Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Xiaoxu Jiang, California State University, United States

REVIEWED BY Cédric Montigny, UMR9198 Institut de Biologie Intégrative de la Cellule (I2BC), France Giray Enkavi, University of Helsinki, Finland

*CORRESPONDENCE Benjamin J. Orlando ⊠ orlandob@msu.edu

RECEIVED 24 July 2024 ACCEPTED 17 September 2024 PUBLISHED 27 September 2024

CITATION

Mahendran A and Orlando BJ (2024) Genome wide structural prediction of ABC transporter systems in *Bacillus subtilis*. *Front. Microbiol.* 15:1469915. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2024.1469915

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Mahendran and Orlando. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Genome wide structural prediction of ABC transporter systems in *Bacillus subtilis*

Ashwin Mahendran and Benjamin J. Orlando*

Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI, United States

ABC transporters are a diverse superfamily of membrane protein complexes that utilize the binding/hydrolysis of ATP to power substrate movement across biological membranes or perform mechanical work. In bacteria, these transporters play essential roles in biochemical processes ranging from nutrient uptake and protein secretion to antibiotic resistance and cell-wall remodeling. Analysis of the complete genome sequence of the Gram-positive organism Bacillus subtilis has previously revealed that ABC transporters comprise the largest family of proteins across the entire genome. Despite the widespread presence of these transporters in B. subtilis, relatively few experimental structures of ABC transporters from this organism have been determined. Here we leverage the power of AlphaFold-Multimer to predict the 3-dimensional structure of all potential ABC transporter complexes that have been identified from bioinformatic analysis of the B. subtilis genome. We further classify the ABC transporters into discrete classes based on their predicted architecture and the presence or absence of distinct protein domains. The 3-dimensional structure predictions presented here serve as a template to understand the structural and functional diversity of ABC transporter systems in B. subtilis and illuminate areas in which further experimental structural validation is warranted.

KEYWORDS

ABC transporter, AlphaFold, Bacillus subtilis, membrane protein, protein complex

Introduction

ATP Binding Cassette (ABC) transporters are a large superfamily of membrane proteins found across all living organisms (Thomas and Tampé, 2020). In bacteria, ABC transporters have long been recognized as critical systems for diverse cellular functions including nutrient uptake (Davidson and Chen, 2004), membrane and cell-wall formation (Bilsing et al., 2023; Rismondo and Schulz, 2021), and virulence or antibiotic resistance (Orelle et al., 2019; Garmory and Titball, 2004; Robertson et al., 2005; Lubelski et al., 2007). As their name suggests, ABC transporters harness the energy of ATP binding/hydrolysis to power transport of molecules across biological membranes. These transport systems have classically been categorized as importers or exporters depending on the direction in which they facilitate substrate transport across lipid membranes (Thomas and Tampé, 2020). However, recent structural and functional studies along with reclassification efforts have revealed alternative unique roles of ABC transporter systems that do not involve movement of molecules across membranes (Thomas et al., 2020).

Indeed, some ABC transporter complexes have been shown to perform mechanical work or enzyme activation (Yang et al., 2011), initiate signaling through larger protein complexes (Li et al., 2017; George and Orlando, 2023), or simply extract lipids/proteins from lipid membranes (Sharma et al., 2021; Li et al., 2019).

In 1997, a fundamental breakthrough in microbial genomics was achieved with unveiling of the first complete genome sequence of a Gram-positive organism- the soil bacterium and model organism Bacillus subtilis (Kunst et al., 1997). Surprisingly, among the \sim 4,100 different protein encoding genes identified in *B. subtilis*, ABC transporters were identified as the largest family of proteins throughout the entire genome. Subsequent bioinformatic work by Quentin, Y. et al. resulted in a comprehensive analysis of the inventory and assembly of ABC transporter systems in B. subtilis (Quentin et al., 1999). This analysis revealed that B. subtilis contains 78 ABC transporters, including 38 importers and 40 exporters. Since this initial analysis more elaborate classification schemes for ABC transporter systems have been proposed based on newly revealed 3-dimensional structures, topologies, and biological functions (Thomas et al., 2020). Recent investigations have shown that some ABC transporter systems in B. subtilis play biological roles outside the context of simply transporting molecules into or out of the cell (Rismondo and Schulz, 2021).

Despite the widespread presence of ABC transporter systems across the B. subtilis genome, relatively few highresolution structures of these membrane protein complexes have been experimentally determined. Although cryoelectron microscopy (cryo-EM) has recently ushered in an abundance of ABC transporter structures from various organisms, structures of only three different intact ABC transporter complexes from B. subtilis have been deposited in the protein databank (Chaptal et al., 2022; Thaker et al., 2022; George et al., 2022). While cryo-EM has revolutionized structural biology of ABC transporters which are notoriously difficult to crystallize, state-of-the-art computational tools for protein structure prediction such as AlphaFold are also presenting new opportunities to gain insight into the threedimensional architecture of putative ABC transporters (Varadi et al., 2023; Evans et al., 2022). In recent investigations we compared the cryo-EM structure of the B. subtilis ABC transporter complex BceAB with the structure predicted by AlphaFold, which revealed an impressively accurate prediction of the complex (George and Orlando, 2023; George et al., 2024).

Based on the initial success observed in predicting the overall structure of the BceAB complex, as well as the success observed by others across the field of structural biology (Partipilo and Jan Slotboom, 2024; Ilcu et al., 2023; Tordai et al., 2022), we sought to leverage the predictive power of AlphaFold to gain structural insight into the more than 70 ABC transporter complexes identified in *B. subtilis*. Presented herein are the AlphaFold predicted three-dimensional structures of ABC transport systems identified across the *B. subtilis* genome. Beyond structural prediction, our investigation extends to the categorization of these transporter systems based on latest schemes for classification of ABC transporter folds. This comprehensive analysis aims to refine our understanding of structural and functional diversity inherent to ABC transporter complexes across the *B. subtilis* genome, and guide future structural efforts where accurate predictions are still lacking.

Results

In their initial inventory and classification of ABC transporters Quentin, Y. et al. used bioinformatic tools to search the B. subtilis genome individually for nucleotide binding domains (NBDs), membrane spanning domains (MSDs) and solute binding proteins (SBP) that likely belong to ABC transporters (Quentin et al., 1999). In bacteria the genes encoding for the individual components of an ABC transporter system are usually organized into operons (or operons in the same genetic neighborhood). Using this information Quentin, Y. et al. further developed a classification scheme to predict the identity and stoichiometry of individual protein components that make a complete ABC transporter complex. As a first step towards 3-dimensional structure prediction of all B. subtilis ABC transporters we manually curated the inventory created by Quentin, Y. et al. by visually inspecting the predicted AlphaFold structures of individual protein components and proteins in the same genetic neighborhood as predicted B. subtilis ABC transporters (Varadi et al., 2023; Pedreira et al., 2021). In many cases this simple visual analysis provided deeper insight into potential stoichiometries and classification schemes for individual ABC transporter complexes, such as identifying ABC transporter complexes with additional regulatory domains attached to the NBD, or fusion of two NBDs into one functional polypeptide (discussed in sections below). The end result of this visual and genetic analysis is a refined classification of B. subtilis ABC transporter systems based on their overall predicted topology, stoichiometry of individual components, function as an importer or exporter, and presence or absence of additional domains (Tables 1-7). In dividing individual transporter systems into different classes we followed the general outline provided by Thomas et al. that describes the most recent classification scheme for ABC transporter folds (Thomas et al., 2020). In the sections below we highlight the AlphaFold predicted structures of all B. subtilis ABC transporter systems broken down into discrete classes.

Importers and regulatory domains

ABC transporters that mediate uptake of small molecules and other compounds from the extracellular environment (importers) are generally categorized into three different types based on their overall transmembrane domain (TMD) architecture (Thomas et al., 2020). Type I importers adopt a fold similar to the maltose transporter system MalFGK₂E of *E. coli* (Oldham and Chen, 2011), whereas Type II importers resemble the vitamin B12 uptake system BtuC₂D₂F from *E. coli* (Hvorup et al., 2007). Type III importers are also known as the ECF transporters, and have a vastly different TMD fold and overall mechanism than other classical ABC transporter systems, and are not considered here in our current analysis of *B. subtilis* ABC transporters. Type I and II importers both utilize a periplasmic solute binding protein (SBP) to bind and transfer substrates to the TMD of the ABC transporter for further import (Figure 1).

