#### Check for updates

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY Axel Cloeckaert, Institut National de recherche pour l'agriculture, l'alimentation et l'environnement (INRAE), France

REVIEWED BY Franco Harald Falcone, University of Giessen, Germany Sujeet Kumar, The Ohio State University, United States

\*CORRESPONDENCE Karina Persson 🖾 karina.persson@umu.se

RECEIVED 16 October 2024 ACCEPTED 25 November 2024 PUBLISHED 19 December 2024

#### CITATION

Jaiman D and Persson K (2024) Structural and functional analysis of the *Helicobacter pylori* lipoprotein chaperone LolA. *Front. Microbiol.* 15:1512451. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2024.1512451

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Jaiman and Persson. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Structural and functional analysis of the *Helicobacter pylori* lipoprotein chaperone LolA

### Deepika Jaiman<sup>1,2</sup> and Karina Persson<sup>1,2</sup>\*

<sup>1</sup>Umeå Centre for Microbial Research (UCMR), Umeå University, Umeå, Sweden, <sup>2</sup>Department of Chemistry, Umeå University, Umeå, Sweden

Lipoproteins are crucial for maintaining the structural integrity of bacterial membranes. In Gram-negative bacteria, the localization of lipoprotein (Lol) system facilitates the transport of these proteins from the inner membrane to the outer membrane. In *Helicobacter pylori*, an  $\varepsilon$ -proteobacterium, lipoprotein transport differs significantly from the canonical and well-studied system in *Escherichia coli*, particularly due to the absence of LolB and the use of a LolF homodimer instead of the LolCE heterodimer. This study presents the crystal structure of the *H. pylori* lipoprotein chaperone LolA (LolA-HP) and its interaction with lipopeptide antibiotics such as polymyxin B and colistin. Isothermal titration calorimetry revealed that, unlike LolA from *Vibrio cholerae* and *Porphyromonas gingivalis*, LolA-HP has a deeper hydrophobic cleft but lacks the negative electrostatic potential critical for binding polymyxins. These findings offer insights into the structural diversity of LolA across bacterial species and its potential as a target for antibacterial agents.

#### KEYWORDS

lipoprotein transport, LolA, polymyxin, ITC, crystal structure, ɛ-proteobacteria

### Introduction

Gram-negative bacteria are characterized by a unique double-membrane structure consisting of an inner membrane (IM) and an outer membrane (OM), with a periplasmic space enriched with peptidoglycans between them. Both membranes are lipid bilayers in which a wide variety of associated and integral membrane proteins are embedded. Among the OM proteins, porins, structured as  $\beta$ -barrels, facilitate the passive transport of molecules across the membrane (Rollauer et al., 2015). The outer leaflet of the OM is mainly composed of glycolipids and lipopolysaccharides, which serve as a protective barrier and communication interface for the bacteria, whereas the inner leaflet consists of phospholipids. In contrast, both leaflets of the IM consist of phospholipids, and the proteins that span the IM predominantly adopt helical structures, performing critical functions such as translocating proteins from the cytoplasm (Orfanoudaki and Economou, 2014; Green and Mecsas, 2016), mediating metabolite exchange, and driving the electron transport chain to generate energy (Wallin and von Heijne, 1998). Both membranes also contain lipoproteins, which are synthesized as pre-lipoproteins in the cytoplasm, transported over the IM (Green and Mecsas, 2016), and anchored to the lipid bilayer through acyl chains covalently attached to a conserved cysteine residue. This anchoring process involves several enzymatic steps: diacylglycerol transferase (Lgt) catalyzes the attachment of a diacylglyceryl to the sulfhydryl of the cysteine (Sankaran and Wu, 1994) resulting in a diacylated protein, and signal peptidase II (LspA) removes the signal peptide, exposing the acylated cysteine as the first residue (Vogeley et al., 2016). In many bacteria, such as Escherichia coli, the enzyme apolipoprotein N-acyltransferase (Lnt) further acylates the free amino group of the cysteine, resulting in a fully matured lipoprotein with

three acyl chains (Hillmann et al., 2011). The fate of the lipoprotein, whether retained in the IM or transported to the OM, depends on a sorting motif after the acylated cysteine. In the case of OM-targeted lipoproteins, the localization of lipoprotein (Lol) system plays a key role (Narita et al., 2004; Okuda and Tokuda, 2011). This system, particularly well-studied in E. coli, consists of the ABC transporter complex, LolCDE. In this complex, LolE extracts the lipoprotein from the IM and transfers it to the chaperone LolA, which is bound to the periplasmic domain of LolC. A dimer of LolD is located on the cytoplasmic side of the LolCE dimer and provides energy to this process via its ATPase activity (Figure 1). LolA then forms a complex with the lipoprotein, transports it across the periplasm and delivers it to LolB, a recipient protein, which itself is a lipoprotein, anchored to the OM. In the next step, LolB transfers the acyl chains of the lipoprotein to the inner leaflet of the OM (Narita et al., 2004; Okuda and Tokuda, 2011). Notably, LolB has only been identified in  $\beta$ - and  $\gamma$ -proteobacteria and appears to be absent in all other bacterial classes. In the  $\alpha$ -proteobacteria, *Caulobacter vibrioides*, LolA (LolA-CV) has been shown to perform both transport and insertion of lipoproteins into the OM (Smith et al., 2023).

