker discovery. By qualitatively and quantitatively analyzing small-molecule endogenous metabolites in biological samples, metabolomics identifies correlations between metabolic alterations and pathological changes (Suhre et al., 2011). This technique allows for comprehensive analysis of metabolites in various biological matrices, such as serum, urine, feces, and tissues, to pinpoint disease-specific biomarkers (Chua et al., 2013). It offers the advantage of detecting subtle biomarker changes, providing early warnings of disease onset, and reflecting the progression and severity of the disease (Pintus et al., 2017). Consequently, metabolomics is particularly well-suited for tumor diagnosis (Yang et al., 2018), biomarker discovery (Burton and Ma, 2019), and prognostic evaluation (Buck et al., 2017), owing to its high-throughput, systematic, and holistic nature. Recent studies have demonstrated the utility of metabolomics in CCA. Alsaleh et al. identified distinct metabolic profiles in urinary samples from healthy controls versus individuals with CCA, with altered

acylcarnitine levels identified through orthogonal projections to latent structures discriminant analysis (OPLS-DA) (Alsaleh et al., 2019). Among them, ultra-performance liquid chromatography coupled with quadrupole time-of-flight mass spectrometry (UPLC-Q-TOF-MS) offers high sensitivity, resolution, and accuracy in detecting a broad range of metabolites in biological samples. The ability of UPLC-Q-TOF-MS to comprehensively profile metabolic changes makes it particularly suitable for identifying potential biomarkers for CCA from blood samples, which are easily accessible and minimally invasive compared to tissue or bile specimens. They also observed changes in the abundance of phospholipids in serum metabolites, particularly in patients with liver disease, including CCA, as detected by unsupervised principal component analysis (PCA), although no significant differences were found between profiles from CCA patients and those with benign biliary strictures (Alsaleh et al., 2020). Additionally, Banales et al. proposed a combined PCA and OPLS analysis to differentiate between iCCA and hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC), enabling accurate tumor classification based on biopsy-proven diagnoses (Banales et al., 2019).

In this study, serum metabolite profiles of CCA patients and healthy controls were analyzed using ultraperformance liquid chromatography-quadrupole-time-of-flight mass spectrometry (UPLC-Q-TOF-MS) based metabolomics. Serum was chosen over urine as the biological sample of interest because clinical urine samples require 24-hour collection periods for reliable metabolite quantification, resulting in more complex postprocessing (Ren et al., 2021). Furthermore, serum provides a more representative snapshot of metabolic activity and offers richer chemical information, making it a preferred sample type in metabolomics research (Sun et al., 2013). Through systematic statistical analysis, this study aims to gain insights into the metabolic alterations associated with CCA, advancing the identification of specific biomarkers for early diagnosis and enhancing our understanding of disease progression at the metabolic level.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Reagents and chemicals

Acetonitrile (ACN, LC-MS grade) and methanol (LC-MS grade) were procured from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany). Formic acid (FA, LC-MS grade) was sourced from ACS (Anaqua Chemicals Supply, United States), and ultrapure water used in this study was obtained via an EPED-E2-10 TF system (Nanjing, China). The lysophosphatidylcholine (LysoPC) standard, LysoPC(16:0/0:0), was obtained from AvantiPolar Lipids (Alabaster, AL, United States). Other standards, including D-glucuronic acid, 2-hydroxybutyric acid, ketoleucine, alpha-ketoisovaleric acid, and glyceric acid, were

supplied by Shanghai Macklin Biochemical Technology Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China).

2.2 Clinical sample collection

This study enrolled CCA patients from the First Affiliated Hospital of Anhui Medical University between October 2020 and March 2021, while healthy controls were recruited from medical examiners. The study utilized discarded specimens, originally collected for clinical purposes and deemed surplus to diagnostic or treatment procedures, for analysis. These specimens posed no additional risk to participants, as strict ethical guidelines and data protection protocols were followed during anonymization and handling. Ethical approval (Approval No.: PJ2024-11-35) was granted, ensuring compliance with ethical standards and safeguarding participant confidentiality. CCA patients included in the study had been clinically diagnosed with CCA for at least 6 months and were confirmed by two independent pathologists. The healthy control group was matched to the CCA patients by age and gender. All physiological indicators were derived from 8-hour fasting blood samples (Table 1), which included: (i) liver function indicators such as ALT, AST, ALP, GGT, TBIL, DBIL, and UREA, and (ii) tumor markers, including AFP, CEA, CA-125, and CA199.

2.3 Sample preparation

Frozen serum samples were thawed on ice, and 100 μ L of serum was mixed with 400 μ L of ACN, followed by vortexing for 1 min. The samples were then centrifuged at 13,000 rpm for 10 min to remove proteins. The resulting supernatant was aliquoted into 400 μ L portions and freeze-dried. After freeze-drying, the product was reconstituted in 100 μ L of H₂O/ACN and centrifuged at 13,000 rpm for 10 min at 4°C. The supernatant was then filtered through a 0.22- μ m-thick GHP membrane (PALL Corporation, United States) prior to UPLC-MS analysis.

2.4 UPLC-Q-TOF-MS condition

Chromatographic separation was carried out using an Agilent 1290 UPLC system with an Acquity BEH C18 column (100 mm \times 2.1 mm, 1.7 µm) at a column temperature of 45°C. The injection volume was set to $5 \,\mu$ L, and the flow rate was fixed at 0.5 mL/min. A gradient mobile phase was employed, and the time schedule is provided in Supplementary Table S1. Mobile phase A consisted of 0.1% FA in H₂O, and mobile phase B was 0.1% FA in ACN. MS detection was performed using a Q-TOF mass spectrometer with the following settings: drying gas temperature at 350°C; drying gas flow at 10 L/min; nebulizer pressure at 30 psig; capillary voltage set at 3,500 V for positive mode and 3,000 V for negative mode; fragmentor voltage at 125 V; skimmer voltage at 65 V; and octopole RF voltage at 750 V. The collision energies for targeted MS/MS analysis were set at 20 and 40 eV, respectively. Mass spectra were acquired in the m/z range of 50-1,200, with a scan rate of one spectrum per second. For accurate mass measurement, continuous calibration was performed using reference solutions with reference

TABLE 1 Demographic and clinical characteristics of CCA patients.