Some ABC transporters have an extra regulatory domain that extends off of the NBD, and often plays a significant role in modulating the overall conformation and function of the complex. Examples of such regulatory domains include those

TABLE 1 Importers type I without regulatory domains.

NBD1	NBD2	MSD1	MSD2	SBP1	Function	Full stoichiometry	Mean pLDDT	Max pLDDT	Min pLDDT	рТМ
AppD	AppF	АррВ	AppC	АррА	Uptake of oligopeptides	AppDFBCA	83.66	97.03	19.81	0.81
DppD	YkfD	DppB	DppC	DppE	Uptake of dipeptides	DppDBCEYkfD	82.62	97.55	19.43	0.78
OppD	OppF	ОррВ	OppC	ОррА	Initiation of sporulation, competence development	OppDFBCA	84.48	97.55	22.03	0.79
GlnQ	GlnQ	GlnM	GlnP	GlnH	Glutamine uptake	GlnQ2MPH	87.27	98.31	23.28	0.82
TcyN	TcyN	TcyL	ТсуМ	ТсуК	Cystine uptake	TcyN ₂ LMK	84.39	97.64	20.67	0.81
ТсуС	ТсуС	ТсуВ	ТсуВ	ТсуА	Cystine and diaminopimelate uptake	TcyC ₂ B ₂ A	85.44	98.39	22.46	0.81
0TcyN	TcyN	TcyL	ТсуМ	ТсуЈ	Cystine uptake	TcyN ₂ LMJ	83.81	97.67	19.9	0.81
YckI	YckI	YckA	YckA	YckB	Unknown	YckI ₂ A ₂ B	85.63	98.68	19.36	0.80
ҮхеО	ҮхеО	YxeN	YxeN	YxeM	Uptake and utilization of S-(2-succino)cysteine	YxeO ₂ N ₂ M	86.06	98.23	21.73	0.79
YgaL	YgaL	YgaM	YgaM	YgaA	Sulfonate uptake	YgaL ₂ M ₂ A	80.41	97.71	18.25	0.78
YtlC	YtlC	YtlD	YtlD	YtlA	Unknown	YtlC ₂ D ₂ A	84.21	97.57	26.08	0.79
PstBA	PstBB	PstC	PstA	PstS	High-affinity phosphate uptake	PstBABBCAS	82.87	98.05	22.28	0.82
ArtR 0	ArtR	ArtQ	ArtQ	ArtP	Arginine uptake	ArtR ₂ Q ₂ P	87.72	98.5	30.96	0.82

TABLE 2 Importers type I with regulatory domains.

NBD1	NBD2	MSD1	MSD2	SBP1	Function	Full Stoichiometry	Mean pLDDT	Max pLDDT	Min pLDDT	рТМ
MetN	MetN	MetP	MetP	MetQ	Methionine uptake	MetN ₂ P ₂ Q	85.71	98.63	25.88	0.83
FrlP	FrlP	FrlM	FrlN	FrlO	Uptake of sugar amines	FrlP ₂ MNO	84.08	97.9	17.98	0.81
MsmX	MsmX	AraQ	AraP	AraN	Uptake of α-1,5-arabinooligosaccharides	MsmX ₂ AraQPN	84.59	97.7	21.24	0.80
MsmX	MsmX	LplC	LplB	LplA	Uptake of maltodextrin, melibiose (probably), and galactooligosaccharides	MsmX2LplCBA	81.68	97.31	17.67	0.76
MsmX	MsmX	YtcP	YteP	YtcQ	Uptake of polygalacturonan and rhamnogalacturonan	MsmX ₂ YtcQPYteP	82.11	97.55	21.65	0.76
MsmX	MsmX	AmyC	AmyD	MsmE	Uptake of melibiose and raffinose	MsmX ₂ EAmyCD	85.16	97.85	21.23	0.82
MsmX	MsmX	YvdI	YvdH	YvdG	Uptake of maltodextrin, melibiose (probably), and galactooligosaccharides	MsmX ₂ YvdIHG	83.82	97.35	20.73	0.79
MsmX	MsmX	YvfM	YvfL	YvfK	Uptake of maltodextrin, melibiose (probably), and galactooligosaccharides/	MsmX ₂ YvfXMLK	83.84	97.33	20.52	0.79
MsmX	MsmX	RhiF	RhiG	RhiL	Uptake of rhamnose oligopeptides	MsmX ₂ RhiFGL	82.94	97.92	18.13	0.78
OpuAA	OpuAA	OpuAB	OpuAB	OpuAC	Compatible solute transport	OpuAA ₂ AB ₂ AC	83.05	97.52	17.08	0.78
OpuBA	OpuBA	OpuBB	OpuBD	OpuBC	Compatible solute transport	OpuBA ₂ BBBDBC	80.56	96.48	18.42	0.79
OpuCA	OpuCA	OpuCB	OpuCD	OpuCC	Compatible solute transport	OpuCA ₂ CBCDCC	81.32	96.87	20.18	0.73

	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
рТМ	0.82	0.88	0.84	0.79	0.80	0.80	0.82	0.84	0.80	0.84	0.82	0.82	0.82
Min pLDDT	20.21	30.04	18.52	14.84	14.54	18.44	20.27	20.46	16.97	20.33	20.49	20.27	21.69
Max pLDDT	98.03	98.8	98.68	97.75	97.7	98.37	98.12	98.19	97.85	98.27	97.66	98.12	98.12
Mean pLDDT	83.08	86.46	85.27	80.73	80.49	82.94	82.64	84.42	81.86	81.70	79.49	82.64	81.82
Full Stoichiometry	FpbP ₂ NOQ	$RbsAC_2B$	NupNOPQ	FhuC ₂ BGYxeB	FhuC ₂ BGD	$YvrA_2B_2C$	$FedF_2DEC$	YusV ₂ YfiYZYfhA	YusV ₂ FeuBCA	MntB ₂ CDA	$ZnuC_2B_2A$	FecF ₂ DEC	FecF ₂ DEYhfQ
Function	Acquisition of iron	Ribose uptake	Uptake of guanosine	Siderophore uptake	Siderophore uptake	Uptake of cobalamin	Iron uptake	Acquisition of iron	Acquisition of iron	Manganese uptake	Zinc uptake	Acquisition of iron / citrate	Acquisition of iron / citrate
SBP1	FpbQ	RbsB	NupN	YxeB	FhuD	YvrC	FedC	ҮћҮ	FeuA	MntA	ZnuA	FecC	YhfQ
MSD2	FpbO	RbsC	NupQ	FhuG	FhuG	YvrB	FedE	YfhA	FeuC	MntD	ZnuB	FecE	FecE
MSD1	FpbN	RbsC	NupP	FhuB	FhuB	YvrB	FedD	YfiZ	FeuB	MntC	ZnuB	FecD	FecD
NBD2	FpbP			FhuC	FhuC	YvrA	FedF	YusV	YusV	MntB	ZnuC	FecF	FecF
NBD1	FpbP	RbsA	OdnN	FhuC	FhuC	YvrA	FedF	YusV	YusV	MntB	ZnuC	FecF	FecF

in MalK of the *E. coli* maltose transporter system which bind the enzyme IIA^{glc} and the transcriptional activator MalT (Boos and Shuman, 1998; Chen et al., 2003), and those in Wzt of the WzmWzt O-antigen transporter of *Aquifex aeolicus* which recognize O-antigen modifications (Bi and Zimmer, 2020). In the analysis below we divide predicted *B. subtilis* ABC importer structures based on classification into Type I or II, and whether or not they possess regulatory domains on the NBDs.

