Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Dwayne R. Roach, San Diego State University, United States

REVIEWED BY Swapnil Ganesh Sanmukh, Université Clermont Auvergne, France Michael Benedik, Texas A&M University, United States

*CORRESPONDENCE Anja Poehlein apoehle3@gwdg.de

RECEIVED 22 January 2024 ACCEPTED 27 February 2024 PUBLISHED 21 March 2024

CITATION

Schüler MA, Daniel R and Poehlein A (2024) Novel insights into phage biology of the pathogen *Clostridioides difficile* based on the active virome. *Front. Microbiol.* 15:1374708. [doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2024.1374708](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2024.1374708)

COPYPICHT

© 2024 Schüler, Daniel and Poehlein. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [License \(CC BY\)](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

[Novel insights into phage biology](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2024.1374708/full) [of the pathogen](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2024.1374708/full) *Clostridioides difficile* [based on the active virome](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2024.1374708/full)

Miriam A. Schüler, Rolf Daniel and Anja Poehlein*

Genomic and Applied Microbiology and Göttingen Genomics Laboratory, Institute of Microbiology and Genetics, Georg-August-University Göttingen, Göttingen, Germany

The global pathogen *Clostridioides difficile* is a well-studied organism, and researchers work on unraveling its fundamental virulence mechanisms and biology. Prophages have been demonstrated to influence *C. difficile* toxin expression and contribute to the distribution of advantageous genes. All these underline the importance of prophages in *C. difficile* virulence. Although several *C. difficile* prophages were sequenced and characterized, investigations on the entire active virome of a strain are still missing. Phages were mainly isolated after mitomycin C-induction, which does not resemble a natural stressor for *C. difficile.* We examined active prophages from different *C. difficile* strains after cultivation in the absence of mitomycin C by sequencing and characterization of particleprotected DNA. Phage particles were collected after standard cultivation, or after cultivation in the presence of the secondary bile salt deoxycholate (DCA). DCA is a natural stressor for *C. difficile* and a potential prophage-inducing agent. We also investigated differences in prophage activity between clinical and non-clinical *C. difficile* strains. Our experiments demonstrated that spontaneous prophage release is common in *C. difficile* and that DCA presence induces prophages. Fourteen different, active phages were identified by this experimental procedure. We could not identify a definitive connection between clinical background and phage activity. However, one phage exhibited distinctively higher activity upon DCA induction in the clinical strain than in the corresponding non-clinical strain, although the phage is identical in both strains. We recorded that enveloped DNA mapped to genome regions with characteristics of mobile genetic elements other than prophages. This pointed to mechanisms of DNA mobility that are not well-studied in *C. difficile* so far. We also detected phage-mediated lateral transduction of bacterial DNA, which is the first described case in *C. difficile*. This study significantly contributes to our knowledge of prophage activity in *C. difficile* and reveals novel aspects of *C. difficile* (phage) biology.

KEYWORDS

Clostridioides difficile, temperate phage, phage induction, secondary bile salt, deoxycholate, mobile genetic element

1 Introduction

The pathogen *Clostridioides difficile* significantly contributes to nosocomial infections worldwide [\(Balsells et al., 2019](#page-13-0)). A *C. difficile* infection mainly establishes after antibiotic treatment due to diverse resistances in *C. difficile* strains combined with the disturbed intestinal microflora [\(Spigaglia, 2016\)](#page-15-0). An intact microbiome usually provides resistance to *C. difficile* colonization and disease manifestation by producing different secondary bile salts such as lithocholate and deoxycholate [\(Sorg and Sonenshein, 2008\)](#page-15-1). These compounds primarily aid the human intestines in digesting lipid nutrients due to their detergent character [\(Ridlon et al., 2006](#page-14-0)). However, they also impede *C. difficile* spore germination and cell growth ([Thanissery et al., 2017\)](#page-15-2). Symptoms of an established *C. difficile* infection are caused by its toxins, predominantly toxin A and B, which are encoded in the pathogenicity locus ([Chandrasekaran and Borden](#page-13-1) [Lacy, 2017](#page-13-1)). Symptom severity ranges from mild to severe manifestation, which can also include the death of the infected individual [\(Balsells et al., 2019\)](#page-13-0). The personal health condition significantly affects resistance against a *C. difficile* infection, but the infecting strain is of relevance as well ([Czepiel et al., 2019\)](#page-13-2). Different *C. difficile* strains are linked to divergent virulence, and various aspects such as toxin production levels or secondary bile salt resistance were shown to correlate with disease severity [\(Lewis et al., 2017](#page-14-1); [Alonso](#page-13-3) [et al., 2022\)](#page-13-3). However, these studies also partially contradict the concluded relevance of specific features on virulence. In addition to general virulence factors, mobile genetic elements (MGEs) also contribute to *C. difficile* virulence [\(Govind et al., 2009;](#page-14-2) [Sekulovic et al.,](#page-15-3) [2011;](#page-15-3) [Goh et al., 2013;](#page-14-3) [Smits et al., 2022\)](#page-15-4). Those elements play a key role in fast adaptation to environmental conditions via horizontal gene transfer (HGT) [\(la Cruz Fernando and Davies, 2000](#page-14-4)). *C. difficile* genomes harbor various MGEs, including prophages [\(Sebaihia et al.,](#page-15-5) [2006\)](#page-15-5). Prophages are widespread among the species *C. difficile*, and multiple prophages can exist within the same host [\(Fortier, 2018](#page-14-5)). Primarily, prophages were assumed to affect *C. difficile* virulence solely by encoding advantageous traits such as antibiotic resistance, which was demonstrated by phage-mediated transduction of an erythromycin resistance [\(Goh et al., 2013](#page-14-3)). Prophages were shown to influence toxin production in *C. difficile* strains by down- or upregulation of toxin gene expression via encoded transcriptional regulators ([Govind et al.,](#page-14-2) [2009;](#page-14-2) [Sekulovic et al., 2011\)](#page-15-3). Moreover, phages can influence the production of a protective biofilm or support the release of virulenceassociated proteins and DNA ([Fortier and Sekulovic, 2013;](#page-14-6) [Nanda](#page-14-7) [et al., 2015\)](#page-14-7). These findings drew further attention to the influence of phages on *C. difficile* virulence. Phage research commonly works with prophage induction by introducing stressors such as UV radiation or mitomycin C. Meanwhile, studies confirmed spontaneous prophage release from *C. difficile* isolates, and clinically relevant antibiotics were also investigated for their phage-inducing effect ([Meessen-Pinard](#page-14-8) [et al., 2012;](#page-14-8) [Nale et al., 2012\)](#page-14-9). All experiments on *C. difficile* phages, however, worked with cultivation conditions that do not represent the

actual habitat. Some components of the intestinal environment are stressful for *C. difficile*, such as the secondary bile salts. One of the prominent secondary bile salts is deoxycholate (DCA), which can promote biofilm formation in *C. difficile* [\(Dubois et al., 2019](#page-14-10)). DCA thereby supports bacterial persistence in the host and facilitates disease manifestation and relapse. A biofilm not only protects the bacterial cells from antimicrobial substances but also supports the adaptation of the bacterial population via HGT among the participating cells that are in close contact with the biofilm structure ([Ghigo, 2001;](#page-14-11) [Lécuyer et al.,](#page-14-12) [2018\)](#page-14-12). It was further demonstrated that DCA induces the bacterial SOS response [\(Kandell and Bernstein, 1991](#page-14-13)). Activation of the SOS response in turn induces prophages, leads to phage particle production, and releases via host cell lysis [\(Hu et al., 2021](#page-14-14)). It is therefore likely that DCA induces prophages as well, which would be a critical aspect in *C. difficile* biology and shed new light on genetic transfer *in vivo*.

In this study, we examined prophage activity in different *C. difficile* strains under spontaneous conditions and in the presence of DCA. The analyzed *C. difficile* strains were of non-clinical and clinical origin and corresponded pairwise to each other based on their sequence type (ST). Active prophage regions in these strains were identified by sequencing particle-protected DNA and were analyzed for DCA-induced activity. Possible differences between clinical and non-clinical strains, which could contribute to virulence, were also examined. The sequencing approach is more sensitive than electron microscopy or plaque assays, the commonly used detection methods for active *C. difficile* phages. We could therefore detect active prophages that might otherwise be missed due to insufficient activity but could contribute to HGT.

2 Methods

2.1 Strains and cultivation conditions

The *C. difficile* strains used in this study were of clinical or non-clinical background (personal communication), with four pairs of clinical and non-clinical strains corresponding to ST and one additional non-clinical strain ([Table 1](#page-1-0)). Strains were routinely cultivated under anaerobic conditions in supplemented Brain Heart Infusion Broth (BHIS; supplemented with 0.5% yeast extract, 0.05% L-cysteine, 0.0001% Na-resazurin, purged with nitrogen) at 37°C. Putative prophage regions of the strains were predicted with PHASTEST [\(Wishart et al., 2023](#page-15-6)).

Strain information on ST (Clade), profile of toxins A, B, and CDT, clinical or non-clinical background, and GenBank accession of the genome is listed.

2.2 DCA tolerance assessment

The stress effect of DCA on the various strains was assessed via a minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) assay and relative growth determinations at different concentrations. Cultivation was performed in cell culture plates (24 well for suspension cells, Sartorius AG, Göttingen, Germany) in an anaerobic tent (Coy Laboratory, Grass Lake, United States). Overnight cultures of *C. difficile* strains were cultivated as described above and used to inoculate 2mL medium to a final $OD₆₀₀$ of 0.05, with either BHIS medium alone or supplemented with DCA (sodium deoxycholate, Sigma-Aldrich Chemie Gmbh, Taufkirchen, Germany) in concentrations ranging from 0.255mM to 1.2mM, which cover the physiological concentration range in humans ([Hamilton et al., 2007\)](#page-14-15). Culture plates were incubated at 37°C for 22h and kept anaerobic during the $OD₆₀₀$ measurement in a Synergy 2 Plate Reader (Biotek Agilent Technologies Deutschland GmbH, Böblingen, Germany). Relative growth in the presence of DCA was calculated in relation to the untreated cultures. Each experiment was performed in triplicate.

