Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Qun Gao, Beijing Normal University, China

REVIEWED BY Anastasia D. Gazi, Institut Pasteur, France Ashish Kumar Singh, Center of Innovative and Applied Bioprocessing (CIAB), India Xianglilan Zhang, State Key Laboratory of Pathogen and Biosafety of China, China

*CORRESPONDENCE Carlos A. Santiviago Scantiviago@ciq.uchile.cl David Pezoa dpezoa@udla.cl

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work and share first authorship

RECEIVED 13 September 2024 ACCEPTED 12 November 2024 PUBLISHED 11 December 2024

CITATION

Amaya FA, Blondel CJ, Reyes-Méndez F, Rivera D, Moreno-Switt A, Toro M, Badilla C, Santiviago CA and Pezoa D (2024) Genomic analysis of *Salmonella* isolated from surface water and animal sources in Chile reveals new T6SS effector protein candidates. *Front. Microbiol.* 15:1496223. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2024.1496223

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Amaya, Blondel, Reyes-Méndez, Rivera, Moreno-Switt, Toro, Badilla, Santiviago and Pezoa. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Genomic analysis of *Salmonella* isolated from surface water and animal sources in Chile reveals new T6SS effector protein candidates

Fernando A. Amaya^{1†}, Carlos J. Blondel^{2†}, Felipe Reyes-Méndez³, Dácil Rivera⁴, Andrea Moreno-Switt⁴, Magaly Toro^{5,6}, Consuelo Badilla³, Carlos A. Santiviago^{1*} and David Pezoa^{3,7*}

¹Laboratorio de Microbiología, Departamento de Bioquímica y Biología Molecular, Facultad de Ciencias Químicas y Farmacéuticas, Universidad de Chile, Santiago, Chile, ²Facultad de Medicina y Facultad de Ciencias de la Vida, Instituto de Ciencias Biomédicas, Universidad Andrés Bello, Santiago, Chile, ³Núcleo de Investigación en One Health, Facultad de Medicina Veterinaria y Agronomía, Universidad de Las Américas, Santiago, Chile, ⁴Escuela de Medicina Veterinaria, Facultad de Agronomía e Ingeniería Forestal, Facultad de Ciencias Biológicas y Facultad de Medicina, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile, Santiago, Chile, ⁵Joint Institute for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition (JIFSAN), University of Maryland, College Park, MD, United States, ⁶Instituto de Nutrición y Tecnología de los Alimentos (INTA), Universidad de Chile, Santiago, Chile, ⁷Departamento de Ciencias Químicas y Biológicas, Universidad Bernardo O'Higgins, Santiago, Chile

Type VI Secretion Systems (T6SS), widely distributed in Gram-negative bacteria, contribute to interbacterial competition and pathogenesis through the translocation of effector proteins to target cells. Salmonella harbor 5 pathogenicity islands encoding T6SS (SPI-6, SPI-19, SPI-20, SPI-21 and SPI-22), in which a limited number of effector proteins have been identified. Previous analyses by our group focused on the identification of candidate T6SS effectors and cognate immunity proteins in Salmonella genomes deposited in public databases. In this study, the analysis was centered on Salmonella isolates obtained from environmental sources in Chile. To this end, bioinformatics and comparative genomics analyses were performed using 695 genomes of Salmonella isolates representing 44 serotypes obtained from surface water and animal sources in Chile to identify new T6SS effector proteins. First, T6SS gene clusters were identified using the SecreT6 server. This analysis revealed that most isolates carry the SPI-6 T6SS gene cluster, whereas the SPI-19 and SPI-21 T6SS gene clusters were detected in isolates from a limited number of serotypes. In contrast, the SPI-20 and SPI-22 T6SS gene clusters were not detected. Subsequently, each ORF in the T6SS gene clusters identified was analyzed using bioinformatics tools for effector prediction, identification of immunity proteins and functional biochemical prediction. This analysis detected 20 of the 37 T6SS effector proteins previously reported in Salmonella. In addition, 4 new effector proteins with potential antibacterial activity were identified in SPI-6: 2 Rhs effectors with potential DNase activity (PAAR-RhsA-NucA_B and PAAR-RhsA-GH-E) and 2 effectors with potential RNase activity (PAAR-RhsA-CdiA and RhsA-CdiA). Interestingly, the repertoire of SPI-6 T6SS effectors varies among isolates of the same serotype. In SPI-19, no new effector protein was detected. Of note, some Rhs effectors of SPI-19 and SPI-6 present C-terminal ends with unknown function. The presence of cognate immunity proteins carrying domains present in bona fide immunity proteins suggests that these effectors have antibacterial activity. Finally, two new effectors were identified in SPI-21: one with potential peptidoglycan hydrolase activity and another with potential membrane poreforming activity. Altogether, our work broadens the repertoire of Salmonella T6SS

effector proteins and provides evidence that SPI-6, SPI-19 and SPI-21 T6SS gene clusters harbor a vast array of antibacterial effectors.

KEYWORDS

Salmonella, T6SS, Chile, effector, immunity protein

Introduction

The type VI secretion system (T6SS) is an apparatus composed of 13 structural proteins and several accessory proteins that deliver protein effectors into target cells by means of a contractile mechanism (Coulthurst, 2019; Cherrak et al., 2019). The T6SS needle is composed of an inner tube made of a stack of Hcp hexamer rings that is tipped by a trimer of VgrG and a proline-alanine-alanine-arginine repeat (PAAR) protein. This internal structure is surrounded by a contractile sheath of polymerized TssB/TssC subunits assembled in an extended, metastable conformation (Silverman et al., 2013; Cherrak et al., 2019). Contraction of the sheath propels the needle complex toward the target cell (Brackmann et al., 2017). T6SS effector proteins are classified as either cargo or specialized effectors. Cargo effectors are transported by non-covalent interaction with some core components (Coulthurst, 2019), while specialized effectors are VgrG, Hcp or PAAR proteins carrying additional domains (Durand et al., 2014; Whitney et al., 2014; Diniz and Coulthurst, 2015; Ma et al., 2017; Pissaridou et al., 2018).

T6SS effector proteins can target prokaryotic and/or eukaryotic cells (Coulthurst, 2019; Monjarás Feria and Valvano, 2020). Among the anti-bacterial effector proteins, some target the peptidic or glycosidic bonds of the peptidoglycan (Ma and Mekalanos, 2010; Russell et al., 2012; Srikannathasan et al., 2013; Whitney et al., 2013; Berni et al., 2019; Wood et al., 2019), or the FtsZ cell division ring (Ting et al., 2018). These anti-bacterial effectors are usually encoded in bi-cistronic elements with their cognate immunity proteins (E/I pairs) in order to avoid self-intoxication and killing of sibling cells (Russell et al., 2012). Other T6SS effectors target eukaryotic cells, such as those disrupting the actin or microtubule cytoskeleton networks (Monjarás Feria and Valvano, 2020), while trans-kingdom effectors target both bacterial and eukaryotic cells (Jiang et al., 2014). These effectors include those forming pores in membranes or targeting conserved molecules such as NAD⁺ and NADP⁺, and macromolecules such as DNA, RNA and phospholipids (Whitney et al., 2015; Tang et al., 2018; Ahmad et al., 2019). In many enteric pathogens (e.g., Salmonella, Shigella and Vibrio), the T6SS contributes to colonization of the intestinal tract of infected hosts (Sana et al., 2016; Chassaing and Cascales, 2018). On the other hand, strains of the gut commensal Bacteroides fragilis use their T6SSs for competition against other Bacteroidales species (Coyne and Comstock, 2019). Hence, the T6SS is a key player in bacterial warfare.

The *Salmonella* genus includes more than 2,600 serotypes distributed between species *S. enterica* and *S. bongori* (Issenhuth-Jeanjean et al., 2014), which differ in clinical signs and host range (Uzzau et al., 2000). In *Salmonella*, five T6SS gene clusters have been identified within *Salmonella* Pathogenicity Islands (SPIs) SPI-6, SPI-19, SPI-20, SPI-21, and SPI-22 (Blondel et al., 2009; Fookes et al., 2011; Bao et al., 2019). These T6SS gene clusters are distributed in 4 different evolutionary lineages: The SPI-6 T6SS gene cluster belongs to subtype i3, SPI-19 T6SS gene cluster to subtype i1, SPI-22 T6SS gene cluster to subtype i2 (Bao et al., 2019). Besides their distinct

evolutionary origin, these five T6SS gene clusters are differentially distributed among distinct serotypes, subspecies, and species of *Salmonella* (Blondel et al., 2009; Bao et al., 2019).

In Salmonella, only a few studies have addressed the role played by the T6SSs in interbacterial and eukaryotic relationships, and most of our understanding regarding the contribution of T6SSs to Salmonella infection cycle, virulence and pathogenesis comes from studies of T6SS_{SPI-6} in S. Typhimurium and T6SS_{SPI-19} in S. Dublin (Mulder et al., 2012; Pezoa et al., 2013; Pezoa et al., 2014; Sana et al., 2016; Sibinelli-Sousa et al., 2022; Xian et al., 2020; Blondel et al., 2010; Hespanhol et al., 2022). Furthermore, knowledge of the presence and distribution of T6SS effector proteins is derived from studies using strains representing a limited number of serotypes (Russell et al., 2012; Benz et al., 2013; Sana et al., 2016; Whitney et al., 2013; Sibinelli-Sousa et al., 2020; Lorente-Cobo et al., 2022; Koskiniemi et al., 2014; Amaya et al., 2022; Jurėnas et al., 2022; Blondel et al., 2023). Consequently, information regarding Salmonella T6SS effector proteins is still scarce. Indeed, only 37 T6SS effectors and candidate effectors that target different bacterial molecules such as peptidoglycan, nucleic acids and bacterial ribosomes have been currently identified in a few serotypes (Blondel et al., 2009; Russell et al., 2012; Benz et al., 2013; Whitney et al., 2013; Koskiniemi et al., 2014; Sana et al., 2016; Ho et al., 2017; Sibinelli-Sousa et al., 2020; Amaya et al., 2022; Jurenas et al., 2022; Lorente-Cobo et al., 2022; Hespanhol et al., 2022; Blondel et al., 2023). This is an important knowledge gap as the T6SS effector proteins are the ultimate mediators of the T6SS activity and thus, their identification and characterization are pivotal for a better understanding of Salmonella infectious cycle and in its contribution to environmental fitness and pathogenic potential.

Nowadays, there is increasing evidence that Salmonella enterica can persist in diverse environments such as aquatic ecosystems, maintaining a reservoir in surface waters and becoming a serious risk to public health and animal production systems. It is conceivable that the T6SS could mediate in part this persistence since it has been shown that S. Typhimurium requires the T6SS_{SPI-6} to survive intracellularly in environmental amoebas such as Dictyostelium discoideum (Riquelme et al., 2016). Interestingly, in Chile some serotypes such as S. Infantis, S. Newport and S. Typhimurium have been frequently isolated in surface waters during the last decade, imposing a significant threat to human and animal health since these serotypes usually carry an arsenal of antimicrobial resistance genes (Chen et al., 2024a,b). These Chilean isolates could be an untapped reservoir of new T6SS effector proteins. Importantly, Salmonella strains isolated from surface waters in Chile will shed light not only on the vast arsenal of T6SS effector repertoire but could also provide insight into geographic adaptation of Salmonella.