Type I Importers without regulatory domains

The B. subtilis genome contains thirteen type I ABC importers that lack a regulatory domain on the NBD (Figure 1 and Table 1). For all such transporters, AlphaFold predicted the periplasmic SBP interacting with the top of the MSDs such that the small molecule binding cleft of the SBP is oriented to facilitate transfer of transported substrates to the MSDs. Such a configuration is highly reminiscent of the structure of E. coli maltose transporter in a pre-translocation intermediate state (Oldham and Chen, 2011). Although the type I importers adopt overall similar folds, slight structural diversity still exists within the configuration of individual complexes. For instance, comparing the prediction for YtlCDA and GlnQMPH (top row, Figure 1) reveals a domain swap configuration for one transmembrane helix of YtlCDA and no such domain swapping in the TMDs of GlnQMPH. Moreover, the prediction for the ArtRQP complex (bottom row, Figure 1) demonstrates that this transporter is unique among type I importers because the SBP is fused to one of the MSD transmembrane helices. Overall, the AlphaFold predictions of Type I transporters reveal a similar architecture with slight deviations between complexes that are involved in uptake of substances including amino acids, di- and oligopeptides, sulfonate, and phosphate (Table 1). The predictions presented here suggest that the overall structure of type I importers is conserved across similar complexes in the B. subtilis genome, and the ability of each individual ABC transporter to recognize and transport specific solutes is likely dependent on the specific amino acid composition of substrate binding sites in the SBP and TMDs, rather than gross differences in transporter structure.

Type I importers with regulatory domains

Among type 1 importers there are an additional eleven ABC transporter complexes in which the NBDs contain a regulatory domain (Figure 2 and Table 2). Interestingly, it has been proposed that some NBDs can act as "multitask" ATPases to energize multiple different ABC transporters. One of the best examples of such an NBD is MsmX, which has previously been shown to power at least 6 different ABC transporter systems in *B. subtilis* (Leisico et al., 2020). AlphaFold was able to reliably predict (based on mean pLDDT score) complexes for these 6 transporter complexes each containing MsmX as an NBD (Figure 2). The regulatory domain extending off of MsmX and FrIP adopts a transporter-associated OB (TOBE) domain fold similar to that of Malk in the *E. coli* maltose transporter complex, which acts as a hub to recruit regulatory proteins (Boos and Shuman, 1998; Chen et al., 2003). In contrast to the TOBE

TABLE 3 Importers type II.

TABLE 4 Exporters type IV.

NBD1	NBD2	MSD1	MSD2	Function	Full Stoichiometry	Mean pLDDT	Max pLDDT	Min pLDDT	рТМ
CydD		CydC		Required for expression of cytochrome bd	CydDC	86.93	98.1	38.21	0.8
YfiB		YfiC		Unknown	YfiBC	88.64	98.06	40.56	0.84
YknU		YknV		Unknown	YknUV	88.25	98.2	27.43	0.83
BmrC		BmrD		Multiple antibiotic resistance	BmrCD	87.29	97.73	28.52	0.81
YwjA		YwjA		Unknown	YwjAA	87.47	98.64	30.42	0.86
YgaD		YgaD		Unknown	YgaDD	88.41	98.18	36.37	0.81
BmrA		BmrA		Multiple antibiotic resistance	BmrAA	84.92	98.03	21.77	0.8
SunT		SunT		Sublancin export and processing	SunTT	80.69	96.86	21.41	0.77

TABLE 5 Exporters type V.

NBD1	NBD2	MSD1	MSD2	Function Full Stoichiom		Mean pLDDT	Max pLDDT	Min pLDDT	рТМ
TagG	TagG	TagH	TagH	Biosynthesis of teichoic acid	${\rm TagG_2H_2}$	78.97	97.89	19.18	0.69
YcbN	YcbN	YcbO	YcbO	Unknown	YcbN ₂ O ₂	84.02	98.38	29.84	0.81
AlbC	AlbC	AlbD		Export of antilisterial bacteriocin (subtilosin)	AlbC ₂ D	88.17	98.68	37.57	0.85
EcsA	EcsA	EcsB		Regulation of the secretion apparatus and intra-membrane proteolysis	EcsA ₂ B	90.29	98.37	32.62	0.86
YthP	YthP	YthQ		Unknown	YthP ₂ Q	88.81	98.49	36.81	0.85
YhcG	YhcG	YhcE	YhcE	Unknown	YhcG ₂ E ₂	90.84	98.51	57.08	0.84
YybJ	YybJ	ҮуЬК	YybL	Unknown	YybJ ₂ KL	84.03	98.21	23.93	0.7
YdbJ	YdbJ	YdbK	YdbK	Unknown	YdbJ ₂ K ₂	85.85	98.33	27.19	0.83
YtrB	YtrB	YtrC	YtrD	Unknown	YtrB ₂ CD	87.65	98.57	34.09	0.84
YvfR	YvfR	YvfS	YvfS	Unknown	YvfR ₂ S ₂	86.11	98.13	36.47	0.81
YxlF	YxlF	YxlG	YxlG	Unknown	YxlF ₂ G ₂	84.60	97.47	40.31	0.78
SkfE	SkfE	SkfF		Export of the spore killing factor	SkfE ₂ F	85.37	97.63	35.93	0.8

domain observed in MsmX, the regulatory domain of MetN in the MetNPQ complex adopts a NIL domain fold (PFAM: PF09383) that is commonly found in the NBD of many ABC transporters. On the other hand, the regulatory domains found in OpuAA, OpuBA, and OpuCA are cystathionine beta-synthase (CBS) domains (PFAM: PF00571), which have been shown to act as small molecule binding molecular switches (Baykov et al., 2011), and likely play a regulatory role in the conformational switching and overall function of these ABC transporters. Aside from the additional regulatory domains extending off of the NBD, all twenty-four type I importers in *B. subtilis* were predicted by AlphaFold to adopt a highly similar overall architecture reminiscent of the maltose transporter.

Type II importers

B. subtilis contains twelve type II importers that resemble the classical transporter $BtuC_2D_2$ with 20 TM helices and a

SBP docking on top of the extracellular region of the MSDs (Figure 3 and Table 3; Hvorup et al., 2007; Locher et al., 2002). AlphaFold predicted a similar overall configuration for each of the type II importer complexes with NBDs separated in a nucleotide free conformation, and the SBP interacting with the top extracellular region of the MSDs. Among the twelve type II importers, the YvrABC complex is unique in that it is the only complex with regulatory domains extending off the NBDs into the cytosol (Figure 3). Like the E. coli transporter BtuC₂D₂, YvrABC is proposed to mediate uptake of vitamin B12 (cobalamin) in B. subtilis (Table 3). The regulatory domain of the YvrA subunit adopts what appears to be an FTH/DUF38 domain fold (PFAM: PF01827), which is presumed to act as a potential protein-protein interaction module. The presence of these regulatory domains in YvrABC suggests additional layers of regulating vitamin B12 import that may not be present in similar systems such as BtuC2D2 in E. coli.

TABLE 6 Exporters type VII.

NBD1	NBD2	MSD1	MSD2	Function	Full Stoichiometry	Mean pLDDT	Max pLDDT	Min pLDDT	рТМ
FtsE	FtsE	FtsX	FtsX	Control of cell wall synthesis	FtsE ₂ X ₂	84.24	98.32	29.57	0.73
YclH	YclH	YclI	YclI	Unknown	YclH ₂ I ₂	76.76	97.44	17.67	0.67
YknY	YknY	YknZ	YknZ	Resistance to SdpC toxin	$YknY_2Z_2$	81.74	98.1	27.82	0.65
YtrE	YtrE	YtrF	YtrF	Unknown	YtrE ₂ F ₂	83.96	98.35	35.31	0.76
YvrO	YvrO	YvrN	YvrN	Unknown	YvrO ₂ N ₂	81.66	98.04	27.0	0.69
BceA	BceA	BceB		Protection of cell wall biosynthetic targets from inhibition by antimicrobial peptides	BceA ₂ B	86.63	97.88	31.57	0.81
PsdA	PsdA	PsdB		Protection of cell wall biosynthetic targets from inhibition by antimicrobial peptides	PsdA ₂ B	85.30	98.01	25.7	0.78
YxdL	YxdL	YxdM		Protection of cell wall biosynthetic targets from inhibition by antimicrobial peptides	YxdL ₂ M	85.41	97.73	24.0	0.78

TABLE 7 Exporters hybrid type V/VI and IV/V.

