#### Check for updates

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY Zhixun Xie, Guangxi Veterinary Research Institute, Nanning, China

REVIEWED BY Huapeng Feng, Zhejiang Sci-Tech University, China Yani Sun, Northwest A&F University, China

\*CORRESPONDENCE Yanping Wu ⊠ wyp\_0902@126.com Zhaofeng Kang ⊠ kzf18579069658@163.com

RECEIVED 20 November 2023 ACCEPTED 04 January 2024 PUBLISHED 08 February 2024

#### CITATION

Zhang F, Li H, Lin C, Wei Y, Zhang W, Wu Y and Kang Z (2024) Detection and genetic diversity of subgroup K avian leukosis virus in local chicken breeds in Jiangxi from 2021 to 2023. *Front. Microbiol.* 15:1341201. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2024.1341201

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Zhang, Li, Lin, Wei, Zhang, Wu and Kang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

### Detection and genetic diversity of subgroup K avian leukosis virus in local chicken breeds in Jiangxi from 2021 to 2023

Fanfan Zhang, Haiqin Li, Cui Lin, Yue Wei, Weihong Zhang, Yanping Wu\* and Zhaofeng Kang\*

Institute of Animal Husbandry and Veterinary Medicine, Jiangxi Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Nanchang, China

Avian leukosis virus subgroup K (ALV-K) is a new subgroup of avian leukosis virus (ALV) that was first identified in Chinese native chickens in recent years. To further understand the molecular epidemiology and evolutionary diversity of ALV-K, this study investigated the molecular epidemiology of 73,664 chicken plasma samples collected from Jiangxi native chicken flocks. The results showed that ALV-J was the most predominant ALV subtype in Jiangxi native chickens, with a high positivity rate of 4.34%. From 2021 to 2023, there was a gradual upward trend in the proportion of positive numbers of ALV-K among ALV-positive samples, and there was a trend of outbreaks. ALV-J and ALV-K were the main co-infection patterns. Genetic evolutionary analysis based on ALV-K gp85 gene showed that the isolated ALV-K in this study were distributed in various branches of the evolutionary tree with genetic diversity. The homology results showed that the amino acid homology of the isolated ALV-K gp85 gene ranged from 33.9 to 88.1% with the reference strains of subtypes A, B, C, D, E, and J, and from 91.9 to 100% with the other ALV-K reference strains. Multiple mutations were present in the ALV-K gp85, and especially significant mutations were found in the highly variable region hr2. The results of ALV-K replication efficiency showed that the replication efficiency of ALV-K was significantly lower than that of ALV-J. These results enriched the genome sequence data of ALV-K in Chinese geoducks, and laid the foundation for further research on the pathogenesis and prevention of ALV-K.

#### KEYWORDS

avian leukosis virus subgroup K, prevalence, genetic diversity, gp85 gene, avian leukosis virus

### **1** Introduction

Avian leukosis (AL) is an avian neoplastic disease caused by avian leukosis virus (ALV) infection of the avian C retrovirus group, including lymphoblastic leukosis, myeloid leukosis and erythrocytic leukosis (Deng et al., 2022; Mo et al., 2022). According to the host range and the mutual interference between different strains and the antigenic structure of the viral capsular glycoprotein, ALV can be divided into 11 subgroups (A to K), of which subgroup E belongs to the endogenous ALV, which is not pathogenic or has very low pathogenicity, while subgroup K of ALV (ALV-K) is a new subgroup firstly isolated from the local strains of chickens in our country, and has been reported more frequently in

yellow-feathered broilers and local strains of chickens in recent years (Lv et al., 2019; Chen et al., 2022; Guo et al., 2023). More. Compared with other subgroups, subgroup J has stronger pathogenicity and transmission ability, and is more harmful (Smith et al., 2018; Deng et al., 2021). Epidemiological investigation showed that A, B, J, and K are the four major subgroups with the widest epidemiological scope and the most serious harm in China (Shao et al., 2017). It is worth noting that although ALV-K has been prevalent in China for more than a decade, there is still no longterm systematic epidemiological study on Jiangxi local breed chickens. Different breeds of chickens infected with ALV exhibit different types of clinical symptoms, such as growth retardation, low feed utilization, multiple organ tumors and induced immunosuppression, causing serious economic losses to the poultry farming industry.

In 2012, Wang et al. isolated a novel strain of ALV from local breed chickens in Jiangsu province, whose env gene differed significantly from other subgroups, and it was considered a new subgroup of avian leukosis virus and named ALV-K (Li et al., 2016; Guo et al., 2023). In previous studies, an exogenous ALV strain TW-3593 isolated from Taiwan, China, in 2008, and several strains of fowl glioma virus (FGV) isolated from Japan were reported to be from local chickens, which showed high amino acid sequence similarity (more than 90%) to the gp85 gene of Chinese ALV-K isolates, but low similarity to other ALVs (Chang et al., 2013; Guo et al., 2023). Subsequently, ALV-K isolates were also found in yellow chickens from Guangdong and Shandong, China (Li et al., 2016, 2019; Sun et al., 2020). Recently, our research group found that ALV-K is widespread in endemic chickens in Jiangxi Province, southern China, and the positivity rate in ALV-positive samples has been increasing yearly.