In this study, we performed bioinformatic and comparative genomic analyses of a dataset of 695 *S. enterica* genomes representing 44 serotypes isolated from different environmental sources in Chile, mostly surface waters. Our analysis revealed that most genomes only harbor the SPI-6 T6SS gene cluster, and that within its variable region 3 (VR3) we found four new candidate T6SS effectors with predicted nuclease activity. Noteworthy, many putative SPI-6 rearrangement

hotspot (Rhs) effectors identified in this study harbor C-terminal extensions with unknown function. Overall, the diversity and distribution of T6SS effector proteins in Chilean *Salmonella* isolates suggest that different combinations of these proteins may contribute to the environmental fitness and pathogenic potential.

Materials and methods

Environmental samples and Salmonella isolation

Water samples were collected as part of a previous study (Toro et al., 2022) from sites in the Maipo, Mapocho, Claro and Lontué watersheds from the rivers themselves and connected tributaries, such as canals. Animal samples were collected as part of a previous study (Rivera et al., 2021) from industrial dairy farms, backyard systems and wild animals in the Región de Coquimbo, Región de Valparaíso, Región Metropolitana and Región del Libertador General Bernardo O'Higgins, Chile. A detailed description of sampling procedures, geographical location of samples and the procedure employed for *Salmonella* isolation from water an animal samples can be found elsewhere (Rivera et al., 2021; Toro et al., 2022).

Whole genome sequencing, assembly, and quality control

For sequencing, each isolate was grown overnight at 37°C in tryptic soy broth and 1 mL of culture was used to purify DNA with the DNeasy Blood and Tissue Qiagen kit (Qiagen, CA, United States). Ratios of absorbance at 260 nm and 230 nm were obtained using a MaestroNano spectrophotometer (Maestro, Korea) and a QUBIT fluorimeter (Life Technologies, CA, United States). Libraries were prepared with the Illumina DNA Prep kit (Illumina, CA, United States) on the Sciclone G3 NGSx iQ Workstation (Perkin Elmer, MA, United States), and sequencing was performed on the Illumina NextSeq 2000 using the NextSeq 1000/2000 P2 reagents 300 cycles with the 150 paired-end chemistry (Illumina, CA, United States). Reads were examined for quality using FastQC (Galaxy version 0.69) (Wingett and Andrews, 2018) and trimmed using Trimmomatic (Galaxy version 0.36.4), with a minimum required quality of 20, averaging across 4 bases (Bolger et al., 2014). Processed reads were assembled using SPAdes (Galaxy version 3.11.1) with kmer sizes of 99 and 127, and careful correction (Bankevich et al., 2012). Assemblies were checked for quality using QUAST (Galaxy version 4.6.3) (Gurevich et al., 2013) and finally deposited in the NCBI Bioproject 560,080.1

In silico serotyping was carried out using SeqSero (Galaxy version 2.0.1) (Zhang et al., 2015) and SISTR (Galaxy version 1.0.2) (Yoshida et al., 2016). Finally, a single-nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) analysis was performed to identify clonality among isolates from the same sample. Clones were defined as isolates with genomes having 20 or fewer SNPs, as described by Pightling et al. (2018). According to this criterion, genome sequences from non-clonal isolates obtained from

the same sample were selected for subsequent analysis. Thus, the genome sequence dataset analyzed in this study includes 695 *S. enterica* genomes from 44 distinct serotypes (Supplementary Table S1).

Identification of T6SS gene clusters

The T6SS prediction tool from the Secret6 web server² was used to identify T6SS gene clusters encoding the minimal 13 core components of a T6SS in each genome (Zhang et al., 2023). For selection of positive matches, a BLASTp 2.10.1+ identity threshold for T6SS prediction >30% and an E-value <0.0001 were used. These threshold values have been successfully used to identify T6SS gene clusters in *Salmonella* genomes (Amaya et al., 2022; Blondel et al., 2023).

Identification of candidate T6SS effectors

To identify putative T6SS effectors encoded within the Salmonella genomes analyzed, each ORF encoded within the T6SS gene clusters identified was analyzed with the Bastion6 pipeline³ (Wang et al., 2018) excluding the 13 T6SS core components. ORFs presenting a Bastion6 score ≥ 0.7 were considered as candidate T6SS effectors. It is worth mentioning that a Bastion6 score ≥ 0.5 is routinely used as default setting for detection of T6SS effectors. However, we decided to use a score ≥ 0.7 to perform a more strict analysis. Each Bastion6 prediction was further analyzed using tools implemented in the Operon-Mapper web server⁴ (Taboada et al., 2018) to determine whether it was part of a single transcriptional unit that also encoded a putative immunity protein [i.e., a small protein with potential signal peptides (SignalP 6.0) and/or transmembrane domains (TMHMM 2.0)]. Conserved functional domains and motifs in the candidate T6SS effectors were identified using the PROSITE, NCBI-CDD, Motif-finder, and Pfam databases (Kanehisa et al., 2002; Sigrist et al., 2013; Finn et al., 2014; Lu et al., 2019) implemented in the GenomeNet search engine.⁵ An E-value cutoff score of 0.01 was used. In addition, for each putative effector and immunity protein identified, a biochemical functional prediction was performed by HMM homology searches using the HHpred HMM-HMM comparison tool⁶ (Zimmermann et al., 2017). Finally, a candidate T6SS effector was defined as "new" when it meets two criteria: (i) it includes at least one domain previously linked to antibacterial activity, and (ii) this domain has not been described as part of a T6SS effector in publicly available databases.

Hierarchical clustering analysis of the new T6SS effectors

For hierarchical clustering analysis, a presence/absence matrix of each T6SS effector and candidate effector was constructed for each

¹ https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject/560080

² https://bioinfo-mml.sjtu.edu.cn/SecReT6/t6ss_prediction.php

³ https://bastion6.erc.monash.edu

⁴ https://biocomputo.ibt.unam.mx/operon_mapper

⁵ https://www.genome.jp

⁶ https://toolkit.tuebingen.mpg.de/tools/hhpred

bacterial genome by means of BLASTn analyses and manual curation of the data (Supplementary Table S2). A 90% identity and 90% sequence coverage threshold was used to select positive matches, as done in previous analyses conducted by our group (Amaya et al., 2022; Blondel et al., 2023). The matrix generated was uploaded as a csv file to the online server MORPHEUS⁷ using default parameters (i.e., one minus Pearson's correlation and average linkage method).

Phylogenetic analyses of *Salmonella* T6SS gene clusters

TssC aminoacid sequences encoded in T6SS gene clusters from 605 *Salmonella* genomes were concatenated and aligned with ClustalW using the Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis (MEGA) software version 7.0 (Kumar et al., 2016). A phylogenetic tree was built from the alignments obtained from MEGA by performing a bootstrap test of phylogeny (1,000 replications) using the maximumlikelihood method with a Jones-Taylor-Thornton correction model.

Analysis of T6SS effectors distribution

The DNA sequence encoding each T6SS effector identified in this study was subjected to tBLASTx analyses to find orthologs in all *Salmonella* genome sequences deposited in the NCBI database (March, 2024) (Supplementary Tables S3, S4). For selection of positive matches, a 90% identity and 90% sequence coverage threshold was used. Conservation of sequences was determined by independent multiple sequence alignments using T-Coffee Expresso⁸ (Notredame et al., 2000), MAFFT⁹ (Katoh et al., 2017), and ESPript 3¹⁰ (Robert and Gouet, 2014). Comparative genomic analyses of T6SS gene clusters were performed using Mauve version 2.3.1¹¹ (Darling et al., 2004) and EasyFig version 2.2.5¹² (Sullivan et al., 2011). Nucleotide sequences were analyzed using Artemis version 18¹³ (Rutherford et al., 2000).

Results

T6SS gene clusters are widely distributed among Chilean *Salmonella* isolates

Previous analyses performed by our group have aimed in the identification of candidate T6SS effectors and cognate immunity proteins in *Salmonella* genomes deposited in public databases (Amaya et al., 2022; Blondel et al., 2023). In the present study, the analysis focused on genome sequences of *Salmonella* isolates obtained from different environmental sources in Chile, in order to shed light on the repertoire of T6SS candidate effectors present in *Salmonella* inhabiting

our local geography. To this end, we analyzed a database of 695 highquality sequenced *Salmonella* genomes from strains isolated from surface water and animal sources. Most isolates in this collection come from surface waters (674 isolates representing 34 serotypes), while 21 isolates representing only 8 serotypes were obtained from animal sources (14 in chicken, 3 in pigeon, 2 in pig and 2 in duck). Interestingly, the most frequently isolated serotypes were *S*. Infantis (n = 169), *S*. Agona (n = 71) and *S*. Newport (n = 11).

To identify T6SS gene clusters we used the T6SS prediction tool from the SecreT6 web server (see text footnote 2), which identified 622 putative T6SS gene clusters in 608 Salmonella genomes (Table 1; Supplementary Table S1). A more in-depth analysis revealed that these T6SS gene clusters correspond to those encoded in SPI-6, SPI-19 and SPI-21 (Table 1; Supplementary Figure S1). We could not identify T6SS gene clusters encoded in SPI-20 or SPI-22 in the genome of any isolate from our database. The SPI-6 T6SS gene cluster is widely distributed in 518 of the 695 genomes analyzed (74.5%), while the SPI-19 and SPI-21 T6SS gene clusters were only detected in 89 (12.8%) and 14 (2%) genomes, respectively (Table 1). Most isolates carried a unique T6SS gene cluster in SPI-6, SPI-19 or SPI-21, while a group of isolates belonging to serotype S. Livingstone harbors both SPI-6 and SPI-19 T6SS gene clusters. In contrast, no complete T6SS gene cluster was detected in isolates belonging to serotypes S. Enteritidis and S. Stanley.

To identify high-confidence putative effectors encoded within every T6SS gene cluster detected, each ORF within these gene clusters was analyzed based on four criteria: (i) identification of candidate effectors through Bastion6 analysis (a bioinformatic tool that predicts T6SS effectors based on amino acid sequence, evolutionary information, and physicochemical properties); (ii) identification of putative immunity proteins by operon prediction (Operon-mapper; Taboada et al., 2018) and detection of signal peptides (SignalP 6.0) and transmembrane domains (TMHMM 2.0); (iii) identification of conserved functional domains associated with bona fide T6SS effectors (INTERPROSCAN, PROSITE, NCBI-CDD, MOTIF, and Pfam); and (iv) functional biochemical prediction using the HHpred HMM-HMM server. In addition, we further analyzed these T6SS gene clusters to identify potential unannotated ORFs that could encode putative effectors and cognate immunity proteins. Thus, our analysis revealed the presence of 6 new effector candidates encoded within the SPI-6 (4 effectors) and SPI-21 (2 effectors) T6SS gene clusters.

The VR3 within the SPI-6 T6SS gene cluster of isolates from surface waters harbor four candidate T6SS effector proteins

Most T6SS effector proteins identified in *Salmonella* are encoded within three variable regions (VR1-3) of SPI-6 (Blondel et al., 2023). We have previously shown that the VR3 of SPI-6, located downstream of the *tssI* gene, exhibits the greatest diversity of *Salmonella* T6SS effectors (Blondel et al., 2023). This is mainly due to the presence of a variable number of Rhs effector proteins that harbor C-terminal extensions encoding endonuclease domains, such as DNases, RNases, and deaminases, as well as ADP-ribosyltransferases (Blondel et al., 2023).

