Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Dong Lu, Institute of Modern Physics (CAS), China

REVIEWED BY Lynn George Dover, Northumbria University, United Kingdom Brittany Nairn, Bethel University (Minnesota), United States

*CORRESPONDENCE Phillip E. Klebba ⊠ peklebba@ksu.edu

RECEIVED 13 December 2023 ACCEPTED 23 January 2024 PUBLISHED 27 March 2024

CITATION

Yang T, Zou Y, Ng HL, Kumar A, Newton SM and Klebba PE (2024) Specificity and mechanism of TonB-dependent ferric catecholate uptake by Fiu. *Front. Microbiol.* 15:1355253. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2024.1355253

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Yang, Zou, Ng, Kumar, Newton and Klebba. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Specificity and mechanism of TonB-dependent ferric catecholate uptake by Fiu

Taihao Yang, Ye Zou, Ho Leung Ng, Ashish Kumar, Salete M. Newton and Phillip E. Klebba*

Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biophysics, Kansas State University, Manhattan, KS, United States

We studied the Escherichia coli outer membrane protein Fiu, a presumed transporter of monomeric ferric catecholates, by introducing Cys residues in its surface loops and modifying them with fluorescein maleimide (FM). Fiu-FM bound iron complexes of the tricatecholate siderophore enterobactin (FeEnt) and glucosylated enterobactin (FeGEnt), their dicatecholate degradation product Fe(DHBS)₂ (FeEnt*), the monocatecholates dihydroxybenzoic acid (FeDHBA) and dihydroxybenzoyl serine (FeDHBS), and the siderophore antibiotics cefiderocol (FDC) and MB-1. Unlike high-affinity ligand-gated porins (LGPs), Fiu-FM had only micromolar affinity for iron complexes. Its apparent K_D values for FeDHBS, FeDHBA, FeEnt*, FeEnt, FeGEnt, FeFDC, and FeMB-1 were 0.1, 0.7, 0.7, 1.0, 0.3, 0.4, and 4μ M, respectively. Despite its broad binding abilities, the transport repertoires of E. coli Fiu, as well as those of Cir and FepA, were less broad. Fiu only transported FeEnt*. Cir transported FeEnt* and FeDHBS (weakly); FepA transported FeEnt, FeEnt*, and FeDHBA. Both Cir and FepA bound FeGEnt, albeit with lower affinity. Related transporters of Acinetobacter baumannii (PiuA, PirA, BauA) had similarly moderate affinity and broad specificity for di- or monomeric ferric catecholates. Both microbiological and radioisotopic experiments showed Fiu's exclusive transport of FeEnt*, rather than ferric monocatecholate compounds. Molecular docking and molecular dynamics simulations predicted three binding sites for FeEnt*in the external vestibule of Fiu, and a fourth site deeper in its interior. Alanine scanning mutagenesis in the outermost sites (1a, 1b, and 2) decreased FeEnt* binding affinity as much as 20-fold and reduced or eliminated FeEnt* uptake. Finally, the molecular dynamics simulations suggested a pathway of FeEnt* movement through Fiu that may generally describe the process of metal transport by TonB-dependent receptors.

KEYWORDS

siderophore, catecholate, iron transport, TonB, fluorescent sensor, site-directed mutagenesis, bacterial pathogenesis, Trojan horse antibiotic

Introduction

With few exceptions, terrestrial organisms require iron for growth, but the limited solubility of the predominant aqueous form of iron, ferric oxyhydroxide $[Fe(OH)_{n;}]$, $K_{SP}=2.8 \times 10^{-39}$; Neilands, 1974], obstructs its biological utilization. Consequently, microorganisms produce siderophores (Neilands, 1995) that solubilize Fe³⁺ from its insoluble precipitates, liberating the metal for uptake and incorporation into cellular metabolism. The

10.3389/fmicb.2024.1355253

tricatecholate Gram (-) bacterial siderophore enterobactin (Ent) is an avid iron chelator $[K_A = 10^{52} M^{-1}; Harris et al., 1979]$. Some pathogenic bacteria glucosylate Ent (GEnt; also called salmochelin Hantke et al., 2003) to evade siderocalin (Doneanu et al., 2004; Holmes et al., 2005), an innate immune system protein that tightly binds Ent (but not GEnt) (Kumar et al., 2022) and removes it from circulation. The phenolic chelation groups of Ent and GEnt may oxidize to quinones, and their trilactone scaffolds may hydrolyze to yield mono- and dicatecholates (Ent^{*}). In response to these phenomena, Gram (-)bacteria may utilize both FeEnt and FeGEnt, their dicatecholate hydrolysis product ferric (dihydroxybenzolyserine)₂ (Fe(DHBS)₂; FeEnt*), and monocatecholates (dihydroxybenzoic acid (FeDHBA₃); FeDHBS₃). The E. coli cell envelope transport systems for ferric catecholates encompass outer membrane (OM) receptors (Fiu, FepA, Cir, IroN Klebba et al., 2021), periplasmic binding proteins (FepB Thulasiraman et al., 1998; Sprencel et al., 2000), inner membrane (IM) ABC-transporters FepCDG (Shea and McIntosh, 1991), and Ent hydrolases/membrane reductase activities (Fes, IroD, IroE) (Brickman and McIntosh, 1992; Lin et al., 2005; Zhu et al., 2005; Caza et al., 2015). Monocatecholate Trojan horse siderophore antibiotics (Budzikiewicz, 2001; Wencewicz et al., 2013; Simner and Patel, 2020) enter bacterial cells by the same pathways.

Gram (-) bacterial OM receptors for metal complexes are also called TonB-dependent transporters [TBDT; (Schauer et al., 2008)] or ligand-gated porins [LGPs; (Rutz et al., 1992)]. The initial LGP crystal structures of FepA (Buchanan et al., 1999) and FhuA (Locher et al., 1998) revealed C-terminal, ~650 a.a., 22-stranded, transmembrane β-barrels filled by N-terminal, ~150-a.a. globular domains (Locher et al., 1998; Buchanan et al., 1999; Ferguson et al., 2002; Shultis et al., 2006; Buchanan et al., 2007; Grinter and Lithgow, 2019a). The external loops of LGP β -barrels initiate iron acquisition (Smallwood et al., 2014) by binding particular iron complexes (Klebba et al., 2021; Kumar et al., 2022). The internal N-domain controls the ensuing TonB-dependent stage of ligand passage into the periplasm. TonB (Noinaj et al., 2010) is a cell envelope protein that facilitates active OM iron transport, through connection with electrochemical proton motive force (PMF) across the IM (Bradbeer, 1993; Jordan et al., 2013). TonB anchors in the IM but spans the periplasm to interact with ligand-bound LGP at the periplasmic interface of the OM. Overall, LGPs use PMF, mediated by TonB, to transport ferric siderophores through the OM (Jordan et al., 2013). The structural features of LGP raised the possibility of ligand transport by a "Ball and Chain" mechanism (Armstrong and Bezanilla, 1977), in which the N-terminus dislodges from the channel into the periplasm (Ma et al., 2007). Molecular dynamics simulations suggested that this process may occur by unfolding of the N-terminal globular domain (Faraldo-Gómez et al., 2003; Gumbart et al., 2007), but subsequent experiments concluded that the N-domain of FepA remains within the transmembrane channel and undergoes conformational rearrangements during FeEnt uptake (Majumdar et al., 2020). At present, the molecular mechanism of ferric siderophore movement through OM LGP is an open question.

High-affinity ferric siderophore uptake by TonB-dependent LGP enables Gram-negative bacterial iron acquisition in iron-deficient environments, including within human and animal organs, tissues, fluids, and secretions, where transferrin, siderocalin, and ferritin sequester iron as a defense mechanism (Cornelissen and Sparling, 1994; Abergel et al., 2008; Correnti and Strong, 2012). Consequently, siderophore biosynthetic systems and TonB-dependent ferric siderophore transport systems are determinants of bacterial pathogenesis, including in the ESKAPE organisms (Russo et al., 2002, 2011, 2015): disruption of iron uptake reduces virulence. In *Acinetobacter baumannii*, for example, iron acquisition strongly correlates with bacterial pathogenesis (Gaddy et al., 2012; Penwell et al., 2015; Fleming et al., 2017; Luna et al., 2019; Ramirez et al., 2019; Escalante et al., 2023). Furthermore, orthologs of *E. coli* Fiu and Cir (PiuA and PirA, respectively) were implicated in the uptake of the novel Trojan horse antibiotic cefiderocol (FDC) in both *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (Luscher et al., 2018; Gupta et al., 2022) and *A. baumannii* (Luscher et al., 2018; Malik et al., 2020; Asrat et al., 2023; Sinoke et al., 2023). Siderophore antibiotics use native, TonB-dependent iron uptake pathways for entry into bacterial cells (Miller et al., 1991; Ji et al., 2012; Miller and Liu, 2021).

Fiu [Ferric iron uptake; (Hantke, 1983)] is an LGP (Grinter and Lithgow, 2019a) that was implicated in the uptake of ferric monocatecholates (Curtis et al., 1988; Hantke, 1990; Nikaido and Rosenberg, 1990). It occurs in commensal E. coli and in clinically relevant members of Enterobacterales, such as pathogenic E. coli, Klebsiella pneumoniae, and A. baumannii (Klebba et al., 2021). While E. coli FepA (EcoFepA) was originally identified as the cognate receptor for FeEnt, Fiu and Cir were proposed to transport ferric monocatecholates, such as FeDHBS and FeDHBA (Hantke, 1990). Other studies showed Fiu-mediated uptake of catecholate siderophore antibiotics (Curtis et al., 1988; Nikaido and Rosenberg, 1990). However, previous research did not define Fiu's ligand preferences, binding affinities, nor the mechanism by which it captures and internalizes ligands. In this report, we characterized the selectivities of known and putative OM catecholate receptors of E. coli (Fiu, EcoFepA, and Cir) and A. baumannii (PiuA, AbaFepA, PirA, and BauA), for different catecholate iron complexes, using sensitive fluorescent technology to monitor their binding reactions (Hanson et al., 2016; Nairn et al., 2017; Chakravorty et al., 2019; Kumar et al., 2022). Fiu-FM bound FeEnt, FeGEnt, FeEnt*, FeDHBS, FeDHBA, FeFDC, and FeMB-1. The fluorescent constructs defined the hierarchy of Fiu binding affinities among these iron complexes: FeDHBS ($K_D = \sim 0.1 \,\mu$ M); FeGEnt ($K_D = 0.34 \,\mu$ M); FeEnt* $(K_D = 0.7 \mu M)$; FeDHBA $(K_D = 0.7 \mu M)$; FeEnt $(K_D = 0.83 \mu M)$. However, despite its broad binding activity, Fiu only catalyzed the uptake of FeEnt*, the dicatecholate degradation product of FeEnt: Fiu did not transport FeDHBA, FeDHBS, FeEnt, or FeGEnt. Finally, in silico docking experiments and molecular dynamic simulations found 3 FeEnt* binding sites in Fiu's vestibule and a fourth site deeper in its interior. We used Ala scanning mutagenesis to study the outer sites and identified residues in each location that affected the binding and transport of FeEnt*. Double mutations involving R142A in site 2 created a 20-fold decrease in overall binding affinity and abrogated FeEnt* uptake. Overall, the analysis explained the pathways of ferric catecholate uptake in E. coli and A. baumannii and provided insight into the general mechanism of metal transport through TonB-dependent LGP.

Results

Purification and characterization of natural ferric catecholate siderophores

We purified catecholate ferric siderophores from the pathogenic *E. coli* strain CP9. After culturing it to late log phase in iron-deficient T-media (McIntosh and Earhart, 1976), we clarified the spent culture supernatant by centrifugation, added FeCl₃ to form ferric siderophores,

and purified them by ion exchange, gel filtration, and hydrophobic chromatography (Sephadex LH20), which segregated three distinctly colored red or purple fractions (Supplementary Figure S1; Kumar et al., 2022). The third peak was FeEnt, as identified by its absorption maximum at 495 nm, and by mass spectrometry, which showed a peak at 729.6 m/z (Supplementary Figure S1), in close correspondence to the mass of FeEnt (MW = 719). FeEnt is chemically labile: in aqueous buffers, it degrades over days or weeks, even on ice. As FeEnt storage time passed, the amount of peak 3 decreased and peak 2 increased, consistent with peak 2 as a degradation product of FeEnt. Hence, we designated the 2nd LH20 fraction as FeEnt*. It had an absorption maximum at 503 nm, and mass spectrometry defined it as 554.6 m/z, near the mass of $Fe(DHBS)_2$ (MW = 518.4). Four catechol oxygens complex iron (III) in dimeric FeEnt*; in the hexacoordinate ferric complex, two more oxygens may derive from water molecules. When included in mass calculations of FeEnt* [i.e., Fe(DHBS)₂·2H₂O], the water molecules raised its mass to 554.4, which matched the mass spectrometric peak at 554.6 m/z. We also characterized the recognition of FeGEnt by Fiu. The glucosylated ferric catecholate does not adsorb well to siderocalin (Kumar et al., 2022), which promotes the pathogenesis of clinical isolates of E. coli, K. pneumoniae, and Salmonella typhimurium in human and animal hosts (Russo et al., 2011, 2015). We purified FeGEnt from E. coli CP9. It comprised the rapidly migrating first peak from LH20, with an extinction maximum at 510 nm and an MS value of 1076.3 m/z, consistent with its predicted molecular mass of 1077.5. Although the peak fraction containing FeEnt* was nearly homogeneous, the fractions containing FeEnt and FeGEnt contained other chemical species, slightly different in mass. This result underscores the chemical lability of FeEnt/FeGEnt and/or their susceptibility to cellular degradative processes during biosynthesis (Lin et al., 2005). Nevertheless, the FeEnt peak fractions did not contain FeEnt*, and the FeGEnt peak fractions did not contain FeEnt. Overall, the procedures yielded FeEnt, FeEnt*, and FeGEnt for use in binding and uptake experiments.

