Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

United States

EDITED BY Haruo Suzuki, Keio University Shonan Fujisawa Campus, Japan

REVIEWED BY Jing Yang, National Institute for Communicable Disease Control and Prevention (China CDC), China Scott Van Nguyen, American Type Culture Collection,

*CORRESPONDENCE Andrey P. Anisimov anisimov@obolensk.org Angelina A. Kislichkina angelinakislichkina@yandex.ru

RECEIVED 30 October 2024 ACCEPTED 20 December 2024 PUBLISHED 07 January 2025

CITATION

Kislichkina AA, Sizova AA, Skryabin YP, Dentovskaya SV and Anisimov AP (2025) Evaluation of 16S rRNA genes sequences and genome-based analysis for identification of non-pathogenic *Yersinia*. *Front. Microbiol.* 15:1519733. [doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2024.1519733](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2024.1519733)

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Kislichkina, Sizova, Skryabin, Dentovskaya and Anisimov. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [License \(CC BY\)](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

[Evaluation of 16S rRNA genes](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2024.1519733/full) [sequences and genome-based](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2024.1519733/full) [analysis for identification of](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2024.1519733/full) [non-pathogenic](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2024.1519733/full) *Yersinia*

Angelina A. Kislichkina^{1*}, Angelika A. Sizova¹, Yury P. Skryabin², Svetlana V. Dentovskaya³ and Andrey P. Anisimov^{3*}

1 Department of Culture Collection, State Research Center for Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology, Obolensk, Russia, 2Department of Molecular Microbiology, State Research Center for Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology, Obolensk, Russia, ³Laboratory for Plague Microbiology, Especially Dangerous Infections Department, State Research Center for Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology, Obolensk, Russia

16S rRNA genes sequencing has been used for routine species identification and phylogenetic studies of bacteria. However, the high sequence similarity between some species and heterogeneity within copies at the intragenomic level could be a limiting factor of discriminatory ability. In this study, we aimed to compare 16S rRNA genes sequences and genome-based analysis (core SNPs and ANI) for identification of non-pathogenic *Yersinia*. We used complete and draft genomes of 373 *Yersinia* strains from the NCBI Genome database. The taxonomic affiliations of 34 genomes based on core SNPs and the ANI results did not match those specified in the GenBank database (NCBI). The intragenic homology of the 16S rRNA gene copies exceeded 99.5% in complete genomes, but above 50% of genomes have four or more variants of the 16S rRNA gene. Among 327 draft genomes of nonpathogenic *Yersinia*, 11% did not have a full-length 16S rRNA gene. Most of draft genomes has one copy of gene and it is not possible to define the intragenomic heterogenicity. The average homology of 16S rRNA gene was 98.76%, and the maximum variability was 2.85%. The low degree of genetic heterogenicity of the gene (0.36%) was determined in group *Y. pekkanenii*/*Y. proxima*/*Y. aldovae*/*Y. intermedia*/*Y. kristensenii*/*Y. rochesterensis*. The identical gene sequences were found in the genomes of the *Y. intermedia* and *Y. rochesterensis* strains identified using ANI and core SNPs analyses. The phylogenetic tree based on 16S rRNA genes differed from the tree based on core SNPs of the genomes and did not represent phylogenetic relationship between the *Yersinia* species. These findings will help to fill the data gaps in genome characteristics of deficiently studied non-pathogenic *Yersinia*.

KEYWORDS

Yersinia, genome, taxonomy, phylogeny, WGS, 16S rRNA, core SNPs, ANI

Introduction

The genus *Yersinia*, a member of the family *Yersiniaceae*, is currently composed of 26 species, including three human pathogens: the causative agent of plague, *Yersinia pestis*, and enteropathogenic *Yersinia enterocolitica* and *Yersinia pseudotuberculosis* [\(Mares et al., 2021](#page-13-0); [Le](#page-13-1) [Guern et al., 2020\)](#page-13-1). By reason of their medical significance, they have been well characterized, and data about their ecology, epidemiology, and molecular mechanisms of pathogenicity are available in many publications [\(Atkinson and Williams, 2016;](#page-12-0) [Reuter et al., 2014](#page-13-2)). Other species of *Yersinia* are considered non-pathogenic for humans because they have not been shown to be associated with disease manifestation ([Chen et al., 2010](#page-12-1); [Sulakvelidze, 2000](#page-13-3)). Nevertheless, the taxonomy of the genus *Yersinia* is evolving dynamically, and several novel species were recognized during WGS (Whole Genome Sequencing) investigations [\(Nguyen et al., 2020a;](#page-13-4) [Nguyen et al., 2020b](#page-13-5); [Cunningham et al., 2019](#page-12-2); [Savin et al., 2019](#page-13-6)). Unlike the three human pathogens of *Yersinia*, other species have been less studied because most studies have focused on characterizing these *Homo sapiens* pathogens. As a result, our knowledge about non-pathogenic related species is very limited. Bacterial genome studies have shown that many pathogens can be separated from environmental, commensal, or zoonotic populations of microorganisms ([Achtman et al., 1999](#page-12-3); [van](#page-13-7) [Baarlen et al., 2007;](#page-13-7) [Van Ert et al., 2007\)](#page-13-8). The comprehensive studying of not clinically significant microorganisms is essential for understanding the evolution, ecology, virulence, and distribution of bacteria. The first step in studying of microorganism is properly to identify the species. Correct identification of clinical isolates is necessary for selecting optimal treatment strategies and determining the scope of public health measures.

16S rRNA sequencing has been used for decades for routine identification of bacterial isolates ([Mignard and Flandrois, 2006](#page-13-9)). The advantage of the 16S rRNA gene over other genes is its presence in all known species of bacteria and archaea, as well as the existence of highly conserved regions, which made it possible to create universal primers suitable for ribotyping prokaryotes ([Clarridge, 2004\)](#page-12-4). This gene has become a widely used target for taxonomic and evolutionary studies of bacteria after the implementation of automatic genetic analyzers and the development of public databases containing a lot of nucleotide sequences of 16S rRNA genes ([Cole et al., 2014;](#page-12-5) [Caporaso et al.,](#page-12-6) [2012](#page-12-6)). The 16S rRNA gene is approximately 1,500 bp long, and all known microorganisms have at least one copy of this gene. The nine hypervariable regions (V1–V9) and the conservative sequences separating them can be distinguished in the nucleotide sequence of the 16S rRNA gene [\(Abellan-Schneyder et al., 2021](#page-12-7)). Although 16S rRNA gene sequencing is widely used for microbespecies identification using WGS platforms, this method has several limitations and disadvantages [\(Johnson et al., 2019](#page-13-10); [Gonzalez et al., 2019;](#page-12-8) [Muhamad Rizal et al., 2020\)](#page-13-11). Results of identification could be unreliable in the case of using unsuitable primers, inadequate bioinformatic software, or outdated reference databases ([Park and Won, 2018;](#page-13-12) [Tatusova et al., 2015](#page-13-13); [Hsieh et al.,](#page-12-9) [2022](#page-12-9); [Edgar, 2018](#page-12-10)). Among the factors limiting the discriminatory ability of this method are the high homology of the nucleotide sequences of this gene between several related genera and/or species and intragenic heterogenicity, i.e., polymorphisms between copies of 16S rRNA in the genome ([Srinivasan et al., 2015](#page-13-14); [Rodriguez-R et al., 2018\)](#page-13-15). In such cases, it is necessary to use additional genes or other methods to determine the species of bacteria.