Currently, there is no vaccine or effective drug for the control of ALV-K-induced diseases. Eradication of infected populations is considered the most effective way to minimize the spread of ALV-K in developed poultry industries; however, control and eradication of ALV-K in less organized poultry industries such as local breeds of chickens, free-range chickens and hobby chickens is still a major challenge (Su et al., 2018a; Li et al., 2023). It has been frequently reported in local breed chickens in China in the last decade and must be monitored and studied to avoid it as a potential risk. For this reason, the present study was conducted to investigate the epidemiology of the four most damaging avian leukosis viruses in local chicken breeds in Jiangxi (Taihe Silky Fowl, Dongxiang Green-Shell Chicken, and Nindu yellow chickens), and to perform wholegenome sequencing, sequence comparisons, and genetic evolutionary analyses of isolated ALV-K representative strains, with a view to provide a basis for epidemiological investigations of ALV in subgroup K in China.

### 2 Materials and methods

### 2.1 Animal ethics statement

The Experimental Animal Ethics Committee in Jiangxi Academy of Agricultural. Sciences (JXAAS 2020-0052) approved this study. All procedures involving animals were conducted according to the guidelines for the care and use of experimental animals established by the Ministry of Agriculture of China.

### 2.2 Clinical samples and cells

A total of 73,664 plasma samples were collected during 2022-2023 from Taihe Silky Fowl, Dongxiang Green Shell Laying Chicken, Chongren Partridge Chicken and Ningdu Yellow Chicken groups, respectively, which were undergoing AL purification. The samples were collected from local chickens of different breed groups: 19,008 samples from Taihe Silky Fowl (29-30 weeks), 35,922 samples from Broken Dongxiang Green-Shell Chicken (27-28 weeks), 7685 samples from Raw Chongren Partridge Chicken (22-23 weeks), and 11,049 samples from Ningdu Yellow Chicken (20-21 weeks). Among them, the anticoagulated blood samples were collected aseptically and then centrifuged at 3,000 r/min for 5 min to obtain plasma homogenate, which was stored at -80°C. DF-1 cells (CRL-12203, ATCC), which can distinguish between endogenous and exogenous ALV, were purchased from ATCC (American Type Culture Collection), and the growth solution required for their culture was DMEM containing 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS, GIBCO, United States). The maintenance solution was DMEM containing 1% FBS. DF-1 cells were maintained in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium supplemented with 5% fetal bovine serum and were kept in our own laboratory.

#### 2.3 Virus isolation

Each chicken was aseptically collected 1 mL of anticoagulated blood through the wing vein with a sterile sodium heparin anticoagulant blood collection tube, centrifuged at 1,200 r/min for 3 min at 4°C, and then 60 uL of plasma samples were inoculated on DF-1 cells that had grown into monolayers in a 96-well cell culture plate, and then incubated at 37°C for 2 h. After discarding the cell growth solution, the cells were washed twice with sterile PBS and then replaced by the cell maintenance solution, and then cultured for 9 day under the condition of 37°C and 5% CO<sub>2</sub>. After incubation for 9d at 37°C and 5% CO<sub>2</sub>, the culture supernatant was collected and tested by ALV-p27 antigen ELISA kit (NECVB, China) according to the instructions to determine the presence of exogenous ALV infection, and the supernatant of p27-positive samples was stored at -80°C for spare use.

## 2.4 PCR amplification and identification of proviral genes

The collected cells and supernatant were lysed by adding DNAiso reagent, and then purified and lysed to obtain DNA, which was used as a template for PCR amplification of proviral genes. Four pairs of primers for the identification of ALV subgroups A, B, J, and K were synthesized by Sangon Biotech (Shanghai) Co., Ltd. The primer sequences are shown in Table 1. PCR amplification system (total volume of  $25 \,\mu$ L):  $1 \,\mu$ L each of upstream and downstream primers,  $12.5 \,\mu$ L of  $2 \times Taq$  Master Mix (Dye Plus),  $2 \,\mu$ L of DNA template,  $8.5 \,\mu$ L of ddH<sub>2</sub>O. PCR amplification procedure: pre-denaturation at  $95^{\circ}$ C for 5 min, denaturation at

Primer	Sequence	Length (bp)	Purpose
ALV-K-1F	ATCGATTGTAGTCAAATAGAGCCAGAGGC	2,497	Whole genome
ALV-K-1R	AGGGGTGTCTAAGGAGAAACCG		
ALV-K-2F	ACCCGGAGAAGACACCCTT	2,672	
ALV-K-2R	CTGGGTCGGTCAGAAGGATGT		
ALV-K-3F	ACCCGGAGAAGACACCCTT	2,313	
ALV-K-3R	TATAGCGGAGGAGGAGCCACCTCGT		
ALV-F	ACCCGGAGAAGACACCCTT		Detection (Zhang et al., 2021)
ALV-A-R	AGGGGTGTCTAAGGAGAAACCG	563	
ALV-B-R	CTGGGTCGGTCAGAAGGATGT	563	
ALV-J-R	CATAGGGCCTTATAAGAAGGTCAT	563	
ALV-K-R	TATAGCGGAGGAGGAGCCACCTCGT	559	

TABLE 1 Primers used in detection of ALV-A, ALV-B, ALV-J, ALV-K and amplifying the whole genome of ALV-K.