Siderophore nutrition tests of ferric catecholate transport by Fiu

Previous reports of ferric monocatecholate uptake by Fiu (Hantke, 1990; Nikaido and Rosenberg, 1990) suggested that it may transport the dicatecholate degradation product, FeEnt*. To test this idea, we compared isogenic chromosomal mutants of BN1071 (Klebba, 1981; Klebba et al., 1982) lacking (Ma et al., 2007) FepA (OKN3: fiu^+ , $\Delta fepA$; cir^+), Cir (OKN5; fiu^+ $fepA^{+}$: Δcir), or Fiu (OKN9: $\Delta fiu fepA^+$; *cir*⁺) to the wild-type and the triple deletion mutant (OKN359: $\Delta fiu/\Delta fepA/\Delta cir$). We also expressed chromosomal Fiu as sole catecholate-specific LGP (OKN35: fiu+ Δ *fepA*; Δ *cir*) and from the low-copy vector pHSG575 in OKN359. With these and other test strains, we screened the catecholate ferric siderophores FeEnt, FeEnt*, FeGEnt, FeDHBS, and FeDHBA in nutrition tests (Figure 1A; Table 1) that revealed a variety of uptake pathways. Strains that exclusively expressed Fiu grew around disks containing FeEnt*, with halos that were comparable in size and density to those produced by wild-type E. coli. In addition to definitive growth around disks containing FeEnt*, Fiu+ strains showed very faint, almost imperceptible growth around FeEnt, but no growth around FeDHBA nor FeDHBS. Cells expressing FepA, on the other hand, acquired FeEnt, FeEnt*, and FeDHBA (confirming that the assay functioned properly with FeDHBA). These data demonstrated the uptake specificity of Fiu for FeEnt* but not the ferric monocatecholates. Bacteria singly expressing Fiu, FepA, or Cir all utilized FeEnt*, revealing three uptake pathways for the ferric dicatecholate degradation product. In summary, Fiu transported FeEnt*, very weakly transported FeEnt, but did not utilize FeDHBS nor FeDHBA. Unfortunately, nutrition tests were uninformative for FeGEnt, which produced identical small halos on all the test strains, including E. coli BN1071 (Fiu+, FepA+, Cir+) and OKN359 $(\Delta fiu/\Delta fepA/\Delta cir)$. Hence, the assay did not provide evidence of specific FeGEnt uptake by any of the ferric catecholate LGP. However, the uptake of FeGEnt requires not only an OM receptor but also the iro gene cluster, that encodes the enterobactin trilactone hydrolases IroD and IroE (Lin et al., 2005). They are present in S. enterica and certain pathogenic E. coli such as uropathogenic E. coli 563 (Hantke et al., 2003), but the laboratory E. coli host strains we employed lack the iro system needed for the transport of FeGEnt.

Bacteria expressing Fiu or Cir were highly susceptible to the iron complex of cefiderocol (FDC; Figure 1), which only requires OM transport to achieve bacteriocidal activity in the periplasm. Ferric siderophores, on the other hand, require both OM and IM transport for growth stimulation. Therefore, aside from revealing OM transport, the large FeFDC killing zones are not relatable to the sizes of siderophore nutrition test halos.

Radioisotopic measurements of ferric siderophore uptake

Radioisotopic iron accumulation measurements (Figure 1B) confirmed the preference of EcoFiu for FeEnt* that was observed in microbiological assays. The left panel in Figure 1 shows the uptake of $[^{59}Fe]Ent$ by FepA, a high affinity (K_D=0.3 nM; Newton et al., 1999), specific process. Consequently, background uptake is negligible when we assayed FepA-deficient cells with nanomolar levels of FeEnt. The right panel, on the other hand, shows the uptake of [59Fe]Ent*, a lower affinity ($K_D = 0.74 \mu M$; Figure 2) process that is accomplished by Fiu, FepA, or Cir (Figures 1A, 2). The background of FeEnt* uptake by OKN359 (Δfiu , $\Delta fepA$, Δcir) was higher, suggesting either non-specific adsorption of FeEnt*, or the presence of another, as yet unidentified uptake pathway. Nevertheless, bacteria expressing Fiu accumulated FeEnt* to levels that were 2-3 times higher than the background, confirming its ability to transport the degradation product. In summary, the wild-type parental strain BN1071, which does not produce any siderophores (entA) but expresses Fiu, FepA, and Cir, accumulated both [59Fe]Ent and [59Fe]Ent*, whereas two strains that expressed Fiu as their sole catecholate-specific LGP, OKN35 and OKN359/pEcoFiu (fiu⁺), acquired only [⁵⁹Fe]Ent^{*} but not [⁵⁹Fe]Ent. These data reiterated the siderophore nutrition test results that EcoFiu transported FeEnt* but not FeEnt.

Fluorescence spectroscopic measurements of receptor-ligand binding

To monitor the adsorption of ligands by EcoFiu, we engineered single Cys substitutions in its surface loops and alkylated them with FM (Supplementary Figure S2). When LGPs bind iron complexes, attached fluorophores may undergo concentration-dependent quenching, from



FIGURE 1

Uptake of ferric catecholates by Fiu, FepA, and Cir. (A) Siderophore nutrition tests. We compared strains that selectively expressed Fiu, FepA, and Cir by siderophore nutrition tests (Wayne et al., 1976), and for susceptibility to siderophore antibiotics (FeFDC or FeMB-1), by applying 10 μ L of 100 μ M solution of test compound to a paper disk on the agar. After overnight incubation at 37°C, we measured the diameter of the growth or killing halos of the siderophore or antibiotic, respectively, with a ruler. (B) ⁵⁹Fe accumulation assays. We grew bacteria expressing Fiu, FepA, and Cir, or isogenic derivatives that only expressed Fiu, in MOPS minimal media, added 10 μ M (⁵⁹Fe)Ent or (⁵⁹Fe)Ent* at *t* = 0, and collected and filtered aliquots at sequential times. We measured the radioactivity retained on the filters to evaluate the uptake of ferric siderophores. Error bars represent the standard deviations of the means of three independent trials.

Siderophore	BN1071 ++ Fiu ⁺ , FepA ⁺ , Cir ⁺	OKN359 <i>Afiu, AfepA, Acir</i> Fiu ⁻ , FepA ⁻ , Cir ⁻	OKN9 <i>∆fiu</i> Fiu⁻, FepA⁺, Cir⁺	OKN35 <i>AfepA, Acir</i> Fiu ⁺ , FepA ⁻ , Cir ⁻	OKN359/pFiu Fiu ⁺ , FepA ⁻ , Cir-	OKN359/pFepA Fiu ⁻ , FepA ⁺ , Cir-	OKN359/pCir Fiu ⁻ , FepA ⁻ , Cir ⁺
FeEnt	27 ± 0.5	0	27 ± 1.3	15 ± 0.7	14 ± 0.5	26 ± 2	11 ± 0.5
FeEnt*	23 ± 0.9	0	23 ± 0.8	24 ± 2	25 ± 2.5	24 ± 0.9	21 ± 0.2
FeGEnt	8.5 ± 0.1	8.5 ± 0.1	8.5 ± 0.1	8.5 ± 0.1	8.5 ± 0.1	8.5 ± 0.1	12 ± 0.1
FeDHBA	18 ± 0.1	0	18 ± 0.1	0	0	18 ± 0.1	12 ± 0.1
FeDHBS	0	0	0	0	0	0	12 ± 0.1
FeFDC	37 ± 2	0	32 ± 3	32 ± 2	30 ± 0.7	0	31 ± 3
FeMB-1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
he values are diameters of	growth or killing halos (measured w	rith a ruler) around paper disks conta	iining 100 μM of catecholate ferric si	iderophores or 50 µM siderophore	antibiotics after incubation at 3	⁷⁰ C for 24h.	

(Payne et al., 1997). Plots of fluorescence intensity versus [ligand] reveal binding affinity. Based on the Fiu crystal structure (Grinter and Lithgow, 2019a), we introduced substitutions S347C, T414C, S555C, and A694C in EcoFiu (Supplementary Figure S2). All the Cys mutants were active in siderophore nutrition tests with FeEnt* (data not shown). When we assessed the fluoresceination of the mutants in living bacterial cells, Fiu_A694C-FM showed the best labeling, so we used it to monitor the binding of different ligands. Titrations of OKN1359/pFiu_A694C-FM with increasing amounts of FeEnt, FeEnt*, FeGEnt, FeDHBA, FeDHBS, FeFDC, or FeMB-1 yielded K_D values for the binding interactions (Figure 2; Table 2). This fluorescent sensor methodology may also be used for rapid, stopped-flow measurements that resolve the different binding stages (Smallwood et al., 2014). However, the affinity determinations that we report herein yielded the overall affinity of the binding reaction at equilibrium, in the absence of transport (i.e., in TonBdeficient host strains).

direct contact between the ligand and the fluorophore, or conformational changes that relocate the fluor, energy transfer, or other mechanisms

Escherichia coli ferric catecholate receptors

Fiu_A694C-FM differentiated ferric catecholate siderophores with the following order of affinities: FeDHBS ($K_D = 0.1 \mu M$) > FeGEnt ($K_D = 0.34 \mu M$) > FeFDC ($K_D = 0.4 \mu M$) > FeEnt* ($K_D = 0.74 \mu M$) > FeEnt ($K_D = 1 \mu M$) > FeMB-1 ($K_D = 4 \mu M$). We did not observe significant quenching at site A694C-FM from the addition of FeDHBA, but Fiu_ N554C-FM, which is closer to the binding pocket (as computed by our docking study; see below), was quenched by FeDHBA ($K_D = 0.7 \mu M$). The defining aspect of Fiu's binding activity was its ~1,000-fold lower affinity (micromolar K_D values) for any of the ferric catecholates, relative to the high affinity of EcoFepA (nanomolar K_D values) for FeEnt, FeEnt*, and FeGEnt (Figure 2).

Acinetobacter baumannii ferric catecholate receptors

The susceptibility of *A. baumannii* to FeFDC (Zhanel et al., 2019; Delgado-Valverde et al., 2020; McCreary et al., 2021; Le et al., 2022) led us to investigate the recognition preferences of PiuA, AbaFepA, PirA, and BauA. The fluorescence spectroscopic binding determinations showed that the ligand preferences and affinities of these receptors for various ferric catecholate iron complexes were generally similar to those of their *E. coli* counterparts, with some exceptions.

AbaFepA

The first difference was that AbaFepA did not show the same high affinity for its ligand(s) that defines EcoFepA (48% sequence identity) and its close orthologs [i.e., KpnFepA and KpnIroN (Kumar et al., 2022)]. The ~10 nM K_D value of AbaFepA for FeEnt indicated ~100-fold lower avidity for FeEnt than that of EcoFepA (0.1–0.3 nM; Newton et al., 1999; Chakravorty et al., 2019). Additionally, AbaFepA bound both FeEnt and FeEnt* with roughly the same affinity, whereas Eco FepA showed a strong preference (~100-fold) for FeEnt.

TABLE 1 Siderophore nutrition and antibiotic susceptibility tests



Ferric catecholate receptor binding titrations. We determined binding affinities (see Supplementary Figure S2), by titrating OKN1359 harboring plasmids expressing the noted Cys mutant receptors with ferric catecholates and plotting the concentration dependence of the ensuing fluorescence quenching. For each trial, after measuring each data point in triplicate, we calculated the mean fluorescence quenching and its standard deviation and then plotted $1-F/F_0$ versus ligand concentration. Data points represent the mean of the triplicate values, with associated standard deviations for trials with FeEnt, FeEnt*, and FeFDC. We fit the data to the 1-site with a background binding model of GraFit 6.0.12 that yielded the titration curves and resulting K_D values (see Table 2). The data depict a hierarchy of affinities among the different receptors for tri-, di-, and monocatecholate iron complexes. Relative to the prototypic high-affinity receptors EcoFepA and AbaFepA (Kumar et al., 2022), Fiu, Cir, and their orthologs/paralogs in *A. baumannii* show much lower affinity, focused on ferric di- and monocatecholates. The figure also illustrates the ability of the sensor library to monitor affinities over a 7-log range of concentrations, from sub-nanomolar to millimolar concentrations.

