Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Tanja Bosak, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, United States

REVIEWED BY Gage R. Coon, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, United States Moushumi Hazra, University of Nebraska-Lincoln, United States

*CORRESPONDENCE Zhi-Guo Yu zhiguo.yu@nuist.edu.cn

RECEIVED 21 July 2024 ACCEPTED 20 September 2024 PUBLISHED 15 October 2024

CITATION

Shabbir S, Qian C, Faheem M, Zhou F and Yu Z-G (2024) New insights into the spatial variability of microbial diversity and density in peatlands exposed to various electron acceptors with an emphasis on methanogenesis and CO₂ fluxes. *Front. Microbiol.* 15:1468344. [doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2024.1468344](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2024.1468344)

COPYPICHT

© 2024 Shabbir, Qian, Faheem, Zhou and Yu. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [Attribution License \(CC BY\).](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

[New insights into the spatial](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2024.1468344/full) [variability of microbial diversity](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2024.1468344/full) [and density in peatlands exposed](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2024.1468344/full) [to various electron acceptors](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2024.1468344/full) [with an emphasis on](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2024.1468344/full) methanogenesis and $CO₂$ fluxes

Sadaf Shabbir¹, Chang Qian¹, Muhammad Faheem², Fengwu Zhou³ and Zhi-Guo Yu^{1*}

1 School of Hydrology and Water Resources, Nanjing University of Information Science and Technology, Nanjing, China, ²Department of Agricultural Resources and Environment, College of Applied Meteorology, Nanjing University of Information Science and Technology, Nanjing, China, ³School of Geography, Nanjing Normal University, Nanjing, China

Peatlands are vital in the global carbon cycle, acting as significant sinks for carbon and releasing methane (CH₄) and carbon dioxide (CO₂) into the atmosphere. However, the complex interactions between environmental factors and the microbial communities responsible for these greenhouse gas emissions remain insufficiently understood. To address this knowledge gap, a pilot-scale mesocosm study was conducted to assess the impact of different terminal electron acceptors (TEAs), including sulfate $(SO₄^{2–})$, humic acid (HA), and goethite, on CH₄ and CO₂ emissions and microbial community structures in peatlands. Our results revealed that the addition of TEAs significantly altered the CH₄ and CO₂ emissions. Specifically, the addition of SO₄²⁻ nearly doubled $CO₂$ production while substantially inhibiting $CH₄$ emissions. The combined addition of SO_4^{2-} and HA, as well as HA alone, followed a similar pattern, albeit with less pronounced effects on $CH₄$. Goethite addition resulted in the highest inhibition of $CH₄$ among all treatments but did not significantly increase CO₂ production. Community composition and network analysis indicated that TEAs primarily determined the structure of microbial communities, with each treatment exhibiting distinct taxa networks. *Proteobacteria*, *Acidobacteria*, *Chloroflexi,* and *Bacteroidetes* were the most abundant phyla across all mesocosms. The presence of methanotrophs, including *Methylomirabilales* and *Methylococcales*, was linked to the inhibition of CH_4 emissions in these mesocosms. This study provides novel insights into the spatial variability of microbial diversity and density in peatlands under various TEAs, emphasizing the role of methanogenesis and $CO₂$ fluxes in carbon cycling. Our findings enhance the understanding of carbon cycling in microbe-rich environments exposed to TEAs and highlight the potential for future studies to investigate the long-term effects of TEAs on microbial communities, enzymes, and carbon storage.

KEYWORDS

TEAs, CH4 emission, *Methylomirabilales*, peatland mesocosms, *Methylococcales*

Highlights

- Addition of TEAs to peatlands will affect the carbon cycle within the soil.
- There is a tendency for TEAs to suppress $CH₄$ emissions and increase CO₂ emissions.
- TEAs profoundly affect the structure and abundance of peatland microbiota.
- The methanotrophs *Methomylomirabilales*, and *Methomylococcales* were amplified by the addition of TEAs.

1 Introduction

Peatlands are well-known for their significance as carbon sinks among all terrestrial ecosystems due to the higher C reserves of 15–30% of soil organic C. Moreover, they are considered to sink to C by taking 20% of the total $CO₂$ and emitting 5-25% of CH₄ into the atmosphere ([Frolking et al., 2011\)](#page-11-0). According to [Neubauer and](#page-11-1) [Megonigal \(2015\),](#page-11-1) over 100 years, CH4 will have a more sustainable impact on global warming that is 45 times higher than $CO₂$. Consequently, the ratio of anaerobic production of these two gasses, by decomposition of carbon, could result in drastic temperature changes in future. Therefore, it is imperative to understand the processes involved in converting stored carbon in peatland soils into $CO₂$ and $CH₄$ ([Frolking and Roulet, 2007](#page-11-2)). Furthermore, the extent of impacting the environmental carbon cycle makes it essential to evaluate the impact of factors affecting their C cycle.

Due to global changes in the environment, several factors are responsible for the emission rate of greenhouse gasses, $CO₂$ and $CH₄$, from peatland soils, including temperature [\(Matysek et al., 2019](#page-11-3)), wildfires ([Rodriguez Vasquez et al., 2021\)](#page-11-4), land use [\(Tan et al., 2020\)](#page-11-5), availability of nutrients ([Shi et al., 2021](#page-11-6)), vegetation ([Shirokova et al.,](#page-11-7) [2021;](#page-11-7) [van Lent et al., 2019\)](#page-12-0), water table [\(Matysek et al., 2019;](#page-11-3) [Shi et al.,](#page-11-6) [2021\)](#page-11-6), and oxygen [\(Girkin et al., 2020](#page-11-8)), etc. As a consequence of these environmental or anthropogenic changes, the emission of CO₂ and CH4 may also increase from the carbon stock of peatland, which, in turn, also alters the microbial community structure in a peatland. Microbial communities of peatland play a crucial role in regulating carbon emission processes [\(Cong et al., 2020](#page-10-0)). Though in anaerobic methanogenic conditions, the amount of CH_4 exceeds CO_2 , in some acidic bogs, anaerobic $CO₂$ production exceeds $CH₄$ (Hines et al., [2008;](#page-11-9) [Keller and Bridgham, 2007\)](#page-11-10) showing significant non-methanogenic activity of microbes. Though bacterial communities differ among different peatland types, anaerobic bacteria, along with providing substrates for methanogens, compete with the process of methanogenesis as well [\(Lin et al., 2012](#page-11-11)). Consequently, the role of environmental and ecological conditions along with microbial community structure is crucial in understanding the production of greenhouse gasses by these systems.

Heretofore, it has been reported that the dominant communities in peatlands are similar at certain taxonomic levels, e.g.*, Acidobacteria* and *Proteobacteria* being the dominant phyla. However, a variable correlation was found in environmental factors and microbial

communities of peatlands [\(Andersen et al., 2013;](#page-10-1) [Gilbert and](#page-11-12) [Mitchell, 2006\)](#page-11-12). Recently, many ecological elements have been reported to affect the microbial community structure of the peatlands, such as temperature [\(Keiser et al., 2019\)](#page-11-13), pH ([Bahram et al., 2018](#page-10-2); [Urbanová and Bárta, 2014](#page-11-14)), water table ([Urbanová and Bárta, 2016;](#page-12-1) [Zhong et al., 2017](#page-12-2)), nitrogen contents ([Pankratov et al., 2008](#page-11-15)), phosphorus, moisture and organic matter (OM) [\(Elliott et al., 2015](#page-11-16)), etc. Moreover, [Dhandapani et al. \(2019\)](#page-10-3) have reported that the abundance bacterial community in peatlands is positively correlated to the emission of $CO₂$ and $CH₄$. Thus, it is essential to determine which dominant microbial communities are responsible for the emission of greenhouse gasses (GHGs) from different peatlands under different circumstances. Therefore, studying microbial community structure and its variations is crucial in interpreting greenhouse gas dynamics. In water-logged anoxic conditions, the ratio of CH_4 and CO_2 is primarily affected by terminal electron acceptors [\(Gao et al., 2019](#page-11-17)). The carbon cycling in peatlands is mainly affected by the process of transferring electrons from electron donors to acceptors in peat ([Walpen et al., 2018\)](#page-12-3). Terminal electron acceptors (TEA) play a crucial role in microbial respiration, and microbes gain chemical energy by electron transfer between an electron donor and acceptor through oxidation of substrates. The production of $CO₂$ is mediated by adding auxiliary TEAs, which increase the degradation of organic matter.