*Helicobacter pylori* is an  $\varepsilon$ -proteobacterium known for colonizing the human gastric mucosa and for contributing to conditions such as peptic ulcers and gastric inflammation (Suerbaum and Michetti, 2002; Nomura et al., 2002). *H. pylori* harbors approximately 40 lipoproteins, including CagT, a component of the type IV secretion system that secretes the oncoprotein CagA (Babu et al., 2006; Backert et al., 2015). Despite its reliance on functional lipoprotein transport, the Lol system in *H. pylori* differs significantly from the canonical system in *E. coli*. Specifically, *H. pylori* lacks the LolB receptor protein at the OM and, instead of the LolCE dimer at the IM utilizes a homodimeric LolF (Figure 1), which combines the functions of LolC and LolE (LoVullo et al., 2015). This divergence underscores the critical role of acylation and transport in maintaining bacterial membrane integrity, making these processes attractive targets for antibacterial drug development.

Inhibiting components of the Lol system, particularly LolCDE in E. coli, has been explored as a potential strategy for novel antimicrobial agents (Nickerson et al., 2018; Caro et al., 2019). Additionally, LolA has been implicated in the transport of polymyxins-lipopeptide antibiotics used as a last-resort treatment-across the periplasm to the IM (Weerakoon et al., 2021; Pedebos et al., 2021). Previous studies have shown that LolA from bacteria such as Porphyromonas gingivalis and Vibrio cholerae bind polymyxin B, while LolB from V. cholerae does not (Jaiman et al., 2023). To assess the potential of LolA as a broad-spectrum antibacterial target, it is essential to investigate its structure and binding properties across different bacterial classes. If LolA exhibits conserved binding mechanisms, it could serve as a universal target for Gram-negative bacteria. However, structural variability might limit its applicability to specific bacterial species. While crystal structures of LolA have been determined for several γ-proteobacteria, including Pseudomonas aeruginosa, E. coli, Yersinia pestis, and V. cholerae (Jaiman et al., 2023; Remans et al., 2010; Takeda et al., 2003), as well as the Bacteroidota P. gingivalis (Jaiman et al., 2023), structural studies on other bacterial classes remain limited. Additionally, LolA from E. coli (LolA-EC) has been studied in complex with LolC both using crystallography and cryo-electron microscopy (Kaplan et al., 2018; Tang et al., 2021). These studies were recently supplemented with the crystal structure of LoIA-EC in complex with a triacylated peptide, offering valuable insights into complex formation and function (Kaplan et al., 2022). The recent determination of a LolA-LolB complex in Xanthomonas campestris (y-proteobacteria)



Lipidation and transport of lipoproteins. The prelipoprotein is transported over the IM and the signal peptide is removed. Three acyl chains are added to the N-terminal cysteine which anchors the protein to the membrane. In *E. coli* (left), the lipoprotein is recognized by the LolCED<sub>2</sub> which transfers it to the chaperone protein LolA. Next, the lipoprotein will be delivered to LolB, which in turn will transfer it to the OM. In *H. pylori* (right) the LolCE heterodimer is exchanged for a LolF<sub>2</sub> dimer. A protein corresponding to LolB has not been found in *H. pylori*. The figure was created with BioRender. com.

(Furlanetto and Divne, 2023) further highlights the diversity of the Lol system. In this study, we present the crystal structure of LolA from *H. pylori* (LolA-HP) and examine its interaction with lipopeptide antibiotics. Our findings provide a foundation for future drug discovery efforts targeting the Lol system across diverse classes of Gram-negative bacteria.

## Materials and methods

# Cloning, overexpression, and purification of LolA

The *lolA* gene from *H. pylori* strain J99 (GenBank AAD06296) was PCR amplified from genomic DNA (primer sequences are presented in Supplementary Table S1). Primers were designed not to include the signal peptide residues 1-19. The lolA PCR product was digested with NcoI/EcoRI and ligated into equivalent sites of pET-His1a, in-frame with a tag with sequence MKHHHHHH PMSDYDIPTTENLYFQGAM followed by LolA residues 20-184. The protein was overexpressed in E. coli BL21 (DE3) cells in LB medium at  $37^{\circ}C$  and induced with 0.5 mM isopropyl  $\beta$ -d-1thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG). The cells were harvested by centrifugation, and the pellets were stored at  $-80^{\circ}$ C until further use. The cell pellets were resuspended in buffer (50 mM sodium phosphate pH 7.6, 0.3 M NaCl) containing 10 mM imidazole and supplemented with 1% Triton X-100. After sonication on ice, the lysate was centrifuged (63,000×g for 20 min). The supernatant was incubated with His60 Ni-resin (Takara Bio). The Ni-resin was washed with the same buffer containing 30 mM imidazole and transferred to an Econo-Pac column (Bio-Rad), from which the protein was eluted with a buffer containing 0.3 M imidazole. The histidine tag was removed by incubation with ~1% (w/w) TEV protease overnight at +4°C at reducing conditions. The buffer was exchanged (50 mM sodium phosphate pH 7.6, 0.2 M NaCl), and the protein was passed over the Ni column again. The flow-through fractions were concentrated and further purified by gel filtration (HiLoadTM 16/60 Superdex™200 prep-grade column (GE Healthcare)) equilibrated with 20 mM Tris pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl. LolA from P. gingivalis and V. cholerae were purified as described previously (Jaiman et al., 2023). The purity of the proteins is shown in Supplementary Figure S3.