Characteristics	Control (n = 31)	CCA (n = 30)	
Sex (F/M)	12/19	11/19	
Age, years	56 ± 7	63 ± 10	
Age, range	50-85	47-89	
ALT (IU/L)	26.00 ± 10.21	150.62 ± 182.35	
AST (IU/L)	20.42 ± 6.18	106.03 ± 118.45	
ALP (IU/L)	78.80 ± 21.21	351.40 ± 279.26	
GGT (IU/L)	28.20 ± 24.84	421.40 ± 500.29	
TBIL (mg/dL)	14.18 ± 4.43	157.78 ± 148.29	
DBIL (mg/dL)	4.61 ± 1.53	270.29 ± 745.84	
Urea (ng/mL)	5.08 ± 1.13	8.90 ± 14.97	
AFP (ng/mL)	3.02 ± 1.77	3.21 ± 2.43	
CEA (ng/mL)	1.87 ± 1.10	7.28 ± 7.38	
CA-125 (IU/mL)	11.8 ± 5.0	46.40 ± 72.17	
CA-199 (IU/mL)	10.37 ± 5.77	876.65 ± 1,474.62	

masses of m/z 121.0509 and 922.0098 (positive mode) or m/z 112.9856 and 1,033.9881 (negative mode).

2.5 Data processing and analysis

OPLS-DA was employed as a common method to identify potential marker metabolites in serum. In this study, metabolites were considered markers if their variable importance in projection (VIP) score exceeded 1.0 and their P-value was less than 0.05, with a t-test used to assess reliability. The total ion chromatographic data obtained from Q-TOF analysis were converted into. mzML files using ProteoWizard software and processed with Progenesis QI v2.0 software (Waters, Newcastle, United Kingdom). Representative sample data were selected for automatic alignment with other datasets using Progenesis QI. Following this, adduct ions were deconvoluted, and ion abundance was calculated based on a threshold level. All detected features were matched against a serum metabolite database, using a mass tolerance of 10 ppm (serum metabolite online database, https://hmdb.ca/). Raw data obtained from UPLC-Q-TOF-MS were first processed for noise reduction and baseline correction to improve data quality. Then, peak alignment and integration were carried out to quantify the compounds. To ensure comparability of data across samples, normalization methods like mean normalization or total ion count (TIC) normalization were applied. Scaling was also performed using approaches such as standardization (Z - score) and min max scaling, which helped to avoid the dominance of variables with different units or scales in the analysis. Heatmap was plotted by



https://www.bioinformatics.com.cn (last accessed on 10 December 2024), an online platform for data analysis and visualization. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) analysis was performed using SPSS 24.0 software to evaluate the accuracy of the statistical results. Additionally, differential metabolites were analyzed for pathway enrichment using MetaboAnalyst, and the metabolite pathway network was visualized using MetScape.The criteria for selecting marker metabolites in both groups included a VIP value greater than 1.0 and a P-value less than 0.05.

3 Results

Initially, we conducted a retrospective analysis of patient clinical data and subsequently present the fundamental characteristics of the collected samples in Table 1. The results indicate that there

were no statistically significant differences observed in the variables of gender. However, a significant difference was found in levels alanine aminotransferase (ALT), and alanine aminotransferase (AST), alkaline phosphatase (ALP), gamma glutamyl transferase (GGT), total bilirubin (TBIL), direct bilirubin (DBIL), Urea, AFP, CEA and CA-199 between Control and CCA samples. between the two groups.

3.1 PCA of CCA and normal sera

In this study, total ion chromatograms were collected in both positive and negative ion modes for normal and CCA samples, and a nontargeted metabolic approach was applied. High-quality data are essential for robust metabolomics analysis. Quality control (QC) samples were included to assess the repeatability and stability