Moreover, it has already been reported that the addition of TEAs results in suppression of CH_4 formation as it thermodynamically favors respiration more than methanogenesis ([Bridgham et al., 2013](#page-10-4)). Peatlands have different types of redox conditions and have an abundant quantity of various nutrients readily available for metabolically active microbes and redox processes ([Kügler et al.,](#page-11-18) [2019\)](#page-11-18). Several studies have already reported that humus [\(Valenzuela](#page-12-4) [et al., 2019](#page-12-4)); nitrate [\(Haroon et al., 2013](#page-11-19)); Fe(III) ([Cai et al., 2018](#page-10-5); [Ettwig et al., 2016\)](#page-11-20), nitrite ([Shen et al., 2017\)](#page-11-21), Mn(IV) [\(Ettwig et al.,](#page-11-20) [2016\)](#page-11-20), and sulfate $(SO₄^{2−})$ (Shen et al., 2019) serve as TEAs for methanotrophs that consume a more significant part of CH_4 produced in anoxic soil layers. Although several studies have described the variation in microbial community structure and production of greenhouse gasses in response to different factors such as inundation ([de Jong et al., 2020\)](#page-10-6) of peatlands, knowledge about the microbial community structure in response to redox reaction is not very clear. Therefore, understanding the impact of different TEAs on functional microbial communities and electron transfer mechanism resulting in the production of $CH₄$ and $CO₂$ is essential.

The functional impact of environmental conditions and microbial community structure are studied in the present study. The primary objective of this research was to investigate CH_4 and CO_2 cycling as a function of soil depth and to evaluate the impact of humic acid, humic acid + sulfate, sulfate, and iron as TEAs on it. Peatlands are home to most of these TEAs. Furthermore, the response of the internal processes after the addition of TEAs on the community structure and abundance of microbial entities. Therefore, it was hypothesized that though the dominant phyla might be similar to other peatlands, TEAs will have an evident impact on microbial community structure. The findings of this study are expected to contribute to a better understanding of the environmental behavior of peatland soils, including emissions of carbon dioxide and methane gasses, as well as the composition of microbial communities following the addition of TEAs.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Site description

The soil was collected from a raised bog, Touxi (42°17.144N, 127°50.277E, as indicated by the triangle; [Figure 1a](#page-3-0)), located northwest of the dormant volcano found in the Changbai Mountain range situated at the boundary between northeastern China and North Korea. Topographically, the area is miscellaneous, comprising hills, steep slopes, valleys, and hills. The average annual temperature is around −7 to +3°C. The mean annual precipitation of 700–1,400mm, and the peatland vegetation was mainly dominated by *Sphagnum* sp. along with a mixture of *Sanguisorba* sp., Carex, *Thelypteris* sp., *Iris* sp., *Aulacomnium palustre*, *Orchis* sp., *Eriophorum angustifolium*, *Oxycoccus palustris*, *Trichophorum* sp. and *Euphorbia* sp. The sample was collected up to 50cm in depth, sealed in polyethylene plastic bags, and stored at 0°C before further analysis at the laboratory.

2.2 Mesocosm experiment

The sample was thawed to room temperature in the laboratory, and all of the peat was milled into small particles (diameter < 1 cm) manually. Then, it was homogenized to ensure an equal amount of organic matter in the whole sample, and plant roots and debris were removed. A subsample was collected at the start and was frozen at −20°C for DNA analysis within a week.

The mesocosms experimental units were polyvinyl chloride cylinders that were 60cm high and 30cm wide ([Figure 1b](#page-3-0)) and were placed in the greenhouse to maintain a constant temperature (25°C). Each column was filled with clean quartz at the bottom with a thickness of ~5cm prior to peat addition, then fine white mesh was plated to isolate the next added peat and quartz. Subsequently, native soil (peat) was added with a total depth of ~50cm, i.e., about 5cm below the top of the column. The mesocosm was then filled with water, and the water table was kept 3 cm above the surface of the peat in order to avoid O_2 diffusion from air. Additionally, small pieces of PE shading foil were added to surface water to prevent algal growth. After about 65days, the concentration of $CO₂$ and $CH₄$ have reached a steady state. Therefore, each of the samples, except control, was amended for the following treatments (1) Control (just with sand and peat-filled column and water); (2) Addition of 0.03 mol SO_4^{2-} (Na₂SO₄); (3) Addition of 0.03 mol SO₄^{2−}+0.5g HA; (4) Addition of generally 0.5g HA and (5) Addition of 0.05mol (generally) Goethite (as a source of Fe). Each mesocosm was also equipped with water and gas samplers. Pore water and silicon gas samplers were installed at 5, 10, 15, 25, 35, and 45cm depth, as shown in [Figure 1b.](#page-3-0) The mesocosms were allowed to settle for the first 60 days and then incubated in the laboratory for ~223 days (in total) in a climate chamber at 15°C (16h light/8h dark cycles, 660 μmols⁻¹ photosynthetic photon flux). Along with measuring $CO₂$ and $CH₄$ at different depths, the flux of these gasses was also measured on similar days (68, 75, 85, 96, 103, 116, 129, 143, 157, 181, 195, 207, and 223days).

2.3 Sample analysis and calculations

Gas samples were collected, and dark chamber flux measurements were conducted on days 68, 75, 85, 96, 103, 116, 129, 143, 157, 181,

195, 207, and 223days. Compared to the values obtained at different depths of mesocosm designated as the control, the percentage values have been calculated and given along with actual values in the results. The samples were analyzed to measure the amount of $CH₄$ and $CO₂$ were measured using a gas chromatograph (Agilent 7890A, Japan) equipped with FID and a $CO₂$ methanizer.

Additionally, a vented stated chamber was used to collect surface gas samples from each mesocosm. CH_4 and CO , fluxes were measured on similar dates for each mesocosm. A dark chamber (20cm x 21cm) was used to determine the flux of these gasses through dynamic chamber measurement. Briefly, three identical floating chambers were placed on the top of mesocosms to estimate a better representative measurement as GHG emission on the water surface is heterogeneous. Fluxes from the headspace were calculated by linear regression of at least six consecutive readings within 30min (one reading every 5min) and stored for further analysis. A long measurement period was used to detect even the most minor changes in GHG fluxes. The concentration was later measured by the same method in GC as described above.

