Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Kunal R. Jain, Sardar Patel University, India

REVIEWED BY Sezer Okay, Hacettepe University, Türkiye Irina Ivshina, Institute of Ecology and Genetics of Microorganisms (RAS), Russia

*CORRESPONDENCE Xiaofan Guo ⊠ 185548792@qq.com Shouming Wang ⊠ smwang0206@163.com

RECEIVED 22 September 2024 ACCEPTED 09 January 2025 PUBLISHED 29 January 2025

CITATION

Li L, Guo X and Wang S (2025) Selection and evaluation of reference genes for qRT-PCR in *Inonotus obliquus*. *Front. Microbiol.* 16:1500043. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2025.1500043

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Li, Guo and Wang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Selection and evaluation of reference genes for qRT-PCR in *Inonotus obliquus*

Lulu Li, Xiaofan Guo* and Shouming Wang*

Hubei Key Laboratory of Quality Control of Characteristic Fruits and Vegetables, College of Life Science and Technology, Hubei Engineering University, Xiaogan, China

Real-time quantitative reverse transcription PCR (RT-qPCR) is one of the most effective tools for studying gene expression. Inonotus obliquus is a rare and edible medicinal fungus. Selecting an appropriate reference gene is crucial for researching its gene function. In this study, we selected 11 potential reference genes of Inonotus obliguus. Subsequently, we applied GeNorm, NormFinder, and BestKeeper algorithms to evaluate the expression stability of these 11 reference genes. Under varying carbon sources, VPS exhibited good stability and was suitable as an internal reference gene. Under different nitrogen sources, RPB2 was the most stable reference gene. For varying growth factors, PP2A was the most stable reference gene. At different pH levels, UBQ showed the highest stability. Under different temperature conditions, RPL4 was the most stable. In different strains, RPL2 was the most stable reference gene, while VAS demonstrated the greatest stability across different growth stages. Overall, VPS was the most recommended reference gene for the entire sample set. This study provides a foundation for the further application of RT-qPCR in the gene expression analysis of Inonotus obliquus.

KEYWORDS

Inonotus obliquus, reference gene, RT-qPCR, gene expression, medicinal fungus

Introduction

Inonotus obliquus, also known as betula antler, Ganoderma sibirica, betula mushroom, or Betula Antler, is a valuable medicinal fungus from the *Inonotus* genus within the Polyporaceae family (Song et al., 2013; Zhong et al., 2009). This fungus contains various bioactive compounds, including polysaccharides, obliquin, oxidized triterpenoids, steroids, polyphenols, and low molecular weight components (Chen et al., 2015). Among these, the polysaccharide component is considered one of the primary active substances. Numerous studies have demonstrated that the fruiting body, mycelium, and fermentation broth polysaccharides of *I. obliquus* possess pharmacological activities such as anti-tumor effects, diabetes treatment, immune regulation, antiviral properties, antioxidant activity, and anti-fatigue effects (Lu et al., 2021).

Real-time quantitative reverse transcription PCR (RT-qPCR) is a highly sensitive, specific, and accurate DNA amplification system that is widely used in gene expression studies (Chowrasia et al., 2019; Hu et al., 2022). However, the results of RT-qPCR analyses can be influenced by factors such as RNA extraction quality, reverse transcription efficiency, and amplification efficiency. In particular, selecting appropriate reference genes is crucial for the successful application of qRT-PCR in gene expression analysis (Lin et al., 2014).



The use of stably expressed reference genes can significantly reduce external interference. Studies have shown that no absolutely stable reference gene exists, and the expression stability of any reference gene is subject to certain limitations. Therefore, to ensure accurate and reliable results, it is essential to screen suitable reference genes according to the specific treatment conditions used in RT-qPCR experiments (Chen et al., 2023).