No.	RT (min)	m/z	Adducts	Formula	Description	MS/MS fragments	Trend	VIP
1	9.96	520.3399	M + H	C ₂₆ H ₅₀ NO ₇ P	LysoPC (18:2/0:0)	258.1085 [M-FA18:2 + H] ⁺ , 184.0728 [phosphocholine] ⁺ , 104.1071 [choline] ⁺	Î	12.45
2	10.23	714.5409	M + H	C ₄₀ H ₇₆ NO ₇ P	PC (P-18:1/14:1)	506.3520 [M-FA14:1 + H] ⁺ , 450.2861 [M-(P-18:1) + H] ⁺ 184.0717 [phosphocholine] ⁺ , 104.1088 [choline] ⁺	Ţ	3.89
3	10.18	518.3227	M + H	$\mathrm{C_{26}H_{48}NO_7P}$	LysoPC (18:3/0:0)	258.1104 [M-FA18:3 + H] ⁺ , 184.0725 [phosphocholine] ⁺ , 104.1067 [choline] ⁺	Î	3.82
4	9.90	568.3413	M + H	C ₃₀ H ₅₀ NO ₇ P	LysoPC (22:6/0:0)	184.0731 [phosphocholine] ⁺ , 104.1064 [choline] ⁺	Î	3.48
5	11.70	546.355	M + H	C ₂₈ H ₅₂ NO ₇ P	LysoPC (20:3/0:0)	184.0720 [phosphocholine] ⁺ , 104.1065 [choline] ⁺	Î	3.35
6	10.38	480.3442	M + H	C ₂₄ H ₅₀ NO ₆ P	LysoPC (P-16:0/0:0)	258.1121 [M-(P-16:0)+H] ⁺ , 184.0728 [phosphocholine] ⁺ , 104.1077 [choline] ⁺	Ļ	3.02
7	9.90	494.3264	M + H	C ₂₄ H ₄₈ NO ₇ P	LysoPC (16:1/0:0)	258.1106 [M-FA16:1 + H] ⁺ , 184.0723 [phosphocholine] ⁺ , 104.1067 [choline] ⁺	Î	2.80
8	9.83	542.3254	M + H	C ₂₈ H ₄₈ NO ₇ P	LysoPC (20:5/0:0)	258.1064 [M-FA20:5 + H] ⁺ , 184.0739 [phosphocholine] ⁺ , 104.1067 [choline] ⁺	Î	2.74
9 ^a	11.71	496.3409	M + H	C ₂₄ H ₅₀ NO ₇ P	LysoPC (16:0/0:0)	258.1097 [M-FA16:0 + H] ⁺ , 184.0728 [phosphocholine] ⁺ , 104.1069 [choline] ⁺	Î	2.19
10 ^a	8.35	466.3163	M + H	C ₂₆ H ₄₃ NO ₆	Glycohyocholic acid	$\begin{array}{c} 448.3075 \ [\mathrm{M-H_2O+H}]^+, 430.2976 \ [\mathrm{M-2H_2O}\\ &+\mathrm{H}]^+\\ 412.2844 \ [\mathrm{M-3H_2O+H}]^+ \end{array}$	Ţ	2.12
11 ^a	9.70	302.3062	M + H	C ₁₈ H ₃₉ NO ₂	Sphinganine	284.2939 [M-H ₂ O + H] ⁺	Ļ	2.11
12	11.71	522.3555	M + H	C ₂₆ H ₅₂ NO ₇ P	LysoPC (18:1/0:0)	258.1102 [M-FA16:1 + H] ⁺ , 184.0730 [phosphocholine] ⁺ , 104.1073 [choline] ⁺	Î	1.98
13	11.71	508.3763	M + H	C ₂₆ H ₅₄ NO ₆ P	LysoPC (P-18:0/0:0)	258.1120 [M-(P-18:0) ⁺ H] ⁺ , 184.0731 [phosphocholine] ⁺ , 104.1074 [choline] ⁺	Ļ	1.59
14	10.41	506.3606	M + H	C ₂₆ H ₅₂ NO ₆ P	LysoPC (P-18:1/0:0)	184.0721 [phosphocholine] ⁺ , 104.1081 [choline] ⁺	Ļ	1.46
15	11.68	808.5839	M + H	C ₄₆ H ₈₂ NO ₈ P	PC (18:1/20:4)	544.3319 [M-FA18:1 + H] ⁺ , 522.3462 [M-FA20:4 + H] ⁺ 184.0751 [phosphocholine] ⁺ , 104.1113 [choline] ⁺	Ļ	1.35
16	10.43	548.3725	M + H	C ₂₈ H ₅₄ NO ₇ P	LysoPC (20:2/0:0)	184.0731 [phosphocholine] ⁺ , 104.1083 [choline] ⁺	Î	1.15
17	7.15	514.2942	M – H	C ₂₆ H ₄₆ NO ₇ P	LysoPC (18:4/0:0)	499.2749 [M-CH ₃ -H] ⁻ , 257.1945 [FA18:4-H] ⁻	Î	5.83
18 ^a	0.52	193.0362	M – H	C ₆ H ₁₀ O ₇	D-glucuronic acid	149.0457 [M-CO ₂ -H] ⁻	Î	3.11
19 ^a	0.97	103.0402	M – H	C ₄ H ₈ O ₃	2-Hydroxybutyric acid	84.0217 [M-H ₂ O-H] ⁻ , 58.0425 [M-CO ₂ -H] ⁻	Î	3.04
20 ^a	3.10	129.0559	M – H	$C_{6}H_{10}O_{3}$	Ketoleucine	114.0342 [M-CH ₃ -H] ⁻ , 85.0688 [M-CO ₂ -H] ⁻	Ļ	2.76

TABLE 2 Discriminant serum metabolites between CCA and normal samples.

(Continued on the following page)

No.	RT (min)	m/z	Adducts	Formula	Description	MS/MS fragments	Trend	VIP
21	2.40	117.0558	M – H	$C_5H_{10}O_3$	2-Hydroxy-3-methylbutyric acid	102.0330 [M-CH ₃ -H] ⁻ , 99.0413 [M-H ₂ O-H] ⁻ 73.0644 [M-CO ₂ -H] ⁻	Î	1.67
22	0.52	145.0623	M – H	$C_5 H_{10} N_2 O_3$	Ureidoisobutyric acid	130.0383 [M-CH ₃ -H] ⁻ , 85.0290 [M-(NH ₂) ₂ CO-H] ⁻	Î	1.23
23 ^a	1.62	115.0397	M – H	$C_5H_8O_3$	alpha-Ketoisovaleric acid	100.0152 [M-CH ₃ -H] ⁻ , 71.0488 [M-CO ₂ -H] ⁻	Ļ	1.21
24	9.72	764.5619	M – H	C ₄₄ H ₈₀ NO ₇ P	PC(O-16:1/20:4)	749.5405 [M-CH ₃ -H] ⁻ , 303.2342 [FA20:4-H] ⁻	Ļ	1.09
25ª	0.57	105.0195	M – H	$C_3H_6O_4$	Glyceric acid	87.0098 [M-H ₂ O-H] ⁻ , 61.0300 [M-CO ₂ -H] ⁻	Ļ	1.08

TABLE 2 (Continued) Discriminant serum metabolites between CCA and normal samples.

LysoPC: lysophosphatidylcholine; PC: phosphatidylcholine.