Relative growth was visualized with ggplot2 (v3.4.2; [Wickham,](#page-15-7) [2016\)](#page-15-7) in RStudio (v2022.06.0; [RStudio Team, 2020\)](#page-14-16), and significance was determined with the Tukey's 'honest significant difference' method implemented in the stats package (v4.2.0; [Team, R Core, 2013\)](#page-15-8).

2.3 Prophage induction and phage particle isolation

Phage particles were isolated from untreated and DCA-induced cultures. Two pre-warmed flasks of 55mL BHIS for each isolate were inoculated 1:100 from an overnight culture and incubated at 37°C. Growth was monitored via $OD₆₀₀$ measurements until an OD of $~0.6$ (0.5-0.7). One culture for each isolate was induced with 0.255mM (0.01%) DCA final concentration. The physiological concentration of DCA varies between individuals [\(Hamilton et al.,](#page-14-15) [2007\)](#page-14-15). We, therefore, used this concentration that is within the physiological range and was also used in various *C. difficile* studies regarding growth behavior or spore germination ([Theriot et al., 2016;](#page-15-9) [Lewis et al., 2017\)](#page-14-1). The DCA solution was freshly prepared under anaerobic conditions, with DCA suspended in distilled water so that 100μL inducing solution was required per 10mL culture. The solution was sterilized by filtration (Filtropur S 0.2μm, Sarstedt AG & Co. KG, Nümbrecht, Germany) and anaerobically added to the induction cultures. The second culture was not induced for analysis of spontaneous phage activity. Induced and non-induced cultures were further incubated until 22-h total incubation. The final OD_{600} of each culture was determined before isolating phage particles.

For phage particle isolation, the cells were pelleted via centrifugation at 4°C and 3,000 x *g* for 15min. The remaining cells were removed by filtration of the supernatant with a 0.45-μm Filtropur S filter (Sarstedt). Phage particles were pelleted via centrifugation at 8°C and 20,000 x *g* for 1h. The pellet was suspended in 1mL SM buffer (50 mM Tris–HCl, 100 mM NaCl, 8 mM MgSO₄, 7 H₂O, pH 7.4) supplemented with 0.5 mM CaCl₂ (supporting phage stability and upcoming DNase treatment) and let soak overnight at 4°C. Particle suspension was further supported the next day by shaking at 150rpm (LT-V Lab-Shaker, Adolf Kühner AG, Birsfelden, Germany) at room temperature for 2h. The suspended samples were finally transferred to 2mL DNA Low Binding microtubes (Sarstedt) for the following treatments using cut filter tips to reduce possible shearing. The samples were stored at 4°C.

2.4 Isolation of particle-protected DNA from phage samples

The phage DNA was isolated using the MasterPure Gram Positive DNA Purification Kit (Epicenter, Madison, WI, United States) with modifications. Prior to the phage DNA isolation, phage samples were supplemented with 2μL of 100mg/mL lysozyme solution (lysozyme from chicken egg white 177,000 U/mg; Serva, Heidelberg, Germany) suspended in SM buffer to remove remaining host cell debris and with 50μg/mL final concentration RNase A (Biozym Scientific GmbH, Hess. Oldendorf, Germany) and 10U Baseline-ZERO DNase (Biozym Scientific GmbH) to digest host nucleic acids. The samples were incubated at 37°C for 6h with gentle inversion every 30min. Fragments of host nucleic acids resulting from the digestion were removed by phage particle pelleting via centrifugation at 4°C and 20,000 x *g* for 1h. The recovered pellet was suspended in 150μL SM buffer. The suspension was supported by shaking at 150rpm (LT-V Lab-Shaker) at room temperature for 10min and slight flicking. EDTA (0.5M, pH 8.0) was added to 10mM final concentration for complete DNase inhibition.

Phage particles were lysed by adding 1% SDS (10% solution) and 2μL Proteinase K (50μg/μl; Biozym Scientific GmbH), incubation at 56°C for 1.5h, and gentle inversion every 30min. Subsequently, the samples were completely cooled down on ice before the addition of 130μL MPC protein precipitation reagent (pre-cooled to −20°C). After mixing by gentle inversion, the proteins were pelleted via centrifugation at 4°C and 10,000 x *g* for 10min. The DNA-containing supernatant was transferred to 1.5mL DNA Low Binding microtubes (Sarstedt). The DNA was precipitated by addition of 0.3M Na-acetate $(3M, pH 5.2)$, 10 mM $MgCl₂(2M)$, and 0.8 volume isopropanol at room temperature. The samples were inverted 40 times and incubated at room temperature for 10min before centrifugation at 4°C and 15,000 x *g* for 30min. The supernatant was removed carefully, and the DNA pellet was washed twice with 150μL 70% ethanol (pre-cooled to −20°C) and centrifugation at 4°C and 15,000 x *g* for 5 to 10min. The supernatant was removed, and the sample was briefly centrifuged again to collect all residual ethanol for final removal. DNA pellets were air-dried under a sterile bench and immediately suspended in 20μL TE buffer. Complete DNA elution was supported by brief storage at 4°C and slight flicking, before final storage at −20°C. The DNA concentration was assessed with the Qubit 3.0 Fluorometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, United States) using the HS dsDNA assay kit.

2.5 Phage DNA sequencing and sequencing read processing

The phage DNA was subjected to Illumina sequencing for dsDNA by paired-end library preparation with the Nextera XT DNA Library Preparation Kit (Illumina, San Diego, CA, United States) as recommended by the manufacturer. Libraries were sequenced using an Illumina MiSeq system and MiSeq Reagent Kit version 3 $(2 \times 300 \text{ bp}, 600 \text{ cycles})$ as recommended by the manufacturer.

All following software was used in default mode. Sequencing raw reads were quality processed with fastp (v0.23.4; [Chen et al., 2018](#page-13-4)) before removing the sequencing adapters with Trimmomatic (v0.39; [Bolger et al., 2014\)](#page-13-5). Processed reads were mapped onto the corresponding host genome using bowtie2 (v2.5.0), and the resulting SAM file was converted to a TDS file for bioinformatics analysis with the TraV software [\(Dietrich et al., 2014](#page-13-6)).

2.6 Data analysis of phage sequencing reads

TDS files of processed reads for the same isolate were together inspected in TraV ([Dietrich et al., 2014\)](#page-13-6) for read coverage, and reads were normalized by calculation of nucleotide activity per kilobase of exon model per million mapped reads (NPKM). This results in a value for each CDS corresponding to its read coverage in reference to the overall read amount. NPKM values were further normalized to account for the differing growth behavior under the induction conditions by transforming values to an OD_{600} of 2.0. In this way, NPKM values reflected phage abundance under the different conditions at the same cell density, which allows a better qualitative estimation of phage activity. OD normalization and visualization of NPKMs values were done in Rstudio (v2022.06.0; [RStudio Team,](#page-14-16) [2020\)](#page-14-16) using the packages tidyverse (v2.0.0; [Wickham et al., 2019](#page-15-10)), ggforce (v0.4.1; [Pedersen, 2022](#page-14-17)), and ggplot2 (v3.4.2; [Wickham, 2016\)](#page-15-7). NPKM values were plotted against the host genome with regard to the sequence start of the corresponding CDS, and original and normalized NPKM values were plotted together to visualize the effect of OD normalization. Phage regions predicted with PHASTEST ([Wishart](#page-15-6) [et al., 2023\)](#page-15-6) were also implemented.

2.7 Phage genome annotation and gene content analysis

Active regions identified via sequence read mapping were extracted for a new genome annotation. Sequence ranges were thereby adopted from PHASTEST ([Wishart et al., 2023\)](#page-15-6) predictions or selected based on read mapping in TraV [\(Dietrich et al., 2014](#page-13-6)), if the predicted prophage region did not cover the entire mapped region. Annotation was customized for phage genomes using Pharokka (v1.3.2; [Bouras et al., 2023\)](#page-13-7) in default mode with sequence re-orientation to the large terminase subunit. If the large terminase subunit was not annotated automatically, it was determined via BLAST analysis [\(Johnson et al., 2008\)](#page-14-18) and manually annotated. For specific genes and their encoded protein, additional analyses with protein BLAST ([Johnson et al., 2008\)](#page-14-18) and InterProScan (v5.63–95.0; [Jones et al., 2014\)](#page-14-19) were performed.