NBD1	NBD2	MSD1	MSD2	Function	Full Stoichiometry	Mean pLDDT	Max pLDDT	Min pLDDT	рТМ
YhcH	YhcH	YhcI	YhcI	Unknown	YhcH ₂ I ₂	81.56	97.51	26.44	0.8
YhaQ	YhaQ	YhaP	YhaP	Unknown	YhaQ ₂ P ₂	83.15	97.99	36.21	0.81
LnrL	LnrL	LnrM	LnrN	resistance to linearmycin	LnrL ₂ MN	82.11	98.05	38.55	0.78
NatA	NatA	NatB	NatB	sodium export	NatA ₂ B ₂	86.23	97.72	37.42	0.84
YjkB	YjkB	YjkA	YjkA	Unknown	YjkB ₂ A ₂	87.44	98.13	23.23	0.85

Among all of the predicted B. subtilis ABC transporter complexes (both importers and exporters), the RbsACB and NupNOPQ type II importer complexes are unusual in that the two NBDs are fused together into a single polypeptide (Figure 3, red asterisk). Fusion of NBDs into a single polypeptide is relatively rare among prokaryotic ABC transporters, and it is currently unclear what if any role such a fusion may play in regulating transporter dynamics and function. It is interesting to note that sequence analysis of the RbsA and NupO NBDs suggests that one of the ATP binding sites in each of these proteins is degenerate (not competent for ATP binding/hydrolysis) as both proteins contain at least one ABC signature motif sequence that deviates significantly from the canonical LSGGQ sequence, in both proteins the second Walker A motif does not contain a lysine residue critical for coordinating bound ATP, and in the second Walker B motif of NupO the critical aspartic acid and glutamate residues are not present (Figure 3B). While degenerate ATP binding sites are not unusual in ABC transporters (Stockner et al., 2020), it is interesting that the only two NBDs that are fused into a single polypeptide in *B. subtilis* contain such a degenerate ATPase sequence. This observation raises intriguing questions about the evolutionary significance and potential functional implications of these degenerate ATP binding sites in the context of a fused NBD, which likely arose through gene duplication and eventual fusion. Further investigation is warranted to provide insight into how this unique structural feature may influence transporter activity and regulation.

Type IV exporters

ABC transporters belonging to the type IV exporter class adopt a fold similar to the founding member Sav1886 from *Staphylococcus aureus* (Dawson and Locher, 2006), and display 12 long transmembrane helices that extend well into the cytosol



that do not contain regulatory domains on the NBDs. One NBD is shown in blue and the other is shown in cyan. The violet above the blue NBD represents one of the MSD proteins while the yellow above the cyan NBD represents the other MSD protein. The light green domain on the top of the structure is the SBP. ArtRQP has a unique topology in which the SBP is fused into one polypeptide with one of the MSDs (shown with a red asterisk).

where they engage the NBDs (Figure 4). Type IV exporters also invariably display a domain swapped configuration, with transmembrane helix 4 and 5 from one MSD interacting through the intervening coupling helix with the NBD from the opposite half of the transporter. Two out of the three B. subtilis ABC transporters that have had experimental structures determined (BmrA and BmrCD) belong to the type IV exporter class (Chaptal et al., 2022; Thaker et al., 2022; Tang et al., 2023). Experimental structures of BmrA have been determined in an inward facing nucleotide free conformation (Di Cesare et al., 2024), and ATP bound conformations with both NBDs dimerized around bound ATP molecules (Chaptal et al., 2022). The AlphaFold-2 Multimer prediction of BmrA more closely resembles the inward facing nucleotide free cryo-EM structure of the complex (Di Cesare et al., 2024), although a significant difference in the degree of opening between the NBDs is observed when comparing the predicted and experimentally determined structure (Figure 4B). This difference in conformation could potentially be attributed to the solubilization condition (detergent, nanodisc, amphipol, etc. . .) used in experimental structure determination procedures, which has been shown to heavily influence the conformation of ABC transporters (Hoffmann et al., 2024). In contrast, the AlphaFold-2 Multimer prediction of BmrCD is virtually superimposable with the cryo-EM structure of BmrCD in an inward facing conformation (Thaker et al., 2022; Figure 4C). AlphaFold-2 Multimer predicted the structure of all other type IV exporters to be in an inward facing nucleotide free conformation, except for the YwJAA complex that displays collapsed NBDs in a conformation that would be expected upon binding of ATP.

Among type IV importers the BmrCD and SunTT complexes stand out with distinct structural features. BmrCD contains an extracellular domain that adopts a Penicillin Binding Protein 4 C-terminal domain fold of currently unknown function (Thaker et al., 2022). On the other hand, the SunTT complex contains an N-terminal C39 peptidase domain and an overall configuration nearly identical to that observed for the "PCAT" transporter from *C. thermocellum* (Lin et al., 2015). The C39 peptidase domain of SunT cleaves the pro-peptide of the glycocin antibiotic sublancin to generate the mature antimicrobial peptide, which is then transported out of the cell by SunT (Håvarstein et al., 1995). Aside from BmrCD and SunTT all other AlphaFold predictions of *B. subtilis* type IV transporters are highly similar to classical ABC



that contain a regulatory domain fused to the NBD. One NBD is show in blue and the other is shown in cyan. The violet above the blue NBD represents one of the MSD proteins while the yellow above the cyan NBD represents the other MSD protein. The light green domain on the top of the structure is the SBP. The regulatory domains are highlighted in the large representation of OpuBABBBDBC for clarity.

transporters such as Sav1886 or MsbA (Dawson and Locher, 2006; Mi et al., 2017). These transporters all display domain swapping of transmembrane helixes 4 and 5 across the dimer interface, and long transmembrane helices that extend well into the cytosol with coupling helices that engage the NBDs at an extended distance from the plane of the lipid membrane compared to other ABC importers and exporters.

Type V exporters

Type V exporters (Table 5) are characterized by their distinct fold of the TMD and a lack of domain swapping in the

transmembrane helices. Transporters that adopt the type V exporter fold are typified by the Wzm family of transporters in bacteria (Spellmon et al., 2022), and the ABCG (Taylor et al., 2017; Lee et al., 2016) and ABCA (Plummer-Medeiros et al., 2023) families in humans. *B. subtilis* contains 11 type V exporters that can be further divided into two classes, those with regulatory domains (5 transporters) or without regulatory domains (6 transporters) extending off of the NBD (Figure 5). Interestingly, AlphaFold predicted reasonable ABC transporter complex structures for all type V exporters with regulatory domains (Figure 5A), but generally struggled to provide accurate predictions for those without regulatory domains (Figure 5B). The regulatory domains on all type V exporters adopt a similar fold to that seen



previously in the human ABCA1 (Plummer-Medeiros et al., 2023) and ABCA4 (Scortecci et al., 2021; Xie et al., 2021) structures with two alpha-helices and a 4-stranded anti-parallel beta-sheet (Figure 5A). Among type V exporters without regulatory domains the SkfEF, AlbCD, EcsAB, and YthPQ complexes are unique in that all of the TMD is encoded by a single polypeptide versus

two individual polypeptides (Figure 5B). AlphaFold appears to struggle predicting an accurate structure for these complexes as evidenced by the large separation in the TMD region that is likely not representative of the true structure. Although these predictions can display a lower pLDDT score in the linker region connecting the TMD two helical bundles (Figure 5D), the mean pLDDT score



and SunT are homodimers of the same protein, whereas all other type IV exporters exist as heterodimers of two individual proteins. The SunTT protein has a unique architecture with an additional peptidase domain fused to the N-terminus (shown with a red asterisk). **(B,C)** Comparisons of AlphaFold-2 Multimer predictions and experimentally determined structures for BmrA **(B)** and BmrCD **(C)**.

and pTM score for most of these predictions are similar to other well predicted complexes. Thus, assessing the quality of a given prediction based solely on metrics such as pLDDT and pTM may fail to capture possible inaccuracies. Similarly, in the YhcGE and YybJKL complexes which contain two separate polypeptides for each individual TMD, AlphaFold appears to correctly model the fold and interaction of the TMD and NBD, but there is a large gap between the individual TMDs that seems unlikely to be accurate.

