Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Jian-Wei Guo, Kunming University, China

REVIEWED BY Yang Yu, Hebei University of Environmental Engineering, China Xinyao Xia, Shandong Academy of Agricultural Sciences, China

*CORRESPONDENCE Guoyong Yan ⊠ guoyongyan1991@163.com

RECEIVED 02 April 2024 ACCEPTED 23 September 2024 PUBLISHED 02 October 2024

CITATION

Luo X, Yan G, Wang Q and Xing Y (2024) Community structure, diversity and function of endophytic and soil microorganisms in boreal forest. *Front. Microbiol.* 15:1410901. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2024.1410901

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Luo, Yan, Wang and Xing. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Community structure, diversity and function of endophytic and soil microorganisms in boreal forest

Xi Luo^{1,2}, Guoyong Yan^{1*}, Qinggui Wang¹ and Yajuan Xing¹

¹School of Life Sciences, Qufu Normal University, Qufu, China, ²Library, Qufu Normal University, Qufu, China

Introduction: Despite extensive studies on soil microbial community structure and functions, the significance of plant-associated microorganisms, especially endophytes, has been overlooked. To comprehensively anticipate future changes in forest ecosystem function under future climate change scenarios, it is imperative to gain a thorough understanding of the community structure, diversity, and function of both plant-associated microorganisms and soil microorganisms.

Methods: In our study, we aimed to elucidate the structure, diversity, and function of leaf endophytes, root endophytes, rhizosphere, and soil microbial communities in boreal forest. The microbial structure and composition were determined by high-throughput sequencing. FAPROTAX and FUNGuild were used to analyze the microbial functional groups.

Results: Our findings revealed significant differences in the community structure and diversity of fungi and bacteria across leaves, roots, rhizosphere, and soil. Notably, we observed that the endophytic fungal or bacterial communities associated with plants comprised many species distinct from those found in the soil microbial communities, challenging the assumption that most of endophytic fungal or bacterial species in plants originate from the soil. Furthermore, our results indicated noteworthy differences in the composition functional groups of bacteria or fungi in leaf endophytes, root endophytes, rhizosphere, and soil, suggesting distinct roles played by microbial communities in plants and soil.

Discussion: These findings underscore the importance of recognizing the diverse functions performed by microbial communities in both plant and soil environments. In conclusion, our study emphasizes the necessity of a comprehensive understanding of the structure and function microbial communities in both plants and soil for assessing the functions of boreal forest ecosystems.

KEYWORDS

forest microbiome, ecosystem function, plant-associated microorganisms, plant endophytic microorganisms, boreal forests

Introduction

Many studies have demonstrated the crucial role of the soil microbiome in maintaining the health of terrestrial ecosystems (Chaparro et al., 2012; Jiao et al., 2018; Dubey et al., 2019; Banerjee and van der Heijden, 2023). This diverse community of bacteria and fungi contributes to various essential functions. One of its primary roles is nutrient cycles (Bahram et al., 2018; Jiao et al., 2018; Sokol et al., 2022), where microorganisms decompose organic matter, releasing essential nutrients such as nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium for plant uptake. Additionally, specific fungi can

form symbiotic relationships with plant roots, aiding in nutrient absorption and enhancing plant growth (Bonfante and Genre, 2010; Begum et al., 2019; De Mandal et al., 2021). Furthermore, the soil microbiome acts as a key player in disease suppression, with certain microorganisms inhibiting the growth of harmful pathogens (De Corato, 2020; Pascale et al., 2020). Soil microorganisms also contribute to soil structure and stability, playing a crucial role in preventing erosion and promoting water retention (Hartmann and Six, 2023). In essence, the soil microbiome is indispensable for sustaining healthy ecosystems and mitigating environmental challenges.

In forest ecosystems, plants provide a diverse array of niches for the growth and proliferation of microorganisms. The plant microbiota, consisting of bacteria, fungi, and so on, colonizes all accessible plant tissues (Trivedi et al., 2020). These microorganisms establish complex associations with plants, playing crucial roles in enhancing plant productivity and maintain health in natural environments (Laforest-Lapointe et al., 2017; Trivedi et al., 2020). Previous studies have highlighted the significance of root microbiota in promoting plant growth and resilience to various stresses (Philippot et al., 2013; Trivedi et al., 2020). Additionally, leaf-associated microorganisms influence host fitness, growth, resilience to abiotic stresses, and resistance to pathogens (Vorholt, 2012; Laforest-Lapointe et al., 2017; Perreault and Laforest-Lapointe, 2022). Positive correlations between the diversity of treeassociated microbiota and ecosystem productivity have been observed, while decreases in diversity are linked to disease states and propagation (Laforest-Lapointe et al., 2017; Perreault and Laforest-Lapointe, 2022). Moreover, a growing body of evidence suggests that diverse microbial communities associated with roots, leaves, and soil collectively contribute to enhancing plant fitness under environmental changes (Giauque et al., 2019; Hawkes et al., 2020; Angulo et al., 2022). For example, the rhizosphere microbiome can also form a biological protective barrier, reducing the invasion of pathogenic microorganisms, which is especially important when plants face environmental stresses such as drought or disease outbreaks (Mendes et al., 2013; Singh et al., 2023). Despite recognizing the vital functions of soil microorganisms and plant endophytes, previous studies predominantly focused on soil microorganisms and neglected the interconnected dynamics of soil, root, and leaf microorganisms, particularly in boreal forests (Hassani et al., 2018; Hawkes et al., 2020; Angulo et al., 2022). Importantly, there is a gap in our understanding of microbial endophyte community structure, diversity, and functions in boreal forests. Addressing this gap is crucial, as it could limit our comprehensive understanding of the functions of soil microorganisms and plant endophytes across ecosystems.