2.8 Phage genome-based classifications

Genome-based classifications were done with different bioinformatic analysis tools. An average nucleotide identity analysis (ANI) with pyani (v0.2.12; [Pritchard, 2014](#page-14-20)) and MUMmer3 alignment ([Kurtz et al., 2004](#page-14-21)) (ANIm) was used in default mode to compare the phages among each other. The assessment of the DNA-packaging

strategy was performed based on the study of [Rashid et al. \(2016\).](#page-14-22) The large terminase subunit was aligned at the protein sequence level with ClustalW, and a maximum-likelihood phylogenetic tree was constructed with the Whelan and Goldman (WAG) substitution model and otherwise default parameter with the software MEGA (v11.0.13; [Tamura](#page-15-11) [et al., 2021\)](#page-15-11). The branches were collapsed in MEGA [\(Tamura et al., 2021\)](#page-15-11) if none of our phages clustered within, and final modifications for visualization were done in Inkscape (v0.48).¹ A nucleotide BLAST analysis ([Johnson et al., 2008\)](#page-14-18) with default parameters was performed to check for similarity to already known phage genomes and the prevalence in genomes of other *C. difficile* strains. BLAST results were ordered based on query coverage and hits with a query coverage below 10% were neglected unless relevant matches with higher coverages were not obtained. For assigning the phages to a morphological family of the order *Caudovirales*, phage genomes were inspected for the presence of baseplate proteins and sequence length of the tail length tape measure protein ([Zinke et al., 2022\)](#page-15-12). If the tail length tape measure protein was not annotated, it was identified via protein BLAST analysis ([Johnson](#page-14-18) [et al., 2008\)](#page-14-18) and manually curated in the genome.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 DCA tolerance is linked to the genetic but not clinical strain background

Before investigating the effect of the secondary bile salt DCA on prophage activity in *C. difficile*, individual DCA tolerance of the various strains was assessed in the form of a MIC assay with relative growth determinations ([Figure 1\)](#page-4-0). DCA concentrations ranged from 0.255mM to 1.2mM, thereby comprising the physiological human concentration of DCA [\(Hamilton et al., 2007\)](#page-14-15). All strains already exhibited reduced growth at the lowest concentration, which further decreased with increasing concentration. At all concentrations, strains of the same ST showed no significant difference in DCA tolerance, which implied comparable stress levels. Similar stress levels in turn might imply similar cellular strategies to cope with DCA-associated cellular damage but could also hint at similar DCA-induced prophage activity. In contrast, ST-specific tolerance differences were apparent across the DCA concentration range, with ST1 exhibiting the highest tolerance, followed by ST8, ST11, ST3, and, lastly, ST340, which was the most susceptible ST. Consequently, DCA tolerance correlated with the ST but not with the clinical background. The tolerance difference between the STs was most distinct at the lowest concentration, which was also used in the prophage induction experiments. Determined MICs ranged from 1mM (ST11 and ST340) to 1.2mM (ST1, ST3, ST8).

3.2 Sequencing-based assessments of prophage activity

Prophage prediction of all analyzed genomes exhibited various putative prophage regions, often with multiple incomplete and intact

¹ <https://inkscape.org/de/>

predicted regions in one genome [\(Supplementary Table S1](#page-13-8)). Active prophages were determined by sequencing of particle-protected DNA. Sequencing reads were mapped to the corresponding host genome. Normalized read coverage (NPKM values) represented phage abundance as a relative measure indicative of phage activity ([Hertel](#page-14-23) [et al., 2015](#page-14-23)). In the following, the term phage activity describes the production and resulting abundance of DNA-containing particles, and the mentioned NPKM values refer to the OD-normalized data. Sequencing of phage DNA libraries was successful for all samples except for strain DSM 29747, which was the only strain without a predicted intact prophage genomic region [\(Supplementary Table S1](#page-13-8)). This strain was therefore missing in further analyses.

The mapping of phage DNA sequencing reads onto respective host genomes is depicted in [Figures 2](#page-5-0)[–6](#page-10-0). The overall examination of the mapping results revealed distinct activity of at least one region in all strains and under both induction conditions. This demonstrated spontaneous phage activity in all strains and simultaneous activity of multiple phages within the same host. Almost all regions matched well with the predicted and intact prophage regions. All these regions are summarized in [Table 2](#page-10-1) for name assignment to facilitate the following descriptions. As apparent in [Table 2,](#page-10-1) regions with positive prophage prediction accorded in size with typical genome sizes of *C. difficile* phages [\(Heuler et al., 2021\)](#page-14-24), while those without were significantly smaller.

Comparison of corresponding strains showed no apparent differences in carriage or location of active phages. Correspondingly, a correlation to the clinical background of a strain was not detected.

As active prophages of corresponding strains resided at corresponding genome positions, we performed an ANIm analysis on extracted sequences of all active regions to assess their similarity among each other [\(Supplementary Figure S1](#page-13-8)). This confirmed identical sequences of the analogous phages TS3_3_phi/DSM28196_phi1 of ST1-strains and high similarity of both phages J2_1_phi1/SC083-01-01_phi1 and J2_1_phi2/SC083-01-01_phi2 among the ST8-strains, while the other phages exhibited only little or no similarity to the others.

All spontaneously active regions ([Table 2](#page-10-1)) were inspected for their activities under DCA induction. The overall NPKM transformation to an OD of 2 revealed distinctly higher signals under DCA induction in most active regions and all strains. This verified the phage-inducing effect of DCA, which varied apparently between the different regions even within the same host and thereby implied phage dependency.

The genomes of both ST1-strains carried an identical phage ([Supplementary Figure S1](#page-13-8); TS3_3_phi/ DSM28196_phi1) as determined by ANIm analysis ([Supplementary Figure S1\)](#page-13-8) and similar genome position. The analogous phages showed distinct spontaneous activity with approximate magnitude, but their DCA-induced activity differed substantially. Phage DSM28196_phi1 ([Figure 2B](#page-5-0)) exhibited \sim 3.5x higher signal increase under DCA than TS3_3_phi in the non-clinical strain ([Figure 2A](#page-5-0)). Since the phages were identical, the differing reactions seemed to be host-related. The genome of strain DSM 28196 possessed another active region DSM28196_phi2, which showed minor activity under both conditions and was phage-atypical by comprising only four genes and missing a prophage prediction ([Figure 2B\)](#page-5-0).

Coverage of phage sequencing reads of ST1-strains TS3_3 and DSM 28196. Sequencing reads of phage particles from spontaneous (black) and DCAinduced (red) release of strains (A) TS3_3 and (B) DSM 28196 were normalized to NPKM values (circles) with TraV [\(Dietrich et al., 2014\)](#page-13-6), and NPKM values were additionally OD-normalized to OD₆₀₀ = 2 (line graphs), before plotted against the chromosome (position in Mb) of the respective strain. Active regions were magnified for better visualization. Prophage regions predicted by PHASTEST ([Wishart et al., 2023\)](#page-15-6) are highlighted in the background (intact  =  green, incomplete  =  pink).

The genomes of ST3-strains possessed several active regions ([Figure 3\)](#page-6-0), which were not similar to each other ([Supplementary Figure S1\)](#page-13-8). In the genome of the non-clinical strain B1_2 [\(Figure 3A](#page-6-0)), two of the four active regions comprised the two ECEs. B1_2_phi4 on ECE2 exhibited the highest NPKM values under DCA induction. Remarkably, B1_2_phi4 is another phage-atypical but active region without corresponding prophage prediction, as previously detected for DSM28196_phi2 ([Figure 2B](#page-5-0)). B1_2_phi3 on ECE1 also showed increased activity under DCA, but both spontaneous and induced activity were not particularly high. B1_2_ phi2 on the chromosome showed spontaneous activity and a substantial increase in DCA-induced activity. B1_2_phi1 on the chromosome exhibited almost no signal under spontaneous conditions, which slightly increased upon DCA induction. This might indicate true DCA induction of this phage without prior spontaneous activity. In contrast to strain B1_2, the corresponding clinical strain SC084-01-01 possessed no prominently active region on the chromosome [\(Figure 3B](#page-6-0)). The activity could be observed within the region SC084-01-01_phi1, but NPKM values were very low under both conditions and signals did not cover the whole phage region. The abundancy of this phage was probably insufficient to capture distinct activity by the sequencing approach. Similar activity was observed for SC084-01-01_phi2 on ECE1 of SC084-01-01. SC084-01-01_phi3 on ECE2 was the only region in SC084-01-01 with prominent activity under spontaneous conditions, and the activity further increased apparently under DCA induction.

The genomes of both ST8-strains exhibited activity for their analogous chromosomal and extrachromosomal regions ([Figure 4](#page-8-0)), which were similar phages according to ANIm analysis ([Supplementary Figure S1\)](#page-13-8) and similar genomic location. J2_1_phi2 on the ECE of strain J2_1 showed distinct spontaneous activity and a DCA-induced activity increase [\(Figure 4A](#page-8-0)), whereas SC083-01-01_ phi1 on the ECE of SC083-01-01 was only slightly active under spontaneous conditions, and the signal increase upon DCA induction was only little. The activity of the chromosomal phages

differed apparently as well. J2_1_phi1 on the J2_1 chromosome was spontaneously active and showed increased activity under DCA induction ([Figure 4A\)](#page-8-0). The left part of the prophage region started with minor activity, which drastically increased at the terminase genes. Strikingly, the left part of the prophage region started with minor activity, which drastically increased at the terminase genes. Further remarkable, sequencing reads mapped beyond the predicted prophage region and spread upstream $(\sim 30 \text{ kb})$ and downstream (~135 kb). The downstream region adjoined the phage activity with similar NPKM values that gradually decreased over the entire section. The chromosomal phage SC083-01-01_phi1 of the corresponding clinical ST8-strain SC083-01-01 did not exhibit these peculiarities ([Figure 4B\)](#page-8-0). It was spontaneously active, and the activity increased substantially under DCA treatment. This increase was strikingly twice as high as observed for the analogous phage J2_1_ phi1 ([Figure 4A\)](#page-8-0), despite their similarity ([Supplementary Figure S1\)](#page-13-8). Such dissimilar activity increase among analogous phages was already observed in the ST1-strains [\(Figure 2](#page-5-0)). In both ST8- and ST1-pairs, a distinctly stronger increase upon DCA induction was connected to the clinical background of the strains. Since DCA-stress levels did not significantly differ between corresponding clinical and non-clinical strains ([Figure 1\)](#page-4-0) and thereby suggested similar induction levels, the question of the underlying mechanism of these diverging activities in analogous phages arose. This prompted an undefined regulation of phage induction involved in the clinical strains.

Strain MA_1 could not be compared to its corresponding clinical strain DSM 29747, but distinct spontaneous activity was visible for MA_1_phi on the ECE, which increased under DCA treatment ([Figure 5\)](#page-9-0).

Strain MA_2 is, to our knowledge, the first cryptic *C. difficile* strain with detailed phage examination. This strain possessed four active regions [\(Figure 6](#page-10-0)). MA_2_phi2 on the chromosome and MA_2_phi4 on the ECE both showed prominent activity under spontaneous conditions and a distinct activity increase upon DCA induction. Interestingly, MA_2_phi4 was another active region without phage prediction, as observed previously for DSM28196_phi2 ([Figure 2B](#page-5-0)) and B1_2_phi4 [\(Figure 3A](#page-6-0)). This was also true for MA_2_phi3 on the chromosome, where the activity was, however, very low under spontaneous conditions. The activity of this region increased upon DCA induction. Chromosomal region MA_2_phi1 exhibited the least activity in this genome even under DCA treatment. Read mapping for MA_2_phi1 and MA_2_phi2 did not cover the entire predicted prophage regions, but sections without read coverage contained only bacterial genes and were therefore evidently mispredicted.