2.4 DNA extraction and real-time PCR

For DNA analysis, samples were collected from two places, the subsurface layer, and the bottom layer. The samples were named accordingly [\(Table 1](#page-3-1)). E.Z.N.A.® DNA Kit for Soil (Omega Bio-tek, Norcross, GA, United States) was used to extract the microbial DNA from all mesocosms soil samples, following the given manual. 2% agarose gel was used to evaluate the quality of the DNA. The V4-V5 region of the bacteria 16S ribosomal RNA gene was amplified by PCR

TABLE 1 Sampling points in mesocosms and abbreviations for DNA analysis (a is the sample taken from the surface layer sample, and b is the

sample taken from the bottom layers).

using forward primer 515F (5′-barcode-GTGCCAGCMGCCGCGG-3′) and 907R (5′-CCGTCAATTCMTTTRAGTTT-3′). The barcode is a unique eight base sequence for each sample. PCR mixture included of 20μL mixture comprising four μL of 5×FastPfu Buffer, 2μL of 2.5mM dNTPs, 0.8μL of each primer (5μM), 0.4μL of FastPfu Polymerase, and 10ng of template DNA. Agarose gel (2%) was used to extract amplicons that were purified by using AxyPrep DNA Gel Extraction Kit (Axygen Biosciences, Union City, CA, United States) according to the manufacturer's instructions and later Quantus™ Fluorometer (Promega, United States) was used to quantify them.

The PCR products were quantified using Qubit®3.0 (Life Invitrogen), and amplicons (every 24) with different barcodes were equally mixed. In addition, Illumina's genomic DNA library preparation procedure was used to construct the Illumina Pair-End library from pooled DNA at Shanghai BIOZERON Biotechnology Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China) on the NovaSeq PE250 platform.

2.4.1 Data processing

Raw fastq files were demultiplexed, quality filters using fastp version 0.20.0 ([Chen et al., 2018\)](#page-10-7), and the 300-bp reads were truncated at any site with an average quality score<20 over a 50-bp sliding window. The truncated reads shorter than 50bp were discarded, and the reads with ambiguous characters were discarded as well [\(Ren et al.,](#page-11-23) [2016\)](#page-11-23). Additionally, the sequences over 10bp were assembled, discarding the rest. Later, the sequences were merged and quality filtered using FLASH version 1.2.11 [\(Magoč and Salzberg, 2011](#page-11-24)) and fastp version 0.19.6 [\(Chen et al., 2018\)](#page-10-7). Then, the high-quality sequences were denoised using the DADA2 ([Callahan et al., 2016](#page-10-8)) plugin in the Qiime2 version 2020.2 [\(Bolyen et al., 2019\)](#page-10-9) package with suggested parameters filtering nucleotides based on error profiles within samples, and the resulting denoised samples are known as amplicon sequence variants (ASVs). Briefly, the 119, 009 raw reads were trimmed by quality control and filtering contaminates to create ASV with 109, 572 sequences with approximately 99.2% good coverage. Finally, the QIIME2 feature-classifier plugin (sklearn method) against the SILVA database (version 138; trimmed to the V4-V5 version of the 16S) was used to determine the taxonomic identities of the ASVs. QIIME 2 was used to calculate different statistical measures from the ASV table that was normalized to 20,000 sequences per sample. The relevant resources are available at: [https://github.com/LangilleLab/](https://github.com/LangilleLab/microbiome_helper/wiki) [microbiome_helper/wiki](https://github.com/LangilleLab/microbiome_helper/wiki).

2.5 Statistical analysis

In order to analyze ASV files obtained from QIIME 2, a microbiome analyst was used, which compiles and analyzes microbiome data online comprehensively and visually ([Chong et al.,](#page-10-10) [2020\)](#page-10-10). Briefly, the alpha diversity (diversity of species composition) was determined by Shannon, ACE, Simpson, Chao1 index, and Fisher curves. In contrast, An analysis of similarities (ANOSIM) test was used to compare beta-diversity determined using Bray-Curtis distances and principal coordinate analysis plots (PCoA) based on Bray-Curtis distances. A heatmap of the most abundant classes was generated based on complete hierarchical clustering using Euclidian distances. Bacteria differentially represented in different mesocosms were evaluated by using linear discriminant analysis (LDA) together with effect size (LEfSe). A taxonomic cladogram illustrates differences among genera based on significant taxa ([Segata et al., 2011](#page-11-25)).

3 Results

3.1 Impact of TEAs on $CO₂$ and $CH₄$ emission

In the present study, four different treatments with different TEAs, (1) SO_4^2 ⁻; (2) SO_4^2 ⁻ + HA; (3) HA, and (4) Goethite, along with control, were studied to evaluate the impact of TEAs on $CO₂$ and $CH₄$ production as well as the density and diversity of microbial communities in peatland soils. In the first and second mesocosm, SO_4^2 ⁻ was added individually and with HA, respectively. The addition of SO_4^2 ⁻ resulted in higher depletion of CH_4 in the surface layers of mesocosm, it was decreased up to 124.7 (↓56.2%) and 108 (↓ 71.2%) μmol L[−]¹ in the 5 and 10cm sample, respectively. In contrast, increased concentrations of sulfate were less detrimental to the production of $CH₄$ (\downarrow 34%) in the deeper layers of the sediment ([Figure 2Ab\)](#page-5-0). The addition of SO₄²-, however, led to an increase in the production of CO₂ ([Figure 2Bb](#page-5-0)). The addition of SO_4^2 ⁻ had increased CO_2 by almost twice the amount of CO_2 produced in control, i.e., 6.75–6.668 mmol L^{-1} (\uparrow 70–86.3%). After the addition of SO₄^{2–} and HA, the production of CH₄ was strongly inhibited, up to 36.06µmolL⁻¹ (\downarrow 90.49%) in the surface layers and ↓47.53% in the bottom layer. On the other hand, only a slight increase in $CO₂$ production was observed between 3.55 and 5.29 mmol L-1 (18.18–24.7%) (Figures 2Ac, Bc). The CH₄ production decreased significantly after HA was added, down to 56.06μmolL[−]¹ (↓55.9%) in the top layer and 127.7μmolL[−]¹ (↓41.42%) in the bottom layer. As with other TEAs, the addition of HA increased the production of $CO₂$ following its addition from 29.5–46.6% ([Figure 2Bd\)](#page-5-0).

A drastic reduction in CH_4 production was observed upon the addition of Goethite to 12.38 µmol L⁻¹ (↓90.25%) in the top layer and 109.1 μmolL[−]¹ (↓71.6%) in the bottom layer ([Figure 2Ae](#page-5-0)), which was the highest reduction among all mesocosms. Interestingly as compared to CH4, goethite addition did not result in a significant increase in $CO₂$ production, where its value was approximately 3.8mmolL[−]¹ (↑~19%) [\(Figure 2Be](#page-5-0)).

There were similar trends in surface-emissions at the top as in deeper soils, though the changes were more evident in top layers compared to the bottom layers of mesocosms. Briefly, the addition of TEAs inhibited and enhanced the emission of CH_4 and CO_2 , respectively, is similar as explained for mesocosm 1–5 in deeper layers ([Supplementary Figure S1](#page-10-11)).

3.2 Peat microbial communities

During lab-scale mesocosm experiments, gas emission varies from top to bottom, therefore, for DNA analysis the samples were taken at two different depths, from the top and near the bottom. A comparison was performed between different treatments based on the microbial diversity and community differences in mesocosms treated with different TEAs. After quality trimming and subsampling, the total number of high-quality reads generated by all the mesocosm samples was 116,231 (7,105–15,603 reads per sample, [Supplementary Figure S2](#page-10-11)). As a result of taxonomic categorization at various levels, 28 phyla, 62 classes, 107 orders, 137 families, and 585 genera were identified.

Among all the 28 bacterial and archaeal phyla found in all the depths, bacterial phyla comprised ~90–97% of the total sequences. Among bacterial phyla, *Proteobacteria*, *Acidobacteria*, *Chloroflexi,* and *Bacteroidetes* were the most dominant phyla compared to others [\(Figure 3a\)](#page-6-0). The most abundant phyla in the control group were *Acidobacteria* (11.76–33.13%), as well as in the HA treated group. *Proteobacteria* (18.3–44.3%) was the most abundant phyla in all the other treatments, followed by *Acidobacteria*. Soil-dwelling

Proteobacteria play an essential role in the biogeochemical cycle. *Chloroflexi* (11.50–21.90%) and *Bacteroidetes* (5.05–20.11%) followed the same abundance pattern as *Proteobacteria*.

