Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Bartłomiej Grygorcewicz, Pomeranian Medical University, Poland

REVIEWED BY Malgorzata Barbara Lobocka, Polish Academy of Sciences, Poland Bozena Nejman-Falenczyk, University of Gdansk, Poland Adrian Augustyniak, West Pomeranian University of Technology, Poland

*CORRESPONDENCE Taruna Anand ⊠ tanandbt@gmail.com

RECEIVED 18 February 2024 ACCEPTED 01 July 2024 PUBLISHED 15 August 2024

CITATION

Jaglan AB, Verma R, Vashisth M, Virmani N, Bera BC, Vaid RK and Anand T (2024) A novel lytic phage infecting MDR *Salmonella enterica* and its application as effective food biocontrol. *Front. Microbiol.* 15:1387830.

doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2024.1387830

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Jaglan, Verma, Vashisth, Virmani, Bera, Vaid and Anand. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

A novel lytic phage infecting MDR Salmonella enterica and its application as effective food biocontrol

Anu Bala Jaglan^{1,2}, Ravikant Verma², Medhavi Vashisth¹, Nitin Virmani¹, B. C. Bera¹, R. K. Vaid¹ and Taruna Anand^{1*}

¹ICAR – National Research Centre on Equines, Hisar, India, ²Department of Zoology and Aquaculture, Chaudhary Charan Singh Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar, India

Salmonella enterica is a foodborne pathogen associated with both typhoid and non-typhoid illness in humans and animals. This problem is further exacerbated by the emergence of antibiotic-resistant strains of Salmonella enterica. Therefore, to meet public health and safety, there is a need for an alternative strategy to tackle antibiotic-resistant bacteria. Bacteriophages or (bacterial viruses), due to their specificity, self-dosing, and antibiofilm activity, serve as a better approach to fighting against drug-resistant bacteria. In the current study, a broad-host range lytic phage phiSalP219 was isolated against multidrugresistant Salmonella enterica serotypes Paratyphi from a pond water sample. Salmonella phage phiSalP219 was able to lyse 28/30 tested strains of Salmonella enterica. Salmonella phage phiSalP219 exhibits activity in acidic environments (pH3) and high temperatures (70°C). Electron microscopy and genome analysis revealed that phage phiSalP219 is a member of class Caudoviricetes. The genome of Salmonella phage phiSalP219 is 146Kb in size with 44.5% GC content. A total of 250 Coding Sequence (CDS) and 25 tRNAs were predicted in its genome. Predicted open reading frames (ORFs) were divided into five groups based on their annotation results: (1) nucleotide metabolism, (2) DNA replication and transcription, (3) structural proteins, (4) lysis protein, and (5) other proteins. The absence of lysogeny-related genes in their genome indicates that Salmonella phage phiSalP219 is lytic in nature. Phage phiSalP219 was also found to be microbiologically safe (due to the absence of toxin or virulence-related genes) in the control of Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium infections in the ready-to-eat meat and also able to eradicate biofilm formed by the same bacterium on the borosilicate glass surface.

KEYWORDS

Salmonella enterica, bacteriophage, Caudoviricetes, broad-host range, genome analysis, biofilm

1 Introduction

Salmonella enterica is a foodborne pathogen responsible for loss of appetite, poor growth, and diarrhea in poultry, enteric fever, and non-typhoidal gastrointestinal disorders in humans (CDC, 2019). *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium and Enteritidis are the leading agents of salmonellosis among the 2,600 serotypes of *Salmonella* that have been culpable for 25% of

global diarrheal diseases. *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhi and Paratyphi are associated with enteric fever related to travel, and they are responsible for nearly 220,000 deaths each year (Akhtar et al., 2015).

According to the latest report published by the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA), Salmonella spp. was the second most common cause of foodborne infections in humans (EFSA, 2019). Chicken is a widely consumed meat and has been found to be related to foodborne illness in humans (Desin et al., 2013). In addition, there have been 13,469 reported cases of meat-related foodborne outbreaks around the world from 1991 to 2021, causing 4,349 hospitalizations and 3,826 deaths primarily from Salmonella infections (Warmate and Onarinde, 2023). Overuse of antibiotics to control bacterial infections in different fields such as medicine, agriculture, and growth promotion in livestock has resulted in the emergence of multidrug-resistant bacteria. In recent years, the growing frequency of antibiotic-resistant Salmonella spp., especially fluoroquinolone resistance, has become a serious threat to public health as fluoroquinolones are a class of antibiotics most commonly used in treating Salmonella infections in both humans and animals due to their broad antibacterial activity (Pribul et al., 2016; Yu et al., 2021).

In addition, several studies have demonstrated the genetic relatedness between antibiotic-resistant bacteria isolated from farm animals and those found in humans. Noteworthy findings include the identification of the genetic relatedness of specific antibiotic-resistant genes. This molecular evidence substantiates the notion that antibiotic usage in animals contributes to the dissemination of resistance traits among human pathogens (Fasure et al., 2012; Sasaki et al., 2021). To address this problem, alternatives to antibiotics, such as bacteriophages, can become a promising solution.

Bacteriophages are the biological entities that were used in the pre-antibiotic era in the Western world, while in the Eastern world, mainly in Georgia, Poland, and the Soviet Union, they are continued in use along with antibiotics for the control of infectious diseases. In the past decade, phages have gained attention due to their successful use in the medical treatment of multidrug-resistant infections (Schooley et al., 2017; Patey et al., 2018). Lytic phages are generally recognized as safe (GRAS) for their use in the control of food pathogens such as *Listeria*, *Salmonella*, and *Escherichia coli*. However, temperate (lysogenic) phages were reported to be involved in the transfer of virulence and antibiotic-resistant genes in the bacterial population through horizontal gene transfer (Clokie et al., 2011; Touchon et al., 2016). Therefore, genome-wide characterizations of phages are very important before their application in therapy and biocontrol.

The main objective of this study was the isolation and characterization of *Salmonella* phage phiSalP219 to improve the microbiological safety of ready-to-eat meat products and as a biofilm eradication agent. For this, we isolated a lytic phage from a pond water sample, targeting non-typhoid and typhoid strains of *Salmonella enterica*. Through comprehensive whole-genome analysis, we determined the absence of virulence genes, antimicrobial resistance genes, and lysogeny-related genes within the phage genome. In addition, characteristics of *Salmonella* phage phiSalP219 such as stability at high temperatures and low pH, broad-host range, and antibiofilm activity make them a prime candidate for therapy and biocontrol of food pathogens.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Bacterial strains and culture conditions

Salmonella enterica serovar Paratyphi MTCC735 was used to isolate the phage. Other bacterial strains used in this study to test the lytic spectrum and productivity of phage infection are listed in Table 1. All the isolates were propagated in nutrient broth (NB) at 37°C overnight with vigorous agitation at 180 rpm and preserved as glycerol stocks at -80°C. Antibiotic sensitivity test of all the bacterial isolates was conducted according to the Kirby-Bauer disk diffusion methods against 25 antibiotics [(Himedia, United States): ampicillin (AMP 10 µg), piperacillin (PI 100 µg), amoxicillin + clavulanate (AMC 20/10 µg), amikacin (AK 30 µg), gentamycin (GEN 10 µg), tetracycline (TE 30 µg), chloramphenicol (C 30 µg), aztreonam (AT 30 µg), cefoxitin (CX 30 µg), ceftazidime (CAZ 30 µg), cefepime (CPM 30 µg), cefpodoxime (CPD 10µg), imipenem (IPM 10µg), meropenem (MRP 10µg), kanamycin (K 30µg), tobramycin (TOB 10µg), azithromycin (AZM 30 µg), ofloxacin (OF 5 µg), nalidixic acid (NA 30 µg), norfloxacin (NX 10 µg), ciprofloxacin (CIP 5 µg), fosfomycin (FO 50 µg), sulfafurazole (SF 300 µg), cotrimoxazole (COT 25 µg), and colistin (CL 10µg)] as per Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) guidelines (CLSI, 2016). Based on their susceptibilities against tested antibiotics, bacteria were categorized as multidrug-resistant (MDR) when they showed non-susceptibility to at least one agent in three or more antimicrobial classes (Magiorakos et al., 2012).