 $94^{\circ}$ C for 30 s, annealing at  $58^{\circ}$ C for 45 s, and extension at  $72^{\circ}$ C for 60 s for 35 cycles. A blank control (normal DF1 cells) and a positive control [ALV-K prototype virus (TW-3593)] were set at the same time. PCR products were taken and identified by 1% agarose gel electrophoresis.

### 2.5 Amplification and sequencing of the provirus genome

The proviral DNA was divided into three segments, and three pairs of overlapping primers specific for the whole ALV-K gene were synthesized according to the method in the reference (Table 1), and the proviral DNA was used as a template for PCR amplification. PCR amplification system (total volume of 25 µL): 1 µL each of upstream and downstream primers, 12.5 µL of  $2 \times$  Vazyme LAmp Master Mix (Dye Plus),  $2 \mu$ L of DNA template, 8.5 µL of ddH<sub>2</sub>O. PCR amplification procedure: pre-denaturation at 95°C for 5 min, denaturation at 95°C for 30 s, annealing at 58°C for 30 s, extension at 72°C for 2 min, a total of 35 cycles; 72°C extension for another 10 min. PCR amplification products were identified and the target bands were cut off on 1.0% agarose gel electrophoresis and purified by commercial gel recovery reagents. The purified DNA was ligated into pMD18-T Vector (TaKaRa Co., Ltd., Dalian, China) and transformed into E. coli receptor cells DH5aa. Single colonies were extracted with Plasmid MiniKit (OMEGA, United States) and identified by enzyme digestion, and the positive cloned plasmids were sent to Shanghai Sangong Biological Engineering Co.

### 2.6 Phylogenetic analysis

Reference strains with different domestic and international ALV subtypes, years and regions, and host sources were selected from NCBI (Table S1). The full-length splicing of each fragment sequence of the isolates was performed with DNAStar 7.0/SeqMan software, and the full and partial gene sequences of each ALV-K strain were compared with BioEdit 7.2.6.1 software for nucleic acid and amino acid homology analysis; and the genetic evolutionary tree of the env gene of the isolates was constructed using Mega 7.0 software (using the Neighbor-Joining

Neighbor-Joining (NJ) method with Bootstrap value of 1,000), to assess their origin and evolutionary trend. The whole gene and gp85 gene of the reference and isolate viruses were analyzed by the Clustal W method using DNAStar 7.0 software.

# 2.7 Analysis of replication ability through real-time quantitative PCR and 50% tissue culture infective dose

Briefly, 6-well cell culture plates containing monolayers of DF-1 cells were prepared prior to infection and labeled A, B and C (Li H. Q. et al., 2022). Plate A was inoculated with 10<sup>5</sup> 50% tissue culture infectious dose (TCID<sub>50</sub>) of JXDX2301 per well, plate B was inoculated with 105 TCID<sub>50</sub> of JXTH2202 per well and plate C was inoculated with 105 TCID<sub>50</sub> of JXTH2203 per well. The titer of the virus was further determined from the collected cell supernatant at 1 to 7-day post-infection (dpi). The viral solution was multiply diluted at 10<sup>1</sup> to 10<sup>7</sup> with 8 replicates per dilution and inoculated onto the surface of DF-1 cells in 96-well plates. After incubation for 2 h, the viral solution was run off and replaced with 1% FBS in DMEM culture medium for maintenance for 7 dpi. The p27 antigen was determined by IFA, and the TCID<sub>50</sub> value was calculated according to the Reed-Muench method in three independent replicates of the assay (for detailed methodology, see Wu et al., 2011).

### **3 Results**

### 3.1 Virus detection and isolation

Infecting the samples with DF-1 cells produced no significant cytopathic effect (CPE) compared to the control. DF-1 cell supernatants were further tested using the ALV ELISA kit (NECVB, China), and a total of 5,684 samples were found to be positive out of 73,664 samples. PCR of the obtained positive samples using primers for different ALV subgroups (Table 2) showed that a total of 35 samples were positive for ALV-A, 13 samples were positive for ALV-B, 3,200 samples were positive for ALV-J, and 2,436 samples were positive for ALV-K.