Our analysis identified 4 new putative effector proteins and cognate immunity proteins (Table 2; Figure 1) encoded in the VR3 of SPI-6 distributed in isolates of serotypes *S*. Braenderup, *S*. Albany,

⁷ https://software.broadinstitute.org/morpheus

⁸ https://tcoffee.crg.eu/apps/tcoffee/do:expresso

⁹ https://mafft.cbrc.jp/alignment/server/index.html

¹⁰ https://espript.ibcp.fr/ESPript/ESPript/

¹¹ https://darlinglab.org/mauve/mauve.html

¹² https://mjsull.github.io/Easyfig/files.html

¹³ https://sanger-pathogens.github.io/Artemis/Artemis/

TABLE 1 T6SS effectors and cognate immunity proteins encoded in T6SS gene clusters in Chilean Salmonella isolates.

Source of sample (Number of isolates)	T6SS gene cluster	T6SS effector ^a	Serotypes (Number of isolates with the corresponding T6SS effector)
		Tae2	 S. Adelaide (4), S. Albany (1), S. Anatum (12), S. Bovismorbificans (37), S. Braenderup (4), S. Brandenburg (4), S. Cerro (12), S. Corvallis (9), S. Derby (1), S. Edinburgh (13), S. Give (4), S. Hadar (2), S. Heidelberg (1), S. Infantis (152), S. I -:b:1,5 (2), S. I 1,4,[5],12:d:- (1), S. I 1,4,[5],12:i:- (1), S. Johannesburg (1), S. Kentucky (1), S. Montevideo (2), S. Muenchen (5), S. Newport (1), S. Oranienburg (5), S. Panama (15), S. Paratyphi B (2), S. Sandiego (3), S. Santiago (4), S. Senftenberg (35), S. Soerenga (3), S. Tennessee (2), S. Thompson (10), S. Typhimurium (46), S. Worthington (4)
		Tae4	 S. Adelaide (4), S. Albany (1), S. Anatum (12), S. Bovismorbificans (38), S. Braenderup (3), S. Cerro (11), S. Corvallis (10), S. Derby (1), S. Edinburgh (13), S. Give (4), S. Goldcoast (11), S. Hadar (2), S. Heidelberg (1), S. Infantis (151), S. I -:b:1,5 (3), S. I 1,4,[5],12:d:- (1), S. I 1,4,[5],12:i:- (1), S. Kentucky (1), S. Livingstone (23), S. Mbandaka (4), S. Montevideo (2), S. Muenchen (7), S. Newport (44), S. Oranienburg (5), S. Panama (15), S. Paratyphi B (2), S. Sandiego (3), S. Santiago (4), S. Senftenberg (33), S. Soerenga (3), S. Tennessee (2), S. Thompson (10), S. Typhimurium (46), S. Worthington (4)
		Tge2P	 S. Adelaide (4), S. Bovismorbificans (38), S. Braenderup (3), S. Corvallis (10), S. Give (1), S. Hadar (2), S. Heidelberg (1), S. Infantis (152), S. I 1,4,[5],12:d:- (1), S. Johannesburg (1), S. Kentucky (1), S. Livingstone (7), S. Mbandaka (4), S. Muenchen (7), S. Newport (23), S. Sandiego (3), S. Senftenberg (35), S. Soerenga (3), S. Tennessee (2), S. Thompson (10), S. Typhimurium (1), S. Worthington (4)
		Tlde1	 S. Adelaide (4), S. Albany (1), S. Anatum (12), S. Bovismorbificans (38), S. Braenderup (3), S. Brandenburg (4), S. Cerro (13), S. Corvallis (10), S. Derby (1), S. Goldcoast (11), S. Hadar (2), S. Heidelberg (1), S. Infantis (152), S. I 1,4,[5],12:d:- (1), S. I 1,4,[5],12:i:- (1), S. Johannesburg (1), S. Kentucky (1), S. Livingstone (25), S. Mbandaka (4), S. Muenchen (7), S. Newport (35), S. Paratyphi B (2), S. Sandiego (3), S. Senftenberg (1), S. Soerenga (3), S. Tennessee (2), S. Thompson (10), S. Typhimurium (46), S. Worthington (4)
		L-Ala, D-Glu endopeptidase	 S. Bovismorbificans (37), S. Braenderup (1), S. Brandenburg (4), S. Edinburgh (13), S. Give (4), S. I -:b:1,5 (4), S. Johannesburg (1), S. Mbandaka (4), S. Montevideo (2), S. Newport (18), S. Oranienburg (5), S. Panama (15), S. Sandiego (3), S. Worthington (4)
Chicken (5),		PgP	S. Braenderup (2)
Duck (2), Pig	SPI-6	TseH-like	S. Edinburgh (13), S. I -:b:1,5 (6), S. Panama (15)
(2), Pigeon (3)		Peptidase_M64	S. Braenderup (2), S. Give (4), S. Montevideo (2), S. Senftenberg (34), S. Tennessee (2)
		RhsA-HNHc	S. Tennessee (2)
		RhsA-Ntox47	S. Brandenburg (2), S. I 1,4,[5],12:i:- (1), S. Typhimurium (44)
		RhsA-Tox-HNH-EHHH	S. Braenderup (2), S. Derby (1)
		PAAR-RhsA-HNHc	S. Anatum (1), S. Edinburgh (1), S. Infantis (132), S. Kentucky (1), S. Senftenberg (1)
		PAAR-RhsA-Ntox47	S. Give (3), S. Livingstone (8), S. Muenchen (7), S. Newport (14), S. Panama (15), S. Sandiego (2)
		PAAR-RhsA-Tox-HNH-EHHH	S. Johannesburg (1), S. Tennessee (2)
		PAAR-RhsA-AHH	S. Goldcoast (11)
		PAAR-RhsA-GIY-YIG	S. Livingstone (8)
		RhsA-Tox-ART-HYD1	S. Thompson (7)
		PAAR-RhsA-Tox-ART-HYD1	S. Johannesburg (1)
		Rhs _{main}	S. Typhimurium (36)
		PAAR-RhsA-NucA_B	S. Braenderup (1)
		PAAR-RhsA-GH-E	S. Albany (1)
		PAAR-RhsA-CdiA	S. Tennesse (2)
		RhsA-CdiA	<i>S</i> . Derby (1)
		PAAR-RhsA-CT	S. Adelaide (4), S. Braenderup (3), S. Brandenburg (2), S. Cerro (12), S. Derby (1), S. Edinburgh (5), S. Give (1), S. Hadar (2), S. Heidelberg (1), S. I 1,4,[5],12::- (1), S. Mbandaka (1), S. Montevideo (2), S. Newport (21), S. Paratyphi B (2), S. Sandiego (3), S. Soerenga (3), S. Thompson (10), S. Typhimurium (1), S. Worthington (4)
		RhsA-CT	S. Braenderup (2), S. Cerro (12), S. Edinburgh (5), S. Give (1), S. Hadar (2), S. Johannesburg (1), S. Thompson (9), S. Typhimurium (1)

TABLE 1 (Continued)

Source of sample (Number of isolates)	T6SS gene cluster	T6SS effector ^a	Serotypes (Number of isolates with the corresponding T6SS effector)
Water (66)	SPI-19	PAAR-RhsA-CT	S. Agona (65), S. I 4:f,g,s:1,2 (1)
Water (13)	SPI-21	VgrG-PyocinS-HNHc	S. IIIb 35:i:z (1), S. IIIb 48:i:z (7)
		Glucosaminidase	S. IIIb 35:i:z (1), S. IIIb 48:i:z (11)
		BTH_I2691	S. IIIb 35:i:z (1)

^aT6SS effectors and immunity proteins are designated according their formal name (in the case of those previously reported in the literature) or indicating the functional domains present in the predicted proteins (in the case of those having no formal names). New T6SS candidate effectors identified in this study are highlighted in **bold type**.

TABLE 2 New putative T6SS effectors and cognate immunity proteins encoded in the SPI-6 T6SS gene cluster of Chilean Salmonella isolates.

T6SS effector genes					Cognate T6SS immunity protein genes		
ORF(s)	Size (aa)	Serotype- isolate	Variable Region	Predicted activity/ Domain	ORF(s)	TM or signal peptide/ Domainª	
Effectors targeting nucleic acids							
FA1083_3621	1,498	S. Braenderup FA1083	3	DNase/PAAR-RhsA- NucA_B	FA1083_3620	No/DUF6707	
FA1443_1959	1,566	S. Albany FA1443	3	DNase/PAAR-RhsA-GH-E	FA1443_1960	No/TPR	
FA1455_4074		S. Tennessee FA1455	3	RNase/PAAR-RhsA-CdiA	FA1455_4073	No/MafI	
CFSAN035156_3316	1,560	S. Tennessee CFSAN035156			CFSAN035156_3317		
FA1451_3438	372	S. Derby FA1451	3	RNase/RhsA-CdiA	FA1451_3439	No/AntA	

*Presence or absence of transmembrane domains (TM) or a signal peptide, and protein domains present in the putative immunity protein genes.

S. Tennessee and S. Derby. Three of these candidates are specialized Rhs effector proteins with predicted nuclease activity, including 2 DNases and 1 RNase, while only one is a cargo Rhs effector with putative RNase activity (Table 2). The first putative effector (FA1083_3621 in S. Braenderup FA1083) is a large 1,498 amino acid Rhs protein that harbors an N-terminal PAAR domain and a C-terminal Nuclease A/Nuclease B (NucA_B) domain with predicted DNase activity (Table 2; Figure 1). It should be noted that FA1083_3621 is predicted to be encoded in a bi-cistronic unit with FA1083_3620 (Table 2). This latter ORF encodes a 204 amino acid protein with a DUF6707 domain that may correspond to the cognate immunity protein of FA1083_3621. The second candidate effector (FA1443_1959 in S. Albany FA1443) with predicted DNase activity also corresponds to a 1,566 amino acid Rhs protein that harbors an N-terminal PAAR domain and the putative GH-E domain in its C-terminal end (Table 2; Figure 1). The GH-E domain is found in members of the HNH/ENDO VII superfamily nuclease with conserved glycine, histidine and glutamate residues. This putative effector was also predicted to be co-transcribed with its respective putative immunity protein gene that encodes a tetratricopeptide repeat (TPR)-containing protein (FA1443_1960 in S. Albany FA1443). The third candidate effector (FA1455_4074 in S. Tennessee FA1455) is a 1,560 amino acid Rhs protein with a predicted N-terminal PAAR domain and a C-terminal contact-dependent growth inhibition protein A (CdiA) domain with putative RNase activity (Table 2; Figure 1). The gene encoding this candidate effector is predicted to be part of a bi-cistronic unit with FA1455_4073, encoding its putative immunity protein (Table 2; Figure 1). Of note, FA1455_4073 harbors a multiple adhesin family I (MafI) domain that is frequently found in cognate immunity proteins of bacterial toxin systems (Zhang et al., 2012). The fourth new candidate effector identified in this study is a 372 amino acid Rhs protein with a predicted CdiA domain in its C-terminal end (FA1451_3438 in *S*. Derby FA1451) (Table 2; Figure 1). *FA1451_3438* is predicted to be co-transcribed with *FA1451_3439*, encoding its cognate immunity protein (Table 2; Figure 1). FA1451_3439 harbors an anti-repressor A (AntA) domain usually found in phage anti-repressor proteins (Sandt et al., 2002). It is worth mentioning that the CdiA domain found in candidate effectors FA1455_4074 and FA1451_3438 has not been previously associated with any Rhs effector protein in *Salmonella*.