2.2 Bacteriophage isolation and preservation

Pond water samples collected from Hisar City, India (N 29° 7', 36.264", E 75° 47', 20.364"), were used for the isolation of bacteriophage. Sample enrichment, phage isolation, and purification were carried out by following the methods described by Anand et al. (2018) using the Salmonella enterica serovar Paratyphi MTCC735 as a host. In brief, 40 mL of sample water was mixed with log-phase bacterial culture (5 mL), 5X NB (5 mL), and 5 mM of CaCl₂ (Calcium chloride) and incubated overnight at 37°C with shaking at 220 rpm. The phage-enriched lysate was centrifuged, and the supernatant was passed through a 0.22-µm pore-size polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) syringe filter (Millipore). Afterward, a total of 10 µL of the filtrate was spotted on the bacterial lawn prepared by pouring 3 mL of molten agar containing $300 \,\mu\text{L}$ of log-phase bacterial culture using the double-agar overlay (DLA) method, and plates were incubated at 37°C for 3-4h under static conditions. The qualifying filtrate was used to purify phages by picking and resuspending a single, well-separated plaque. A single plaque was lifted from the agar plate and resuspended in 100 µL of saline magnesium (SM) buffer [NaCl: 5.8 g/L; MgSO4: 2.0 g/L; 1 M Tris (pH 7.5): 50 mL/L; and gelatin (2%): 5 mL/L], and four rounds of purifications were performed to obtain the purified and homogenous plaques. A large volume (50 mL) of log-phase host culture infected with purified phage was incubated overnight at 37°C with shaking at 220 rpm. Lysate obtained after centrifugation was precipitated with NaCl and polyethylene glycol (PEG) to obtain a high-titer phage suspension (Sambrook and Russell, 2006). The bacteriophage suspension was used for titer estimation and

Sr.	Salmonella	Species		Antibiotic-resistant profile	Lytic activity	EOP	
No.	strains				of phiSalP219	EOP value	Productivity
1	Fo55a	Salmonella spp.		CX, NA	+++	0.75	High
2	Fo55b	Salmonella spp.		NA	++	0.008	Inefficient
3	Fo55c	Salmonella spp.		NA	+++	0.8	High
4	Fo55d	Salmonella spp.		CX, NA	_	_	-
5	Fo55e	Salmonella spp.	MDR	CAZ, AT, MRP, K, AZM, TE, NA, CIP, COT, CL,	+	0.0005	Inefficient
6	VTCCBAA501	S. typhimurium	MDR	PI, AMC, CPM, CX, AK, CIP, CL	++	0.25	Medium
7	VTCCBAA508	S. typhimurium		PI, CAZ	+	0.00006	Inefficient
8	VTCCBAA576	S. enterica Gallinarum	MDR	CAZ, CPM, AK, NA, CL	+	0.05	Low
9	VTCCBAA579	S. enteritidis	MDR	NA, CIP, CL	+	0.1	Medium
10	VTCCBAA582	S. gallinarum		OF, NA	++	0.06	Low
11	VTCCBAA583	S. gallinarum	MDR	CPD, MRP, NA	+++	0.22	Medium
12	VTCCBAA584	S. gallinarum		NA, NX	+++	0.24	Medium
13	VTCCBAA585	S. gallinarum		OF, CL	+++	0.3	Medium
14	VTCCBAA586	S. gallinarum	MDR	CPD, CL, NA	++	0.1	Medium
15	VTCCBAA587	S. gallinarum		NA, CIP	+++	0.22	Medium
16	VTCCBAA588	S. gallinarum		NA, NX, CIP	++	0.000003	Inefficient
17	VTCCBAA589	S. gallinarum	MDR	GEN, K, NA, NX, CIP, SF, COT	++	0.13	Medium
18	VTCCBAA590	S. gallinarum	MDR	CPD, GEN, K, NA, NX, CIP, SF, CL	+++	0.3	Medium
19	VTCCBAA591	S. gallinarum	MDR	CPD, GEN, NA, NX, CIP, COT, CL	+++	0.24	Medium
20	VTCCBAA592	S. gallinarum	MDR	CPD, NA, CIP, CL	++	0.1	Medium
21	VTCCBAA593	S. gallinarum		NA, CL	+++	0.3	Medium
22	VTCCBAA594	S. gallinarum		NA, CIP	+++	0.6	High
23	VTCCBAA595	S. gallinarum		NA	+++	1	High
24	VTCCBAA596	S. enterica Gallinarum		NA, CIP	+++	1	High
25	VTCCBAA702	S. enteritidis		-	+++	0.7	High
26	VTCCBAA714	S. enteritidis		IMP, MRP	++	0.000006	Inefficient
27	VTCCBAA722	S. enteritidis		-	++	0.09	Low
28	MTCC735	S. paratyphi	MDR	CL, AMC, FO	+++	1	High
29	MTCC3232	S. typhimurium	MDR	PI, MRP, CL	+	0	Inefficient
30	MTCC3223	S. typhimurium	MDR	CL, PI, CAZ, CPM, CX, IPM, MRP	_	_	-

TABLE 1 Antibiotic-resistant profile of the Salmonella strains used for host range analysis and EOP studies.

preserved as glycerol stocks at -80° C, followed by accession in the Bacteriophage Repository at the National Centre for Veterinary Type Culture, ICAR-NRCE, Hisar, Haryana.

2.3 Phage characterization

2.3.1 Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) of the bacteriophage

A total of $10\,\mu$ L of high-titer-purified phage suspension (1×10^{11} PFU/mL) was loaded onto carbon-coated nickel grids for 5 min to allow binding of bacteriophages for TEM analysis. The excess suspension was wiped out with Whatman filter paper, and the grids were washed with sterile distilled water. The dried grids were then

negatively stained with 2% uranyl acetate. Phage was observed using a transmission electron microscope (JEOL JEM-1011, Jeol, United States) operating at 80 kV.

2.3.2 Temperature and pH sensitivity

The stability of phage phiSalP219 at different temperatures was evaluated by incubating $100 \,\mu$ L of phage suspension (2×10¹¹ PFU/mL) at 25, 37, 45, 55, 60, 65, 70, or 80°C for 60 min. For the pH stability test, phage suspension was mixed with pH buffers ranging from 2 to 10 in a 1:10 v/v ratio to a final titer of 0.5×10¹¹ PFU/mL, and 100 uL of this mixture was incubated at room temperature for 60 min.

After treatment, the viability of phage in each treatment lot was tested by determining the number of infectious phage particles present per ml of treated suspension using a PFU assay. All these experiments were conducted in triplicate, and the results were recorded as the means of three replicates.

2.3.3 Host range determination

Salmonella phage phiSalP219 was tested for its lytic activity on different Salmonella strains as indicated in Table 1. Host range was determined by spotting $10\,\mu$ L of the concentrated phage suspension $(0.5 \times 10^{11} \text{ PFU/mL})$ on a lawn of log-phase culture of various strains using double-layer agar. The spotted area was observed for the zone of cell lysis, and the lytic activity of bacteriophage was assessed with a scaling system (Kutter, 2009; Fong et al., 2017), where (–) indicates a zone with complete turbidity (no infection), (+) indicates mild lysis, (++) indicates moderate lysis, and (+++) indicates strong lysis.

2.3.4 Efficiency of plating

The efficiency of plating (EOP) was performed to measure the productivity of phage infection on various bacterial strains as described previously by Viazis et al. (2011). Only those bacterial strains that were found to be sensitive to phage phiSalP219 in spot assay were used to conduct the EOP test. For this, $100 \,\mu$ L of diluted (10^6 to 10^9 fold) phage lysate was plated with $300 \,\mu$ L of selected bacterial strains using double-layer agar assays and incubated overnight at 37° C. After incubation, the titer of phage on different strains was calculated, and EOP was subsequently determined using the formula: EOP=Phage titer on test bacteria/Phage titer on propagating host bacteria. Based on their EOP values, the productivity of phage infection on various strains was classified as follows: high (EOP 0.5 to 1.0), medium (EOP 0.1 to <0.5), low (EOP 0.001 to <0.1), and inefficient (EOP < 0.001) (Khan Mirzaei et al., 2015).