^aIdentification of the compound was confirmed by its pure standard. "↑" means a significantly higher level of metabolites in the CCA, group than in the normal group, whereas "↓" represents a significantly lower level of metabolites.

of the analytical method. The PCA score plot (Figure 1A) showed tight clustering of the QC samples, indicating the reliability of the experimental setup. Clear separation was observed between the diseased and normal groups, suggesting that CCA significantly disrupts the metabolic profile in the serum of affected patients. Furthermore, OPLS-DA was used for supervised analysis of group differences, as shown in Figures 1B,C. Both positive and negative ion mode scores indicated that this method was stable and had strong predictive capability. Predicted candidate biomarkers were identified and listed in Table 2 (16 candidate biomarkers in positive mode, nine in negative mode).

3.2 Metabolite disorder in serum with CCA

The serum differential metabolites were identified by matching their exact molecular masses and MS/MS spectra with the HMDB database (Figure 2). As examples, the fragmentation patterns of four representative marker metabolites are summarized in Figure 2. The abundant phosphocholine ion at m/z 184.0726 and choline ion at m/z 104.1067 are diagnostic fragments used to confirm the presence of phosphatidylcholine. The characteristic fragment ion at m/z 258.1106, generated by the cleavage of a fatty acyl chain, suggests the loss of the FA 16:1 group in LysoPC (16:1/0:0; No. 7). The successive neutral loss of H₂O observed in the MS/MS spectra corresponds to the hydroxyl group in glycohyocholic acid (No. 10). For ketoleucine (No. 20) and alpha-ketoisovaleric acid (No. 23), the sequential neutral loss of CH₃ and CO₂ indicates their methyl and carboxyl structures. Based on these fragmentation patterns, a total of 25 marker metabolites were identified (Table 2).

The heatmap (Figure 3) displays the relative concentrations of various biomarkers (e.g., LysoPC, Sphinganine, Glycocholic acid) in both groups. Red indicates higher concentration levels, while blue indicates lower concentration levels. Compared with the control group, 15 metabolites in the CCA group showed significant increases, including most LysoPCs and five organic acids: glycohyocholic acid, D-glucuronic acid, 2-hydroxybutyric acid, 2-hydroxy-3-methylbutyric acid, and ureidoisobutyric acid. In contrast, 10 metabolites were significantly decreased in the CCA group, including the remaining LysoPCs, phosphatidylcholines (PCs), sphinganine, ketoleucine, and two organic acids, alpha-ketoisovaleric acid and glyceric acid. The comparison of the MS signal intensities for each marker metabolite is presented in Figure 3.

To further validate the accuracy of the differential metabolites, a combined-index ROC curve analysis was conducted, which provides a more precise demonstration of the impact of statistical metabolites on CCA. As shown in Figure 4, the AUC for increased and decreased biomarkers in positive mode was 0.995 and 0.992, respectively, while the AUC for increased and decreased biomarkers in negative mode was 0.899 and 0.976, respectively. It was observed that, except for the metabolites with reduced content (identified in the negative mode), which exhibited a certain accuracy (AUC in the range of 0.7–0.9), all other screened metabolites showed higher diagnostic accuracy for CCA (AUC >0.9). These results indicate that the ROC analysis demonstrated a satisfactory accuracy for the metabolites identified in our study.

To further elucidate the biological functions of these altered metabolites, a systematic metabolic pathway analysis was performed using KEGG pathway enrichment and topological analysis based on pathway impact values (*P*-values). A total of seven pathways were identified, including the phosphatidylinositol signaling system, sphingolipid metabolism, L-leucine metabolism, D-glycerate metabolism, and glycerophospholipid metabolism (Figure 5).

4 Discussion

Metabolic reprogramming emerges as a central driver of cholangiocarcinoma (CCA) progression through dynamic crosstalk within the tumor microenvironment (TME). Our integrated metabolomic and functional analyses reveal that TME remodeling in CCA is orchestrated by multifaceted metabolite interactions. Complementing these findings, phospholipid dysregulation—evidenced by reduced phosphatidylcholine (PC)



and elevated lysophosphatidylcholine (LysoPC)—promotes carcinogenic ROS/DNA damage and aberrant lysophosphatidic acid (LPA) signaling, while perturbations in bile acid, sphingolipid, and amino acid metabolism (e.g., glycohyocholic acid accumulation, sphingosine depletion, ketoleucine reduction) collectively underscore CCA's reliance on metabolic rewiring for immune evasion and proliferation. These insights align with the hallmarks of cancer framework and highlight actionable nodes for therapeutic intervention targeting metabolic vulnerabilities in CCA.

4.1 Phospholipid metabolism

Cell proliferation is a fundamental requirement for tumorigenesis, which in turn necessitates increased metabolic activities such as elevated glycolysis and lipid synthesis (DeBerardinis et al., 2008). Phosphatidylcholine (PC) is the most abundant phospholipid in mammalian cells, comprising approximately 40%–50% of the total cellular phospholipids (van der Veen et al., 2017). PC predominantly resides in the outer leaflet of the cell membrane (Devaux, 1991). Changes in PC levels are associated with various liver diseases, including nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (Maev et al., 2020), liver failure (Li et al., 2021), and liver cancer (Cotte et al., 2019). LysoPC, a downstream product of PC, is also implicated in cancer progression and recurrence (Banales et al., 2020). In bile duct cells, LysoPC influences the expression of β -galactosidase, a marker of cellular senescence, enhances reactive oxygen species production, and induces DNA damage, which may lead to carcinogenesis (Shimizu et al., 2015). Furthermore, LysoPC has been identified as a biomarker for several cancers. For example,



Glycocholic acid) and amino acid metabolism (e.g., Sphinganine, 2-Hydroxybutyric acid). CCA: cholangiocarcinoma.

lower levels of LysoPC(16:0/0:0) have been observed in ovarian cancer (Kim et al., 2014) and colorectal cancer (Zhao et al., 2007). LysoPC (16:0/0:0) has also been used as a biomarker for intrahepatic CCA (Kim et al., 2017). In our study, we observed that serum levels of PC were lower in CCA patients compared to the normal group, while LysoPC levels showed a marked increase (Figure 3). The liver plays a crucial role in maintaining lipid and lipoprotein homeostasis. CCA disrupts hepatic lipid metabolism, while cancer

cells require an abundant supply of lipids for proliferation, resulting in a decrease in serum PC levels. Conversely, LysoPC is generated through the hydrolysis of PC by phospholipase A_2 . Normal LysoPC metabolism produces lysophosphatidic acid (LPA), a potent cellular signaling molecule that acts as a strong mitogen. Thus, the altered LysoPC metabolism observed in the serum of CCA patients reflects an abnormal lipid metabolism associated with the disease.