Almost all identified active prophage regions could be induced by the secondary bile salt DCA. Mentionable, the phage activity measured after DCA treatment might be influenced by a direct effect of DCA on the phage. A study on different bacteriophages in *Escherichia coli* investigated the effect of bile salts on the host–phage interaction and observed varying survival rates of the phages [\(Scanlan](#page-14-25) [et al., 2017](#page-14-25)). Consequently, DCA might not only induce but also damage phages, thereby reducing the abundance of induced phage particles and the measured phage activity, respectively. The measured activity in our experiments might therefore be lower than the actual activity after induction.

DCA is not the only secondary bile salt present in the human intestines that can stress *C. difficile* cells ([Sorg and Sonenshein, 2008;](#page-15-1) [Thanissery et al., 2017\)](#page-15-2). It might therefore be assumed that the other secondary bile salts induce prophages as well. Overall, the analysis of prophage induction and activity with all secondary bile

FIGURE 3

Coverage of phage sequencing reads of ST3-strains B1_2 and SC084-01-01. Sequencing reads of phage particles from spontaneous (black) and DCAinduced (red) release of strains (A) B1_2 and (B) SC084-01-01 were normalized to NPKM values (circles) with TraV [\(Dietrich et al., 2014](#page-13-6)), and NPKM values were additionally OD-normalized to OD₆₀₀ = 2 (line graphs), before plotted against the chromosome (position in Mb) and ECE (position in kb) of the respective strain. Active regions were magnified for better visualization. Prophage regions predicted by PHASTEST ([Wishart et al., 2023\)](#page-15-6) are highlighted (intact = green, incomplete = pink)

salts—individually and combined—is advisable to further understand *C. difficile* prophage activity *in vivo.* In this regard, the corresponding toxin production of the analyzed strains would be another interesting aspect for investigations. The secondary bile salts were demonstrated to negatively affect toxin production [\(Thanissery et al., 2017\)](#page-15-2) and function [\(Tam et al., 2020\)](#page-15-13). In contrast, prophages can affect toxin production both negatively [\(Govind et al., 2009](#page-14-2)) and positively ([Sekulovic et al., 2011](#page-15-3)). As such, phage induction in the presence of secondary bile salts can not only promote phage-mediated HGT and drive adaptation but also influence toxin levels upon lysogenization of other toxigenic strains, thereby further affecting strain virulence and disease severity.

Moreover, almost all ECEs were detected as active phages. Although extrachromosomal prophages have already been described in *C. difficile* strains ([Garneau et al., 2018;](#page-14-26) [Ramírez-Vargas et al.,](#page-14-27) [2018\)](#page-14-27), only a few of these have been isolated and characterized so far ([Heuler et al., 2021](#page-14-24)).

3.3 Phage genome annotation and gene content analysis

3.3.1 Phage genomes harbor virulence-relevant genes

All active regions identified via sequence read mapping ([Figures 2](#page-5-0)[–6;](#page-10-0) [Table 2](#page-10-1)) were inspected after a new annotation with Pharokka [\(Bouras et al., 2023\)](#page-13-7) for genes that might increase the virulence of the host (genomic information in [Supplementary Data File S1](#page-13-8)). All of them exhibited characteristic phage genes in a modular organization according to the different encoded functions, as typically seen in *C. difficile* phages ([Govind et al., 2006](#page-14-28); [Meessen-Pinard et al., 2012](#page-14-8); [Garneau et al., 2018](#page-14-26)). In some genomes, the protein characteristics for plasmids were found, such as genes encoding partition proteins and replication initiation factors [\(Filutowicz, 2009\)](#page-14-29). Genes encoding plasmid-related proteins in addition to phage-typical ones are common in a certain type of MGE, so-called phage-plasmids ([Pfeifer et al., 2021\)](#page-14-30). These phage-plasmid features were especially recorded for extrachromosomal prophages but were also present on the chromosomally integrated prophage MA_2_phi1. Further frequently observed genes in the phage genomes encoded proteins with potential involvement in cellular metabolism and growth, such as metalloproteases, kinases, a phosphatase, and most of all putative rhodaneserelated sulfurtransferases. These genes might be advantageous for bacterial fitness, thereby indirectly contributing to host virulence. Two phage genomes (B1_2_phi1 and SC084-01-01_phi1) carried genes encoding hemolysin XhlA, an established virulence factor in other bacterial species [\(Thomas et al., 2021](#page-15-14)), capable of lysing mammalian erythrocytes ([Cowles and Goodrich-Blair, 2004\)](#page-13-9). In the opportunistic pathogen *Mannheimia haemolytica*, temperate phages were induced that encoded hemolysin XhlA and discussed to contribute to bacterial pathogenicity and transfer of this virulence factor [\(Niu et al., 2015](#page-14-31)). Hemolysis in *C. difficile* is not commonly known, but few studies demonstrated its hemolytic capability [\(Alkudmani, 2018\)](#page-13-10). However, XhlA is also present in other prophage genomes as part of the lysis module [\(Krogh et al., 1998](#page-14-32)). Indeed, the gene encoding XhlA was found next to the lysis-relevant genes encoding holin and endolysin in phages B1_2_phi1 and SC084-01-01_phi1. Thus, the actual role of hemolysin XhlA in these phages and its potential impact on host virulence remains

unclear. Phage SC084-01-01_phi1 possessed a gene encoding an ABC transporter, which might contribute to antibiotic resistance of the host ([Orelle et al., 2019\)](#page-14-33). Phage SC084-01-01_phi3 harbored a putative spore protease, which could influence bacterial sporulation or germination ability and, as a consequence, alter bacterial fitness [\(Garneau et al.,](#page-14-26) [2018](#page-14-26)). Other genes conferring antibiotic resistance or encoding known virulence factors were not identified in the active phage genomes. Six phages (TS3_3_phi, DSM28196_phi1, B1_2_phi2, J2_1_phi1, SC083- 01-01_phi, MA_2_phi2) carried arrays of clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats (CRISPR), which is similar to other *C. difficile* phages with described CRISPRs [\(Hargreaves et al., 2014a;](#page-14-34) [Rashid et al.,](#page-14-22) [2016](#page-14-22); [Garneau et al., 2018](#page-14-26)). Temperate phages carrying CRISPR arrays increase host immunity against other invading phages [\(Barrangou et al.,](#page-13-11) [2007\)](#page-13-11). The corresponding host genomes were verified to encode Cas proteins required for CRISPR-Cas-mediated phage immunity [\(Koonin](#page-14-35) [and Makarova, 2009](#page-14-35)). CRISPRs in prophages represent horizontally transferrable immunity against phages, which is specifically relevant in the context of phage therapy, an alternative treatment approach for bacterial infections with growing importance in view of increasing multidrug-resistances [\(Gutiérrez and Domingo-Calap, 2020](#page-14-36)).

3.3.2 Active non-phage elements likely belong to so far undescribed HGT mechanisms in *Clostridioides difficile*

The regions without corresponding prophage prediction [\(Table 2\)](#page-10-1) did not possess a phage-typical genome accordingly. Therefore, further gene analysis of these non-phage elements was performed based on the original genome annotation with Prokka [\(Seemann,](#page-15-15) [2014;](#page-15-15) genomic information in [Supplementary Data File S1\)](#page-13-8). No proteins involved in capsid or tail production, DNA packaging, or host lysis were present. The lack of structural proteins was striking since these DNA elements were enveloped according to the DNA isolation procedure. This indicated the involvement of unrelated particles. Even additional analysis of hypothetical proteins with InterProScan ([Jones](#page-14-19) [et al., 2014](#page-14-19)) and BLASTp [\(Johnson et al., 2008](#page-14-18)) could not identify further functions. All other proteins were assigned to functions with DNA-binding activity, such as helicases, integrases, relaxases, and transcriptional regulators. These genes are typical for phage genomes but also for MGEs such as transposons as Integrative and Conjugative or Mobilizable Elements (ICE/IME; [Bellanger et al., 2014\)](#page-13-12). Screening for these MGEs with ICEscreen [\(Lao et al., 2022](#page-14-37)) validated DSM28196_phi2 as complete IME, while MA_2_phi3 was detected as invalid ICE. Interestingly, the peculiar upstream region of J2_1_phi1 ([Figure 4A\)](#page-8-0) was also identified as an ICE, although it was incomplete. These integrative MGEs do not encode proteins for the production of particles that carry the respective mobile sequence ([Bellanger et al.,](#page-13-12) [2014\)](#page-13-12). Transposons were found to hitchhike co-residing phages in several bacteria such as *Staphylococcus aureus* [\(Lindsay et al., 1998\)](#page-14-38), *Vibrio cholerae* [\(Seed et al., 2013](#page-15-16)), and *Enterococcus faecalis* [\(Duerkop](#page-14-39) [et al., 2012](#page-14-39)), enabling the phage-mediated transduction of virulencerelevant genes. This type of transduction was demonstrated once in *C. difficile* with the transfer of a conjugative transposon carrying an antibiotic resistance gene [\(Goh et al., 2013\)](#page-14-3). Transduction can be either generalized, specialized, or lateral [\(Borodovich et al., 2022\)](#page-13-13). They all imply the "headful" DNA packaging, in which the terminase starts DNA packaging at a bacterial homolog to the phage packaging site until the capsid is full, which consequently implies the transduced DNA to be of similar phage genome size [\(Borodovich et al., 2022\)](#page-13-13). The transduction mechanisms differ in transduced DNA and frequency ([Kleiner et al., 2020](#page-14-40)). Specialized and lateral transduction involves host DNA adjacent to the prophage, while random host DNA is packaged in generalized transduction ([Kleiner et al., 2020](#page-14-40)). Generalized and specialized transduction are processes of erroneous DNA packaging, which results in low transduction frequency detectable by sequencing read coverage ([Kleiner et al., 2020](#page-14-40); [Borodovich et al., 2022](#page-13-13)). In contrast, lateral transduction results in high sequencing read coverage comparable to actual phage activity, as this mechanism is considered a natural phage trait instead of a mistaken process ([Kleiner et al., 2020](#page-14-40); [Borodovich et al., 2022](#page-13-13)). This trait comprises phage genome replication and simultaneous DNA packaging before excision from the chromosome, whereby a substantial amount of adjacent host DNA is packaged as well ([Chiang](#page-13-14) [et al., 2019](#page-13-14); [Fillol-Salom et al., 2021\)](#page-14-41). All these characteristics of lateral