To comprehensively boreal forest ecosystem function, exploring the structure, diversity, and functional groups of microbial communities within leaves, roots, rhizosphere, and soil is essential. The microbial communities (including their structure, diversity, and functional groups) and their effects on plant systems can influence plant growth, health, and stress resistance, making it a critical aspect of understanding ecosystem dynamics. The living environment acts as a major selective force, shaping the composition of both soil microorganisms and plant endophytes, as highlighted by Trivedi et al. (2020). Thus, we hypothesize significant differences in microbial community structure and diversity across various sampling positions (including bulk soil (non-rhizosphere soil), rhizosphere soil, leaf and root). Soil microorganisms, with their primary function in promoting soil nutrient cycling, are complemented by rhizosphere microorganisms, which enhance the efficiency of plant nutrient absorption. Simultaneously, plant endophytic microorganisms contribute to the adaptability of plants to their environment. Consequently, we further hypothesize significant differences in the functional groups of microbial communities in different positions, meeting diverse plant needs and ecosystem functions. To investigate these hypotheses, *Larix gmelinii*, the dominant tree species in the boreal forest, was chosen. We collected samples, including leaves, roots, rhizosphere, and soil, from 36 *Larix gmelinii* trees. Employing high-throughput sequencing, we determined the microbial community structure in different positions, with a specific focus on endophytic microbial communities identified in leaves and roots.

Materials and methods

Site description

Our sampling was conducted in the boreal forest within Nanwenghe National Natural Reserve, located in the Greater Khingan Mountains, China (51°05′-51°39′N, 125°07′-125°50′E). The study area features a cold temperate continental climate characterized by a protracted cold winter (October–April) and a brief, warm summer (May–September). With a mean annual temperature of -2.4° C, monthly variations range from -26.3° C in January to 18.6°C in July. Annual precipitation averages 489.2 mm, with the majority falling in July and August. The soil in this region is classified as sandy (including many stones) based on an international soil classification system,¹ and the mean soil thickness is 20 cm. Dominating the ecosystem is the *Larix gmelinii* tree species.

Field sampling

In July 2021, we randomly selected 36 robust adult larch trees within the protected area for sampling. Each selected tree contributed comprehensive samples, including leaves, roots, rhizosphere, and bulk soil. To ensure representation, a minimum of ten fresh, intact, and fully expanded leaf samples were collected from the highest part of the tree crown, with sampling conducted from four directions. To capture the complete root system, spanning orders 1-5, excavation was carried out in four directions around the tree base. The collected leaf or root samples from each individual were then mixed and homogenized. Rhizosphere soil was obtained using the shaking-off method, where soil tightly attached to the root surface was designated as rhizosphere soil (Phillips et al., 2011). Bulk soil samples were gathered 2-3 meters away from the tree trunk in four directions. To minimize the influence of surface microbes, leaves and roots underwent prompt surface sterilization within 12 h of collection. This process involved sequential rinsing in deionized water, 95% ethanol, 0.5% sodium hypochlorite (NaOCl), and 70% ethanol, following established protocols (Pan et al., 2023). The rhizosphere soil or bulk soil from each tree was thoroughly mixed and sieved through a 2-mm mesh to eliminate plants, small animals, roots, and gravel. This process yielded four distinct samples from each tree: leaf, root, rhizosphere soil, and bulk soil. All samples were promptly flash-frozen in liquid diatomic nitrogen (N_2) for

¹ http://www.iuss.org/index.php?article_id=175

transportation to the laboratory and subsequently stored at $-80^\circ\mathrm{C}$ for nucleic acid extraction.

Part of the soil sample was used to determine soil properties. The soil pH value was measured i using a pH meter (SX7150, China). Ammonium nitrogen (NH_4^+) and nitrate nitrogen (NO_3^-) were measured using a continuous flow analyzer (Skalarsan+, Netherlands). The soil total organic carbon (TOC) and total N (TN) concentrations were measured using an automated TC/TN analyzer (multi N/C 3100, Analytik Jena AG, Germany). Soil total phosphorus (TP) was measured via spectrophotometer with molybdenum–antimony antibody colorimetry.

DNA extraction

Total microbial DNA was extracted from pooled leaf and root tissue samples using Qiagen Plant DNeasy kits. For soil genomic DNA extraction in each sample, the MoBio PowerSoil DNA extraction kit (MO BIO Laboratories, Inc., Carlsbad, CA, USA) was employed following the manufacturer's protocol, with minor adjustments for enhanced yield and purity. A uniform one-step PCR amplification process was applied to all samples, achieving normalization through primers designed to attach a 12-base-pair barcode and Illumina adaptor sequence during PCR. Targeting the 16S rRNA gene for bacteria and the ITS region for fungi amplicons, in alignment with established protocols, each sample underwent a single 25 µL PCR reaction. The resulting amplicons underwent processing using an Invitrogen Sequalprep PCR Cleanup and Normalization Kit. Following equal concentration pooling, the samples underwent sequencing using Illumina Hiseq 2500, generating pair-end 250-base pair reads. To ensure data accuracy, rigorous sequencing quality control measures were implemented to filter out low-quality reads.