PiuA

PirA

As noted above, Fiu bound FeEnt and FeEnt* with micromolar affinities that were 100- to 1,000-fold weaker than the nanomolar affinity of EcoFepA for FeEnt. The *A. baumannii* ortholog of Fiu, PiuA (31% sequence identity), similarly adsorbed FeEnt and FeEnt* with lower (~1,000-fold) affinity than AbaFepA. Furthermore, overall, the affinity of PiuA for FeEnt and FeEnt* was ~10-fold lower than that of Fiu.

Although they are 37% identical, the ligand selectivities of Cir and PirA differed in a few ways. The former preferentially bound FeEnt* and FeDHBS better than FeEnt, whereas the latter had comparable affinities for FeEnt, FeEnt*, FeDHBA, and FeFDC. Cir did not adsorb FeDHBA. Its most distinguishing attribute was its comparatively high affinity (K_D =60 nM) for FeFDC (Figure 2; Table 2).

TABLE 2 E. coli and A	. baumannii ferrio	catecholate receptor	affinities (ηM^1 or μM).
-----------------------	--------------------	----------------------	---------------------------------------

	Siderophore							
Sensor	FeEnt	FeEnt*	FeGEnt	FeDHBA	FeDHBS	FeFDC	FeMB-1	FeAgn
EcoFepA	0.4 ± 0.04^{1}	36 ± 9^1	0.1 ± 0.04	NB	1.6 ± 0.3	NB	37 ± 11	NB
EcoFiu	1 ± 0.1	0.7 ± 0.07	0.34 ± 0.04	0.7 ± 0.1	90 ± 0.2^1	0.40 ± 0.5	4.1 ± 0.5	NB
EcoCir	121 ± 31	7.3 ± 0.4	NB	NB	7.2 ± 0.9	63 ± 6^1	18 ± 5	NB
AbaFepA	20 ± 5^{1}	10 ± 3^{1}	19 ± 5^{1}	2.3 ± 0.4	0.3 ± 0.7	0.3 ± 0.07	3.9 ± 1.4	NB
AbaPiuA	5.3 ± 1.5	2.5 ± 0.9	NB	15 ± 3	NB	388 ± 247	51 ± 15	NB
AbaPirA	9.5 ± 1.5	12.5 ± 4	NB	9.4 ± 0.9	161 ± 40	6.2 ± 2.3	178 ± 61	NB
AbaBauA	NB	NB	NB	NB	NB	642 ± 451	31 ± 6	2.1 ± 0.4

The binding titrations in Figure 2 were fitted to a 1-site with background binding model using GraFit 6.012, that yielded the tabulated apparent K_D values and the associated standard errors of the fitted curves. NB, no binding. A preliminary report of some of these affinities appeared in Kumar et al. (2022).

Recognition of FeFDC

Among the *E. coli* receptors, Cir and Fiu showed the best recognition of FeFDC (K_D values of O.06 and 0.5 uM, respectively; Figure 2; Table 2). The orthologs of *A. baumannii* bound FeFDC with lower affinity: AbaFepA ($K_D \sim 0.5$ uM), PirA ($K_D \sim 5$ uM), PiuA, and BauA ($K_D > 100$ uM). Unexpectedly, both Cir and PirA preferentially recognized FeFDC and bound it more avidly than any other iron complex. BauA's natural ligand is the mixed catecholate/hydroxamate ferric acinetobactin (FeAcn), but it still showed weak affinity for FeFDC and FeMB-1 (Figure 2; Table 2). In summary, the fluorescent binding titrations revealed both similarities and differences in the hierarchies of ferric catecholate recognition by the LGP of *E. coli* and *A. baumannii* that were consistent with the susceptibility of both organisms to FDC.

In silico predictions of ligand binding sites and molecular dynamics (MD) simulations

Using AutoDock Vina (Trott and Olson, 2010; Eberhardt et al., 2021), we identified potential FeEnt* binding sites in E. coli Fiu (PDB 6BPM). This initial analysis found three binding sites: two surrounded by surface loops 6, 7, 8, and 9, and a third site deeper in the Fiu structure (Figure 3), in the interior of the β -barrel. Site 1 was situated in a vestibule cavity (Grinter and Lithgow, 2019a) bounded by the N-domain loop and external loops 7, 8, and 9. Site 2, located more centrally in the vestibule, adjoins the N-domain loop, beneath external loop 6. Site 3 sits beneath L3 and atop β -strands 5 and 6. AutoDock Vina identified the top 9 binding poses in each of the three sites, and for each site we chose the one with the highest score for further analysis (Figure 3). Another AutoDock Vina simulation using Fiu and FeDHBA (that Fiu does not transport) found two binding sites within Fiu that involved different residues and different ligand binding configurations from those that we identified (see Discussion section). The large internal cavity in Fiu, which houses site 3, was also apparent in its structural model (Grinter and Lithgow, 2019a).

After the initial identification of binding sites by AutoDock Vina, we used its resulting docked model as the starting point for MD simulations that assessed the participation of individual amino acids in sites 1 and 2 during ligand recognition. Over a duration of 500 ns, the binding interactions in site 1 were dynamic and variable, encompassing numerous positions of the iron complex, that bifurcated site 1 into sites 1a and 1b (see below) (Figure 3A). FeEnt* initially entered site 1a, near the conjunction of the first N-domain loop (NL1) and surface loop 9 (L9) of the β -barrel. The model predicted that in Site 1a, the negatively charged (-2), aromatic iron center of FeEnt* interacted with F105 (π - π stacking), D124 (H-bond), and K739 (ionic and cation- π bonds). In site 1a, FeEnt*was in proximity to NL1 (residues 119–123), L8 (residues 593–596), and L9 (residues 655–658) (Figure 3B). The siderophore's four catecholate oxygens associated with Fe³⁺, along with two oxygens from extrinsic water molecules, creating an overall hexacoordinate metal complex (Figure 3).

After initial adsorption in site 1a, FeEnt* moved to site 1b, a binding pocket formed by residues 123-127 (NL1), 165-167 (NL2), and 538-544 (L7), wherein it hydrogen bonded to D124, N127, and N543. FeEnt* next occupied site 2, adjacent to and slightly beneath site 1, near the conjunction of the N-domain loop and L6. In site 2, the binding contacts were more stable. The simulations predicted interactions with F105 (π-π stacking), S126, N127, S139 (H-bonds), and K739 (cation-π interaction). The basic side chain of R142 was highly dynamic and only 4.3-9Å away from the catecholate siderophore during the 500 ns simulation experiment. R142 may form a cation- π bond with the electron-rich aromatic ring, an ionic bond, or both, as the negatively charged ferric siderophore moves deeper in the beta-barrel. Residues 106-107 and 111-113 in NL1 were also in close contact with the ligand. When FeEnt* occupied binding site 2, it H-bonded to the amide nitrogen of E108, whose γ -carboxyl neared ionic bond distance to R486 in L6, that closed over the bound ligand (see below). Together with D124, E108 may play a key role in the translocalization of ligands from site 1a to site 1b and finally to site 2.

The movement of ligand from 1a to 1b occurred through two transition states (Supplementary Figure S4). Site 1a is ~10 Å removed from site 1b; the translocalization of FeEnt* between these sites is perhaps more accurately described as an induced fit of Fiu to capture the ferric siderophore from the environment.

Open and closed conformations of Fiu

In addition to the predictions of FeEnt* binding sites, the MD simulations showed several configurations of surface loop 6 during the



FIGURE 3

In silico docking/MD binding simulation. (A) AutoDock Vina Tool 1.5.6. paired Fiu (PDB 6BPM) and FeEnt*, to identify three outer binding sites (1a, 1b, and 2), and a fourth (site 3) deeper in the interior, within which the ferric siderophore is colored gold, spring green, orchid, and sky blue, respectively. We used the resulting docked model as the starting point for MD simulations that assessed the participation of individual amino acids in sites 1 and 2 during ligand recognition. In (A), the terminal 11 β -strands of the barrel were rendered transparent to reveal the ligand's positions. (B) A 90 degree rotation on the x-axis shows a surface view of the receptor, and the binding positions of FeEnt*. The first N-domain (dark red) loop (NL1) bifurcates the interior cavity. We fluoresceinated the engineered residues N554C (cyan) near site 1 and A546C (cyan) near site 2 to study the binding reaction. (C–F) Detailed interactions of FeEnt* in sites 1a, 1b, 2, and 3, respectively (see text for detailed explanations).



binding reaction (Figure 4). L6 was conspicuously open in sites 1a and 1b, but as FeEnt* progressed to site 2, L6 closed over the metal complex, with residue R486 dynamically moving toward N111, N544, or bound FeEnt*. The interactions between R486 in L6 and E108 in NL1 were particularly noteworthy because in site 2 the guanidino moiety of the R486 side chain approached the carboxylate of E108 to a distance of 5-6 Å, near salt bridge proximity. Grinter and Lithgow (2019a) reported two conformations of Fiu in the absence of a ligand that involved different forms of the N-domain loop. The changing surface loop configurations that we observed during the simulated Fiu-FeEnt* binding reaction were different, in that they encompassed the motion of L6 during ligand binding. These MD results are the first indication of loop motion in Fiu to enclose FeEnt*. However, in this respect, Fiu mimics the induced fit binding mechanisms of FepA (Scott et al., 2002; Smallwood et al., 2014) and FecA (Ferguson et al., 2002) as they close around their iron complexes. Hence, dynamic motion to capture ligands by induced fit may be a general property of LGP binding reactions.

Biochemical analysis of predicted binding sites in Fiu

Using fiu^+ in the low-copy vector pITS23, we introduced Cys substitutions in L7 for fluoresceination, near binding sites 1a and 1b (FiuA546C) and 2 (FiuN554C). We then combined the Cys mutants with single or double Ala substitutions for residues of interest in sites 1 and 2. Our biochemical analyzes focused on sites 1a and 2 because site 3, deeper in the interior, was too distant from the L7 surface-localized fluorophores to permit analysis of its component residues by this approach. After confirmation of the mutations in sites 1 and 2 by DNA sequencing, we transformed the plasmids into *E. coli* OKN359 for evaluation in

siderophore nutrition tests and into OKN1359 (ΔtonB, Δfiu, ΔfepA, Δcir) for fluorescent labeling and binding affinity determinations. We grew the resulting strains in iron-deficient MOPS media and subjected their engineered Cys side chains to chemical modification with FM. Fluorescence image analysis of SDS-PAGE gels of OM fractions from the mutants showed that all were expressed at or near wild-type levels and were well-labeled by FM (Supplementary Figure S3). The concentrationdependent fluorescence quenching of each construct by FeEnt* defined the binding affinities of the Fiu mutants. The experiments first showed that the Cys mutations near the EcoFiu binding pockets did not hinder the adsorption of FeEnt* (Table 3). Next, the individual Ala substitutions in binding site 1a behaved much like wild-type Fiu: They had slightly (~2-fold) lower affinity for FeEnt* than the positive control, N554C $(K_D=0. 9\mu M)$: F105A $(K_D=1.2\mu M)$; E108A $(K_D=1.7\mu M)$; D124A $(K_D = 2.5 \,\mu\text{M})$; K739A $(K_D = 1.8 \,\mu\text{M})$. The single mutants in binding site 2, however, were more impaired relative to the positive control A546C ($K_D = 1 \mu M$). R142A showed the lowest affinity of FeEnt* binding $(K_D = 2.7 \mu M)$ of any single mutant. Other mutations in binding site 2 had smaller effects: S126A ($K_D = 1.9 \mu M$), N127A ($K_D = 1.6 \mu M$), S139A $(K_D = 1.7 \,\mu\text{M})$, and Q335A $(K_D = 1.9 \,\mu\text{M})$.

