Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Mohammed Rohaim, Lancaster University, United Kingdom

REVIEWED BY Mohamad S. Hakim, Erasmus MC-University Medical Center, Netherlands Sanjita Banerjee, University of California, San Francisco, United States

*CORRESPONDENCE Jing-Zhe Jiang ⊠ jingzhejiang@gmail.com

RECEIVED 24 June 2024 ACCEPTED 13 January 2025 PUBLISHED 04 February 2025

CITATION

Zhang H-S, Liu C, Liu G-F, Chen Y-Y, Zhu P, Xu X, Yin B-X and Jiang J-Z (2025) Exploring the recombinant evolution and hosts of crucivirus based on novel oyster-associated viruses. *Front. Microbiol.* 16:1454079. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2025.1454079

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Zhang, Liu, Liu, Chen, Zhu, Xu, Yin and Jiang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Exploring the recombinant evolution and hosts of crucivirus based on novel oyster-associated viruses

Hong-Sai Zhang^{1,2}, Chang Liu², Guang-Feng Liu², Yu-Yu Chen², Peng Zhu^{1,2}, Xin Xu³, Bing-Xin Yin² and Jing-Zhe Jiang^{1,2*}

¹College of Fisheries and Life Science, Shanghai Ocean University, Shanghai, China, ²Key Laboratory of South China Sea Fishery Resources Exploitation and Utilization, Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Affairs, South China Sea Fisheries Research Institute, Chinese Academy of Fishery Sciences, Guangzhou, China, ³Livestock, Aquaculture and Technology Promotion and Service Center of Conghua District, Guangzhou, China

"Crucivirus" represents a group of viruses with chimeric genomes, significant for viral evolution and recombination studies. Their capsid proteins share homology with the RNA virus tombusvirus, while their replicase-associated proteins are homologous to a class of single-stranded DNA viruses, namely CRESS DNA viruses. This study identifies seven novel crucivirus genomes from oysters cultivated along the coast of the South China Sea. Phylogenetic analysis reveals that five sequences form a distinct branch, which may indicate the presence of a new subclass within the crucivirus family. We analyzed crucivirus from multiple perspectives, including viral genomes, hallmark proteins, sequence similarity, and potential hosts. The results indicate that the crucivirus genomes and replicase-associated proteins (Rep) from oysters conform to the typical characteristics of crucivirus; Crucivirus Rep appears to have a direct parallel origin from multiple clades of CRESS DNA viruses, while only the S-domain of their capsid proteins shows some evolutionary relationship with tombusvirus. We found protein sequences in rotifers that are highly similar to the Cap threedimensional structure of crucivirus, which may suggest host relevance. Overall, this study provides new insights into the classification, evolution, and host origins of crucivirus.

KEYWORDS

crucivirus, CRESS DNA viruses, virus evolution, host, genome, oyster

1 Introduction

With the development of metagenomics, abundant viruses have been found in various environments. Due to the mobility of water, there are complex and diverse viral populations in water bodies, which play an important role in participating in aquatic biogeochemistry (Chow and Suttle, 2015; Simmonds et al., 2017; Tran Patricia and Anantharaman, 2021). As the most concentrated place of water resources on Earth, the ocean is a huge reservoir of virus resources. In 2019, Gregory et al. (2019) created the Global Marine DNA Virus Database (GOV 2.0, mainly dsDNA viruses infecting bacteria), which included 195,728 virus groups detected in 145 seawater samples collected from all over the world. In 2022, Zayed et al. (2022) reported 5,504 novel Marine RNA viruses, increasing the number of known phyla of RNA viruses from 5 to 10, and also found

taxa that had been missing in the evolution of RNA viruses. Many studies have focused on viruses in seawater, while viruses in marine animals have been somewhat overlooked (Jiang et al., 2023). The surface, body, and blood of marine animals are teeming with viruses (Scanes et al., 2021). A herpes virus found in carp, for instance, can cause skin ulcers, organ damage, and other diseases in fish. This virus has been widely spread worldwide and is seriously impacting the marine ecosystem (Rakus et al., 2013).

As the exploration of the viral world progresses, an increasing number of new viruses are coming into view. Among these newly discovered viruses is a particularly intriguing group: the cruciviruses. In 2012, scientists first identified crucivirus in extreme environments, a novel hybrid virus suspected to be a recombinant of DNA and RNA viruses (Diemer and Stedman, 2012). This virus, closely related to CRESS DNA viruses (Circular Rep-encoding single-stranded DNA viruses), possesses a circular genome, a Rep gene, and the conserved stem-loop structure (ori), which is characteristic of the initiation site for CRESS DNA virus rolling-circle replication (Higuera et al., 2020). Interestingly, the capsid protein of crucivirus shares significant similarities with that of the RNA virus family Tombusviridae (Higuera et al., 2020). In general, this chimeric virus seems to have arisen from recombining two ostensibly unrelated DNA and RNA viruses. Studies have shown that recombination events frequently occur between cruciviruses and in replication related protein sequences, making their evolutionary relationships and origins more complex (Higuera et al., 2020). In addition, although crucivirus has been found in various natural environments including soil, lakes, and deep-sea sediments (Higuera et al., 2020), the specific functions and impacts of crucivirus in ecosystems are still poorly understood.

CRESS stands for Circular Rep-Encoding Single-Stranded. The CRESS DNA viruses possess circular ssDNA genomes ranging from 1,000 to 25,000 nucleotides, featuring two relatively conserved virus hallmark genes (VHGs): the capsid protein (Cap) and replicaseassociated protein (Rep) (Rosario et al., 2012; Bistolas et al., 2017). Rep plays a role in the rolling-circle replication of single-stranded genomes. As a diverse group of viruses, CRESS DNA viruses infect a wide range of eukaryotic hosts, including metazoans, protozoans, plants, algae, fungi, and archaea, with no identified groups capable of infecting bacteria (Rosario et al., 2012). Thanks to high-throughput sequencing and metagenomics advancements, more CRESS DNA viruses have been discovered in environmental samples such as water, soil, air, and within organisms (Simmonds et al., 2017). Currently, the International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses (ICTV) recognizes CRESS DNA viruses as comprising 12 families: Bacilladnaviridae, Circoviridae, Geminiviridae, Genomoviridae, *Metaxyviridae*, Naryaviridae, Nanoviridae, Nenyaviridae, Redondoviridae, Smacoviridae, Amesuviridae, and Vilyaviridae (Koonin et al., 2024).