The genetic structure and repertoire of effector proteins encoded in the SPI-6 T6SS gene cluster vary considerably among *Salmonella* isolates of the same serotype

It has been reported that the genetic structure of the T6SS gene clusters and the repertoire of effector proteins varies between different serotypes of *Salmonella* (Amaya et al., 2022; Blondel et al., 2023). Therefore we analyzed the genetic structure of SPI-6 and the distribution of previously identified effector proteins (Table 1; Supplementary Table S2). We identified 19 out of the 32 previously reported effectors encoded in the SPI-6 T6SS gene cluster. The three



were identified by BLASTn analyses as described in Materials and Methods.

most frequently distributed T6SS effectors are encoded in VR1-2 of SPI-6. These effector proteins were Tae4 (34/36), Tae2 (32/36) and Tlde1 (29/36). In VR3, the region showing the greatest diversity of *Salmonella* T6SS effectors, the most prevalent effector proteins were PAAR-RhsA-Ntox47 (6/36) and PAAR-RhsA-HNHc (5/36).

Next, we performed a hierarchical clustering analysis to shed lights into the distribution of effectors and candidate effectors encoded in the SPI-6 T6SS gene cluster identified (Supplementary Table S1). As illustrated in Figure 2, the four *bona fide* effectors encoded within VR1-2 (Tae2, Tae4, Tge2 and Tlde1) were the most conserved across the genomes of isolates representing 29 to 34 *Salmonella* serotypes. However, some of these effectors are missing from the genomes of all isolates from a few *Salmonella* serotypes. In VR3, the most prevalent effector protein was PAAR-RhsA-Ntox47, while PAAR-RhsA-AHH, PAAR-RhsA-GIY-YIG, PAAR-RhsA-Tox-ART-HYD1, RhsA-Tox-ART-HYD1 and RhsA-HNHc were the least prevalent. It is worth mentioning that a greater diversity of VR3-encoded effectors is observed in those serotypes that lack some of the more conserved VR1-2-encoded effectors (Figure 2).

Analysis of genetic structure variation of the SPI-6 T6SS gene cluster between serotypes and between isolates of the same serotype revealed interesting observations. First, we identified a variable number of tssI-eagR-rhs gene modules encoded in VR3. A number of isolates from serotypes S. Braenderup, S. Kentucky, S. Sandiego and S. Tennessee harbor two tssI-eagR-rhs modules (Figure 3), while most isolates from serotypes carrying the SPI-6 T6SS gene cluster only harbor one tssIeagR-rhs module (Figure 4). Remarkably, in S. Braenderup the genetic structure of SPI-6 differs between isolates CFSAN43223, FA0982 and FA1083. CFSAN43223 has only one tssI-eagR-rhs module, while FA0982 and FA1083 have two of these modules, as previously reported in S. Tennessee isolate CFSAN070645 (Blondel et al., 2023) (Figure 3; Supplementary Figure S2). Isolates FA0982 and FA1083 encode the RhsA-Tox-HNH-EHHH effector, as well as two other effectors harboring C-terminal ends with unknown function (PAAR-RhsA-CT and RhsA-CT). Additionally, isolate FA1083 encodes a new PAAR-RhsA-NucA_B effector with putative DNase activity, as described above (Figures 1, 3). It is important to note that isolate CFSAN43223 has an internal deletion within VR2 in comparison to isolates FA0982 and FA1083, and encodes only the Tlde1 effector. In contrast, isolates FA0982 and FA1083 encode two copies of the Tge2 effector in VR2 (Supplementary Figure S2). In S. Kentucky, our analysis of the single isolate present in the database (CFSAN035145) identified two tssI-eagRrhs modules in VR3. These modules encode the PAAR-RhsA and PAAR-RhsA-HNHc effector proteins, respectively (Figure 3). Notably,



the first *tssI-eagR-rhs* module has a high sequence identity with only one gene module previously reported in *S*. Tennessee CFSAN070645 (Blondel et al., 2023). Similarly, the second *tssI-eagR-rhs* module of *S*. Kentucky CFSAN035145 shows high sequence identity with the corresponding module encoded in VR3 of *S*. Typhimurium 14028s. Furthermore, *S*. Kentucky CFSAN035145 harbors an ORF with a predicted DUF4056 domain encoded in a bi-cistronic unit in VR2 never reported in *Salmonella*, which may constitute a new T6SS candidate effector (Figure 3). In *S*. Sandiego, the genetic structure of the SPI-6 T6SS gene cluster is conserved between isolates FA0894 and CFSAN105324, that harbor two *tssI-eagR-rhs* gene modules encoding a PAAR-RhsA-CT (C-terminal end with unknown function) and the

PAAR-RhsA-Ntox47 effector proteins, respectively (Figure 3). A genomic comparative analysis of this latter effector with the corresponding T6SS effector in S. Typhimurium 14028s suggest that in isolates of serotype S. Sandiego the Rhs_{main} and RhsA-Ntox47 were at some point a single ORF that was later split due to the accumulation of nonsense mutations (Figure 3). Similar to S. Kentucky, the two *tssI*-eagR-rhs gene modules encoded in SPI-6 of S. Sandiego share high sequence identity with the corresponding gene modules encoded in S. Tennessee CFSAN070645 and S. Typhimurium 14028s, respectively (Figure 3). It is worth mentioning that Chilean S. Sandiego isolates harbor the Tae2 and Tae4 effector proteins encoded in VR1, as well as Tge2 and Tlde1 effectors encoded in VR2. Finally, in S. Tennessee, the



genomic organization of the T6SS gene cluster encoded in SPI-6 is highly conserved not only among Chilean isolates but also among previously reported *S*. Tennessee isolates (Blondel et al., 2023) (Figure 3). Isolates of this serotype harbor two *tssI-eagR-rhs* gene modules encoding a PAAR-RhsA-Tox-HNH-EHHH and a PAAR-RhsA-CdiA T6SS effector proteins, respectively. Interestingly, unlike the other serotypes described above, these two *tssI-eagR-rhs* gene modules do not share any sequence identity with the corresponding module in *S*. Typhimurium 14028s. Altogether, these results suggest a distinct evolutionary origin of *tssI-eagR-rhs* gene modules within the SPI-6 T6SS gene cluster.

On the other hand, the isolates belonging to the remaining 32 serotypes only contain one *tssI-eagR-rhs* gene module encoded in the SPI-6 T6SS gene cluster. In these isolates, the distribution of known and new candidate effectors varies considerably, even among representatives of the same serotype. This is the case of *S*. Livingstone, where two groups of isolates are distinguished. In the first group, the VR3 encodes the PAAR-RhsA-Ntox47 effector, while isolates in the second group harbor the PAAR-RhsA-GIY-YIG effector (Figure 5). In addition, the VR2 in the first group encodes Tlde1 (Figure 5; Supplementary Table S1). Remarkably, the first group only harbor the SPI-6 T6SS gene cluster while the second group also encodes the SPI-19 T6SS gene cluster. Furthermore, the genetic structure of the SPI-6 T6SS cluster in the first group differs more with the T6SS gene cluster of

S. Typhimurium 14028s when compared to the second group (Figure 5).

In isolates of serotype S. Give, the SPI-6 T6SS gene cluster shows structural differences in VR2 and VR3. In VR2, the isolate CFSAN043231 encodes the Tge2 and Peptidase M64 effector proteins, while other isolates (CFSAN119452, CFSAN119453, and CFSAN119454) carry a bi-cistronic unit encoding proteins with unknown function (Supplementary Figure S3). The putative immunity protein encoding-gene of this bi-cistronic unit harbors a DUF4229 domain found in integral membrane proteins (Wang et al., 2023). Another intriguing structural difference exists in VR3, where isolates CFSAN119452, CFSAN119453, and CFSAN119454 encode a PAAR-RhsA-Ntox47 effector protein, while isolate CFSAN043231 encodes a PAAR-RhsA-CT and an RhsA-CT, both harboring C-terminal ends with unknown functions (Supplementary Figure S3). Notably, the putative immunity protein encoding-gene of the RhsA-CT candidate effector harbors the Imm9 domain, which is frequently found in cognate immunity proteins of bacterial toxin systems with RNase activity (Zhang et al., 2012). Thus, the presence of the Imm9 domain in the putative immunity protein-encoding gene suggests that the C-terminal end of the RhsA-CT candidate effector has RNase activity.

The genetic organization of the SPI-6 T6SS gene cluster in *S*. Newport varies between two groups of isolates. In the first group, the isolates encode the PAAR-RhsA-Ntox47 effector in VR3 and the Tge2 effector in VR2. Furthermore, in VR3, these isolates also contain



an ORF with a predicted DUF6769 domain encoded in a bi-cistronic unit with an ORF harboring an Imm26 domain, which is typically found in cognate immunity proteins of bacterial toxin systems with RNase activity (Zhang et al., 2012). The presence of the Imm26 domain in this ORF suggests that the DUF6769-containing protein is a candidate effector with RNase activity. On the other hand, isolates in the second group encode the PAAR-RhsA-CT effector in VR3 and do not encode the Tge2 effector in VR2 (Supplementary Figure S4). Of note, there is no sequence identity between the Rhs elements of both groups of isolates, suggesting a different origin. In addition, the sequence of the C-terminal end of the PAAR-RhsA-CT effector encoded in these isolates shows high sequence similarity with the Rhs element of *S*. Typhi CT18 (Supplementary Figure S4).

Similar findings were also identified in *S*. Edinburgh, where two groups of isolates were distinguished. In VR3, isolates in the first group encode the PAAR-RhsA-HNHc effector protein, while isolates in the second group encode the PAAR-RhsA-CT and RhsA-CT effectors with C-terminal ends with unknown function (Supplementary Figure S5). Notably, S. Edinburgh is one of the three serotypes in which the TseH-like effector is predicted to be encoded in VR2 (Supplementary Figure S5; Supplementary Table S1).