Although single Ala substitutions in a multi-determinant binding pocket may only show small impacts on functionality, double substitutions for participant side chains often create larger reductions in ligand binding (Newton et al., 1997). Hence, we generated several double Ala substitutions, that combined single mutations in site 1a (F105A, E108A, D124A, K739A) with R142A, which created the most noticeable effects in site 2. After verifying the correct expression and fluorescence labeling of the double mutants (Supplementary Figure S3), we measured their binding affinities by fluorescence quenching assays. All four constructs had impaired binding relative to the positive controls and the single K739-R142

D124-R142

E108-R142

F105-R142

Q335 2 ± 0.1 25 ± 0.7

S139 1.7 ± 0.1

N127 1.6 ± 0.1 27 ± 0.7

S126

K739 2 ± 0.1

<u>Single</u> Ala mutants<u>: Site 1</u>

Single Ala mutants: Site 2

Double Ala mutants

 19 ± 2

 9 ± 0.6

 6 ± 0.1

 8 ± 1

 2.7 ± 0.1 R142

 1.9 ± 0.1

 2.5 ± 0.1 D124

 1.7 ± 0.2 E108

 1.2 ± 0.1 F105

 1.0 ± 0.1 S546

 0.9 ± 0.2 **1554**

> Affinity^a Uptake^b

 8 ± 0.1

 26 ± 0.7

 26 ± 0.7

С

C

C

 16 ± 0.7

 26 ± 0.5

 27 ± 1.4

 28 ± 0.1 Ð

Nutrition

Tests^c

C

genetically engineered. Cys sulfhydryl near predicted binding sites. ND: no data for wild-type Fiu that were not labeled by FM. OKN359 harboring pHSG575 derivatives carrying fut⁴ or its mutant alleles was grown in NB and plated on NB plates. 10 uL of 100 µM FeEnt* were applied to a paper disk on the agar, and the plates were incubated overnight at 37°C. Diameters (mm) of growth halos in siderophore nutrition tests with 10 µL of 100 µM FeEnt* applied to a paper disk on NB agar containing 100 µM apoferrichrome A, after incubation at 37°C for 24h. Apparent K_D values (μM) for the binding reaction with FeEnt^{*}, determined by quenching titrations of fluorescently labeled,

mutants, with a 7- to 22-fold increase in K_D. The greatest decrease in affinity (22-fold) was from K739A-R142A (Figure 5; Table 3).

Effects of Ala substitutions on FeEnt* uptake

In addition to binding affinity titrations, we assessed the transport efficiencies of the Ala substitution mutants with siderophore nutrition tests. The experiments further illustrated the contributions of residues in sites 1a and 2. For example, despite the fact that none of the four single substitutions in site 1a substantially changed binding affinity (\leq 2-fold), three of those single mutants (E108A, D124A, and K739A) abolished the transport of FeEnt*. Even at 100 µM, FeEnt* did not produce growth halos on the lawns of these mutants. Similarly, F105A, also in site 1a, showed weaker growth than the wild-type control. The outcomes were similar but different in site 2. As in site 1 the single Ala substitutions only slightly decreased binding affinity (2- to 3-fold), and most had little impact on FeEnt* uptake: neither S126A, N127A, S139A, nor Q335A reduced growth on FeEnt* relative to the positive control FiuN554C. Only R142A in site 2 severely diminished the halo around FeEnt*. Overall, these data showed that changes in either predicted site could disrupt FeEnt* transport. Analysis of the double Ala substitution mutants supported this interpretation because all of the four site 1a-site 2 double mutants were completely defective in FeEnt* uptake. Thus, Ala substitutions in either site 1a or site 2 affected FeEnt* recognition, binding, and uptake.

Discussion

Acquisition of ferric catecholates

The findings clarify the transport attributes of E. coli Fiu, that was initially thought to be responsible for the uptake of FeDHBS, a monocatecholate degradation product of FeEnt (Hantke, 1990). Our results indicate that although Fiu adsorbs FeDHBA, FeDHBS, FeFDC, and FeMB-1, ferric monocatecholates are not its primary transport target. Rather, Fiu preferentially transported the dicatecholate degradation product, FeEnt*. Among numerous ferric catecholates that we tested, Fiu only transported FeEnt* and the siderophore antibiotic FeFDC. Cir had identical ligand specificity for FeEnt* and FeFDC. Aside from the initial reports of its uptake of FeDHBS (Hantke, 1990) and catecholate-β-lactam antibiotics (Nikaido and Rosenberg, 1990), the transport specificities of Cir were largely unexplored. Our data confirm its transport of FeDHBS and monocatecholate antibiotics (FeFDC); our findings additionally demonstrate, for the first time, its recognition and transport of FeEnt*. Despite its highest affinity and specificity for FeEnt, FepA also bound and transported both FeEnt* and FeDHBA, further illustrating the importance of these degradation products to overall iron acquisition. However, cells expressing only FepA were not susceptible to the monocatecholate FeFDC. These preferences of the three chromosomal ferric catecholate receptors of E. coli strategically balance to accommodate the tendency of FeEnt to decompose/degrade from the susceptibility of its lactone backbone to acid or base, or cellular hydrolases that cleave the lactone ring. The latter process sequentially produces linear trimeric and then dimeric ferric catecholate species that FepA, Fiu, and Cir recognize and transport. FepA is most important in the overall cell envelope transport system, because its higher affinity for both FeEnt and FeEnt* allows their

ABLE 3 Binding and uptake of FeEnt* by Fiu and its Cys or Ala substitution mutants.

Cys mutants

fiu+

Mutation

10



uptake at even nanomolar concentrations. Fiu and Cir, on the other hand, add additional iron transport capability when ferric catecholates are present at much higher, micromolar levels.

Regarding the ferric catecholate recognition specificities of *A. baumannii*, relative to *E. coli*, genomic annotations from sequence identities among the various receptors generally agreed with their biochemical activities. That is, AbaFepA was most like EcoFepA, in sequence identity and its specificity for FeEnt, etc., with one exception: PirA was more identical to EcoFepA (56%) and AbaFepA (53%) than to Cir (36%), its purported ortholog (Supplementary Figure S6). This higher level of sequence identity between PirA and EcoFepA or AbaFepA inferred that its true ligand is FeEnt. However, the fluorescent binding titrations did not support this prediction: PirA showed no selectivity for FeEnt, but instead, similar micromolar affinity for FeEnt, FeEnt*, FeDHBA, and FeDHBS. Its affinity for FeEnt was 10⁵- and 10³-fold lower than that of EcoFepA and AbaFepA, respectively.

Biological relevance of Fiu, Cir, and FepA specificities

In response to iron deprivation, Fiu, FepA, and Cir are over-expressed to a level that is 10- to 20-fold higher than in iron-replete media, and the kinetics of their de-repression are virtually identical (Klebba et al., 1982). This coordinate, Fur-regulated enhancement of the three ferric catecholate transporters accompanies and mimics the Fur-regulated overproduction of Ent that also ensues from iron deprivation. The synchronous regulation of the Ent biosynthetic and the FeEnt/FeEnt* uptake systems concurs with our finding that all three receptors participate in the acquisition of FeEnt or its degradation products. However, despite their similar patterns of overproduction during low-iron stress, the different affinities of the three ferric catecholate receptors dictate their transport physiology: Only FepA (K_D^{FeEnt} =0.4 nM) acquires FeEnt at low (nanomolar – micromolar) concentrations, but all three proteins may transport FeEnt* (FepA: K_D =40 nM; Fiu: K_D =0.7 uM; Cir: K_D =7 uM), which may accumulate at much higher (mM) concentrations during prolonged growth in iron-deficient conditions (Kumar et al., 2022) (Figure 6).

Ligand recognition and movement of FeEnt* through Fiu

Despite its wide range of ferric catecholate recognition, Fiu only transported FeEnt*. The uptake of FeEnt*, but exclusion of FeEnt and Fe(DHBS)₃, may likely derive from the larger size of the latter two iron complexes (555 vs. 719 and 774 Da, respectively). All three compounds manifest hydrophobicity from their peripheral aromatic rings and a negatively charged iron center (⁻², ⁻³, ⁻³, respectively); the obvious difference among them is their overall size: FeEnt* is the smallest in mass and the most compact molecule. These considerations suggest that during uptake, FeEnt* travels a pathway that neither FeEnt nor Fe(DHBS)₃ can follow, probably because of their larger size.

The identification of FeEnt* as Fiu's natural ligand allowed AutoDock Vina to predict its binding interactions. The resulting docking model with FeEnt*, and ensuing MD simulations, showed binding positions in the Fiu vestibule and interior that delineated a putative pathway of ligand movement. These predictions had experimental support from the fact that site-directed Ala mutants in sites 1 and 2 impaired the binding and uptake of FeEnt*. The MD calculations further postulated the closing of L6 over FeEnt* when it moved to site 2 in Fiu, which mimics the two-stage kinetic model of FeEnt binding to FepA (Payne et al., 1997), and the closing of L7 in FecA during ferric citrate binding (Ferguson et al., 2002). Furthermore, when L6 changed position to cover FeEnt* in site 2, it was potentially stabilized by an ionic bond between R486 in L6 and E108 in NL1 (Figure 4). A similar phenomenon was observed in the FecA-ferric dicitrate crystal structure, as a salt bridge between residues R438 and E573 that covered the bound metal complex (Ferguson et al., 2002). In most circumstances, Fiu residues R142 and K739 have positively charged side chains that may form strong cation- π interactions with the ligand. This indicates the importance of amino acids with positively charged side chains and the associated cation- π bond in the bio-molecular complexing.

TonB-dependent transport

The process of metal transit through TonB-dependent LGP is not fully understood, but accumulating information, including current and prior findings about Fiu (Grinter and Lithgow, 2019a), suggests a mechanism. Conformational motion within the globular N-domain of EcoFepA is one aspect of the FeEnt uptake mechanism (Majumdar et al., 2020). As noted above, Fiu transported FeEnt* but not larger ferric catecholates that bound with about the same affinity. The AutoDock Vina and MD simulations projected a series of binding sites in the protein interior that ultimately position FeEnt* above a narrow opening between the N-domain and the β -barrel (Supplementary Video S1). In its initial state (Grinter and Lithgow, 2019a), this pore is too small to permit passage



whose serine hydroxyl and α -carboxylate groups esterify to form a trilactone ring; the α -amino of Ser forms an amide bond with the carboxylate of DHBA. The resulting trimer may be glucosylated to form GEnt; both Ent and GEnt, and their iron complexes, may be degraded by acid, base, or esterases to form FeEnt* and DHBS. **(B)** Ferric complexes of catecholate siderophores. The monocatecholate biosynthetic and degradative byproducts, DHBA and DHBS, form relatively low affinity hexacoordinate, octahedral complexes with Fe³⁺. The tri- and dicatecholates Ent, Gent, and Ent*, on the other hand, form a higher affinity, hexacoordinate iron chelates. **(C)** Binding and uptake of ferric catecholates by Fiu, Cir, and FepA. The findings that we report established pathways of ferric catecholate binding only (red arrows), and binding followed by transport (blue arrows). In summary, Fiu only transported FeEnt*, but it also bound FeDHBS, FeEnt, and FeGEnt, albeit with a much lower affinity.

of FeEnt*, implying that the role of TonB activity is to transiently enlarge the channel, either allowing or compelling FeEnt* into the periplasm (Supplementary Video S1). In this context, the pathway enlarges enough to accommodate the transit of FeEnt* but not of FeEnt nor Fe(DHBS)₃.

Most mechanistic studies of LGP-mediated ferric siderophore transport involved *E. coli* FepA (Newton et al., 1999; Scott et al., 2002; Cao et al., 2003; Chakraborty et al., 2003; Ma et al., 2007; Newton et al., 2010; Smallwood et al., 2014; Majumdar et al., 2020), FhuA (Carmel and Coulton, 1991; Braun et al., 1994; Bonhivers et al., 1996; Moeck et al., 1997; Endriss et al., 2003; Faraldo-Gómez et al., 2003; Eisenhauer et al., 2005), or BtuB (Hunter and Glass, 1982; Gudmundsdottir et al., 1989; Bradbeer and Gudmundsdottir, 1990; Fanucci et al., 2002; Cadieux et al., 2003; Chimento et al., 2003; Shultis et al., 2006; Nyenhuis et al., 2020), but the crystal structure of Fiu (Grinter and Lithgow, 2019a) opened new avenues to understanding this process. The uptake of Trojan horse antibiotics such as FDC by Fiu (this report; Ito et al., 2018, 2019) adds medical relevance to its recognition and transport properties. Previous research on FepA provides some insight into LGP

transport biochemistry. FepA adsorbs FeEnt in a biphasic binding reaction (Payne et al., 1997) that involves induced fit by its surface loops around the ferric siderophore (Jiang et al., 1997; Scott et al., 2002; Smallwood et al., 2014). After reaching binding equilibrium in the surface vestibule, interactions between the TonB C-terminus and the FepA N-terminus induce a conformational change in the receptor that results in the internalization of the iron complex into the periplasm (Bradbeer, 1993; Newton et al., 2010; Klebba, 2016). Consistent with this idea, the MD-predicted sequence of binding sites through the Fiu interior suggests FeEnt* movement and ultimate deposition in a site where small changes in N-domain conformation may allow ligand transfer into the periplasm. In this sense, the MD simulations also fit with data on ferrichrome passage through FhuA (Eisenhauer et al., 2005) and FeEnt uptake through FepA (Majumdar et al., 2020), that implied conformational change in the N-terminal globule, while resident in the β -barrel, as part of the ligand uptake mechanism.