Oysters are the world's largest cultivated shellfish and one of the important marine biological resources available to humans. Besides providing high-quality protein, oysters are also vital members of coastal ecosystems. Due to their widespread distribution and significant human impact, they have garnered extensive attention and serve as a "model species" in shellfish biology (Powell et al., 2018; Olalemi et al., 2016). Notably, as filter feeders, oysters subsist by filtering microorganisms from seawater, with a single oyster capable of filtering up to 5 liters per hour (Zhu et al., 2022). Many marine microorganisms, including viruses, accumulate within oysters, making them a natural repository for marine microbes and viruses. The Dataset of Oyster Virome (DOV) was reported by Jiang et al. (2023). DOV comprises 728,784 non-redundant viral operational taxonomic units (vOTUs) and 3,473 high-quality viral genomes, providing a comprehensive description of the oyster virome structure for the first time. A large number of unclassified CRESS-DNA viruses have been found in DOVs, which have been confirmed to be associated with aquatic animals and exhibit recombination in their genomes (Zhu et al., 2024). In this study, we delved into the DOV data, unexpectedly identifying seven strains of novel crucivirus. We conducted indepth analyses from multiple aspects, including viral genomes, hallmark proteins, sequence similarity, and potential hosts, aiming to provide references for exploring the evolutionary origins of the crucivirus and potential hosts.

2 Result

2.1 Typical genomic features of crucivirus in oyster-related viruses

In this study, seven cruciviruses were identified, with BH1_537572 and BH1_997453 originating from oyster (Crassostrea hongkongensis) samples cultured in Beihai, Guangxi Province; QZd1_50847 from Qinzhou, Guangxi; T8S1_426463 and T5S1_739851 from Taishan, Guangdong Province; ZHd1_99863 from Zhuhai, Guangdong; and HSd1_5347796 from oyster samples at the Huangsha seafood market in Guangzhou (Figure 1). These viral genomes exhibit typical crucivirus genomic features, including genome sizes ranging from 3,351 to 4,757 nt, GC contents between 35.4 and 43%, and two conserved open reading frames (ORFs), Cap and Rep (Figure 1). CRESS DNA viruses exhibit genome sizes ranging from 1.3 to 3 kb, whereas the genomes of oyster-related cruciviruses are larger than those of the CRESS DNA viruses currently known (Zhu et al., 2024). The Rep was annotated with seven conserved structural domains related to CRESS DNA viruses, including Motif I, Motif II, Motif III, Walker A, Walker B, Motif C, and Arg.f, depicted as gray rectangles in Figure 1. All seven genomes revealed a Cap protein homologous to tombusvirus, annotated with a conserved S-domain shown as red rectangles in Figure 1. However, the orientation of these virus-encoded ORFs varied, with QZd1_50847 and ZHd1_99863 being in the same direction (Figures 1B, G). In contrast, the remaining five were in opposite directions (Figures 1A, C-F). Additionally, except for T5S1_739851, which lacked the typical replication origin (ori) of CRESS DNA viruses genomes, the other viruses contained 1-3 oris (indicated by stars in Figure 1), consistent with the ori features of crucivirus reported by Higuera et al. (2020), all conforming to the NANTANTAN pattern (Figure 1H). Furthermore, In Higuera et al.'s study (Diemer and Stedman, 2012) one-third of the virus sequences could not predict Ori. Due to the fact that ori is the starting point of virus replication, and the genome of crucivirus exhibits high diversity through recombination events in replicase related proteins (Higuera et al., 2020), this may lead to the variability of ori.



The genomic information of seven cruciviruses identified in oysters. (A–G) Represents the genome structure diagram. From the center of the circular diagram outward, the layers represent (1) the genome name (ID) and length (nt); (2) green and blue lines indicating the AT and GC content of the virus, respectively; (3) red rectangles representing the S-domain of Cap; gray rectangles along the direction of the Rep arrow representing the structural domains of Rep, including Motif I, Motif II, Wolker A, Walker B, Motif C, and Arg.f; (4) pink rectangles with arrows indicating the two ORFs (Cap and Rep) and their direction; (5) The black pentagram indicating the position of the replication initiation sites (ori). (H) Shows the sequence pattern of the ori.

2.2 Typical structural domains of CRESS DNA viruses rep in oyster crucivirus

As shown in Figures 1, 2A, the Rep of the seven oysterrelated cruciviruses was annotated with seven conserved structural domains of CRESS DNA viruses. Further, three-dimensional structure prediction using AlphaFold (Jumper et al., 2021) revealed high structural similarity among these Reps (Figures 2B–O), with Motif I, Motif II, Walker B, and Motif C primarily composed of β -sheets, and Motif III, Walker A, and Arg.f mainly composed of α -helices.

As a multifunctional protein, the Rep of CRESS DNA viruses possesses nuclease and helicase activities (Higuera et al., 2020), with Motif I, Motif II, and Motif III together forming the N-terminal HUH endonuclease domain (Figures 2B–H), which exhibits nuclease activity. The seven viruses discovered in this study all possess the typical features of the HUH domain: the conserved sequence of Motif I is "xxTxNN" (x represents any amino acid); the conserved sequence of Motif II is "xHxQG", which plays a key role in maintaining nuclease activity during replication initiation (Koonin and Ilyina, 1992; Laufs et al., 1995b); the conserved sequence of Motif III is "YxxK", involved in the cleavage of dsDNA during virus genome replication (Laufs et al., 1995a), with the lysine residue mediating binding and positioning in the catalytic process (Varsani and Krupovic, 2017; Vega-Rocha et al., 2007).