Other dominant phyla included *Planctomycetes*, *Actinobacteria*, *Spirochetes*, *Nitrospirae,* and *Firmicutes,* etc., whereas the rest of the phyla were less than 1% in their abundance. Additionally, the abundance pattern of different phyla varies after the addition of different external sources as well as with depth. For example, the abundance of *Acidobacteria* was decreased in all treatments after the addition of external sources, whereas that of *Proteobacteria* and other phyla showed relatively opposite trends.

Furthermore, the abundance of *Acidobacteria* was slightly higher in the top layers of mesocosms compared to the bottom layers. However, in HA treatment, it was higher in the bottom compared to the top layer. The abundance of other phyla also followed the same pattern as *Acidobacteria* except in HA-treated mesocosm. Our analysis also indicated the presence of 62 classes. The comparison of the dataset among these classes showed that *Acidobacteriia* was again the most abundant class in control as well as treatment groups, followed by *Alphaproteobacteria* and *Ktenobacteria*, *Gammaproteobacteria*, *Bacteroidia*, *Deltaproteobacteria*, *Igvanibacteria*, *Planctomycetacia*, *Thermosulfovibrionia*, and *Thermoleophilia,* etc., however, the dominance varies individually in every treatment [\(Figure 3b](#page-6-0)).

As shown in [Figure 4a](#page-7-0), the alpha diversity indices, including Ace1, Fisher, Shannon, Simpson, and Chao showed variable trends. However, the HA treatment in all the treatments showed a decrease in the richness and diversity of the microbial community. Statistical analysis showed that alpha diversity was significantly lower in the treatment with HA alone than in the control group $(p<0.05)$. Additionally, the depth also affects the diversity indices, and the diversity decreased in all the mesocosms with the depth except after $\mathrm{SO_4^{2-}}$ + 0.5 g HA addition.

3.2.1 Methanotrophic and methanogenic communities

The main methantrophs found in this study were *Methylomirabilales*, *Methylococcales*, along with uncultured_ methanogenic_archaeon ([Figure 4b\)](#page-7-0). The most abundant among these were *Methylomirabilales,* except in treatment with SO4 ²[−] + 0.5 g HA. *Methylococcales* are type I methanotrophs and are also involved in nitrogen fixation, the second most abundant order in the mesocosms. Their abundance was substantially increased with the depth of mesocosm, which might be due to their sensitivity to oxygen; however, the highest increase was observed after the addition of SO_4^{2-} + 0.5 g HA. Some uncultured methanogenic archaeon was also found in these mesocosms, and a subsequent decrease was observed in their abundance along with the depth.

Through visual inspection of principal coordinates (PCoA), we compared the results over time to determine the impact of different TEAs on the microbial community structure [\(Figure 4c](#page-7-0)). Class-level taxonomic classification between sample groups was taken into account as the experimental factor in the correlation analysis using the Pearson r distance measure. PCoA revealed a clear clustering pattern of microbial communities. PCoA 1 and PCoA 2 account for 61.6% and 19.7% of the total variance. Control mesocosm, without any external addition, differed from other mesocosms in terms of microbial community composition. Moreover, a clear difference can also be observed between the bottom and top microbial communities under the addition of TEAs.

3.2.2 Heatmap and LEfSe analysis

The microbial community structure was further examined using a heatmap of the top 60 most prevalent microbial classes across the 10 samples. The relationships between microbial species and between the

samples have been analyzed using cluster analyses ([Figure 5a\)](#page-8-0). In the tailings under different TEAs, there were substantial differences in the microbial composition at the class level.

The exact class-level changes of the bacterial community in different mesocosm are also given in above [Figure 5c.](#page-8-0) The size of the node corresponds to the number of OTUs, and it can be seen that Acidobacteriia from phylum Acidobacteria was the most dominant one, followed by Proteobacteria and Bacteroidetes. We identified specific bacterial taxa under given conditions by comparing the linear

discriminant analysis effect size (LEfSe) method to five mesocosm samples. The cladogram illustrates the relative abundance at the family and order levels in [Figure 5b](#page-8-0). Comparing mesocosms 1–5 (A, B, C, D, and E), 37 genera with LDA values of at least 3.5 were identified. LEfSe analysis showed that uncultured bacteria were most abundantly found in the control group (mesocosm 1); *Thaumarchaeota* was most abundant in mesocosm 2; *Proteobacteria*, *Bacteroidetes*, and *Chloroflexi* were most abundant in mesocosm 3, *Rhodocyclaceaebacterium*, *Betaproteobacterials,* and *Holophagales* were

abundant in mesocosm 4 whereas TRA3_20 (uncultured *Betaproteobacteria*) were most abundant in mesocosm 5 compared to other mesocosms ([Supplementary Figure S4\)](#page-10-11). Using a cladogram ([Figure 5b](#page-8-0)), we illustrate the connection between taxa at various taxonomic levels. Clades are groups of organisms that share a common ancestor, e.g., all Bacteroidetes are descendants of the same ancestor. Additionally, a significant difference in the abundance of different taxa might serve as a potential biomarker based on LDA results (LDA > 4).

4 Discussion

4.1 Repression of methanogenesis and higher $CO₂$ production after TEAs addition

Oxygen (O_2) has a higher oxidation capacity throughout natural ecosystems than redox ions such as SO_4^{2-} , Fe³⁺, and quinones of humic acid, giving precedence to redox reactions.

Consequently, peat exposed to oxygen undergoes a faster redox reaction and decomposes more quickly. O_2 is scarce in the lower layers of often flooded peatlands, and $CO₂$ production is minimal ([Osaki et al., 2021](#page-11-26)). The redox process creates $CO₂$ as well as $CH₄$ when the peat layer is strictly anaerobic. The response of different microbial metabolic activities in peatlands usually determines the ratio of CO_2 and CH_4 (CO₂:CH₄) production, which should be stoichiometrically 1:1 in the absence of any other TEAs ([Wilson](#page-12-5) [et al., 2017\)](#page-12-5). However, a couple of laboratory scale and *in-situ* experimental studies have previously reported that this ratio is not the same, and $CO₂$ production is enhanced by different environmental factors [\(Glaser et al., 2016\)](#page-11-27). Therefore, It's crucial to evaluate how different terminal electron acceptors (TEAs) influence CO2 and CH4 emissions, as studies exploring their combined effects are limited.

In the present study, the pilot scale mesocosms were used in the present study in order to simulate the natural peatland system as far as possible, with a larger incubator size close to natural status. It was observed that after the addition of SO_4^{2-} , a Pungent smell like H_2S was felt in the first two mesocosms. It is important to note that this gas was not detected in deeper layers (results not shown here). The emission of H₂S might be due to organoclastic sulfate reduction. It was observed that the addition of different TEAs has mostly inhibited the emission of CH_4 . However, the emission of $CO₂$ increased. After the addition of SO_4^2 , the reduction in CH_4

production might be due to sulfur-reducing bacteria, which outcompete methanogens for peatland's available carbon ([Liu et al.,](#page-11-28) 2020). Whereas the production of $CO₂$ was doubled in this mesocosm, these results were in accordance with previous studies, where sulfate's addition decreased methanogenesis and increased CO2 production [\(Estop-Aragonés et al., 2016;](#page-11-29) [Minderlein and](#page-11-30) Blodau, 2010). The emission of $CO₂$ and $CH₄$ followed the same pattern in the third mesocosm, where sulfate was added in combination with HA.