Previous findings also frame the actions of TonB-ExbBD in the uptake reaction: (i) The TonB C-terminus physically engages the

N-terminal TonB-box sequence of ligand-bound LGP at the OM periplasm interface (Pawelek et al., 2006; Shultis et al., 2006); (ii) TonB undergoes rapid, PMF-dependent motion, probably rotation in the IM bilayer (Jordan et al., 2013); (iii) ionic bonds restrict the N-terminus within the β -barrel, as a result of basic side chains in the N-domain that pair with acidic side chains on the interior of LGP β-barrels (Klebba, 2003). In Fiu, salt bridges exist between R121 - E570 and K154 - E617. These basic-acidic side-chain pairs are 90-95% conserved and evolutionarily covariant at comparable positions in dozens of other LGP (Klebba et al., 2021). Furthermore, directly across the channel from the double salt bridges, a peptide linkage connects the N-domain to the β -barrel (in Fiu, at residue 181). Hence, ionic and peptide bonds constrain the N-terminus in the channel at two opposing positions, so force or torque imparted to the N-domain by TonB's motion may cause conformational dynamics in the N-domain that enlarge the small pore, allowing FeEnt* to enter the periplasm and bind to FepB. This model suggests that PMF-driven TonB action drives conformational change that opens a precisely sized channel in the LGP interior, compelling ferric siderophore uptake (Supplementary Video S1).

FDC is licensed for the treatment of antibiotic-resistant Gram (-)bacteria, such as carbapenem-resistant A. baumannii and P. aeruginosa (Yamano, 2019; Shields, 2020; Wu et al., 2020). Our experiments identified E. coli Fiu and Cir as its OM uptake portals, which concur with reports of FDC uptake by Fiu and Cir orthologs in A. baumannii and P. aeruginosa (PiuA and PirA; Kim et al., 2015; Ito et al., 2018; Luscher et al., 2018). FDC has a monomeric molar mass of 752 Da; its tricatecholate iron complex is 2,306Da, which makes it uptake through any LGP inexplicable. Additional experiments are needed to understand its transport. The understanding of EcoFiu and its orthologs will aid the further development of siderophore antibiotics (Lasko and Nicolau, 2020; Morris et al., 2020; Wu et al., 2020; Mabayoje et al., 2021) such as FDC. Given their overall structural (Ferguson et al., 1998; Locher et al., 1998; Buchanan et al., 1999; Ferguson et al., 2002; Chimento et al., 2003; Cobessi et al., 2005a,b; Buchanan et al., 2007; Cobessi et al., 2010; Grinter and Lithgow, 2019a,b; Grinter and Lithgow, 2020) and sequence (Klebba et al., 2021) commonalities, other LGPs likely internalize metal complexes, including Trojan horse antibiotics, by the same or similar mechanisms.

Materials and methods

Bacterial strains and plasmids

We utilized derivatives of *E. coli* strain BN1071 (*entA*, *pro*, *trp*, *B1*, *rpsL*; Klebba et al., 1982) carrying site-directed chromosomal deletions (Ma et al., 2007): OKN1 ($\Delta tonB$), OKN3 ($\Delta fepA$), OKN5 (Δcir), OKN9 (Δfiu), OKN35 ($\Delta fepA$, Δcir), OKN359 ($\Delta fepA$, Δcir , Δfiu), and OKN1359 ($\Delta tonB$, $\Delta fepA$, Δcir , Δfiu). We also studied CP9, an extraintestinal pathogenic *E. coli* strain from a patient with sepsis (Russo et al., 1993; Johnson et al., 1997; Nazareth et al., 2007); courtesy of Dr. Thomas A. Russo, University of Buffalo School of Medicine. For the generation of site-directed substitution mutants in *fiu*, we PCR-amplified the structural gene from the prototypic wild-type *E. coli* strain MG1655 (Blattner et al., 1997) and cloned it in the low-copy plasmid pHSG575 (Takeshita et al., 1987), under the control of fur-regulated *fepA* promoter (Kumar et al., 2022). We transformed OKN1359 and OKN359 with the resulting construct (pITS42) for binding and transport experiments, respectively.

Site-directed mutagenesis

We used QuickChange (Stratagene) to create site-directed substitutions in *fiu*, carried on pITS42. With a pair of complementary primers that flank the target codon, we introduced mutations that encoded Cys or Ala residues in mature Fiu. After digesting the wild-type template DNA with DpnI, we transformed BN1071 with the mutant plasmid, isolated transformant clones, and sequenced their plasmids to confirm the substitutions in *fiu*.

Bacterial culture conditions

OKN359 or OKN1359 carrying pITS42, that encoded WT or mutant *fiu* alleles, was grown in Luria-Bertani (LB) broth at 37°C overnight to stationary phase. For host strain and plasmid selection, the media contained streptomycin ($100 \mu g/mL$) and chloramphenicol ($20 \mu g/mL$), respectively. To impose low-iron stress and induce the expression of fur-regulated *fiu*, we sub-cultured stationary phase LB cultures at 0.5% into MOPS iron-deficient minimal medium (Neidhardt et al., 1974) and shook the flasks at 200 rpm for 20 h at 37°C, to a cell density of $1.5-2.5 \times 10^9$ cells/mL.

Siderophore purification

After growing E. coli strain AN102 (Wayne et al., 1976) to late log phase in T-media (McIntosh and Earhart, 1976), we purified Ent and incubated an aliquot of the aposiderophore with equimolar ⁵⁶FeCl₃ or ⁵⁹FeCl₃ to form the ferric siderophore (Wayne et al., 1976; Newton et al., 2010). Passage of the mixture over Sephadex LH20 in 5 mM NaHPO₄ separated FeEnt and FeEnt* (Wayne et al., 1976). We also purified catecholate ferric siderophores from the pathogenic E. coli strain CP9 (Russo et al., 2002, 2011, 2015) that yielded FeEnt, FeGEnt, and their degradation product, FeEnt*. After growth in LB broth to stationary phase, we sub-cultured CP9 at 1% into T-medium and shook the flasks at 200 rpm for 30 h at 37°C, to a cell density of \sim 1.5 \times 10⁹ cells/mL. We removed the bacteria by centrifugation at 5000 x g for 20 min and added FeSO₄ to 5 mM in the culture supernatant to form ferric siderophores. After overnight incubation, we adsorbed the negatively charged ferric catecholates to Whatman DE52 cellulose in 50 mM Tris-Cl, pH 7, washed the resin with 5 column volumes of the same buffer, and eluted the iron complexes with a gradient of 0-2 M ammonium chloride. After collecting and concentrating the three red, dark red, or purple fractions (FeEnt, FeEnt*, and FeGEnt, respectively) by rotary evaporation, we desalted them by chromatography on Sephadex G-10 in 5 mM NaHPO₄ and individually purified them on Sephadex LH20 in 5 mM NaHPO₄, pH 7. LH20 columns yielded three distinct peak fractions that mass spectrometry identified as FeGEnt, FeEnt*, and FeEnt, respectively (Supplementary Figure S1). We spectroscopically measured the concentrations of FeEnt, FeEnt*, and FeGEnt, using the extinction coefficient of the former: 5.6 at 495 nm. The extinction maxima of both FeEnt* and FeGEnt are slightly red-shifted (503 and 510 nm, respectively). The only possible ambiguity regarding concentration involved FeEnt*, whose extinction coefficient at 503 nm is not yet known. However, given its comparable iron complex to that of FeEnt, we expect an extinction coefficient that is similar in magnitude. We determined the concentrations of the

monocatecholate ferric siderophores from the stoichiometry of forming their iron complexes.

Siderophore nutrition tests

We qualitatively analyzed iron transport by microbiological nutrition tests (Wayne and Neilands, 1975). Cells expressing wild-type Fiu or mutant derivatives were grown overnight in LB broth to stationary phase and sub-inoculated at 1% into nutrient broth (NB) containing streptomycin (100 ug/mL) and chloramphenicol (20 ug/mL). After overnight growth in NB, we mixed 100 µL of NB culture with 3 mL of NB top agar containing 100 µM apoferrichrome A and appropriate antibiotics, and put the mixture in a 6-well microplate. We applied a paper disk to the surface of the solidified agar and added $5\,\mu\text{L}$ of $100\,\mu\text{M}$ ferric siderophore to the disk. After 24 h at 37°C, we measured the diameter of growth around the paper disk. Ferric monocatecholate complexes (which have a much lower affinity for Fe^{3+}) may release iron to the non-utilizable iron chelator apoFcA. Consequently, we modified the nutrition tests of ferric monocatecholate complexes to incorporate the lower affinity, non-utilizable chelator bipyridyl (0.5 mM) to complex adventitious iron in the media (Newton et al., 2005), instead of apoFcA.

Radioisotopic ferric siderophore uptake assays

After growth in iron-deficient MOPS minimal media containing appropriate antibiotics, with shaking at 200 rpm for 5.5 h. at 37°C, we sampled 3 mL aliquots of bacterial culture and added ⁵⁹FeEnt or ⁵⁹FeEnt* to a final concentration of 10 μ M. At t = 0, 5, 10, 15, 30, and 45 min, we sampled 100 μ L of bacterial culture from the flask, collected the cells on 0.45 um membrane filters, washed the filters with 10 mL of 0.9% LiCl, and determined amount of [⁵⁹Fe] on each filter by counting in Packard gamma counter. For each time point, we collected triplicate samples and determined the mean CPM.

Preparation of OM fractions

Following growth in iron-deficient MOPS minimal media, with shaking at 200 rpm for 20 h at 37°C, we harvested the bacterial cells by centrifugation at 5000 x g for 20 min and resuspended the pellet in 4 mL of PBS containing trace amounts of RNase and DNase. We lysed the cells by passage through a French pressure cell at 14,000 psi, removed debris and unbroken cells by centrifugation at 5000 x g for 20 min, and centrifuged the supernatant in a microfuge at 13000 rpm for 1 h to pellet the cell envelope fraction, that we resuspended in 200 μ L of 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4. After adding an equal volume of 1% sodium sarcosinate, we incubated the mixture with gentle mixing for 30 min at room temperature to solubilize the IM fraction and then sedimented the OM by centrifugation at 13000 rpm for 1 h.

We resuspended the OM pellet in 200 μL of 50 mM Tris–HCl, pH 7.4 and stored it frozen at $-20^\circ C.$

SDS-PAGE

We analyzed protein expression and fluorescence labeling by SDS-PAGE (Lugtenberg et al., 1975; Hancock and Braun, 1976). For determinations of protein expression, we solubilized 50µg of the OM fraction (determined by absorbance at 280 nm) in a sample buffer containing 1% SDS and 0.3% β-mercaptoethanol, at 100°C for 5 min. We resolved the OM proteins on 12% acrylamide /0.3% bis-acrylamide SDS-PAGE gels (Ames, 1974; Lugtenberg et al., 1975; Hancock and Braun, 1976), observed fluorescently labeled Fiu Cys mutant proteins by transillumination with UV light, and then stained the gels with Coomassie blue to observe the Fiu expression relative to that of other LGPs. For experiments with FM-labeled bacterial cells, we mixed 10⁸ bacteria with SDS sample buffer, boiled the sample for 5 min, and performed SDS-PAGE as described above.

Site-directed fluorescence labeling with FM

We cultured strains expressing Cys mutant Fiu derivatives in 10 mL of MOPS iron-deficient minimal media for ~20 h, to a density of $2-3 \times 10^9$ cells/mL (Newton and Klebba, 2022). After collecting the bacteria by centrifugation at 7000 x *g* for 10 min, we washed the pellet with and resuspended it in 10 mL of 50 mM NaHPO₄ pH 6.7. We added FM to the cell suspension to 5 μ M, incubated the mixture for 15 min 37°C, and quenched labeling by adding β -mercaptoethanol to 100 μ M. The fluoresceinated bacterial cells were pelleted by centrifugation at 7000 x g for 10 min, washed with and resuspended in 10 mL of PBS pH 7.4, followed by SDS-PAGE/image analysis of labeling specificity, and spectroscopic determinations of fluorescence intensity.

Fluorescence determinations of ligand binding

We performed fluorescent determinations of ligand binding in an SLM AMINCO 8100 fluorescence spectrometer with an OLIS operating system (OLIS Inc., Bogart, GA). We added 10⁸ FM-labeled bacterial cells to 2 mL of PBS in a quartz cuvette, with stirring. Excitation and emission wavelengths were 488 nm and 520 nm, respectively. After measuring the initial fluorescence (F₀) of the sample, we titrated the labeled cells with sequentially increasing concentrations of ferric siderophore and recorded their corresponding fluorescence (F). We collected data in triplicate, plotted the mean values of 1-F/F₀ versus [ligand], and analyzed the data with the "1-site with background" binding model of GraFit 6.0.12 (Erithacus Ltd. West Sussex, United Kingdom). The titrations yielded apparent K_D values for the binding reactions, as well as fitted curves of [bound ligand] as a function of [free ligand],

according to:
$$[Bound] = \frac{Capacity \cdot [Free]}{K_d + [Free]} + Background$$
.

Throughout this report, affinities are defined by "apparent" K_D values, in the sense that we did not directly measure the binding of the iron complexes, but rather the fluorescence quenching that resulted from their binding.