transduction accorded with the observed sequencing read mapping downstream of phage J2_1_phi1 [\(Figure 4A](#page-8-0)), indicating lateral transduction of this DNA segment by phage J2_1_phi1. This observation is thereby the first description of lateral transduction in *C. difficile.* The downstream region of J2_1_phi1 ([Figure 4A\)](#page-8-0) did not comprise characteristic genes for MGEs. Instead, several genes encoded proteins with potential relevance for strain virulence, such as genes for ABC transporters, a multidrug efflux system ATP-binding protein, stress-related proteins, proteins involved in resistance to vancomycin and daunorubicin, and the putative virulence factor BrkB. Therefore, mobilization and transfer of this region are critical regarding the spread of antibiotic resistance or virulence-related genes. The transfer of such advantageous genes via lateral transduction involves a high transfer frequency of the DNA [\(Kleiner et al., 2020\)](#page-14-40), which can boost the efficacy of gene dissemination in *C. difficile* and

Coverage of phage sequencing reads of ST8-strains J2_1 and SC083-01-01. Sequencing reads of phage particles from spontaneous (black) and DCAinduced (red) release of strains (A) J2_1 and (B) SC083-01-01 were normalized to NPKM values (circles) with TraV [\(Dietrich et al., 2014](#page-13-6)), and NPKM values were additionally OD-normalized to OD₆₀₀ = 2 (line graphs), before plotted against the chromosome (position in Mb) and ECE (position in kb) of the respective strain. Active regions were magnified for better visualization. Prophage regions predicted by PHASTEST ([Wishart et al., 2023\)](#page-15-6) are highlighted (intact = green, incomplete = pink)

Prophage regions predicted by PHASTEST [\(Wishart et al., 2023](#page-15-6)) are highlighted (intact = green, incomplete = pink).

consequently promote evolutionary adaptation of the bacterial population.

The action of lateral transduction might also explain the drastic NPKM difference observed for the sequencing read mapping within the genome phage J2_1_phi1 ([Figure 4A](#page-8-0)) as inaccurate excision of the phage genome after *in situ* replication leads to phage genome truncation.

Since no evidence for lateral transduction was observed for phage J2_1_phi1's analog SC083-01-01_phi1 [\(Figure 4B](#page-8-0)) despite their high similarity [\(Supplementary Figure S1\)](#page-13-8), the question about underlying differences arose. Direct phage genome comparison revealed diverging excisionases and integrases as well as four additional amino acids in the large terminase protein of J2_1_phi1. All these proteins perform activities destined for lateral transduction [\(Borodovich et al., 2022](#page-13-13)).

The extrachromosomal non-phage elements were significantly smaller than the co-existing phages ([Table 2](#page-10-1)), which are in contrast with the headful packaging mechanism required in transduction. This indicated a form of DNA-protecting particle other than phages, such as gene transfer agents (GTA). These phage-like particles carry DNA between 4 and 14kb [\(Lang et al., 2012](#page-14-42)), which is similar to the sizes of the detected non-phage elements ([Table 2\)](#page-10-1). However, GTAs package bacterial DNA randomly [\(Lang et al., 2012](#page-14-42)), which does not fit the detected distinct activity of specific regions, making GTAs unlikely as a mode of action. Extracellular vesicles are known in various bacteria and described to carry and transfer genetic content, e.g., plasmids, between cells ([Fulsundar et al., 2014;](#page-14-43) [Bitto et al., 2017;](#page-13-15) [Tran and](#page-15-17) [Boedicker, 2017](#page-15-17)). This type of alternative HGT is not well characterized so far but was demonstrated to allow interspecies gene transfer ([Fulsundar et al., 2014](#page-14-43)), which underlines the importance of vesiclemediated DNA exchange. Noteworthy, vesicle-driven HGT in *C. difficile* has not been described so far.

The envelopment of diverse MGEs could imply a more effective transfer of these DNA elements since the enveloped DNA is protected from degradation outside of the bacterial cell. This would promote the evolutionary adaptation of *C. difficile* via the spread of genes advantageous for bacterial fitness or virulence, e.g., by conferring

resistance against antimicrobial substances such as antibiotics ([Goh](#page-14-3) [et al., 2013](#page-14-3)) or by encoding virulence-related proteins (as, for example, found in the laterally transduced chromosomal region downstream of J2_1_phi1). Many genes present in the enveloped MGEs remain of unknown function, making their potential influence on the strain's fitness or virulence unclear. However, the data revealed the activity of these MGEs in about half of the analyzed strains and showed the considerable prevalence of these enveloped, mobile DNA elements in *C. difficile*. This indicated a significant contribution of those mechanisms in DNA transfer between *C. difficile* organisms that should be further investigated in the context of *C. difficile* adaptation and evolution. In this regard, HGT of virulence-related genes via these DNA transfer modes can be supported by close cell contact in biofilm structures that can be triggered by secondary bile salts [\(Dubois et al.,](#page-14-10) [2019\)](#page-14-10) or phage activity [\(Nanda et al., 2015](#page-14-7)). Moreover, phagemediated cell lysis likely promotes the release of these various DNA transfer agents [\(Nanda et al., 2015](#page-14-7)), which partially showed higher activity under DCA treatment [\(Figures 3A](#page-6-0), [6\)](#page-10-0). A complex and dynamic interplay between the effect of DCA (or secondary bile salts in general) and the corresponding activity of phages and the other MGEs on the evolutionary adaptation of *C. difficile* can be assumed.

3.4 Classification of the active phages

3.4.1 Terminase-based determination of the phage DNA-packaging strategy

The assessment of the phage DNA-packaging mechanisms was performed to validate the above-hypothesized transduction events. The large terminase subunit was analyzed via protein sequence alignment and phylogenetic tree construction referring to [Rashid et al.](#page-14-22) [\(2016\)](#page-14-22). This assigned the phages to different phage DNA-packaging mechanisms [\(Figure 7\)](#page-11-0). All our phages were assigned to clusters comprising other *C. difficile* phages, which predominantly represented the P22-like headful packaging mechanism, followed by the

FIGURE 6

Coverage of phage sequencing reads of cryptic ST340-strain MA_2. Sequencing reads of phage particles from spontaneous (black) and DCA-induced (red) release of strain MA_2 were normalized to NPKM values (circles) with TraV [\(Dietrich et al., 2014](#page-13-6)), and NPKM values were additionally ODnormalized to OD₆₀₀ = 2 (line graphs), before plotted against the chromosome (position in Mb) and ECE (position in kb) of MA_2. Active regions were magnified for better visualization. Prophage regions predicted by PHASTEST ([Wishart et al., 2023\)](#page-15-6) are highlighted (intact  =  green, incomplete  =  pink).

All active regions identified based on sequencing read coverage ([Figures 2–](#page-5-0)[6](#page-10-0)) were renamed according to a numbered scheme (strain_phiX). Regions were validated for certain (✓) or uncertain (~) activity based on coverage signal strength. Additionally, prophage prediction with PHASTEST ([Wishart et al., 2023\)](#page-15-6) is included by stating positive (√) or negative (~) prediction, and region size in bp is stated as well.

3′-extended COS ends and an unknown strategy. Consequently, most of the phages were predicted to utilize the headful packaging mechanism and would, thus, be indeed capable of transducing host DNA. The mechanism "P22-like headful" originates from the packaging strategy employed by phage P22 of *Salmonella enterica*. Phage P22 was originally described to perform generalized transduction ([Ebel-Tsipis et al., 1972](#page-14-44)), but recent evidence demonstrated also specialized as well as lateral transduction activity

([Fillol-Salom et al., 2021\)](#page-14-41). These terminase analysis results supported the assumption of lateral transduction of the phage J2_1_phi1 downstream region.

3.4.2 Nucleotide BLAST analyses assess phage prevalence and novelty

A nucleotide BLAST analysis ([Johnson et al., 2008](#page-14-18)) was performed on all active regions in stated [Table 2](#page-10-1) to check for similar phages and

elements and to assess prevalence among *C. difficile* strains. The results are available in [Supplementary Data File S2](#page-13-8) and summarized in [Table 3.](#page-12-0) Most of the phages matched against *C. difficile* phages with query coverages between 4 and 90% and percent identities between 86.26 and 99.86%. This confirmed that our phages are indeed similar to known phages but still represent novel types, which underlines the contribution of this study to the general knowledge of *C. difficile* phages. Furthermore, chromosomal phages also matched against a multitude of *C. difficile* chromosomes, while the extrachromosomal phages often corresponded to *C. difficile* plasmids and few chromosomes. This demonstrated the prevalence of the identified phages in other *C. difficile* strains. The non-phage elements B1_2_phi4 and MA_2_phi4 yielded no significant BLAST hit against a phage but matched against *C. difficile* plasmids. Both matching plasmids belong to classes of *C. difficile* plasmids with similar organization that are present in diverse *C. difficile* strains [\(Smits et al., 2018](#page-15-18); [Roseboom et al., 2023\)](#page-14-45). All these plasmids might therefore likewise be inducible and particle-protected, which implies a different mechanism of HGT than currently assumed.