Bioinformatic analysis

The raw sequence data underwent processing using QIIME2 pipelines (Bolyen et al., 2019). This comprehensive procedure involved merging paired-end sequences into a single sequence of approximately 350 bp in length. To ensure data quality, sequences with a mean quality score less than 30 or with any series of five bases having a quality score below 30 were eliminated. Subsequently, the sequences were de-multiplexed into respective samples. Quality-controlled reads were subjected to denoising using the DADA2 plugin (Callahan et al., 2016), resulting in the identification of amplicon sequence variants (ASVs) with a 97% similarity. Taxonomy assignment for each ASV was achieved through a Naive Bayes classifier trained with eukaryotes ITS sequences from the UNITE database (version 7.2, Nilsson et al., 2019) and prokaryotic 16S sequences from the Greengenes database (version 13.5, DeSantis et al., 2006). The final ASV table was meticulously generated by excluding nonfungi or nonbacterial ASVs and potential false-positive ASVs with a low abundance of fewer than ten reads across all samples. To estimate alpha-diversity indices for bacteria and fungi, MOTHUR software (version 1.30.1) was employed. We employed FAPROTAX (Louca et al., 2016) and FUNGuild (Nguyen et al., 2016) to analyze the functional groups of bacteria and fungi in the soil. For bacterial Operational Taxonomic Units (OTUs), we compared them with the dataset obtained by FAPROTAX (script version 1.1), and the output functional table used the default settings. FUNGuild v1.0 was utilized to determine the functional groups of fungi, and it is a flat database hosted on GitHub.² To prevent overinterpretation of fungal functional groups, we excluded the confidence level of "possible" and retained only the two levels of "highly probable" and "probable." Communities that could not be identified or were identified as having multiple complex nutrition methods were unified as "undefined."

Statistical analysis

For statistical analysis, the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test was employed for a normality test, and Levene's test was used for the homogeneity of variance test. We utilized the linear model with Tukey's multiple comparison to evaluate the differences in microbial diversity indices, relative abundance of microphyla, and microbial functional groups among different sampling positions. Visualization of microbial communities and functional structures was conducted through nonmetric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) based on the Bray-Curtis distance. Additionally, the "ADONIS" function of the vegan package in R (999 permutations) was used to test the significance of the separation between successional stages. ANOSIM analysis was employed to detect differences within and between groups. All analyses were conducted in R (version 4.1.1, R Core Team, 2021).

Results

Microbial diversity

The soil properties in the study area are presented in Table 1. Significant differences in bacterial and fungal diversity, as assessed by the Shannon diversity index, were observed across leaf endosphere, root endosphere, rhizosphere, and bulk soil (Figure 1). However, distinctive patterns emerged when comparing bacterial and fungal diversity across different sampling positions. Bacterial diversity exhibited the highest values in bulk soil and the lowest in the leaf endosphere. In contrast, fungal diversity showed a different trend, with the highest values in the rhizosphere and the lowest in the root endosphere (Figure 1). In summary, the order of bacterial diversity was as follows: bulk soil (4.25) > root endosphere (4.02) > rhizosphere (2.17) > leaf endosphere (2.10). Similarly, the order of fungal diversity was rhizosphere (2.79) > bulk soil (2.68) > leaf endosphere (2.20) > root endosphere (1.73) (Figure 1).

Microbial community structure

Bacterial and fungal community structures also show notable differences across leaf endosphere, root endosphere, rhizosphere, and bulk soil (Table 2; Figure 2). This variation in community structure may arise from differences in species composition (Figures 3, 4). The

² https://github.com/UMNFuN/FUNGuild

SOC(g·kg⁻¹)

Soil properties



NO₃[−](mg·kg^{−1})

TN(g·kg⁻¹)

TP(g⋅kg⁻¹)

TABLE 1 Soil properties in the study area.

pН

NH₄⁺(mg⋅kg⁻¹)

phyllosphere community primarily consists of bacteria from the phyla Proteobacteria, Actinomycetes, Firmicutes, Acidobacteria, and Chloroflexi, with members of phyla Proteobacteria constituting approximately 50% of the community composition in leaf endosphere, root endosphere, rhizosphere, and bulk soil (Figure 3). Plant endophytic communities are significant higher in Actinobacteria (approximately twofold or higher in relative abundance in the endophytic community than in the rhizosphere or bulk soil), while significant lower in Firmicutes (more than twofold lower in relative abundance in the endophytic community than in the rhizosphere), Acidobacteria, and Chloroflexi (more than twofold lower in relative abundance in the endophytic community than in the bulk soil). The diverse range of fungi colonizing both leaf and root tissues predominantly belong to the phyla Ascomycota and Basidiomycota (Figure 3). The rhizosphere and bulk soil are enriched in the phyla Mortierellomycota, while being depleted in plant endophytic communities (Figure 3). Among the unique species, bacterial and fungal species in the leaf endosphere were the most abundant compared to other tissues, while the rhizosphere had relatively fewer (Figure 4). This difference may primarily result from the distinct sources of endophytic microorganisms in leaves and roots.