The addition of HA had also impeded $CH₄$ emission and enhanced $CO₂$ production. One of the reasons for $CH₄$ emission reduction might be the inhibitory effect of HA on methanogens, and previously it was reported that the addition of HA could decrease CH₄ production by up to 89% ([Khadem et al., 2017](#page-11-31)). Moreover, a significant part of humic acid's function acts as an electron acceptor and constrains CH₄ emissions. HA has a negative charge and electron shuttling properties, so a high concentration of HA inside methanogens might also alter their electron transport system. In comparison, HA serves as an electron acceptor for the reducing equivalents transported out of cells through cell membranes of methanogens due to alteration in the electron transport system suppressing microbial growth ([Khadem](#page-11-31) [et al., 2017\)](#page-11-31). The peatland soils are generally rich in organic matter and are deficient in inorganic electron acceptors. As a result, the presence of HA would augment the process of microbial respiration, leading to an increase in $CO₂$ production and deter $CH₄$ production by

suppressing methanogenic activity [\(Keller and Takagi, 2013](#page-11-32); [Klüpfel](#page-11-33) [et al., 2014\)](#page-11-33).

Goethite is basically hydrated iron oxide, and its addition showed similar trends to other TEAs in the present experiment. The presence of alternative TEAs such as Fe^{3+} and $\text{SO}_4{}^{2-}$ plays a vital role in deciding how the organic matter would be processed in a peatland. They are also reported to suppress methanogenesis, which is the primary form of anoxic mineralization in peatlands ([Smemo and Yavitt, 2011](#page-11-34)). During water-logged conditions, the peatlands are $O₂$ deficient, and the iron-reducing microorganisms utilize Fe as an electron acceptor and organic matter as an electron donor, resulting in the production of more CO₂ ([Kappler et al., 2021](#page-11-35)). Briefly, the addition of all the different TEAs increased CO₂ production to some extent, which might have a constrained impact on the microbial communities involved in the respiration or methanogenesis process resulting in $CO₂$ and $CH₄$ production. Additionally, the minor changes in $CO₂$ emission in the deeper layers can be related to the fact that microbial communities in the deeper layers are comparatively more resistant to external factors or nutrient deprivation [\(Bai et al., 2017a\)](#page-10-12), the resistance against these changes results in the minor emission of $CO₂$ in deeper layers (Liu [et al., 2016](#page-11-36)) of mesocosm. Briefly, the addition of TEAs had significantly affected the amount $CO₂$ and $CH₄$ emission. Moreover, after TEAs addition, the stoichiometric 1:1 ratio between $CH₄$ and $CO₂$ was changed completely, sometimes doubling $CO₂$ and/or suppressing CH_4 more than 80%.

4.2 Addition of TEAs altered the microbial community structure

The microbial community structure differences were based on adding different TEAs. Nevertheless, the leading bacterial phyla were the same in all the mesocosm, but their abundance varied in the different mesocosm, *Proteobacteria* was more abundant in treatment 4a compared to control or 5a treatment. Similarly, *Bacteroidetes* abundance was much less in 4a compared to 3a [\(Figure 3a\)](#page-6-0). Similar results were reported by [Potter et al. \(2017\)](#page-11-37) that the proportion of microbial communities, especially, *Acidobacteria* and *Proteobacteria,* is significantly affected by the depth of peatland soils. The variation in the microbial community structure along depth is also due to oxygen deprivation in deeper layers. When oxygen is present in ample amounts, the aerobic microorganisms capable of methane oxidation are active (as in surface layers). Moreover, the aerobic environment, along with substrate addition, profoundly affects the community structure ([Tian et al., 2022](#page-11-38)). The effect can also be seen in the previous section, where the addition of substrate has significantly affected gas emission in surface layers compared to deeper layers.

Additionally, the abundance results are also familiar with previous studies with the same bacterial phyla in different types of peatlands ([Luo et al., 2021](#page-11-39)). Three microbial groups, *Bacteroidetes*, *Actinobacteria*, and *Verrucomicrobia*, were previously reported to contribute most to soil organic carbon degradation in northern peatlands [\(Tveit et al., 2013\)](#page-11-40). Compared to this study, *Actinobacteria* and *Bacteroidetes* comprised a higher percentage compared to *Verrucomicrobia* in the present study. According to the present study, in peatland bacterial communities, the effects of TEAs appear to be rather subtle. Further investigations are needed to determine how these TEAs affect bacterial populations in specific ways. Due to their reliance on primary nutritional substrates for growth and metabolism, the microbial communities on the upper layers of the soil are more diverse.

The diversity indices also showed that the addition of TEAs has a profound impact on the density and diversity of microbial community structure ([Figure 4a](#page-7-0)). Shannon diversity was comparatively higher in the top layer compared to the bottom layer, except for goethite addition which showed the opposite trends and vice versa. This might be due to physico-chemical changes in the properties of soil that are not feasible for the survival of the microbial communities, and only some bacterial strains survived that were able to grow in harsh climatic conditions [\(Zhang et al., 2019\)](#page-12-6).

4.3 Relative abundance of methanotrophs and methanogens

According to the results, the main methanotrophs found in the present study were *Methylomirabilales*, *Methylococcales*, and uncultured_methanogenic_archaeon [\(Figure 4b](#page-7-0)). As the results showed, the most abundant of these were *Methylomirabilales* ([Figure 4b\)](#page-7-0). The microbial species in the order *Methylomirabilales* order can oxidize methane anaerobically as well as reduce nitrogen to dinitrogen ([Ettwig et al., 2010](#page-11-41)). There is evidence that particulate methane monooxygenase (pMMO) encoding genes are present in the genomes of *Methylomirabilales* ([Versantvoort et al., 2018](#page-12-7)). *Methylococcales* bacteria, primarily aerobic methane-oxidizing bacteria, are reported to be involved in AMO (anaerobic methane oxidation) [\(Cabrol et al., 2020](#page-10-13)). Briefly, an increase in microbial CH₄ consumption is most likely behind the observed reduction in CH4 emissions under oxygen and other terminal electron acceptors.

4.4 LEfSe and heatmap analysis

The LEfSe analysis revealed the most abundant groups in 5 mesocosms were different from each other, While there is a limited amount of knowledge concerning the specific ecology of these groups in peatlands ([Figure 5b](#page-8-0)). It is well documented that *Thaumarchaeota* species are found in soil samples worldwide, and their contribution to global biogeochemical cycles is crucial [\(Lin et al., 2015\)](#page-11-42). In addition to having mixotrophic, chemoautotrophic, and heterotrophic lifestyles, *Thaumarchaeota* is capable of producing energy through diverse mechanisms. Peat biotopes are home to *Thaumarchaeota* where they produce living compounds by oxidizing organic fatty acids, amino acids, and inorganic ammonia. However, there is still uncertainty regarding the environmental role of *Thaumarchaeota* in peatlands. *Proteobacteria*, *Bacteroidetes,* and *Chloroflexi*, the most abundant groups in mesocosm 3, were also found in Northern peat lands. It has already been reported that a large proportion of peatlands throughout northern and tropical regions were dominated by *Proteobacteria* while *Bacteroidetes* and *Chloroflexi* constituted secondary dominant phyla (Finn et al., 2020). *Rhodocyclaceaebacterium*, *Betaproteobacterials,* and *Holophagales* were found in mesocosm 4. There are few available reports about detecting *Rhodocyclaceaebacterium*, *Betaproteobacterials*, and *Holophagales*, especially in peatlands. However, there has been evidence that *Betaproteobacterials* methylotrophs can utilize and assimilate ¹³C-methanol ([Osaka et al., 2006](#page-11-44)). Whereas TRA3_20 (uncultured *Betaproteobacteria*) was abundant in mesocosm 5, and the information available about TRA3_20 is also limited.

