Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED AND REVIEWED BY Xiaodong Su, Peking University, China

*CORRESPONDENCE

Xiangyan Shi, xyshi@smbu.edu.cn Lars Nordenskiöld, larsnor@ntu.edu.sg Olga S. Sokolova, sokolova184@gmail.com Alexey K. Shaytan, shaytan_ak@mail.bio.msu.ru

SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Molecular Biophysics, a section of the journal Frontiers in Molecular Biosciences

RECEIVED 22 February 2023 ACCEPTED 27 February 2023 PUBLISHED 07 March 2023

CITATION

Shi X, Nordenskiöld L, Sokolova OS and Shaytan AK (2023), Editorial: Recent advances in molecular properties of DNA-protein interactions, chromatin and their biological roles. *Front. Mol. Biosci.* 10:1171714. doi: 10.3389/fmolb.2023.1171714

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Shi, Nordenskiöld, Sokolova and Shaytan. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Editorial: Recent advances in molecular properties of DNA-protein interactions, chromatin and their biological roles

Xiangyan Shi^{1*}, Lars Nordenskiöld^{2*}, Olga S. Sokolova^{1*} and Alexey K. Shaytan^{3*}

¹Department of Biology, Shenzhen MSU-BIT University, Shenzhen, Guangdong, China, ²School of Biological Sciences, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore, Singapore, ³Department of Biology, Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia

KEYWORDS

chromatin, structure, dynamics, DNA-protein interactions, cryo-EM, NMR, dead-Cas-systems

Editorial on the Research Topic

Recent advances in molecular properties of DNA-protein interactions, chromatin and their biological roles

DNA-protein interactions form the basis of genome functioning. Billions of years of evolution have tailored specific patterns of protein-DNA interactions and their conformational and dynamical properties to allow for complex regulation of gene networks and their responses to internal and external stimuli. Deciphering these complex regulatory mechanisms is a major challenge for the biological sciences in the twenty-first century. This Research Topic presents papers that shed light on various aspects of chromatin biology.

Understanding the genome functioning in eukaryotic organisms depends on our knowledge of chromatin structure and dynamics. Chromatin modulation factors regulate the biological activities of DNA through introducing changes to the molecular properties of the DNA-protein complex. Remarkable progress has been recently achieved in delineating the molecular properties in chromatin regulation with the technical advances in cryo-EM (Wilson and Costa, 2017; Takizawa and Kurumizaka, 2022), NMR (Kitevski-LeBlanc et al., 2018; Shi et al., 2018; Xiang et al., 2018; Morrison et al., 2021), MD (Armeev et al., 2021; Farr et al., 2021; Micheletti et al., 2021) and other high spatial and temporal resolution methods. Solid-state NMR (SSNMR) has been emerging as a primary technique to determine the structure, internal dynamics, phase separation mechanisms and nucleosome-protein interactions in chromatin regulation (Shi et al., 2018; Xiang et al., 2018; Sanulli et al., 2019; Shi et al., 2020; Ackermann and Debelouchina, 2021), particularly, it enables to track the dynamics and conformation at the atomic resolution for both flexible and rigid regions of nucleosomes. Conventional ¹³C-detected SSNMR and, recently, ¹H-detected ultra-fast MAS SSNMR have been implemented to study nucleosomes and revealed unique functional relevant conformation and dynamics of histones in chromatin. Smrt et al. performed a ¹H-detected SSNMR study on 16-mer nucleosome arrays with different linker DNA lengths,

and compared the conformations of H3 in the nucleosome arrays. The high quality of the multidimensional ¹H-detected dipolar-based SSNMR spectra allows the nearly complete backbone resonance assignments for H3 globular domain. The comparison of H3 chemical shift differences among the nucleosome arrays with different linker DNA lengths explored no major structural changes and only local conformational differences between them in a number of domains, of which many residues are involved in key histone-DNA interactions and impacting nucleosome stability. This study provides another example of the power of SSNMR to determine the unique molecular properties of compacted nucleosomes and nucleosome arrays modeling chromatin at close to physiological conditions.