Microbial fungal groups

Chemoheterotrophic bacteria emerged as the most dominant functional group, with their relative abundance exceeding 50% across various ecological niches, including the leaf endosphere, root endosphere, rhizosphere, and bulk soil (Figure 5a). Notably, the leaf endosphere exhibited a significantly higher relative abundance of hydrocarbon-degrading bacteria compared to other positions (p < 0.05, Figure 5a). Additionally, the relative abundance of nitrogenfixing bacteria was higher in root endosphere and bulk soil compared to the leaf endosphere and rhizosphere (Figure 5a). The rhizosphere showed the highest relative abundance of fermentation and nitrate-reducing bacteria, while bulk soil had the greatest relative abundance of ammonia-oxidizing and nitrifying bacteria (Figure 5a).

The relative abundance of ectomycorrhizal fungi reached its peak in the root endosphere, rhizosphere, and bulk soil, but notably, it was not as pronounced in the leaf endosphere (Figure 5b). Conversely, the relative abundance of plant pathogenic fungi was highest in the leaves, followed by the rhizosphere, and was least in the root endosphere (Figure 5b). Additionally, the relative abundance of saprophytic fungi was significantly higher in the root endosphere, rhizosphere, and bulk soil compared to the leaf endosphere (Figure 5b). Noteworthy is the observation that a majority of leaf endophytes could not be assigned to the three familiar functional groups (symbiotic, pathogenic, and saprophytic fungi), suggesting that their function may significantly differ from those in other positions (Figure 5b).

Discussion

In this study, the diversity of bacteria and fungi in soil was significantly higher than that of endophytic bacteria and fungi, indicating that host could act as a filter, selecting specific populations (Trivedi et al., 2020). The higher bacterial diversity in bulk soil may be attributed to the diverse nutrient sources and environmental conditions available in this habitat (Bach et al., 2018; Xia et al., 2020).

TABLE 2 Differences on fungal and bacterial community composition were tested by adonis analysis based on Bray-Curtis distances.

Types	Groups	R ²	<i>p</i> value
Bacteria	Soil/Leaf	0.975	< 0.001
	Soil/Root	0.983	< 0.001
	Soil/Rh	0.908	< 0.001
	Leaf/Root	0.674	< 0.001
	Leaf/Rh	0.980	<0.001
	Root/h	0.823	< 0.001
Fungi	Soil/Leaf	0.855	< 0.001
	Soil/Root	0.312	<0.001
	Soil/h	0.256	< 0.001
	Leaf/Root	0.717	< 0.001
	Leaf/h	0.846	<0.001
	Root/h	0.138	< 0.001

Leaf, leaf endophyte; Root, root endophyte; Rh, rhizospheric microorganism; Soil, soil microorganism.

On the other hand, the lower bacterial diversity in the leaf endosphere could be influenced by factors such as limited nutrient availability or selective pressures exerted by the plant host (Bulgarelli et al., 2013; Trivedi et al., 2020). Similarly, the variation in fungal diversity across sampling positions underscores the importance of niche-specific interactions and ecological dynamics in the rhizosphere and endosphere compartments (Qian et al., 2019; Yang et al., 2021). The greater fungal diversity in the rhizosphere compared to bulk soil may be linked to the rhizosphere effect, where root exudates influence the structure of the microbial community (Haichar et al., 2008; Peiffer et al., 2013; Gong et al., 2023). Conversely, the lower fungal diversity in the root endosphere suggests potential selective processes or competition among fungal taxa for colonization within this niche (Qian et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2023). Overall, these results shed light on the complex interplay between plants and their associated microbial communities.

The significant variations in microbial diversity are accompanied by marked differences in bacterial and fungal community structures across the leaf endosphere, root endosphere, rhizosphere, and bulk soil. This divergence in community structure likely arises from difference in species composition. In the leaf endosphere, bacterial communities are predominantly represented by phyla Proteobacteria, Actinomycetes, Firmicutes, Acidobacteria, and Chloroflexi, with Proteobacteria comprising approximately 50% of the community composition across all sampled environments, which is consistent with findings from previous studies (Hardoim et al., 2008; Compant et al., 2021). Moreover, plant endophytic communities exhibit enrichment in Actinobacteria, while displaying depletion in Firmicutes, Acidobacteria, and Chloroflexi compared to the rhizosphere and bulk soil. The observation is consistent with previous study (Liu et al., 2017). The fungal communities inhabiting both leaf and root tissues demonstrate extensive diversity, primarily composed of phyla Ascomycota and Basidiomycota (Zuo et al., 2021). Furthermore, Mortierellomycota phyla were enriched in the rhizosphere and bulk soil, while was depleted in plant endophytic



FIGURE 2

Non-metric multidimensional scaling analysis (NMDS) of bacterial community (a) and fungal communities (b) based on Bray-Curtis distances among different positions. Ellipses represent confidence intervals at 95%. The difference of bacterial and fungal community composition among different samples were tested by Adonis analysis based on Bray-Curtis distances. The PERMANOVA analysis showed that there were significant differences in bacterial and fungal communities between any two samples. Leaf, leaf endophyte; Root, root endophyte; RootR, rhizospheric microorganism; Soil, soil microorganism.