The final member of the type V exporters in *B. subtilis* is the TagGH complex that is involved in transport of teichoic acids (Lazarevic and Karamata, 1995). The AlphaFold predicted structure of TagGH reveals a similar overall fold as the TarGH teichoic acid transporter from *Alicyclobacillus herbarius* (Chen et al., 2020), and predicts the complex to be in a nucleotide bound conformation similar to that observed in the TarGH cryo-EM structure. However, TagGH is unique in that the TagG NBD subunit contains a transmembrane domain that positions the c-terminus of the protein in the extracellular region (Figure 5C). The C-terminus of TagG is predicted as a well folded domain that has some homology to SH3b domains known to bind polymers such as teichoic acid in the cell-wall (Shen et al., 2020). A long (~30 residues) and likely flexible linker connects the transmembrane helix and C-terminal domain of TagG, and AlphaFold places the C-terminal domain in the cytoplasm near the NBDs (Figure 5C). This is an incorrect positioning as the C-terminal domain will certainly reside on the extracellular side of the plasma membrane, and likely extends up from the plane of the bilayer towards the thick peptidoglycan layer surrounding *B. subtilis*. The incorrect



Exporters type V. Shown above are cartoon representations highlighting the predicted structure of Type V ABC exporters. One NBD is shown in blue and the other is shown in light green. The violet above the blue NBD represents one of the MSD proteins while the red above the light green NBD represents the other MSD protein. (A) Predicted structures of Type V exporters that contain a regulatory domain fused to the NBD. (B) Predicted structures of Type V exporters that do not contain a regulatory domain fused to the NBD. AbCD, EcsAB, and YthPQ have a unique topology in which the two MSDs are fused together into a single polypeptide shown in red. (C) TagGH is an unusual type V exporter in which the NBDs are fused to a transmembrane helix that extends into an extracellular domain (red asterisk). Although AlphaFold predicts the extracellular domain to be in the cytosol near the NBDs, in *B. subtilis* this domain would extend into the extracellular space towards the peptidoglycan layer. (D) Structures of various type V exporters colored by pLDDT demonstrating poor pLDDT scores in flexible linker regions.

positioning of this domain is likely a consequence of AlphaFold not having knowledge of the membrane location, and the low pLDDT score in the linker connecting the TagG NBD and C-terminal extension (Figure 5D). Nevertheless, the AlphaFold prediction provides first insight into the fold and plausible function of the TagG C-terminal domain.

Type VII exporters

Type VII exporters are also known as "mechanotransducers" as these ABC transporters do not necessarily move a substance across the membrane, but rather act to activate extracellular enzymes (Hao et al., 2024), extract lipoproteins from the membrane (Sharma et al., 2021), or pump small molecules through conduits to the extracellular space (Crow et al., 2017). The type VII exporter fold is typified by the structure of the MacB multidrug transporter from E. coli (Crow et al., 2017), and all type VII exporters have been found to have FtsX-like folds within the TMD architecture. In B. subtilis there are eight type VII exporters that can be divided into two distinct classes. The first class contains the FtsEX, YclHI, YknYZ, YtrEF, and YvrON complexes, all of which adopt a classical MacB type fold. These transporters are overall two-fold symmetrical, and contain two MSDs that each adopt FtsX-like 4-TM helical bundles for a total of eight transmembrane helices, as well as a large extracellular domain of diverse size and structure (Figure 6A). Interestingly, the type VII transporters appear to have some of the lowest pTM scores across all transporters in B. subtilis. However, we believe these below average scores are likely a consequence of poor confidence (pLDDT) in several dynamic loop regions and the greasy interaction between FtsX like folds of the two MSDs, rather than any major overall inaccuracy in the structural prediction. Aside from FtsEX which is known to activate a cell-wall hydrolase during cell division, the function of other type VII exporters within this category remains relatively obscure or ill-defined (Table 6).

The second category of type VII exporters is the "Bce-type" transporters which are named after the founding member BceAB from B. subtilis (George et al., 2022). There are three Bce-type transporters in B. subtilis (Figure 6B) that all adopt an overall configuration that is similar to that observed in the cryo-EM structure of nucleotide-free BceAB (George et al., 2022). Bcetype transporters are distinct from other type VII transporters in that they contain ten transmembrane helices encoded in a single polypeptide, contain only a single extracellular domain, and lack overall symmetry in the complex. The largest difference between the three Bce-type transporters in B. subtilis lies in the fold of the extracellular domain, which is proposed to mediate recognition of highly diverse antimicrobial peptides by each of the complexes (George et al., 2024). Bce-type transporters are known to form a membrane protein complex with a histidine kinase, which together work in tandem to sense antimicrobial peptides and initiate signaling to mediate resistance (George and Orlando, 2023; George et al., 2024).

Hybrid exporters

The remaining five exporters in B. subtilis (Table 7; YhcHI, YhaQP, LnrLMN, NatAB, and YjkBA) display AlphaFold predicted structures that appear to be a hybrid between different classes of transporters. The YhcHI, YhaQP, LnrLMN, and NatAB complexes display a similar TMD architecture as type V exporters with a short stature and lack of domain swapping in the TMD region, but also display relatively large extracellular domains (Figure 7A). The extracellular domains of these transporters are similar in size and location to the beta jelly-roll domains found in the type VI transporter complex LptB₂FG from Gram-negative (Li et al., 2019; Owens et al., 2019). For this reason, we have designated YhcHI, YhaQP, LnrLMN, and NatAB B. subtilis transporters as hybrids between type V and type VI transporters. YhaQP, LnrLMN, and NatAB all display domain swapped extracellular domains that adopt a similar fold of a five-stranded beta-sheet with flanking alpha-helices (Figure 7A). Searches for similar domains or protein folds for these extracellular domains revealed no known protein domains, suggesting a novel overall fold. In contrast to YhaQP, LnrLMN, and NatAB, the YhcHI complex displays a smaller extracellular domain composed of two alpha-helices that form a coiled-coil. Interestingly, among the transporters classified as type V/VI hybrids, the only complex that lacks regulatory domains on the NBD is NatAB (Figure 7A).

The final ABC transporter complex in *B. subtilis* is the YjkBA complex, which has an unusual topology unlike any of the other transporters found in this organism (Figure 7B). The YjkBA complex displays a total of fourteen transmembrane helices that are arranged in a domain swapped configuration similar to that seen in type IV transporters (Figure 4). However, unlike type IV transporters the transmembrane helices of the YjkA do not extend far below the plane of the lipid bilayer like type IV transporters, and as a result the YjkB NBDs are located closer to the lipid bilayer

than they are in type IV transporters. Moreover, YjkA lacks an N-terminal "elbow helix" that is typical of type IV transporters (Thomas et al., 2020). The short stature (location of the NBDs close to the membrane) and compact nature of the TMD in the YjkBA complex is somewhat reminiscent of type V transporters. For these reasons we have designated YjkBA as a hybrid type IV/V exporter, which adopts an overall architecture unlike any ABC transporter of known structure.

Comparison of AlphaFold-3 predictions with ATP and experimentally determined structures

During preparation of this manuscript the latest version of AlphaFold (AlphaFold-3) was released (Abramson et al., 2024). Although the source code for this new implementation of AlphaFold was not made available, the ability to perform predictions between proteins and a limited list of small molecules was provided in the form of AlphaFold Server.1 Among the list of available ligands is the nucleotide ATP, which provides an opportunity to explore the ability of AlphaFold-3 in predicting the conformational changes that are thought to be initiated by ATP binding to ABC transporters. To explore this possibility, we performed AlphaFold-3 predictions either in the absence or presence of ATP molecules for the three B. subtilis ABC transporters that have had experimental structures determined (George et al., 2022; Tang et al., 2023; Di Cesare et al., 2024). In the absence of ATP, the AlphaFold-3 predictions for BmrCD, BmrA, and BceAB reveal an essentially identical prediction to that which was provided by AlphaFold-2 Multimer (Figure 8, left). When two copies of an ATP molecule were included in these predictions, AlphaFold-3 placed each ATP molecule in the correct location between the Walker A and signature motif of each NBD in the ABC transporter complexes, and in all three complexes inclusion of ATP induced a conformational shift towards a nucleotide bound conformation (Figure 8, left).

