



OPEN ACCESS

EDITED AND REVIEWED BY
Jesús Navas-Castillo,
IHSM La Mayora, CSIC, Spain

*CORRESPONDENCE
Qiufang Xu
✉ xuqiufang@zafu.edu.cn

RECEIVED 11 January 2025
ACCEPTED 31 January 2025
PUBLISHED 18 February 2025

CITATION

Fang W, Zhu Y, Liang C, Shao S, Chen J,
Qing H and Xu Q (2025) Corrigendum:
Deciphering differences in microbial
community characteristics and main factors
between healthy and root rot-infected *Carya
cathayensis* rhizosphere soils.
Front. Microbiol. 16:1559025.
doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2025.1559025

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Fang, Zhu, Liang, Shao, Chen, Qing
and Xu. This is an open-access article
distributed under the terms of the [Creative
Commons Attribution License \(CC BY\)](#). The
use, distribution or reproduction in other
forums is permitted, provided the original
author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are
credited and that the original publication in
this journal is cited, in accordance with
accepted academic practice. No use,
distribution or reproduction is permitted
which does not comply with these terms.

Corrigendum: Deciphering differences in microbial community characteristics and main factors between healthy and root rot-infected *Carya cathayensis* rhizosphere soils

Wei Fang^{1,2}, Yiyang Zhu^{1,2}, Chenfei Liang^{1,2}, Shuai Shao^{1,2},
Junhui Chen^{1,2}, Hua Qing^{1,2} and Qiufang Xu^{1,2*}

¹State Key Laboratory of Subtropical Silviculture, Zhejiang A&F University, Hangzhou, China, ²School of Environmental and Resource Sciences, Zhejiang A&F University, Hangzhou, China

KEYWORDS

soil health, chemical properties, soil multifunctionality, pathogenic fungi, keystone species

A Corrigendum on

[Deciphering differences in microbial community characteristics and main factors between healthy and root rot-infected *Carya cathayensis* rhizosphere soils](#)

by Fang, W., Zhu, Y., Liang, C., Shao, S., Chen, J., Qing, H., and Xu, Q. (2024). *Front. Microbiol.* 15:1448675. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2024.1448675

In the published article, there was an error in Supplementary Figure 2 as published. The correct version of this figure was used throughout the review process and was originally submitted during the initial manuscript submission. However, during the final submission stage, the author mistakenly uploaded the wrong version of the figure. Please note that this error only concerns Supplementary Figure 2 and the manuscript text itself remains unchanged.

The corrected Supplementary Figure 2 and its caption published online.

The authors apologize for this error and state that this does not change the scientific conclusions of the article in any way. The original article has been updated.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.