The helicase domain of CRESS DNA viruses Rep belongs to Superfamily 3 helicase (S3H) (Koonin, 1993), located at the Cterminal of Rep, composed of Walker A, Walker B, Motif C, and Arg.f (Figures 2I–O), which exhibits helicase activity (Gorbalenya et al., 1990; Choudhury et al., 2006). The seven viruses also possess the typical features of the S3H domain: the conserved sequence of the Walker A motif is "GxxxxGKT", which recognizes ATP and binds to the conserved lysine residue (Rosario et al., 2012; Timchenko et al., 1999; Clérot and Bernardi, 2006); the conserved sequences of Walker B and Motif C are "uuDDu" and "uxxN" respectively (u represents a hydrophobic residue). The hydrophobic residues in the Walker B motif assist in ATP binding, crucial for ATP hydrolysis, while the conserved asparagine residue in the Motif C motif can interact with the y-phosphate of ATP and the nucleophilic water molecule (Timchenko et al., 1999; George et al., 2014).

2.3 Multiple lineages of CRESS DNA viruses as direct ancestors of crucivirus rep

To delve deeper into the evolutionary relationship between crucivirus and CRESS DNA virus Rep, we expanded our dataset to include NCBI NR-derived sequences similar to oyster crucivirus (Crucivirus-NR), 50 crucivirus sequences published by Higuera et al. (2020) (Crucivirus-Kenneth), and Rep sequences of CRESS DNA viruses classified by ICTV (https://ictv.global/) and published by Krupovic et al. (Kazlauskas et al., 2018) (n = 609). We employed two similarity clustering network methods, Diamond+Gephi (Jiang et al., 2023; Zhu et al., 2022) and CLANS, for the analysis. The results from Figures 3A, B collectively

indicate that Crucivirus-DOV, Crucivirus-NR, and Crucivirus-Kenneth (Higuera et al., 2020) are intermixed with members of various CRESS DNA viruses families, with crucivirus Rep randomly distributed among the CRESS DNA virus lineages. The phylogenetic tree of Rep (Supplementary Figure S1) further supports the network diagram, showing a random distribution of crucivirus among the evolutionary branches of CRESS DNA viruses family members, with five and two oyster virus origins clustering in different evolutionary branches. This suggests that crucivirus shares the same set of Rep genes with CRESS DNA viruses, and crucivirus Rep does not originate from a specific CRESS DNA viruse lineages but rather parallelly from multiple CRESS DNA viruses lineages (Supplementary Figure S5).

2.4 Structural differences of Cap between oyster crucivirus and tombusvirus

The Cap of Tombusviridae typically includes three structural domains: the N-terminal R-domain facing the interior of the capsid protein, interacting with DNA; the middle S-domain facing the exterior of the capsid protein, containing a single-layer jelly-roll (SJR) structure, which is the basic structure of the capsid protein; and the C-terminal P-domain, a protrusion on the capsid protein, involved in interactions with the host (Gunawardene et al., 2017). Since the S-domain of the crucivirus Cap protein is more conserved in sequence than the P and R-domains (Higuera et al., 2020), only the S-domain was annotated by CDD (Wang et al., 2023). Further, according to the AlphaFold prediction results, the S-domain of the crucivirus Cap presents a single-layer jelly-roll structure consistent with the S-domain of *Tombusviridae* (Figure 4, colored structure) (Higuera et al., 2020). Further analysis of the RMSD values reveals the differences in three-dimensional structures. The RMSD values between the S-domains of crucivirus range from 0.182 to 0.698 (Figures 4A-G, colored structure), indicating a high degree of similarity or conservatism in their three-dimensional structures. In contrast, the RMSD values between crucivirus and Tombusviridae in the S-domain range from 0.688 to 2.848 (Figures 4A-H, colored structure), suggesting certain differences in the three-dimensional structure of the S-domain between these two viruses. Although the S-domain of crucivirus is predicted to adopt a single jelly roll (SJR) conformation similar to that of Tombusviridae, their specific spatial structures are not identical, which may reflect different evolutionary paths. Additionally, analysis of the three-dimensional structure of the P-domains shows an RMSD range from 0.77 to 21 (Figures 4A-H, left gray structure), indicating significant structural diversity and potentially different evolutionary histories among the P-domains. In summary, the Cap of crucivirus shows differences from Tombusviridae at both the sequence and three-dimensional structure level.

2.5 Crucivirus Cap does not directly originate from tombusvirus

We also employed the two clustering methods from 2.3 to analyze the clustering relationship of the Cap proteins



The 3D structural domains of the Rep protein of seven cruciviruses related to oysters. (A) is a schematic of the Rep structural domains, with different colors representing different domains. (B–O) correspond to the viruses T8S1_426463, QZd1_50847, BH1_537572, T5S1_739851, HSd1_5347796, BH1_997453, and ZHd1_99863, respectively, where (B–H) represent the HUH structural units of the seven Rep proteins, and (I–O) represent the S3H structural units of the Rep protein (in the same order as shown in Figure 1). The colors in (B–O) correspond to the domain colors in (A), with red, green, blue, yellow, purple, cyan, and brown representing Motif I, Motif II, Motif II, Walker A, Walker B, Motif C, and Arg.f, respectively. The 3D structures were visualized using PyMol (1.8.6).



of the crucivirus-related virus family. As shown in Figure 5, Crucivirus-DOV (black dots), Crucivirus-NR data (green dots), and Crucivirus-Kenneth (red dots) all form a relatively tight cluster. This cluster is closely related to the *Procedovirinae* subfamily of *Tombusviridae* (red circle) but still at a certain distance. Notably, five Crucivirus-DOV and Crucivirus-NR



sequences form a relatively independent cluster (Figure 5A), distinctly separated from Crucivirus-Kenneth (red dots). We constructed a phylogenetic tree of oyster-derived and NR-derived crucivirus (Supplementary Figure S2), revealing that crucivirus in oysters is divided into two major branches, with five oyster viruses located in the lower branch. This result is consistent with Supplementary Figure S1, indicating that these five oyster viruses represent a new subcategory of crucivirus.