Materials and methods

Sampling culture conditions

Except for different strain assays, I. obliquus JL01 was used as the material in all experiments. We set up six experiments under different culture conditions, varying the treatments while keeping the basic culture conditions constant. The nitrogen sources included peptone, soybean powder, beef paste, and yeast powder. The carbon sources included glucose, sucrose, maltose, and soluble starch. The growth factors varied among Vitamin B1 (VB₁), Vitamin B2 (VB₂), and Vitamin B6 (VB₆). The culture temperatures were set at 25, 28, and 31°C. The pH values were 4, 5, 6, and 7. We used HE, JL01, and MAFF420256 strains, which are distantly related (Guo and Wang, 2020). HE originated in Russia, JL01 originated in China and MAFF420256 originated in Japan. For the culture stage assay, we first sampled the culture at 15 days. Subsequently, we collected samples every 2 days for a total of 14 sampling points. The base liquid medium consisted of the following components: glucose 2%, peptone 1%, KH₂PO₄ 0.3 mg%, MgSO₄ 0.15 mg%, VB₁ 0.1 mg%, with a pH of 5.0. After 15 days of culture, we collected samples and performed three biological replicates.

Total RNA extraction and cDNA synthesis

For total RNA extraction from *I. obliquus* samples cultured under different treatments, we used the Ultrapure RNA kit (Cat. CW0581) and followed the kit's specific instructions. We assessed the RNA concentration and purity using a micronucleic acid protein analyzer Nanodrop 2000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., Waltham, USA) and 1% agarose gel electrophoresis. The RNA served as the basis for subsequent cDNA synthesis. We used the Hifair III 1st Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (Cat. 11139ES60) from Yeasen Biotechnology (Shanghai) Co., Ltd. to synthesize cDNA. Additionally, to evaluate primer amplification efficiency, we extracted RNA from *I. obliquus* cultured in the basic medium and reverse-transcribed it for use.

Primer design and specific testing

The *I. obliquus* genome has been sequenced and its information was stored in our laboratory. In this study, we chose 11 reference genes from various functional categories: *UBQ*, *RPL2*, *PP2A*, *VAS*, *EF*, *ACTIN1*, *GAPDH*, *VPS*, *RPL4*, *RPB2*, and *TUB*, which are widely used in other edible or medicinal fungi. We designed primers for these candidate reference genes using Primer Premier 6.0, following the design principles for RT-qPCR primers. We then verified the specificity of these primers and the amplified products using ordinary PCR.

Detection of primer amplification efficiency

We diluted cDNA obtained by reverse transcription into five gradients: 100, 10, 1, 0.1, and 0.01 ng/ul, with each gradient representing a tenfold dilution. We used these five concentrations as templates to perform RT-qPCR on the 11 candidate reference genes, with three biological replicates for each gradient. After conducting RT-qPCR, we plotted the standard curve and calculated the amplification efficiency (E) and correlation coefficient (R^2) based on the slope of the primer pair's standard curve (Wan et al., 2011).

Quantitative real-time PCR

We used the ViiA7 real-time fluorescence quantitative PCR system to measure the expression levels of each reference gene. The reaction system consisted of 20 μ L, including 10 μ L of Hieff qPCR SYBR Green Master Mix (Low Rox Plus), 0.4 μ L each of forward and reverse primers, 8.2 μ L of ddH2O, and 1 μ L of cDNA. We prepared the reaction system and performed the reaction on the ViiA7 real-time fluorescence quantitative PCR instrument. The reaction conditions were: 94°C for 5 min; followed by 40 cycles of 94°C for 10 s, 60°C for 20 s, and 72°C for 20 s. We set up three biological replicates for each sample.

Data analysis

To identify suitable internal reference genes for *I. obliquus*, we used three common software programs: GeNorm, NormFinder, and BestKeeper, to process the data based on CT values (Supplementary Table 1). We also utilized the web tool RefFinder (Xie et al., 2023)¹ to integrate and validate the results. Using these different data analysis methods, we evaluated the stability of the 11 candidate reference genes. We then selected the most stable

¹ https://blooge.cn/reffinder/



reference genes across seven experimental groups, which included different nitrogen sources, carbon sources, growth factors, pH levels, temperatures, strains, and developmental stages of mycelia, all under liquid culture conditions.