In silico docking

From the structural coordinates of Fiu (PDB ID 6BPM), and a model structure of FeEnt* derived from ferric salmochelin S1 (PubChem CID 135398071). Salmochelin S1 is a degradation product of salmochelin S4 (FeGEnt), lacking one DHBS moiety. After removing its glucose residues, we performed energy minimization using VEGA ZZ 3.2.1. We then used AutoDock Vina (v1.2.1) (Trott and Olson, 2010; Eberhardt et al., 2021) to predict the binding of FeEnt* to Fiu, with the following parameters in the search box: for the whole receptor search, box 1 (size x=50, y=78, z=78; center x=-55, y=45, z=20); for binding site 1, box 1 (size x=24, y=54, z=28; center x=-67, y=36.9, z=7); for binding site 2, box 2 (size x=22, y=56, z=40; center x=-52, y=44, z=4.6; for binding site 3, whole search, box 3 (size x=50, y=78, z=78; center x=-55, y=45, z=20). We visualized the top nine ligand poses for each docking run with the AutoDock Tool and Chimera.

Molecular dynamics simulations

Protein models were prepared by the Schrödinger Protein Preparation Wizard (Sastry et al., 2013). The ligand-docked models originated from the in silico ligand docking study, described above. The modeling systems were created by Desmond system builder (Schrödinger Release 2021-4). Each Fiu complex was inserted into a 1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine (POPC) lipid bilayer that was perpendicular to the z-axis. SPC water molecules were added into the system; the size of the orthorhombic buffer box was 10 Å x 10 Å x10Å. Sodium chloride (0.15 M) was used to neutralize the system, and we employed OPLS4 (Jorgensen and Tirado-Rives, 1988; Lu et al., 2021) as the force field. Simulation conditions in the isothermal-isobaric (NPT) ensemble were as follows: pressure, 1.01325 bar; temperature, 310 K. Temperature was controlled using a Langevin thermostat. The systems were minimized to 100 ps; the time step was 0.002 ps, and the total production time was 0.5 $\mu s.$ All data were processed with Schrödinger Desmond. MD simulation movies were generated by Schrödinger Maestro (Release 2021-4).

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

Author contributions

TY: Conceptualization, Data curation, Investigation, Methodology, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing,

Formal analysis. YZ: Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. HN: Conceptualization, Investigation, Methodology, Formal analysis, Supervision, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing, Validation. AK: Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Writing – original draft, Validation, Writing – review & editing. SN: Investigation, Methodology, Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Supervision, Validation, Writing – original draft, Writing – original draft, Project administration. PK: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Supervision, Validation, Project administration, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing, Visualization.

Funding

The author(s) declare that no financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Aritri Majumdar, Somnath Chakravorty, and Thomas A. Russo for bacterial strains and for helpful discussions during the course of this study. The authors also acknowledge valuable discussions with David A. Six of Venatorx Pharmaceuticals. This study had financial support from Venatorx Pharmaceuticals, Malvern PA.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

The reviewer BN declared a past collaboration with the authors PK, AK, SN, and TY to the handling editor.

The author(s) declared that they were an editorial board member of Frontiers, at the time of submission. This had no impact on the peer review process and the final decision.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2024.1355253/ full#supplementary-material

References

Abergel, R. J., Clifton, M. C., Pizarro, J. C., Warner, J. A., Shuh, D. K., Strong, R. K., et al. (2008). The siderocalin/enterobactin interaction: a link between mammalian immunity and bacterial iron transport. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 130, 11524–11534. doi: 10.11510.11021/ja803524w

Ames, G. F. (1974). Resolution of bacterial proteins by polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis on slabs. Membrane, soluble, and periplasmic fractions. *J. Biol. Chem.* 249, 634–644. doi: 10.1016/S0021-9258(19)43074-3

Armstrong, C. M., and Bezanilla, F. (1977). Inactivation of the sodium channel. II. Gating current experiments. *J. Gen. Physiol.* 70, 567–590. doi: 10.1085/ jgp.70.5.567

Asrat, H., Samaroo-Campbell, J., Ata, S., and Quale, J. (2023). Contribution of Iron-transport systems and β -lactamases to Cefiderocol resistance in clinical isolates of *Acinetobacter baumannii* endemic to new York City. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 67:e0023423. doi: 10.1128/aac.00234-23

Blattner, F. R., Plunkett, G. III, Bloch, C. A., Perna, N. T., Burland, V., Riley, M., et al. (1997). The complete genome sequence of *Escherichia coli* K-12. *Science (New York, N.Y.)* 277, 1453–1462. doi: 10.1126/science.277.5331.1453

Bonhivers, M., Ghazi, A., Boulanger, P., and Letellier, L. (1996). FhuA, a transporter of the *Escherichia coli* outer membrane, is converted into a channel upon binding of bacteriophage T5. *EMBO J.* 15, 1850–1856. doi: 10.1002/j.1460-2075.1996. tb00535.x

Bradbeer, C. (1993). The proton motive force drives the outer membrane transport of cobalamin in *Escherichia coli. J. Bacteriol.* 175, 3146–3150. doi: 10.1128/jb.175.10.3146-3150.1993

Bradbeer, C., and Gudmundsdottir, A. (1990). Interdependence of calcium and cobalamin binding by wild-type and mutant BtuB protein in the outer membrane of *Escherichia coli*. *J. Bacteriol*. 172, 4919–4926. doi: 10.1128/jb.172.9.4919-4926.1990

Braun, V., Killmann, H., and Benz, R. (1994). Energy-coupled transport through the outer membrane of *Escherichia coli* small deletions in the gating loop convert the FhuA transport protein into a diffusion channel. *FEBS Lett.* 346, 59–64. doi: 10.1016/0014-5793(94)00431-5

Brickman, T. J., and McIntosh, M. A. (1992). Overexpression and purification of ferric enterobactin esterase from *Escherichia coli*. Demonstration of enzymatic hydrolysis of enterobactin and its iron complex. *J. Biol. Chem.* 267, 12350–12355. doi: 10.1016/S0021-9258(19)49846-3

Buchanan, S. K., Lukacik, P., Grizot, S., Ghirlando, R., Ali, M. M., Barnard, T. J., et al. (2007). Structure of colicin I receptor bound to the R-domain of colicin Ia: implications for protein import. *EMBO J.* 26, 2594–2604. doi: 10.1038/sj. emboj.7601693

Buchanan, S. K., Smith, B. S., Venkatramani, L., Xia, D., Esser, L., Palnitkar, M., et al. (1999). Crystal structure of the outer membrane active transporter FepA from *Escherichia coli* [see comments]. *Nat. Struct. Biol.* 6, 56–63. doi: 10.1038/4931

Budzikiewicz, H. (2001). Siderophore-antibiotic conjugates used as trojan horses against *Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Curr. Top. Med. Chem.* 1, 73–82. doi: 10.2174/1568026013395524

Cadieux, N., Phan, P. G., Cafiso, D. S., and Kadner, R. J. (2003). Differential substrate-induced signaling through the TonB-dependent transporter BtuB. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 100, 10688–10693. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1932538100

Cao, Z., Warfel, P., Newton, S. M., and Klebba, P. E. (2003). Spectroscopic observations of ferric enterobactin transport. *J. Biol. Chem.* 278, 1022–1028. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M210360200

Carmel, G., and Coulton, J. W. (1991). Internal deletions in the FhuA receptor of *Escherichia coli* K-12 define domains of ligand interactions. *J. Bacteriol.* 173, 4394–4403. doi: 10.1128/jb.173.14.4394-4403.1991

Caza, M., Garénaux, A., Lépine, F., and Dozois, C. M. (2015). Catecholate siderophore esterases Fes, IroD and IroE are required for salmochelins secretion following utilization, but only IroD contributes to virulence of extra-intestinal pathogenic *Escherichia coli. Mol. Microbiol.* 97, 717–732. doi: 10.1111/mmi.13059

Chakraborty, R., Lemke, E. A., Cao, Z., Klebba, P. E., and van der Helm, D. (2003). Identification and mutational studies of conserved amino acids in the outer membrane receptor protein, FepA, which affect transport but not binding of ferricenterobactin in *Escherichia coli. Biometals* 16, 507–518. doi: 10.1023/A:1023485632520

Chakravorty, S., Shipelskiy, Y., Kumar, A., Majumdar, A., Yang, T., Nairn, B. L., et al. (2019). Universal fluorescent sensors of high-affinity iron transport, applied to ESKAPE pathogens. J. Biol. Chem. 294, 4682–4692. doi: 10.1074/jbc.RA118.006921

Chimento, D. P., Mohanty, A. K., Kadner, R. J., and Wiener, M. C. (2003). Substrate-induced transmembrane signaling in the cobalamin transporter BtuB. *Nat. Struct. Biol.* 10, 394–401. doi: 10.1038/nsb914

Cobessi, D., Celia, H., Folschweiller, N., Schalk, I. J., Abdallah, M. A., and Pattus, F. (2005a). The crystal structure of the pyoverdine outer membrane receptor FpvA from *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* at 3.6 angstroms resolution. *J. Mol. Biol.* 347, 121–134. doi: 10.1016/j.jmb.2005.01.021

Cobessi, D., Celia, H., and Pattus, F. (2005b). Crystal structure at high resolution of ferric-pyochelin and its membrane receptor FptA from *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *J. Mol. Biol.* 352, 893–904. doi: 10.1016/j.jmb.2005.08.004

Cobessi, D., Meksem, A., and Brillet, K. (2010). Structure of the heme/hemoglobin outer membrane receptor ShuA from *Shigella dysenteriae*: heme binding by an induced fit mechanism. *Proteins* 78, 286–294. doi: 10.1002/prot.22539

Cornelissen, C. N., and Sparling, P. F. (1994). Iron piracy: acquisition of transferrinbound iron by bacterial pathogens. *Mol. Microbiol.* 14, 843–850. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2958.1994.tb01320.x

Correnti, C., and Strong, R. K. (2012). Mammalian siderophores, siderophore-binding lipocalins, and the labile iron pool. *J. Biol. Chem.* 287, 13524–13531. doi: 10.1074/jbc. R111.311829

Curtis, N., Eisenstadt, R. L., East, S. J., Cornford, R. J., Walker, L. A., and White, A. J. (1988). Iron-regulated outer membrane proteins of *Escherichia coli* K-12 and mechanism of action of catechol-substituted cephalosporins. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 32, 1879–1886. doi: 10.1128/AAC.32.12.1879

Delgado-Valverde, M., Conejo, M. D. C., Serrano, L., Fernández-Cuenca, F., and Pascual, Á. (2020). Activity of cefiderocol against high-risk clones of multidrug-resistant Enterobacterales, *Acinetobacter baumannii*, Pseudomonas aeruginosa and *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia*. J. *Antimicrob. Chemother*. 75, 1840–1849. doi: 10.1093/jac/dkaa117

Doneanu, C. E., Strong, R. K., and Howald, W. N. (2004). Characterization of a noncovalent lipocalin complex by liquid chromatography/electrospray ionization mass spectrometry. *J. Biomol. Tech.* 15, 208–212.