Although AlphaFold-3 reliably predicted the general ATP binding sites in each complex, the conformational shifts observed in each complex show some discrepancies to experimentally determined structures. The AlphaFold-3 prediction of BmrA bound to ATP is nearly identical to the experimentally determined cryo-EM structure (PDB: 7OW8) (Di Cesare et al., 2024), with only slight discrepancies in the relative position of the loop extending between transmembrane helix 1 and 2 (Figure 8, right). On the other hand, the AlphaFold-3 prediction of BmrCD in the presence of ATP reveals significant differences in the positioning of the NBDs and coupling helices relative to the experimentally determined cryo-EM structure (PDB:8FHK) (Tang et al., 2023). In the AlphaFold-3 predicted structure of BmrCD with ATP the NBDs are not fully dimerized to sandwich ATP between each NBD, and further conformational change (toward the experimentally determined structure) would be required for BmrCD to adopt a conformation that is competent for ATP hydrolysis (Figure 8, right). Finally, the AlphaFold-3 predicted structure of BceAB

¹ www.alphafoldserver.com



with ATP molecules is strikingly different than the experimentally determined cryo-EM structure (PDB:7TCH) (George et al., 2022). In the AlphaFold-3 prediction of BceAB the NBDs are not fully dimerized in a conformation that would support ATP hydrolysis, the transmembrane helices do not collapse into a more compacted state as seen in the cryo-EM structure, and the large extracellular domain is in a completely different conformation than that observed in the cryo-EM structure. Thus, while AlphaFold-3 was able to predict conformational changes elicited by ATP binding, in two out of the three cases explored here the predicted structures of ABC transporters bound to nucleotides (ATP) deviate in several ways from the same structures determined through experimental methods. The implications of these findings are explored in further detail in the following discussion.

Discussion

Although the simple soil bacterium *B. subtilis* contains nearly twice as many ABC transporters as complex multicellular organisms such as humans, relatively little progress has been made in experimental structure determination of ABC transporter complexes from this organism. In a similar way that cryo-EM revolutionized structural biology, artificial intelligence-based protein structure prediction algorithms such as AlphaFold are currently paving the way for another new era in structural biology. To gain structural insight into the \sim 70 ABC transporters from *B. subtilis* that have not had experimental structures determined, we utilized AlphaFold to predict the 3-dimensional structures of all ABC transporter systems in this organism. The structural predictions presented here provide the first template to begin understanding the structural diversity inherent to ABC transporters in *B. subtilis*.

Our comprehensive AlphaFold predictions of all ABC transporter complexes in *B. subtilis* reveal several important points for consideration. First, in general AlphaFold does a remarkable job at predicting the overall structure of ABC transporter complexes in *B. subtilis*. The predicted structures of type I, II, IV, VII, and most type V transporters (Figures 1–6) are likely to be quite accurate, although further experimental validation is always warranted. Second, there are some instances where AlphaFold performs poorly and generates structural predictions that seem obviously flawed. Examples of such poor predictions are mostly in the type V ABC transporters (Figure 5B), where implausible



predictions are readily apparent from the large gaps between transmembrane helices and unlikely configuration of the overall complexes. Although large gaps between TMD bundles have been observed previously in transporters such as human ABCA1 (Qian et al., 2017) and ABCA4 (Scortecci et al., 2021; Xie et al., 2021), these transporters are involved in lipid export from the plasma membrane and also have large extracellular domains that extend above the gap between the TMDs. None of the predictions for type V exporters presented here contain such extracellular domains, and given the often low pLDDT score for the linker connecting their wide spread TMD domains, it seems likely that these predictions do not reflect the true structure of the complex. Nevertheless, experimental validation should be performed to confirm these assumptions. While the power of AlphaFold in providing novel insight into the assembly of protein complexes is undeniable, there is certainly still room for improvement. Such improvement is likely to come in part through continued experimental structure determination of protein complexes that AlphaFold struggles to predict accurately.

Another significant observation from our analysis is the fact that AlphaFold suggests several new folds and architectures that have never been seen in ABC transporters. For instance, the type V/VII hybrid (Figure 7) and type IV/V hybrid (Figure 8) transporters display novel protein topology and



extracellular domain folds that do not fit neatly within current classification schemes that are largely based on transmembrane helix configurations observed in experimental structures of ABC transporters (Thomas and Tampé, 2020; Thomas et al., 2020). Our AlphaFold predictions suggest that there are still novel ABC transporter folds and topologies that have not yet been observed in experimental structures, suggesting that further refinement of ABC transporter classification schemes may be warranted in the near future. Furthermore, the role of new topologies and domains identified in our AlphaFold predictions here remain largely unexplored from both a structural and functional standpoint. Validating that these AlphaFold predictions are accurate through experimental structure determination, and subsequently dissecting the functional roles of novel domains and topologies is likely to provide fruitful insight into the structural and functional diversity of the ABC transporter superfamily.

Another point for consideration is that ABC transporters are inherently dynamic. These transporters change conformations in order to accomplish biological functions. Understanding not only the structure of *B. subtilis* ABC transporters, but also the dynamic conformational landscape of these transporters is paramount to developing a complete molecular understanding

for a given transporter. Recent studies have demonstrated that through modulation of multiple sequence alignment depth and other key parameters such as number of recycles or application of structural templates, AlphaFold-2 has the ability to predict multiple conformations of a given membrane transporter (Del Alamo et al., 2022). In our analysis here we utilized the default AlphaFold-2 Multimer pipeline, which results in a single predominant conformation for each of the B. subtilis ABC transporter complexes. It is possible that further predictions utilizing different AlphaFold-2 Multimer parameters could yield predictions of these ABC transporter complexes in different conformational states. Moreover, during preparation of this manuscript AlphaFold-3 was released, providing the ability to perform structural predictions of multi-protein complexes in the presence of a limited number of small molecules. Our preliminary studies with AlphaFold-3 in predicting the conformational changes elicited by ATP binding to B. subtilis ABC transporters (Figure 8) demonstrate that AlphaFold-3 reliably predicts the ATP binding sites between NBDs of a given ABC transporter complex, and even induced an altered conformation compared to the prediction without added ATP. However, comparison of AlphaFold-3 predicted structures in the presence of ATP revealed significant deviations from experimentally determined structures of the same ABC transporters in an ATP bound conformation. Thus, it appears that further work in reliably predicting not only the structure, but also the conformational landscape of a given ABC transporter complex is warranted. Further developments and code availability will certainly bring about new opportunities to predict the structure of ABC transporters bound to transported substrates and other molecules relevant to function. Such future developments will likely be guided and validated by further experimentally determined structures of ABC transporters in various conformational states and bound to diverse ligands.

With structural predictions now in hand for all ABC transporter complexes in *B. subtilis*, the next challenges moving forward are to validate the predictions that appear to be accurate through experimental means, begin to explore predictions for alternate conformational states, and begin wet-lab structural biology experiments to reveal the structure of complexes that AlphaFold fails to predict reliably. Not only will these directions provide new and deeper insight into the structure and dynamics of ABC transporters in *B. subtilis*, but the results of these experiments will also help to improve future structural predictions of protein complexes that may have otherwise been intractable with currently available software.

Materials and methods

Database searches/initial ABC transporter curation

The inventory and assembly of *B. subtilis* ABC transporters previously assembled by Quentin Y. et al. was initially used as a template to inspect the genomic neighborhood, predicted function, and AlphaFold predicted 3-dimensional structure of every plausible ABC transporter. For these initial searches and visual inspection we utilized Subtiwiki (Pedreira et al., 2021), which provided a convenient format to analyze both the genomic context and predicted structure of individual ABC transporter components. From this analysis we compiled a new inventory of ABC transporter complexes in *B. subtilis* complete with updated protein nomenclature, predicted subunit stoichiometries, and biological function. Individual protein amino acid sequences for every component of every ABC transporter complex were compiled into a database that was subsequently used to generate input files for AlphaFold predictions. For the SBP of ABC importers the signal sequence that directs protein translocation to the extracellular space was left intact, and can often be visualized in the resultant structural predictions as an unstructured segment extending off of the NBD. Inclusion of the SBP signal sequence did not impair prediction of any ABC importer structures.

AlphaFold predictions

AlphaFold predictions were run on a local GPU (NVIDIA RTX-3090) utilizing the LocalColabFold² version of ColabFold (Mirdita et al., 2022). An input.csv file containing a list of all ABC transporter complexes with correct amino acid sequences and stoichiometry was used as an input to predict all structures using the AlphaFold2-Multimer version 2 model (Evans et al., 2022). Predictions were run using the default LocalColabFold settings with 3 prediction recycles and no templates from the PDB or custom PDB templates provided. In order to save computational time, the AlphaFold predictions did not include addition of hydrogens or AMBER relaxation/energy minimization. Output PDB files, pLDDT confidence scores, and predicted aligned error (PAE) were visually inspected on an individual basis for each ABC transporter complex prediction. For each ABC transporter complex AlphaFold generated five atomic models ranked in order of predicted accuracy. For simplicity, only the top ranking model for each ABC transporter complex is displayed in this manuscript.