Results and discussion

Selection and identification of the candidate reference genes

Based on previous studies (Xu et al., 2014; Yang et al., 2022), we selected 11 candidate reference genes for screening *ACTIN1*, β -tubulin (*TUB*), protein phosphatase 2A regulatory B subunit (*PP2A*), ribosomal protein L2 (*RPL2*), ribosomal protein L4 (*RPL4*), elongation factor 1-gamma (*EF*), glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (*GAPDH*), DNA-dependent RNA polymerase II second-largest subunit (*RPB2*), ubiquitin (*UBQ*), vacuolar ATP synthase (*VAS*), and vacuolar protein sorting (*VPS*).

We observed the PCR amplification product bands for these 11 candidate reference gene primers using 1% agarose gel electrophoresis. The electrophoretic images confirmed that the amplified product fragment sizes were correct, with single bands, and no non-specific amplification or primer dimerization (Figure 1). The qRT-PCR results showed that the melting curves for each candidate reference gene primer were unimodal (Figure 2). The amplification efficiency of the 11 candidate reference genes ranged from 91.29 to 110.07%, with correlation coefficients (R^2) between 0.9806 and 1. Primer information is provided in Table 1.

Expression profile of reference genes

We predicted the expression levels of the 11 candidate reference genes using the CT values obtained from all samples. A lower CT value indicates higher expression abundance. Figure 3 shows the distribution of CT values for these 11 reference genes across all groups. The results indicate that the CT values of the 11 reference genes under different treatments ranged from 15.55 to 29.32. Among these, the expression variation of UBQ in all samples was the highest (13.76). The expression variation of VAS was the second highest (12.288), and the expression variation of EF was the third highest (10.128). In contrast, VPS had the lowest CT value variation (5.49). Overall, other variations in transcript levels were moderate, which were sequentially sorted as GAPDH (8.84), RPL2 (8.77), ACTIN1 (7.08), RPL4 (6.67), PP2A (5.87), RPB2 (5.69), TUB (5.53). Based on these findings, VPS is the most recommended reference gene for the entire sample set (Figure 3).

No.	Gene name	Forward/reverse primer sequence	Tm °C	Amplication length/bp	The slope of the standard curve	R ²	RT-qPCR efficiency (%)
1	VAS	F:CGACTCCAAGACACAGCCATCA R:CCTGTCCACTGTCGGTCGAATC	73.2	122	-3.1624	0.9939	107.23%
2	UBQ	F:GGTATTCCGCCAGACCAGCA R:TCCTCCACGAAGACGCAACAC	75.5	123	-3.3367	0.9981	99.66%
3	EF	F:CACTCGCTGATCTCGTCATTGC R:CCTTCACCTTCGGCTGGTTGAT	76.2	132	-3.1004	0.9806	110.07%
4	VPS	F:TTGTCGTCACTGGCTTCGCATT R:TACACGAGACCGCCTCCGATAA	74.1	100	-3.3389	0.9897	99.30%
5	TUB	F:TGGTCGGTTTCGCTCCTCTCA R:CGCCATCATGTTCTTCGCATCG	75.5	104	-3.2431	0.9986	103.54%
6	ACTIN1	F:CCAGCCATCGTTCCTTGGACTT R:TCGTACCACCAGACAGCACAAC	74.8	125	-3.494	0.9947	93.43%
7	RPL4	F:CCTCCATCTTTGCCCATCCGAT R:CGACCAGAACCACGAACTTCAC	76.9	124	-3.3602	0.9814	98.44%
8	GAPDH	F:GCGAAGGCTGTTGGCAAGGT R:GGACGACGAGATCGACGACTGA	76.8	103	-3.2836	0.997	101.62%
9	PP2A	F:AGAGCGGTGGAATTGAGAGTGT R:GCGGAGTCTGGTTGTTCATTGC	75.7	108	-3.4744	0.9859	94.17%
10	RPL2	F:CCGAACGCCGAATGACTCTTGT R:ACCCTTCGCACCTCTCCACTTT	75.5	117	-3.5455	1	91.29%
11	RPB2	F:GCGAATCGGATGGCTCTACACT R:AGCAAGTCACCAGTCACGGAAT	76.2	145	-3.1253	0.9939	108.68%