Finally, the SPI-6 T6SS gene cluster in the remaining 32 serotypes is highly conserved among isolates within the same serotype. However, the T6SS effector repertoire and its distribution varies considerably among these 32 serotypes (Figure 4). Notably, in VR3 these serotypes encode several T6SS effector proteins with different anti-bacterial activities, including putative DNases such as PAAR-RhsA-HNHc (S. Anatum, S. Edinburgh, S. Infantis, S. Kentucky, S. Senftenberg), RhsA-HNHc (S. Tennessee), RhsA-Tox-HNH-EHHH (S. Braenderup, S. Derby), PAAR-RhsA-Tox-HNH-EHHH (S. Johannesburg, S. Tennessee), PAAR-RhsA-AHH (S. Goldcoast) and PAAR-RhsA-GIY-YIG (S. Livingstone); putative RNases such as RhsA-Ntox47 (S. Brandenburg, S. I 1,4,[5],12:i:-, S. Typhimurium), PAAR-RhsA-Ntox47 (S. Give, S. Livingstone, S. Muenchen, S. Newport, S. Panama, S. Sandiego) and DUF4329 (S. Anatum); and putative ADP-ribosyltransferases such as PAAR-RhsA-Tox-ART-HYD1 (S. Johannesburg), RhsA-Tox-ART-HYD1 (S. Thompson) and RhsAmain (S. Typhimurium). Notably, 19 out of these 32 serotypes encode PAAR-RhsA-CT and RhsA-CT effectors harboring



C-terminal ends with unknown function (Table 1; Figure 4). For instance, *S.* Johannesburg isolate CFSAN 122905 encodes an RhsA-CT candidate effector, along with a putative immunity protein harboring an Imm8 domain, which is commonly found in immunity proteins of bacterial toxin systems with RNase activity (Zhang et al., 2012). This result suggests that the C-terminal end of the RhsA-CT candidate effector has RNase activity.

The SPI-19 Rhs effectors of Chilean *Salmonella* serotypes harbor C-terminal ends with protein domains of unknown function

The SPI-19 encodes a T6SS gene cluster present in some of the most prevalent *Salmonella* serotypes worldwide, such as *S*. Dublin, *S*. Agona, *S*. Weltevreden and *S*. Gallinarum, among others. Despite its contribution to intestinal colonization, antibacterial activity and cytotoxicity against macrophages (Blondel et al., 2013; Blondel et al., 2010; Pezoa et al., 2013, 2014; Schroll et al., 2019; Xian et al., 2020) no effector protein of this T6SS has been experimentally validated and tested. This is an important knowledge gap as infections triggered by these serotypes cause major economic problems in animal production and public health issues.

Our analysis identified the SPI-19 T6SS gene cluster in isolates representing 4 out of the 42 serotypes encoding T6SS. Of note, the genetic structure of this T6SS gene cluster differs among isolates of these 4 serotypes (Figure 6). In *S*. Agona, there are two groups of isolates that encode a PAAR-RhsA-CT effector and differ in the putative cognate immunity protein. The first group encodes a putative immunity protein with a predicted TPR domain, while in the second group this protein harbors an Imm40 domain that is frequently found in cognate immunity proteins of bacterial toxin systems with RNase activity (Zhang et al., 2012) (Figure 6). Therefore, the presence of the Imm40 domain in the putative immunity protein-encoding gene suggests that the C-terminal end of the PAAR-RhA-CT candidate effector has RNase activity. Of note, a single *S*. Agona isolate (CFSAN100497) lacks the SPI-19 T6SS gene cluster and harbors that encoded in SPI-6, which encodes the effector RhsA-Ntox47. This SPI-6 T6SS gene cluster exhibits high homology to the corresponding cluster in *S*. Typhimurium 14028s (Supplementary Figure S6).

In the case of the only isolate of serotype S. I 4:f,g,s:1,2 analyzed, the SPI-19 T6SS gene cluster exhibits high sequence conservation between the *tssK* and *tssI* core component genes with those encoded in the corresponding cluster of *S*. Dublin and *S*. Gallinarum (Figure 6). However, this serotype encodes a PAAR-RhsA-CT effector that has a different origin from the corresponding effector of *S*. Dublin and *S*. Gallinarum. Furthermore, the cognate immunity protein of this PAAR-RhsA-CT effector harbors an Imm40 domain (Zhang et al., 2012) (Figure 6), suggesting that the C-terminal end of PAAR-RhsA-CT has RNase activity.

Although we were not able to identify new effector candidates in the SPI-19 T6SS gene cluster of isolates belonging to serotypes *S*. IV 43:z4,z23:- and *S*. Livingstone, we found some features worth mentioning. In the case of serotype *S*. IV 43:z4,z23:-, the SPI-19 T6SS gene cluster is highly conserved among the 3 isolates analyzed. However, it shares lower degree of sequence identity with the corresponding gene cluster of *S*. Dublin and *S*. Gallinarum (Figure 6). The same was true for the group of 14 *S*. Livingstone isolates carrying both SPI-6 and SPI-19 T6SS gene clusters described above (Figure 6).

The SPI-21 T6SS gene cluster from *S. enterica* subspecies *arizonae* and *diarizonae* encodes two candidate effectors

To date there is very limited information regarding the effector proteins encoded in the SPI-21 T6SS gene cluster. Only one candidate effector has been described in *S. enterica* subsp. *arizonae* serotype 62:z4,z23:- reference strain RSK2980, which corresponds to a specialized VgrG protein with a C-terminal extension including a pyocin domain



(S Type) (Blondel et al., 2009; Ho et al., 2017). Indeed, our bioinformatic analysis identified the VgrG-PyocinS-HNHc effector in most isolates of S. enterica subsp. diarizonae serotypes 48:i:z and 35:i:z (S. IIIb 48:i:z and S. IIIb 35:i:z, respectively) analyzed (Table 1; Figure 7A). The predicted cognate immunity protein of this candidate effector includes a inhibitory immunity protein of colicin DNase and pyocins (Col_Imm_like) domain, frequently present in immunity proteins of bacterial toxin systems (Zhang et al., 2012) (Figure 7A). Noteworthy, the SPI-21 T6SS gene cluster in all isolates of S. enterica subsp. diarizonae analyzed encodes a new candidate effector including a glucosaminidase domain with predicted peptidoglycan hydrolase activity (Table 3; Figure 7B). The predicted cognate immunity protein carries the domain with no name (DWNN). Furthermore, the SPI-21 T6SS gene cluster in the only isolate of S. IIIb 35:i:z analyzed (CFSAN111176) encodes a second new candidate effector with a predicted BTH_I2691 domain (Table 3; Figure 7B). Of note, BTH_I2691 is a T6SS effector protein originally described in B. thailandensis (Russell et al., 2012), which exhibits structural homology to colicin Ia (Parret et al., 2003). This suggests that the BTH_I2691 candidate effector protein may have membrane poreforming activity. Finally, the SPI-21 T6SS gene cluster in all isolates of S. enterica subsp. diarizonae analyzed exhibit a relatively low degree of sequence identity with the corresponding gene cluster in S. enterica subsp. arizonae RSK2980 (Figure 7A).

Global genome-wide distribution analysis of the new candidate effectors identified in SPI-6 and SPI-21 T6SS gene clusters

The identification of 6 new candidate T6SS effectors, harboring protein domains frequently found in bacterial toxin systems, prompted us to determine their distribution across *Salmonella*. To this end, the

nucleotide sequence corresponding to the ORF encoding each candidate effector was used in tBLASTx searches in publicly available *Salmonella* genome sequences deposited in the NCBI database (March, 2024) and the distribution of each effector was determined. Our analysis revealed that the new candidate effectors are distributed in a limited number of serotypes (Figures 1B, 7B). Indeed, effectors PAAR-RhsA-NucA_B, PAAR-RhsA-CdiA and RhsA-CdiA (encoded in the SPI-6 T6SS gene cluster) are distributed in 10 to 13 serotypes, while effector PAAR-RhsA-GH-E is distributed only in 5 serotypes (Figure 1B). In the case of the two candidate effectors encoded in the SPI-21 T6SS gene cluster, they are restricted to isolates of *S. enterica* subsp. *arizonae* and *S. enterica* subsp. *diarizonae* (Figure 7B).

Discussion

The T6SS has emerged as a significant virulence and environmental fitness factor for Gram-negative bacteria. The T6SS is a versatile machine that delivers a wide range of effector proteins to bacterial and/or eukaryotic cells. As a result, it has become an essential weapon for mediating interbacterial competition and host-cell interactions for many bacterial pathogens. In *Salmonella*, five T6SS gene clusters have been identified within pathogenicity islands SPI-6, SPI-19, SPI-20, SPI-21, and SPI-22 (Blondel et al., 2009; Fookes et al., 2011) which belong to 4 different evolutionary lineages. However, information regarding the presence and distribution of T6SS gene clusters and their effector proteins is still limited, partly because most analyses have focused on a limited number of strains of a few serotypes.

In this study, to expand our knowledge regarding the distribution of T6SS gene clusters and the repertoire of T6SS effector proteins in *Salmonella*, we performed bioinformatic and comparative genomic analyses of a dataset including 695 *S. enterica* genomes, representing



subsp. *diarizonae* 48:i:z CFSAN043227, *S. enterica* subsp. *diarizonae* 35:i:z CFSAN111176 and *S. enterica* subsp. *diarizonae* 48:i:z CFSAN043227, *S. enterica* subsp. *diarizonae* 48:i:z CFSAN111476 and *S. enterica* subsp. *diarizonae* 48:i:z CFSAN11408. BLASTn sequence alignment was performed and visualized using EasyFig (Sullivan et al., 2011). SPI-21 T6SS gene cluster from *S. enterica* subsp. *arizonae* RSK2980 was used for comparative purposes. (B) Schematic representation and distribution among *Salmonella* genomes of each new effector and immunity protein identified. ORFs encoding new E/I modules are highlighted in different colors according to the predicted functions. Homologs for each component were identified by BLASTn analyses, as described in Materials and Methods.

TABLE 3 New putative T6SS effectors and cognate immunity proteins encoded in the SPI-21 T6SS gene cluster of Chilean Salmonella isolates.

	т65	Cognate T6SS immunity protein genes						
ORF(s)	Size (aa)	Serotype-isolate	Predicted activity/ Domain	ORF(s)	TM or signal peptide/Domainª			
Effectors targeting peptidoglycan								
CFSAN043227_5840	500	S. IIIb 48:i:z CFSAN043227	Peptidoglycan hydrolase/	CFSAN043227_5839	No/DWNN			
CFSAN119438_4687	739	S. IIIb 48:i:z CFSAN119438	Glucosaminidase	CFSAN119438_4688				
Effectors targeting inner membrane								
CFSAN111176_6167	884	S. IIIb 35:i:z	Membrane-pore forming/ BTH_I2691	CFSAN111176_6166	No/No			

^aPresence or absence of transmembrane domains (TM) or a signal peptide, and protein domains present in the putative immunity protein genes.