Classifications	CompleNe	Viruses [number (positive rate, %)]									
Classifications	Sample No.	ALV-A	ALV-B	ALV-J	ALV-K						
Year											
2021	23,560	15 (0.06%)	5 (0.02%)	2001 (8.49%)	753 (3.20%)						
2022	25,694	14 (0.05%)	3 (0.01%)	840 (3.27%)	941 (3.66%)						
2023	24,410	6 (0.02%)	5 (0.02%)	359 (1.47%)	742 (3.04%)						
Total	73,664	35 (0.05%)	13 (0.02%)	3,200 (4.34%)	2,436 (3.31%)						
Species											
TSF	19,008	6 (0.03%)	2 (0.01%)	556 (2.93%)	507 (2.67%)						
DGSC	35,922	26 (0.07%)	10 (0.03%)	2,410 (6.71%)	1745 (4.86%)						
CPC	7,685	3 (0.04%)	1 (0.01%)	195 (2.54%)	128 (1.67%)						
NYC	11,049	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	39 (0.35%)	56 (0.51%)						
Genders											
Roosters	65,054	35 (0.05%)	13 (0.02%)	3,035 (4.67%)	2,329 (3.58%)						
Hens	8,610	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%)	165 (1.92%)	107 (1.24%)						

TABLE 2 Categorization of detection results on Avian leukosis virus of samples collected from 2021 to 2023.

TSF, Taihe Silky Fowl; DGSC, Dongxiang Green-Shell Chicken; CPC, Chongren Partridge Chicken; NYC, Ningdu Yellow Chicken.



# 3.2 Prevalence of ALV-A, ALV-B, ALV-J, and ALV-K

A total of 73,664 anticoagulated blood samples from four major local chicken breeds in Jiangxi from 2021 to 2023 were tested in this study. The results showed that ALV-J remained the predominant subtype of ALV in infected chickens, with prevalence rates ranging between 1.47 and 8.49% (Table 2). This was followed by subtype ALV-K with prevalence rates ranging from 3.04 to 3.66%. low detection rates (<0.06%) were observed for ALV-A and ALV-B. From the statistics of the percentage of each subtype in the ALV-positive samples, the positive rate of ALV-J subtype was decreasing year by year, while it is noteworthy that the positive rate of ALV-K was increasing year by year (Figure 1). Samples infected with four ALV subtypes were counted in the four sampled local chicken breeds. The highest ALV detection rate was found in DGSC, with ALV-A, ALV-B, ALV-J, and ALV-K positivity rates of 0.07, 0.3, 6.71, and 4.86%, respectively; the lowest ALV detection rate was found in NYC, with ALV-J and ALV-K positivity rates of 0.35 and 0.51%, respectively; and no ALV-A, ALV-B infection. In terms of the sex of the samples, the ALV positivity rate was significantly higher (p < 0.05) in hen flocks (8.32%) than in cockerel flocks (1.24%). These data suggest that ALV-J and ALV-K remain the predominant subtypes of ALV in flocks, especially in farms undergoing ALV evolutionary procedures, where ALV-K was significantly elevated.

# 3.3 Co-infections of ALV In chickens in Jiangxi

The frequency of co-infections was analyzed in this study. In 73,664 anticoagulated blood samples, the prevalence of monoinfection was 0.01% (9/73,664), 0.01% (9/73,664), 3.75% (2,762/73,664) and 2.68% (1,977/73,664) for ALV-A, ALV-B, ALV-J, and ALV-K, respectively (Table 3). The prevalence of co-infection caused by more than one ALV subtype ranged from 0.00 to 0.59%. The highest prevalence of co-infection with ALV-J and ALV-K was 0.59%. In addition, triple infections were observed in six cases, including three cases of co-infection with ALV-A, ALV-J, and ALV-K, and three cases of co-infection with ALV-B, ALV-J, and ALV-K.

# 3.4 Phylogenetic analysis of ALV-K isolates from Jiangxi chickens

During 2021–2023, 18 of the 2,436 ALV-K strains isolated were selected for whole genome sequencing. The results of the analysis showed that the overall nucleotide similarity of the gp85 gene of these 18 viruses ranged from 93.0 to 99.8% and amino acid similarity from 92.5 to 99.4%, while the nucleotide similarity with the other 13 strains of the K isoform ranged from 92.1 to 100% and amino acid similarity from 91.9 to 100%. The overall nucleotide similarities between the gp85 gene of the

Mono-and co-	Comple No	Local chicken breeds resources of Jiangxi, China [Number (positive rate, %)]								
infections of ALVs	Sample NO.	TSF	DGSC	CPC	NYC					
Only A	73,664	1 (0.00)	6 (0.01)	2 (0.00)	0 (0.00)					
Only B	73,664	2 (0.00)	7 (0.01)	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)					
Only J	73,664	492 (0.67)	2,087 (2.83)	163 (0.22)	20 (0.03)					
Only K	73,664	443 (0.60)	1,422 (1.93)	75 (0.10)	37 (0.05)					
A + J	73,664	1 (0.00)	7 (0.01)	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)					
A+K	73,664	3 (0.00)	2 (0.00)	1 (0.00)	0 (0.00)					
B + J	73,664	0 (0.00)	2 (0.00)	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)					
B+K	73,664	0 (0.00)	4 (0.01)	1 (0.00)	0 (0.00)					
J+K	73,664	63 (0.09)	318 (0.43)	32 (0.04)	19 (0.03)					
A + J + K	73,664	1 (0.00)	2 (0.00)	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)					
B + J + K	73,664	0 (0.00)	3 (0.00)	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)					

TABLE 3 Mono-and co-infections of ALV-A, ALV-B, ALV-J, and ALV-K in samples during 2021 to 2023.