TABLE 1 Amplification characteristics of 11 candidate reference gene primers.



Validation of the expression stability of reference genes

To identify the most stable reference genes, we used three programs—Genorm, NormFinder, and BestKeeper—to assess gene expression stability from different perspectives. Genorm analyzed

and compared the stability value (M) of reference genes across various samples to determine the most suitable candidates. A lower M value indicates higher stability, and an M value of less than 1.5 suggests that the gene can be used as a stable reference gene (Vandesompele et al., 2002).

With the exception of *UBQ*, which showed an M value of 2.061 in the different strains group, all other M values across all groups were less than 1.5. Under different carbon sources, *EF* and *GAPDH* had the lowest M values. For different nitrogen sources, *RPB2* and *VPS* had the lowest M values. Similarly, *RPB2* and *VPS* were the most stable when different growth factors were added. Under varying pH conditions, *UBQ* and *TUB* showed the lowest M values. For different temperatures, *VPS* and *VAS* had the lowest M values. During different growth stages, *VAS* and *PP2A* were the most stable. Finally, under different strain conditions, *RPL2* and *VPS* had the lowest M values (Figure 4).

NormFinder software calculates the expression stability value of reference genes and identifies the most suitable reference gene based on this stability value. The gene with the smallest expression stability value is considered the most suitable. NormFinder evaluates gene stability using the stability value (S); a smaller S indicates better stability of the gene (Andersen et al., 2004). According to NormFinder results, *RPL4*, *VPS*, and *RPB2* were the most stable reference genes under different carbon sources. Under different nitrogen sources, *RPB2*, *VPS*, and *VAS* were the most stable. *PP2A* was identified as the most stable reference gene under different growth factors. *UBQ* and *VPS* were the most stable reference genes under different pH conditions. *RPL4* was the most stable reference gene at different temperatures. *VAS* emerged as the







most stable reference gene during different growth stages. *EF* was the most stable reference gene across the different strains (Figure 5).

BestKeeper assesses the stability of candidate reference genes by calculating the CT coefficient of variation (CV), standard deviation (SD), and correlation coefficient (r). Generally, a larger correlation coefficient, along with a smaller standard deviation and coefficient of variation, indicates more stable gene expression. An SD value greater than 1 suggests that the gene is unstable, while an SD value less than 1, coupled with a smaller CV, indicates higher stability of the internal reference gene (Pfaffl et al., 2004). According to BestKeeper, VPS was the most stable reference gene under different carbon sources. RPL2 was the most stable reference gene under different nitrogen sources. PP2A and VPS were the most stable reference genes under different growth factors. RPL2 was also the most stable reference gene under different pH conditions. For different temperatures, VAS, PP2A, VPS, and RPL4 were considered the most stable. RPL4 emerged as the most stable reference gene during different growth stages. Under different mycelial conditions, RPL4, RPB2, and PP2A were identified as the most stable reference genes (Figure 6).

Sorting by different procedures is essential for accurate evaluation. RefFinder, a comprehensive web-based tool, evaluates internal reference genes for RT-qPCR. According to RefFinder's output, the stability of internal reference genes varied under different treatment conditions. VPS demonstrated good stability across different carbon source cultures. *RPB2* was the most stable reference gene under different nitrogen sources. *PP2A* was the most stable reference gene when different growth factors were used. *UBQ* showed the highest stability under various pH conditions. *RPL4* emerged as the most stable reference gene at different temperatures. *RPL2* was the most stable reference gene among different strains. *VAS* proved to be the most stable reference gene during different growth stages (Table 2).