FIGURE 3

Relative abundances of the soil bacterial (a) and fungal (b) phyla in different positions. The phyla that relative abundances less than 1% are replaced with the other. Leaf, leaf endophyte; Root, root endophyte; RootR, rhizospheric microorganism; Soil, soil microorganism.



species in each group; The bar chart above shows the number of species of different samples in different positions; The green dots in the middle indicate the number of species in each sample during each position. Leaf, leaf endophyte; Root, root endophyte; RootR, rhizospheric microorganism; Soil, soil microorganism.

communities. The differences in fungal community composition between plant tissues and bulk soil suggest selective colonization processes within the plant ecosystem (Trivedi et al., 2020; Guo et al., 2021). Additionally, the analysis of specific species reveals that bacterial and fungal species in the leaf endosphere exhibit the highest endemism compared to other tissues, with relatively fewer specific species in the rhizosphere. This observation may be attributed to the distinct sources of endophytic microorganisms in leaves and roots, highlighting the influence of plant host specificity and environmental factors on microbial community assembly (Vandenkoornhuyse et al., 2015; Xiong et al., 2021). These results underscore the intricate interplay between plant-associated microbial communities and their respective habitats, emphasizing the importance of considering both microbial diversity and community structure in understanding the ecological dynamics within the plant microbiome. This study provides insights that enhance our understanding of the complex relationships



between plants and their associated microbial communities, highlighting the need for further investigation into the mechanisms driving microbial community assembly and function within different plant organs (Bulgarelli et al., 2013).

The difference of microbial community structure and diversity at different positions may lead to the difference in microbial community function. Our study highlights the diverse functional roles played by microbial communities across various ecological niches within the plant ecosystem. Chemoheterotrophic bacteria were the dominant functional group, with a relative abundance exceeding 50% across all sampled positions. Interestingly, significantly higher relative abundance of hydrocarbon-degrading bacteria (includes species like Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Rhodococcus erythropolis, Mycobacterium vanbaalenii, Sphingomonas paucimobilis, Pseudomonas putida, and so on) was found in leaf endosphere compared to in other positions, suggesting a potential role in detoxification or metabolism of organic compounds in leaf endosphere (Gupta et al., 2017; Feng et al., 2017). Moreover, distinct patterns in specific bacterial functional groups were also observed across different sampling positions. The root endosphere and bulk soil showed a higher relative abundance of nitrogen-fixing bacteria compared to the leaf endosphere and rhizosphere, highlighting their importance in nitrogen cycling and plant nutrition (Beltran-Garcia et al., 2021; Zhang et al., 2022). Conversely, the rhizosphere displayed a higher relative abundance of fermentation bacteria and nitrate-reducing bacteria, while the bulk soil harbored a greater prevalence of ammonia-oxidizing bacteria and nitrification bacteria, indicating niche-specific microbial metabolic activities (Singh et al., 2022). In terms of fungal functional groups, ectomycorrhizal fungi reached their peak relative abundance in the root endosphere, rhizosphere, and bulk soil, underscoring their symbiotic association with plant roots and potential roles in nutrient uptake (Philippot et al., 2013). Conversely, plant pathogenic fungi exhibited their highest relative abundance in leaves, suggesting a potential threat to plant health within this microenvironment (Eberl et al., 2020; Trivedi et al., 2020). Additionally, the root endosphere, rhizosphere, and bulk soil displayed a significantly higher relative abundance of saprophytic fungi compared to the leaf endosphere, highlighting their role in organic matter decomposition and nutrient cycling in soil-associated habitats (Schappe et al., 2020). Notably, a majority of leaf endophytes could not be assigned to familiar functional groups, suggesting unique functional roles that may significantly differ from those observed in other positions (Trivedi et al., 2020). This underscores the need for further exploration to elucidate the specific functions and ecological significance of these enigmatic leaf endophytes within the plant microbiome. Overall, these findings provide valuable insights into the functional diversity and ecological roles of microbial communities in boreal forests, contributing to our understanding of microbial-mediated processes essential for plant health and ecosystem functioning (Berendsen et al., 2012).

Conclusion

In conclusion, our study elucidates the intricate relationships between microbial diversity, community structure, and functional roles in a boreal forest. The results underscore the significance of considering both bacterial and fungal communities in understanding the dynamic interplay between plants and their associated microbiota. Chemoheterotrophic bacteria emerged as the predominant functional group, exhibiting diverse metabolic capabilities across sampled positions, while fungal functional groups exhibited distinct distribution patterns that reflected their ecological roles. Notably, the leaf endosphere harbored unique microbial communities with specialized functional attributes, suggesting niche-specific adaptations and potential contributions to plant health and fitness. Furthermore, differences in specific bacterial functional groups, such as nitrogenfixing bacteria and ammonia-oxidizing bacteria, across the sampling positions emphasize the significance of microbially mediated nutrient cycling and plant-microbe interactions. Future research should be focused on unraveling the functional significance of microbial communities in mediating plant-microbe interactions and ecosystem processes, ultimately enhancing our ability to harness the beneficial contributions of microbes for sustainable agriculture and environmental stewardship.