Structural similarity search and analysis of unique folds

To identify common domain folds and protein families for regulatory and extracellular domains we employed several bioinformatic tools. The InterPro database (Apweiler et al., 2001) was used to search for protein domains by querying the amino acid sequences corresponding to individual regulatory or extracellular domains. Interpro results were used to identify individual protein domains and their common biological functions. Additionally, the FoldSeek server (van Kempen et al., 2024) was used to identify similar transporters that match the unique topologies found in the extracellular domains of the Type V/VI hybrids.

Structure visualization and presentation

AlphaFold structure predictions were initially visualized and compared using UCSF Chimera (Pettersen et al., 2004).

² https://github.com/YoshitakaMo/localcolabfold

All figures were generated with UCSF ChimeraX software (Pettersen et al., 2021).

Data availability statement

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found below: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.12806522.

Author contributions

AM: Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Software, Validation, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review and editing. BO: Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Resources, Supervision, Writing – original draft, Writing – review and editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. Research reported in this publication was supported by the National Institute of General Medical Sciences of the National Institutes of Health

References

Abramson, J., Adler, J., Dunger, J., Evans, R., Green, T., Pritzel, A., et al. (2024). Accurate structure prediction of biomolecular interactions with AlphaFold 3. *Nature* 630, 493–500. doi: 10.1038/s41586-024-07487-w

Apweiler, R., Attwood, T., Bairoch, A., Bateman, A., Birney, E., Biswas, M., et al. (2001). The InterPro database, an integrated documentation resource for protein families, domains and functional sites. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 29, 37–40. doi: 10.1093/nar/29.1.37

Baykov, A. A., Tuominen, H. K., and Lahti, R. (2011). The CBS domain: A protein module with an emerging prominent role in regulation. *ACS Chem. Biol.* 6, 1156–1163. doi: 10.1021/cb200231c

Bi, Y., and Zimmer, J. (2020). Structure and ligand-binding properties of the O antigen ABC transporter carbohydrate-binding domain. *Structure* 28:252–258.e252. doi: 10.1016/j.str.2019.11.020

Bilsing, F., Anlauf, M., Hachani, E., Khosa, S., and Schmitt, L. (2023). ABC transporters in bacterial nanomachineries. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 24:6227. doi: 10.3390/ ijms24076227

Boos, W., and Shuman, H. (1998). Maltose/maltodextrin system of *Escherichia coli*: Transport, metabolism, and regulation. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.* 62, 204–229. doi: 10.1128/MMBR.62.1.204-229.1998

Chaptal, V., Zampieri, V., Wiseman, B., Orelle, C., Martin, J., Nguyen, K., et al. (2022). Substrate-bound and substrate-free outward-facing structures of a multidrug ABC exporter. *Sci. Adv.* 8:eabg9215. doi: 10.1126/sciadv.abg9215

Chen, J., Lu, G., Lin, J., Davidson, A. L., and Quiocho, F. A. (2003). A tweezers-like motion of the ATP-binding cassette dimer in an ABC transport cycle. *Mol. Cell* 12, 651–661. doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2003.08.004

Chen, L., Hou, W., Fan, T., Liu, B., Pan, T., Li, Y., et al. (2020). Cryoelectron microscopy structure and transport mechanism of a wall teichoic acid ABC transporter. *mBio* 11:e002749–19. doi: 10.1128/mBio.02749-19

Crow, A., Greene, N. P., Kaplan, E., and Koronakis, V. (2017). Structure and mechanotransmission mechanism of the MacB ABC transporter superfamily. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 114, 12572–12577. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1712153114

Davidson, A. L., and Chen, J. (2004). ATP-binding cassette transporters in bacteria. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* 73, 241–268.

under Award Number R35GM146721to BO. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health.

Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to all Orlando lab members for fruitful discussion and review of the manuscript.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Dawson, R. J., and Locher, K. P. (2006). Structure of a bacterial multidrug ABC transporter. *Nature* 443, 180–185.

Del Alamo, D., Sala, D., McHaourab, H. S., and Meiler, J. (2022). Sampling alternative conformational states of transporters and receptors with AlphaFold2. *eLife* 11:e75751.

Di Cesare, M., Kaplan, E., Rendon, J., Gerbaud, G., Valimehr, S., Gobet, A., et al. (2024). The transport activity of the multidrug ABC transporter BmrA does not require a wide separation of the nucleotide-binding domains. *J. Biol. Chem.* 300:105546. doi: 10.1016/j.jbc.2023.105546

Evans, R., O'Neill, M., Pritzel, A., Antropova, N., Senior, A., Green, T., et al. (2022). Protein complex prediction with AlphaFold-Multimer. *bioRxiv* [Preprint]. bioRxiv:2021.2010.2004.463034.

Garmory, H. S., and Titball, R. W. (2004). ATP-binding cassette transporters are targets for the development of antibacterial vaccines and therapies. *Infect. Immun.* 72, 6757–6763.

George, N. L., and Orlando, B. J. (2023). Architecture of a complete Bce-type antimicrobial peptide resistance module. *Nat. Commun.* 14:3896. doi: 10.1038/s41467-023-39678-w

George, N. L., Bennett, E. C., and Orlando, B. J. (2024). Guarding the walls: The multifaceted roles of Bce modules in cell envelope stress sensing and antimicrobial resistance. *J. Bacteriol.* 206, e00123–e00124. doi: 10.1128/jb.00123-24

George, N. L., Schilmiller, A. L., and Orlando, B. J. (2022). Conformational snapshots of the bacitracin sensing and resistance transporter BceAB. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 119:e2123268119. doi: 10.1073/pnas.2123268119

Hao, A., Suo, Y., and Lee, S. Y. (2024). Structural insights into the FtsEX-EnvC complex regulation on septal peptidoglycan hydrolysis in *Vibrio cholerae. Structure* 32:188–199.e185. doi: 10.1016/j.str.2023.11.007

Håvarstein, L. S., Diep, D. B., and Nes, I. F. (1995). A family of bacteriocin ABC transporters carry out proteolytic processing of their substrates concomitant with export. *Mol. Microbiol.* 16, 229–240. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2958.1995.tb02295.x

Hoffmann, L., Baier, A., Jorde, L., Kamel, M., Schäfer, J.-H., Schnelle, K., et al. (2024). The ABC transporter MsbA in a dozen environments. *bioRxiv* [Preprint]. bioRxiv: 2024.2006.2020.599867. Hvorup, R., Goetz, B., Niederer, M., Hollenstein, K., Perozo, E., and Locher, K. (2007). Asymmetry in the structure of the ABC transporter-binding protein complex BtuCD-BtuF. *Science* 317, 1387–1390. doi: 10.1126/science.1145950

Ilcu, L., Denkhaus, L., Brausemann, A., Zhang, L., and Einsle, O. (2023). Architecture of the Heme-translocating CcmABCD/E complex required for Cytochrome c maturation. *Nat. Commun.* 14:5190. doi: 10.1038/s41467-023-40881-y

Kunst, F., Ogasawara, N., Moszer, I., Albertini, A., Alloni, G., Azevedo, V., et al. (1997). The complete genome sequence of the gram-positive bacterium *Bacillus subtilis*. *Nature* 390, 249–256. doi: 10.1038/36786

Lazarevic, V., and Karamata, D. (1995). The tagGH operon of *Bacillus subtilis* 168 encodes a two-component ABC transporter involved in the metabolism of two wall teichoic acids. *Mol. Microbiol.* 16, 345–355. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2958.1995.tb02306.x

Lee, J., Kinch, L., Borek, D., Wang, J., Wang, J., Urbatsch, I., et al. (2016). Crystal structure of the human sterol transporter ABCG5/ABCG8. *Nature* 533, 561–564. doi: 10.1038/nature17666

Leisico, F., Godinho, L., Gonçalves, I., Silva, S., Carneiro, B., Romão, M., et al. (2020). Multitask ATPases (NBDs) of bacterial ABC importers type I and their interspecies exchangeability. *Sci. Rep.* 10:19564. doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-76444-0

Li, N., Wu, J., Ding, D., Cheng, J., Gao, N., and Chen, L. (2017). Structure of a pancreatic ATP-sensitive potassium channel. *Cell* 168:101–110.e10. doi: 10.1016/j.cell. 2016.12.028

Li, Y., Orlando, B. J., and Liao, M. (2019). Structural basis of lipopolysaccharide extraction by the LptB(2)FGC complex. *Nature* 567, 486–490. doi: 10.1038/s41586-019-1025-6

Lin, D. Y., Huang, S., and Chen, J. (2015). Crystal structures of a polypeptide processing and secretion transporter. *Nature* 523, 425–430.