The aim of our work was the evaluation of using 16S rRNA genes sequences and genome-based analysis for identification of non-pathogenic *Yersinia*. Complete genomes and WGS data from the NCBI Genome database were used in this study. We analyzed copies of the 16S rRNA gene in whole genomes to determine intragenic heterogenicity. The methods of the genome analysis such as core SNPs and ANI were used for species identification of *Yersinia*.

Materials and methods

Sample collection, DNA extraction, and whole-genome sequencing

A total of 33 non-pathogenic *Yersinia* strains were used in this study ([Table 1](#page-2-0)). These strains are stored in the microorganism collection of the State Research Center for Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology (SRCAMB, Obolensk, Russia). Bacteria were originally collected as *Yersinia enterocolitica-*like, and were confirmed using microscopic examinations and biochemical identification tests. Before whole-genome sequencing, their species identifications were updated by matrix assisted laser desorption ionization (MALDI) Biotyper (Bruker, Germany).

Bacteria were grown at 28°C on nutrient medium 1 (SRCAMB, Obolensk, Russia). DNA from each strain was extracted using the DNA minikit (BIOFACT Co., Ltd., Korea) following the manufacturer's instructions. DNA quality was assessed using a Qubit 3 Fluorometer with the QubitTM dsDNA HS Assay Kit (Invitrogen, USA). Whole genome sequencing was performed in 2017, 2020 and 2022 using the Torrent PGM platform (Life Technologies, USA), Illumina MiSeq instrument (Illumina, USA), MGISeq-2000 (MGI Tech Co., China), and Genolab M (GeneMind Biosciences, China).

For sequencing on the Torrent PGM platform, the Ion 318 chip kit and 400-bp chemistry were used (Life Technologies, USA); on platform Illumina MiSeq - the Nextera DNA Library Preparation Kit and MiSeq Reagent Kits v3 (Illumina, USA); on the Genolab M - the library preparation kit SG GM (Raissol Bio, Sesana, Russia) and GenoLab M Sequencing Set V 1.0, FCM 300 cycles (GeneMind Biosciences, China); on MGISeq-2000 - MGIEasy FS DNA Library Prep Kit and MGI-Seq 2000RS High-throughput sequencing kit PE200 (MGI Tech Co., China).

The raw reads were *de novo* assembled using assemblers SPAdes v. 3.9.0 and Unicycler v. 0.4.7 with default settings, which included primary filtering and quality control [\(Bankevich et al., 2012;](#page-12-11) [Wick](#page-13-16) [et al., 2017\)](#page-13-16). The draft genomes were deposited in GenBank database. Annotation was carried out by NCBI Prokaryotic Genome Annotation Pipeline (PGAP) v. 5.3. Information on the assembly accession number in NCBI Genome database, total length, number of contigs and GC percentage is shown in [Table 1.](#page-2-0)

Bacterial genomes

The genomes of 33 non-pathogenic *Yersinia* strains performed in this study and all complete and draft genomes of non-pathogenic *Yersinia* downloaded at NCBI Genome (September, 2022) were included in the investigation. Finally, the genomes of 368 strains of non-pathogenic *Yersinia* (*Y. aldovae* – 11, *Y. aleksiciae* – 11, *Y. alsatica* – 10, *Y. artesiana* – 4, *Y. bercovieri* – 17, *Y. canariae* – 3, *Y. entomophaga* – 2, *Y frederiksenii* – 37, *Y. hibernica* – 2, *Y. intermedia* – 41, *Y. kristensenii* – 38, *Y. massiliensis* – 15, *Y. mollaretii* – 27, *Y. nurmii* – 1, *Y. pekkanenii* – 2, *Y. proxima* – 10; *Y. rochesterensis* – 8; *Y. rohdei* – 12; *Y. ruckeri* – 99; *Y. similis* – 9, *Y. thracica* – 4, *Y. vastinensis* – 5), as well as genomes of *Y. enterocolitica* 8081, *Y. enterocolitica* subsp*. palearctica* Y11, *Y. pseudotuberculosis* IP 32953, *Y. pestis* CO92, and *Y. wautersii* WP-931201 were studied. The data are available in the NCBI Genome database, and accession numbers are provided in [Supplementary Table S1.](#page-12-12)

TABLE 1 Data for whole genome-sequenced *Yersinia* strains.

1 SPAdes – v. 3.9.0; Unicycler v. 0.4.7.

Phylogenetic analysis of *Yersinia* genomes based on core SNPs

The core SNPs were determined using the Snippy 4.6.0 software with default settings.¹ Visualization of the phylogenetic trees were performed using the Neighbor joining algorithm FigTree v. 1.4.4² and SplitsTree4³ using NJ method.

Determination of ANI

Average nucleotide identity (ANI) values were determined using the FastANI software with default settings ([Jain et al., 2018\)](#page-13-17). Statistical calculations were performed using MS Office Excel.

Analysis of 16S rRNA gene in *Yersinia* genomes

The 16S rRNA gene searches were performed using BLAST. For phylogenetic analysis only full-length genes were selected from the

¹ <https://github.com/tseemann/snippy>

² <http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree>

³ <https://github.com/husonlab/splitstree4>

genomes. The alignment was performed using MEGA11 with the ClustalW algorithm ([Tamura et al., 2021](#page-13-18)) with default settings. The phylogenetic tree was constructed using the Neighbor joining algorithm in MEGA11 software.

Results

Diversity of copies 16S rRNA gene in whole genomes

The copies of 16S rRNA genes in complete genomes from the GenBank database (NCBI) were compared to evaluate the intragenomic heterogenicity of the 16S rRNA genes of *Yersinia*. Note that the complete genomes of *Y. wautersii*, *Y. vastinensis*, *Y. artesiana*, *Y. proxima*, *Y. pekkanenii*, *Y. thracica*, and *Y. nurmii* are not available from the NCBI database. Only one or a few complete genomes are available for other *Yersinia* species. Among the non-pathogenic *Yersinia* species for humans and other warm-blooded animals, *Y. ruckeri* is most represented among sequenced genomes because it is a cause of a serious septicemic bacterial disease in salmonid fish.