### Crystallization and structure determination

LolA was screened for crystals with crystallization screens from Molecular Dimensions using sitting-drop vapor diffusion at room temperature in 96-well MRC-crystallization plates (Molecular Dimensions). Droplets of 0.2  $\mu$ L protein were mixed with 0.1  $\mu$ L of mother liquor using a Mosquito (TTP Labtech) pipetting robot. The protein concentration was 105 mg/mL. Crystals were obtained from the MIDAS Plus screen in several conditions. The crystal used for structure determination was grown in 50% pentaerythritol propoxylate and 0.1 M Tris pH 8, flash-cooled in liquid nitrogen, and stored until data collection. Diffraction data were collected remotely at 100 K on beamline ID30B operated by the European Synchrotron Radiation Facility (ESRF), Grenoble, France. The diffraction images were automatically processed with XDS (Kabsch, 2010), and data reduction was performed using Aimless (Evans and Murshudov, 2013) from the CCP4 package (Winn et al., 2011). The structure of LolA-HP was solved by molecular replacement using Phaser (McCoy, 2007) and a search model produced by AlphaFold (Jumper et al., 2021). Rounds of refinement and model building were performed using phenix.refine (Afonine et al., 2012) and COOT (Emsley et al., 2010). Figures were prepared using CCP4MG (Schrodinger, LLC, 2015; McNicholas et al., 2011) and BioRender. Data processing and refinement statistics are presented in Supplementary Table S2.

## Sequence alignments

The protein sequences of LolA from *H. pylori* (WP\_000643052), *E. coli* (WP\_247094763), *V. cholerae* (EHU6507077), *P. gingivalis* (WP\_012457548), *X. campestris* (WP\_000643052), and *C. vibrioides* (WP\_096034663) were pairwise aligned using Clustal Omega (Madeira et al., 2024) to calculate the percentage similarity. All sequences were further aligned with T-Coffee (Floden et al., 2016) and visualized with Espript3 (Robert and Gouet, 2014).

# Isothermal titration calorimetry experiments

Isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC) experiments were conducted as described previously (Jaiman et al., 2023). In short, the experiments were performed at 25°C using a MicroCal auto-iTC200 instrument in a buffer containing 20 mM HEPES pH 7.5 and 150 mM NaCl. For interactions involving LoIA-HP, LoIA-VC, LoIA-PG, and polymyxin B/colistin/nonapeptide, the stirring speed was maintained at 1000 rpm.

Control experiments were performed for both the proteins and polymyxin derivatives by injecting ligands at the same concentrations used in the tests into the buffer. The resulting data were subtracted from the corresponding interaction data using a linear fit. Raw data were analyzed with the MicroCal PEAQ-ITC software, applying a single-site binding model for all datasets. Polymyxin B, colistin, and nonapeptide were obtained from Merck.

# Results

# Overall architecture of LolA from *H. pylori* and comparison with other LolA proteins

LolA from *H. pylori* was overexpressed, and the protein was purified and crystallized. After X-ray diffraction data were collected, the structure of LolA-HP was determined with molecular replacement using a search model obtained from AlphaFold (Jumper et al., 2021). The structure was refined to 2.05 Å with final  $R_{work}$  and  $R_{free}$  values of 20.2 and 25.2%, respectively (Supplementary Table S2).

Despite low sequence similarities comparing LolA-HP with other LolA proteins—ranging from 26% comparing LolA-HP and LolA-EC to 19% comparing LolA-HP and LolA-PG (Table 1)—the overall structures are more similar with a root mean square deviation (RMSD) of 2.92 Å for the LolA-HP-LolA-PG pair. Similar to other LolA structures, LolA-HP comprises a curved antiparallel

#### TABLE 1 Pairwise sequence alignments.

Sequence identity %	LolA-EC (1IWL)	LolA-VC (8CHX)	LolA-HP (9GTX)	LolA-PG (8CGM)	LolA-XC (8ORN)	LolA-CV (model)
LolA-EC (1IWL)	100	39	26	22	26	18
LolA-VC (8CHX)		100	24	26	31	23
LolA-HP (9GTX)			100	19	22	22
LolA-PG (8CGM)				100	25	24
LolA-XC (8ORN)					100	23
LolA-CV (model)						100

The protein sequences of LolA from *E. coli* (EC), *V. cholerae* (VC), *H. pylori* (HP), *P. gingivalis* (PG), *X. campestris* (XC), and *C. vibrioides* (CV) were pairwise aligned using clustal omega. The PDB codes are shown when applicable.