Locher, K. P., Lee, A. T., and Rees, D. C. (2002). The *E. coli* BtuCD structure: A framework for ABC transporter architecture and mechanism. *Science* 296, 1091–1098. doi: 10.1126/science.1071142

Lubelski, J., Konings, W. N., and Driessen, A. J. (2007). Distribution and physiology of ABC-type transporters contributing to multidrug resistance in bacteria. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.* 71, 463–476. doi: 10.1128/MMBR.00001-07

Mi, W., Li, Y., Yoon, S., Ernst, R., Walz, T., and Liao, M. (2017). Structural basis of MsbA-mediated lipopolysaccharide transport. *Nature* 549, 233–237. doi: 10.1038/ nature23649

Mirdita, M., Schütze, K., Moriwaki, Y., Heo, L., Ovchinnikov, S., and Steinegger, M. (2022). ColabFold: Making protein folding accessible to all. *Nat. Methods* 19, 679–682. doi: 10.1038/s41592-022-01488-1

Oldham, M. L., and Chen, J. (2011). Crystal structure of the maltose transporter in a pretranslocation intermediate state. *Science* 332, 1202–1205. doi: 10.1126/science. 1200767

Orelle, C., Mathieu, K., and Jault, J. M. (2019). Multidrug ABC transporters in bacteria. *Res. Microbiol.* 170, 381–391.

Owens, T., Taylor, R., Pahil, K., Bertani, B., Ruiz, N., Kruse, A., et al. (2019). Structural basis of unidirectional export of lipopolysaccharide to the cell surface. *Nature* 567, 550–553. doi: 10.1038/s41586-019-1039-0

Partipilo, M., and Jan Slotboom, D. (2024). The S-component fold: A link between bacterial transporters and receptors. *Commun. Biol.* 7:610. doi: 10.1038/s42003-024-06295-2

Pedreira, T., Elfmann, C., and Stülke, J. (2021). The current state of SubtiWiki, the database for the model organism *Bacillus subtilis*. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 50, D875–D882. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkab943

Pettersen, E., Goddard, T., Huang, C., Couch, G., Greenblatt, D., Meng, E., et al. (2004). UCSF Chimera-a visualization system for exploratory research and analysis. *J. Comput. Chem.* 25, 1605–1612. doi: 10.1002/jcc.20084

Pettersen, E., Goddard, T., Huang, C., Meng, E., Couch, G., Croll, T., et al. (2021). UCSF ChimeraX: Structure visualization for researchers, educators, and developers. *Protein Sci.* 30, 70–82. doi: 10.1002/pro.3943

Plummer-Medeiros, A., Culbertson, A., Morales-Perez, C., and Liao, M. (2023). Activity and structural dynamics of human ABCA1 in a lipid membrane. *J. Mol. Biol.* 435:168038. doi: 10.1016/j.jmb.2023.168038 Qian, H., Zhao, X., Cao, P., Lei, J., Yan, N., and Gong, X. (2017). Structure of the human lipid exporter ABCA1. *Cell* 169:1228–1239.e10. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2017.05.020

Quentin, Y., Fichant, G., and Denizot, F. (1999). Inventory, assembly and analysis of *Bacillus subtilis* ABC transport systems. *J. Mol. Biol.* 287, 467–484. doi: 10.1006/jmbi. 1999.2624

Rismondo, J., and Schulz, L. M. (2021). Not just transporters: Alternative functions of ABC transporters in *Bacillus subtilis* and *Listeria monocytogenes*. *Microorganisms* 9:163. doi: 10.3390/microorganisms9010163

Robertson, G. T., Doyle, T. B., and Lynch, A. S. (2005). Use of an efflux-deficient *Streptococcus pneumoniae* strain panel to identify ABC-class multidrug transporters involved in intrinsic resistance to antimicrobial agents. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 49, 4781–4783. doi: 10.1128/AAC.49.11.4781-4783.2005

Scortecci, J., Molday, L., Curtis, S., Garces, F., Panwar, P., Van Petegem, F., et al. (2021). Cryo-EM structures of the ABCA4 importer reveal mechanisms underlying substrate binding and Stargardt disease. *Nat. Commun.* 12:5902. doi: 10.1038/s41467-021-26161-7

Sharma, S., Zhou, R., Wan, L., Feng, S., Song, K., Xu, C., et al. (2021). Mechanism of LolCDE as a molecular extruder of bacterial triacylated lipoproteins. *Nat. Commun.* 12:4687. doi: 10.1038/s41467-021-24965-1

Shen, Y., Kalograiaki, I., Prunotto, A., Dunne, M., Boulos, S., Taylor, N., et al. (2020). Structural basis for recognition of bacterial cell wall teichoic acid by pseudo-symmetric SH3b-like repeats of a viral peptidoglycan hydrolase. *Chem. Sci.* 12, 576–589. doi: 10.1039/d0sc04394j

Spellmon, N., Muszyński, A., Górniak, I., Vlach, J., Hahn, D., Azadi, P., et al. (2022). Molecular basis for polysaccharide recognition and modulated ATP hydrolysis by the O antigen ABC transporter. *Nat. Commun.* 13:5226. doi: 10.1038/s41467-022-32597-2

Stockner, T., Gradisch, R., and Schmitt, L. (2020). The role of the degenerate nucleotide binding site in type I ABC exporters. *FEBS Lett.* 594, 3815–3838.

Tang, Q., Sinclair, M., Hasdemir, H., Stein, R., Karakas, E., Tajkhorshid, E., et al. (2023). Asymmetric conformations and lipid interactions shape the ATP-coupled cycle of a heterodimeric ABC transporter. *Nat. Commun.* 14:7184. doi: 10.1038/s41467-023-42937-5

Taylor, N., Manolaridis, I., Jackson, S., Kowal, J., Stahlberg, H., and Locher, K. (2017). Structure of the human multidrug transporter ABCG2. *Nature* 546, 504–509. doi: 10.1038/nature22345

Thaker, T., Mishra, S., Zhou, W., Mohan, M., Tang, Q., Faraldo-Goméz, J., et al. (2022). Asymmetric drug binding in an ATP-loaded inward-facing state of an ABC transporter. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* 18, 226–235. doi: 10.1038/s41589-021-00936-x

Thomas, C., Aller, S., Beis, K., Carpenter, E., Chang, G., Chen, L., et al. (2020). Structural and functional diversity calls for a new classification of ABC transporters. *FEBS Lett.* 594, 3767–3775. doi: 10.1002/1873-3468.13935

Thomas, C., and Tampé, R. (2020). Structural and mechanistic principles of ABC transporters. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* 89, 605–636.

Tordai, H., Suhajda, E., Sillitoe, I., Nair, S., Varadi, M., and Hegedus, T. (2022). Comprehensive collection and prediction of ABC transmembrane protein structures in the AI era of structural biology. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 23:8877. doi: 10.3390/ijms23168877

van Kempen, M., Kim, S., Tumescheit, C., Mirdita, M., Lee, J., Gilchrist, C., et al. (2024). Fast and accurate protein structure search with Foldseek. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 42, 243–246. doi: 10.1038/s41587-023-01773-0

Varadi, M., Bertoni, D., Magana, P., Paramval, U., Pidruchna, I., Radhakrishnan, M., et al. (2023). AlphaFold protein structure database in 2024: Providing structure coverage for over 214 million protein sequences. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 52, D368–D375. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkad1011

Xie, T., Zhang, Z., Fang, Q., Du, B., and Gong, X. (2021). Structural basis of substrate recognition and translocation by human ABCA4. *Nat. Commun.* 12:3853. doi: 10.1038/s41467-021-24194-6

Yang, D., Peters, N., Parzych, K., Uehara, T., Markovski, M., and Bernhardt, T. (2011). An ATP-binding cassette transporter-like complex governs cell-wall hydrolysis at the bacterial cytokinetic ring. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 108, E1052–E1060. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1107780108