The complete genomes of *Yersinia*, their accession numbers, platforms on which WGS was performed, and assembly software are provided in [Supplementary Table S2](#page-12-13). The genomes of *Yersinia* species contain seven copies of the 16S rRNA gene, except for *Y. pestis*, which contains six copies per genome. The analysis of 16S rRNA gene copies in the complete genomes of *Yersinia* is shown in [Table 2.](#page-5-0)

Among investigated *Yersinia* were observed different number of 16S rRNA gene copy variants in the complete genomes. In two genomes (*Y. frederiksenii* Y225 and *Y. ruckeri* 17Y0159) of each copy of gene was unique. Six, five and four variants of 16S rRNA gene had 4, 10 and 9 genomes, respectively. Two and three variants of 16S rRNA gene had 8 genomes each. Interestingly, only four genomes (*Y. alsatica* SCPM-O-B-7604, *Y. hibernica* CFS1934, *Y. ruckeri* KMM821, *Y. ruckeri* QMA0440) among the complete sequences contained only one 16S rRNA gene variant. The nucleotide differences are more common than the formation of insertions or deletions. Ins/del were detected in 19 of 45 complete genomes of *Yersinia*. Number of in/del and SNP between the most different copies were varied, but in 25 genomes it was ≤3. More than 10 mismatches between the most different copies were observed in genome *Y. frederiksenii* Y225 (20) and in *Y. aldovae* 670–83 (14). In the rest genomes, number of in/del and SNP was \geq 4 and \leq 10 between the most different copies.

Pairwise comparison of gene copy sequences in one genome defined homology exceeding 99% for all strains, except for *Y. frederiksenii* Y225. The mismatch of 16S rRNA copies of this genome is presented in [Figure 1](#page-6-0). The total nucleotide mismatches of 31 points were detected, 29 SNPs and two insertion/deletion (ins/del). 26 points are in variable regions that are commonly used for species and genus identification; more than half of the mismatches (20) were in regions V1-V4, which are the most variable, and sequencing of these gene regions is most often used for routine identification of microorganisms. Each 16S rRNA copy in the *Y. frederiksenii* Y225 genome is unique. The maximum number (20 SNPs and ins/del) of differences between copies of the gene was also defined in this genome.

Cluster analysis based on 16S rRNA gene sequence

For the analysis, only full-length genes were selected. From the complete and draft genomes, up to 10 scaffolds were taken of all copies of the gene. In most of the draft genomes one copy of the 16S rRNA gene was present; two full-length copies were identified in only four draft genomes. The full-length assembled 16S rRNA gene was absent in 36 drafts (9%). The total number of 16S rRNA genes (644 sequences) was aligned using MEGA11 (ClustalW algorithm). Each species of the genus *Yersinia* was represented by at least one copy of the 16S rRNA gene. Pairwise comparisons of the 16S rRNA genes are provided in [Supplementary Table S3](#page-12-14). A phylogenetic tree was constructed using the Neighbor joining algorithm. The expanded phylogenetic tree is presented in [Supplementary Figure S1](#page-12-15). The branches composed of identical genes or genes with some nucleotide differences were compressed [\(Supplementary Figure S2](#page-12-16)). As a result, the sequences of 16S rRNA genes formed six large clades, namely – 1a, 1b, 2a, 2b, 3a, and 3b, on the phylogenetic tree.

Clade 1a comprises two branches, the first includes all sequences of *Y. ruckeri* 16S rRNA and, the second contains part of the *Y. kristensenii* 16S rRNA gene sequences. The average homology rates inside the branches is 99.94 and 99.91%, respectively. Group 1b comprises of three branches. The first branch included all 16S rRNA sequences of *Y. bercovieri* and *Y. aleksiciae*, with an average homology of 99.66%. The second branch comprises all 16S rRNA genes of *Y. mollaretii*, with an average homology of 99.93%. The third branch includes the 16S rRNA sequences of several species: *Y. pekkanenii*, *Y. proxima*, *Y. aldovae*, *Y. intermedia*, *Y. kristensenii*, and *Y. rochesterensis*, with an average 16S rRNA homology of 99.64%. A few strains of *Y. intermedia* and *Y. rochesterensis* have the identical gene sequences.

Clade 2a included all 16S rRNA sequences of *Y. massiliensis*, and the average homology was 99.85%. The clade 2b are formed by three branches. The first branch included 16S rRNA sequences of *Y. similis*, *Y. pseudotuberculosis*, *Y. pestis*, and *Y. wautersii*, with an average homology of 99.76%. The second branch contains 16S rRNA sequences of *Y. alsatica*, with an average homology of 99.71%. The third branch includes *Y. frederiksenii* 16S rRNA sequences; the average gene homology was 99.91%.

Clade 3a contains two branches (*Y. entomophaga/Y. nurmii* and *Y. vastinensis/Y. frederiksenii*) and a separate 16S rRNA sequence from the complete genome of *Y. frederiksenii* Y225. Average homology of the group – 99.5%.

Clade 3b includes the 16S rRNA of *Y. rohdei/Y. thracica*, *Y. canariae*, *Y. artesiana*, *Y. enterocolitica*, and *Y. hibernica*/*Y. kristensenii*, with separate located sequences of *Y. rohdei* 68/02 and *Y. rohdei* 3,343, as well as the 16S rRNA gene of *Y. aldovae* IP07632. The average 16S rRNA homology in clade 3b was 99.05%.

Analysis of the 16S rRNA genes revealed identical sequences in some genomes of strains related to different species, according to NCBI data. The results are listed in [Table 3.](#page-6-1)

Identification and phylogenetic analysis of *Yersinia* genomes based on core SNPs

The determination of core SNPs in 368 genomes of non-pathogenic *Yersinia* and genomes of *Y. enterocolitica* subsp*. enterocolitica* 8081, Kislichkina et al. [10.3389/fmicb.2024.1519733](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2024.1519733)

Y. enterocolitica subsp*. palearctica* Y11, *Y. pseudotuberculosis* IP 32953, *Y. pestis* CO92, and *Y. wautersii* WP-931201 was performed using Snippy 4.6.0 software ([Snippy, 2015](#page-13-19)). A phylogenetic tree based on the 9,494 core SNPs was built using neighbor joining algorithm in the FigTree 1.4.3 software [\(FigTree 1.4.3, 2017](#page-12-17)) [\(Figure 2](#page-7-0)). The detailed phylogenetic tree is presented in [Supplementary Figure S3](#page-12-18).

The genomes formed five clades on the tree. The first clade includes the genomes of the closely related species *Y. pseudotuberculosis*, *Y. pestis*, *Y. similis*, and *Y. wautersii*. This clade can be reliably distinguished from other *Yersinia* species. The second clade consists of the species *Y. aleksiciae*, *Y. bercovieri*, *Y. mollaretii*, and the neighboring species *Y. massiliensis* on long, distant branches. The third clade can be distinguished on two branches.