Data availability statement

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/, SUB14194707.

Author contributions

XL: Data curation, Software, Writing – original draft. GY: Data curation, Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Software, Supervision, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. QW: Data curation, Resources, Writing – review & editing. XY: Data curation, Resources, Writing – review & editing.

References

Angulo, V., Beriot, N., Garcia-Hernandez, E., Li, E., Masteling, R., and Lau, J. A. (2022). Plant-microbe eco-evolutionary dynamics in a changing world. *New Phytol.* 234, 1919–1928. doi: 10.1111/nph.18015

Bach, E. M., Williams, R. J., Hargreaves, S. K., Yang, F., and Hofmockel, K. S. (2018). Greatest soil microbial diversity found in micro-habitats. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 118, 217–226. doi: 10.1016/j.soilbio.2017.12.018

Bahram, M., Hildebrand, F., Forslund, S. K., Anderson, J. L., Soudzilovskaia, N. A., Bodegom, P. M., et al. (2018). Structure and function of the global topsoil microbiome. *Nature* 560, 233–237. doi: 10.1038/s41586-018-0386-6

Banerjee, S., and van der Heijden, M. G. (2023). Soil microbiomes and one health. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 21, 6–20. doi: 10.1038/s41579-022-00779-w

Begum, N., Qin, C., Ahanger, M. A., Raza, S., Khan, M. I., Ashraf, M., et al. (2019). Role of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in plant growth regulation: implications in abiotic stress tolerance. *Front. Plant Sci.* 10:1068. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2019.01068

Beltran-Garcia, M. J., Martínez-Rodríguez, A., Olmos-Arriaga, I., Valdes-Salas, B., Di Mascio, P., and White, J. F. (2021). Nitrogen fertilization and stress factors drive shifts in microbial diversity in soils and plants. *Symbiosis* 84, 379–390. doi: 10.1007/ s13199-021-00787-z

Berendsen, R. L., Pieterse, C. M. J., and Bakker, P. A. H. M. (2012). The rhizosphere microbiome and plant health. *Trends Plant Sci.* 17, 478–486. doi: 10.1016/j. tplants.2012.04.001

Bolyen, E., Rideout, J. R., Dillon, M. R., Bokulich, N. A., Abnet, C. C., Al-Ghalith, G. A., et al. (2019). Reproducible, interactive, scalable and extensible microbiome data science using QIIME 2. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 37, 852–857. doi: 10.1038/s41587-019-0209-9

Bonfante, P., and Genre, A. (2010). Mechanisms underlying beneficial plant-fungus interactions in mycorrhizal symbiosis. *Nat. Commun.* 1:48. doi: 10.1038/ncomms1046

Bulgarelli, D., Schlaeppi, K., Spaepen, S., van Themaat, E. V. L., and Schulze-Lefert, P. (2013). Structure and functions of the bacterial microbiota of plants. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* 64, 807–838. doi: 10.1146/annurev-arplant-050312-120106

Callahan, B. J., McMurdie, P. J., Rosen, M. J., Han, A. W., Johnson, A. J. A., and Holmes, S. P. (2016). DADA2: high-resolution sample inference from Illumina amplicon data. *Nat. Methods* 13, 581–583. doi: 10.1038/nmeth.3869

Chaparro, J. M., Sheflin, A. M., Manter, D. K., and Vivanco, J. M. (2012). Manipulating the soil microbiome to increase soil health and plant fertility. *Biol. Fertil. Soils* 48, 489–499. doi: 10.1007/s00374-012-0691-4

Compant, S., Cambon, M. C., Vacher, C., Mitter, B., Samad, A., and Sessitsch, A. (2021). The plant endosphere world–bacterial life within plants. *Environ. Microbiol.* 23, 1812–1829. doi: 10.1111/1462-2920.15240

De Corato, U. (2020). Disease-suppressive compost enhances natural soil suppressiveness against soil-borne plant pathogens: a critical review. *Rhizosphere* 13:100192. doi: 10.1016/j.rhisph.2020.100192

Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (42307160) and the National Natural Science Foundation of Shandong Province (ZR202204010049).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

De Mandal, S., SonaliSingh, S., Hussain, K., and Hussain, T. (2021). "Plant-microbe association for mutual benefits for plant growth and soil health" in Current trends in microbial biotechnology for sustainable agriculture. eds. A. N. Yadav, J. Singh, C. Singh and N. Yadav (Singapore: Springer), 95–121.