2.3.5 One-step growth curve experiment

The growth curve of phage phiSalP219 was determined as explained earlier by Zhao et al. (2019), with some modifications. Salmonella enterica serovar Paratyphi MTCC 735 was challenged with phage phiSalP219 at an MOI of 0.01. For this, host bacteria were grown at 37°C to achieve the mid-log phase to achieve a CFU count of 107 CFU/mL, and phage was taken at a concentration of 105 PFU/ mL. Next, 1 mL suspension of both bacteria and phage was incubated for 5 min to allow the phages to adsorb; then, it was centrifuged at $8,000 \times g$ for 5 min to remove unabsorbed phage particles in the suspension. The pellet was then washed twice, finally resuspended in 50 mL of sterile NB, and incubated at 37°C while shaking at 200 rpm. From this suspension, aliquots of 100 µL were collected every 5 min for 70 min after infection and were plated using the double-layer agar (DLA) method. Phage titer was calculated by counting the number of plaques from each plate. Burst size was calculated as the ratio of the final titer of liberated phage particles at the plateau to the initial number of phage particles during the latent period (Czajkowski et al., 2014).

2.4 Time-kill curve assay

The bactericidal activity of phage phiSalP219 against planktonic cells of *S. enterica* serovar Paratyphi MTCC 735 and *S. enterica* serovar Typhimurium VTCCBAA501 was assessed as described previously (Esmael et al., 2021) with some modifications. In brief, in

a 96-well microtiter plate, phage phiSalP219 was mixed with MTCC 735 and VTCCBAA501 at different MOIs of 0.0001, 0.001, 0.01, 0.1, 1, 10, or 100, respectively. Positive control wells contained MTCC 735 and VTCCBAA501, while negative control wells contained nutrient broth only. The microtiter plate was incubated at 37°C in a microplate reader with mild agitation, and bacterial growth was monitored at an interval of 30 min for 6 h by measuring optical densities at 600 nm using a Multiskan GO Microplate Spectrophotometer, using SkanItTM Software (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, United States, ver. 1.01.12x).

2.5 Phage DNA extraction, library preparation, and whole-genome sequencing

Bacteriophage DNA was extracted using the protocol described previously by Anand et al. (2018), and the concentration was determined using a Qubit 4 Fluorometer and NanoDrop spectrophotometer. Whole-genome sequencing of bacteriophage was carried out using Illumina NovaSeq 6,000 platform (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA) and Nanopore GridION X5 (Oxford Nanopore Technologies, Oxford, United Kingdom) technology. Sequencing libraries for Illumina were prepared according to the QIASeq FX DNA library preparation protocol using the QIASeq FX DNA kit. For nanopore sequencing library preparation, genomic DNA was end-primed by using the NEBnext ultra II end repair kit and cleaned up with AMPure beads. Native barcoding of end-primed samples was carried out with EXP-NBD104(ONT). Finally, the equimolar concentration of the barcode sample was ligated with a sequencing adaptor, purified with AMPure beads, and eluted in 15 µL of elution buffer. Raw reads obtained from Illumina and nanopore sequencing were de-multiplexed using Bcl2Fastq software v2.201 and guppy-v2.3.4 (Wick et al., 2019), respectively. Trimgalore-v0.4.0² tool and Commander v2.0.203 were used in Illumina sequencing, and Porechop-v0.2.34 and Nanofilt-v2.8.05 were used in Nanopore sequencing for trimming the raw reads and to assess their quality. The long read obtained from Nanopore sequencing and the short read obtained from Illumina were assembled using Unicycler v0.4.8. A hybrid sequencing approach was carried out for de novo assembly. Detailed information on the raw reads statistics is given in Supplementary Table S1.

2.6 Genome annotation

The assembled genome of bacteriophage was analyzed by RASTtk (Brettin et al., 2015) pipeline for predicting and annotating open reading frames (ORFs). Functional prediction and validation of the ORFs were performed using BLASTp on the NCBI non-redundant protein (nr) sequences database. Predicted protein

- 3 http://www.genotypic.co.in/commander/
- 4 http://github.com/rrwick/Porechop
- 5 http://github.com/wdecoster/nanofilt

¹ http://github.com/brwnj/bcl2fastq

² http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/trimglore/

sequences were analyzed against InterProScan (Paysan-Lafosse et al., 2023) and CDD (Lu et al., 2020) for conservative domain identification. Putative tRNAs were identified using tRNAscan-SE by using bacterial sequence source and default parameter (Lowe and Chan, 2016). ARG genes were screened by using CARD (Alcock et al., 2020), and CG View software was used for genomic map visualization (Grant et al., 2023). The complete genome of *Salmonella* phage phiSalP219 was submitted to the GenBank database, and its accession number is PP595732.

2.7 Phylogenetic analysis

A phylogenetic analysis was conducted to investigate the relatedness of phage phiSalP219 with the known phages of the subfamily Vequintavirinae. Genome-BLAST Distance Phylogeny method in the Virus Classification and Tree Building Online Resource (VICTOR) was used for the whole-genome phylogenetic analysis and tree building (Meier-Kolthoff and Göker, 2017). The resulting intergenomic distances (including 100 pseudo-bootstrap replicates each) were used to infer a balanced minimum evolution tree with branch support via FASTME, including subtree pruning and regrafting postprocessing for the formula D0 (Farris, 1972). The tree was visualized using ggTree (Yu, 2020). For determining the phylogenetic positions and DNA packaging strategies, phageconserved gene sequences (major capsid protein and terminase large subunits) were used. The phylogenetic tree of their amino acid sequence was constructed in MEGA X 10.2.6 software (Kumar et al., 2018) using the Clustal W alignment algorithm by neighbor joining method with 1,000 bootstrap replications (Thompson et al., 1994). Comparative genome alignment with four reference phages (Salmonella phages PVP-SE1, SSE-121, and NINP13076 and Escherichia phage 4MG) was performed using Mauve 2.4.0 (Darling et al., 2004).

2.8 Phage stability in food samples

To check the stability of *Salmonella* phage phiSalP219 in foods, phage stability experiments were conducted according to a previously described method (Guenther et al., 2012). In brief, packed chicken ham and salami were obtained from the local market, sliced into 1 g pieces using a surgical blade, and sterilized using UV radiation for 30 min. In total, $50 \,\mu$ L volume of phage suspension was added to reach a final titer of 1×10^9 PFU/mL. Inoculated samples were incubated for 0, 6, 12, 24, and 48 h separately at 28°C. After each time interval, the respective sample was transferred into 5 mL of PBS and homogenized. Then, the phage titer was measured using the double-layer agar method and calculated as PFU/mL.

2.9 Biological control of *S. typhimurium* in food samples

Packaged/processed chicken ham and salami were obtained from a local supermarket and then sliced aseptically in the laboratory. The food samples were cut into pieces (1 g) using a sterile scalpel blade and then sterilized by exposing them to 30 min of UV light. After UV exposure, we checked the presence of bacterial contamination in the food sample, before starting the experiment and found that UV exposure sterilized the food sample. Then, the food sections were placed in the center of sterile Petri dishes and inoculated with S. typhimurium VTCCBAA501 (As S. typhimurium is the leading agent of foodborne infection, therefore to find out the biocontrol capability to phage phiSalP219 in the control of S. typhimurium strain in the food sample, this strain of S. typhimurium was used) to a final viable count of 4.3 log10 CFU/g and was allowed to adsorb for 15-20 min. Phage suspension was then applied to the sample surface with an MOI of 10⁴. These samples were incubated at 4°C or 28°C for 48h. During incubation, the samples were taken at 0, 6, 12, 24, and 48 h from each group and transferred to 5 mL of PBS buffer. They were homogenized with a sterile handheld homogenizer and vortexed. The proportions of recoverable bacteria among the control group and the experimental group were determined using the direct spread plate method by plating 100 µL of successive serial dilutions of the homogenized tissue and calculating the CFU/mL by counting the number of colonies.