FIGURE 4

Combined indexes: ROC curves of differential marker metabolites in CCA patients' serum. (a1) Increased levels of biomarkers (MS positive mode); (a2) decreased levels of biomarkers (MS positive mode); (b1) increased levels of biomarkers (MS negative mode); (b2) decreased levels of biomarkers (MS positive mode). ROC: receiver operating characteristic; AUC: area under the curve.

4.2 Bile acid metabolism

Bile acids are critical biomolecules involved in vertebrate metabolism, contributing to processes such as the regulation of cholesterol homeostasis (Dalton et al., 2021), promotion of lipid and fat-soluble vitamin metabolism (Di Ciaula et al., 2017), and antibacterial defense (Park et al., 2021). Given their involvement in key signaling pathways regulating cell proliferation and apoptosis (Duboc et al., 2014), elevated bile acid levels have been linked to CCA (Neale et al., 1971), and an increase in serum bile acid concentrations is commonly associated with liver cancer (Thomas et al., 2021). In this study, we observed elevated levels of glycohyocholic acid, which may be indicative of CCA-induced disruption of hepatic bile acid homeostasis. Although increased bile acid concentrations are also seen in other liver diseases, glycohyocholic acid may not serve as a specific biomarker for CCA due to its broader association with various liver conditions.

4.3 Sphingomyelin metabolism

Sphingomyelin is a major component of the cell membrane and plays a vital role in cell growth, senescence, and apoptosis (Hori et al., 2021). Sphingosine, a product of sphingolipid metabolism, is converted into sphingosine-1-phosphate (S1P) through phosphorylation. S1P has been shown to be involved in a wide array of physiological processes, including cell proliferation, differentiation, and apoptosis (Li et al., 2015). Additionally, sphingosine has been implicated in the regulation of various pathological processes, including inflammation and cancer (Nagahashi et al., 2018). The abnormal metabolism of sphingosine in the liver is frequently associated with the progression of liver cancer (Xie et al., 2017), owing to the pivotal role of sphingomyelin in hepatocyte lipid metabolism (Miura et al., 2021). However, the exact mechanisms through which sphingosine contributes to cancer development remain unclear. Nonetheless, Uranbileg et al. reported



that sphingosine kinase (SK), the enzyme responsible for degrading sphingosine, plays a crucial role in the proliferation and migration of cancer cells, leading to a reduction in serum sphingosine levels in cancer patients (Uranbileg et al., 2016). Our study also found that serum sphingosine levels were decreased in CCA patients, consistent with the findings in previous reports.

4.4 Amino acids and other metabolites

Amino acids serve as the building blocks for protein synthesis and are integral to cancer metabolism (Lieu et al., 2020). The literature suggests that branched-chain amino acids may play a role in the treatment of advanced HCC (O'Connell, 2013). Leucine, in particular, has been shown to influence cell growth and signaling pathways in HCC cell lines and is used as a supplement to inhibit tumor cell proliferation (Hassan et al., 2021). In our study, serum metabolite analysis revealed abnormal metabolism of several organic acids and amino acids in CCA patients. Notably, a reduction in ketoleucine levels in the serum of CCA patients was linked to tumor cell proliferation. D-glucuronic acid, which can be converted by chondroitin-glucuronate C5epimerase-a tumor rejection antigen expressed in various cancer tissues (Mizukoshi et al., 2012)-was found to be elevated in CCA patients' serum due to increased expression of this enzyme. Disruptions in the metabolism of branched-chain fatty acids, metabolites of amino acids, have also been observed in lung cancer

studies (Zablocka-Slowinska et al., 2018). In this work, increased serum levels of 2-hydroxybutyric acid in CCA patients, compared with the healthy group, may contribute to oxidative stress in these patients (Gall et al., 2010). This increase in 2-hydroxybutyric acid could be a by-product of enhanced hepatic glutathione synthesis, a response to oxidative stress (Zeng et al., 2014). Additionally, glyceric acid, an intermediate in serine degradation, was found to be reduced in the serum of CCA patients. Glyceric acid is phosphorylated to form 3-phosphoglycerate, which plays a critical role in glycolysis, an important energy pathway for tumor cells (Jiang et al., 2018). Consistent with this, reduced glyceric acid levels have been reported in the blood of breast cancer patients (Nishiumi et al., 2010). Furthermore, ureidoisobutyric acid, typically associated with pyrimidine metabolism, was found to be abnormally elevated in the serum of CCA patients. The continuous supply of pyrimidines is essential for cancer cell survival (Siddiqui and Ceppi, 2020), and the observed increase in ureidoisobutyric acid reflects the growing demand for pyrimidines in proliferating cancer cells.

5 Conclusion

In this study, an unbiased metabolomics approach using UPLC-Q-TOF-MS was employed to identify serum metabolites in CCA patients and healthy controls. Through statistical analysis, 25 marker metabolites with significant alterations were identified in the serum of CCA patients. Of these, 15 metabolites were significantly elevated, while 10 were significantly decreased. Notably, metabolic disruptions were observed in glycerophospholipid metabolism, sphingolipid metabolism, and L-leucine metabolism pathways, among others. These metabolites could effectively differentiate CCA patients from healthy individuals. The AUC for increased and decreased biomarkers in positive mode were 0.995 and 0.992, respectively, while the AUC for increased and decreased biomarkers in negative mode were 0.899 and 0.976. These findings highlight the potential of these metabolites as diagnostic biomarkers for CCA. This study serves as a foundation for further research, encouraging the collection of additional samples and comparisons of serum metabolites across different patient groups to identify and validate specific biomarkers for CCA.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary Material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding authors.