2.6 Origin of the S-domain in crucivirus Cap

To further explore the evolutionary origin of the crucivirus capsid protein, we used the most conserved S-domain of crucivirus Cap to search the nr30 database with HMMER (Potter et al., 2018), obtaining all sequences with the S-domain, resulting in 1,067 virus sequences from nine genera within the family *Tombusviridae* and sobemovirus. We constructed a CLANS network diagram with the above data and crucivirus



The sequence similarity clustering networks between the seven cruciviruses found in oysters and related viruses with the Cap protein. (**A**, **B**) are clustering networks constructed using DIAMOND+gephi (Jiang et al., 2023; Zhu et al., 2022) and CLANS (Frickey and Lupas, 2004). Both tools used the same Cap dataset (n = 734). Gray lines represent the score values between two sequences, and colored dots represent different virus families. The numbers in the legend brackets indicate the proportion of viruses in each group, with Crucivirus-DOV representing the seven crucivirus sequences found in oysters, Crucivirus-NR representing sequences from the NR database similar to Crucivirus-DOV, Crucivirus-Kenneth representing crucivirus sequences reported by Kenneth Stedman (Higuera et al., 2020) and other sequences from ICTV and Krupovic (Kazlauskas et al., 2018).



The evolutionary tree of the crucivirus capsid protein. (A) shows different colors representing different virus capsid protein families, with gray lines indicating score values between two sequences. (B) is an evolutionary tree constructed using the S-domain, with different colors representing different virus branches.

(n = 457) (Figure 6A). The results show that the capsid protein of crucivirus (red dots) represents a separate group, which is relatively close to tombusvirus (blue dots, belonging to Procedovirinae) (Figure 6A), consistent with the results of Figure 5. Further, we selected ten capsid protein sequences of the S-domain from each virus genus to construct an evolutionary tree (Figure 6B). The S-domain of tombusvirus (blue branch) is located at the ancestral branch at the tree's base. In contrast, the crucivirus (red branch) is located in a separate branch in the middle-lower part of the tree. This branch is parallel to machlomovirus, sobemovirus, betanecrovirus, and alphanecrovirus, indicating that the time when crucivirus acquired the Sdomain is close to the evolutionary time of these virus groups. Combining the distances between the clusters in Figure 6A and the evolutionary relationships of the branches in Figure 6B, it can be inferred that the S-domain of tombusvirus, as the center of S-domain evolution, first evolved into the closely related branches of aureusvirus and gammacarmovirus, then further derived the batacarmovirus-alphacarmovirus-pelarspovirus branch, and finally derived the crucivirus-machlomovirus-sobemovirusbetanecrovirus-alphanecrovirus branch.

2.7 Didymodactylos-related groups may be hosts of crucivirus

After infecting a host, there is a certain probability that a virus will integrate its genome or part of its sequence into the host genome (Carey et al., 2019). So, finding sequences related to the virus in the host genome can provide evidence for establishing the connection between the virus and the host. To find clues about the host of crucivirus, we first searched for similar sequences in nonviral organisms using BLAST with the Rep and Cap nucleotide and protein sequences, but no significant hits were found. We then attempted a more conservative three-dimensional structure



carnosus (CAF1628476.1). (B) shows a segment of the protein CAF1628476.1, with the red portion indicating the annotated S-domain within this sequence.

comparison method, using Foldseek Search (van Kempen et al., 2024) to find structural similarity in the structural database. As depicted in Figure 7, a protein from *Didymodactylos carnosus* (CAF1628476.1) exhibits a highly similar structure to the S-domain of crucivirus (RMSD = 0.567). Furthermore, although the RMSD between the P-domains of the two structures is 13.8, indicating a significant difference, direct observation of Figure 7A reveals that there appears to be some degree of similarity in the P-domains. *D. carnosus* is a rotifer belonging to the family *Philodinidae* and genus *Didymodactylos*, which is widely found in both freshwater and seawater. These findings suggest that rotifers and other eukaryotic microorganisms are clues for searching for crucivirus hosts.

3 Discussion

Cruciviruses represent a highly valuable group of viruses characterized by genomes comprising sequences derived from DNA and RNA viruses. Since the first crucivirus sequence was discovered in 2012, over 500 crucivirus genomes have been reported. The known crucivirus genomes vary in size, ranging from 2.7 to 5.7 kb, and typically contain additional open reading frames beyond the Rep and Cap proteins. This class of viruses is commonly found in aquatic environments such as lakes, rivers, and even deepsea sediments (Higuera et al., 2020). In an unexpected finding from DOV data sourced from oyster tissues, this study identified seven new strains of crucivirus, marking the first discovery of crucivirus within aquatic animals, thereby expanding the known habitats of crucivirus. Notably, both the Rep (Supplementary Figure S1) and Cap (Supplementary Figure S2) phylogenetic results show that five virus sequences from oysters and related NR virus sequences form distinct, independent branches, emphasizing that oysterrelated crucivirus may contain unique taxa. These results are similar to studies on other oyster-related CRESS DNA viruses (Zhu et al., 2024; Jiang et al., 2023). In addition, in the study of oyster related CRESS DNA viruses, oysters contain a large number of novel CRESS DNA viruses, which are significantly different from viruses in marine environments or other habitats. It has been found that the replication related proteins and capsid proteins of these CRESS DNA viruses exhibit different evolutionary rates and often undergo recombination. In Figure 3, it can be observed that the Rep protein of Crucivirus exhibits high diversity. Studies suggest that genetic recombination is a primary factor contributing to the diversity observed in crucivirus Rep (Higuera et al., 2020). Additionally, frequent recombination is identified as an important determinant of variation. This suggests that intragenic recombination plays a significant role in the adaptive evolution of crucivirus. The distinct habitats provided by marine animals for marine viruses, as compared to the broader marine environment, are also highlighted. Investigating and analyzing viruses associated with marine animals will contribute to a more comprehensive understanding of viral taxonomy and evolution.