2.10 Antibiofilm activity of bacteriophage on borosilicate glass surface

For assessing the biofilm eradication capability of phage phiSalP219, all the bacterial strains as shown in Table 1 were tested for their biofilm-forming ability; out of these strains, VTCCBAA501 was chosen based on its good biofilm formation capacity. Overnight grown culture of VTCCBAA501 in Tryptic Soy Broth (TSB) was re-inoculated in a 12-well culture plate containing 2X TSB broth with 1% glucose. Borosilicate glass coverslips of size 18-22 mm were immersed in the wells containing inoculated bacterial culture and placed at 37°C in a static incubator for 72 h. After incubation, the media in the wells were removed, and the wells were washed twice with sterile PBS. Bacteriophage suspension (1011 PFU/mL) at a volume to submerge the coverslips completely was added in the wells and placed at 37°C for 24 h under static conditions. Following the treatment, the coverslips were removed and washed with PBS twice. The control group was treated with SM buffer without any phage in it. Then, the coverslips were washed with PBS and placed in a 2.5% glutaraldehyde solution for 1 h at 4°C to fix the biofilm. Thereafter, biofilm was dehydrated by placing coverslips in the ethanol gradient [25, 50, 75, 90, and 100% (2X)] (Nikara et al., 2020). Dehydrated coverslips were affixed to a copper stub, sputtered with gold for 1 min, and then viewed at an accelerating voltage of 5-10 kV in a JSM-7610F Plus Scanning Electron Microscope, Jeol, Japan.

2.11 Statistical analysis

The data analyses of all the experiments were performed using GraphPad Prism 8.0.2 (GraphPad Software, La Jolla, CA, United States). All experiments were conducted in triplicate. The two-way ANOVA with Bonferroni's multiple comparisons was used to determine the significance among groups at a significance level of p < 0.05.

3 Results

3.1 Antibiotic sensitivity test

The outcomes of the antibiotic sensitivity test revealed that 13 *Salmonella* isolates belonging to the serovar Typhimurium, Enteritidis, Paratyphi, and Gallinarum were MDR (Refer to Table 1), while 73.33% of the *Salmonella* isolates displayed resistance to either one or two antibiotics falling within the fluoroquinolone class of antimicrobial agents.

3.2 Bacteriophage isolation and purification

Salmonella phage phiSalP219 was isolated from the pond water sample using *Salmonella enterica* serovar Paratyphi MTCC735 as a host and was named *Salmonella* phage phiSalP219 according to Adriaenssens and Brister (2017). Phage phiSalP219 was preserved as glycerol stocks in the Bacteriophage Repository of the National Centre on Veterinary Type Culture–National Research Centre on Equine NCVTC-NRCE, Hisar (see Figure 1).

3.3 Characterization of phage

Salmonella phage phiSalP219 produced clear plaques with a surrounding halo. Phage head and diameter were measured by the software used for capturing TEM images only using the inbuilt scale. Phage dimensions are shown as the average of measurements taken from five phage particles of the same phage. TEM divulged that phage phiSalP219 belongs to the class Caudoviricetes, with an icosahedral head of 155.9 ± 7.2 diameter and a long tail of 365.6 ± 5.0.5 diameter (Figure 1). pH sensitivity analysis showed that phage phiSalP219 was stable without any significant change in their viable titer at pH values ranging from 6 to 10, while reductions in phage titer were detected at pH 2, pH 3, and pH 4 (Figure 2B). Similarly, the results obtained from the temperature stability assay demonstrated that phage remained stable at temperatures ranging from 25 to 45°C. Beyond 45°C up to 70°C, a gradual significant reduction in phage stability in terms of phage titer was observed (as shown in Figure 2A), while treatment at 80°C led to huge stability loss in phage titer (9.74 log reduction).

Host range evaluation by spot assay revealed that phage phiSalP219 was able to lyse 28/30 tested strains of *Salmonella* with varied strength of lysis (Table 1). Hence, it was characterized as a broad-host range phage, which was further supported by the results from the EOP study. In the EOP study, we found that phage phiSalP219 showed high productivity against 7/28, medium productivity against 12/28, low productivity against 3/28, and inefficient productivity against 6/28 tested strains of the *Salmonella enterica*.

The results from the one-step growth curve indicate that phage phiSalP219 required 65 min to complete its infection cycle with a latent period of 35 min, followed by a short rise period in the next 5 min, and the burst size was calculated to be 68 phage particles per infected bacterial cell (Figure 2C). To find out the best lytic efficiency of *Salmonella* phage phiSalP219 for carrying out the biocontrol of *S. typhimurium* (VTCCBAA501) in ready-to-use food samples and in

the eradication of biofilm formed by *S. typhimurium* (VTCCBAA501), *Salmonella* phage phiSalP219 was tested against MTCC 735 and VTCCBAA501 at different Multiplicity of infection (MOIs), that is, 1,000, 100, 10, 1, 0.1, 0.01, 0.001, and 0.0001, and respective time-kill curves were obtained. In the case of MTCC 735, phage phiSalP219 inhibited bacterial growth up to MOI of 0.001, while in the case of *S. typhimurium* VTCCBAA501, phage phiSalP219 inhibited bacterial growth up to MOI of 1 (Figures 2D,E).

3.4 Bioinformatic analysis

The detailed genomic information of *Salmonella* phage phiSalP219 is given in Supplementary Tables S2, S3. The genome size of the phage phiSalP219 was 146,994 bp, and the overall G + C content was 44.5%. Most CDS of phage phiSalP219 (218/250) began with ATG, followed by GTG (17/250) and TTG (15/250). Among termination codons, TAA (144/250) was the most common, followed by TAG (84/250) and TGA (22/250).

Bioinformatic analysis revealed that the genomes of phage phiSalP219 contained ~250 putative ORFs (including ~100 functional, ~19 uncharacterized, and ~131 hypothetical proteins) and 25 tRNAs. The predicted CDS were divided into five groups according to their functions: nucleotide metabolism, DNA replication and transcription, structural proteins, lysis proteins, and other proteins (Figure 3).

3.4.1 Nucleotide metabolism

A total of 11 CDS were predicted to be related to the nucleotide metabolism, including nucleotidyltransferase (CDS21), ribonucleotide reductase (CDS45, 46, 49, 50), FAD-dependent thymidylate synthase (CDS55), putative phosphoesterase (CDS 86), ribose-phosphate pyrophosphokinase (CDS92), nicotinamide phosphoribosyltransferase (CDS93), PnuC-like nicotinamide mononucleotide transporter (ORF161), and nicotinamide-nucleotide adenylyltransferase (CDS167).

3.4.2 Replication and transcription

Twelve CDS were predicted to be involved in DNA replication and transcription, including CCA tRNA nucleotidyltransferase (CDS29), DNA N-6-adenine methyltransferase (CDS36), HNH homing endonuclease (CDS53, 162, 177), NAD-dependent protein deacetylase of the SIR2 family (CDS79), DNA cytosine methyltransferase (CDS85), transcriptional regulator (CDS73), DNA ligase (CDS78, 180), RNA ligase 2 (CDS192), and helicase (CDS185).

3.4.3 Structural proteins

Twenty-eight CDS were predicted to belong to be involved in packaging and morphogenesis, including major capsid protein (CDS122), minor head protein (CDS130), head fiber protein (CDS126), terminase large subunit (CDS117), tail fiber protein (CDS124, 142, 143, 147, 152, 153), tail completion or Neck1 protein (CDS129), tail sheath (CDS132), virion structural protein (CDS133, 138), tail assembly chaperone (CDS134, 148), tail length tape measure protein (CDS136), hypothetical membrane protein (CDS150, 156, 160), baseplate hub (CDS139), baseplate spike (CDS140), base plate protein (CDS146), and membrane protein (CDS72, 102, 127, 151, 197).



3.4.4 Lysis protein

Two CDS were predicted to belong to this cassette, including endolysin (CDS42) and cell wall hydrolase (CDS189).