Cryo-EM has been a major driver in deciphering the structure of chromatin complexes recently. A comprehensive analysis of all the structures of nucleosomes and their complexes deposited in PDB is presented by Armeev et al. More than 60 new structures have been deposited in 2022. However, approaches that integrate different experimental methods and modeling can give even more insights. For example, as part of this Research Topic, a study presents 3D structural study of an unwrapping the nucleosome by human FACT (FAcilitates Chromatin Transcription) (Volokh et al.), a multifunctional histone chaperone involved in transcription, replication and DNA repair (Valieva et al., 2016; Sivkina et al., 2022). Recently, a class of anti-cancer agents (curaxins) that disturbs DNA/histone interactions within nucleosomes has been characterized (Kantidze et al., 2019). Volokh et al. analyzed the effects of curaxin CBL0137 on nucleosome unfolding by FACT using a combination of spFRET, molecular modeling and electron microscopy. This approach allowed us to build the model of FACT-dependent nucleosome unfolding taking into account the importance of forming the "decision point" structural intermediates.

Onufriev proposes an interesting approach to quantify the experimentally known destabilization effect on protein or protein/ DNA complexes due to increase in intracellular pH. The study suggests quantifying the effect by considering $\Delta\Delta G0.3$; meaning the change in the binding free energy due to a pH increase of 0.3 units, corresponding to a doubling of the H⁺ activity, since such magnitude of changes are typical during various cellular processes. The calculations use publicly available software to compute protein aa pK values in combination with an in-house script for nucleic acid-protein complexes. In particular the author considers the consequences of such pH changes for the stability and unwrapping of DNA from the histone octamer in the nucleosome and its relation to processes that require DNA unwrapping from the histone octamer in the nucleus. Onufriev suggests a threshold of $\frac{1}{2}(k_BT)$ for changes in the stability of nucleosomal protein-DNA complexes to be biologically significant. The author surmises that such a change in the binding affinity above the threshold could significantly destabilize the nucleosomal DNA-histone octamer complex. The computations are based on continuum electrostatics Poisson-Boltzmann approach and subsequent calculations of free energy differences.

Despite the progress in understanding the properties and dynamics of chromatin elements *in vitro*, the ultimate goal is to understand how chromatin functions *in vivo*, and moreover, how gene regulatory networks operate at the functional level. The complexity of these networks does not suggest that we will be able to understand their overall functioning simply by studying the individual protein-protein and protein-DNA interactions. An alternative approach would be to perturb the functioning of the natural gene regulatory networks and analyze their response, or ultimately to try to create artificial regulatory networks and learn from this process. An enormous leap forward in our ability to targetedly influence the functioning of gene regulatory networks has been established through the use of catalytically inactive CRISPR-Cas systems, the so-call, dead-Cas-systems, or dCas (Xu and Qi, 2019). The complex of dCas protein with its sgRNA can be used to influence transcription at specific genomic loci and used to deliver different linked chromatin and epigenetic effectors to target sites. A mini-review highlighting recent advances in such technologies, particularly in creation of artificial gene circuits and challenges ahead is also present in this Research Topic (Shaytan et al., 2022).

In conclusion, chromatin is a dynamic structure consisting of DNA and proteins, and its dynamic nature makes its structural studies very complex. The need to continue developing new comprehensive approaches for the study of chromatin is undeniable.

Author contributions

All authors listed have made a substantial, direct, and intellectual contribution to the work and approved it for publication.

Funding

XS was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (32201006) and the Department of Education of Guangdong Province (2022ZDZX061). The work of LN was supported by the Singapore Ministry of Education (MOE) Academic Research Fund (AcRF) Tier 1 (2021-T1-002-0820) and Tier 3 (MOE2019-T3-1-012). OSS was supported by RSF grant #19-74-30003. AS was supported by the Russian Ministry of Science and Higher Education grant No. 075-15-2021-1062.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

References

Ackermann, B. E., and Debelouchina, G. T. (2021). Emerging contributions of solidstate NMR spectroscopy to chromatin structural biology. *Front. Mol. Biosci.* 8, 741581. doi:10.3389/fmolb.2021.741581

Armeev, G. A., Kniazeva, A. S., Komarova, G. A., Kirpichnikov, M. P., and Shaytan, A. K. (2021). Histone dynamics mediate DNA unwrapping and sliding in nucleosomes. *Nat. Commun.* 12 (1), 2387. doi:10.1038/s41467-021-22636-9