3.4.3 Genome-based phage assignment to *Myoviridae* and *Siphoviridae*

Finally, we classified our phages morphologically. All known *C. difficile* phages so far belong to the *Caudovirales* family of *Myoviridae* or *Siphoviridae*, which are distinguished by tail appearance [\(Heuler](#page-14-24) [et al., 2021\)](#page-14-24). Genome inspection for the presence of baseplate protein characteristics for *Myoviridae* and the length of the tail length tape measure protein as indicator for *Siphoviridae* could assign eleven phages to *Myoviridae* and four phages to *Siphoviridae* ([Supplementary Table S2\)](#page-13-8).

4 Conclusion

We aimed to investigate prophage activity in different clinical and non-clinical *C. difficile* strains and unravel the potential relationships between phage activity and the clinical background of the strain. Our investigations did not find specific connections to the clinical background, although we observed stronger DCA-related activity with clinical background for phages that were similar between clinical and non-clinical strains. We further revealed several interesting findings with relevance for future *C. difficile* phage research. We identified and characterized several active prophages in various *C. difficile* strains with a sequencing-based approach. This sensitive approach allowed the detection of multiple co-existing prophages with diverse activity. Most of these phages were distinctly active without specific induction, but they showed increased activity when induced with the secondary bile salt DCA. This proved that spontaneous activity is common in

TABLE 3 Nucleotide BLAST results of the identified active regions.

a Since ECEs B1_2_phi4 and MA_2_phi4 were identified to be no phage, BLAST results were checked for *C. difficile* plasmids instead.

Results were summarized regarding the first phage-related *C. difficile* BLAST hit by stating its description, query coverage, percent identity, and a number of preceding and succeeding chromosome/ plasmid entries. The few hits of metagenome-assembled phages were not listed. If available, information on the phage family of the respective hit is indicated (M, *Myoviridae*; S, *Siphoviridae*).

C. difficile prophages and that the natural stressor DCA triggers prophage induction. These findings are crucial for investigating *C. difficile* biology since secondary bile salts and phage activity evidently affect *C. difficile* fitness and virulence. Both are known to influence toxin production or promote the exchange of clinically relevant genes by triggering biofilm structures or enabling HGT. We also found genes with potential connection to virulence in some phage genomes. In this context, research on actual *in vivo* phage mobility should increasingly resemble *C. difficile*'s natural habitat. The sequencing approach further revealed active regions without phage identity. Based on genomic examinations, these regions were identified as another form of MGE, in most cases possibly integrative elements. These elements apparently participated in a strategy of mobilization that involves some kind of DNA envelopment, which pointed to

phage-mediated DNA transduction, GTAs, or bacterial vesicles. This phenomenon was observed in several of the analyzed strains, which indicated that mobilization of enveloped DNA other than phage lysogeny might be a frequent mechanism in *C. difficile.* Since only one example of transduction in *C. difficile* is known so far, these mechanisms of DNA transfer via envelopment should be further investigated. One of these observations was likely the result of phagemediated lateral transduction, thereby enabling the inter-cellular transfer of large chromosomal DNA segments. This specific type of transduction in *C. difficile* has not been described so far and opens up a new perspective on *C. difficile* phage research and HGT. Moreover, it can be assumed that the analyzed and observed aspects of DCA treatment and the associated activity of phages and enveloped MGEs interact in a complex dynamic that affects HGT and evolutionary adaptation in *C. difficile in vivo*, thereby encouraging further research.

Data availability statement

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found in the article/[Supplementary Table S3](#page-13-8).

Author contributions

MS: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Validation, Visualization, Writing – original draft. RD: Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Supervision, Writing – review & editing. AP: Conceptualization, Project administration, Supervision, Validation, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This work was

References

Alkudmani, Zeina Subhi B. (2018). "The identification and characterization of novel Haemolysin genes from Clostridium Difficile." University College London. Available at: [https://discovery.ucl.ac.uk/id/eprint/10078163/7/Alkudmani_00_Thesis_edited.pdf.](https://discovery.ucl.ac.uk/id/eprint/10078163/7/Alkudmani_00_Thesis_edited.pdf)

Alonso, C. D., Kelly, C. P., Garey, K. W., Gonzales-Luna, A. J., Williams, D., Daugherty, K., et al. (2022). Ultrasensitive and quantitative toxin measurement correlates with baseline severity, severe outcomes, and recurrence among hospitalized patients with *Clostridioides difficile* infection. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 74, 2142–2149. doi: [10.1093/cid/ciab826](https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciab826)

Balsells, E., Shi, T., Leese, C., Lyell, I., Burrows, J., Wiuff, C., et al. (2019). Global burden of *Clostridium Difficile* infections: a systematic review and Meta-analysis. *J. Glob. Health* 9:010407. doi: [10.7189/jogh.09.010407](https://doi.org/10.7189/jogh.09.010407)

Barrangou, R., Fremaux, C., Deveau, H., Richards, M., Boyaval, P., Moineau, S., et al. (2007). CRISPR provides acquired resistance against viruses in prokaryotes. *Science* 315, 1709–1712. doi: [10.1126/science.1138140](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1138140)

Bellanger, X., Payot, S., Leblond-Bourget, N., and Guédon, G. (2014). Conjugative and Mobilizable Genomic Islands in Bacteria: evolution and diversity. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.* 38, 720–760. doi: [10.1111/1574-6976.12058](https://doi.org/10.1111/1574-6976.12058)

Bitto, N. J., Chapman, R., Pidot, S., Costin, A., Lo, C., Choi, J., et al. (2017). Bacterial membrane vesicles transport their DNA cargo into host cells. *Sci. Rep.* 7:7072. doi: [10.1038/s41598-017-07288-4](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-07288-4)

Bolger, A. M., Lohse, M., and Usadel, B. (2014). Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data. *Bioinformatics* 30, 2114–2120. doi: [10.1093/bioinformatics/btu170](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu170)

funded by the Federal State of Lower Saxony, Niedersächsisches Vorab CDiff, and CDInfect projects (VWZN2889/3215/3266). The authors acknowledge support by the Open Access Publication Funds of the Göttingen University. This study was partly supported by the Göttingen Graduate Center for Neurosciences, Biophysics, and Molecular Biosciences at the Georg-August-Universität Göttingen. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Melanie Heinemann for technical assistance. A version of the manuscript is available as a preprint ([Schüler et al., 2023\)](#page-14-50).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary material for this article can be found online at: [https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2024.1374708/](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2024.1374708/full#supplementary-material) [full#supplementary-material](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2024.1374708/full#supplementary-material)

Borodovich, T., Shkoporov, A. N., Paul Ross, R., and Hill, C. (2022). Phage-mediated horizontal gene transfer and its implications for the human gut microbiome. *Gastroenterology Report* 10, 1–12. doi: [10.1093/gastro/goac012](https://doi.org/10.1093/gastro/goac012)

Bouras, G., Nepal, R., Houtak, G., Psaltis, A. J., Wormald, P.-J., and Vreugde, S. (2023). Pharokka: a fast scalable bacteriophage annotation tool. *Bioinformatics* 39:btac776. doi: [10.1093/bioinformatics/btac776](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btac776)

Chandrasekaran, R., and Borden Lacy, D. (2017). The role of toxins in *Clostridium Difficile* infection. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.* 41, 723–750. doi: [10.1093/femsre/fux048](https://doi.org/10.1093/femsre/fux048)

Chen, S., Zhou, Y., Chen, Y., and Jia, G. (2018). Fastp: an ultra-fast all-in-one FASTQ preprocessor. *Bioinformatics* 34, i884–i890. doi: [10.1093/bioinformatics/bty560](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bty560)

Chiang, Y. N., Penadés, J. R., and Chen, J. (2019). Genetic transduction by phages and Chromosomal Islands: the new and noncanonical. *PLoS Pathog.* 15:e1007878. doi: [10.1371/journal.ppat.1007878](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1007878)

Cowles, K. N., and Goodrich-Blair, H. (2004). Expression and activity of a *Xenorhabdus Nematophila* Haemolysin required for full virulence towards *Manduca Sexta* insects. *Cell. Microbiol.* 7, 209–219. doi: [10.1111/j.1462-5822.2004.00448.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1462-5822.2004.00448.x)

Czepiel, J., Dróżdż, M., Pituch, H., Kuijper, E. J., Perucki, W., Mielimonka, A., et al. (2019). *Clostridium Difficile* infection: review. *Eur. J. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. Dis.* 38, 1211–1221. doi: [10.1007/s10096-019-03539-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10096-019-03539-6)

Dietrich, S., Wiegand, S., and Liesegang, H. (2014). TraV: a genome context sensitive transcriptome browser. *PLoS One* 9:e93677. doi: [10.1371/journal.pone.0093677](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0093677)

Dubois, T., Tremblay, Y. D. N., Hamiot, A., Martin-Verstraete, I., Deschamps, J., Monot, M., et al. (2019). A microbiota-generated bile salt induces biofilm formation in *Clostridium Difficile*. *Npj Biofilms and Microbiomes* 5:14. doi: [10.1038/s41522-019-0087-4](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41522-019-0087-4)

Duerkop, B. A., Clements, C. V., Rollins, D., Rodrigues, J. L. M., and Hooper, L. V. (2012). A composite bacteriophage alters colonization by an intestinal commensal bacterium. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 109, 17621–17626. doi: [10.1073/pnas.1206136109](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1206136109)

Ebel-Tsipis, J., Fox, M. S., and Botstein, D. (1972). Generalized transduction by bacteriophage P22 in *Salmonella Typhimurium*. *J. Mol. Biol.* 71, 449–469. doi: [10.1016/0022-2836\(72\)90362-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-2836(72)90362-2)

Fillol-Salom, A., Bacigalupe, R., Humphrey, S., Chiang, Y. N., Chen, J., and Penadés, J. R. (2021). Lateral transduction is inherent to the life cycle of the archetypical *Salmonella* phage P22. *Nat. Commun.* 12:6510. doi: [10.1038/s41467-021-26520-4](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-26520-4)

Filutowicz, M. (2009). "Plasmids, bacterial" in *Encyclopedia of microbiology*. Ed. M. Schaechter (Netherland: Elsevier), 644–665.