The first included species *Y. frederiksenii*, *Y. vastinensis*, *Y. alsatica*, and *Y. rohdei*. The second branch comprises two groups: *Y. enterocolitica* together with its related species *Y. proxima*, *Y. artesiana*, and *Y. canariae*, and the closely related species *Y. kristensenii*, *Y. thracica*, and *Y. rochesterensis*. The fourth clade comprises the separate branches of *Y. pekkanenii*, *Y. aldovae*, and *Y. intermedia*. The fifth clade significantly outlies the other clades and consists of two branches: the first is represented by genomes of *Y. ruckeri*, and the second composes of genomes of related species *Y. nurmii* and *Y. entomophaga*.

The species relationship of most genomes of the genus *Yersinia* was matched with those specified in the GenBank database (NCBI), but taxonomic inconsistencies in 34 bacterial genomes were determined ([Table 4\)](#page-8-0). The genomes of 25 *Yersinia* strains were deposited as *Y. frederiksenii*, but according to the results of the analysis of core SNPs, 12 were assigned as *Y. alsatica*, nine as *Y. massiliensis*, four as *Y. vastinensis*, and one as *Y. rochesterensis*. Six of the *Y. kristensenii* genomes were identified as *Y. rochesterensis* and one as *Y. hibernica*. One *Y. intermedia* genome was located between *Y. proxima* genomes in the phylogenetic tree, and another was located between *Y. massiliensis* genomes.

Identification of *Yersinia* genomes based on ANI

ANI was calculated pairwise for each of the 373 genomes ([Supplementary Table S4](#page-12-19)).

The genomes were grouped according to an ANI value of 95–96% which is the bacterial species threshold ([Riesco and Trujillo, 2024](#page-13-20)). The species identified in of the same 34 bacterial genomes did not match those specified in the GenBank database (NCBI). The taxonomic affiliations of these genomes based on core SNPs were determined according to the ANI results. The mean ANI value for each of the 34 genomes relative to the genomes of the species indicated in the NCBI database and the genomes of the species identified by core SNPs are shown in the [Table 4.](#page-8-0)

The average ANI value for all genomes of each species was determined in relation to the genomes of strains belonging to other species ([Figure 3\)](#page-9-0). Generally, *Yersinia* can be divided into three groups according to ANI results. The first group consisted of *Y. ruckeri*, *Y. nurmii*, and *Y. entomophaga*, with the lowest ANI (80.27–81.90%) in relation to other *Yersinia* species. The ANI values between *Y. entomophaga* and *Y. nurmii* is very close to the species threshold (94.71%).

The second group, consisting of four species, *Y. pseudotuberculosis*, *Y. pestis*, *Y. wautersii*, and *Y. similis,* was distinguished from other *Yersinia* species. The ANI value between the genomes of *Y. pseudotuberculosis* IP 32953, *Y. pestis* CO92, and *Y. wautersii* WP-931201 is more 97%, which is above the species threshold. These species are sometimes integrated into the *Y. pseudotuberculosis* complex, and a high ANI value is a significant reason for combining these species into one complex. The ANI of *Y. similis* ranges from 94.71 to 95.20% relatively to *Y. pseudotuberculosis* complex, demonstrating a close relationship. The ANI values between this group and other *Yersinia* species fluctuated from 81.94 to 83.26%.

The third group included the remaining species with ANI values of 81.93–95.16% between them. In this group, three pairs of species had high ANI values, indicating closer genetic relationships. These pairs of species were *Y. aleksiciae* and *Y. bercovieri*; *Y. alsatica* and *Y. frederiksenii*; *Y. canariae* and *Y. hibernica*. The two trios of species *Y. enterocolitica*, *Y. artesiana*, and *Y. proxima*; *Y. kristensenii*, *Y. rochesterensis*, and *Y. thracica* have higher 90% ANI values, indicating a close relationship.

The minimum and maximum ANI values within each *Yersinia* species were evaluated [\(Figure 4\)](#page-9-1). The minimum ANI values relevant to the bacterial species threshold were found between the genomes of *Y. mollaretii* and *Y. massiliensis* at 94.95 and 95.42%, respectively.

A study of non-pathogenic *Yersinia* genomes using the core SNPs showed the existence of separate lineages (probable subspecies) within the species *Y. mollaretii*, *Y. massiliensis*, *Y. intermedia*, and *Y. kristensenii*. The separate phylogenetic trees (SplitsTree4 software, NJ method) ([SplitsTree4, 1996\)](#page-13-21) were constructed for these species, and ANI values were determined ([Figure 5\)](#page-10-0).

The comparison of the core SNPs showed that the genomes of *Y. mollaretii* and *Y. massiliensis* are divided into three and two lineages, respectively. The ANI values between the three *Y. mollaretii* lineages ranged from 95.10 to 96.27%, whereas the values within each lineage were higher (98.68%). The ANI value between two lineages of the *Y. massiliensis* genome was 95.74%, whereas the values within each lineage were 99.24 and 98.55%.

The genomes of species *Y. kristensenii* and *Y. intermedia* were divided into two lineages by the results of core SNPs. The ANI value between lineages of *Y. kristensenii* was 97.40%. The mean ANI value within the genomes of the first lineage was 99.44%, the second lineage is more heterogeneous, the mean ANI value was 98.83%. The genomes of *Y. intermedia* can also be divided into two lineages with mean ANI value of 97.80%. The ANI values within the first and second lineages are 99.24 and 99.42%, respectively.

Comparison of identification based on the 16S rRNA gene, core SNPs, and ANI

According to the clustering results, some groups included strains belonging to different species, as indicated in the NCBI database. Thus, group 2a included all 16S rRNA sequences of *Y. massiliensis* strains, as well as 11 genes of *Y. frederiksenii* (7 gene copies from the complete genome of strain FDAARGOS_417, as well as one copy each from the draft genomes of strains - FE80988, FCF467, 120/02, SCPM-O-B-3986) and one gene from the draft genome of *Y. intermedia* R148.

TABLE 2 Analysis of 16S rRNA gene copies in complete genomes of *Yersinia*.

According to the core SNPs and ANI analyses, these strains belong to the *Y. massiliensis*.

Some genes of *Y. frederiksenii* strains CFSAN060534, 28/85, 22,714/85, IP23047, SCPM-O-B-7604, and SCPM-O-B-8031 were grouped with the genes of *Y. alsatica*. Genes of *Y. frederiksenii* strains 3,430, FCF208, CFSAN060535, and FCF224 were clustered with *Y. vastinensis* as well. Based on core SNPs and ANI analyses, these *Y. frederiksenii* strains belong to confirmed species. In the same way the strains *Y. kristensenii* CFSAN060539 (*Y. hibernica*), *Y. intermedia* R148 (*Y. massiliensis*) *Y. intermedia* 58735 (*Y. proxima*) were misidentified.