3.4.5 Other proteins

The remaining CDS which were predicted to be hypothetical and other proteins are put together in this category.

3.5 Phylogenetic analysis

A BLAST search revealed that phage phiSalP219 showed >97% identity with *Salmonella* phages belonging to the subfamily *Vequintavirinae* (NCBI: txid1914851; *Vequintavirinae*, *Caudoviricetes*). To further investigate and visualize the genomic distance between *Salmonella* phage phiSalP219 and other *Vequintavirinae* phages, phylogeny was performed using whole-genome and phage-conserved gene sequences (major capsid protein and terminase large subunit). The whole-genome phylogeny constructed with the VICTOR server showed that *Salmonella* phage phiSalP219 was the new member of the *Vequintavirinae* subfamily, which was further supported by the results of the phylogenetic analysis of the major capsid protein and large terminase subunits (see Figures 4–7).

3.6 Phage stability and biological control of *Salmonella* in food samples

We observed that phage remained stable on the food matrices, and no significant change in phage concentrations was observed in the salami and chicken ham. Both the samples were inoculated with phage at 1×10⁹ PFU/mL final concentration, and after 48 h, we found 0.8×10⁸ PFU/mL concentration of phage in salami and 0.15×10⁸ PFU/mL of phage in chicken ham. We also found that *Salmonella* phage phiSalP219 had a significant antibacterial effect on *S. enterica* serovar Typhimurium VTCCBAA501 in different food matrices under different temperature conditions when applied at MOI=10,000 (p < 0.05). For *Salmonella*-contaminated salami samples administered with phage phiSalP219 at an MOI of 10,000, the viable bacterial count was reduced by 0.661 log10 CFU/mL at 4°C and 3.191 log10 CFU/mL at 28°C compared to the control group after 48 h of incubation (Figures 8A,C), while for *Salmonella*-spiked chicken ham samples, phage phiSalP219 applied at an MOI of 10,000 reduced the viable *Salmonella* count by 0.529 log10 CFU/mL at 4°C and 2.046 log10 CFU/mL at 28°C relative to the control group after 48 h of incubation (Figures 8B,D). Hence, it is observed that the antibacterial effect of phage phiSalP219 was higher at 28°C than at 4°C.

3.7 Antibiofilm activity of *Salmonella* phage phiSalP219 on borosilicate glass surface

The 72-h-old biofilms developed on the glass surface were used to demonstrate the process of phage-mediated eradication. The biofilms that formed on the coverslips have projections with many layers of different densities and heights. These come from the cell layers below (Figures 9A,B). Within the gelatinous extracellular polymeric substance (EPS) matrix, cells exhibited adhesion to both each other and the surfaces of the coverslip (Figures 9A–C). After the application of bacteriophage, the biofilm was clearly eliminated (as shown in Figures 9D–F), resulting in the presence of only cellular debris and the absence of any intact cellular structure.

4 Discussion

The application of bacteriophages, or phages, in the poultry and meat industry as a means to treat and control bacterial infections represents a viable solution to counteract the menace posed by emerging antibiotic-resistant bacteria. However, the presence of virulence, resistance, or lysogenic genes in the phage genomes reduces their therapeutic value. Therefore, along with their structural and physiological characterization, it becomes necessary to understand their genomic features before applying them as a therapeutic or biocontrol agent. In this study, we isolated the *Salmonella* phage phiSalP219 against the *Salmonella enterica* serovar Paratyphi, which significantly lysed multidrug-resistant (MDR) strains of *Salmonella enterica* and demonstrated a broad spectrum of lysis. It was able to lyse 28 out of 30



Salmonella strains tested, and most of the tested strains belonged to the serovar Typhimurium, Enteritidis, Paratyphi, and Gallinarum. Hence, it is a polyvalent lytic phage that lyses the important serovar of *Salmonella* as compared to other *Salmonella* phages such as *Salmonella* phage PVP-SE1 and *Salmonella* phage NINP13076 (Santos et al., 2010; Panpatil et al., 2022).

Their stability assay showed that phage could survive in an acidic environment having a pH of 3 and temperatures up to 70°C. Several studies demonstrate that most of the lytic phages were stable at pH ranges of 5–9 (Jung et al., 2017; Mondal et al., 2022). Hence, phage phiSalP219 can serve as a good candidate for biocontrol in varied

conditions in the food processing industry. The one-step growth curve of phage phiSalP219 showed a latent (35 min) and rise period (5 min) and a larger burst size of 68 phage particles per infected bacterial cell than the reference phage PVP-SE1. Therefore, these parameters, such as the large burst size and short latent period, interpret the lytic capacity of phage (Santos et al., 2014).

However, before applying it to food production, we need to understand its genetic behavior; therefore, we analyzed its genome. The average GC content of the phage is 44.5%, which is lower than their host GC content (52%). The high genome sequence similarity to other *Salmonella* phages established via BLASTn and VICTOR analysis



revealed that the Salmonella phage phiSalP219 could be considered to belong to the existing genus Seunavirus of the subfamily Vequintavirinae. The prevailing standard for delineating species boundaries among viruses is currently based on a threshold of 95% genome sequence similarity (Adriaenssens and Brister, 2017). This criterion stipulates that viruses belonging to the same species exhibit a nucleotide-level divergence of less than 5% (Adriaenssens and Brister, 2017). Salmonella phage phiSalP219 shows 98% genome sequence similarity with 94% query cover to Salmonella phage NINP13076, 97% identity with 85% query cover to Salmonella phage SSE-121, and 94% identity with 84% query cover to Salmonella phage PVP-SE1. Therefore, phage phiSalP219 belonged to the genus Seunavirus. For species classification in prokaryotic genomes, average nucleotide identity (ANI) is used as a golden parameter (Konstantinidis and Tiedje, 2005; Goris et al., 2007; Jain et al., 2018). Organisms showing more than 95% ANI are considered to belong to the same species. We used the tool: https://www.ezbiocloud.net/tools/ani (Yoon et al., 2017) to calculate ANI between phiSalP219 and similar genomes, and it was revealed that phiSalP219 has the highest ANI value of 98.83% with Salmonella phage NINP13076; based on their high ANI value, it seems that phage phiSalP219 belongs to the unclassified species same as that of NINP13076. After reporting a large gene rearrangement on their genome comparison and analysis of phage DNA and protein sequence using EMBOSS Stretcher,6 we concluded that phage phiSalP219 belongs to the different species (Adriaenssens and Brister, 2017). It has been further analyzed by VIRDIC (Supplementary Figure S1 and Supplementary Table S4) and found that phage phiSalP219 was classified as a new species different from that of other 21 phages.⁷ However, the genomic organization of lytic Salmonella phage NINP13076 (Kumar et al., 2022) and phage phiSalP219 differs in terms of the distribution of ORFs between the direct and complementary strands. In Salmonella phage NINP13076, a majority of the ORFs are located on the complementary strand, while in phage phiSalP219, the distribution of ORFs is nearly equal between the direct and complementary strands. In addition, Salmonella phage NINP13076 encodes 21 tRNAs which are situated in the complementary strand, whereas phage phiSalP219 encodes 25 tRNAs present in the direct strand. Phage phiSalP219 contains DNA methyltransferase, which protects phages from bacterial restriction modification systems, and this protein was not found in its close relative phage NINP13076, while it showed 90% similarity with the DNA N-6-adenine methyltransferase of the related Salmonella phage GEC_vB_MG.

Notable characteristics of *Salmonella* phage phiSalP219 are the presence of two CDS that encode rIIA lysis inhibitors and rIIB lysis inhibitors. The exact functions of these genes remain to be fully elucidated, but they are speculated to be involved in potentially other cellular processes, including interference within cellular metabolism (Paddison et al., 1998; Alves et al., 2016). In addition, phage phiSalP219 contained several tail proteins, which are hypothesized to be indicators of a broad-spectrum lytic phage (Hooton et al., 2011).