With the advancement of metagenomic sequencing technology, numerous novel viral genome sequences are being discovered (Simmonds et al., 2017). Although the ICTV encourages genomebased viral classification (Simmonds et al., 2017), the current classification standards based on a few VHGs do not apply to all viruses. For instance, the classification of cruciviruses is challenging, with ongoing debates over whether to prioritize proteins involved in viral replication over structural proteins for classification purposes (Krupovič and Bamford Dennis, 2010). Cruciviruses have circular genomes, and their replicationassociated proteins fully conform to the characteristics of CRESS DNA viruses. If classified according to the Rep-based method for CRESS DNA viruses (Rosario et al., 2012), the crucivirus would belong to CRESS DNA viruses. However, this overlooks the evolutionary information of another important structural gene, Cap, in crucivirus. This study employed two clustering methods, DIAMOND+gephi (Jiang et al., 2023; Zhu et al., 2022) and CLANS (Frickey and Lupas, 2004), for comparative analysis of Rep and Cap, demonstrating that crucivirus and CRESS DNA viruses share the same set of Rep, making it impossible to distinguish between the two viruses based on Rep alone. The Cap network diagram reveals that the Cap of cruciviruses lacks similarity to the Cap of CRESS DNA viruses and also shows significant differences from the Cap of tombusvirus (Figure 5), suggesting that crucivirus did not simply integrate the Cap gene from tombusvirus but may originate from an asyet-undiscovered RNA virus family with a conserved S-domain. This RNA virus likely coexists extensively with various CRESS DNA viruses lineages within marine animals like oysters or other aquatic environments, independently undergoing multiple gene recombination events at opportune times and evolving into multiple crucivirus lineages in parallel. Given the distinct evolutionary features of crucivirus from the CRESS DNA viruses group and its pivotal evolutionary link between CRESS DNA viruses and S-domain-related RNA viruses, we hope that our research can provide a reference for the classification of crucivirus by the ICTV.

This study provides two important insights: first, viral classification and evolution should not solely focus on evolutionarily conserved replication-associated genes such as Rep or RdRp. Frequent and diverse structural genes, other important functional genes, and even unique recombination processes of some viruses should also be considered in viral classification, otherwise, a large number of "special" viruses like crucivirus would remain undescribed. Second, the complex structural pattern of crucivirus Cap indicates that the rapid mutation rate and adaptability of viruses stem not only from genomic sequence variation and rapid intergenic recombination but also from intragenic recombination, particularly within different structural domains of the capsid protein, which plays a non-negligible role in enhancing viral adaptability and host jumping mutations. This aspect warrants focused attention in the in-depth study of crucivirus evolution.

Artificial infection experiments can directly determine the host of a virus, but this method is impractical for the vast number of viruses identified through metagenomics. With the exponential expansion of viral sequences, there is an urgent need to establish high-throughput, bioinformatics-based methods for

predicting viral hosts. The advent of AlphaFold (Jumper et al., 2021) has undoubtedly revolutionized biological research. The AlphaFold tool has determined the structures of approximately 200 million proteins from almost all known organisms on Earth. Unlike time-consuming and expensive experimental methods such as X-ray crystallography and cryo-electron microscopy, AlphaFold simplifies structural analysis of a massive number of unknown proteins, akin to using a search engine. In this study, we used a method based on three-dimensional protein structure comparison to find distant similarities, suggesting that Didymodactylos carnosus may be the host of cruciviruses. Didymodactylos carnosus is a rotifer widely present in both freshwater and seawater, consistent with the observation that cruciviruses are often found in aquatic environments. Other research (Higuera et al., 2020) has found that the codon usage characteristics of crucivirus are similar to those of ciliates, and crucivirus has been discovered in locations where isopod ectoparasites are present. Since ciliates and isopod ectoparasites belong to the SAR supergroup, it is speculated that the host of crucivirus comes from the SAR supergroup. Rotifers and ciliates are similar in body length, with the former being about 440 micrometers and the latter ranging from tens to hundreds of micrometers. Both feed on microorganisms such as algae and share similar living environments. However, whether the host of crucivirus is rotifers or SAR-class eukaryotic microorganisms requires further support from bioinformatics and experimental evidence.

4 Conclusion

This study reports seven crucivirus viral genomes from aquatic animals, providing an in-depth analysis of these cruciviruses in five aspects: genomic structure, the three-dimensional structure of hallmark proteins, similarity clustering networks, the evolutionary origin of the S-domain in capsid proteins, and potential hosts. The results significantly expand the known sources and diversity of crucivirus, propose possible evolutionary and recombination pathways for crucivirus, offer new insights into the classification of recombinant viruses like crucivirus, and make preliminary explorations into their hosts and ecological significance, enriching our understanding of crucivirus.

5 Materials and methods

5.1 Sequence assembly and virus discovery

We constructed 54 oyster virome libraries from various sources, including 9 time points, seven locations (Qinzhou, Yangjiang, Zhuhai, Huidong Tanwei area, Lianjiang, Shenzhen in Guangxi), and two tissue types (Jiang et al., 2023). Through virome sequencing of oysters cultured in many areas along the southern coast of China, we obtained ~2.5 billion reads. We used fastp (version 0.20.0) (Chen et al., 2018) for quality control by removing low-quality sequences and adapters, and assembled the reads into contigs using MEGAHIT (version 1.2.9) (Li et al., 2015, 2016). Sequences were aligned and annotated using DIAMOND

(version 0.9.24.125) (Buchfink et al., 2015) with the non-redundant protein (nr) database from the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) as a reference. Please refer to the research of Jiang et al. (2023) for specific parameters. Finally, seven viral genome sequences were identified as cruciviruses and selected for further in-depth analysis.

5.2 Open reading frame prediction and annotation

The seven viral genomes' open reading frames (ORFs) were predicted and annotated using Prodigal (Hyatt et al., 2010). ORF sequences were aligned with the nr database using NCBI BLASTP (Altschul et al., 1997) with an e-value cutoff set to 10^{-5} . The highest consistency protein sequences were reverse-aligned with the viral genome sequences using NCBI tBLASTN (Altschul et al., 1997) to verify the completeness of the ORF predictions.