 $\beta$ -sheet that consists of 12 strands (Figure 2). The last strand,  $\beta$ 12, links to  $\beta$ 11 through an elongated segment that extends along the outwardly curved surface of the  $\beta$ -sheet. The concave side of the sheet forms a hydrophobic cleft, shielded from the adjacent solvent by the presence of a helix ( $\alpha$ 2) and an extended loop region between  $\beta$ 6 and  $\alpha$ 2. The base of this cleft is formed by the N-terminal helix,  $\alpha 1.$  In LolA-EC and other studied LolA structures, there is an additional helix that protects the cleft, which is equivalent to the extended β6α3 loop in LolA-HP. The LolA structures studied so far, have a conserved proline in connection to the helices in the cleft which is likely to restrict their flexibility. In LolA-HP there is a proline (P99) at the end of  $\beta 6$  that precedes the extended region, but no other prolines are present in the segment that fills the cleft (Supplementary Figure S1). This results in a more accessible and deep binding cleft, 709 Å<sup>3</sup> compared to 36 Å<sup>3</sup> in LolA-PG (PDB 8CGM) (Jaiman et al., 2023), the very shallow clefts of 12 Å<sup>3</sup> in LolA-EC (PDB 1IWL) (Takeda et al., 2003), and 0.15 Å<sup>3</sup> in LolA-VC (PDB 8CHX) (Figure 3 and Supplementary Figure S7). The volumes were calculated with CastP (Tian et al., 2018). There is unmodeled electron density in the LolA-HP binding cleft (Supplementary Figure S4) that we hypothesize belongs to the crystallization precipitant pentaerythritol propoxylate.

### Conserved residues in LolA and LolB

The sequence similarity between LolA from different bacteria is generally low but highly conserved within different *H. pylori* strains (Table 1 and Supplementary Figures 1, 2). In our comparison, LolA-EC and LolA-VC, which share 39% sequence similarity, are the most similar. Both are  $\gamma$ -proteobacteria and share an Arg-Pro motif, located on the  $\beta 2\beta 3$  loop, which, in *E. coli*, has been suggested to be important for lipoprotein binding and delivery to LolB (Kaplan et al., 2022). In contrast, LolA-HP, which is reported not to have a LolB receptor instead features an Ala-Pro sequence in the corresponding loop. This motif resembles LolA from *X. campestris*, (LolA-XC), a  $\gamma$ -proteobacterium, which has a Thr-Pro at the same position. Notably, LolA-XC has a LolB partner to which it binds effectively despite the absence of the arginine residue (Furlanetto and Divne, 2023). Studies on LolB from *E. coli* (LolB-EC), have shown that a conserved leucine



TABLE 2	ITC data fo	<sup>r</sup> colistin,	polymyxin,	nonapeptide,	and LolA proteins.
---------	-------------	------------------------	------------	--------------	--------------------

Protein	Kd (Colistin)	Kd (Polymyxin B)	Kd (Nonapeptide)
LolA-PG	33 µM	14 µM	Weak
LolA-VC	91 µM	56 µM	Weak
LolA-HP	No binding	No binding	No binding



that is exposed on the loop that connects strands  $\beta$ 3 and  $\beta$ 4 is crucial for lipoprotein delivery to the OM (Hayashi et al., 2014). This leucine is also found in the same position in LolB-VC; however, LolB-XC instead has a valine in the same position. The extensive sequence variability across species and the lack of conservation in motifs suggest that generalizations about specific residues being essential for certain functions may not be valid.

# Binding to polymyxin B, colistin, and nonapeptide

Polymyxins are cyclic cationic lipopeptide antibiotics that disrupt bacterial membranes through electrostatic interactions with lipid A. It has been proposed that LolA transports the lipid tail of polymyxin across the periplasm to the IM (Weerakoon et al., 2021; Pedebos et al., 2021). Previous ITC studies showed that LolA-VC and LolA-PG bind polymyxin B with dissociation constants (Kd) of 56  $\mu$ M and 14  $\mu$ M, respectively, while LolB-VC binds very poorly (Jaiman et al., 2023). In this study, the experiments were repeated to also include colistin, nonapeptide (polymyxin B without acyl chain) (Supplementary Figure S5), and LolA-HP. The dissociation constants are shown in Table 2 and Supplementary Figure S6. In contrast to LolA-VC and Unlike LolA-VC and LolA-PG, LolA-HP showed only non-reproducible results, indicating no or very weak binding to all tested compounds.

Analysis of the electrostatic properties of LolA-PG, LolA-VC, and LolA-HP illustrated that LolA-PG exhibits the most pronounced negative electrostatic potential at the mouth of the binding cleft, followed by LolA-VC with moderate negative potential, and LolA-HP, which primarily contains neutral residues (Figure 4 and Supplementary Figure S7). This variation in electrostatic potential may account for the observed differences in affinity for the positively charged substances, where LolA-PG has a higher affinity for both colistin and polymyxin B compared to LolA-VC whereas LolA-HP exhibits no binding. Neither of the tested proteins shows strong interaction with nonapeptide.

## Discussion

Helicobacter pylori belongs to the class  $\varepsilon$ -proteobacteria, and its Lol machinery exhibits significant differences compared to the

well-characterized system in *E. coli*, which represents the class  $\gamma$ -proteobacteria. In *E. coli*, the IM complex LolCDE plays a crucial role: LolE extracts lipoproteins from the membrane, while LolC transfers them to LolA. Conversely, *H. pylori* utilizes a LolF dimer that integrates both of these functions (LoVullo et al., 2015; McClain et al., 2020). In both organisms, a LolD dimer located on the cytoplasmic side provides energy through its ATPase activity.