DeSantis, T. Z., Hugenholtz, P., Larsen, N., Rojas, M., Brodie, E. L., Keller, K., et al. (2006). Greengenes, a chimera-checked 16S rRNA gene database and workbench compatible with ARB. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 72, 5069–5072. doi: 10.1128/AEM.03006-05

Dubey, A., Malla, M. A., Khan, F., Chowdhary, K., Yadav, S., Kumar, A., et al. (2019). Soil microbiome: a key player for conservation of soil health under changing climate. *Biodivers. Conserv.* 28, 2405–2429. doi: 10.1007/s10531-019-01760-5

Eberl, F., Fernandez de Bobadilla, M., Reichelt, M., Hammerbacher, A., Gershenzon, J., and Unsicker, S. B. (2020). Herbivory meets fungivory: insect herbivores feed on plant pathogenic fungi for their own benefit. *Ecol. Lett.* 23, 1073–1084. doi: 10.1111/ele.13506

Feng, N. X., Yu, J., Zhao, H. M., Cheng, Y. T., Mo, C. H., Cai, Q. Y., et al. (2017). Efficient phytoremediation of organic contaminants in soils using plant-endophyte partnerships. *Sci. Total Environ.* 583, 352–368. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.01.075

Giauque, H., Connor, E. W., and Hawkes, C. V. (2019). Endophyte traits relevant to stress tolerance, resource use and habitat of origin predict effects on host plants. *New Phytol.* 221, 2239–2249. doi: 10.1111/nph.15504

Gong, X., Feng, Y., Dang, K., Jiang, Y., Qi, H., and Feng, B. (2023). Linkages of microbial community structure and root exudates: evidence from microbial nitrogen limitation in soils of crop families. *Sci. Total Environ.* 881:163536. doi: 10.1016/j. scitotenv.2023.163536

Guo, J., Ling, N., Li, Y., Li, K., Ning, H., Shen, Q., et al. (2021). Seed-borne, endospheric and rhizospheric core microbiota as predictors of plant functional traits across rice cultivars are dominated by deterministic processes. *New Phytol.* 230, 2047–2060. doi: 10.1111/nph.17297

Gupta, A., Gupta, R., and Singh, R. L. (2017). "Microbes and environment" in Principles and applications of environmental biotechnology for a sustainable future. ed. R. Singh (Singapore: Springer), 43–84.

Haichar, F. E. Z., Marol, C., Berge, O., Rangel-Castro, J. I., Prosser, J. I., Balesdent, J., et al. (2008). Plant host habitat and root exudates shape soil bacterial community structure. *ISME J.* 2, 1221–1230. doi: 10.1038/ismej.2008.80

Hardoim, P. R., van Overbeek, L. S., and Elsas, J. D. V. (2008). Properties of bacterial endophytes and their proposed role in plant growth. *Trends Microbiol.* 16, 463–471. doi: 10.1016/j.tim.2008.07.008

Hartmann, M., and Six, J. (2023). Soil structure and microbiome functions in agroecosystems. Nat. Rev. Earth Environ. 4, 4-18. doi: 10.1038/s43017-022-00366-w

Hassani, M. A., Durán, P., and Hacquard, S. (2018). Microbial interactions within the plant holobiont. *Microbiome* 6, 1–17. doi: 10.1186/s40168-018-0445-0

Hawkes, C. V., Bull, J. J., and Lau, J. A. (2020). Symbiosis and stress: how plant microbiomes affect host evolution. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B* 375:20190590. doi: 10.1098/ rstb.2019.0590

Jiao, S., Chen, W., Wang, J., Du, N., Li, Q., and Wei, G. (2018). Soil microbiomes with distinct assemblies through vertical soil profiles drive the cycling of multiple nutrients in reforested ecosystems. *Microbiome* 6, 1–13. doi: 10.1186/s40168-018-0526-0

Laforest-Lapointe, I., Paquette, A., Messier, C., and Kembel, S. W. (2017). Leaf bacterial diversity mediates plant diversity and ecosystem function relationships. *Nature* 546, 145–147. doi: 10.1038/nature22399

Liu, H., Carvalhais, L. C., Crawford, M., Dennis, P. G., Pieterse, C. M., and Schenk, P. M. (2017). Inner plant values: diversity, colonization and benefits from endophytic bacteria. *Front. Microbiol.* 8:322792. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2017.02552

Louca, S., Parfrey, L. W., and Doebeli, M. (2016). Decoupling function and taxonomy in the global ocean microbiome. *Science* 353, 1272–1277. doi: 10.1126/science.aaf4507

Mendes, R., Garbeva, P., and Raaijmakers, J. M. (2013). The rhizosphere microbiome: significance of plant beneficial, plant pathogenic, and human pathogenic microorganisms. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.* 37, 634–663. doi: 10.1111/1574-6976.12028

Nguyen, N. H., Song, Z., Bates, S. T., Branco, S., Tedersoo, L., Menke, J., et al. (2016). FUNGuild: an open annotation tool for parsing fungal community datasets by ecological guild. *Fungal Ecol.* 20, 241–248. doi: 10.1016/j.funeco.2015.06.006

Nilsson, R. H., Larsson, K. H., Taylor, A. F. S., Bengtsson-Palme, J., Jeppesen, T. S., Schigel, D., et al. (2019). The UNITE database for molecular identification of fungi: handling dark taxa and parallel taxonomic classifications. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 47, D259–D264. doi: 10.1093/nar/gky1022

Pan, Y., Liu, M., Sosa, A., Li, B., Shi, M., and Pan, X. (2023). Hierarchical metacommunity structure of fungal endophytes. *New Phytol.* 239, 1464–1474. doi: 10.1111/nph.19065