5.3 Similarity clustering analysis

Based on the total score of BLASTP results, we selected the top 10 Rep sequences and top 10 capsid protein sequences and aligned them using DIAMOND (Buchfink et al., 2015). We then constructed clustering networks based on scores using Gephi (Bastian et al., 2009) (version 0.9.2). Only scores higher than 150 are shown. CLANS (Frickey and Lupas, 2004) implements the Fruchterman-Reingold force-directed layout algorithm, which treats protein sequences as point masses in a virtual multidimensional space, where protein sequences attract or repel each other based on the strength of their pairwise similarities. Scoring Matrix chooses BLOSUM62, Extract BLAST HSP's up to E-values of 1e⁻⁴. We performed CLANS clustering using the Bioinformatics Toolkit (Alva et al., 2016) online tool, a free onestop web service for protein bioinformatics analysis provided by the MPI Bioinformatics Toolkit (https://toolkit.tuebingen.mpg.de). It currently offers 34 interconnected external and internal tools, covering functions such as sequence similarity search, alignment construction, sequence feature detection, structure prediction, and sequence classification (Gunawardene et al., 2017; van Kempen et al., 2024).

5.4 Viral genome structure analysis and visualization

(1) Annotation of the S-domain was done using CDD (Wang et al., 2023) (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Structure/cdd), and motifs in Rep were annotated using PSI-Coffee (http://tcoffee. crg.cat/). The viral genomes were annotated and visualized using Geneious Prime 2023.0.4. (2) The three-dimensional structures of the viruses were predicted using AlphaFold (Jumper et al., 2021) then visualized and annotated using PyMol (1.8.6). In the predicted results, about 80% of the area is displayed in blue (pLDDT > 70) (3) Three-dimensional structure comparison was performed using Foldseek Search (van Kempen et al., 2024)

(https://search.foldseek.com/search) (4) Sequence logos displaying ori base frequencies were created using the WebLogo server (http:// weblogo.threeplusone.com/).

5.5 Homology search for S-domain

In the process of searching for viruses with the S-domain, we first used the S-domain of crucivirus to find homologous proteins in the nr30 database using HMMER (Potter et al., 2018), set the cutoff value to 1e-3, then expanded the homologous protein families in Pfam (Pfam: PF00729) (Mistry et al., 2021) and InterPro (Paysan-Lafosse et al., 2023). All virus sequences were then clustered using CLANS. HMMER (Potter et al., 2018) tool was also accessed through the Bioinformatics Toolkit (Alva et al., 2016).

5.6 Phylogenetic tree construction based on Rep and Cap sequences

Multiple sequence alignments were performed using MAFFT (Katoh and Standley, 2013), ambiguous regions were removed using trimAL (Capella-Gutiérrez et al., 2009), and maximum likelihood phylogenetic trees based on Rep and Cap protein sequences were constructed using IQ-TREE (version 2.1.4) (Minh et al., 2020). ModelFinder was set to MFP (forModelFinder Plus) and 10,000 ultrafast bootstrap replicates were used. Visualization was done using iTOL (version 6.5.2) (https://itol.embl.de) (Letunic and Bork, 2016).

Data availability statement

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found below: https://www.cncb.ac. cn/, C_AA071460-66.

Ethics statement

The manuscript presents research on animals that do not require ethical approval for their study.

Author contributions

H-SZ: Data curation, Formal analysis, Validation, Investigation, Resources, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing –

References

Altschul, S. F., Madden, T. L., Schäffer, A. A., Zhang, J., Zhang, Z., Miller, W., et al. (1997). Gapped BLAST and PSI-BLAST: a new generation of protein database search programs. *Nucl. Acids Res.* 25, 3389–3402. doi: 10.1093/nar/25.17.3389

Alva, V., Nam, S.-Z., Söding, J., and Lupas, A. N. (2016). The MPI bioinformatics Toolkit as an integrative platform for advanced protein sequence and structure analysis. *Nucl. Acids Res.* 44, W410–W415. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkw348 review & editing. CL: Writing – original draft, Data curation, Methodology, Conceptualization, Writing – review & editing. G-FL: Writing – original draft, Data curation, Methodology, Conceptualization, Writing – review & editing. Y-YC: Writing – original draft, Formal analysis, Investigation, Writing – review & editing. PZ: Writing – original draft, Formal analysis, Investigation, Writing – review & editing. XX: Writing – original draft, Funding acquisition, Resources, Writing – review & editing. B-XY: Writing – original draft, Visualization, Writing – review & editing. J-ZJ: Data curation, Methodology, Supervision, Conceptualization, Project administration, Funding acquisition, Resources, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This project was supported by the Key-Area Research and Development Program of Guangdong Province (2022B1111030001), Hainan Natural Science Foundation (No. 324CXTD435), and the Central Public-Interest Scientific Institution Basal Research Fund, CAFS (No. 2023TD44) to J–ZJ.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2025. 1454079/full#supplementary-material

Bastian, M., Heymann, S., and Jacomy, M. (2009). Gephi: an open source software for exploring and manipulating networks. *Proc. Int. AAAI Conf. Web Soc. Media* 3, 361–362. doi: 10.1609/icwsm.v3i1.13937

Bistolas, K. S. I., Besemer, R. M., Rudstam, L. G., and Hewson, I. (2017). Distribution and inferred evolutionary characteristics of a chimeric ssDNA virus associated with intertidal marine isopods. *Viruses* 9:361. doi: 10.3390/v9120361

Buchfink, B., Xie, C., and Huson, D. H. (2015). Fast and sensitive protein alignment using DIAMOND. *Nat. Methods* 12, 59–60. doi: 10.1038/nmeth.3176

Capella-Gutiérrez, S., Silla-Martínez, J. M., and Gabaldón, T. (2009). trimAl: a tool for automated alignment trimming in large-scale phylogenetic analyses. *Bioinformatics* 25, 1972–1973. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btp348