However, identical 16S rRNA gene sequences were identified for *Y. intermedia* and *Y. rochesterensis* in the two groups of strain. The first group included *Y. intermedia* (IP39994, IZSPB_Y97, 93/02, FDAARGOS_729, FDAARGOS_730, NCTC11469, FDAARGOS_358, SCPM-O-B-10209, N6/293), *Y. rochesterensis* (IP37484, IP35638, IP38810, SCPM-O-B-9106 (C-191), Y231, ATCC BAA-2637), and strains *Y. frederiksenii* Y225, *Y. kristensenii* (IP28581, MGYG-HGUT-02462, OK6311, FE80982). However, the strains *Y. frederiksenii* and *Y. kristensenii* belong to *Y. rochesterensis*, according to genome analysis. The second group of identical 16S rRNA gene sequences consisted of *Y. intermedia* FCF130, *Y. intermedia* FCF84, *Y. rochesterensis* IP38921, *Y. rochesterensis* ATCC BAA-2637, *Y. rochesterensis* Y231, and *Y. rochesterensis* ATCC 33639.

Analysis of 16S rRNA gene variants in non-pathogenic *Yersinia* species

The sequences of 16S rRNA genes within the species were also analyzed according to species identification using core SNPs and ANI values. [Table 5](#page-11-0) shows the minimum and average 16S rRNA homology values and, the number of sequence variants within the *Yersinia* species. For each species, the most common 16S rRNA sequence was determined.

The average 16S rRNA homology inside non-pathogenic *Yersinia* species exceeded 99%. The number of 16S rRNA variants in the species is likely depends on the total number of gene sequences. The largest number of 16S rRNA variants was 18 in *Y. ruckeri* (total 203 sequences). However, in *Y. aldovae*, 12 gene variants were identified

among 14 sequences, and this species also has the smallest gene homology of 98.43%. 15 gene variants were found in *Y. intermedia*, but three of them are predominant, one of them observed in 14 cases, the second in 12, and the third 11. Two predominant sequences of *Y. mollaretii* were determined. They were found in 12 and 11 cases, respectively. Two dominant sequences were also found in *Y. bercovieri*, and both were observed in seven cases.

Discussion

Since the 1980s, the 16S rRNA gene has been used in phylogenetic studies of bacteria [\(Clarridge, 2004](#page-12-4)). This gene has been considered as the best target for identification because it exists in all known prokaryotic genomes and has conserved and variable regions ([Srinivasan et al., 2015\)](#page-13-14). These properties made the 16S rRNA gene suitable for taxonomy studies. However, the presence of multiple copies of rRNA operons and intragenomic heterogenicity of 16S rRNA genes are the limiting factors for species identification [\(Muhamad Rizal et al., 2020](#page-13-11); [Watts et al., 2017\)](#page-13-22). In the study were analyzed 2013 complete genomes of bacteria and archaea, and intragenomic heterogenicity was found in 952 genomes (585 species), but the divergence was less than 1% in 87.5% of the genomes [\(Sun et al., 2013](#page-13-23)).

Although the genus *Yersinia* contains three known human pathogens (*Y. pestis*, *Y. enterocolitica* and *Y. pseudotuberculosis*), the remaining species have not been studied sufficiently. Recently, with the expansion of genome studies, the taxonomy of the

Yersinia genus has been continuously refined. In previous years, a few new species of *Yersinia* have been described. The genetic homology of 16S rRNA genes in the genus *Yersinia* is high, and even identical 16S rRNA gene sequences can be found between distinct species ([Clarridge, 2004;](#page-12-4) [Rodriguez-R et al., 2018](#page-13-15)). However, studies of 16S rRNA genes sequences, and using this gene for the identification of non-pathogenic *Yersinia* with verification by methods based on whole genome analysis are lacking. Hao et al. studied the identification of *Yersinia* spp. using copy diversity in the chromosomal 16S rRNA gene sequence. In this study, we used complete and draft genomes deposed from the NCBI Genome database, which is used by researchers worldwide. We analyzed the 16S rRNA genes and used ANI and core SNPs to identify the species of *Yersinia*. First, we compared the sequences of 16S rRNA gene copies in the complete genomes of non-humanpathogenic *Yersinia* from NCBI. The homology of 16S rRNA copies in each genome exceeded 99.5% for all strains except for one. It has been shown that many species have gene copies in their genomes that differ by $1-1.3\%$ (Sun et al., 2013). The intragenomic heterogenicity of the 16S rRNA gene in complete *Yersinia* genomes is above the threshold for species determination and should not affect species identification. The intragenomic heterogenicity of the species *Y. wautersii*, *Y. vastinensis*, *Y. artesiana*, *Y. proxima*, *Y. pekkanenii*, *Y. thracica*, and *Y. nurmii* is unknown due to the absence of complete genomes available from the NCBI database. Only one complete genome of *Y. aldovae*, *Y. aleksiciae*, *Y. alsatica*, *Y. entomophaga*, *Y. kristensenii*,

TABLE 4 Identification of mismatched *Yersinia* genomes.

Y. mollaretii, *Y. rohdei*, and *Y. similis* was available; other non-pathogenic species were presented with only a few complete genomes. However, in a small cohort of *Yersinia*, above 50% of genomes have four or more variants of the 16S rRNA gene. It was revealed that among 327 investigated draft genomes of non-pathogenic *Yersinia*, 11% did not have a full-length 16S rRNA gene. Generally, the gene consists of two separate parts or is not assembled at 100% length. One copy of 16S rRNA contains 287 (87.8%) draft genomes. Typically generated by WGS short reads (100–600 bp) related to repeat regions of the genome are assembled into one variant. Generally, the algorithm used for assembly software eliminates variable nucleotides of lower frequency. In this case, it was impossible to evaluate the intragenomic heterogenicity of the 16S rRNA gene because it was compiled from single reads from seven probable different copies.

The investigations of 16S rRNA revealed that homology of genes belonging to different bacterial species is very high [\(Hao et al., 2016](#page-12-21)). In our study, the average degree of gene homology in non-pathogenic

FIGURE 3

Average ANI values for all genomes of each species *Yersinia* were determined in relation to those strains belonging to other species.

Yersinia was 98.76%, and the maximum variability was 2.85%. This high homology could limit the use of 16S rRNA for species identification of these bacteria. The low degree of genetic heterogenicity of the gene (0.36%) was determined in group *Y. pekkanenii*/*Y. proxima*/*Y. aldovae*/ *Y. intermedia*/*Y. kristensenii*/*Y. rochesterensis*. Previously, it was reported that some bacterial species have identical 16S rRNA sequences [\(Rodriguez-R et al., 2018;](#page-13-15) [Hao et al., 2016](#page-12-21)). In our study, identical gene sequences were found in the genomes of the *Y. intermedia* and *Y. rochesterensis* strains identified using ANI and core SNPs analyses.