Pascale, A., Proietti, S., Pantelides, I. S., and Stringlis, I. A. (2020). Modulation of the root microbiome by plant molecules: the basis for targeted disease suppression and plant growth promotion. *Front. Plant Sci.* 10:1741. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2019.01741

Peiffer, J. A., Spor, A., Koren, O., Jin, Z., Tringe, S. G., Dangl, J. L., et al. (2013). Diversity and heritability of the maize rhizosphere microbiome under field conditions. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 110, 6548–6553. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1302837110

Perreault, R., and Laforest-Lapointe, I. (2022). Plant-microbe interactions in the phyllosphere: facing challenges of the anthropocene. *ISME J.* 16, 339–345. doi: 10.1038/s41396-021-01109-3

Philippot, L., Raaijmakers, J. M., Lemanceau, P., and Van Der Putten, W. H. (2013). Going back to the roots: the microbial ecology of the rhizosphere. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 11, 789–799. doi: 10.1038/nrmicro3109

Phillips, R. P., Finzi, A. C., and Bernhardt, E. S. (2011). Enhanced root exudation induces microbial feedbacks to N cycling in a pine forest under long-term CO2 fumigation. *Ecol. Lett.* 14, 187–194. doi: 10.1111/j.1461-0248.2010.01570.x

Qian, X., Li, H., Wang, Y., Wu, B., Wu, M., Chen, L., et al. (2019). Leaf and root endospheres harbor lower fungal diversity and less complex fungal co-occurrence patterns than rhizosphere. *Front. Microbiol.* 10:431860. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2019.01015 R Core Team. (2021). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing. Available at: https://www.R-project.org/

Schappe, T., Albornoz, F. E., Turner, B. L., and Jones, F. A. (2020). Co-occurring fungal functional groups respond differently to tree neighborhoods and soil properties across three tropical rainforests in Panama. *Microb. Ecol.* 79, 675–685. doi: 10.1007/s00248-019-01446-z

Singh, B. K., Delgado-Baquerizo, M., Egidi, E., Guirado, E., Leach, J. E., Liu, H., et al. (2023). Climate change impacts on plant pathogens, food security and paths forward. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 21, 640–656. doi: 10.1038/s41579-023-00900-7

Singh, A., Kumar, M., Chakdar, H., Pandiyan, K., Kumar, S. C., Zeyad, M. T., et al. (2022). Influence of host genotype in establishing root associated microbiome of indica rice cultivars for plant growth promotion. *Front. Microbiol.* 13:1033158. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2022.1033158

Sokol, N. W., Slessarev, E., Marschmann, G. L., Nicolas, A., Blazewicz, S. J., Brodie, E. L., et al. (2022). Life and death in the soil microbiome: how ecological processes influence biogeochemistry. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 20, 415–430. doi: 10.1038/ s41579-022-00695-z

Trivedi, P., Leach, J. E., Tringe, S. G., Sa, T., and Singh, B. K. (2020). Plant-microbiome interactions: from community assembly to plant health. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 18, 607–621. doi: 10.1038/s41579-020-0412-1

Vandenkoornhuyse, P., Quaiser, A., Duhamel, M., Le Van, A., and Dufresne, A. (2015). The importance of the microbiome of the plant holobiont. *New Phytol.* 206, 1196–1206. doi: 10.1111/nph.13312

Vorholt, J. A. (2012). Microbial life in the phyllosphere. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 10, 828-840. doi: 10.1038/nrmicro2910

Wang, B., Chen, C., Xiao, Y., Chen, K., Wang, J., and Zhou, G. (2023). Temperature thresholds drive the biogeographic pattern of root endophytic fungal diversity in the Qinghai-Tibet plateau. *Sci. Total Environ.* 889:164270. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2023.164270

Xia, Q., Rufty, T., and Shi, W. (2020). Soil microbial diversity and composition: links to soil texture and associated properties. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 149:107953. doi: 10.1016/j. soilbio.2020.107953

Xiong, C., Zhu, Y. G., Wang, J. T., Singh, B., Han, L. L., Shen, J. P., et al. (2021). Host selection shapes crop microbiome assembly and network complexity. *New Phytol.* 229, 1091–1104. doi: 10.1111/nph.16890

Yang, Y., Chen, S., Wu, X., Syed, S. I., Syed, I. U. S., Huang, B., et al. (2021). Grazing affects bacterial and fungal diversities and communities in the rhizosphere and endosphere compartments of leymus chinensis through regulating nutrient and ion distribution. *Microorganisms* 9:476. doi: 10.3390/microorganisms9030476

Zhang, L., Zhang, M., Huang, S., Li, L., Gao, Q., Wang, Y., et al. (2022). A highly conserved core bacterial microbiota with nitrogen-fixation capacity inhabits the xylem sap in maize plants. *Nat. Commun.* 13:3361. doi: 10.1038/s41467-022-31113-w

Zuo, Y., Li, X., Yang, J., Liu, J., Zhao, L., and He, X. (2021). Fungal endophytic community and diversity associated with desert shrubs driven by plant identity and organ differentiation in extremely arid desert ecosystem. *J. Fungi* 7:578. doi: 10.3390/jof7070578