44 serotypes isolated in Chile from different sources including surface waters, backyard systems and wildlife, among others. As expected, the SPI-6 T6SS gene cluster was the most prevalent in isolates of 36 different serotypes (87.48% of total *Salmonella* isolates), suggesting that the T6SS_{SPI-6} is one of the most critical molecular toolboxes for *Salmonella* pathogenicity and environmental fitness. Our analysis also confirmed previous observations suggesting that the T6SS_{SPI-19} is prevalent only in a subset of *Salmonella* serotypes, perhaps reflecting a contribution to *Salmonella* fitness in specialized environments and/ or hosts (Blondel et al., 2009; Bao et al., 2019). Interestingly, we provide the first report on the presence of both SPI-6 and SPI-19 T6SS gene clusters in isolates of serotype *S*. Livingstone, as previously reported only in serotypes *S*. Dublin and *S*. Weltevreden (Blondel et al., 2009;

Bao et al., 2019). Since the presence of multiple T6SSs in the same isolate is not common among *Salmonella* serotypes, it is still unclear how such multiplicity contributes to their environmental adaptation and/or pathogenic potential. Other T6SS gene clusters are restricted to specific serotypes. For instance, we identified the SPI-21 T6SS gene cluster only in isolates belonging to *S. enterica* subsp. *arizonae* and *S. enterica* subsp. *diarizonae*, as previously reported (Blondel et al., 2009; Bao et al., 2019). Regarding the repertoire of T6SS effector proteins of the Chilean *Salmonella* isolates, we identified 20 out of the 37 effectors previously identified in *Salmonella* (Blondel et al., 2009; Russell et al., 2012; Benz et al., 2013; Whitney et al., 2013; Koskiniemi et al., 2014; Sana et al., 2016; Ho et al., 2017; Sibinelli-Sousa et al., 2020; Amaya et al., 2022; Jurènas et al., 2022; Lorente-Cobo et al.,

2022; Hespanhol et al., 2022; Blondel et al., 2023). These effector proteins are distributed across 42 serotypes. It is notable that the content and distribution of T6SS effector proteins in local *Salmonella* isolates differs from previous reports (Blondel et al., 2023) and show differences between isolates of the same serotype. It is therefore tempting to speculate that diverse combinations of these proteins may have different effects on the environmental fitness, which could differentially contribute to geographic adaptations and/or pathogenic potential of *Salmonella* strains. Further experimental work is required to confirm this hypothesis.

One of these differences is exemplified by the variable number of tssI-eagR-rhs gene modules within the VR3 of the SPI-6 T6SS gene cluster. All these modules encode different T6SS effectors and candidate effectors. In Salmonella, 23 T6SS effector proteins with putative nuclease activity targeting DNA and RNA have been identified so far encoded in VR3 (Blondel et al., 2009; Koskiniemi et al., 2014; Ho et al., 2017; Amaya et al., 2022; Hespanhol et al., 2022; Blondel et al., 2023). In this work, we identified 4 new candidate effector proteins with potential nuclease activity within VR3 in SPI-6. This expands our knowledge regarding the versatility of the Salmonella T6SS effectors in targeting bacterial nucleic acids and highlights how they are one of the main bacterial targets of Salmonella T6SS effector proteins. Most of these effector proteins correspond to Rhs proteins with C-terminal ends including domains with predicted antibacterial activities, thus contributing to the diversification of the molecular targets of T6SSs in Salmonella. This was expected, given that previous studies have demonstrated that the VR3 of the SPI-6 T6SS gene cluster encodes a variable number of Rhs elements (Blondel et al., 2009; Amaya et al., 2022; Blondel et al., 2023) and that several Rhs proteins carry C-terminal polymorphic endonuclease domains, which are associated with T6SS effectors in Salmonella and other bacteria (Zhang et al., 2012; Koskiniemi et al., 2014; Amaya et al., 2022; Blondel et al., 2023).

Another exciting observation is that many of the putative SPI-6 and SPI-19 Rhs effectors identified in this study harbor C-terminal extensions with unknown function. However, the presence of putative immunity proteins encoded next to these Rhs proteins suggests that these effectors have an antibacterial activity. Thus, it is tempting to speculate that the arsenal of *Salmonella* T6SS effectors harbors a diverse array of protein domains with yet-to-be-discovered activities and bacterial targets.

Regarding the SPI-19 T6SS gene cluster, we could not identify new T6SS candidate effectors encoded in the genome of the local isolates analyzed. Of note, the previously identified T6SS candidate effectors, SED_RS06235 and SED_RS06335, encoded in the SPI-19 T6SS gene cluster of *S*. Dublin CT_02021853 harbor the LysM and metallopeptidase M91 domains, respectively (Amaya et al., 2022), both of which target the peptidoglycan layer.

The only known T6SS effector encoded in the SPI-21 T6SS gene cluster corresponds to VgrG-PyocinS-HNHc, which harbors putative nuclease activity and was previously identified in *S. enterica* subsp. *arizonae* 62:z4,z23:-s reference strain RSK2980 (Blondel et al., 2009; Ho et al., 2017). Noteworthy, the SPI-21 T6SS gene cluster from our local *Salmonella* isolates encodes two new candidate effector proteins. The first one includes a glucosaminidase domain with peptidoglycan hydrolase activity, while the second one harbors the BTH_I2691 domain with predicted membrane-pore forming activity. This is the first report of a T6SS candidate effector harboring the BTH_I2691 domain present in the *Salmonella* genus, which expands our knowledge on the molecules targeted by T6SS in competing bacteria.

Furthermore, this BTH_I2691 domain exhibits predicted structural homology to colicin Ia, a bactericidal protein that forms a voltage-dependent channel in the inner membrane of target cells (Parret et al., 2003). These findings suggest that T6SS_{SPI-21} attacks different bacterial targets (i.e., nucleic acids, peptidoglycan and inner membrane), contributing to the fitness and virulence of both *S. enterica* subsp. *arizonae* and *S. enterica* subsp. *diarizonae*.

Finally, the distribution analysis of the six new T6SS candidate effectors identified in this study in *Salmonella* genomes from the NCBI database revealed that they are distributed in a limited number of serotypes, in contrast to the distribution previously reported for other T6SS candidate effectors in *Salmonella* (Blondel et al., 2023).

Altogether, our work broadens the repertoire of Salmonella T6SS effector proteins and provides evidence that the SPI-6, SPI-19 and SPI-21 T6SS gene clusters harbor a vast array of potential antibacterial effectors. This diversity is particularly evident in the VR3 of the SPI-6 T6SS gene cluster in our local Salmonella isolates, especially in those serotypes that lack some of the most conserved T6SS effectors encoded in VR2 (Figure 6). Finally, although this study increases the number of putative Salmonella antibacterial effectors against competing bacteria, it cannot be ruled out that those new candidate effectors targeting nucleic acids and cellular membranes may also affect eukaryotic cells. This represents a significant gap in our current understanding of the roles played by T6SS in host-pathogen interaction. In fact, no T6SS effector protein identified to date in Salmonella has been confirmed to target eukaryotic organisms, despite the clear contribution of Salmonella T6SSs to intracellular replication, survival and cytotoxicity inside the host immune cells (Mulder et al., 2012; Blondel et al., 2013; Schroll et al., 2019). Further research is required to address this issue.

Data availability statement

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found in the article/Supplementary material.

Author contributions

FA: Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Validation, Writingoriginal draft, Writing review and editing, Resources, Project administration, Funding acquisition. CaB: Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Validation, Writing-original draft, Writing review and editing, Resources, Project administration, Funding acquisition, Methodolgy, Investigation, Visualization. FR-M: Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Validation, Writing-original draft, Writing review and editing, Resources, Project administration, Funding acquisition. DR: Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Validation, Writing-original draft, Writing review and editing, Resources, Project administration, Funding acquisition. AM-S: Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Validation, Writing-original draft, Writing review and editing, Resources, Project administration, Funding acquisition. MT: Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Validation, Writing-original draft, Writing review and editing, Resources, Project administration, Funding acquisition. CoB: Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Validation, Writing-original draft, Writing review and editing, Resources, Project administration, Funding acquisition. CS: Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Validation, Writing-original draft, Writing review and editing, Resources, Project administration, Funding acquisition, Supervision. DP: Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Validation, Writing-original draft, Writing review and editing, Resources, Project administration, Funding acquisition, Methodolgy, Investigation, Visualization, Supervision.

Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. DP was supported by FONDECYT grant 11240160 and Fondo Concursable Proyectos de Investigación Regulares UDLA 2023 DI-13/23. CS was supported by FONDECYT grant 1212075. CaB was supported by FONDECYT grant 1212075. CaB was supported by FONDECYT grant 1210075. CaB was supported by FONDECYT grant 1231082. MT was supported by the FDA of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) as part of financial assistance award U01FDU001418. FA was supported by CONICYT/ANID fellowship 21191925.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2024.1496223/ full#supplementary-material

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE S1

Phylogenetic analysis and E/I module composition of T6SS gene clusters in Chilean *Salmonella* isolates. Concatenated TssC aminoacid sequences encoded in the genome of 605 Chilean *Salmonella* isolates were aligned with ClustalW using MEGA version 7.0. Next, a maximum-likelihood phylogenetic

References

Ahmad, S., Wang, B., Walker, M. D., Tran, H.-K. R., Stogios, P. J., Savchenko, A., et al. (2019). An interbacterial toxin inhibits target cell growth by synthesizing (p)ppApp. *Nature* 575, 674–678. doi: 10.1038/s41586-019-1735-9

Amaya, F. A., Blondel, C. J., Barros-Infante, M. F., Rivera, D., Moreno-Switt, A. I., Santiviago, C. A., et al. (2022). Identification of type VI secretion systems effector proteins that contribute to interbacterial competition in *Salmonella* Dublin. *Front. Microbiol.* 13:811932. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2022.811932 tree was built from the alignment using a bootstrap test of phylogeny (1,000 replications) with a Jones-Taylor-Thornton correction model.

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE S2

The genetic structure and repertoire of effector proteins encoded in the SPI-6 T6SS gene cluster vary among isolates of serotype *S*. Braenderup. Comparative genomic analysis of the SPI-6 T6SS gene cluster in isolates of *S*. Braenderup. BLASTn sequence alignment was performed and visualized using EasyFig version 2.2.5 (Sullivan et al., 2011). ORFs encoding E/I modules are highlighted in different colors according to the confirmed or predicted functions. SPI-6 T6SS gene clusters from *S*. Typhimurium 14028s and *S*. Tennessee CFSAN070645 were used for comparative purposes.

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE S3

The genetic structure and repertoire of effector proteins encoded in the SPI-6 T6SS gene cluster vary among isolates of serotype *S*. Give. Comparative genomic analysis of the SPI-6 T6SS cluster in isolates of *S*. Give. BLASTn sequence alignment was performed and visualized using EasyFig version 2.2.5 (Sullivan et al., 2011). ORFs encoding E/I modules are highlighted in different colors according to the confirmed or predicted functions. SPI-6 T6SS gene clusters from *S*. Typhimurium 14028s and *S*. Typhi CT18 were used for comparative purposes.

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE S4

The genetic structure and repertoire of effector proteins encoded in the SPI-6 T6SS gene cluster vary among isolates of serotype S. Newport. Comparative genomic analysis of the SPI-6 T6SS cluster in isolates of S. Newport. BLASTn sequence alignment was performed and visualized using EasyFig version 2.2.5 (Sullivan et al., 2011). ORFs encoding E/I modules are highlighted in different colors according to the confirmed or predicted functions. SPI-6 T6SS gene cluster from S. Newport SL254 was used for comparative purposes.

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE S5

The genetic structure and repertoire of effector proteins encoded in the SPI-6 T6SS gene cluster vary among isolates of serotype *S*. Edinburgh. Comparative genomic analysis of the SPI-6 T6SS cluster in isolates of *S*. Edinburgh. BLASTn sequence alignment was performed and visualized using EasyFig version 2.2.5 (Sullivan et al., 2011). ORFs encoding E/I modules are highlighted in different colors according to the confirmed or predicted functions. SPI-6 T6SS gene clusters from *S*. Typhimurium 14028s and *S*. Typhi CT18 were used for comparative purposes.