16S rRNA genes are considered as species specific markers for prokaryotes phylogenetic studies. The studies have confirmed the existence of 16S rRNA horizontal transfer between different species, as well as intergenomic and intragenomic recombination of 16S rRNA gene regions [\(Kitahara and Miyazaki, 2013;](#page-13-24) [Sun et al., 2013](#page-13-23)). All these facts indicate that using only the 16S rRNA gene for identification or phylogenetic studies is not rational.

In our study, the phylogenetic tree based on 16S rRNA genes differed from the tree based on core SNPs of the genomes. The phylogenetic

analysis based on core SNPs showed that three species, *Y. ruckeri*, *Y. entomophaga*, and *Y. nurmii*, form a separate clade of the genus *Yersinia*, which is consistent with other studies. *Y. ruckeri* clusters with part of the genomes of *Y. kristensenii*, whereas *Y. entomophaga* and *Y. nurmii* are grouped with *Y. vastinensis* on the 16S rRNA phylogenetic tree. The species *Y. vastinensis* was recently described and genetically related to *Y. frederiksenii* and *Y. alsatica*. However, these two species are in another group, with *Y. pseudotuberculosis*, *Y. pestis*, *Y. similis*, and *Y. wautersii*, according to the 16S rRNA-based phylogenetic tree. Based on the results of core SNPs and ANI analyses, the *Y. pseudotuberculosis* complex and *Y. similis* form a separate clade of the genus *Yersinia*, which is not related to other species.

The species *Y. aldovae*, *Y. intermedia*, *Y. kristensenii*, *Y. rochesterensis*, *Y. pekkanenii*, and *Y. proxima* have 99.64% homology with 16S rRNA genes and are grouped together in the tree. On the phylogenetic tree based on core SNPs, the species *Y. aldovae*, *Y. intermedia*, and *Y. pekkanenii* form a clade consisting of separated branches corresponding to each species. The closely related species *Y. kristensenii*, *Y. thracica*, and *Y. rochesterensis* were grouped together; *Y. proxima* was located with *Y. enterocolitica* and its related species, *Y. artesiana* and *Y. canariae*.

The 16S rRNA gene phylogenetic tree, shows that the *Y. kristensenii* strains are separated into two groups. The first group forms a separate branch within group 1a, and the second group is included in the third group of 1b, consisting of high homologous 16S rRNA sequences of *Y. pekkanenii*, *Y. proxima*, *Y. aldovae*, *Y. intermedia*, *Y. kristensenii*, and *Y. rochesterensis*. The 16S rRNA gene sequences of strains *Y. rohdei* 68/02 and *Y. rohdei* 3,343 are clustered separately from other gene sequences of *Y. rohdei* strains that are grouped with *Y. thracica*.

In addition, in the phylogenetic tree based on the 16S rRNA sequences, some gene copies from complete genomes are located very far from each other because of high variability. Six gene copies of *Y. frederiksenii* Y225 were clustered into group 1b, and the seventh into group 3a. Six gene copies of *Y. aldovae* IP07632 were clustered into group 1b, and one into group 3b.

In our study, species identification of non-pathogenic *Yersinia* using core SNPs was correlated with ANI results. These methods are based on whole genome comparisons and allow to determine species identification and the relationship between strains. For species identification of poorly studied bacteria or those with high 16S rRNA gene homology, such as non-pathogenic *Yersinia*, it is better to use a set of methods based on whole genome analysis.

WGS provides information about the whole genome, and in addition to species identification, it can be used to study phylogeny and, identify resistance genes and, virulence factors, as well as plasmids, prophages, and other significant genetic traits.

Although the 16S rRNA gene is not well suited for studying phylogenetic relationships, this method compared with WGS is not expensive, performs easier, and does not require special technical staff. Nevertheless, extensive experience has been accumulated in using 16S rRNA genes to determine species identity, and convenient databases exist for interpreting the data. Because of the presence of this gene in all known microorganisms and the

TABLE 5 Variability of 16S rRNA genes within non-pathogenic *Yersinia* species.

¹Two the same dominant variants of 16S rRNA gene.

existence of universal primers, the 16S rRNA gene is a suitable target for studying metagenomic communities.

In summary, the 16S rRNA gene is not the most appropriate candidate gene for the accurate identification of *Yersinia* species. This is due to several reasons. The first limitation is the poorly studied non-pathogenic *Yersinia* and not enough numbers of genomes or sequences of 16S rRNA genes in the available databases. Second, in some cases, the results are difficult to interpret due to small differences between the 16S rRNA genes of the *Yersinia* genus ([Clarridge, 2004](#page-12-4)). In our study, the average degree of gene homology was 98.76%. In some cases, identical 16S rRNA gene sequences correspond to different species [\(Rodriguez-R](#page-13-15) [et al., 2018\)](#page-13-15); in others, the distinction could be only one or a few nucleotides located outside the examined part of the gene. In addition, existing slightly different 16S rRNA gene variants within the species could be confused the researchers; especially if the species have limited available sequences or genomes in databases. The intragenomic heterogenicity of the 16S rRNA gene in complete *Yersinia* genomes exceeded the threshold for species determination and should not affect species identification. However, due to the small number of complete genomes in NCBI, this could not be clarified properly.

However, in a small cohort of *Yersinia* complete genomes, above 50% of them have four or more variants of the 16S rRNA

gene in each. In most of the investigated draft genomes (87.8%), only one copy of 16S rRNA gene was present. The most likely this sequence was compiled from different copies of the gene. Besides this the full-length assembled 16S rRNA gene was absent in 36 drafts (9%). In additional, the other identification mismatches could be related to the development of the genus *Yersinia* taxonomy when there is no time to change old species names or revise data.

In our case, we determined that it was better to use core SNPs or ANI for accurate species identification of *Yersinia* strains than to sequence the 16S rRNA gene. Using for this purpose the methods based on whole genome comparison let to avoid misidentification. Of course, performing of whole genome sequencing and bioinformatics analysis requires expensive equipment and professionals, but in ambiguous and controversial cases, this is the best method for species identification and determining the phylogenetic relationship among strains.

Data availability statement

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found in the article/[Supplementary material](#page-12-22).

Author contributions

AK: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Visualization, Writing – original draft. AS: Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Software, Validation, Writing – original draft. YS: Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Validation, Writing – original draft. SD: Methodology, Project administration, Supervision, Writing – review & editing. AA: Funding acquisition, Resources, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This research was funded by the Ministry of Science and Higher Education of the Russian Federation, agreement number 075-15-2019-1671.