TSF, Taihe Silky Fowl; DGSC, Dongxiang Green-Shell Chicken; CPC, Chongren Partridge Chicken; NYC, Ningdu Yellow Chicken.

18 viruses isolated in this study and those of ALV-A, ALV-B, ALV-C, ALV-D, ALV-E, and ALV-J were 83.1–87.6%, 82.2–84.0%, 86.5–91.5%, 82.5–84.1%, 79.8–87.6%, and 79.8–87.6%, and 41.2–44.2% amino acid similarities of 80.4–85.0%, 76.8–82.6%, 82.9–88.1%, 80.5–82.3%, 75.7–83.8%, and 33.9–38.2%, respectively (Table S2). According to the ALV subgroup analysis based on the gp85 gene sequence, all the above 18 isolates were clustered in one evolutionary branch, but were not in any cluster with the reference strains of subtypes A-E and were most distantly related to the reference strains of subgroup J, indicating that these 18 isolates belonged to ALV-K (Figure 2). Further analysis of all the above 18 isolates revealed that 10 ALV-K isolates were in Clade 1.2 and 8 ALV-K isolates were in Clade 1.1 (Figure 2).

# 3.5 Characteristics of gp85 of ALV-K isolates from Jiangxi local chicken

The gp85 gene isolated in this study was 1,005 bp in length and encoded 335 amino acids, while the gp85 gene of JXCR2101, JXTH2101, JXCR2301 was 1,002 bp in length and encoded 334 amino acids. The amino acid differences between the strains were mainly concentrated in the two highly variable regions hr1 and hr2 and the variable region Vr2, including insertion, deletion and substitution mutations. Amino acid sequence comparison showed that JXCR2101 and JXTH2101 had a "D" deletion at position 72 compared to the other strains, and it is noteworthy that the JXCR2301 isolate in this study had a deletion from R at position 157. Importantly, the strains in this study showed different mutations from the reference strain, such as R mutation to H at position 155 of JXTH2302 at hr1, F mutation to S at position 200 of JXDX2302 at hr2, and P mutation to A at position 207 of JXDX2302 at hr2 (Figure 3).

# 3.6 Viral replication and competitive advantages of the isolates from Jiangxi local chicken

Supernatants of the infected cells at different intervals were harvested and tested for viral titers using the Reed-Muench method. JXDX2301, JXTH2202, and JXTH2203 strains were inoculated with DF-1 cells, and the supernatants from infected cells at different time intervals were harvested and tested for viral titers using the IFA method, and viral virulence was calculated by the Reed-Muench method. As shown in Figure 4, from 1 to 7 dpi, the viral titer of JXTH2203 was higher than that of JXDX2301 and JXTH2202. the viral load in the chicken genome was detected using the single-copy housekeeping gene  $\beta$ -actin as a reference. At 3 dpi, JXDX2301, JXTH2202, and JXTH2203 had the highest significant viral titers (p < 0.0002), which were 1.844 and 2.042 times lower than the titer of JXTH2203, respectively.

### **4** Discussion

ALV-K infects chickens without clinical or only subclinical signs and has been considered non-pathogenic or low pathogenicity (Li Y. et al., 2022). At the same time, long-term targeted testing and genetic evolutionary analyses of isolates in the field are lacking. Since 2015, however, the finding of enhanced replication or increased pathogenicity of ALV-K isolates obtained from clinical samples has drawn the attention of researchers to changes in the genetic evolution and pathogenicity of ALV-K (Lv et al., 2019; Chen et al., 2022). Currently, ALV-K is prevalent not only in Chinese yellow chicken breeds, but also in chicken breeds from other Asian countries (Ochi et al., 2012; Chang et al., 2013; Guo et al., 2023). Compared with ALV-J, ALV-K is easily overlooked in routine testing, which is detrimental to the ALV eradication programmed (Chen X. et al., 2020). ALV-K, as the latest new subgroup to be discovered, has a strong transmission range and ability to spread even though its pathogenicity is weaker than that of the other subgroups, and it is especially more widespread in yellow-feathered broilers and local strains of chickens, which has resulted in some economic losses to the poultry industry (Li et al., 2019; Chen et al., 2022).