Additionally, E. coli and other y-proteobacteria, feature LolB, a lipoprotein anchored to the inner leaflet of the OM, which receives the lipoprotein cargo from LolA. In this transfer, LolA and LolB are hypothesized to interact through a mouth-to-mouth mechanism, driven by electrostatic attraction between the negatively charged LolA and the positively charged LolB, during which the acyl groups of the lipoprotein bound to LolA slide to the binding cleft of LolB (Okuda and Tokuda, 2009). Subsequently, by an unknown mechanism, the acyl chains are transferred from LolB to the lipid bilayer resulting in the anchoring of the lipoprotein to the membrane. It has been shown that an exposed and conserved Leu in the loop between  $\beta$ 3 and  $\beta$ 4 in LolB-EC is critical for its ability to anchor lipoproteins (Hayashi et al., 2014). This Leu is not completely conserved in all  $\gamma$ -proteobacteria; instead, X. campestris has a valine in the same position and the crystal structure of its LolA-LolB complex clearly shows that the loop containing the valine is deeply buried in the binding cleft of LolA, in close contact with many hydrophobic residues. Interestingly, a modeled structure of LolA from the  $\alpha$ -proteobacteria *C. vibrioides*, (LolA-CV), a bacterium that does not have a LolB protein, also shows an exposed leucine, but in a long loop connecting strands  $\beta 8$  and  $\beta 9$ . It has been demonstrated that LoIA-CV can compensate for the functions of both LolA and LolB in *E. coli*  $\Delta lolA$  and  $\Delta lolB$  deletion mutants and that this leucine is particularly important (Smith et al., 2023). Hence, LolA-CV has dual roles which indicates that dual functionality is a possible solution for lipoprotein membrane association in bacteria that lack the LolB protein. H. pylori does not have a LolB and indeed LolA-HP intriguingly possesses a leucine (Leu74), in the same position as LolB-EC, suggesting that LolA-HP also might fulfill a dual function. However, this calls for a more extensive study as leucines are present on several loops in LolA-HP: Leu46 on the  $\beta 1\beta 2$  loop, Leu74 on the  $\beta 3\beta 4$  loop, and Leu92 on loop β5β6.

An alternative hypothesis regarding lipoprotein insertion into the membrane is that certain bacterial species may have evolved other proteins with the capacity to receive lipoproteins at the OM, yet these proteins are too divergent in sequence from the reference protein LolB-EC to be detected via conventional sequence analysis. This could represent an instance of convergent evolution, where proteins with different structures result in the same outcome. For example, *Bacteroides fragilis*, which lacks the Lnt enzyme, nonetheless exhibits triacylated lipoproteins. In this case, an enzyme named lipoprotein *N*-acyltransferase in *Bacteroides* (Lnb) was recently discovered and characterized, displaying similar catalytic activity as Lnt despite a different predicted structure (Armbruster et al., 2024). Further investigation is required to explore these alternatives in greater detail.

In the LolA protein of *E. coli*, an Arg-Pro motif located between  $\beta 2$  and  $\beta 3$  has been extensively analyzed. The arginine residue within this motif, which faces the base of the binding cleft, is critical for both the binding of the triacylated lipoproteins and their delivery to LolB. Sequence analysis (Supplementary Figure S1) shows that the

Arg-Pro motif is relatively conserved in  $\beta$ -and  $\gamma$ -proteobacteria and is suggested to be associated with the presence of a LolB protein for interaction. Again, *X. campestris* is an exception as it has a Thr-Pro in the equivalent position. Despite these differences, LolA and LolB in *X. campestris* interact with a similar dissociation constant (Kd) as the *E. coli* LolA-LolB pair (14.6  $\mu$ M vs. 30.6  $\mu$ M) and is the only LolA– LolB pair that has been co-crystallized (Furlanetto and Divne, 2023; Nakada et al., 2009).

In LolA-HP, this motif is replaced by an Ala-Pro motif (Ala62, Pro63), and the space that would be occupied by the arginine side chain in LolA-EC is instead filled by a phenylalanine side chain (Phe107) on the  $\alpha$ 3-helix. Intriguingly, LolA-CV, which has been reported to have dual LolA-LolB functions has a classical Arg-Pro motif. The variation does however indicate that the sequence conservation is not strictly maintained even within the same phylum and that the Lol system is very variable.

Lol proteins are considered promising drug targets because the transport of lipoproteins is vital for the biogenesis of the membrane and the subsequent survival of Gram-negative bacteria. The main focus has been on targeting the IM protein complex LolCDE. The initial hits pyridinepyrazole (Breidenstein et al., 2024) and pyridineimidazole (Nayar et al., 2015; McLeod et al., 2015) have recently been developed into a promising substance, lolamicin, that selectively spares the gut bacteria (Muñoz et al., 2024). The soluble LolA was predicted to bind and transport acylated peptides such as the antibiotic polymyxin (Weerakoon et al., 2021; Pedebos et al., 2021), and we could indeed show experimentally that both LolA-VC and LolA-PG bind the substance (Jaiman et al., 2023). In the present study, using ITC, we demonstrated that LolA-VC and LolA-PG also bind the lipopeptide colistin with dissociation constants in the micromolar range. They also exhibit weak binding to nonapeptide, which has the same peptide backbone as polymyxin B but lacks the acyl tail. Hence the acyl part of the polymyxins is most important for binding to LolA but also the peptide part contributes to binding (Table 2).