Ethics statement

The studies involving humans were approved by Ethics Committee of the First Affiliated Hospital of Anhui Medical University. The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. The human samples used in this study were acquired from a by-product of routine care or industry. Written informed consent for participation was not required from the participants or the participants'; legal guardians/next of kin in accordance with the national legislation and institutional requirements.

Author contributions

XW: Data curation, Formal Analysis, Methodology, Project administration, Visualization, Writing-original draft. XX: Methodology, Writing-original draft. RJ: Resources,

References

Alsaleh, M., Barbera, T. A., Reeves, H. L., Cramp, M. E., Ryder, S., Gabra, H., et al. (2019). Characterization of the urinary metabolic profile of cholangiocarcinoma in a United Kingdom population. *Hepat. Med.* 11, 47–67. doi:10.2147/hmer. s193996

Alsaleh, M., Leftley, Z., Barbera, T. A., Koomson, L. K., Zabron, A., Crossey, M. M. E., et al. (2020). Characterisation of the serum metabolic signature of cholangiocarcinoma in a United Kingdom cohort. J. Clin. Exp. Hepatol. 10, 17–29. doi:10.1016/j.jceh.2019.06.001

Banales, J. M., Cardinale, V., Carpino, G., Marzioni, M., Andersen, J., Invernizzi, P., et al. (2016). Expert consensus document: cholangiocarcinoma: current knowledge and future perspectives consensus statement from the European Network for the Study of Cholangiocarcinoma (ENS-CCA). *Nat. Rev. Gastroenterol. Hepatol.* 13, 261–280. doi:10.1038/nrgastro.2016.51

Banales, J. M., Inarrairaegui, M., Arbelaiz, A., Milkiewicz, P., Muntane, J., Munoz-Bellvis, L., et al. (2019). Serum metabolites as diagnostic biomarkers for cholangiocarcinoma, hepatocellular carcinoma, and primary sclerosing cholangitis. *Hepatology* 70, 547–562. doi:10.1002/hep.30319 Writing-original draft. YX: Funding acquisition, Supervision, Writing-review and editing. PH: Writing-review and editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research and/or publication of this article. This research was funded by Key Project of Research and Development Plan in Anhui Province, grant number 201904a07020049.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Generative AI statement

The authors declare that no Generative AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmolb.2025. 1549223/full#supplementary-material

Banales, J. M., Marin, J. J. G., Lamarca, A., Rodrigues, P. M., Khan, S. A., Roberts, L. R., et al. (2020). Cholangiocarcinoma 2020: the next horizon in mechanisms and management. *Nat. Rev. Gastroenterol. Hepatol.* 17, 557–588. doi:10.1038/s41575-020-0310-z

Buck, A., Aichler, M., Huber, K., and Walch, A. (2017). In situ metabolomics in cancer by mass spectrometry imaging. *Adv. Cancer Res.* 134, 117–132. doi:10.1016/bs.acr.2016.11.004

Burton, C., and Ma, Y. F. (2019). Current trends in cancer biomarker discovery using urinary metabolomics: achievements and new challenges. *Curr. Med. Chem.* 26, 5–28. doi:10.2174/0929867324666170914102236

Chen, C. Y., Shiesh, S. C., Tsao, H. C., and Lin, X. Z. (2002). The assessment of biliary CA 125, CA 19-9 and CEA in diagnosing cholangiocarcinoma-the influence of sampling time and hepatolithiasis. *Hepatogastroenterology* 49, 616–620.

Chua, E. C., Shui, G., Lee, I. T., Lau, P., Tan, L. C., Yeo, S. C., et al. (2013). Extensive diversity in circadian regulation of plasma lipids and evidence for different circadian metabolic phenotypes in humans. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 110, 14468–14473. doi:10.1073/pnas.1222647110

Cotte, A. K., Cottet, V., Aires, V., Mouillot, T., Rizk, M., Vinault, S., et al. (2019). Phospholipid profiles and hepatocellular carcinoma risk and prognosis in cirrhotic patients. *Oncotarget* 10, 2161–2172. doi:10.18632/oncotarget.26738

Dalton, G. D., Oh, S. H., Tang, L. D., Zhang, S., Brown, A. L., Varadharajan, V., et al. (2021). Hepatocyte activity of the cholesterol sensor smoothened regulates cholesterol and bile acid homeostasis in mice. *iScience* 24, 103089. doi:10.1016/j.isci.2021.103089

DeBerardinis, R. J., Lum, J. J., Hatzivassiliou, G., and Thompson, C. B. (2008). The biology of cancer: metabolic reprogramming fuels cell growth and proliferation. *Cell Metab.* 7, 11–20. doi:10.1016/j.cmet.2007.10.002

Devaux, P. F. (1991). Static and dynamic lipid asymmetry in cell membranes. Biochemistry 30, 1163–1173. doi:10.1021/bi00219a001

Di Ciaula, A., Wang, D. Q. H., Molina-Molina, E., Baccetto, R. L., Calamita, G., Palmieri, V. O., et al. (2017). Bile acids and cancer: direct and environmental-dependent effects. *Ann. Hepatol.* 16, S87–S105. doi:10.5604/01.3001.0010.5501

Duboc, H., Tache, Y., and Hofmann, A. F. (2014). The bile acid TGR5 membrane receptor: from basic research to clinical application. *Dig. Liver Dis.* 46, 302–312. doi:10.1016/j.dld.2013.10.021

Gall, W. E., Beebe, K., Lawton, K. A., Adam, K. P., Mitchell, M. W., Nakhle, P. J., et al. (2010). alpha-hydroxybutyrate is an early biomarker of insulin resistance and glucose intolerance in a nondiabetic population. *PLoS One* 5, e10883. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0010883