Fortier, L.-C. (2018). Bacteriophages contribute to shaping *Clostridioides (Clostridium) difficile* species. *Front. Microbiol.* 9:2033. doi: [10.3389/fmicb.2018.02033](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2018.02033)

Fortier, L.-C., and Moineau, S. (2007). Morphological and genetic diversity of temperate phages in *Clostridium Difficile*. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 73, 7358–7366. doi: [10.1128/AEM.00582-07](https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.00582-07)

Fortier, L.-C., and Sekulovic, O. (2013). Importance of prophages to evolution and virulence of bacterial pathogens. *Virulence* 4, 354–365. doi: [10.4161/viru.24498](https://doi.org/10.4161/viru.24498)

Fulsundar, S., Harms, K., Flaten, G. E., Johnsen, P. J., Chopade, B. A., and Nielsen, K. M. (2014). Gene transfer potential of outer membrane vesicles of *Acinetobacter Baylyi* and effects of stress on Vesiculation. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 80, 3469–3483. doi: [10.1128/AEM.04248-13](https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.04248-13)

Garneau, J. R., Sekulovic, O., Dupuy, B., Soutourina, O., Monot, M., and Fortier, L.-C. (2018). High prevalence and genetic diversity of large PhiCD211 (PhiCDIF1296T)-like prophages in *Clostridioides difficile*. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 84, e02164–e02117. doi: [10.1128/AEM.02164-17](https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02164-17)

Ghigo, J.-M. (2001). Natural conjugative plasmids induce bacterial biofilm development. *Nature* 412, 442–445. doi: [10.1038/35086581](https://doi.org/10.1038/35086581)

Goh, S., Hussain, H., Chang, B. J., Emmett, W., Riley, T. V., and Mullany, P. (2013). Phage ϕC2 mediates transduction of Tn6215, encoding erythromycin resistance, between *Clostridium difficile* strains. *MBio* 4, e00840–e00813. doi: [10.1128/](https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.00840-13) [mBio.00840-13](https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.00840-13)

Goh, S., Ong, P. F., Song, K. P., Riley, T. V., and Chang, B. J. (2007). The complete genome sequence of *Clostridium Difficile* phage ΦC2 and comparisons to ΦCD119 and inducible prophages of CD630. *Microbiology* 153, 676–685. doi: [10.1099/mic.0.2006/002436-0](https://doi.org/10.1099/mic.0.2006/002436-0)

Govind, R., Fralick, J. A., and Rolfe, R. D. (2006). Genomic organization and molecular characterization of *Clostridium Difficile* bacteriophage ΦCD119. *J. Bacteriol.* 188, 2568–2577. doi: [10.1128/JB.188.7.2568-2577.2006](https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.188.7.2568-2577.2006)

Govind, R., Vediyappan, G., Rolfe, R. D., Dupuy, B., and Fralick, J. A. (2009). Bacteriophage-mediated toxin gene regulation in *Clostridium Difficile*. *J. Virol.* 83, 12037–12045. doi: [10.1128/JVI.01256-09](https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.01256-09)

Gutiérrez, B., and Domingo-Calap, P. (2020). Phage therapy in gastrointestinal diseases. *Microorganisms* 8:1420. doi: [10.3390/microorganisms8091420](https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms8091420)

Hamilton, J. P., Xie, G., Raufman, J.-P., Hogan, S., Griffin, T. L., Packard, C. A., et al. (2007). Human Cecal bile acids: concentration and Spectrum. *American J. Physiology-Gastrointestinal and Liver Physiol.* 293, G256–G263. doi: [10.1152/ajpgi.00027.2007](https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpgi.00027.2007)

Hargreaves, K. R., Flores, C. O., Lawley, T. D., and Clokie, M. R. J. (2014a). Abundant and diverse clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeat spacers in *Clostridium Difficile* strains and prophages target multiple phage types within this pathogen. *MBio* 5, e01045–e01013. doi: [10.1128/mBio.01045-13](https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.01045-13)

Hargreaves, K. R., Kropinski, A. M., and Clokie, M. R. J. (2014b). What does the talking?: quorum sensing Signalling genes discovered in a bacteriophage genome. *PLoS One* 9:e85131. doi: [10.1371/journal.pone.0085131](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0085131)

Hertel, R., Rodríguez, D. P., Hollensteiner, J., Dietrich, S., Leimbach, A., Hoppert, M., et al. (2015). Genome-based identification of active prophage regions by next generation sequencing in *Bacillus Licheniformis* DSM13. *PLoS One* 10:e0120759. doi: [10.1371/](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0120759) [journal.pone.0120759](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0120759)

Heuler, J., Fortier, L.-C., and Sun, X. (2021). *Clostridioides difficile* phage biology and application. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.* 45:fuab012. doi: [10.1093/femsre/fuab012](https://doi.org/10.1093/femsre/fuab012)

Hinc, K., Kabała, M., Iwanicki, A., Martirosian, G., Negri, A., and Obuchowski, M. (2021). Complete genome sequence of the newly discovered temperate *Clostridioides difficile* bacteriophage PhiCDKH01 of the family Siphoviridae. *Arch. Virol.* 166, 2305–2310. doi: [10.1007/s00705-021-05092-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00705-021-05092-0)

Hu, J., Ye, H., Wang, S., Wang, J., and Han, D. (2021). Prophage activation in the intestine: insights into functions and possible applications. *Front. Microbiol.* 12:785634. doi: [10.3389/fmicb.2021.785634](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2021.785634)

Johnson, M., Zaretskaya, I., Raytselis, Y., Merezhuk, Y., McGinnis, S., and Madden, T. L. (2008). NCBI BLAST: A Better Web Interface. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 36, W5–W9. doi: [10.1093/nar/gkn201](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkn201)

Jones, P., Binns, D., Chang, H.-Y., Fraser, M., Li, W., McAnulla, C., et al. (2014). InterProScan 5: genome-scale protein function classification. *Bioinformatics* 30, 1236–1240. doi: [10.1093/bioinformatics/btu031](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu031)

Kandell, R. L., and Bernstein, C. (1991). Bile salt/acid induction of DNA damage in bacterial and mammalian cells: implications for Colon Cancer. *Nutr. Cancer* 16, 227–238. doi: [10.1080/01635589109514161](https://doi.org/10.1080/01635589109514161)

Kleiner, M., Bushnell, B., Sanderson, K. E., Hooper, L. V., and Duerkop, B. A. (2020). Transductomics: sequencing-based detection and analysis of transduced DNA in pure cultures and microbial communities. *Microbiome* 8:158. doi: [10.1186/](https://doi.org/10.1186/s40168-020-00935-5) [s40168-020-00935-5](https://doi.org/10.1186/s40168-020-00935-5)

Koonin, E. V., and Makarova, K. S. (2009). CRISPR-Cas: an adaptive immunity system in prokaryotes. *F1000 Biology Reports* 1, 1–6. doi: [10.3410/B1-95](https://doi.org/10.3410/B1-95)

Krogh, S., Jørgensen, S. T., and Devine, K. M. (1998). Lysis genes of the *Bacillus Subtilis* defective prophage PBSX. *J. Bacteriol.* 180, 2110–2117. doi: [10.1128/](https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.180.8.2110-2117.1998) [JB.180.8.2110-2117.1998](https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.180.8.2110-2117.1998)

Kurtz, S., Phillippy, A., Delcher, A. L., Smoot, M., Shumway, M., Antonescu, C., et al. (2004). Versatile and open software for comparing large genomes. *Genome Biol.* 5:R12. doi: [10.1186/gb-2004-5-2-r12](https://doi.org/10.1186/gb-2004-5-2-r12)

la Cruz Fernando, D., and Davies, J. (2000). Horizontal gene transfer and the origin of species: lessons from Bacteria. *Trends Microbiol.* 8, 128–133. doi: [10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0966-842X(00)01703-0) [S0966-842X\(00\)01703-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0966-842X(00)01703-0)

Lang, A. S., Zhaxybayeva, O., and Thomas Beatty, J. (2012). Gene transfer agents: phage-like elements of genetic exchange. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 10, 472–482. doi: [10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro2802) [nrmicro2802](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro2802)

Lao, J., Lacroix, T., Guédon, G., Coluzzi, C., Payot, S., Leblond-Bourget, N., et al. (2022). ICEscreen: a tool to detect Firmicute ICEs and IMEs, isolated or enclosed in composite structures. *NAR Genomics and Bioinformatics* 4:lqac079. doi: [10.1093/nargab/](https://doi.org/10.1093/nargab/lqac079) [lqac079](https://doi.org/10.1093/nargab/lqac079)

Lécuyer, F., Bourassa, J.-S., Gélinas, M., Charron-Lamoureux, V., Burrus, V., and Beauregard, P. B. (2018). Biofilm formation drives transfer of the conjugative element ICE *Bs1* in *Bacillus Subtilis*. *MSphere* 3:e00473. doi: [10.1128/mSphere.00473-18](https://doi.org/10.1128/mSphere.00473-18)

Lewis, B. B., Carter, R. A., Ling, L., Leiner, I., Taur, Y., Kamboj, M., et al. (2017). Pathogenicity locus, Core genome, and accessory gene contributions to *Clostridium Difficile* virulence. *MBio* 8, e00885–e00817. doi: [10.1128/mBio.00885-17](https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.00885-17)

Lindsay, J. A., Ruzin, A., Ross, H. F., Kurepina, N., and Novick, R. P. (1998). The gene for toxic shock toxin is carried by a family of Mobile Pathogenicity Islands in *Staphylococcus Aureus*. *Mol. Microbiol.* 29, 527–543. doi: [10.1046/j.1365-2958.1998.00947.x](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2958.1998.00947.x)