However, binding is not a universal trait for all LolA proteins as LolA-HP does not bind polymyxin B, colistin, or nonapeptide. Comparative analysis of the electrostatic surface potentials of various LolA proteins reveals notable variability. For instance, LolA from E. coli, V. cholerae, and P. gingivalis mainly display negative electrostatic potential around the mouth of the binding cleft. In contrast, LolA from H. pylori and the modeled structure of LolA from C. vibrioides predominantly feature a more hydrophobic, non-polar environment around the binding cleft mouth. This observation aligns with the fact that the tested molecules carry a positive charge, which would preferentially interact with the negatively charged surfaces of LolA-VC and LolA-PG. This difference in electrostatic properties suggests that the binding affinities of LolA proteins are influenced by their surface potentials, which could be exploited in the design of selective antibacterial agents targeting specific Gram-negative pathogens (Figure 4 and Supplementary Figure S7).

Lol proteins are regarded as promising drug targets due to their critical role in lipoprotein transport, which is essential for membrane biogenesis and the survival of Gram-negative bacteria. Despite the low sequence similarity, the high structural conservation of LolA across various bacterial species (Table 1) highlights the potential for designing antibacterial agents that selectively target LolA components in specific pathogens. This approach, similar to the selective binding of lolamicin to pathogenic bacteria, could minimize the impact on commensal organisms (Muñoz et al., 2024). This highlights the importance of studying a wide variety of bacteria to understand what will work.

Our findings significantly enhance and expand the current understanding of lipoprotein transfer diversity in Gram-negative bacteria. Furthermore, they reveal that homologous proteins, which serve as potential drug targets, may exhibit varying affinities for antibacterial agents despite having highly similar biological functions. These findings underscore the vast knowledge that remains to be uncovered regarding the diversity of biological systems in bacteria.

### Data availability statement

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found below: http://www.wwpdb.org/, 9GTX.

## Author contributions

DJ: Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Methodology, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. KP: Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing.

## Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. K.P. received support from the Swedish Research Council (2016-05009) and the Kempe Foundation (JCSMK23-0215). D.J. received support from the Kempe Foundation and Stiftelsen JC Kempes Minnes Stipendiefond.

## References

Rollauer, S. E., Sooreshjani, M. A., Noinaj, N., and Buchanan, S. K. (2015). Outer membrane protein biogenesis in gram-negative bacteria. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. Ser. B Biol. Sci.* 370:20150023. doi: 10.1098/rstb.2015.0023

Afonine, P. V., Grosse-Kunstleve, R. W., Echols, N., Headd, J. J., Moriarty, N. W., Mustyakimov, M., et al. (2012). Towards automated crystallographic structure refinement with phenix.refine. *Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr.* 68, 352–367. doi: 10.1107/S0907444912001308

Armbruster, K. M., Jiang, J., Sartorio, M. G., Scott, N. E., Peterson, J. M., Sexton, J. Z., et al. (2024). Identification and characterization of the lipoprotein N-acyltransferase in Bacteroides. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 121:e2410909121. doi: 10.1073/pnas.2410909121

Babu, M. M., Priya, M. L., Selvan, A. T., Madera, M., Gough, J., Aravind, L., et al. (2006). A database of bacterial lipoproteins (DOLOP) with functional assignments to predicted lipoproteins. *J. Bacteriol.* 188, 2761–2773. doi: 10.1128/JB.188.8.2761-2773.2006

Backert, S., Tegtmeyer, N., and Fischer, W. (2015). Composition, structure and function of the *Helicobacter pylori* cag pathogenicity island encoded type IV secretion system. *Future Microbiol.* 10, 955–965. doi: 10.2217/fmb.15.32

# Acknowledgments

This study was performed within the Umeå Centre for Microbial Research. We thank Thomas Borén for the *H. pylori* genomic DNA, Mikael Lindberg at the Protein Expertise Platform, Umeå University for help with construct design and cloning, and Amanda Bahngoura for initial protein purification and crystallization. The X-ray diffraction data were collected from beamline ID30B operated by the European Synchrotron Radiation Facility (ESRF), Grenoble, France. We thank beamline scientists for their support.

# **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

# **Generative AI statement**

The author(s) verify and take full responsibility for the use of generative AI in the preparation of this manuscript. ChatGPT was used to correct the grammar and spelling.

# Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

# Supplementary material

The Supplementary material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2024.1512451/ full#supplementary-material

Breidenstein, E. B. M., Khan, N., Duffy, T., Coward, C., Avis, T., Abdulle, O., et al. (2024). SMT-738: a novel small-molecule inhibitor of bacterial lipoprotein transport targeting Enterobacteriaceae. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 68:e0069523. doi: 10.1128/aac.00695-23

Caro, F., Place, N. M., and Mekalanos, J. J. (2019). Analysis of lipoprotein transport depletion in *Vibrio cholerae* using CRISPRi. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 116, 17013–17022. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1906158116

Emsley, P., Lohkamp, B., Scott, W. G., and Cowtan, K. (2010). Features and development of Coot. *Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr.* 66, 486–501. doi: 10.1107/S0907444910007493

Evans, P. R., and Murshudov, G. N. (2013). How good are my data and what is the resolution? *Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr.* 69, 1204–1214. doi: 10.1107/S0907444913000061

Floden, E. W., Tommaso, P. D., Chatzou, M., Magis, C., Notredame, C., and Chang, J. M. (2016). PSI/TM-coffee: a web server for fast and accurate multiple sequence alignments of regular and transmembrane proteins using homology extension on reduced databases. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 44, W339–W343. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkw300

Furlanetto, V., and Divne, C. (2023). LolA and LolB from the plant-pathogen *Xanthomonas campestris* forms a stable heterodimeric complex in the absence of lipoprotein. *Front. Microbiol.* 14:1216799. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2023.1216799

Green, E. R., and Mecsas, J. (2016). Bacterial secretion systems: an overview. *Microbiol.* Spectr. 4, 1–32. doi: 10.1128/microbiolspec.VMBF-0012-2015

Hayashi, Y., Tsurumizu, R., Tsukahara, J., Takeda, K., Narita, S. I., Mori, M., et al. (2014). Roles of the protruding loop of factor B essential for the localization of lipoproteins (LolB) in the anchoring of bacterial triacylated proteins to the outer membrane. *J. Biol. Chem.* 289, 10530–10539. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M113.539270

Hillmann, F., Argentini, M., and Buddelmeijer, N. (2011). Kinetics and phospholipid specificity of apolipoprotein N-acyltransferase. J. Biol. Chem. 286, 27936–27946. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M111.243519

Jaiman, D., Nagampalli, R., and Persson, K. (2023). A comparative analysis of lipoprotein transport proteins: LolA and LolB from vibrio cholerae and LolA from *Porphyromonas gingivalis. Sci. Rep.* 13:6605. doi: 10.1038/s41598-023-33705-y

Jumper, J., Evans, R., Pritzel, A., Green, T., Figurnov, M., Ronneberger, O., et al. (2021). Highly accurate protein structure prediction with AlphaFold. *Nature* 596, 583–589. doi: 10.1038/s41586-021-03819-2

Kabsch, W. (2010). XDS. Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr. 66, 125–132. doi: 10.1107/S0907444909047337

Kaplan, E., Greene, N. P., Crow, A., and Koronakis, V. (2018). Insights into bacterial lipoprotein trafficking from a structure of LolA bound to the LolC periplasmic domain. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 115, E7389–E7397. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1806822115

Kaplan, E., Greene, N. P., Jepson, A. E., and Koronakis, V. (2022). Structural basis of lipoprotein recognition by the bacterial lol trafficking chaperone LolA. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 119:e2208662119. doi: 10.1073/pnas.2208662119

LoVullo, E. D., Wright, L. F., Isabella, V., Huntley, J. F., and Pavelka, M. S. Jr. (2015). Revisiting the gram-negative lipoprotein paradigm. *J. Bacteriol.* 197, 1705–1715. doi: 10.1128/JB.02414-14

Madeira, F., Madhusoodanan, N., Lee, J., Eusebi, A., Niewielska, A., Tivey, A. R. N., et al. (2024). The EMBL-EBI job dispatcher sequence analysis tools framework in 2024. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 52, W521–W525. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkae241

McClain, M. S., Voss, B. J., and Cover, T. L. (2020). Lipoprotein processing and sorting in *Helicobacter pylori*. *MBio* 11. doi: 10.1128/mBio.00911-20

McCoy, A. J. (2007). Solving structures of protein complexes by molecular replacement with phaser. *Acta Crystallogr. Sect. D Biol. Crystallogr.* 63, 32–41. doi: 10.1107/S0907444906045975

McLeod, S. M., Fleming, P. R., MacCormack, K., McLaughlin, R. E., Whiteaker, J. D., Narita, S. I., et al. (2015). Small-molecule inhibitors of gram-negative lipoprotein trafficking discovered by phenotypic screening. *J. Bacteriol.* 197, 1075–1082. doi: 10.1128/JB.02352-14

McNicholas, S., Potterton, E., Wilson, K. S., and Noble, M. E. (2011). Presenting your structures: the CCP4mg molecular-graphics software. *Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr.* 67, 386–394. doi: 10.1107/S0907444911007281

Muñoz, K. A., Ulrich, R. J., Vasan, A. K., Sinclair, M., Wen, P-C., Holmes, J. R., et al. (2024). A gram-negative-selective antibiotic that spares the gut microbiome. *Nature* 630, 429–436. doi: 10.1038/s41586-024-07502-0

Nakada, S., Sakakura, M., Takahashi, H., Okuda, S., Tokuda, H., and Shimada, I. (2009). Structural investigation of the interaction between LolA and LolB using NMR. *J. Biol. Chem.* 284, 24634–24643. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M109.001149

Narita, S., Matsuyama, S., and Tokuda, H. (2004). Lipoprotein trafficking in *Escherichia coli. Arch. Microbiol.* 182, 1–6. doi: 10.1007/s00203-004-0682-4