Acknowledgments

We thank Lidia A. Shishkina and Viktor I. Solomentsev for assistance in genome sequencing.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Generative AI statement

The authors declare that no Gen AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

References

Abellan-Schneyder, I., Matchado, M. S., Reitmeier, S., Sommer, A., Sewald, Z., Baumbach, J., et al. (2021). Primer, pipelines, parameters: issues in 16S rRNA gene sequencing. *mSphere* 6:e01202-20. doi: [10.1128/mSphere.01202-20](https://doi.org/10.1128/mSphere.01202-20)

Achtman, M., Zurth, K., Morelli, G., Torrea, G., Guiyoule, A., and Carniel, E. (1999). *Yersinia pestis*, the cause of plague, is a recently emerged clone of *Yersinia pseudotuberculosis*. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 96, 14043–14048. doi: [10.1073/pnas.96.24.14043](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.96.24.14043)

Atkinson, S., and Williams, P. (2016). Yersinia virulence factors - a sophisticated arsenal for combating host defences. *F1000Res* 5:F1000 Faculty Rev-1370. doi: [10.12688/](https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.8466.1) [f1000research.8466.1](https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.8466.1)

Bankevich, A., Nurk, S., Antipov, D., Gurevich, A. A., Dvorkin, M., Kulikov, A. S., et al. (2012). SPAdes: a new genome assembly algorithm and its applications to single-cell sequencing. *J. Comput. Biol.* 19, 455–477. doi: [10.1089/cmb.2012.0021](https://doi.org/10.1089/cmb.2012.0021)

Caporaso, J. G., Lauber, C. L., Walters, W. A., Berg-Lyons, D., Huntley, J., Fierer, N., et al. (2012). Ultra-high-throughput microbial community analysis on the Illumina HiSeq and MiSeq platforms. *ISME J.* 6, 1621–1624. doi: [10.1038/ismej.2012.8](https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2012.8)

Chen, P. E., Cook, C., Stewart, A. C., Nagarajan, N., Sommer, D. D., Pop, M., et al. (2010). Genomic characterization of the *Yersinia* genus. *Genome Biol.* 11:R1. doi: [10.1186/gb-2010-11-1-r1](https://doi.org/10.1186/gb-2010-11-1-r1)

Church, D. L., Cerutti, L., Gürtler, A., Griener, T., Zelazny, A., and Emler, S. (2020). Performance and application of 16S rRNA gene cycle sequencing for routine identification of bacteria in the clinical microbiology laboratory. *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.* 33, e00053–e00019. doi: [10.1128/CMR.00053-19](https://doi.org/10.1128/CMR.00053-19)

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary material for this article can be found online at: [https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2024.1519733/](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2024.1519733/full#supplementary-material) [full#supplementary-material](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2024.1519733/full#supplementary-material)

SUPPLEMENTARY TABLE S1

The complete and draft genomes of *Yersinia* used in the study.

SUPPLEMENTARY TABLE S2

Sequencing technologies, assembly methods and NCBI Genome accession numbers of *Yersinia* complete genomes used in the study.

SUPPLEMENTARY TABLE S3

Pairwise comparisons of the 16S rRNA genes of the *Yersinia* genomes used in the study.

SUPPLEMENTARY TABLE S4

ANI pairwise for the *Yersinia* genomes used in the study.

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE S1

Neighbor-joining phylogenetic tree based on 644 aligned genes of the 16S rRNA genus *Yersinia*.

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE S2

Neighbor-joining phylogenetic tree based on 644 aligned genes of the 16S rRNA genus *Yersinia*. The branches composed of identical genes or genes with some nucleotide differences were compressed.

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE S3

Neighbor joining tree based on 9494 core SNPs in *Yersinia* genomes. This tree was rooted using midpoint option. The groups of genomes observed in the tree were identified according to the species names of the type strains.

Clarridge, J. E. 3rd. (2004). Impact of 16S rRNA gene sequence analysis for identification of bacteria on clinical microbiology and infectious diseases. *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.* 17, 840–862. doi: [10.1128/CMR.17.4.840-862.2004](https://doi.org/10.1128/CMR.17.4.840-862.2004)

Cole, J. R., Wang, Q., Fish, J. A., Chai, B., McGarrell, D. M., Sun, Y., et al. (2014). Ribosomal database project: data and tools for high throughput rRNA analysis. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 42, D633–D642. doi: [10.1093/nar/gkt1244](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkt1244)

Cunningham, S. A., Jeraldo, P., and Patel, R. (2019). *Yersinia kristensenii* subsp. *rochesterensis* subsp. nov., isolated from human feces. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 69, 2292–2298. doi: [10.1099/ijsem.0.003464](https://doi.org/10.1099/ijsem.0.003464)

Edgar, R. (2018). Taxonomy annotation and guide tree errors in 16S rRNA databases. *PeerJ* 6:e5030. doi: [10.7717/peerj.5030](https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.5030)

FigTree 1.4.3 (2017). Available at:<http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree>(Accessed March 12, 2024)

Gonzalez, E., Pitre, F. E., and Brereton, N. J. B. (2019). ANCHOR: a 16S rRNA gene amplicon pipeline for microbial analysis of multiple environmental samples. *Environ. Microbiol.* 21, 2440–2468. doi: [10.1111/1462-2920.14632](https://doi.org/10.1111/1462-2920.14632)

Hao, H., Liang, J., Duan, R., Chen, Y., Liu, C., Xiao, Y., et al. (2016). *Yersinia* spp. identification using copy diversity in the chromosomal 16S rRNA gene sequence. *PLoS One* 11:e0147639. doi: [10.1371/journal.pone.0147639](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0147639)

Hsieh, Y. P., Hung, Y. M., Tsai, M. H., Lai, L. C., and Chuang, E. Y. (2022). 16S-ITGDB: an integrated database for improving species classification of prokaryotic 16S ribosomal RNA sequences. *Front. Bioinform.* 2:905489. doi: [10.3389/fbinf.2022.905489](https://doi.org/10.3389/fbinf.2022.905489)

Jain, C., Rodriguez-R, L. M., Phillippy, A. M., Konstantinidis, K. T., and Aluru, S. (2018). High throughput ANI analysis of 90K prokaryotic genomes reveals clear species boundaries. *Nat. Commun.* 9:5114. doi: [10.1038/s41467-018-07641-9](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-07641-9)

Johnson, J. S., Spakowicz, D. J., Hong, B. Y., Petersen, L. M., Demkowicz, P., Chen, L., et al. (2019). Evaluation of 16S rRNA gene sequencing for species and strain-level microbiome analysis. *Nat. Commun.* 10:5029. doi: [10.1038/s41467-019-13036-1](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-13036-1)

Kitahara, K., and Miyazaki, K. (2013). Revisiting bacterial phylogeny: natural and experimental evidence for horizontal gene transfer of 16S rRNA. *Mob. Genet. Elements* 3:e24210. doi: [10.4161/mge.24210](https://doi.org/10.4161/mge.24210)

