



OPEN ACCESS

EDITED AND REVIEWED BY
Wolfram Weckwerth,
University of Vienna, Austria

*CORRESPONDENCE
Steven J. Hallam,
✉ shallam@mail.ubc.ca

RECEIVED 13 December 2024
ACCEPTED 17 December 2024
PUBLISHED 17 January 2025

CITATION

Szeitz A, Herbig J, Kouremenos KA,
Fitzgerald S and Hallam SJ (2025) Editorial:
Metabolomics and transcriptomics in
biomarker discovery: mass spectrometric
techniques in volatilome research.
Front. Mol. Biosci. 11:1545016.
doi: 10.3389/fmolb.2024.1545016

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Szeitz, Herbig, Kouremenos,
Fitzgerald and Hallam. This is an open-access
article distributed under the terms of the
[Creative Commons Attribution License \(CC
BY\)](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). The use, distribution or reproduction in
other forums is permitted, provided the
original author(s) and the copyright owner(s)
are credited and that the original publication
in this journal is cited, in accordance with
accepted academic practice. No use,
distribution or reproduction is permitted
which does not comply with these terms.

Editorial: Metabolomics and transcriptomics in biomarker discovery: mass spectrometric techniques in volatilome research

Andras Szeitz^{1,2,3}, Jens Herbig⁴, Konstantinos A. Kouremenos⁵,
Shane Fitzgerald⁶ and Steven J. Hallam^{1,2,3,7,8,9,10*}

¹Genome Science and Technology Program, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC, Canada, ²Department of Microbiology and Immunology, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC, Canada, ³Life Sciences Institute, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC, Canada, ⁴IONICON Analytik Gesellschaft m.b.H., Innsbruck, Austria, ⁵NutriPATH Integrative and Functional Pathology Services, Melbourne, VIC, Australia, ⁶Insight Science Foundation Ireland Research Centre for Data Analytics, National Centre for Sensor Research, School of Chemical Sciences, Dublin City University, Dublin 9, Ireland, ⁷Graduate Program in Bioinformatics, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC, Canada, ⁸Department of Biochemistry, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok, Thailand, ⁹Bradshaw Research Institute for Minerals and Mining (BRIMM), University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC, Canada, ¹⁰ECOSCOPE Training Program, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC, Canada

KEYWORDS

volatile organic compounds (VOCs), biomarkers, omics, mass spectrometry, historical review

Editorial on the Research Topic

[Metabolomics and transcriptomics in biomarker discovery: mass spectrometric techniques in volatilome research](#)

The central dogma of molecular biology traces a path from genomic sequence information represented in DNA to transcribed messenger RNA decoded at the ribosomal level into proteins that perform a variety of catalytic and regulatory roles within cells (Crick, 1970). The combined activity of these proteins gives rise to pools and fluxes of metabolites within emergent metabolic networks (Durek and Walther, 2008). This genotype to phenotype continuum remains both a fundamental organizing principle for the life sciences and a compelling challenge for systems level thinking in the 21st century (Benfey and Mitchell-Olds, 2008; Costanzo et al., 2019). While our capacity to predict and annotate protein coding potential within genomes and transcriptomes has grown exponentially since the initial introduction of the chain termination method by Sanger and colleagues more than five decades ago (Sanger et al., 1977), it has proven more difficult to constrain the diversity of metabolites over time and space or in relation to health and disease states (Sharon et al., 2014; Sieber and Spradling, 2017).

Nevertheless, researchers have pressed on to profile metabolite pools and fluxes not only within organismal systems, but also within natural and engineered environments, integrating multi-omic (DNA, RNA, protein, and metabolite) data sets to reconstruct emergent metabolic processes (Fondi and Liò, 2015). This has led to the development of new analytical platforms and

methods as well as advanced computational workflows enabling more holistic understanding of metabolic expression at the individual, population, and community levels of biological organization. Nowhere is this more evident than in the rapid development of volatilome research, an extension of analytical chemistry and multi-omics integration focused on detection and quantification of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) produced by interacting cells (Mansurova et al., 2018). This current Research Topic “*Metabolomics and Transcriptomics in Biomarker Discovery: Mass Spectrometric Techniques in Volatilome Research*” presents the readers with a compilation of 10 original research articles and two contemporary reviews exploring advances in metabolomics and VOC detection. The contributions range from human medical applications related to biomarker discovery to biotechnology applications in fungi, plants and microbial cell systems.

Alodiab et al. used a metabolomics approach on dried blood samples to identify two potential biomarkers for galactosemia (GAL), a human genetic disorder that can cause life-threatening side effects related to defective glucose metabolism. Similarly, Sakanaka et al. performed metabolic profiling of saliva and plasma samples and identified a significant association between salivary allantoin and 1,5-anhydroglucitol (1,5-AG) useful in the development of a non-invasive protocol to diagnose atherosclerosis in patients with type 2 diabetes (T2D). Zhou et al. used a higher resolution method to investigate metabolite profiles associated with the treatment of cerebral ischemic stroke with *Danshen Chuanxiongqin* (DSCXQ) preparations, a traditional Chinese medicine. They identified 55 distinct metabolites involved in sphingolipid metabolism between treatment groups that were differentially impacted by DSCXQ consistent with a dampening mode of action on the neuroinflammatory response. Zhang et al.

used a similar high-resolution method to profile metabolites associated with clonorchiasis (a parasitic infection caused by the Chinese liver fluke *Clonorchis sinensis*) using a rodent model system of infection. Phan and Blank investigated the fungus *Ustilago maydis* using stable-isotope labeling methods to profile metabolites produced in response to different carbon sources. They compared a range of sample preparation and processing methods to define a process for absolute quantitation in the context of bioprocess development in *Ustilago maydis*.