A significant portion of double-stranded DNA (dsDNA) phages utilize lysis cassettes consisting of both holins and endolysins. This

⁶ https://www.ebi.ac.uk/jdispatcher/psa/emboss_stretcher

⁷ https://rhea.icbm.uni-oldenburg.de/viridic/



ensemble functions by creating openings in the cytoplasmic membrane and dismantling the peptidoglycan layer of the bacterial cell wall. Within the phage phiSalP219, a specific gene, CDS42, has been identified through motif analysis as a member of the lysozyme-like superfamily (cl43803). A BLASTp search of this protein shows 93.64% similarity with the already-reported modular endolysin of *Salmonella* phage PVP-SE1. The gene coding for holins is generally found upstream of the endolysin (Santos et al., 2011). However, analysis of CDS40 and CDS41 failed to show any transmembrane domains, and within the genome, no other ORF showed homology with the holins. In addition, CDS116 shows 72% similarity with the Rz-like spanin of *Escherichia* phage 4MG and is situated far away from other lysin genes. Taking into consideration that these features are not common in dsDNA phages (Whichard et al., 2010; Li et al., 2020), CDS189 encodes cell wall hydrolase; conserved domain analysis of this protein shows that it belongs to the hydrolase 2 superfamily (cl38231).

Salmonella phage phiSalP219 contained 25 tRNAs. It has been postulated that the presence of specific tRNA genes confers benefits to phage replication by aligning with codons employed by the phage genome rather than the host genome (Bailly-Bechet et al., 2007). Consequently, the phages that share similar codon usage patterns with their host organisms do not require the retention of tRNA genes and instead rely on the host's tRNA repertoire (Kunisawa, 2000). Moreover, the phage phiSalP219 genome did not contain any genes related to virulence, antibiotic resistance, or lysogeny. Therefore, this phage is considered to be a good candidate for therapy and biocontrol.



FIGURE 5

DNA level alignment of the genome of *Salmonella* phage phiSalP219 with the genomes of *Salmonella* phages PVP-SE1, SSE-121, NINP13076, and *Escherichia* phage 4MG.



We further examined the efficiency of this phage to reduce *Salmonella* counts in different food matrices. Grygorcewicz et al. (2020) reported that lytic phage ECPS-6 inactivates or decreases

the bacterial count of Shiga toxin-producing *E. coli* to an undetected level in the milk sample upon their application at MOI of 5 or 50, but in the experiment with salami and chicken ham,



FIGURE 7

Phylogenetic relationship of *Salmonella* phage phiSalP219 to other phages based on major capsid protein sequences (in the side of this phylogenetic tree, we show the lower taxon of subfamily *Vequintavirinae*).



Biocontrol of *Salmonella* infection in ready-to-eat food. Panels (A,C) represent control of *Salmonella* infection in chicken ham with phage treatment at 4°C and 28°C. Panels (B,D) represent control of *Salmonella* infection in salami with phage treatment at 4°C and 28°C.



Biofilm eradication ability of phage phiSalP219. Panels (A-C) represent 3-day-old biofilm developed on the borosilicate glass coverslips. Multi-layer indicated by an arrow (A,B) and extra polysaccharides (C) observed in the matured biofilm of S. typhimurium. Panels (D-F) represent eradicated biofilm and cell debris indicated by an arrow after treatment with phage for 24 h.

we observed that treatment of the phage phiSalP219 with the contaminated foods did not significantly reduce the viable Salmonella count at an MOI of 1,000 (Supplementary Figure S2) at 4°C. Therefore, we used a higher MOI of this phage for Salmonella biocontrol in the foods. The reason might be that phages can be very efficient at lower MOIs in liquid because the chances of interaction between bacterial and phage particles are higher than in the case of solids such as the surface of meat. Thus, a higher MOI was taken in the experiment involving meat surfaces. In contaminated salami, phage phiSalP219 administration at MOI = 10,000 reduced viable Salmonella counts by 0.661 log10 CFU/g at 4°C and 3.191 log10 CFU/g at 28°C after 48h of incubation, while phage phiSalP219 application at MOI = 10,000 in chicken ham reduced the viable *Salmonella* count by 0.529 log10 CFU/g at 4°C and 2.046 log10 CFU/g at 28°C after 48h of incubation. The findings of the present study were comparable to the previous study of Augustine and Bhat (2015), where they reported that phage Φ SP-1 and Φ SP-3 application at high MOI reduced the bacterial count by 3.99 and 3.46 log10 CFU/mL at 28°C compared to the control group on 3 days. They

also found that at 4°C, the viable bacterial count dropped by 2.46 and 2.1 log10 CFU/mL at high MOI. In previous studies, it was also reported that on food surfaces, physical interaction between phages and bacteria is limited at low concentrations due to their small size (Guenther et al., 2009; Hagens and Loessner, 2010). However, higher phage concentrations significantly increase the likelihood of phage adsorption on the bacterial cells. Wang et al. (2017) reported that phage application at 10⁴ MOI to the contaminated duck meat reduced the Salmonella count by 1.14 log10cfu/cm² at 4°C. Similarly, Thung et al. (2017) reported that phage administration at a high MOI (MOI = 107) reduced the viable S. enterica serovar Enteritidis count by 2.0 log10 CFU/g at 4°C. It has also been reported previously that in the final stage of phage infection, bacteria trigger a defense mechanism called abortive infection which prevents the spreading of infection from infected host cells to other host cells to commit suicide before the completion of the phage life cycle (Chaudhary, 2017). Based on our results, we found that higher concentrations of bacteriophage were effective in the biocontrol of Salmonella in foods. Phage phiSalP219 was also tested for its ability to eradicate biofilm formed on the borosilicate glass surface by MDR S. enterica serovar Typhimurium. Biofilm inhibits the action of antibiotics on the microbial communities by secreting exopolysaccharides a barrier to the action of antibiotics. Phages dissolve the exopolysaccharides and do their bactericidal activities by lysing the bacterial cells. Phage phiSalP219 successfully eradicates the 72-h-old biofilm formed on the glass coverslips, and the degraded biofilm could be seen under FE-SEM in the form of cell debris (Figures 9d-f).

Data availability statement

The bacterial strains and bacteriophages isolated and used during this study are deposited and available at Bacteriophage Repository, National Centre for Veterinary Type Cultures, ICAR-National Research Centre on Equines, Hisar, Haryana, India (http://ncvtc.org.in/distribution-of-microbes/veterinary-microbes/). The genome of Salmonella phage phiSalP219 has been deposited in the GenBank NCBI database under accession number PP595732.

References

Adriaenssens, E. M., and Brister, J. R. (2017). How to name and classify your phage: an informal guide. *Viruses* 9:70. doi: 10.3390/v9040070

Akhtar, S., Sarker, M. R., Jabeen, K., Sattar, A., Qamar, A., and Fasih, N. (2015). Antimicrobial resistance in Salmonella enterica serovar typhi and paratyphi in South Asia-current status, issues and prospects. *Critical reviews in microbiology* 41, 536–545. doi: 10.3109/1040841X.2014.880662

Alcock, B. P., Raphenya, A. R., Lau, T. T., Tsang, K. K., Bouchard, M., Edalatmand, A., et al. (2020). CARD 2020: antibiotic resistome surveillance with the comprehensive antibiotic resistance database. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 48, D517–D525. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkz935

Alves, D. R., Perez-Esteban, P., Kot, W., Bean, J. E., Arnot, T., Hansen, L. H., et al. (2016). A novel bacteriophage cocktail reduces and disperses *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* biofilms under static and flow conditions. *Microb. Biotechnol.* 9, 61–74. doi: 10.1111/1751-7915.12316

Anand, T., Bera, B. C., Virmani, N., Vaid, R. K., Vashisth, M., and Tripathi, B. N. (2018). Isolation and characterization of a novel, T7-like phage against *Aeromonas veronii*. *Virus Genes* 54, 160–164. doi: 10.1007/s11262-017-1517-0

Augustine, J., and Bhat, S. G. (2015). Biocontrol of *Salmonella Enteritidis* in spiked chicken cuts by lytic bacteriophages ΦSP-1 and ΦSP-3. *J. Basic Microbiol.* 55, 500–503. doi: 10.1002/jobm.201400257

Author contributions

AJ: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. RV: Validation, Writing – original draft. MV: Data curation, Writing – review & editing. NV: Formal analysis, Writing – review & editing. BB: Formal analysis, Writing – review & editing. RKV: Formal analysis, Visualization, Writing – review & editing. TA: Conceptualization, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This study was supported by the Council of Scientific and Industrial Research, New Delhi, National Agricultural Science Fund, Indian Council of Agricultural Research, New Delhi, India.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2024.1387830/ full#supplementary-material

Bailly-Bechet, M., Vergassola, M., and Rocha, E. (2007). Causes for the intriguing presence of tRNAs in phages. *Genome Res.* 17, 1486–1495. doi: 10.1101/gr.6649807

Brettin, T., Davis, J. J., Disz, T., Edwards, R. A., Gerdes, S., Olsen, G. J., et al. (2015). RASTtk: a modular and extensible implementation of the RAST algorithm for building custom annotation pipelines and annotating batches of genomes. *Sci. Rep.* 5, 1–6. doi: 10.1038/srep08365

CDC (2019). Antibiotic Resistance Threats in the United States. Atlanta, GA: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, CDC.