Until now, there is no report on the epidemiology of ALV-K in local chicken breeds in Jiangxi region. In this study, we reported for the first time the epidemiology of ALV and ALV-K gene characterization in local chickens in Jiangxi region during the period of 2021–2023, which can help us to understand the status of ALV-K in local chicken breeds in Jiangxi, and provide clues to the evolutionary



trend of this virus. In this study, 35 strains of ALV-A, 13 strains of ALV-B, 3,200 strains of ALV-J, and 2,436 strains of ALV-K were isolated from 73,664 samples collected during the period of 2021-2023, which suggests that there are multiple subgroups of ALV infections in local breeds of chickens in the Jiangxi region at the present time, with ALV-J as the main subgroup. In recent years, epidemiological investigations of ALV disease have been carried out in many regions nationwide, and the results of serological studies showed that the infection rate of subpopulation A/B was between 5 and 10%, while the infection rate of subpopulation J was around 10-20% (Shao et al., 2017; Zhou et al., 2019; Dou et al., 2023). The results of the pathogenetic study showed that the infection rate of subgroup A/B ranged from 8.3-1.65% in local chickens, while the infection rate of subgroup J was 6.61% and that of subgroup K was 2.48% (Su et al., 2018b). In contrast, nucleic acid testing of some clinical samples with suspected disease revealed that ALV-J infection was significantly higher than the former. We found that the predominance of ALV-J and the co-infection of multiple subpopulations is the current epidemiological status of avian leukosis, which is basically consistent in different regions. It is worth noting that a new subpopulation, ALV-K, has emerged in recent years, and its prevalence in local chicken breeds is second only to that of ALV-J. These data suggest that ALV-J infections in local flocks are very

common in China, a large poultry farming country. Although nationwide purification procedures for avian leukosis have been implemented for more than a decade, the disease has not been completely eradicated. Therefore, a continuous molecular epidemiological investigation of ALV infection in China is necessary.

From the 2,436 isolated ALV-K strains, 18 samples identified by PCR to be free of exogenous subgroups A, B and J ALV infections, and with only subgroup K ALV infections present, were randomly selected for whole-genome sequencing and phylogenetic analyses of the genomes. The results revealed that the 18 isolates isolated in this study were distributed on both major branches of ALV-K. Ten of these 27 isolates were concentrated in the Clade 1.2 branch of the ALV-K gp85 gene evolutionary tree, and eight were concentrated in the Clade 1.1 branch of the ALV-K gp85 gene evolutionary tree. Combining the isolation time and region of different strains, it can be learnt that the ALV-K strains in Jiangxi have genetic diversity (Guo et al., 2023). Among six isolates of silk-feathered silkie, five ALV-K isolates were in the Clade 1.2 branch, while among six isolates of Dongxiang green laying hen, five ALV-K isolates were in the Clade 1.1 branch. Interestingly, many current epidemiological investigations have concluded that the position of ALV isolates on the evolutionary tree is related to the genetic background of their hosts, i.e., ALVs of the same host origin tend to be more similar.