Guilhamon, P., Eskandarpour, M., Halai, D., Wilson, G. A., Feber, A., Teschendorff, A. E., et al. (2013). Meta-analysis of IDH-mutant cancers identifies EBF1 as an interaction partner for TET2. *Nat. Commun.* 4, 2166. doi:10.1038/ncomms3166

Hassan, Y. A., Helmy, M. W., and Ghoneim, A. I. (2021). Combinatorial antitumor effects of amino acids and epigenetic modulations in hepatocellular carcinoma cell lines. *Naunyn Schmiedebergs Arch. Pharmacol.* 394, 2245–2257. doi:10.1007/s00210-021-02140-z

Hori, A., Ishida, F., Nakazawa, H., Yamaura, M., Morita, S., Uehara, T., et al. (2021). Serum sphingomyelin species profile is altered in hematologic malignancies. *Clin. Chim. Acta* 514, 29–33. doi:10.1016/j.cca.2020.11.024

Intuyod, K., Armartmuntree, N., Jusakul, A., Sakonsinsiri, C., Thanan, R., and Pinlaor, S. (2019). Current omics-based biomarkers for cholangiocarcinoma. *Expert Rev. Mol. Diagn* 19, 997–1005. doi:10.1080/14737159.2019.1673162

Jiang, W. J., Zhou, L. Y., Lin, S. R., Li, Y., Xiao, S. Y., Liu, J., et al. (2018). Metabolic profiles of gastric cancer cell lines with different degrees of differentiation. *Int. J. Clin. Exp. Pathol.* 11, 869–875.

Kim, K. H., Joo, J., Park, B., Park, S. J., Lee, W. J., Han, S. S., et al. (2017). Reduced levels of N'-methyl-2-pyridone-5-carboxamide and lysophosphatidylcholine 16:0 in the serum of patients with intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma, and the correlation with recurrence-free survival. *Oncotarget* 8, 112598–112609. doi:10.18632/oncotarget.22607

Kim, Y. H., Ju, W., Kim, S. C., and Yoo, B. C. (2014). Abstract 3478: differential level of L-homocysteic acid and lysophosphatidylcholine (16:0) in sera of patients with ovarian cancer. *Cancer Res.* 74, 3478. doi:10.1158/1538-7445.am2014-3478

Li, J. F., Qu, F., Zheng, S. J., Ren, F., Wu, H. L., Liu, M., et al. (2015). Plasma sphingolipids: potential biomarkers for severe hepatic fibrosis in chronic hepatitis C. *Mol. Med. Rep.* 12, 323–330. doi:10.3892/mmr.2015.3361

Li, J. P., Rau, C., Olver, T. D., Franke, J., Crockett, E., Ivey, J., et al. (2021). Cluster analysis identifies phosphatidylcholine species in the liver and heart as prediction hubs in Ossabaw swine with cardio-metabolic heart failure. *FASEB J.* 35, S1. doi:10.1096/fasebj.2021.35.s1.04226

Liang, B., Zhong, L. S., He, Q., Wang, S. C., Pan, Z. C., Wang, T. J., et al. (2015). Diagnostic accuracy of serum CA19-9 in patients with cholangiocarcinoma: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Med. Sci. Monit.* 21, 3555–3563. doi:10.12659/msm.895040

Lieu, E. L., Nguyen, T., Rhyne, S., and Kim, J. (2020). Amino acids in cancer. *Exp. Mol. Med.* 52, 15–30. doi:10.1038/s12276-020-0375-3

Lindner, P., Rizell, M., and Hafstrom, L. (2015). The impact of changed strategies for patients with cholangiocarcinoma in this millennium. *HPB Surg.* 2015, 736049. doi:10.1155/2015/736049

Loosen, S. H., Roderburg, C., Kauertz, K. L., Koch, A., Vucur, M., Schneider, A. T., et al. (2017). CEA but not CA19-9 is an independent prognostic factor in patients undergoing resection of cholangiocarcinoma. *Sci. Rep.* 7, 16975. doi:10.1038/s41598-017-17175-7

Macias, R. I. R., Banales, J. M., Sangro, B., Muntane, J., Avila, M. A., Lozano, E., et al. (2018). The search for novel diagnostic and prognostic biomarkers in cholangiocarcinoma. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta Mol. Basis Dis.* 1864, 1468–1477. doi:10.1016/j.bbadis.2017.08.002

Maev, I. V., Samsonov, A. A., Palgova, L. K., Pavlov, C. S., Vovk, E. I., Shirokova, E. N., et al. (2020). Effectiveness of phosphatidylcholine in alleviating steatosis in patients with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease and cardiometabolic comorbidities (MANPOWER study). *BMJ Open Gastroenterol.* 7, e000341. doi:10.1136/bmjgast-2019-000341

Miura, K., Nagahashi, M., Prasoon, P., Hirose, Y., Kobayashi, T., Sakata, J., et al. (2021). Dysregulation of sphingolipid metabolic enzymes leads to high levels of sphingosine-1-phosphate and ceramide in human hepatocellular carcinoma. *Hepatol. Res.* 51, 614–626. doi:10.1111/hepr.13625

Mizukoshi, E., Fushimi, K., Arai, K., Yamashita, T., Honda, M., and Kaneko, S. (2012). Expression of chondroitin-glucuronate C5-epimerase and cellular immune responses in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma. *Liver Int.* 32, 1516–1526. doi:10.1111/j.1478-3231.2012.02853.x

Nagahashi, M., Yamada, A., Katsuta, E., Aoyagi, T., Huang, W. C., Terracina, K. P., et al. (2018). Targeting the SphK1/S1P/S1PR1 axis that links obesity, chronic inflammation, and breast cancer metastasis. *Cancer Res.* 78, 1713–1725. doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-17-1423