5 Conclusion

The present study studied the impact of different TEAs on the emission of greenhouse gasses from peatland soils in pilot-scale mesocosms. It has been found that most TEAs have inhibited the production of CH_4 and enhanced the production of CO_2 to a certain extent. Proteobacteria, Acidobacteria, Chloroflexi, and Bacteroidetes were the most abundant phyla in all the mesocosms, and their dominance varied among different treatments. Additionally, the presence of methanotrophs, including *Methylomirabilales* and *Methylococcales,* might be the reason behind the decrease in the CH₄ emission in different mesocosms due to its consumption by the following microorganism. We concluded that introducing a new electron acceptor to peatlands is likely to alter microbial community structure and diversity, which in turn could significantly influence $CH₄$ and $CO₂$ emissions. Future research should look at additional possible electron acceptors and related active microorganisms to understand better the global geochemical cycle and how methane and CO₂ emissions are regulated in peatland soils.

Data availability statement

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found at: [https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/search/](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/search/all/?term=PRJNA1169397) [all/?term=PRJNA1169397.](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/search/all/?term=PRJNA1169397)

Author contributions

SS: Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Software, Validation, Writing – original draft, Writing – review &

References

Andersen, R., Chapman, S., and Artz, R. (2013). Microbial communities in natural and disturbed peatlands: a review. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 57, 979–994. doi: [10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2012.10.003) [soilbio.2012.10.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2012.10.003)

Bahram, M., Hildebrand, F., Forslund, S. K., Anderson, J. L., Soudzilovskaia, N. A., Bodegom, P. M., et al. (2018). Structure and function of the global topsoil microbiome. *Nature* 560, 233–237. doi: [10.1038/s41586-018-0386-6](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-0386-6)

Bai, R., Wang, J.-T., Deng, Y., He, J.-Z., Feng, K., and Zhang, L.-M. (2017). Microbial community and functional structure significantly varied among distinct types of Paddy soils but responded differently along gradients of soil depth layers. *Front. Microbiol.* 8:945. doi: [10.3389/fmicb.2017.00945](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2017.00945)

Bolyen, E., Rideout, J. R., Dillon, M. R., Bokulich, N. A., Abnet, C. C., Al-Ghalith, G. A., et al. (2019). Reproducible, interactive, scalable and extensible microbiome data science using QIIME 2. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 37, 852–857. doi: [10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41587-019-0209-9) [s41587-019-0209-9](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41587-019-0209-9)

Bridgham, S. D., Cadillo-Quiroz, H., Keller, J. K., and Zhuang, Q. (2013). Methane emissions from wetlands: biogeochemical, microbial, and modeling perspectives from local to global scales. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 19, 1325–1346. doi: [10.1111/gcb.12131](https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12131)

Cabrol, L., Thalasso, F., Gandois, L., Sepulveda-Jauregui, A., Martinez-Cruz, K., Teisserenc, R., et al. (2020). Anaerobic oxidation of methane and associated microbiome in anoxic water of northwestern Siberian lakes. *Sci. Total Environ.* 736:139588. doi: [10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.139588](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.139588)

editing, Visualization. CQ: Writing – review & editing. MF: Data curation, Formal analysis, Software, Writing – review & editing. FZ: Software, Writing – review & editing. Z-GY: Funding acquisition, Resources, Supervision, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This paper was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (32471702, 52150410402) and the Foundation of Innovative Research Groups of the National Natural Science Foundation of China (51421006).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary material for this article can be found online at: [https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2024.1468344/](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2024.1468344/full#supplementary-material) [full#supplementary-material](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2024.1468344/full#supplementary-material)

Cai, C., Leu, A. O., Xie, G.-J., Guo, J., Feng, Y., Zhao, J.-X., et al. (2018). A methanotrophic archaeon couples anaerobic oxidation of methane to Fe (III) reduction. *ISME J.* 12, 1929–1939. doi: [10.1038/s41396-018-0109-x](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41396-018-0109-x)

Callahan, B. J., McMurdie, P. J., Rosen, M. J., Han, A. W., Johnson, A. J. A., and Holmes, S. P. (2016). DADA2: high-resolution sample inference from Illumina amplicon data. *Nat. Methods* 13, 581–583. doi: [10.1038/nmeth.3869](https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.3869)

Chen, S., Zhou, Y., Chen, Y., and Gu, J. (2018). Fastp: an ultra-fast all-in-one FASTQ preprocessor. *Bioinformatics* 34, i884–i890. doi: [10.1093/bioinformatics/bty560](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bty560)

Chong, J., Liu, P., Zhou, G., and Xia, J. (2020). Using MicrobiomeAnalyst for comprehensive statistical, functional, and meta-analysis of microbiome data. *Nat. Protoc.* 15, 799–821. doi: [10.1038/s41596-019-0264-1](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41596-019-0264-1)

Cong, J., Gao, C., Han, D., Li, Y., and Wang, G. (2020). Stability of the permafrost peatlands carbon pool under climate change and wildfires during the last 150 years in the northern great Khingan Mountains, China. *Sci. Total Environ.* 712:136476. doi: [10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.136476](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.136476)

de Jong, A. E. E., Guererro-Cruz, S., van Diggelen, J. M. H., Vaksmaa, A., Lamers, L. P. M., Jetten, M. S. M., et al. (2020). Changes in microbial community composition, activity, and greenhouse gas production upon inundation of drained iron-rich peat soils. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 149:107862. doi: [10.1016/j.soilbio.2020.107862](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2020.107862)

Dhandapani, S., Ritz, K., Evers, S., Yule, C. M., and Sjögersten, S. (2019). Are secondary forests second-rate? Comparing peatland greenhouse gas emissions, chemical

and microbial community properties between primary and secondary forests in peninsular Malaysia. *Sci. Total Environ.* 655, 220–231. doi: [10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.11.046) [scitotenv.2018.11.046](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.11.046)

Elliott, D. R., Caporn, S. J., Nwaishi, F., Nilsson, R. H., and Sen, R. (2015). Bacterial and fungal communities in a degraded ombrotrophic peatland undergoing natural and managed re-vegetation. *PLoS One* 10:e0124726. doi: [10.1371/journal.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0124726) [pone.0124726](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0124726)

Estop-Aragonés, C., Zając, K., and Blodau, C. (2016). Effects of extreme experimental drought and rewetting on CO 2 and CH 4 exchange in mesocosms of 14 European peatlands with different nitrogen and sulfur deposition. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 22, 2285–2300. doi: [10.1111/gcb.13228](https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13228)

Ettwig, K. F., Butler, M. K., Le Paslier, D., Pelletier, E., Mangenot, S., Kuypers, M. M., et al. (2010). Nitrite-driven anaerobic methane oxidation by oxygenic bacteria. *Nature* 464, 543–548. doi: [10.1038/nature08883](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature08883)

Ettwig, K. F., Zhu, B., Speth, D., Keltjens, J. T., Jetten, M. S., and Kartal, B. (2016). Archaea catalyze iron-dependent anaerobic oxidation of methane. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 113, 12792–12796. doi: [10.1073/pnas.1609534113](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1609534113)

Finn, D. R., Ziv-El, M., van Haren, J., Park, J. G., del Aguila-Pasquel, J., Urquiza Muñoz, J. D., et al. (2020). Methanogens and Methanotrophs show nutrientdependent community assemblage patterns across tropical peatlands of the Pastaza-Marañón Basin, Peruvian Amazonia. *Front. Microbiol.* 11:746. doi: [10.3389/](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2020.00746) [fmicb.2020.00746](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2020.00746)