Eberhardt, J., Santos-Martins, D., Tillack, A. F., and Forli, S. (2021). AutoDock Vina 1.2.0: new docking methods, expanded force field, and Python bindings. *J. Chem. Inf. Model.* 61, 3891–3898. doi: 10.1021/acs.jcim.1c00203

Eisenhauer, H. A., Shames, S., Pawelek, P. D., and Coulton, J. W. (2005). Siderophore transport through *Escherichia coli* outer membrane receptor FhuA with disulfide-tethered cork and barrel domains. *J. Biol. Chem.* 280, 30574–30580. Epub 32005 Jun 30530. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M506708200

Endriss, F., Braun, M., Killmann, H., and Braun, V. (2003). Mutant analysis of the *Escherichia coli* FhuA protein reveals sites of FhuA activity. *J. Bacteriol.* 185, 4683–4692. doi: 10.1128/JB.185.16.4683-4692.2003

Escalante, J., Nishimura, B., Tuttobene, M. R., Subils, T., Mezcord, V., Actis, L. A., et al. (2023). The Iron content of human serum albumin modulates the susceptibility of *Acinetobacter baumannii* to Cefiderocol. *Biomedicines* 11:639. doi: 10.3390/biomedicines11020639

Fanucci, G. E., Cadieux, N., Piedmont, C. A., Kadner, R. J., and Cafiso, D. S. (2002). Structure and dynamics of the beta-barrel of the membrane transporter BtuB by sitedirected spin labeling. *Biochemistry* 41, 11543–11551. doi: 10.1021/bi0259397

Faraldo-Gómez, J. D., Smith, G. R., and Sansom, M. S. (2003). Molecular dynamics simulations of the bacterial outer membrane protein FhuA: a comparative study of the ferrichrome-free and bound states. *Biophys. J.* 85, 1406–1420. doi: 10.1016/S0006-3495(03)74573-1

Ferguson, A. D., Chakraborty, R., Smith, B. S., Esser, L., van der Helm, D., and Deisenhofer, J. (2002). Structural basis of gating by the outer membrane transporter FecA. *Science (New York, N.Y.)* 295, 1715–1719. doi: 10.1126/science.1067313

Ferguson, A. D., Hofmann, E., Coulton, J. W., Diederichs, K., and Welte, W. (1998). Siderophore-mediated iron transport: crystal structure of FhuA with bound lipopolysaccharide [see comments]. *Science (New York, N.Y.)* 282, 2215–2220. doi: 10.1126/science.282.5397.2215

Fleming, I. D., Krezalek, M. A., Belogortseva, N., Zaborin, A., Defazio, J., Chandrasekar, L., et al. (2017). Modeling Acinetobacter baumannii wound infections: the critical role of iron. J. Trauma Acute Care Surg. 82, 557–565. doi: 10.1097/ TA.0000000001338

Gaddy, J. A., Arivett, B. A., McConnell, M. J., Lopez-Rojas, R., Pachon, J., and Actis, L. A. (2012). Role of acinetobactin-mediated iron acquisition functions in the interaction of *Acinetobacter baumannii* strain ATCC 19606T with human lung epithelial cells, galleria mellonella caterpillars, and mice. *Infect. Immun.* 80, 1015–1024. doi: 10.1128/IAI.06279-11

Grinter, R., and Lithgow, T. (2019a). The structure of the bacterial iron-catecholate transporter Fiu suggests that it imports substrates via a two-step mechanism. *J. Biol. Chem.* 294, 19523–19534. doi: 10.1074/jbc.RA119.011018

Grinter, R., and Lithgow, T. (2019b). Determination of the molecular basis for coprogen import by gram-negative bacteria. *IUCrJ* 6, 401-411. doi: 10.1107/S2052252519002926

Grinter, R., and Lithgow, T. (2020). The crystal structure of the TonB-dependent transporter YncD reveals a positively charged substrate-binding site. *Acta Crystallogr. D Struct. Biol.* 76, 484–495. doi: 10.1107/S2059798320004398

Gudmundsdottir, A., Bell, P. E., Lundrigan, M. D., Bradbeer, C., and Kadner, R. J. (1989). Point mutations in a conserved region (TonB box) of *Escherichia coli* outer membrane protein BtuB affect vitamin B12 transport. *J. Bacteriol.* 171, 6526–6533. doi: 10.1128/jb.171.12.6526-6533.1989

Gumbart, J. C., Wiener, M. C., and Tajkhorshid, E. (2007). Mechanics of force propagation in TonB-dependent outer membrane transport. *Biophys. J.* 93, 496–504. doi: 10.1529/biophysj.107.104158

Gupta, A., Landman, D., and Quale, J. (2022). Relationship of TonB-dependent receptors with susceptibility to cefiderocol in clinical isolates of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother*, 77, 1282–1285. doi: 10.1093/jac/dkac022

Hancock, R. E., and Braun, V. (1976). The colicin I receptor of *Escherichia coli* K-12 has a role in enterochelin-mediated iron transport. *FEBS Lett.* 65, 208–210. doi: 10.1016/0014-5793(76)80481-4

Hanson, M., Jordan, L. D., Shipelskiy, Y., Newton, S. M., and Klebba, P. E. (2016). High-throughput screening assay for inhibitors of TonB-dependent Iron transport. J. Biomol. Screen. 21, 316–322. doi: 10.1177/1087057115613788

Hantke, K. (1983). Identification of an iron uptake system specific for coprogen and rhodotorulic acid in *Escherichia coli* K12. *Mol. Gen. Genet.* 191, 301–306. doi: 10.1007/ BF00334830

Hantke, K. (1990). Dihydroxybenzoylserine--a siderophore for E. coli. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 55, 5–8. doi: 10.1016/0378-1097(90)90158-m

Hantke, K., Nicholson, G., Rabsch, W., and Winkelmann, G. (2003). Salmochelins, siderophores of salmonella enterica and uropathogenic *Escherichia coli* strains, are recognized by the outer membrane receptor IroN. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 100, 3677–3682. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0737682100

Harris, W. R., Carrano, C. J., and Raymond, K. N. (1979). Spectrophotometric determination of the proton-dependent stability constant of ferric enterobactin. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 101, 2213–2214. doi: 10.1021/ja00502a053

Holmes, M. A., Paulsene, W., Jide, X., Ratledge, C., and Strong, R. K. (2005). Siderocalin (Lcn 2) also binds carboxymycobactins, potentially defending against mycobacterial infections through iron sequestration. *Structure* 13, 29–41. doi: 10.1016/j.str.2004.10.009

Hunter, M. G., and Glass, R. E. (1982). Analysis of btuB receptor function by use of nonsense suppression. J. Bacteriol. 151, 1591–1594. doi: 10.1128/jb.151.3.1591-1594.1982

Ito, A. I. N., Ishii, R., Tsuji, M., Maki, H., Sato, T., and Yamano, Y. (2019). "Changes of responsible Iron-transporters for the activity of Cefiderocol against *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* depending on the culture conditions" in *ASM Microbe* (San Francisco, CA: ASM.)

Ito, A., Sato, T., Ota, M., Takemura, M., Nishikawa, T., Toba, S., et al. (2018). In vitro antibacterial properties of cefiderocol, a novel siderophore cephalosporin, against gramnegative bacteria. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 62, e01454–e01417. doi: 10.1128/ AAC.01454-17

Ji, C., Juárez-Hernández, R. E., and Miller, M. J. (2012). Exploiting bacterial iron acquisition: siderophore conjugates. *Future Med. Chem.* 4, 297–313. doi: 10.4155/fmc.11.191

Jiang, X., Payne, M. A., Cao, Z., Foster, S. B., Feix, J. B., Newton, S. M., et al. (1997). Ligand-specific opening of a gated-porin channel in the outer membrane of living bacteria. *Science (New York, N.Y.)* 276, 1261–1264. doi: 10.1126/science.276.5316.1261

Johnson, J. R., Russo, T. A., Scheutz, F., Brown, J. J., Zhang, L., Palin, K., et al. (1997). Discovery of disseminated J96-like strains of uropathogenic *Escherichia coli* O4: H5 containing genes for both PapGJ96 (class I) and PrsGJ96 (class III) gal (α 1-4) galbinding adhesins. *J. Infect. Dis.* 175, 983–988. doi: 10.1086/514006

Jordan, L. D., Zhou, Y., Smallwood, C. R., Lill, Y., Ritchie, K., Yip, W. T., et al. (2013). Energy-dependent motion of TonB in the gram-negative bacterial inner membrane. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 110, 11553–11558. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1304243110

Jorgensen, W. L., and Tirado-Rives, J. (1988). The OPLS [optimized potentials for liquid simulations] potential functions for proteins, energy minimizations for crystals of cyclic peptides and crambin. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 110, 1657–1666. doi: 10.1021/ja00214a001

Kim, A., Kutschke, A., Ehmann, D. E., Patey, S. A., Crandon, J. L., Gorseth, E., et al. (2015). Pharmacodynamic profiling of a siderophore-conjugated monocarbam in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*: assessing the risk for resistance and attenuated efficacy. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 59, 7743–7752. doi: 10.1128/AAC.00831-15

Klebba, P. E. (1981) Regulation of the bisynthesis of the iron-related membrane proteins in Escherichia coli.

Klebba, P. E. (2003). Three paradoxes of ferric enterobactin uptake. *Front. Biosci.* 8, s1422–s1436. doi: 10.2741/1233

Klebba, P. E. (2016). ROSET model of TonB action in gram-negative bacterial Iron acquisition. *J. Bacteriol.* 198, 1013–1021. doi: 10.1128/JB.00823-15

Klebba, P. E., McIntosh, M. A., and Neilands, J. B. (1982). Kinetics of biosynthesis of iron-regulated membrane proteins in *Escherichia coli. J. Bacteriol.* 149, 880–888. doi: 10.1128/jb.149.3.880-888.1982

Klebba, P. E., Newton, S. M., Six, D. A., Kumar, A., Yang, T., Nairn, B. L., et al. (2021). Iron acquisition systems of gram (–) bacterial pathogens define TonB-dependent pathways to novel antibiotics. *Chem. Rev.* 121, 5193–5239. doi: 10.1021/acs.chemrev.0c01005

Kumar, A., Yang, T., Chakravorty, S., Majumdar, A., Nairn, B. L., Six, D. A., et al. (2022). Fluorescent sensors of siderophores produced by bacterial pathogens. *J. Biol. Chem.* 298:101651. doi: 10.1016/j.jbc.2022.101651

Lasko, M. J., and Nicolau, D. P. (2020). Carbapenem-resistant Enterobacterales: considerations for treatment in the era of new antimicrobials and evolving enzymology. *Curr. Infect. Dis. Rep.* 22:6. doi: 10.1007/s11908-020-0716-3

Le, C., Pimentel, C., Pasteran, F., Tuttobene, M. R., Subils, T., Escalante, J., et al. (2022). Human serum proteins and susceptibility of *Acinetobacter baumannii* to Cefiderocol: role of Iron transport. *Biomedicines* 10:600. doi: 10.3390/biomedicines10030600

Lin, H., Fischbach, M. A., Liu, D. R., and Walsh, C. T. (2005). In vitro characterization of salmochelin and enterobactin trilactone hydrolases IroD, IroE, and Fes. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 127, 11075–11084. doi: 10.1021/ja0522027

Locher, K. P., Rees, B., Koebnik, R., Mitschler, A., Moulinier, L., Rosenbusch, J. P., et al. (1998). Transmembrane signaling across the ligand-gated FhuA receptor: crystal structures of free and ferrichrome-bound states reveal allosteric changes. *Cell* 95, 771–778. doi: 10.1016/S0092-8674(00)81700-6

Lu, C., Wu, C., Ghoreishi, D., Chen, W., Wang, L., Damm, W., et al. (2021). OPLS4: improving force field accuracy on challenging regimes of chemical space. J. Chem. Theory Comput. 17, 4291–4300. doi: 10.1021/acs.jctc.1c00302

Lugtenberg, B., Meijers, J., Peters, R., van der Hoek, P., and van Alphen, L. (1975). Electrophoretic resolution of the "major outer membrane protein" of *Escherichia coli* K12 into four bands. *FEBS Lett.* 58, 254–258. doi: 10.1016/0014-5793(75)80272-9

Luna, B. M., Ershova, K., Yan, J., Ulhaq, A., Nielsen, T. B., Hsieh, S., et al. (2019). Adjunctive transferrin to reduce the emergence of antibiotic resistance in gram-negative bacteria. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* 74, 2631–2639. doi: 10.1093/jac/dkz225

Luscher, A., Moynié, L., Auguste, P. S., Bumann, D., Mazza, L., Pletzer, D., et al. (2018). TonB-dependent receptor repertoire of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* for uptake of Siderophore-drug conjugates. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 62:e00097-18. doi: 10.1128/AAC.00097-18

Ma, L., Kaserer, W., Annamalai, R., Scott, D. C., Jin, B., Jiang, X., et al. (2007). Evidence of ball-and-chain transport of ferric enterobactin through FepA. J. Biol. Chem. 282, 397–406. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M605333200

Mabayoje, D. A., NicFhogartaigh, C., Cherian, B. P., Tan, M. G. M., and Wareham, D. W. (2021). Compassionate use of cefiderocol for carbapenem-resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii* prosthetic joint infection. *JAC Antimicrob. Resist.* 3, i21–i24. doi: 10.1093/jacamr/dlab055

Majumdar, A., Trinh, V., Moore, K. J., Smallwood, C. R., Kumar, A., Yang, T., et al. (2020). Conformational rearrangements in the N-domain of *Escherichia coli* FepA during ferric enterobactin transport. *J. Biol. Chem.* 295, 4974–4984. doi: 10.1074/jbc.RA119.011850

Malik, S., Kaminski, M., Landman, D., and Quale, J. (2020). Cefiderocol resistance in *Acinetobacter baumannii*: roles of β -lactamases, Siderophore receptors, and penicillin binding protein 3. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 64:e01221-20. doi: 10.1128/AAC.01221-20

McCreary, E. K., Heil, E. L., and Tamma, P. D. (2021). New perspectives on antimicrobial agents: Cefiderocol. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 65:e0217120. doi: 10.1128/AAC.02171-20

McIntosh, M. A., and Earhart, C. F. (1976). Effect of iron of the relative abundance of two large polypeptides of the *Escherichia coli* outer membrane. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 70, 315–322. doi: 10.1016/0006-291X(76)91144-X

Miller, M. J., and Liu, R. (2021). Design and syntheses of new antibiotics inspired by Nature's quest for Iron in an oxidative climate. *Acc. Chem. Res.* 54, 1646–1661. doi: 10.1021/acs.accounts.1c00004

Miller, M. J., McKee, J. A., Minnick, A. A., and Dolence, E. K. (1991). The design, synthesis and study of siderophore-antibiotic conjugates. Siderophore mediated drug transport. *Biol. Met.* 4, 62–69. doi: 10.1007/BF01135559

Moeck, G. S., Coulton, J. W., and Postle, K. (1997). Cell envelope signaling in *Escherichia coli*. Ligand binding to the ferrichrome-iron receptor flua promotes interaction with the energy- transducing protein TonB. *J. Biol. Chem.* 272, 28391–28397. doi: 10.1074/jbc.272.45.28391

Morris, C. P., Bergman, Y., Tekle, T., Fissel, J. A., Tamma, P. D., and Simner, P. J. (2020). Cefiderocol antimicrobial susceptibility testing against multidrug-resistant gramnegative Bacilli: a comparison of disk diffusion to broth microdilution. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 59:e01649-20. doi: 10.1128/ICM.01649-20

Nairn, B. L., Eliasson, O. S., Hyder, D. R., Long, N. J., Majumdar, A., Chakravorty, S., et al. (2017). Fluorescence high-throughput screening for inhibitors of TonB action. *J. Bacteriol.* 199:e00889-16. doi: 10.1128/JB.00889-16

Nazareth, H., Genagon, S. A., and Russo, T. A. (2007). Extraintestinal pathogenic *Escherichia coli* survives within neutrophils. *Infect. Immun.* 75, 2776–2785. doi: 10.1128/IAI.01095-06

Neidhardt, F. C., Bloch, P. L., and Smith, D. F. (1974). Culture medium for enterobacteria. J. Bacteriol. 119, 736–747. doi: 10.1128/jb.119.3.736-747.1974

Neilands, J. (1974). "Iron and its role in microbial physiology" in *Microbial iron metabolism*. ed. J. B. Neilands (Cambridge, MA: Academic Press), 3-34.