Carey, J. N., Mettert, E. L., Fishman-Engel, D. R., Roggiani, M., and Kiley, P. J., Goulian M (2019). Phage integration alters the respiratory strategy of its host. *Elife* 8:e49081. doi: 10.7554/eLife.49081.025

Chen, S., Zhou, Y., Chen, Y., and Gu, J. (2018). fastp: an ultra-fast all-in-one FASTQ preprocessor. *Bioinformatics* 34, i884–i890. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/bty560

Choudhury, N. R., Malik, P. S., Singh, D. K., Islam, M. N., Kaliappan, K., and Mukherjee, S. K. (2006). The oligomeric Rep protein of Mungbean yellow mosaic India virus (MYMIV) is a likely replicative helicase. *Nucl. Acids Res.* 34, 6362–6377. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkl903

Chow, C.-E. T., and Suttle, C. A. (2015). Biogeography of viruses in the Sea. 2, 41–66. doi: 10.1146/annurev-virology-031413-085540

Clérot, D., and Bernardi, F. (2006). DNA helicase activity is associated with the replication initiator protein Rep of tomato yellow leaf curl geminivirus. *J. Virol.* 80, 11322–11330. doi: 10.1128/JVI.00924-06

Diemer, G. S., and Stedman, K. M. (2012). A novel virus genome discovered in an extreme environment suggests recombination between unrelated groups of RNA and DNA viruses. *Biol. Direct* 7:13. doi: 10.1186/1745-6150-7-13

Frickey, T., and Lupas, A. (2004). CLANS: a Java application for visualizing protein families based on pairwise similarity. *Bioinformatics* 20, 3702–3704. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/bth444

George, B., Ruhel, R., Mazumder, M., Sharma, V. K., Jain, S. K., Gourinath, S., et al. (2014). Mutational analysis of the helicase domain of a replication initiator protein reveals critical roles of Lys 272 of the B['] motif and Lys 289 of the β -hairpin loop in geminivirus replication. *J. Gen. Virol.* 95, 1591–1602. doi: 10.1099/vir.0.064923-0

Gorbalenya, A. E., Koonin, E. V., and Wolf, Y. I. (1990). A new superfamily of putative NTP-binding domains encoded by genomes of small DNA and RNA viruses. *FEBS Lett.* 262, 145–148. doi: 10.1016/0014-5793(90)80175-I

Gregory, A. C., Zayed, A. A., Conceição-Neto, N., Temperton, B., Bolduc, B., Alberti, A., et al. (2019). Marine DNA viral macro- and microdiversity from pole to pole. *Cell* 177, 1109–1123. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2019.03.040

Gunawardene, C. D., Donaldson, L. W., and White, K. A. (2017). Tombusvirus polymerase: structure and function. *Virus Res.* 234, 74–86. doi: 10.1016/j.virusres.2017.01.012

Higuera, I. D., Kasun, G. W., Torrance, E. L., Pratt, A. A., Maluenda, A., Colombet, J., et al. (2020). Unveiling crucivirus diversity by mining metagenomic data. *mBio* 11:e01410-20. doi: 10.1128/mBio.01410-20

Hyatt, D., Chen, G. L., Locascio, P. F., Land, M. L., Larimer, F. W., and Hauser, L. J. (2010). Prodigal: prokaryotic gene recognition and translation initiation site identification. *BMC Bioinformat.* 11:119. doi: 10.1186/1471-2105-11-119

Jiang, J.-Z., Fang, Y. F., Wei, H. Y., Zhu, P., Liu, M., Yuan, W.-G., et al. (2023). A remarkably diverse and well-organized virus community in a filter-feeding oyster. *Microbiome* 11:2. doi: 10.1186/s40168-022-01431-8

Jumper, J., Evans, R., Pritzel, A., Green, T., Figurnov, M., Ronneberger, O., et al. (2021). Highly accurate protein structure prediction with AlphaFold. *Nature* 596, 583–589. doi: 10.1038/s41586-021-03819-2

Katoh, K., and Standley, D. M. (2013). MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7: improvements in performance and usability. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 30, 772–780. doi: 10.1093/molbev/mst010

Kazlauskas, D., Varsani, A., and Krupovic, M. (2018). Pervasive chimerism in the replication-associated proteins of uncultured single-stranded DNA viruses. *Viruses* 10:187. doi: 10.3390/v10040187

Koonin, E. V. (1993). A common set of conserved motifs in a vast variety of putative nucleic acid-dependent ATPases including MCM proteins involved in the initiation of eukaryotic DNA replication. *Nucl. Acids Res.* 21, 2541–2547. doi: 10.1093/nar/21.11.2541

Koonin, E. V., and Ilyina, T. V. (1992). Geminivirus replication proteins are related to prokaryotic plasmid rolling circle DNA replication initiator. *Proteins* 73, 2763–2766. doi: 10.1099/0022-1317-73-10-2763

Koonin, E. V., Kuhn, J. H., Dolja, V. V., and Krupovic, M. (2024). Megataxonomy and global ecology of the virosphere. *ISME J.* 18:wrad042. doi: 10.1093/ismejo/wrad042

Krupovič, M., and Bamford Dennis, H. (2010). Order to the viral universe. J. Virol. 84, 12476–12479. doi: 10.1128/JVI.01489-10

Laufs, J., Schumacher, S., Geisler, N., Jupin, I., and Gronenborn, B. (1995a). Identification of the nicking tyrosine of geminivirus Rep protein. *FEBS Lett.* 377, 258–262. doi: 10.1016/0014-5793(95)01355-5

Laufs, J., Traut, W., Heyraud, F., Matzeit, V., Rogers, S. G., Schell, J., et al. (1995b). *In vitro* cleavage and joining at the viral origin of replication by the replication initiator

protein of tomato yellow leaf curl virus. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 92, 3879-3883. doi: 10.1073/pnas.92.9.3879