Chaudhary, K. (2017). Bacteriophage exclusion (BREX): a novel anti-phage mechanism in the arsenal of bacterial defense system. J. Cell. Physiol. 233, 771–773. doi: 10.1002/jcp.25973

Clokie, M. R., Millard, A. D., Letarov, A. V., and Heaphy, S. (2011). Phages in nature. *Bacteriophage* 1, 31-45. doi: 10.4161/bact.1.1.14942

CLSI (2016). Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing. 26th Edn. Wayne, PA, USA: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute.

Czajkowski, R., Ozymko, Z., and Lojkowska, E. (2014). Isolation and characterization of novel soilborne lytic bacteriophages infecting *Dickeya* spp. biovar 3 ('D. Solani'). *Plant Pathol.* 63, 758–772. doi: 10.1111/ppa.12157

Darling, A. C., Mau, B., Blattner, F. R., and Perna, N. T. (2004). Mauve: multiple alignment of conserved genomic sequence with rearrangements. *Genome Res.* 14, 1394–1403. doi: 10.1101/gr.2289704

Desin, T. S., Köster, W., and Potter, A. A. (2013). Salmonella vaccines in poultry: past, present and future. *Expert Rev. Vaccines* 12, 87–96. doi: 10.1586/erv.12.138

EFSA (2019). The European Union one health 2018 zoonoses report. *EFSA J.* 17:5926. doi: 10.2903/j.efsa.2019.5926

Esmael, A., Azab, E., Gobouri, A. A., Nasr-Eldin, M. A., Moustafa, M. M., Mohamed, S. A., et al. (2021). Isolation and characterization of two lytic bacteriophages infecting a multi-drug resistant *Salmonella* typhimurium and their efficacy to combat salmonellosis in ready-to-use foods. *Microorganisms* 9:423. doi: 10.3390/ microorganisms9020423

Farris, J. S. (1972). Estimating phylogenetic trees from distance matrices. Am. Nat. 106, 645–668. doi: 10.1086/282802

Fasure, A. K., Deji-Agboola, A. M., and Akinyemi, K. O. (2012). Antimicrobial resistance patterns and emerging fluoroquinolone resistant *Salmonella* isolates from poultry and asymptomatic poultry workers. *Afr. J. Microbiol. Res.* 6, 2610–2615. doi: 10.5897/AJMR11.950

Fong, K., LaBossiere, B., Switt, A. I., Delaquis, P., Goodridge, L., Levesque, R. C., et al. (2017). Characterization of four novel bacteriophages isolated from British Columbia for control of non-typhoidal Salmonella in vitro and on sprouting alfalfa seeds. Front. Microbiol. 8:2193. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2017.02193

Goris, J., Konstantinidis, K. T., Klappenbach, J. A., Coenye, T., Vandamme, P., and Tiedje, J. M. (2007). DNA–DNA hybridization values and their relationship to whole-genome sequence similarities. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 57, 81–91. doi: 10.1099/ijs.0.64483-0

Grant, J. R., Enns, E., Marinier, E., Mandal, A., Herman, E. K., Chen, C. Y., et al. (2023). Proksee: in-depth characterization and visualization of bacterial genomes. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 51, W484–W492. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkad326

Grygorcewicz, B., Chajęcka-Wierzchowska, W., Augustyniak, A., Wasak, A., Stachurska, X., Nawrotek, P., et al. (2020). In-milk inactivation of *Escherichia coli* O157: H7 by the environmental lytic bacteriophage ECPS-6. *J. Food Safety* 40:e12747. doi: 10.1111/jfs.12747

Guenther, S., Herzig, O., Fieseler, L., Klumpp, J., and Loessner, M. J. (2012). Biocontrol of *Salmonella Typhimurium* in RTE foods with the virulent bacteriophage FO1-E2. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* 154, 66–72. doi: 10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2011.12.023

Guenther, S., Huwyler, D., Richard, S., and Loessner, M. J. (2009). Virulent bacteriophage for efficient biocontrol of *Listeria monocytogenes* in ready-to-eat foods. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 75, 93–100. doi: 10.1128/AEM.01711-08

Hagens, S., and Loessner, M. J. (2010). Bacteriophage for biocontrol of foodborne pathogens: calculations and considerations. *Curr. Pharm. Biotechnol.* 11, 58–68. doi: 10.2174/138920110790725429

Hooton, S. P., Timms, A. R., Rowsell, J., Wilson, R., and Connerton, I. F. (2011). Salmonella Typhimurium-specific bacteriophage ΦSH19 and the origins of species specificity in the Vi01-like phage family. Virol. J. 8, 1–14. doi: 10.1186/1743-422X-8-498

Jain, C., Rodriguez-R, L. M., Phillippy, A. M., Konstantinidis, K. T., and Aluru, S. (2018). High throughput ANI analysis of 90K prokaryotic genomes reveals clear species boundaries. *Nat. Commun.* 9:5114. doi: 10.1038/s41467-018-07641-9

Jung, L. S., Ding, T., and Ahn, J. (2017). Evaluation of lytic bacteriophages for control of multidrug-resistant Salmonella Typhimurium. *Ann. clin. microbiol. antimicrob.*, 16, 1–9. doi: 10.1186/s12941-017-0237-6

Khan Mirzaei, M., and Nilsson, A. S. (2015). Isolation of phages for phage therapy: a comparison of spot tests and efficiency of plating analyses for determination of host range and efficacy. *PLoS one*, 10, e0118557. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0118557

Konstantinidis, K. T., and Tiedje, J. M. (2005). Genomic insights that advance the species definition for prokaryotes. *PNAS* 102, 2567–2572. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0409727102

Kumar, R. N., Surekha, M. V., Ramalingam, B., Kumar, P. U., Polasa, K., Hemalatha, R., et al. (2022). Oral toxicity study for *Salmonella* killing lytic bacteriophage NINP13076 in BALB/c mice and its effect on probiotic microbiota. *Curr. Microbiol.* 79:89. doi: 10.1007/s00284-021-02754-9

Kumar, S., Stecher, G., Li, M., Knyaz, C., and Tamura, K. (2018). MEGA X: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis across computing platforms. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 35:1547. doi: 10.1093/molbev/msy096

Kunisawa, T. (2000). Functional role of mycobacteriophage transfer RNAs. J. Theor. Biol. 205, 167–170. doi: 10.1006/jtbi.2000.2057

Kutter, E. (2009). Phage host range and efficiency of plating. *Methods Mol. Biol.* 501, 141–149. doi: 10.1007/978-1-60327-164-6_14

Li, P., Zhang, X., Xie, X., Tu, Z., Gu, J., and Zhang, A. (2020). Characterization and whole-genome sequencing of broad-host-range *Salmonella* specific bacteriophages for bio-control. *Microb. Pathog.* 143:104119. doi: 10.1016/j.micpath.2020.104119

Lowe, T. M., and Chan, P. P. (2016). tRNAscan-SE on-line: integrating search and context for analysis of transfer RNA genes. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 44, W54–W57. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkw413