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE S6

The SPI-6 T6SS gene cluster from *S*. Agona CFSAN100497 and *S*. Typhimurium 14028s share high sequence identity. Comparative genomic analysis of the SPI-6 T6SS gene cluster of *S*. Agona CFSAN100497 and *S*. Typhimurium 14028s. BLASTn sequence alignment was performed and visualized using EasyFig version 2.2.5 (Sullivan et al., 2011). ORFs encoding E/I modules are highlighted in different colors according to the confirmed or predicted functions.

SUPPLEMENTARY TABLE S1

Dataset of *Salmonella* genomes retrieved from Bioproject 560080 (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject/560080).

SUPPLEMENTARY TABLE S2

Frequency of *Salmonella* isolates of a particular serotype harboring each effector and candidate effector encoded in SPI-6.

SUPPLEMENTARY TABLE S3

Distribution of SPI-6 T6SS effectors and candidate effectors in *Salmonella* genomes. The DNA sequence encoding each T6SS effector identified in this study was subjected to tBLASTx analyses to find orthologs in all *Salmonella* genome sequences deposited in the NCBI database (March 2024).

SUPPLEMENTARY TABLE S4

Distribution of SPI-21 T6SS effectors and candidate effectors in *Salmonella* genomes. The DNA sequence encoding each T6SS effector identified in this study was subjected to tBLASTx analyses to find orthologs in all *Salmonella* genome sequences deposited in the NCBI database (March 2024).

Bankevich, A., Nurk, S., Antipov, D., Gurevich, A. A., Dvorkin, M., Kulikov, A. S., et al. (2012). SPAdes: a new genome assembly algorithm and its applications to single-cell sequencing. *J. Comput. Biol.* 19, 455–477. doi: 10.1089/cmb.2012. 0021

Bao, H., Zhao, J.-H., Zhu, S., Wang, S., Zhang, J., Wang, X.-Y., et al. (2019). Genetic diversity and evolutionary features of type VI secretion systems in *Salmonella. Future Microbiol.* 14, 139–154. doi: 10.2217/fmb-2018-0260

Benz, J., Reinstein, J., and Meinhart, A. (2013). Structural insights into the effector – immunity system Tae4/Tai4 from *Salmonella typhimurium*. *PLoS One* 8:e67362. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0067362

Berni, B., Soscia, C., Djermoun, S., Ize, B., and Bleves, S. (2019). A type VI secretion system trans-kingdom effector is required for the delivery of a novel antibacterial toxin in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Front. Microbiol.* 10:1218. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2019.01218

Blondel, C. J., Amaya, F. A., Bustamante, P., Santiviago, C. A., and Pezoa, D. (2023). Identification and distribution of new candidate T6SS effectors encoded in *Salmonella* Pathogenicity Island 6. *Front. Microbiol.* 14:1252344. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2023.1252344

Blondel, C. J., Jiménez, J. C., Contreras, I., and Santiviago, C. A. (2009). Comparative genomic analysis uncovers 3 novel loci encoding type six secretion systems differentially distributed in *Salmonella* serotypes. *BMC Genomics* 10:354. doi: 10.1186/1471-2164-10-354

Blondel, C. J., Jiménez, J. C., Leiva, L. E., Alvarez, S. A., Pinto, B. I., Contreras, F., et al. (2013). The type VI secretion system encoded in *Salmonella* Pathogenicity Island 19 is required for *Salmonella enterica* serotype Gallinarum survival within infected macrophages. *Infect. Immun.* 81, 1207–1220. doi: 10.1128/iai.01165-12

Blondel, C. J., Yang, H.-J., Castro, B., Chiang, S., Toro, C. S., Zaldívar, M., et al. (2010). Contribution of the type VI secretion system encoded in SPI-19 to chicken colonization by *Salmonella enterica* serotypes Gallinarum and Enteritidis. *PLoS One* 5:e11724. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0011724

Bolger, A. M., Lohse, M., and Usadel, B. (2014). Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data. *Bioinformatics* 30, 2114–2120. doi: 10.1093/ bioinformatics/btu170

Brackmann, M., Nazarov, S., Wang, J., and Basler, M. (2017). Using force to punch holes: mechanics of contractile nanomachines. *Trends Cell Biol.* 27, 623–632. doi: 10.1016/j.tcb.2017.05.003

Chassaing, B., and Cascales, E. (2018). Antibacterial weapons: targeted destruction in the microbiota. *Trends Microbiol.* 26, 329–338. doi: 10.1016/j.tim.2018.01.006

Chen, Z., Moreno-Switt, A. I., Reyes-Jara, A., Delgado-Suarez, E., Adell, A. D., Oliveira, C. J. B., et al. (2024a). A multicenter genomic epidemiological investigation in Brazil, Chile, and Mexico reveals the diversity and persistence of *Salmonella* populations in surface waters. *mBio* 15:e0077724. doi: 10.1128/mbio.00777-24

Chen, Z., Toro, M., Moreno-Switt, A. I., Adell, A. D., Delgado-Suárez, E. J., Bonelli, R. R., et al. (2024b). Unveiling the genomic landscape of *Salmonella enterica* serotypes Typhimurium, Newport, and Infantis in Latin American surface waters: a comparative analysis. *Microbiol. Spectr.* 12:e0004724. doi: 10.1128/spectrum.00047-24

Cherrak, Y., Flaugnatti, N., Durand, E., Journet, L., and Cascales, E. (2019). Structure and activity of the type VI secretion system. *Microbiol. Spectr.* 7:10-1128. doi: 10.1128/microbiolspec.PSIB-0031-2019

Coulthurst, S. (2019). The type VI secretion system: a versatile bacterial weapon. *Microbiology* 165, 503–515. doi: 10.1099/mic.0.000789

Coyne, M. J., and Comstock, L. E. (2019). Type VI secretion systems and the gut microbiota. *Microbiol. Spectr.* 7:10-1128. doi: 10.1128/microbiolspec.PSIB-0009-2018

Darling, A. C. E., Mau, B., Blattner, F. R., and Perna, N. T. (2004). Mauve: multiple alignment of conserved genomic sequence with rearrangements. *Genome Res.* 14, 1394–1403. doi: 10.1101/gr.2289704

Diniz, J. A., and Coulthurst, S. J. (2015). Intraspecies competition in *Serratia marcescens* is mediated by type VI-secreted Rhs effectors and a conserved effector-associated accessory protein. *J. Bacteriol.* 197, 2350–2360. doi: 10.1128/jb.00199-15

Durand, E., Cambillau, C., Cascales, E., and Journet, L. (2014). VgrG, Tae, Tle, and beyond: the versatile arsenal of type VI secretion effectors. *Trends Microbiol.* 22, 498–507. doi: 10.1016/j.tim.2014.06.004

Finn, R. D., Bateman, A., Clements, J., Coggill, P., Eberhardt, R. Y., Eddy, S. R., et al. (2014). Pfam: the protein families database. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 42, D222–D230. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkt1223

Fookes, M., Schroeder, G. N., Langridge, G. C., Blondel, C. J., Mammina, C., Connor, T. R., et al. (2011). *Salmonella bongori* provides insights into the evolution of the salmonellae. *PLoS Pathog.* 7:e1002191. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1002191

Gurevich, A., Saveliev, V., Vyahhi, N., and Tesler, G. (2013). QUAST: quality assessment tool for genome assemblies. *Bioinformatics* 29, 1072–1075. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btt086

Hespanhol, J. T., Sanchez-Limache, D. E., Nicastro, G. G., Mead, L., Llontop, E. E., Chagas-Santos, G., et al. (2022). Antibacterial T6SS effectors with a VRR-Nuc domain are structure-specific nucleases. *eLife* 11:e82437. doi: 10.7554/eLife.82437

Ho, B. T., Fu, Y., Dong, T. G., and Mekalanos, J. J. (2017). Vibrio cholerae type 6 secretion system effector trafficking in target bacterial cells. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 114, 9427–9432. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1711219114

Issenhuth-Jeanjean, S., Roggentin, P., Mikoleit, M., Guibourdenche, M., De Pinna, E., Nair, S., et al. (2014). Supplement 2008–2010 (no. 48) to the white–Kauffmann–Le minor scheme. *Res. Microbiol.* 165, 526–530. doi: 10.1016/j.resmic.2014.07.004

Jiang, F., Waterfield, N. R., Yang, J., Yang, G., and Jin, Q. (2014). A *Pseudomonas* aeruginosa type VI secretion phospholipase D effector targets both prokaryotic and eukaryotic cells. *Cell Host Microbe* 15, 600–610. doi: 10.1016/j.chom.2014.04.010

Jurėnas, D., Rey, M., Byrne, D., Chamot-Rooke, J., Terradot, L., and Cascales, E. (2022). *Salmonella* antibacterial Rhs polymorphic toxin inhibits translation through ADP-ribosylation of EF-Tu P-loop. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 50, 13114–13127. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkac1162

Kanehisa, M., Goto, S., Kawashima, S., and Nakaya, A. (2002). The KEGG databases at GenomeNet. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 30, 42–46. doi: 10.1093/nar/30.1.42

Katoh, K., Rozewicki, J., and Yamada, K. D. (2017). MAFFT online service: multiple sequence alignment, interactive sequence choice and visualization. *Brief. Bioinform.* 20, 1160–1166. doi: 10.1093/bib/bbx108

Koskiniemi, S., Garza-Sánchez, F., Sandegren, L., Webb, J. S., Braaten, B. A., Poole, S. J., et al. (2014). Selection of orphan Rhs toxin expression in evolved *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium. *PLoS Genet.* 10:e1004255. doi: 10.1371/journal. pgen.1004255

Kumar, S., Stecher, G., and Tamura, K. (2016). MEGA7: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis version 7.0 for bigger datasets. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 33, 1870–1874. doi: 10.1093/molbev/msw054

Lorente-Cobo, N., Sibinelli-Sousa, S., Biboy, J., Vollmer, W., Bayer-Santos, E., and Prehna, G. (2022). Molecular characterization of the type VI secretion system effector Tlde1a reveals a structurally altered LD-transpeptidase fold. *J. Biol. Chem.* 298:102556. doi: 10.1016/j.jbc.2022.102556

Lu, S., Wang, J., Chitsaz, F., Derbyshire, M. K., Geer, R. C., Gonzales, N. R., et al. (2019). CDD/SPARCLE: the conserved domain database in 2020. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 48, D265–D268. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkz991

Ma, A. T., and Mekalanos, J. J. (2010). In vivo actin cross-linking induced by *Vibrio cholerae* type VI secretion system is associated with intestinal inflammation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 107, 4365–4370. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0915156107

Ma, J., Sun, M., Dong, W., Pan, Z., Lu, C., and Yao, H. (2017). PAAR-Rhs proteins harbor various C-terminal toxins to diversify the antibacterial pathways of type VI secretion systems. *Environ. Microbiol.* 19, 345–360. doi: 10.1111/1462-2920.13621

Monjarás Feria, J., and Valvano, M. A. (2020). An overview of anti-eukaryotic T6SS effectors. *Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol.* 10:584751. doi: 10.3389/fcimb.2020.584751

Mulder, D. T., Cooper, C. A., and Coombes, B. K. (2012). Type VI secretion systemassociated gene clusters contribute to pathogenesis of *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium. *Infect. Immun.* 80, 1996–2007. doi: 10.1128/iai.06205-11