Meessen-Pinard, M., Sekulovic, O., and Fortier, L.-C. (2012). Evidence of *in vivo* prophage induction during *Clostridium Difficile* infection. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 78, 7662–7670. doi: [10.1128/AEM.02275-12](https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02275-12)

Nale, J. Y., Shan, J., Hickenbotham, P. T., Fawley, W. N., Wilcox, M. H., and Clokie, M. R. J. (2012). Diverse temperate bacteriophage carriage in *Clostridium Difficile* 027 strains. *PLoS One* 7:e37263. doi: [10.1371/journal.pone.0037263](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0037263)

Nanda, A. M., Thormann, K., and Frunzke, J. (2015). Impact of spontaneous prophage induction on the fitness of bacterial populations and host-microbe interactions. *J. Bacteriol.* 197, 410–419. doi: [10.1128/JB.02230-14](https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.02230-14)

Niu, Y. D., Cook, S. R., Wang, J., Klima, C. L., Hsu, Y.-h., Kropinski, A. M., et al. (2015). Comparative analysis of multiple inducible phages from *Mannheimia Haemolytica*. *BMC Microbiol.* 15:175. doi: [10.1186/s12866-015-0494-5](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12866-015-0494-5)

Orelle, C., Mathieu, K., and Jault, J.-M. (2019). Multidrug ABC transporters in Bacteria. *Res. Microbiol.* 170, 381–391. doi: [10.1016/j.resmic.2019.06.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resmic.2019.06.001)

Pedersen, Thomas Lin. (2022). "Ggforce: Accelerating 'ggplot2' Title." Available at: [https://github.com/thomasp85/ggforce.](https://github.com/thomasp85/ggforce)

Pfeifer, E., Jorge, A., de Sousa, M., Touchon, M., and Rocha, E. P. C. (2021). Bacteria have numerous distinctive groups of phage-plasmids with conserved phage and variable plasmid gene repertoires. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 49, 2655–2673. doi: [10.1093/nar/gkab064](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkab064)

Pritchard, Leighton. (2014). "PYANI Script." 2014. Available at: [https://github.com/](https://github.com/widdowquinn/pyani) widdowaninn/

Ramírez-Vargas, G., Goh, S., and Rodríguez, C. (2018). The novel phages PhiCD5763 and PhiCD2955 represent two groups of big Plasmidial Siphoviridae phages of *Clostridium Difficile*. *Front. Microbiol.* 9:26. doi: [10.3389/fmicb.2018.00026](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2018.00026)

Rashid, S., Barylski, J., Hargreaves, K., Millard, A., Vinner, G., and Clokie, M. (2016). Two novel Myoviruses from the north of Iraq reveal insights into *Clostridium Difficile* phage diversity and biology. *Viruses* 8:310. doi: [10.3390/v8110310](https://doi.org/10.3390/v8110310)

Ridlon, J. M., Kang, D.-J., and Hylemon, P. B. (2006). Bile salt biotransformations by human intestinal Bacteria. *J. Lipid Res.* 47, 241–259. doi: [10.1194/jlr.R500013-JLR200](https://doi.org/10.1194/jlr.R500013-JLR200)

Roseboom, A. M., Ducarmon, Q. R., Hornung, B. V. H., Harmanus, C., Crobach, M. J. T., Kuijper, E. J., et al. (2023). Carriage of three plasmids in a single human clinical isolate of Clostridioides difficile. *Plasmid* 125:102669. doi: [10.1016/j.plasmid.2022.102669](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plasmid.2022.102669)

RStudio Team. (2020). "RStudio: Integrated Development Environment for R." Available at:<http://www.rstudio.com/>.

Scanlan, P. D., Bischofberger, A. M., and Hall, A. R. (2017). Modification of *Escherichia Coli* –bacteriophage interactions by surfactants and antibiotics *in vitro*. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* 93:fiw211. doi: [10.1093/femsec/fiw211](https://doi.org/10.1093/femsec/fiw211)

Schüler, M. A., Daniel, A., and Poehlein, A. (2023). Novel insights into phage biology of the pathogen clostridioides difficile based on the active virome. *BioRxiv.* doi: [10.1101/2023.09.27.559748](https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.09.27.559748)

Sebaihia, M., Wren, B. W., Mullany, P., Fairweather, N. F., Minton, N., Stabler, R., et al. (2006). The multidrug-resistant human pathogen *Clostridium Difficile* has a highly Mobile, mosaic genome. *Nat. Genet.* 38, 779–786. doi: [10.1038/ng1830](https://doi.org/10.1038/ng1830)

Seed, K. D., Lazinski, D. W., Calderwood, S. B., and Camilli, A. (2013). A bacteriophage encodes its own CRISPR/Cas adaptive response to evade host innate immunity. *Nature* 494, 489–491. doi: [10.1038/nature11927](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11927)

Seemann, T. (2014). Prokka: rapid prokaryotic genome annotation. *Bioinformatics* 30, 2068–2069. doi: [10.1093/bioinformatics/btu153](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu153)

Sekulovic, O., Garneau, J. R., Néron, A., and Fortier, L.-C. (2014). *Clostridium Difficile* isolates of human and animal origins. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 80, 2555–2563. doi: [10.1128/AEM.00237-14](https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.00237-14)

Sekulovic, O., Meessen-Pinard, M., and Fortier, L.-C. (2011). Prophage-stimulated toxin production in *Clostridium Difficile* NAP1/027 Lysogens. *J. Bacteriol.* 193, 2726–2734. doi: [10.1128/JB.00787-10](https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.00787-10)

Smits, W. K., Roseboom, A. M., and Corver, J. (2022). Plasmids of *Clostridioides difficile*. *Curr. Opin. Microbiol.* 65, 87–94. doi: [10.1016/j.mib.2021.10.016](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mib.2021.10.016)

Smits, W. K., Scott Weese, J., Roberts, A. P., Harmanus, C., and Hornung, B. (2018). A helicase-containing module defines a family of PCD630-like plasmids in *Clostridium Difficile*. *Anaerobe* 49, 78–84. doi: [10.1016/j.anaerobe.2017.12.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anaerobe.2017.12.005)

Sorg, J. A., and Sonenshein, A. L. (2008). Bile salts and Glycine as Cogerminants for *Clostridium Difficile* spores. *J. Bacteriol.* 190, 2505–2512. doi: [10.1128/JB.01765-07](https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.01765-07)

Spigaglia, P. (2016). Recent advances in the understanding of antibiotic resistance in *Clostridium Difficile* infection. *Therapeutic Advan. Infectious Dis.* 3, 23–42. doi: [10.1177/2049936115622891](https://doi.org/10.1177/2049936115622891)

Tam, J., Icho, S., Utama, E., Orrell, K. E., Gómez-Biagi, R. F., Theriot, C. M., et al. (2020). Intestinal bile acids directly modulate the structure and function of *C. difficile* TcdB toxin. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 117, 6792–6800. doi: [10.1073/](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1916965117) [pnas.1916965117](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1916965117)

Tamura, K., Stecher, G., and Kumar, S. (2021). MEGA11: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis version 11. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 38, 3022–3027. doi: [10.1093/molbev/msab120](https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msab120)

Team, R Core. (2013). "*R: A language and environment for statistical computing*." Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing.

Thanissery, R., Winston, J. A., and Theriot, C. M. (2017). Inhibition of spore germination, growth, and toxin activity of clinically Relevant *C. difficile* strains by gut microbiota derived secondary bile acids. *Anaerobe* 45, 86–100. doi: [10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anaerobe.2017.03.004) [anaerobe.2017.03.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anaerobe.2017.03.004)

Theriot, C. M., Bowman, A. A., and Young, V. B. (2016). Antibiotic-induced alterations of the gut microbiota Alter secondary bile acid production and allow for *Clostridium Difficile* spore germination and outgrowth in the large intestine. *MSphere* 1, e00045– e00015. doi: [10.1128/mSphere.00045-15](https://doi.org/10.1128/mSphere.00045-15)

Thomas, P., Abdel-Glil, M. Y., Eichhorn, I., Semmler, T., Werckenthin, C., Baumbach, C., et al. (2021). Genome sequence analysis of *Clostridium Chauvoei* strains of European origin and evaluation of typing options for outbreak investigations. *Front. Microbiol.* 12:732106. doi: [10.3389/fmicb.2021.732106](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2021.732106)

Tran, F., and Boedicker, J. Q. (2017). Genetic cargo and bacterial species set the rate of vesicle-mediated horizontal gene transfer. *Sci. Rep.* 7:8813. doi: [10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-07447-7) [s41598-017-07447-7](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-07447-7)

Whittle, M. J., Bilverstone, T. W., van Esveld, R. J., Lücke, A. C., Lister, M. M., Kuehne, S. A., et al. (2022). A novel bacteriophage with broad host range against *Clostridioides difficile* Ribotype 078 supports SlpA as the likely phage receptor. *Microbiology Spectrum* 10:e0229521. doi: [10.1128/spectrum.02295-21](https://doi.org/10.1128/spectrum.02295-21)

Wickham, Hadley. (2016). *Ggplot2: Elegant graphics for data analysis*. Springer-Verlag New York.

Wickham, H., Averick, M., Bryan, J., Chang, W., McGowan, L., François, R., et al. (2019). Welcome to the Tidyverse. *J. Open Source Software* 4:1686. doi: [10.21105/](https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.01686) [joss.01686](https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.01686)

Wishart, D. S., Han, S., Saha, S., Oler, E., Peters, H., Grant, J. R., et al. (2023). PHASTEST: faster than PHASTER, better than PHAST. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 51, W443– W450. doi: [10.1093/nar/gkad382](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkad382)

Zinke, M., Schröder, G. F., and Lange, A. (2022). Major tail proteins of bacteriophages of the order Caudovirales. *J. Biol. Chem.* 298:101472. doi: [10.1016/j.jbc.2021.101472](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbc.2021.101472)