Letunic, I., and Bork, P. (2016). Interactive tree of life (iTOL) v3: an online tool for the display and annotation of phylogenetic and other trees. *Nucl. Acids Res.* 44, W242–W245. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkw290

Li, D., Liu, C.-M., Luo, R., Sadakane, K., and Lam, T.-W. (2015). MEGAHIT: an ultra-fast single-node solution for large and complex metagenomics assembly via succinct de Bruijn graph. *Bioinformatics* 31, 1674–1676. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btv033

Li, D., Luo, R., Liu, C. M., Leung, C. M., Ting, H. F., Sadakane, K., et al. (2016). MEGAHIT v1.0: a fast and scalable metagenome assembler driven by advanced methodologies and community practices. *Methods* 102, 3–11. doi: 10.1016/j.ymeth.2016.02.020

Minh, B. Q., Schmidt, H. A., Chernomor, O., Schrempf, D., Woodhams, M. D., von Haeseler, A., et al. (2020). IQ-TREE 2: new models and efficient methods for phylogenetic inference in the genomic era. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 37, 1530–1534. doi: 10.1093/molbev/msaa015

Mistry, J., Chuguransky, S., Williams, L., Qureshi, M., Salazar, G. A., Sonnhammer, E. L. L., et al. (2021). Pfam: the protein families database in 2021. *Nucl. Acids Res.* 49, D412–D419. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkaa913

Olalemi, A., Baker-Austin, C., Ebdon, J., and Taylor, H. (2016). Bioaccumulation and persistence of faecal bacterial and viral indicators in *Mytilus edulis* and *Crassostrea gigas. Int. J. Hyg. Environ. Health* 219 (7, Part A), 592–598. doi: 10.1016/j.ijheh.2016.06.002

Paysan-Lafosse, T., Blum, M., Chuguransky, S., Grego, T., Pinto, B. L., Salazar, G. A., et al. (2023). InterPro in 2022. *Nucl. Acids Res.* 51, D418–D427. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkac993

Potter, S. C., Luciani, A., Eddy, S. R., Park, Y., Lopez, R., and Finn, R. D. (2018). HMMER web server: 2018 update. *Nucl. Acids Res.* 46, W200-W204. doi: 10.1093/nar/gky448

Powell, D., Subramanian, S., Suwansa-Ard, S., Zhao, M., O'Connor, W., Raftos, D., et al. (2018). The genome of the oyster Saccostrea offers insight into the environmental resilience of bivalves. *DNA Res.* 25, 655–665. doi: 10.1093/dnares/dsy032

Rakus, K., Ouyang, P., Boutier, M., Ronsmans, M., Reschner, A., Vancsok, C., et al. (2013). Cyprinid herpesvirus 3: an interesting virus for applied and fundamental research. *Vet. Res.* 44:85. doi: 10.1186/1297-9716-44-85

Rosario, K., Duffy, S., and Breitbart, M. (2012). A field guide to eukaryotic circular single-stranded DNA viruses: insights gained from metagenomics. *Arch. Virol.* 157, 1851–1871. doi: 10.1007/s00705-012-1391-y

Scanes, E., Parker, L. M., Seymour, J. R., Siboni, N., King, W. L., Danckert, N. P., et al. (2021). Climate change alters the haemolymph microbiome of oysters. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* 164:111991. doi: 10.1016/j.marpolbul.2021.111991

Simmonds, P., Adams, M. J., Benko, M., Breitbart, M., Brister, J. R., Carstens, E. B., et al. (2017). Virus taxonomy in the age of metagenomics. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 15, 161–168. doi: 10.1038/nrmicro.2016.177

Timchenko, T., de Kouchkovsky, F., Katul, L., David, C., Vetten, H. J., and Gronenborn, B. (1999). A single rep protein initiates replication of multiple genome components of faba bean necrotic yellows virus, a single-stranded DNA virus of plants. *J. Virol.* 73, 10173–10182. doi: 10.1128/JVI.73.12.10173-10182.1999

Tran Patricia, Q., and Anantharaman, K. (2021). Biogeochemistry goes viral: towards a multifaceted approach to study viruses., and biogeochemical cycling. *mSystems* 6:e0113821. doi: 10.1128/msystems.01138-21

van Kempen, M., Kim, S. S., Tumescheit, C., Mirdita, M., Lee, J., Gilchrist, C. L. M., et al. (2024). Fast and accurate protein structure search with Foldseek. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 42, 243–246. doi: 10.1038/s41587-023-01773-0

Varsani, A., and Krupovic, M. (2017). Sequence-based taxonomic framework for the classification of uncultured single-stranded DNA viruses of the family Genomoviridae. *Virus Evol.* 3:vew037. doi: 10.1093/ve/vew037

Vega-Rocha, S., Byeon, I. J., Gronenborn, B., Gronenborn, A. M., and Campos-Olivas, R. (2007). Solution structure, divalent metal, and DNA binding of the endonuclease domain from the replication initiation protein from porcine circovirus 2. J. Mol. Biol. 367, 473–487. doi: 10.1016/j.jmb.2007.01.002

Wang, J., Chitsaz, F., Derbyshire, M. K., Gonzales, N. R., Gwadz, M., Lu, S., et al. (2023). The conserved domain database in 2023. *Nucl. Acids Res.* 51, D384–D388. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkac1096

Zayed, A. A., Wainaina, J. M., Dominguez-Huerta, G., Pelletier, E., Guo, J., Mohssen, M., et al. (2022). Cryptic and abundant marine viruses at the evolutionary origins of Earth's RNA virome. *Science* 376, 156–162. doi: 10.1126/science.abm5847

Zhu, P., Liu, C., Liu, G. F., Liu, H., Xie, K. M., Zhang, H. S., et al. (2024). Unveiling CRESS DNA virus diversity in oysters by virome. *Viruses* 16:228. doi: 10.3390/v16020228

Zhu, P., Liu, G. F., Liu, C., Yang, L. L., Liu, M., Xie, K. M., et al. (2022). Novel RNA viruses in oysters revealed by virome. *iMeta* 1:e65. doi: 10.1002/imt2.65