Lu, S., Wang, J., Chitsaz, F., Derbyshire, M. K., Geer, R. C., Gonzales, N. R., et al. (2020). CDD/SPARCLE: the conserved domain database in 2020. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 48, D265–D268. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkz991

Magiorakos, A. P., Srinivasan, A., Carey, R. B., Carmeli, Y., Falagas, M. E., Giske, C. G., et al. (2012). Multidrug-resistant, extensively drug-resistant and pandrug-resistant bacteria: an international expert proposal for interim standard definitions for acquired resistance. *Clin. Microbiol. Infect.* 18, 268–281. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-0691.2011.03570.x

Meier-Kolthoff, J. P., and Göker, M. (2017). VICTOR: genome-based phylogeny and classification of prokaryotic viruses. *Bioinformatics* 33, 3396–3404. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btx440

Mondal, P., Mallick, B., Dutta, M., and Dutta, S. (2022). Isolation, characterization, and application of a novel polyvalent lytic phage STWB21 against typhoidal and nontyphoidal *Salmonella* spp. *Front. Microbiol.* 13:980025. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2022.980025

Nikara, S., Ahmadi, E., and Nia, A. A. (2020). Effects of different preparation techniques on the microstructural features of biological materials for scanning electron microscopy. *J. Agric. Food Res.* 2:100036. doi: 10.1016/j.jafr.2020.100036

Paddison, P., Abedon, S. T., Dressman, H. K., Gailbreath, K., Tracy, J., Mosser, E., et al. (1998). The roles of the bacteriophage T4 r genes in lysis inhibition and fine-structure genetics: a new perspective. *Genetics* 148, 1539–1550. doi: 10.1093/genetics/148.4.1539

Panpatil, V., Kaliaperumal, V., Guda, S. D. G., Putchaa, U., Ranganathan, U. D., Rajkumar, H., et al. (2022). Isolation and whole genome sequencing of a novel *Salmonella* lytic bacteriophage. *Res. Sq.* doi: 10.21203/rs.3.rs-2308983/v1

Patey, O., McCallin, S., Mazure, H., Liddle, M., Smithyman, A., and Dublanchet, A. (2018). Clinical indications and compassionate use of phage therapy: personal experience and literature review with a focus on osteoarticular infections. *Viruses* 11:18. doi: 10.3390/v11010018

Paysan-Lafosse, T., Blum, M., Chuguransky, S., Grego, T., Pinto, B. L., Salazar, G. A., et al. (2023). InterPro in 2022. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 51, D418–D427. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkac993

Pribul, B. R., Festivo, M. L., Souza, M. M. S. D., and Rodrigues, D. D. P. (2016). Characterization of quinolone resistance in *Salmonella* spp. isolates from food products and human samples in Brazil. *Braz. J. Microbiol.* 47, 196–201. doi: 10.1016/j. bjm.2015.04.001

Sambrook, J., and Russell, D. W. (2006). Precipitation of bacteriophage λ particles from large-scale lysates. Cold Spring Harb. Protoc. 2006:pp.pdb-prot3966. doi: 10.1101/pdb.prot3966

Santos, S. B., Carvalho, C., Azeredo, J., and Ferreira, E. C. (2014). Population dynamics of a *Salmonella* lytic phage and its host: implications of the host bacterial growth rate in modelling. *PLoS One* 9:e102507. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0102507

Santos, S. B., Fernandes, E., Carvalho, C. M., Sillankorva, S., Krylov, V. N., Pleteneva, E. A., et al. (2010). Selection and characterization of a multivalent *Salmonella* phage and its production in a nonpathogenic *Escherichia coli* strain. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 76, 7338–7342. doi: 10.1128/AEM.00922-10

Santos, S. B., Kropinski, A. M., Ceyssens, P. J., Ackermann, H. W., Villegas, A., Lavigne, R., et al. (2011). Genomic and proteomic characterization of the broad-host-range *Salmonella* phage PVP-SE1: creation of a new phage genus. *Virol. J.* 85, 11265–11273. doi: 10.1128/jvi.01769-10

Sasaki, Y., Kakizawa, H., Baba, Y., Ito, T., Haremaki, Y., Yonemichi, M., et al. (2021). Antimicrobial resistance in *Salmonella* isolated from food workers and chicken products in Japan. *Antibiotics* 10:1541. doi: 10.3390/antibiotics10121541

Schooley, R. T., Biswas, B., Gill, J. J., Hernandez-Morales, A., Lancaster, J., Lessor, L., et al. (2017). Development and use of personalized bacteriophage-based therapeutic cocktails to treat a patient with a disseminated resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii* infection. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 61, 10–1128. doi: 10.1128/aac.00954-17

Thompson, J. D., Higgins, D. G., and Gibson, T. J. (1994). CLUSTAL W: improving the sensitivity of progressive multiple sequence alignment through sequence weighting, position-specific gap penalties and weight matrix choice. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 22, 4673–4680. doi: 10.1093/nar/22.22.4673

Thung, T. Y., Premarathne, J. M. K. J. K., San Chang, W., Loo, Y. Y., Chin, Y. Z., Kuan, C. H., et al. (2017). Use of a lytic bacteriophage to control *Salmonella Enteritidis* in retail food. *LWT* 78, 222–225. doi: 10.1016/j.lwt.2016.12.044

Touchon, M., Bernheim, A., and Rocha, E. P. (2016). Genetic and life-history traits associated with the distribution of prophages in bacteria. *ISME J.* 10, 2744–2754. doi: 10.1038/ismej.2016.47

Viazis, S., Akhtar, M., Feirtag, J., Brabban, A. D., and Diez-Gonzalez, F. (2011). Isolation and characterization of lytic bacteriophages against enterohaemorrhagic *Escherichia coli*. *J. Appl. Microbiol.* 110, 1323–1331. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2672.2011.04989.x

Wang, C., Chen, Q., Zhang, C., Yang, J., Lu, Z., Lu, F., et al. (2017). Characterization of a broad host-spectrum virulent *Salmonella* bacteriophage fmb-p1 and its application on duck meat. *Virus Res.* 236, 14–23. doi: 10.1016/j.virusres.2017.05.001

Warmate, D., and Onarinde, B. A. (2023). Food safety incidents in the red meat industry: a review of foodborne disease outbreaks linked to the consumption of red meat and its products, 1991 to 2021. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.*:110240. doi: 10.1016/j. ijfoodmicro.2023.110240

Whichard, J. M., Weigt, L. A., Borris, D. J., Li, L. L., Zhang, Q., Kapur, V., et al. (2010). Complete genomic sequence of bacteriophage Felix O1. *Viruses* 2, 710–730. doi: 10.3390/v2030710 Wick, R. R., Judd, L. M., and Holt, K. E. (2019). Performance of neural network basecalling tools for Oxford Nanopore sequencing. *Genome Biol.* 20, 1–10. doi: 10.1186/s13059-019-1727-y

Yoon, S. H., Ha, S. M., Lim, J. M., Kwon, S. J., and Chun, J. (2017). A large-scale evaluation of algorithms to calculate average nucleotide identity. *Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek* 110, 1281–1286. doi: 10.1007/s10482-017-0844-4

Yu, G. (2020). Using ggtree to visualize data on tree-like structures. Curr. Potoc Bioinformatics $69{:}e96.$ doi: 10.1002/cpbi.96

Yu, X., Zhu, H., Bo, Y., Li, Y., Zhang, Y., Liu, Y., et al. (2021). Prevalence and antimicrobial resistance of *Salmonella enterica* subspecies enterica serovar Enteritidis isolated from broiler chickens in Shandong Province, China, 2013–2018. *Poult. Sci.* 100, 1016–1023. doi: 10.1016/j.psj.2020.09.079

Zhao, J., Zhang, Z., Tian, C., Chen, X., Hu, L., Wei, X., et al. (2019). Characterizing the biology of lytic bacteriophage vB_EaeM_φEap-3 infecting multidrug-resistant *Enterobacter aerogenes*. *Front. Microbiol.* 10:420. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2019.00420