Frolking, S., and Roulet, N. T. (2007). Holocene radiative forcing impact of northern peatland carbon accumulation and methane emissions. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 13, 1079–1088. doi: [10.1111/j.1365-2486.2007.01339.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2007.01339.x)

Frolking, S., Talbot, J., Jones, M. C., Treat, C. C., Kauffman, J. B., Tuittila, E.-S., et al. (2011). Peatlands in the Earth's 21st century climate system. *Environ. Rev.* 19, 371–396. doi: [10.1139/a11-014](https://doi.org/10.1139/a11-014)

Gao, C., Sander, M., Agethen, S., and Knorr, K.-H. (2019). Electron accepting capacity of dissolved and particulate organic matter control CO2 and CH4 formation in peat soils. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 245, 266–277. doi: [10.1016/j.gca.2018.11.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2018.11.004)

Gilbert, D., and Mitchell, E. A. (2006). Microbial diversity in Sphagnum peatlands. *Dev. Earth Surf. Process.* 9, 287–318. doi: [10.1016/S0928-2025\(06\)09013-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0928-2025(06)09013-4)

Girkin, N. T., Dhandapani, S., Evers, S., Ostle, N., Turner, B. L., and Sjögersten, S. (2020). Interactions between labile carbon, temperature and land use regulate carbon dioxide and methane production in tropical peat. *Biogeochemistry* 147, 87–97. doi: [10.1007/s10533-019-00632-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10533-019-00632-y)

Glaser, P. H., Siegel, D. I., Chanton, J. P., Reeve, A. S., Rosenberry, D. O., Corbett, J. E., et al. (2016). Climatic drivers for multi-decadal shifts in solute transport and methane production zones within a large Peat Basin. *Global Biogeochem. Cycles* 30, 1578–1598. doi: [10.1002/2016GB005397](https://doi.org/10.1002/2016GB005397)

Haroon, M. F., Hu, S., Shi, Y., Imelfort, M., Keller, J., Hugenholtz, P., et al. (2013). Anaerobic oxidation of methane coupled to nitrate reduction in a novel archaeal lineage. *Nature* 500, 567–570. doi: [10.1038/nature12375](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature12375)

Hines, M. E., Duddleston, K. N., Rooney-Varga, J. N., Fields, D., and Chanton, J. P. (2008). Uncoupling of acetate degradation from methane formation in Alaskan wetlands: connections to vegetation distribution. *Glob. Biogeochem. Cycles* 22. doi: [10.1029/2006GB002903](https://doi.org/10.1029/2006GB002903)

Kappler, A., Bryce, C., Mansor, M., Lueder, U., Byrne, J. M., and Swanner, E. D. (2021). An evolving view on biogeochemical cycling of iron. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 19, 360–374. doi: [10.1038/s41579-020-00502-7](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41579-020-00502-7)

Keiser, A. D., Smith, M., Bell, S., and Hofmockel, K. S. (2019). Peatland microbial community response to altered climate tempered by nutrient availability. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 137:107561. doi: [10.1016/j.soilbio.2019.107561](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2019.107561)

Keller, J. K., and Bridgham, S. D. (2007). Pathways of anaerobic carbon cycling across an ombrotrophic-minerotrophic peatland gradient. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* 52, 96–107. doi: [10.4319/lo.2007.52.1.0096](https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.2007.52.1.0096)

Keller, J. K., and Takagi, K. K. (2013). Solid-phase organic matter reduction regulates anaerobic decomposition in bog soil. *Ecosphere* 4, 1–12. doi: [10.1890/ES12-00382.1](https://doi.org/10.1890/ES12-00382.1)

Khadem, A. F., Azman, S., Plugge, C. M., Zeeman, G., van Lier, J. B., and Stams, A. J. (2017). Effect of humic acids on the activity of pure and mixed methanogenic cultures. *Biomass Bioenergy* 99, 21–30. doi: [10.1016/j.biombioe.2017.02.012](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biombioe.2017.02.012)

Klüpfel, L., Piepenbrock, A., Kappler, A., and Sander, M. (2014). Humic substances as fully regenerable electron acceptors in recurrently anoxic environments. *Nat. Geosci.* 7, 195–200. doi: [10.1038/ngeo2084](https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo2084)

Kügler, S., Cooper, R. E., Wegner, C.-E., Mohr, J. F., Wichard, T., and Küsel, K. (2019). Iron-organic matter complexes accelerate microbial iron cycling in an iron-rich fen. *Sci. Total Environ.* 646, 972–988. doi: [10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.07.258](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.07.258)

Lin, X., Green, S., Tfaily, M., Prakash, O., Konstantinidis, K., Corbett, J., et al. (2012). Microbial community structure and activity linked to contrasting biogeochemical gradients in bog and fen environments of the glacial Lake Agassiz peatland. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 78, 7023–7031. doi: [10.1128/AEM.01750-12](https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.01750-12)

Lin, X., Handley, K. M., Gilbert, J. A., and Kostka, J. E. (2015). Metabolic potential of fatty acid oxidation and anaerobic respiration by abundant members of Thaumarchaeota and Thermoplasmata in deep anoxic peat. *ISME J.* 9, 2740–2744. doi: [10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2015.77) [ismej.2015.77](https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2015.77)

Liu, L., Chen, H., Zhu, Q., Yang, G., Zhu, E., Hu, J., et al. (2016). Responses of peat carbon at different depths to simulated warming and oxidizing. *Sci. Total Environ.* 548, 429–440. doi: [10.1016/j.scitotenv.2015.11.149](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2015.11.149)

Liu, Z., Li, D., Zhang, J., Saleem, M., Zhang, Y., Ma, R., et al. (2020). Effect of simulated acid rain on soil CO2, CH4 and N2O emissions and microbial communities in an agricultural soil. *Geoderma* 366:114222. doi: [10.1016/j.geoderma.2020.114222](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2020.114222)

Luo, L., Zhu, L., Hong, W., Gu, J.-D., Shi, D., He, Y., et al. (2021). Microbial resource limitation and regulation of soil carbon cycle in Zoige plateau peatland soils. *Catena* 205:105478. doi: [10.1016/j.catena.2021.105478](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.catena.2021.105478)

Magoč, T., and Salzberg, S. L. (2011). FLASH: fast length adjustment of short reads to improve genome assemblies. *Bioinform* 27, 2957–2963. doi: [10.1093/bioinformatics/btr507](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btr507)

Matysek, M., Leake, J., Banwart, S., Johnson, I., Page, S., Kaduk, J., et al. (2019). Impact of fertiliser, water table, and warming on celery yield and CO2 and CH4 emissions from fenland agricultural peat. *Sci. Total Environ.* 667, 179–190. doi: [10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.02.360) scitoteny.2019.02.360

Minderlein, S., and Blodau, C. (2010). Humic-rich peat extracts inhibit sulfate reduction, methanogenesis, and anaerobic respiration but not acetogenesis in peat soils of a temperate bog. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 42, 2078–2086. doi: [10.1016/j.soilbio.2010.08.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2010.08.002)

Neubauer, S. C., and Megonigal, J. P. (2015). Moving beyond global warming potentials to quantify the climatic role of ecosystems. *Ecosystems* 18, 1000–1013. doi: [10.1007/s10021-015-9879-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10021-015-9879-4)

Osaka, T., Yoshie, S., Tsuneda, S., Hirata, A., Iwami, N., and Inamori, Y. (2006). Identification of acetate-or methanol-assimilating bacteria under nitrate-reducing conditions by stable-isotope probing. *Microb. Ecol.* 52, 253–266. doi: [10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00248-006-9071-7) [s00248-006-9071-7](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00248-006-9071-7)

Osaki, M., Kato, T., Kohyama, T., Takahashi, H., Haraguchi, A., Yabe, K., et al. (2021) in Tropical peatland eco-management. eds. M. Osaki, N. Tsuji, N. Foead and J. Rieley (Singapore, Springer Singapore), 3–62.