I. obliquus is a rare and edible medicinal fungus due to its rich triterpenoids, polysaccharides, and sterols. It has anticancer, hypoglycemic, anti-oxidation, and anti-inflammation effects. However, little is known about the molecular mechanisms of its medicinal components. Here, qRT-PCR was used to study the expression of genes related to the formation of medicinal components in I. obliquus, which is helpful for further study of the molecular mechanism of the formation of medicinal ingredients. However, there is a lack of reports on the systematic screening of reference genes in I. obliquus. Different nutrients in mediums and culture conditions are very important for the formation of medicinal ingredients. Different strains at different stages of development would exhibit different medicinal ingredients. Therefore, stable reference genes are needed to explore and reveal the molecular mechanism of genes related to medicinal ingredients under these various conditions. Our experiments show that no

TABLE 2 Comprehensive sorting of different treatment conditions.

Rank	Carbon sources		Nitrogen sources		Growth factors		pH values	
	Gene	GRV	Gene	GRV	Gene	GRV	Gene	GRV
1	VPS	2.11	RPB2	1.19	PP2A	1.32	UBQ	1.63
2	ACTIN1	2.59	VPS	2.00	VPS	2.06	VAS	3.31
3	EF	3.81	RPL2	3.46	TUB	2.91	VPS	3.31
4	RPL4	4.28	VAS	3.87	RPB2	3.34	TUB	3.36
5	PP2A	4.68	ACTIN1	3.87	EF	5.09	RPL4	4.49
6	RPL2	4.74	TUB	5.09	VAS	6.24	RPL2	4.60
7	RPB2	5.09	EF	6.74	ACTIN1	6.93	ACTIN1	5.24
8	GAPDH	5.18	UBQ	8.00	UBQ	7.20	RPB2	6.40
9	UBQ	6.00	GAPDH	9.24	RPL4	7.98	PP2A	7.35
10	TUB	9.74	PP2A	9.74	RPL2	9.82	GAPDH	10.00
11	VAS	11.00	RPL4	11.00	GAPDH	10.24	EF	11.00
Rank	Tem		berature		Growth stages		Strains	
	~							
	Ge	ne	C	GRV	Gene	GRV	Gene	GRV
1	Ge	ne PL4	(GRV 1.86	Gene <i>VAS</i>	GRV 1.32	Gene <i>RPL2</i>	GRV 2.38
1 2	Ge RF V	ne PL4 PS		GRV 1.86 2.34	Gene VAS PP2A	GRV 1.32 2.21	Gene RPL2 TUB	GRV 2.38 2.91
1 2 3	Ge RF VI	ne 21.4 PS 4.5		GRV 1.86 2.34 2.63	Gene VAS PP2A RPL4	GRV 1.32 2.21 2.94	Gene RPL2 TUB VPS	GRV 2.38 2.91 3.08
1 2 3 4	Ge RF VI VI PP	ne PL4 PS 4S 2A		GRV 1.86 2.34 2.63 4.28	Gene VAS PP2A RPL4 VPS	GRV 1.32 2.21 2.94 3.94	Gene RPL2 TUB VPS EF	GRV 2.38 2.91 3.08 3.87