Le Guern, A. S., Savin, C., Angermeier, H., Brémont, S., Clermont, D., Mühle, E., et al. (2020). *Yersinia artesiana* sp. nov., *Yersinia proxima* sp. nov., *Yersinia alsatica* sp. nov., *Yersina vastinensis* sp. nov., *Yersinia thracica* sp. nov. and *Yersinia occitanica* sp. nov., isolated from humans and animals. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 70, 5363–5372. doi: [10.1099/ijsem.0.004417](https://doi.org/10.1099/ijsem.0.004417)

Mares, C. A., Lugo, F. P., Albataineh, M., Goins, B. A., Newton, I. G., Isberg, R. R., et al. (2021). Heightened virulence of *Yersinia* is associated with decreased function of the YopJ protein. *Infect. Immun.* 89:e0043021. doi: [10.1128/IAI.00430-21](https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.00430-21)

Mignard, S., and Flandrois, J. P. (2006). 16S rRNA sequencing in routine bacterial identification: a 30-month experiment. *J. Microbiol. Methods* 67, 574–581. doi: [10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mimet.2006.05.009) [mimet.2006.05.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mimet.2006.05.009)

Muhamad Rizal, N. S., Neoh, H. M., Ramli, R., A/L K Periyasamy, P. R., Hanafiah, A., Abdul Samat, M. N., et al. (2020). Advantages and limitations of 16S rRNA nextgeneration sequencing for pathogen identification in the diagnostic microbiology laboratory: perspectives from a middle-income country. *Diagnostics* 10:816. doi: [10.3390/diagnostics10100816](https://doi.org/10.3390/diagnostics10100816)

Nguyen, S. V., Greig, D. R., Hurley, D., Donoghue, O., Cao, Y., McCabe, E., et al. (2020a). *Yersinia canariae* sp. nov., isolated from a human yersiniosis case. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 70, 2382–2387. doi: [10.1099/ijsem.0.004047](https://doi.org/10.1099/ijsem.0.004047)

Nguyen, S. V., Muthappa, D. M., Eshwar, A. K., Buckley, J. F., Murphy, B. P., Stephan, R., et al. (2020b). Comparative genomic insights into *Yersinia hibernica* - a commonly misidentified *Yersinia enterocolitica*-like organism. *Microb. Genom.* 6:mgen000411. doi: [10.1099/mgen.0.000411](https://doi.org/10.1099/mgen.0.000411)

Park, S. C., and Won, S. (2018). Evaluation of 16S rRNA databases for taxonomic assignments using mock community. *Genomics Inform.* 16:e24. doi: [10.5808/](https://doi.org/10.5808/GI.2018.16.4.e24) [GI.2018.16.4.e24](https://doi.org/10.5808/GI.2018.16.4.e24)

Reuter, S., Connor, T. R., Barquist, L., Walker, D., Feltwell, T., Harris, S. R., et al. (2014). Parallel independent evolution of pathogenicity within the genus *Yersinia*. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 111, 6768–6773. doi: [10.1073/pnas.1317161111](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1317161111)

Riesco, R., and Trujillo, M. E. (2024). Update on the proposed minimal standards for the use of genome data for the taxonomy of prokaryotes. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 74:006300. doi: [10.1099/ijsem.0.006300](https://doi.org/10.1099/ijsem.0.006300)

Rodriguez-R, L. M., Castro, J. C., Kyrpides, N. C., Cole, J. R., Tiedje, J. M., and Konstantinidis, K. T. (2018). How much do rRNA gene surveys underestimate extant bacterial diversity? *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 84, e00014–e00018. doi: [10.1128/AEM.00014-18](https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.00014-18)

Savin, C., Criscuolo, A., Guglielmini, J., Le Guern, A. S., Carniel, E., Pizarro-Cerdá, J., et al. (2019). Genus-wide *Yersinia* core-genome multilocus sequence typing for species identification and strain characterization. *Microb. Genom.* 5:e000301. doi: [10.1099/](https://doi.org/10.1099/mgen.0.000301) [mgen.0.000301](https://doi.org/10.1099/mgen.0.000301)

Snippy (2015). Available at: <https://github.com/tseemann/snippy> (Accessed March 12, 2024).

SplitsTree4 (1996). Available at: <https://github.com/husonlab/splitstree4> (Accessed March 12, 2024).

Srinivasan, R., Karaoz, U., Volegova, M., MacKichan, J., Kato-Maeda, M., Miller, S., et al. (2015). Use of 16S rRNA gene for identification of a broad range of clinically relevant bacterial pathogens. *PLoS One* 10:e0117617. doi: [10.1371/journal.pone.0117617](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0117617)

Sulakvelidze, A. (2000). *Yersiniae* other than *Y. enterocolitica*, *Y. pseudotuberculosis*, and *Y. pestis*: the ignored species. *Microbes Infect.* 2, 497–513. doi: [10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/s1286-4579(00)00311-7) [s1286-4579\(00\)00311-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/s1286-4579(00)00311-7)

Sun, D. L., Jiang, X., Wu, Q. L., and Zhou, N. Y. (2013). Intragenomic heterogeneity of 16S rRNA genes causes overestimation of prokaryotic diversity. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 79, 5962–5969. doi: [10.1128/AEM.01282-13](https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.01282-13)

Tamura, K., Stecher, G., and Kumar, S. (2021). MEGA11: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis version 11. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 38, 3022–3027. doi: [10.1093/](https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msab120) [molbev/msab120](https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msab120)

Tatusova, T., Ciufo, S., Federhen, S., Fedorov, B., McVeigh, R., O'Neill, K., et al. (2015). Update on RefSeq microbial genomes resources. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 43, D599–D605. doi: [10.1093/nar/gku1062](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gku1062)

van Baarlen, P., van Belkum, A., Summerbell, R. C., Crous, P. W., and Thomma, B. P. (2007). Molecular mechanisms of pathogenicity: how do pathogenic microorganisms develop cross-kingdom host jumps? *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.* 31, 239–277. doi: [10.1111/j.1574-6976.2007.00065.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6976.2007.00065.x)

Van Ert, M. N., Easterday, W. R., Huynh, L. Y., Okinaka, R. T., Hugh-Jones, M. E., Ravel, J., et al. (2007). Global genetic population structure of *Bacillus anthracis*. *PLoS One* 2:e461. doi: [10.1371/journal.pone.0000461](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0000461)

Watts, G. S., Youens-Clark, K., Slepian, M. J., Wolk, D. M., Oshiro, M. M., Metzger, G. S., et al. (2017). 16S rRNA gene sequencing on a benchtop sequencer: accuracy for identification of clinically important bacteria. *J. Appl. Microbiol.* 123, 1584–1596. doi: [10.1111/jam.13590](https://doi.org/10.1111/jam.13590)

Wick, R. R., Judd, L. M., Gorrie, C. L., and Holt, K. E. (2017). Unicycler: resolving bacterial genome assemblies from short and long sequencing reads. *PLoS Comput. Biol.* 13:e1005595. doi: [10.1371/journal.pcbi.1005595](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1005595)