Pankratov, T. A., Serkebaeva, Y. M., Kulichevskaya, I. S., Liesack, W., and Dedysh, S. N. (2008). Substrate-induced growth and isolation of Acidobacteria from acidic Sphagnum peat. *ISME J.* 2, 551–560. doi: [10.1038/ismej.2008.7](https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2008.7)

Potter, C., Freeman, C., Golyshin, P. N., Ackermann, G., Fenner, N., McDonald, J. E., et al. (2017). Subtle shifts in microbial communities occur alongside the release of carbon induced by drought and rewetting in contrasting peatland ecosystems. *Sci. Rep.* 7:11314. doi: [10.1038/s41598-017-11546-w](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-11546-w)

Ren, C., Zhao, F., Kang, D., Yang, G., Han, X., Tong, X., et al. (2016). Linkages of C: N: P stoichiometry and bacterial community in soil following afforestation of former farmland. *For. Ecol. Manag.* 376, 59–66. doi: [10.1016/j.foreco.2016.06.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2016.06.004)

Rodriguez Vasquez, M. J., Benoist, A., Roda, J. M., and Fortin, M. (2021). Estimating greenhouse gas emissions from peat combustion in wildfires on Indonesian peatlands, and their uncertainty. *Glob. Biogeochem. Cycles* 35:e2019GB006218. doi: [10.1029/2019GB006218](https://doi.org/10.1029/2019GB006218)

Segata, N., Izard, J., Waldron, L., Gevers, D., Miropolsky, L., Garrett, W. S., et al. (2011). Metagenomic biomarker discovery and explanation. *Genome Biol.* 12:R60. doi: [10.1186/gb-2011-12-6-r60](https://doi.org/10.1186/gb-2011-12-6-r60)

Shen, L.-D., Ouyang, L., Zhu, Y., and Trimmer, M. (2019). Active pathways of anaerobic methane oxidation across contrasting riverbeds. *ISME J.* 13, 752–766. doi: [10.1038/s41396-018-0302-y](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41396-018-0302-y)

Shen, L.-D., Wu, H.-S., Liu, X., and Li, J. (2017). Cooccurrence and potential role of nitrite-and nitrate-dependent methanotrophs in freshwater marsh sediments. *Water Res.* 123, 162–172. doi: [10.1016/j.watres.2017.06.075](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2017.06.075)

Shi, W., Du, M., Ye, C., and Zhang, Q. (2021). Divergent effects of hydrological alteration and nutrient addition on greenhouse gas emissions in the water level fluctuation zone of the three gorges reservoir, China. *Water Res.* 201:117308. doi: [10.1016/j.watres.2021.117308](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2021.117308)

Shirokova, L. S., Chupakov, A. V., Ivanova, I. S., Moreva, O. Y., Zabelina, S. A., Shutskiy, N. A., et al. (2021). Lichen, moss and peat control of C, nutrient and trace metal regime in lakes of permafrost peatlands. *Sci. Total Environ.* 782:146737. doi: [10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.146737](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.146737)

Smemo, K. A., and Yavitt, J. B. (2011). Anaerobic oxidation of methane: an underappreciated aspect of methane cycling in peatland ecosystems? *Biogeosciences* 8, 779–793. doi: [10.5194/bg-8-779-2011](https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-8-779-2011)

Tan, L., Ge, Z., Zhou, X., Li, S., Li, X., and Tang, J. (2020). Conversion of coastal wetlands, riparian wetlands, and peatlands increases greenhouse gas emissions: a global meta-analysis. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 26, 1638–1653. doi: [10.1111/gcb.14933](https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14933)

Tian, J., Liu, L., Chen, H., Zhong, L., Zhou, X., Jiang, L., et al. (2022). Aerobic environments in combination with substrate additions to soil significantly reshape depth-dependent microbial distribution patterns in Zoige peatlands, China. *Appl. Soil Ecol.* 170:104252. doi: [10.1016/j.apsoil.2021.104252](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsoil.2021.104252)

Tveit, A., Schwacke, R., Svenning, M. M., and Urich, T. (2013). Organic carbon transformations in high-Arctic peat soils: key functions and microorganisms. *ISME J.* 7, 299–311. doi: [10.1038/ismej.2012.99](https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2012.99)

Urbanová, Z., and Bárta, J. (2014). Microbial community composition and *in silico* predicted metabolic potential reflect biogeochemical gradients between distinct peatland types. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* 90, 633–646. doi: [10.1111/1574-6941.12422](https://doi.org/10.1111/1574-6941.12422)

Urbanová, Z., and Bárta, J. (2016). Effects of long-term drainage on microbial community composition vary between peatland types. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 92, 16–26. doi: [10.1016/j.soilbio.2015.09.017](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2015.09.017)

Valenzuela, E. I., Avendaño, K. A., Balagurusamy, N., Arriaga, S., Nieto-Delgado, C., Thalasso, F., et al. (2019). Electron shuttling mediated by humic substances fuels anaerobic methane oxidation and carbon burial in wetland sediments. *Sci. Total Environ.* 650, 2674–2684. doi: [10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.09.388](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.09.388)

van Lent, J., Hergoualch, K., Verchot, L., Oenema, O., and Van Groenigen, J. W. (2019). Greenhouse gas emissions along a peat swamp forest degradation gradient in the Peruvian Amazon: soil moisture and palm roots effects. *Mitig. Adapt. Strateg. Glob. Chang.* 24, 625–643. doi: [10.1007/s11027-018-9796-x](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11027-018-9796-x)

Versantvoort, W., Guerrero-Cruz, S., Speth, D. R., Frank, J., Gambelli, L., Cremers, G., et al. (2018). Comparative genomics of Candidatus Methylomirabilis species and description of *Ca*. Methylomirabilis lanthanidiphila. *Front. Microbiol.* 9:1672. doi: [10.3389/fmicb.2018.01672](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2018.01672)

Walpen, N., Getzinger, G. J., Schroth, M. H., and Sander, M. (2018). Electron-donating phenolic and Electron-accepting Quinone moieties in peat dissolved organic matter: quantities and redox transformations in the context of peat biogeochemistry. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 52, 5236–5245. doi: [10.1021/acs.est.8b00594](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.8b00594)

Wilson, R. M., Tfaily, M. M., Rich, V. I., Keller, J. K., Bridgham, S. D., Zalman, C. M., et al. (2017). Hydrogenation of organic matter as a terminal electron sink sustains high CO2: CH4 production ratios during anaerobic decomposition. *Org. Geochem.* 112, 22–32. doi: [10.1016/j.orggeochem.2017.06.011](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.orggeochem.2017.06.011)

Zhang, X., Tang, S., Wang, M., Sun, W., Xie, Y., Peng, H., et al. (2019). Acid mine drainage affects the diversity and metal resistance gene profile of sediment bacterial community along a river. *Chemosphere* 217, 790–799. doi: [10.1016/j.chemosphere.2018.10.210](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2018.10.210)

Zhong, Q., Chen, H., Liu, L., He, Y., Zhu, D., Jiang, L., et al. (2017). Water table drawdown shapes the depth-dependent variations in prokaryotic diversity and structure in Zoige peatlands. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* 93:fix049. doi: [10.1093/](https://doi.org/10.1093/femsec/fix049) [femsec/fix049](https://doi.org/10.1093/femsec/fix049)