Nayar, A. S., Dougherty, T. J., Ferguson, K. E., Granger, B. A., McWilliams, L., Stacey, C., et al. (2015). Novel antibacterial targets and compounds revealed by a high-throughput cell wall reporter assay. *J. Bacteriol.* 197, 1726–1734. doi: 10.1128/JB.02552-14

Nickerson, N. N., Jao, C. C., Xu, Y., Quinn, J., Skippington, E., Alexander, M. K., et al. (2018). A novel inhibitor of the LolCDE ABC transporter essential for lipoprotein trafficking in gram-negative Bacteria. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 62, 1–16. doi: 10.1128/AAC.02151-17

Nomura, A. M., Perez-Perez, G. I., Lee, J., Stemmermann, G., and Blaser, M. J. (2002). Relation between *Helicobacter pylori* cagA status and risk of peptic ulcer disease. *Am. J. Epidemiol.* 155, 1054–1059. doi: 10.1093/aje/155.11.1054

Okuda, S., and Tokuda, H. (2009). Model of mouth-to-mouth transfer of bacterial lipoproteins through inner membrane LolC, periplasmic LolA, and outer membrane LolB. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 106, 5877–5882. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0900896106

Okuda, S., and Tokuda, H. (2011). Lipoprotein sorting in bacteria. Ann. Rev. Microbiol. 65, 239–259. doi: 10.1146/annurev-micro-090110-102859

Orfanoudaki, G., and Economou, A. (2014). Proteome-wide subcellular topologies of *E. coli* polypeptides database (STEPdb). *Mol. Cell. Proteomics* 13, 3674–3687. doi: 10.1074/mcp.O114.041137

Pedebos, C., Smith, I. P. S., Boags, A., and Khalid, S. (2021). The hitchhiker's guide to the periplasm: unexpected molecular interactions of polymyxin B1 in *E. coli. Structure* 29, 444–456.e2. doi: 10.1016/j.str.2021.01.009

Remans, K., Pauwels, K., van Ulsen, P., Buts, L., Cornelis, P., Tommassen, J., et al. (2010). Hydrophobic surface patches on LolA of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* are essential for lipoprotein binding. *J. Mol. Biol.* 401, 921–930. doi: 10.1016/j.jmb.2010.06.067

Robert, X., and Gouet, P. (2014). Deciphering key features in protein structures with the new ENDscript server. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 42, W320–W324. doi: 10.1093/nar/gku316

Sankaran, K., and Wu, H. C. (1994). Lipid modification of bacterial prolipoprotein. Transfer of diacylglyceryl moiety from phosphatidylglycerol. *J. Biol. Chem.* 269, 19701–19706. doi: 10.1016/S0021-9258(17)32077-X

Schrodinger, LLC. The AxPyMOL molecular graphics plugin for Microsoft PowerPoint, Version 1.8. (2015).

Smith, H. C., May, K. L., and Grabowicz, M. (2023). Teasing apart the evolution of lipoprotein trafficking in gram-negative bacteria reveals a bifunctional LolA. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 120:e2218473120. doi: 10.1073/pnas.2218473120

Suerbaum, S., and Michetti, P. (2002). Helicobacter pylori infection. N. Engl. J. Med. 347, 1175–1186. doi: 10.1056/NEJMra020542

Takeda, K., Miyatake, H., Yokota, N., Matsuyama, S., Tokuda, H., and Miki, K. (2003). Crystal structures of bacterial lipoprotein localization factors, LolA and LolB. *EMBO J.* 22, 3199–3209. doi: 10.1093/emboj/cdg324

Tang, X., Chang, S., Zhang, K., Luo, Q., Zhang, Z., Wang, T., et al. (2021). Structural basis for bacterial lipoprotein relocation by the transporter LolCDE. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* 28, 347–355. doi: 10.1038/s41594-021-00573-x

Tian, W., Chen, C., Lei, X., Zhao, J., and Liang, J. (2018). CASTp 3.0: computed atlas of surface topography of proteins. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 46, W363–W367. doi: 10.1093/nar/gky473

Vogeley, L., el Arnaout, T., Bailey, J., Stansfeld, P. J., Boland, C., and Caffrey, M. (2016). Structural basis of lipoprotein signal peptidase II action and inhibition by the antibiotic globomycin. *Science* 351, 876–880. doi: 10.1126/science.aad3747

Wallin, E., and von Heijne, G. (1998). Genome-wide analysis of integral membrane proteins from eubacterial, archaean, and eukaryotic organisms. *Protein Sci.* 7, 1029–1038. doi: 10.1002/pro.5560070420

Weerakoon, D., Petrov, K., Pedebos, C., and Khalid, S. (2021). Polymyxin B1 within the *E. coli* cell envelope: insights from molecular dynamics simulations. *Biophys. Rev.* 13, 1061–1070. doi: 10.1007/s12551-021-00869-8

Winn, M. D., Ballard, C. C., Cowtan, K. D., Dodson, E. J., Emsley, P., Evans, P. R., et al. (2011). Overview of the CCP4 suite and current developments. *Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr.* 67, 235–242. doi: 10.1107/S0907444910045749