	DVHLLEQP		T	T		1			I DRL VS	T			T		IFFELQL	-
		10	20	30	4	0	50	60	7	70	80		90	100		110
\$13DXO9(KM873201)					• • • • <mark>• • • • • •</mark>	• • • • • • • • •										
S11C1(KF746200)					• • • • <mark>• • • • • •</mark>	<mark></mark>		G		. G				P		• • •
DFXO603(KP686144)	• • • • • • • • •		• • • • • • • •		•••••	• • • • • • • • • •				• • • • • • •				· · · L · · ·		• • •
bi 000(AB660422)					. A			G C P	 PH	G PD			 c		s	• • •
m 5845(AB670314)						••••		G 3. Ki	F II	. G= . FD.	19		3	<b>L</b>		
W3593(HM582658)								D		D			s	с		
D14LZ(KU605774)							R			D			S	PW		
13DX11(KM873203)																
13LHO1(KM873208)					<mark></mark>			G					S	P.W.		
13LYO5(KM873211)					<mark></mark>	<mark></mark>								L		
n_6349(AB764106)					<mark></mark>	<mark>.</mark>				D			S	P.W.		
13LYO7(KM873213)					<mark>. R</mark>	<mark></mark>		G								
CR2101(OR670952)					<mark></mark>	• • • • • • • • • •		G		. G				P		
CR2201(OR670953)					• • • • <mark>• • • • • •</mark>	<mark>l</mark>				• • • • • • •				L		• • •
CR2301(OR6/0954)			• • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • •		• • • • • • • • •		G						W		• • •
DX2101(OR670955)			• • • • • • • •		· · · · <mark>· R</mark> . · · ·	<mark>erre</mark> rre				D			8	P. W		• • •
DX2102(OR670950)						· · · · · · · · · · ·				D			· · · · · · ·	L		
DX2201(OR070957)							· · · · N. · · ·	6								
DX2202(OR670959)								G		D			9		S	
DX2302(OR670960)						F	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						S.	PL .		
ND2101(OR670961)										D.			S.	P. W		
ND2201(OR670962)																
ND2301(OR670963)														L		
TH2101(OR670964)								G		G				P		
TH2102(OR670965)					<mark></mark> .									L		
TH2201(OR670966)														L		
TH2202(OR670967)					<mark></mark> .			G					S	P.W.		
TH2301(OR670968)					<mark></mark> .									L		
TH2302(OR670969)					<mark></mark> .									L		
				h	r1								1	ır2		
	LPNI TNI T	QI SGVAG	JGCVAF GP	RSIDTLSD	WSRPQLTRW		EPFTVVTA	DRHNL F RG	I EYCGT	YGYRFW	LYNCSQ	GSRFRC	GRVPVC	GLPETG	UTRTGGI	WV
	120		130	140	150	16	α	170	180		190	200		210	220	
13DXO9(KM873201)		P		R	E.	V			N			R. P. YF.	T.		к	
11C1(KF746200)	<mark>.</mark>	PN		K			L	<mark></mark> 3	S			. P. Y	. H. AA.		S К	<mark>.</mark>
DFXO603(KP686144)	<mark>.</mark>			· · · · <u>·</u> · · ·				• • • • • • • • • •			A.		· · · · <u>·</u> ·			<mark>.</mark>
D110503R(KF738251)	<mark>.</mark>	P		R	E.	. V		• • • • • • • • • •	N			к. Р. YF.	т.		K	<mark>:</mark> • •
ci_oo9(AB669433)	· · · · · · · · · ·							<mark></mark> i	S						К	•••••
n_5845(AB670314)								· · · · · · · · · · ·	 D		A.	· · · · · · ·				<u>,</u>
W3593(HM582658)	A			IVI				· · · · · · · · · · ·	K			Y			K	<mark>,</mark>
D14LZ(KU605774)	A	····						· · · · · <mark>· · · ·</mark>	K			Y			K	<b></b>
13DX11(KM873203)		· · P. · · ·			<del>.</del> .			· · · · · <mark>· · · ·</mark>			A.					
13LHO1(KM873208)								• • • • • <mark>• • • •</mark>			A.					
13LYO5(KM873211)									 D		A.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				<u>,</u>
m_6349(AB764106)	· · · · · · · · · A			IVI					R N			D D VE	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		<b>r</b>	
13LYO7(KM873213)		P		ĸ	E.	v		· · · · · · · · · · · ·	N			τ. Ρ. ΤΓ. V			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u>,</u>
CR2101(OR670952)		P'N		<b>N</b>				<mark></mark>	R			1			T N	
CR2201(OR670953)			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			F			s		A.					
DV2101(OR6/0954)			0.	м					8			v				
DX2101(OR670955)				M M					R			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			к	
DX2102(OR070950)	A	4							R			Y			K	
DX2201(OR070957)											Δ					
DX2202(OR670959)	A			. М					R			Y			К	
DX2302(OR670960)				К				M. I	NG			. P. S	. H. F			
ND2101(OR670961)	A	4		M					R			Y			К	
ND2201(OR670962)								<mark></mark>			A.					
ND2301(OR670963)								<mark></mark>			A.					
TH2101(OR670964)		PN		K			L	<mark>.</mark> 8	S			. P. Y	. H. TA.		S K	<mark>.</mark>
TH2102(OR670965)																