Neilands, J. B. (1995). Siderophores: structure and function of microbial iron transport compounds. J. Biol. Chem. 270, 26723–26726. doi: 10.1074/jbc.270.45.26723

Newton, S. M., Allen, J. S., Cao, Z., Qi, Z., Jiang, X., Sprencel, C., et al. (1997). Double mutagenesis of a positive charge cluster in the ligand-binding site of the ferric enterobactin receptor, FepA. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 94, 4560–4565. doi: 10.1073/pnas.94.9.4560

Newton, S. M., Igo, J. D., Scott, D. C., and Klebba, P. E. (1999). Effect of loop deletions on the binding and transport of ferric enterobactin by FepA. *Mol. Microbiol.* 32, 1153–1165. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2958.1999.01424.x

Newton, S. M., and Klebba, P. E. (2022). Fluorescent binding protein sensors for detection and quantification of biochemicals, metabolites, and natural products. *Bio Protoc.* 12:e4543. doi: 10.21769/BioProtoc.4543

Newton, S. M., Klebba, P. E., Raynaud, C., Shao, Y., Jiang, X., Dubail, I., et al. (2005). The svpA-srtB locus of *Listeria monocytogenes*: Fur-mediated iron regulation and effect on virulence. *Mol. Microbiol.* 55, 927–940. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2958.2004.04436.x

Newton, S. M., Trinh, V., Pi, H., and Klebba, P. E. (2010). Direct measurements of the outer membrane stage of ferric enterobactin transport: postuptake binding. *J. Biol. Chem.* 285, 17488–17497. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M109.100206

Nikaido, H., and Rosenberg, E. Y. (1990). Cir and Fiu proteins in the outer membrane of *Escherichia coli* catalyze transport of monomeric catechols: study with beta-lactam antibiotics containing catechol and analogous groups. *J. Bacteriol.* 172, 1361–1367. doi: 10.1128/jb.172.3.1361-1367.1990

Noinaj, N., Guillier, M., Barnard, T. J., and Buchanan, S. K. (2010). TonB-dependent transporters: regulation, structure, and function. *Ann. Rev. Microbiol.* 64, 43–60. doi: 10.1146/annurev.micro.112408.134247

Nyenhuis, D. A., Nilaweera, T. D., and Cafiso, D. S. (2020). Native cell environment constrains loop structure in the *Escherichia coli* cobalamin transporter BtuB. *Biophys. J.* 119, 1550–1557. doi: 10.1016/j.bpj.2020.08.034

Pawelek, P. D., Croteau, N., Ng-Thow-Hing, C., Khursigara, C. M., Moiseeva, N., Allaire, M., et al. (2006). Structure of TonB in complex with FhuA, *E. coli* outer membrane receptor. *Science* 312, 1399–1402. doi: 10.1126/science.1128057

Payne, M. A., Igo, J. D., Cao, Z., Foster, S. B., Newton, S. M., and Klebba, P. E. (1997). Biphasic binding kinetics between FepA and its ligands. *J. Biol. Chem.* 272, 21950–21955. doi: 10.1074/jbc.272.35.21950

Penwell, W. F., DeGrace, N., Tentarelli, S., Gauthier, L., Gilbert, C. M., Arivett, B. A., et al. (2015). Discovery and characterization of new Hydroxamate Siderophores, Baumannoferrin A and B, produced by *Acinetobacter baumannii. Chembiochem* 16, 1896–1904. doi: 10.1002/cbic.201500147

Ramirez, M. S., Penwell, W. F., Traglia, G. M., Zimbler, D. L., Gaddy, J. A., Nikolaidis, N., et al. (2019). Identification of potential virulence factors in the model strain *Acinetobacter baumannii* A118. *Front. Microbiol.* 10:1599. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2019.01599

Russo, T. A., Guenther, J. E., Wenderoth, S., and Frank, M. M. (1993). Generation of isogenic K54 capsule-deficient *Escherichia coli* strains through TnphoA-mediated gene disruption. *Mol. Microbiol.* 9, 357–364. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2958.1993.tb01696.x

Russo, T. A., McFadden, C. D., Carlino-MacDonald, U. B., Beanan, J. M., Barnard, T. J., and Johnson, J. R. (2002). IroN functions as a siderophore receptor and is a urovirulence factor in an extraintestinal pathogenic isolate of *Escherichia coli. Infect. Immun.* 70, 7156–7160. doi: 10.1128/IAI.70.12.7156-7160.2002

Russo, T. A., Olson, R., MacDonald, U., Beanan, J., and Davidson, B. A. (2015). Aerobactin, but not yersiniabactin, salmochelin, or enterobactin, enables the growth/ survival of hypervirulent (hypermucoviscous) *Klebsiella pneumoniae* ex vivo and in vivo. *Infect. Immun.* 83, 3325–3333. doi: 10.1128/IAI.00430-15

Russo, T. A., Shon, A. S., Beanan, J. M., Olson, R., MacDonald, U., Pomakov, A. O., et al. (2011). Hypervirulent *K. pneumoniae* secretes more and more active iron-acquisition molecules than "classical" *K. pneumoniae* thereby enhancing its virulence. *PLoS One* 6:e26734. doi: 10.26710.21371/journal.pone.0026734

Rutz, J. M., Liu, J., Lyons, J. A., Goranson, J., Armstrong, S. K., McIntosh, M. A., et al. (1992). Formation of a gated channel by a ligand-specific transport protein in the bacterial outer membrane. *Science (New York, N.Y.)* 258, 471–475. doi: 10.1126/ science.1411544

Sastry, G. M., Adzhigirey, M., Day, T., Annabhimoju, R., and Sherman, W. (2013). Protein and ligand preparation: parameters, protocols, and influence on virtual screening enrichments. J. Comput. Aided Mol. Des. 27, 221–234. doi: 10.1007/s10822-013-9644-8

Schauer, K., Rodionov, D. A., and de Reuse, H. (2008). New substrates for TonBdependent transport: do we only see the 'tip of the iceberg'? *Trends Biochem. Sci.* 33, 330–338. doi: 10.1016/j.tibs.2008.04.012

Scott, D. C., Newton, S. M., and Klebba, P. E. (2002). Surface loop motion in FepA. J. Bacteriol. 184, 4906–4911. doi: 10.1128/JB.184.17.4906-4911.2002

Shea, C. M., and McIntosh, M. A. (1991). Nucleotide sequence and genetic organization of the ferric enterobactin transport system: homology to other periplasmic binding protein- dependent systems in *Escherichia coli. Mol. Microbiol.* 5, 1415–1428. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2958.1991.tb00788.x

Shields, R. K. (2020). Case commentary: the need for Cefiderocol is clear, but are the supporting clinical data? *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 64:e00059-20. doi: 10.1128/AAC.00059-20

Shultis, D. D., Purdy, M. D., Banchs, C. N., and Wiener, M. C. (2006). Outer membrane active transport: structure of the BtuB:TonB complex. *Science* 312, 1396–1399. doi: 10.1126/science.1127694

Simner, P. J., and Patel, R. (2020). Cefiderocol antimicrobial susceptibility testing considerations: the Achilles' heel of the Trojan horse? *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 59:e00951-20. doi: 10.1128/JCM.00951-20

Smallwood, C. R., Jordan, L., Trinh, V., Schuerch, D. W., Gala, A., Hanson, M., et al. (2014). Concerted loop motion triggers induced fit of FepA to ferric enterobactin. *J. Gen. Physiol.* 144, 71–80. doi: 10.1085/jgp.201311159

Smoke, S. M., Brophy, A., Reveron, S., Iovleva, A., Kline, E. G., Marano, M., et al. (2023). Evolution and transmission of Cefiderocol-resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii* during an outbreak in the burn intensive care unit. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 76, e1261–e1265. doi: 10.1093/cid/ciac647

Sprencel, C., Cao, Z., Qi, Z., Scott, D. C., Montague, M. A., Ivanoff, N., et al. (2000). Binding of ferric enterobactin by the *escherichia coli* periplasmic protein fepB. *J. Bacteriol.* 182, 5359–5364. doi: 10.1128/JB.182.19.5359-5364.2000

Takeshita, S., Sato, M., Toba, M., Masahashi, W., and Hashimoto-Gotoh, T. (1987). High-copy-number and low-copy-number plasmid vectors for lac $Z\alpha$ -complementation and chloramphenicol-or kanamycin-resistance selection. *Gene* 61, 63–74. doi: 10.1016/0378-1119(87)90365-9

Thulasiraman, P., Newton, S. M., Xu, J., Raymond, K. N., Mai, C., Hall, A., et al. (1998). Selectivity of ferric enterobactin binding and cooperativity of transport in gram-negative bacteria. *J. Bacteriol.* 180, 6689-6696. doi: 10.1128/JB.180.24. 6689-6696.1998

Tiseo, G., Giordano, C., Leonildi, A., Riccardi, N., Galfo, V., Limongi, F., et al. (2023). Salvage therapy with sulbactam/durlobactam against cefiderocol-resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii* in a critically ill burn patient: clinical challenges and molecular characterization. *JAC Antimicrob. Resist.* 5:dlad078. doi: 10.1093/jacamr/dlad078

Trott, O., and Olson, A. J. (2010). AutoDock Vina: improving the speed and accuracy of docking with a new scoring function, efficient optimization, and multithreading. *J. Comput. Chem.* 31, 455–461. doi: 10.1002/jcc.21334

Wayne, R., Frick, K., and Neilands, J. B. (1976). Siderophore protection against colicins M, B, V, and Ia in *Escherichia coli*. J. Bacteriol. 126, 7–12. doi: 10.1128/jb.126.1.7-12.1976

Wayne, R., and Neilands, J. B. (1975). Evidence for common binding sites for ferrichrome compounds and bacteriophage phi 80 in the cell envelope of *Escherichia coli*. *J. Bacteriol*. 121, 497–503. doi: 10.1128/jb.121.2.497-503.1975

Wencewicz, T. A., Long, T. E., Mollmann, U., and Miller, M. J. (2013). Trihydroxamate siderophore-fluoroquinolone conjugates are selective sideromycin antibiotics that target *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Bioconjug. Chem.* 24, 473–486. doi: 10.410.1021/bc300610f

Wu, J. Y., Srinivas, P., and Pogue, J. M. (2020). Cefiderocol: A novel agent for the management of multidrug-resistant gram-negative organisms. *Infect. Dis. Ther.* 9, 17–40. doi: 10.1007/s40121-020-00286-6

Yamano, Y. (2019). In vitro activity of Cefiderocol against a broad range of clinically important gram-negative Bacteria. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 69, S544–S551. doi: 10.1093/cid/ciz827

Zhanel, G. G., Golden, A. R., Zelenitsky, S., Wiebe, K., Lawrence, C. K., Adam, H. J., et al. (2019). Cefiderocol: A Siderophore cephalosporin with activity against Carbapenem-resistant and multidrug-resistant gram-negative Bacilli. *Drugs* 79, 271–289. doi: 10.1007/s40265-019-1055-2

Zhu, M., Valdebenito, M., Winkelmann, G., and Hantke, K. (2005). Functions of the siderophore esterases IroD and IroE in iron-salmochelin utilization. *Microbiology* (*Reading*) 151, 2363–2372. doi: 10.1099/mic.0.27888-0