Farr, S. E., Woods, E. J., Joseph, J. A., Garaizar, A., and Collepardo-Guevara, R. (2021). Nucleosome plasticity is a critical element of chromatin liquid–liquid phase separation and multivalent nucleosome interactions. *Nat. Commun.* 12 (1), 2883. doi:10.1038/ s41467-021-23090-3

Kantidze, O. L., Luzhin, A. V., Nizovtseva, E. V., Safina, A., Valieva, M. E., Golov, A. K., et al. (2019). The anti-cancer drugs curaxins target spatial genome organization. *Nat. Commun.* 10 (1), 1441. doi:10.1038/s41467-019-09500-7

Kitevski-LeBlanc, J. L., Yuwen, T., Dyer, P. N., Rudolph, J., Luger, K., and Kay, L. E. (2018). Investigating the dynamics of destabilized nucleosomes using methyl-TROSY NMR. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 140 (14), 4774–4777. doi:10.1021/jacs.8b00931

Micheletti, C., Brandani, G. B., Tan, C., and Takada, S. (2021). The kinetic landscape of nucleosome assembly: A coarse-grained molecular dynamics study. *PLoS Comput. Biol.* 17 (7), e1009253. doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1009253

Morrison, E. A., Baweja, L., Poirier, M. G., Wereszczynski, J., and Musselman, C. A. (2021). Nucleosome composition regulates the histone H3 tail conformational ensemble and accessibility. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 49 (8), 4750–4767. doi:10.1093/nar/gkab246

Sanulli, S., Trnka, M. J., Dharmarajan, V., Tibble, R. W., Pascal, B. D., Burlingame, A. L., et al. (2019). HP1 reshapes nucleosome core to promote phase separation of heterochromatin. *Nature* 575 (7782), 390–394. doi:10.1038/ s41586-019-1669-2 Shaytan, A. K., Novikov, R. V., Vinnikov, R. S., Gribkova, A. K., and Glukhov, G. S. (2022). From DNA-protein interactions to the genetic circuit design using CRISPR-dCas systems. *Front. Mol. Biosci.* 9, 1070526. doi:10.3389/fmolb.2022.1070526

Shi, X., Prasanna, C., Nagashima, T., Yamazaki, T., Pervushin, K., and Nordenskiold, L. (2018). Structure and dynamics in the nucleosome revealed by solid-state NMR. *Angew. Chem.* 57 (31), 9734–9738. doi:10.1002/anie.201804707

Shi, X., Prasanna, C., Soman, A., Pervushin, K., and Nordenskiöld, L. (2020). Dynamic networks observed in the nucleosome core particles couple the histone globular domains with DNA. *Commun. Biol.* 3 (1), 639. doi:10.1038/s42003-020-01369-3

Sivkina, A. L., Karlova, M. G., Valieva, M. E., McCullough, L. L., Formosa, T., Shaytan, A. K., et al. (2022). Electron microscopy analysis of ATP-independent nucleosome unfolding by FACT. *Commun. Biol.* 5 (1), 2. doi:10.1038/s42003-021-02948-8

Takizawa, Y., and Kurumizaka, H. (2022). Chromatin structure meets cryo-EM: Dynamic building blocks of the functional architecture. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta Gene. Regul. Mech.* 1865 (7), 194851. doi:10.1016/j.bbagrm.2022.194851

Valieva, M. E., Armeev, G. A., Kudryashova, K. S., Gerasimova, N. S., Shaytan, A. K., Kulaeva, O. I., et al. (2016). Large-scale ATP-independent nucleosome unfolding by a histone chaperone. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* 23 (12), 1111–1116. doi:10.1038/nsmb.3321

Wilson, M. D., and Costa, A. (2017). Cryo-electron microscopy of chromatin biology. *Acta Crystallogr. D.* 73 (6), 541–548. doi:10.1107/s2059798317004430

Xiang, S., le Paige, U. B., Horn, V., Houben, K., Baldus, M., and van Ingen, H. (2018). Site-specific studies of nucleosome interactions by solid-state NMR spectroscopy. *Angew. Chem.* 57 (17), 4571–4575. doi:10.1002/anie.201713158

Xu, X., and Qi, L. S. (2019). A CRISPR–dCas toolbox for genetic engineering and synthetic biology. J. Mol. Biol. 431 (1), 34–47. doi:10.1016/j.jmb.2018.06.037