From the vantage of VOC detection, Furuhashi et al. devised a sampling procedure to extract VOCs from the headspace of lung cell cultures to better understand the interplay between metabolite production and cancer. They identified evidence for increased lipid peroxidation as measured in the increase of trans-2-hexenol and correlated this with changes in the levels of alcohol dehydrogenase 1C gene linking metabolite production to gene expression in cancer cell lines. Fenn et al. used a similar approach to explore the interplay between common respiratory pathogens *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* grown in isolation or in co-culture with human lung cells. They detected compounds associated with bacterial infection as well as changes in the levels of selected VOCs based on culture conditions relevant to human *in vivo* environments. Issitt et al. measured VOCs produced by breast cancer cell lines exposed to low oxygen conditions representative of the tumor microenvironment to identify potential biomarkers in cell hypoxia. They measured increased uptake of methyl chloride, acetone and n-Hexane from hypoxic cells and concomitant production of styrene,

describing volatilomic mechanisms behind this cellular condition for the first time. Expanding on the biomarker theme, Weber et al. used breath analysis combined with a machine learning approach to differentiate between the VOC profiles of children with and without asthma. They were able to discern a wide range of metabolites in the exhaled breath of asthmatic children linked to metabolic processes associated with chronic disease. Farneti et al. used a high-throughput method for rapid phenotyping of raspberry fruit maturation in relation to VOC production to assess fruit quality and to identify cultivars with optimal aroma profiles for future breeding programs.

Finally, two reviews explore methods and applications of volatilome research through a contemporary exploration of the relevant literature. Bajo-Fernández et al. consider the expanding use of exhaled breath analysis as an exciting area of clinical research with the potential to define a new era of non-invasive diagnostic testing. They highlight the need for improved standards of practice related to sample processing, VOC detection, and analysis required to achieve this vision. Szeitz et al. take a more historical approach to mass spectrometry platform innovation in the context of VOC profiling, shining a spotlight on the interrelationship between technological advances and the expansion of volatilome research into increasingly complex matrices. The resulting platform descriptions provide a useful guide intended to assist practitioners and potential end-users performing VOC analysis with advanced instrument performance. Collectively, contributions to this Research Topic capture the current state of the art in metabolite and VOC profiling, reinforcing the potential of metabolomic methods and applications in biomarker discovery and biotechnology innovation. Importantly, this is a rapidly advancing area of research that brings together the peer efforts of scientists and engineers to develop integrated platforms and workflows needed to improve mass resolution and throughput. Effective uptake of these advances will require coordinated and dedicated access to infrastructure and education across multiple training levels and disciplines.

Author contributions

AS: Conceptualization, Investigation, Methodology, Writing—original draft, Writing—review and editing. JH: Methodology, Writing—original draft, Writing—review and editing. KK: Methodology, Writing—original draft, Writing—review and editing. SF: Methodology, Writing—original draft, Writing—review and editing. SH: Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Supervision, Writing—original draft, Writing—review and editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. SH received support from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council (NSERC) of Canada, Genome British Columbia, the Terry Fox New Frontiers Program, and the Canada Foundation for Innovation (CFI).

Conflict of interest

Author JH was employed by company IONICON Analytik Gesellschaft m.b.H. Author SH is a co-founder of Koonkie Inc., a bioinformatics consulting company that designs and provides scalable algorithmic and data analytics solutions in the cloud.

The remaining authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

The author(s) declared that they were an editorial board member of *Frontiers*, at the time of submission. This had no impact on the peer review process and the final decision.

Generative AI statement

The author(s) declare that no Generative AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

References

- Benfey, P. N., and Mitchell-Olds, T. (2008). From genotype to phenotype: systems biology meets natural variation. *Science* 320(5875), 495–497. doi:10.1126/science.1153716
- Costanzo, M., Kuzmin, E., van Leeuwen, J., Mair, B., Moffat, J., Boone, C., et al. (2019). Global genetic networks and the genotype-to-phenotype relationship. *Cell* 177 (1), 85–100. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2019.01.033
- Crick, F. (1970). Central dogma of molecular biology. *Nature* 227 (5258), 561–563. doi:10.1038/227561a0
- Durek, P., and Walther, D. (2008). The integrated analysis of metabolic and protein interaction networks reveals novel molecular organizing principles. *BMC Syst. Biol.* 2 (1), 100. doi:10.1186/1752-0509-2-100
- Fondi, M., and Liò, P. (2015). Multi -omics and metabolic modelling pipelines: challenges and tools for systems microbiology. *Microbiol. Res.* 171, 52–64. doi:10.1016/j.micres.2015.01.003
- Mansurova, M., Ebert, B. E., Blank, L. M., and Ibáñez, A. J. (2018). A breath of information: the volatilome. *Curr. Genet.* 64 (4), 959–964. doi:10.1007/s00294-017-0800-x
- Sanger, F., Nicklen, S., and Coulson, A. R. (1977). DNA sequencing with chain-terminating inhibitors. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 74(12), 5463–5467. doi:10.1073/pnas.74.12.5463
- Sharon, G., Garg, N., Debelius, J., Knight, R., Dorrestein, P. C., and Mazmanian, S. K. (2014). Specialized metabolites from the microbiome in health and disease. *Cell. Metab.* 20 (5), 719–730. doi:10.1016/j.cmet.2014.10.016
- Sieber, M. H., and Spradling, A. C. (2017). The role of metabolic states in development and disease. *Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev.* 45, 58–68. doi:10.1016/j.gde.2017.03.002