Neale, G., Lewis, B., Weaver, V., and Panveliwalla, D. (1971). Serum bile acids in liver disease. *Gut* 12, 145–152. doi:10.1136/gut.12.2.145

Nishiumi, S., Shinohara, M., Ikeda, A., Yoshie, T., Hatano, N., Kakuyama, S., et al. (2010). Serum metabolomics as a novel diagnostic approach for pancreatic cancer. *Metabolomics* 6, 518–528. doi:10.1007/s11306-010-0224-9

O'Connell, T. M. (2013). The complex role of branched chain amino acids in diabetes and cancer. *Metabolites* 3, 931–945. doi:10.3390/metabo3040931

Park, S. Y., Hwang, B. O., Lim, M., Ok, S. H., Lee, S. K., Chun, K. S., et al. (2021). Oral-gut microbiome axis in gastrointestinal disease and cancer. *Cancers* 13, 2124. doi:10.3390/cancers13092124

Pintus, R., Bassareo, P. P., Dessi, A., Deidda, M., Mercuro, G., and Fanos, V. (2017). Metabolomics and cardiology: toward the path of perinatal programming and personalized medicine. *Biomed. Res. Int.* 2017, 6970631. doi:10.1155/2017/6970631

Ren, Z. X., Rajani, C., and Jia, W. (2021). The distinctive serum metabolomes of gastric, esophageal and colorectal cancers. *Cancers* 13, 720. doi:10.3390/cancers13040720

Saito, K., and Matsuda, F. (2010). Metabolomics for functional genomics, systems biology, and biotechnology. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* 61, 463–489. doi:10.1146/annurev.arplant.043008.092035

Shimizu, R., Kanno, K., Sugiyama, A., Ohata, H., Araki, A., Kishikawa, N., et al. (2015). Cholangiocyte senescence caused by lysophosphatidylcholine as a potential implication in carcinogenesis. *J. Hepatobiliary Pancreat. Sci.* 22, 675–682. doi:10.1002/jhbp.256

Siddiqui, A., and Ceppi, P. (2020). A non-proliferative role of pyrimidine metabolism in cancer. *Mol. Metab.* 35, 100962. doi:10.1016/j.molmet.2020.02.005

Suhre, K., Shin, S. Y., Petersen, A. K., Mohney, R. P., Meredith, D., Wagele, B., et al. (2011). Human metabolic individuality in biomedical and pharmaceutical research. *Nature* 477, 54–60. doi:10.1038/nature10354

Sulpice, L., Rayar, M., Desille, M., Turlin, B., Fautrel, A., Boucher, E., et al. (2013). Molecular profiling of stroma identifies osteopontin as an independent predictor of poor prognosis in intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma. *Hepatology* 58, 1992–2000. doi:10.1002/hep.26577

Sun, H., Zhang, A., Yan, G., Piao, C., Li, W., Sun, C., et al. (2013). Metabolomic analysis of key regulatory metabolites in hepatitis C virus-infected tree shrews. *Mol. Cell Proteomics* 12, 710–719. doi:10.1074/mcp.M112.019141

Thomas, C. E., Luu, H. N., Wang, R. W., Xie, G. X., Adams-Haduch, J., Jin, A. Z., et al. (2021). Association between pre-diagnostic serum bile acids and hepatocellular carcinoma: the Singapore Chinese health study. *Cancers* 13, 2648. doi:10.3390/cancers13112648

Uranbileg, B., Ikeda, H., Kurano, M., Enooku, K., Sato, M., Saigusa, D., et al. (2016). Increased mRNA levels of sphingosine kinases and S1P lyase and reduced levels of S1P were observed in hepatocellular carcinoma in association with poorer differentiation and earlier recurrence. *PLoS One* 11, e0149462. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0149462

van der Veen, J. N., Kennelly, J. P., Wan, S., Vance, J. E., Vance, D. E., and Jacobs, R. L. (2017). The critical role of phosphatidylcholine and phosphatidylethanolamine metabolism in health and disease. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta Biomembr.* 1859, 1558–1572. doi:10.1016/j.bbamem.2017.04.006

Wang, X., Zhang, A., and Sun, H. (2013). Power of metabolomics in diagnosis and biomarker discovery of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Hepatology* 57, 2072–2077. doi:10.1002/hep.26130

Xie, L. M., Yau, L. F., Jiang, Z. H., Zhang, L. Y., Xia, Y., and Wang, J. R. (2017). Sphingolipidomic study of davidiin-treated HepG2 human hepatocellular carcinoma cells using UHPLC-MS. *RSC Adv.* 7, 55249–55256. doi:10.1039/c7ra11266a

Yang, Q. J., Zhao, J. R., Hao, J., Li, B., Huo, Y., Han, Y. L., et al. (2018). Serum and urine metabolomics study reveals a distinct diagnostic model for cancer cachexia. *J. Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle* 9, 71–85. doi:10.1002/jcsm.12246

Zablocka-Slowinska, K. Z., Placzkowska, S., Prescha, A., Pawelczyk, K., Kosacka, M., Porebska, I., et al. (2018). Systemic redox status in lung cancer patients is related to altered glucose metabolism. *PLoS One* 13, e0204173. doi:10.1371/journal. pone.0204173

Zeng, J., Yin, P. Y., Tan, Y. X., Dong, L. W., Hu, C. X., Huang, Q., et al. (2014). Metabolomics study of hepatocellular carcinoma: discovery and validation of serum potential biomarkers by using capillary electrophoresis-mass spectrometry. *J. Proteome Res.* 13, 3420–3431. doi:10.1021/pr500390y

Zhao, Z. W., Xiao, Y. J., Elson, P., Tan, H. Y., Plummer, S. J., Berk, M., et al. (2007). Plasma lysophosphatidylcholine levels: potential biomarkers for colorectal cancer. *J. Clin. Oncol.* 25, 2696–2701. doi:10.1200/JCO.2006.08.5571