1 2 3 4 5	Ge RF V. V. V. PP RF	ne PL4 PS 4S 2A B2		GRV 1.86 2.34 2.63 4.28 4.53	Gene VAS PP2A RPL4 VPS GAPDH	GRV 1.32 2.21 2.94 3.94 4.12	Gene RPL2 TUB VPS EF RPL4	GRV 2.38 2.91 3.08 3.87 4.24
1 2 3 4 5 6	RF VI VI PP RF RF	ne 214 225 235 24 262 272 272		GRV 1.86 2.34 2.63 4.28 4.53 5.42	Gene VAS PP2A RPL4 VPS GAPDH RPB2	GRV 1.32 2.21 2.94 3.94 4.12 4.53	Gene RPL2 TUB VPS EF RPL4 PP2A	GRV 2.38 2.91 3.08 3.87 4.24 4.56
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Ge RF V. V. PP RF RF	ne PL4 PS AS 2A B2 2A B2 CL2 JB		GRV 1.86 2.34 2.63 4.28 4.53 5.42 5.96	Gene VAS PP2A RPL4 VPS GAPDH RPB2 TUB	GRV 1.32 2.21 2.94 3.94 4.12 4.53 7.24	Gene RPL2 TUB VPS EF RPL4 PP2A RPB2	GRV 2.38 2.91 3.08 3.87 4.24 4.56 4.70
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	RF VI VI PP RF RF TU ACT	ne 214 214 225 226 226 226 227 22 238 239 239 239 239 239 239 239 239 239 239		GRV 1.86 2.34 2.63 4.28 4.53 5.42 5.96 6.12	Gene VAS PP2A RPL4 VPS GAPDH RPB2 TUB ACTIN1	GRV 1.32 2.21 2.94 3.94 4.12 4.53 7.24 7.87	Gene RPL2 TUB VPS EF RPL4 PP2A RPB2 VAS	GRV 2.38 2.91 3.08 3.87 4.24 4.56 4.70 6.12
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	RF V V PP RF RF TU ACT	ne 214 25 28 28 28 28 29 29 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20		GRV 1.86 2.34 2.63 4.28 4.53 5.42 5.96 6.12 7.90	Gene VAS PP2A RPL4 VPS GAPDH RPB2 TUB ACTIN1 EF	GRV 1.32 2.21 2.94 3.94 4.12 4.53 7.24 7.87 9.00	Gene RPL2 TUB VPS EF RPL4 PP2A RPB2 VAS ACTINI	GRV 2.38 2.91 3.08 3.87 4.24 4.56 4.70 6.12 6.64
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 9	RF VI VI PP RF RF TU ACT UI	ne 214 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25		GRV 1.86 2.34 2.63 4.28 4.53 5.42 5.96 6.12 7.90 8.80	Gene VAS PP2A RPL4 VPS GAPDH RPB2 TUB ACTIN1 EF UBQ	GRV 1.32 2.21 2.94 3.94 4.12 4.53 7.24 7.87 9.00 9.46	Gene RPL2 TUB VPS EF RPL4 PP2A RPB2 VAS ACTIN1 GAPDH	GRV 2.38 2.91 3.08 3.87 4.24 4.56 4.70 6.12 6.64 10.00

GRV: geomean of ranking values.

single reference gene is suitable for all situations. However, for each culture condition, we recommend and exclude some reference genes.