Notredame, C., Higgins, D. G., and Heringa, J. (2000). T-coffee: a novel method for fast and accurate multiple sequence alignment. *J. Mol. Biol.* 302, 205–217. doi: 10.1006/jmbi.2000.4042

Parret, A. H., Schoofs, G., Proost, P., and De Mot, R. (2003). Plant lectin-like bacteriocin from a rhizosphere-colonizing *Pseudomonas* isolate. *J. Bacteriol.* 185, 897–908. doi: 10.1128/JB.185.3.897-908.2003

Pezoa, D., Blondel, C. J., Silva, C. A., Yang, H.-J., Andrews-Polymenis, H., Santiviago, C. A., et al. (2014). Only one of the two type VI secretion systems encoded in the *Salmonella enterica* serotype Dublin genome is involved in colonization of the avian and murine hosts. *Vet. Res.* 45:2. doi: 10.1186/1297-9716-45-2

Pezoa, D., Yang, H.-J., Blondel, C. J., Santiviago, C. A., Andrews-Polymenis, H. L., and Contreras, I. (2013). The type VI secretion system encoded in SPI-6 plays a role in gastrointestinal colonization and systemic spread of *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium in the chicken. *PLoS One* 8:e63917. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0063917

Pightling, A. W., Pettengill, J. B., Luo, Y., Baugher, J. D., Rand, H., and Strain, E. (2018). Interpreting whole-genome sequence analyses of foodborne bacteria for regulatory applications and outbreak investigations. *Front. Microbiol.* 9:1482. doi: 10.3389/ fmicb.2018.01482

Pissaridou, P., Allsopp, L. P., Wettstadt, S., Howard, S. A., Mavridou, D. A. I., and Filloux, A. (2018). The *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* T6SS-VgrG1b spike is topped by a PAAR protein eliciting DNA damage to bacterial competitors. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 115, 12519–12524. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1814181115

Riquelme, S., Varas, M., Valenzuela, C., Velozo, P., Chahin, N., Aguilera, P., et al. (2016). Relevant genes linked to virulence are required for *Salmonella* Typhimurium to survive intracellularly in the social amoeba *Dictyostelium discoideum*. Front. Microbiol. 7:1305. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2016.01305

Rivera, D., Allel, K., Dueñas, F., Tardone, R., Soza, P., Hamilton-West, C., et al. (2021). Screening the presence of non-typhoidal *Salmonella* in different animal systems and the assessment of antimicrobial resistance. *Animals (Basel)* 11:1532. doi: 10.3390/ani11061532

Robert, X., and Gouet, P. (2014). Deciphering key features in protein structures with the new ENDscript server. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 42, W320–W324. doi: 10.1093/nar/gku316

Russell, A. B., Singh, P., Brittnacher, M., Bui, N. K., Hood, R. D., Carl, M. A., et al. (2012). A widespread bacterial type VI secretion effector superfamily identified using a heuristic approach. *Cell Host Microbe* 11, 538–549. doi: 10.1016/j.chom.2012.04.007

Rutherford, K., Parkhill, J., Crook, J., Horsnell, T., Rice, P., Rajandream, M.-A., et al. (2000). Artemis: sequence visualization and annotation. *Bioinformatics* 16, 944–945. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/16.10.944

Sana, T. G., Flaugnatti, N., Lugo, K. A., Lam, L. H., Jacobson, A., Baylot, V., et al. (2016). *Salmonella* Typhimurium utilizes a T6SS-mediated antibacterial weapon to establish in the host gut. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 113, E5044–E5051. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1608858113

Sandt, C. H., Hopper, J. E., and Hill, C. W. (2002). Activation of prophage *eib* genes for immunoglobulin-binding proteins by genes from the IbrAB genetic island of

Escherichia coli ECOR-9. *J. Bacteriol.* 184, 3640–3648. doi: 10.1128/ JB.184.13.3640-3648.2002

Schroll, C., Huang, K., Ahmed, S., Kristensen, B. M., Pors, S. E., Jelsbak, L., et al. (2019). The SPI-19 encoded type-six secretion-systems (T6SS) of *Salmonella enterica* serovars Gallinarum and Dublin play different roles during infection. *Vet. Microbiol.* 230, 23–31. doi: 10.1016/j.vetmic.2019.01.006

Sibinelli-Sousa, S., de Araújo-Silva, A. L., Hespanhol, J. T., and Bayer-Santos, E. (2022). Revisiting the steps of *Salmonella* gut infection with a focus on antagonistic interbacterial interactions. *FEBS J.* 289, 4192–4211. doi: 10.1111/febs.16211

Sibinelli-Sousa, S., Hespanhol, J. T., Nicastro, G. G., Matsuyama, B. Y., Mesnage, S., Patel, A., et al. (2020). A family of T6SS antibacterial effectors related to l,d-transpeptidases targets the peptidoglycan. *Cell Rep.* 31:107813. doi: 10.1016/j. celrep.2020.107813

Sigrist, C. J. A., de Castro, E., Cerutti, L., Cuche, B. A., Hulo, N., Bridge, A., et al. (2013). New and continuing developments at PROSITE. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 41, D344–D347. doi: 10.1093/nar/gks1067

Silverman, J. M., Agnello, D. M., Zheng, H., Andrews, B. T., Li, M., Catalano, C. E., et al. (2013). Haemolysin coregulated protein is an exported receptor and chaperone of type VI secretion substrates. *Mol. Cell* 51, 584–593. doi: 10.1016/j. molcel.2013.07.025

Srikannathasan, V., English, G., Bui, N. K., Trunk, K., O'Rourke, P. E. F., Rao, V. A., et al. (2013). Structural basis for type VI secreted peptidoglycan dl-endopeptidase function, specificity and neutralization in *Serratia marcescens. Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr.* 69, 2468–2482. doi: 10.1107/s0907444913022725

Sullivan, M. J., Petty, N. K., and Beatson, S. A. (2011). Easyfig: a genome comparison visualizer. *Bioinformatics* 27, 1009–1010. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btr039

Taboada, B., Estrada, K., Ciria, R., and Merino, E. (2018). Operon-mapper: a web server for precise operon identification in bacterial and archaeal genomes. *Bioinformatics* 34, 4118–4120. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/bty496

Tang, J. Y., Bullen, N. P., Ahmad, S., and Whitney, J. C. (2018). Diverse NADase effector families mediate interbacterial antagonism via the type VI secretion system. *J. Biol. Chem.* 293, 1504–1514. doi: 10.1074/jbc.ra117.000178

Ting, S.-Y., Bosch, D. E., Mangiameli, S. M., Radey, M. C., Huang, S., Park, Y.-J., et al. (2018). Bifunctional immunity proteins protect bacteria against FtsZ-targeting ADP-ribosylating toxins. *Cell* 175, 1380–1392.e14. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2018.09.037

Toro, M., Weller, D., Ramos, R., Diaz, L., Alvarez, F. P., Reyes-Jara, A., et al. (2022). Environmental and anthropogenic factors associated with the likelihood of detecting *Salmonella* in agricultural watersheds. *Environ. Pollut.* 306:119298. doi: 10.1016/j. envpol.2022.119298

Uzzau, S., Brown, D. J., Wallis, T., Rubino, S., Leori, G., Bernard, S., et al. (2000). Host adapted serotypes of *Salmonella enterica*. *Epidemiol. Infect.* 125, 229–255. doi: 10.1017/ s0950268899004379

Wang, J., Chitsaz, F., Derbyshire, M. K., Gonzales, N. R., Gwadz, M., Lu, S., et al. (2023). The conserved domain database in 2023. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 51, D384–D388. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkac1096

Wang, J., Yang, B., Leier, A., Marquez-Lago, T. T., Hayashida, M., Rocker, A., et al. (2018). Bastion6: a bioinformatics approach for accurate prediction of type VI secreted effectors. *Bioinformatics* 34, 2546–2555. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/bty155

Whitney, J. C., Beck, C. M., Goo, Y. A., Russell, A. B., Harding, B. N., Leon, J. A. D., et al. (2014). Genetically distinct pathways guide effector export through the type VI secretion system. *Mol. Microbiol.* 92, 529–542. doi: 10.1111/mmi.12571

Whitney, J. C., Chou, S., Russell, A. B., Biboy, J., Gardiner, T. E., Ferrin, M. A., et al. (2013). Identification, structure, and function of a novel type VI secretion peptidoglycan glycoside hydrolase effector-immunity pair. J. Biol. Chem. 288, 26616–26624. doi: 10.1074/jbc. m113.488320

Whitney, J. C., Quentin, D., Sawai, S., LeRoux, M., Harding, B. N., Ledvina, H. E., et al. (2015). An interbacterial NAD(P)⁺ glycohydrolase toxin requires elongation factor Tu for delivery to target cells. *Cell* 163, 607–619. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2015.09.027

Wingett, S. W., and Andrews, S. (2018). FastQ screen: a tool for multi-genome mapping and quality control. *F1000Res* 7:1338. doi: 10.12688/f1000research.15931.2

Wood, T. E., Howard, S. A., Förster, A., Nolan, L. M., Manoli, E., Bullen, N. P., et al. (2019). The *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* T6SS delivers a periplasmic toxin that disrupts bacterial cell morphology. *Cell Rep.* 29, 187–201.e7. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2019.08.094

Xian, H., Yuan, Y., Yin, C., Wang, Z., Ji, R., Chu, C., et al. (2020). The SPI-19 encoded T6SS is required for *Salmonella* Pullorum survival within avian macrophages and initial colonization in chicken dependent on inhibition of host immune response. *Vet. Microbiol.* 250:108867. doi: 10.1016/j.vetmic.2020.108867

Yoshida, C. E., Kruczkiewicz, P., Laing, C. R., Lingohr, E. J., Gannon, V. P., Nash, J. H., et al. (2016). The *Salmonella* in silico typing resource (SISTR): an open web-accessible tool for rapidly typing and subtyping draft *Salmonella* genome assemblies. *PLoS One* 11:e0147101. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0147101

Zhang, D., de Souza, R. F., Anantharaman, V., Iyer, L. M., and Aravind, L. (2012). Polymorphic toxin systems: comprehensive characterization of trafficking modes, processing, mechanisms of action, immunity and ecology using comparative genomics. *Biol. Direct* 7:18. doi: 10.1186/1745-6150-7-18

Zhang, J., Guan, J., Wang, M., Li, G., Djordjevic, M., Tai, C., et al. (2023). SecReT6 update: a comprehensive resource of bacterial type VI secretion systems. *Sci. China Life Sci.* 66, 626–634. doi: 10.1007/s11427-022-2172-x

Zhang, S., Yin, Y., Jones, M. B., Zhang, Z., Deatherage Kaiser, B. L., Dinsmore, B. A., et al. (2015). *Salmonella* serotype determination utilizing high-throughput genome sequencing data. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 53, 1685–1692. doi: 10.1128/JCM.00323-15

Zimmermann, L., Stephens, A., Nam, S.-Z., Rau, D., Kübler, J., Lozajic, M., et al. (2017). A completely reimplemented MPI bioinformatics toolkit with a new HHpred server at its core. *J. Mol. Biol.* 430, 2237–2243. doi: 10.1016/j.jmb.2017.12.007