Under various carbon source conditions, results obtained by the three kinds of software Genorm, Normfinder, and bestkeeper are inconsistent, but the stability of VAS in the bestkeeper analysis is > 1. In the carbon source experiment, we do not recommend the use of VAS. Results of the integrated analysis are consistent with those of bestkeeper, both of which recommending VPS. Moreover, its M value is 0.196 in the Genorm analysis and 0.113 in the NormFinder analysis, indicating relative stability. Therefore, we believe that VPS is the most stable under different carbon source conditions. Under different nitrogen source conditions, RPB2 is the most stable in the Genorm and NormFinder analyses. In the bestkeeper analysis, the stability of RPB2 is 0.347, which is also relatively stable. Results of the integration analysis pointed to PPB2, which is consistent with the results of Genorm and NormFinder. When in a medium containing different growth factors, such as VB1, VB2, and VB6, the reference genes RPB2 and VPS are the most stable according to the Genorm analysis, whereas PP2A and VPS are shown to be the most stable in the NormFinder, bestkeeper, and integrated analyses. Hence, we believe PP2A and *VPS* are all stable, with the most stable one being *PP2A*. In various pH values, *UBQ* is recommended by Genorm, NormFinder, and integrated analyses. *GAPDH* and *EF* are the least stable, with a stability > 1. They were deemed to be unsuitable reference genes in various pH. In different temperatures, *VAS*, *VPS*, and *RPL4* all indicate good stability. However, *GAPDH*, *RPB2*, *RPL2*, *UBQ*, *TUB*, *EF*, and *ACTIN1* all indicate worse stability at > 1 according to the bestkeeper analysis. During growth development, *VAS* and *PP2A* are the best per the Genorm and integrated analyses. *RPL2* and *ACTIN1* are not recommended by according to the bestkeeper analysis. Between these different strains, *RPL2*, *VPS*, and *RPL4* all show superior stability, but *RPL2* is recommended in the first instance.

To ensure accuracy and reliability of experimental results, RTqPCR requires the selection of stable reference genes to control for non-specific variation between samples (Ma et al., 2013). Previous studies have indicated that no single stable internal reference gene is universally suitable for all experimental conditions. Many commonly used reference genes exhibit significant variability under different treatments (Lü et al., 2018). Thus, our results systematically ascertain more appropriate reference genes for qRT-PCR analysis in *I. obliquus*. We also conclude that each setting requires a specific set of reference genes, providing a basis for the systematic study of molecular mechanisms under different experimental conditions.

Conclusion

In this study, we employed qRT-PCR technology alongside BestKeeper, NormFinder, and GeNorm software to evaluate the expression stability of 11 candidate reference genes across different strains, growth stages, and culture conditions. Comprehensive ranking revealed the following results: under different carbon sources, *VPS* demonstrated good stability and is suitable as an internal reference gene. When varying nitrogen sources, *RPB2* proved to be the most stable reference gene. For different growth factors, *PP2A* was identified as the most stable reference gene. At different pH values, *UBQ* was the most stable reference gene. *RPL4* was the most stable reference gene at different temperatures. Among different strains, *RPL2* was the most stable reference gene. Lastly, *VAS* showed the highest stability across different growth stages. This study offers valuable insights for enhancing the accuracy of functional gene expression analysis in *I. obliquus*.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in this study are included in this article/Supplementary material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding authors.

Author contributions

LL: Writing – original draft. XG: Writing – review and editing. SW: Writing – review and editing.

References

Andersen, C. L., Jensen, J. L., and Ørntoft, T. F. (2004). Normalization of realtime quantitative reverse transcription-PCR data: A model-based variance estimation approach to identify genes suited for normalization, applied to bladder and colon cancer data sets. *Cancer Res.* 64, 5245–5250. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-04-0496

Chen, X., Qiu, Z. S., Su, X. Y., Xu, Y., Cao, L. S., Yang, Z. D., et al. (2023). Screening of reference genes for RT-qPCR analysis in Endoclita signifier Walker larvae. *J. Environ. Entomol.* 1016–1026.

Chen, Y. Y., Huang, Y. R., Cui, Z. M., and Liu, J. J. (2015). Purification, characterization and biological activity of a novel polysaccharide from *Inonotus obliquus. Int. J. Biol. Macromol.* 79, 587–594. doi: 10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2015. 05.016

Chowrasia, S., Kaur, H., Mujib, A., and Mondal, T. K. (2019). Evaluation of Oryza coarctata candidate reference genes under different abiotic stresses. *Biol. Plant* 308:110878.

Guo, X. F., and Wang, S. M. (2020). Phylogenetic study of *Inonotus obliquus* (Chaga) based on internal transcribed spacer 2 (ITS2) of ribosomal DNA. *Eur. J. Hortic. Sci.* 85, 387–393.

Hu, A., Yang, X., Zhu, J., Wang, X., Wu, H., Zhang, H., et al. (2022). Selection and validation of appropriate reference genes for RT–qPCR analysis of Nitraria sibirica under various abiotic stresses. *BMC Plant Biol.* 22:592. doi: 10.1186/s12870-022-03988-w

Funding

The authors declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This work was funded by Hubei Engineering University.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2025. 1500043/full#supplementary-material

Lin, Y., Zhang, C., Lan, H., Gao, S., Liu, H., Liu, J., et al. (2014). Validation of potential reference genes for qPCR in maize across abiotic stresses, hormone treatments, and tissue types. *PLoS One* 9:e95445. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0095445

Lü, J., Chen, S., Guo, M., Ye, C., Qiu, B., Wu, J., et al. (2018). Selection and validation of reference genes for RT-qPCR analysis of the ladybird beetle *Henosepilachna vigintioctomaculata. Front. Physiol.* 9:1614. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2018. 01614

Lu, Y. P., Jia, Y. N., Xue, Z. H., Li, N. N., Liu, J. Y., and Chen, H. X. (2021). Recent developments in *Inonotus obliquus* (Chaga mushroom) Polysaccharides: Isolation, structural characteristics, biological activities and application. *Polymers* 13:1441. doi: 10.3390/polym13091441

Ma, S., Niu, H., Liu, C., Zhang, J., Hou, C., and Wang, D. (2013). Expression stabilities of candidate reference genes for RT-qPCR under different stress conditions in soybean. *PLoS One* 8:e75271. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.00 75271

Pfaffl, M. W., Tichopad, A., Prgomet, C., and Neuvians, T. P. (2004). Determination of stable housekeeping genes, differentially regulated target genes and sample integrity: BestKeeper–Excel-based tool using pair-wise correlations. *Biotechnol. Lett.* 26, 509–515. doi: 10.1023/b:bile.0000019559.84305.47

Song, F. Q., Liu, Y., Kong, X. S., Chang, W., and Song, G. (2013). Progress on understanding the anticancer mechanisms of medicinal mushroom: *Inonotus obliquus*. *Asian Pac. J. Cancer Prev.* 14, 1571–1578. doi: 10.7314/apjcp.2013.14.3.1571

Vandesompele, J., De Preter, K., Pattyn, F., Poppe, B., Van Roy, N., De Paepe, A., et al. (2002). Accurate normalization of real-time quantitative RT-PCR data by geometric averaging of multiple internal control genes. *Genome Biol.* 3. doi: 10.1186/gb-2002-3-7-research0034

Wan, H., Yuan, W., Ruan, M., Ye, Q., Wang, R., Li, Z., et al. (2011). Identification of reference genes for reverse transcription quantitative real-time PCR normalization in pepper (*Capsicum annuum* L.). *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 416, 24–30. doi: 10.1016/j.bbrc.2011.10.105

Xie, F., Wang, J., and Zhang, B. (2023). RefFinder: A web-based tool for comprehensively analyzing and identifying reference genes. *Funct. Integr. Genomics.* 23:125.

Xu, J., Xu, Z., Zhu, Y., Luo, H., Qian, J., Ji, A., et al. (2014). Identification and evaluation of reference genes for qRT-PCR normalization in *Ganoderma lucidum. Curr. Microbiol.* 68, 120–126. doi: 10.1007/s00284-013-0442-2

Yang, Y., Xu, X., Jing, Z., Ye, J., Li, H., Li, X., et al. (2022). Genomewide screening and stability verification of the robust internal control genes for RT-qPCR in Filamentous Fungi. *J. Fungi (Basel).* 8:952. doi: 10.3390/jof809 0952

Zhong, X. H., Ren, K., Lu, S. J., Yang, S. Y., and Sun, D. Z. (2009). Progress of research on *Inonotus obliquus. Chin J. Integr. Med.* 15, 156-160.