					<u></u> .			• • • • <mark>•</mark> • • • •			A.					<mark>.</mark>
TH2201(OR670966)								· · · · · · · · · · · ·	 		A.					<mark></mark>
TH2201(OR670966) TH2202(OR670967)			· · · · · · · · ·		· · · · · · · · · · · ·				s		A.				к	-
TH2201(OR670966) TH2202(OR670967) TH2301(OR670968)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · ·		н	· · · · · · · · · · · ·		S	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	A.				к	3
TH2201(OR670966) TH2202(OR670967) TH2301(OR670968) TH2302(OR670969)	· · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	н			S	· · · · · · · · ·	A. A. A.	· · · · · · · ·		· · · · · · · ·	к	
(TH2201(OR670966) (TH2202(OR670967) (TH2301(OR670968) (TH2302(OR670969)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				н			S	· · · · · · · · ·	A. A. A.	· · · · · · · ·			к	· · · ·
TH2201(OR670966) TH2202(OR670967) TH2301(OR670968) TH2302(OR670969)		PFSFTAN	CTASNL GI	1VSGCCGKT	Vr1 IPTTLPPGAW	H.	FTKPKALPF	AI FLI CGE	S	PSRPVG	GPCYL Gł		NHTEI L	KVL ANSS	RTGI RRI	< <u>R</u> S
(TH2201(OR670966) (TH2202(OR670967) (TH2301(OR670968) (TH2302(OR670969)	KEI NETEF	PFSFTANC 240	CTASNL G		Vr1 IPTTLPPGAW 280		FTKPKAL PF	AI FLI CGE	DRAWQGI 280	PSRPVG 300	GPCYLG	LTMLAPI 310	NHTEI L 320	KVLANSS	RT GI RRI	KRS
TH2201(OR670966) TH2202(OR670967) TH2301(OR670968) TH2302(OR670969)	<u>KEI NETER</u> 230	PFSFTANC 40	CTASNL GI		Vr1 <u>PTTLPPGAW</u> 280 	H. H. DSTQGSF 270	FTKPKALPF	AI FLI CGE	)RAWQGI 7 290	PSRPVG 300	GPCYL G	L T ML A PI		KVLANSS	<u>SRT GI RRI</u> 330	KRS
TH2201(OR670966) TH2202(OR670967) TH2301(OR670968) TH2302(OR670968) TH2302(OR670969)	KEI NETEI 230	PFSFTANC 240	CTASNL GI	NVSGCCGK1 250 	ГРТТЦРРБАМ 280 	H. VIDSTQGSF 270	FTKPKALPF 280	AI FLI CGE	S DRAWQGI 290 	PSRPVG 300	GPCYLG	L T ML A PI		KVL ANSS	<u>SRT GI RRI</u> 330	KRS
TH2201(OR670966) TH2202(OR670967) TH2301(OR670968) TH2302(OR670969) 13DXO9(KM873201) 11C1(KF746200) DFXO603(KP686144)	KEI NETEI 230	PFSFTANC 240	CTASNL GI	<u>vvsgccgk1</u> 250	ГРТТL РРБАИ 1280 	H. H. ZTO I	FTKPKALPF 7 280 	AI FLI CGE	S DRAWQGI 290	PSRPVG 300	GPCYLG	L T ML A PI 310		KVL ANSS	3RT GI RRI 330 	KRS
TH2201(QR670966) TH2202(QR670967) TH2301(QR670968) TH2302(QR670968) STH2302(QR670969) STH2302(QR670968) STH2302(QR670968) STH2003(QR686144) DFX0603(QF686144) D10503(RF733251)	KEI NETEI 230 	PFSFTAN( 240	CTASNL GI	NVSGCCGK1 ZSO	TPTTLPPGAW 280 1	H. M DSTQGSF 270	FTKPKALPF 280	AI FLI CGE	DRAWQGI 1 290	PSRPVG 300	GPCYLG	L T ML A PI 310		KVL ANSS	<u>SRT GI RRI</u> 330 	KRS
TH2201(QR670966) TH2202(QR670967) TH2301(QR670968) TH2302(QR670969) 13DXO9(KM873201) 11C1(KF746200) DFXO603(KF686144) 110503(KF738251) 110503(KF738251)	KEI NETEI 230 K.	PFSFTAN( T 240	CTASNL GI	NVSGCCGK <sup>*</sup> 250	TPTTLPPGAV 280	H. 270 270 6.	FTKPKALPF 280	AI FLI CGE	)RAWQGI 220	PSRPVG 300	GPCYL GP	LTMLAPI	NHTEI L 1 320 	KVLANSS ) 	<u>RT GI RRI</u> 330 	KRS
TH2201(QR670966) TH2202(QR670967) TH2302(QR670968) TH2302(QR670969) 13DXO9(KM873201) 11C1(KF746200) DFXC603(RF7886144) D110503R(KF738251) ki_009(AB669433) S845(AB670314)	KEI NETEI 230	PFSFTAN( 1 240 1	CTASNL G	NVSGCCGK 250	PTTLPPGAV	M DSTQGSF 270 	FTKPKAL PP 280	AI FLI CGE	2RA WQGI 7 220 1	PSRPVG 300	GPCYL G	LT ML API	NHTEIL 322 	KVLANSS ) V.	<u>RTGI RRI</u> 330	KRS
TH2201(QR670966) TH2202(QR670967) TH2301(QR670968) TH2302(QR670968) TH2302(QR670969) 11C1(KF746200) DFXO603(KP686144) D10503(KF686144) D10503(KF686144) D10503(KF686144) S593(HM582658)	KEI NETEI 230 	PFSFTANC 240	CTASNL GI	NVSGCCGK <sup>*</sup> 250	TPTTLPPGAV 280	H. 270 G. R. G.	FTKPKAL PF	G.	DRAWQGI 220	PSRPVG 1 300	GPCYL G	(LTMLAP) 310	NHTEIL 320 	KVL ANSS	3RT GI RRI 1 330 	KRS
TH2201(OR670966) TH2301(OR670967) TH2301(OR670968) TH2302(OR670969) 1120(OR670969) 111C1(KF746200) DFX0603(KF738251) D10503(KF738251) n_5845(AB670314) N3593(HM582658) 014LZ(KU605774)	<u>KEI NETEI</u> 230 	PFSFTANC 240	CTASNL GI	NVSGCCGKT Z50	TPTTLPPGAV 280 1	M DSTQGSF 270 	FTKPKAL PF	G.	DRAWQGI 200	PSRPVG 300	GPCYL Gł	LTMLAPI 310	NHTEI L 320 	KVL ANSS	<u>SRT GI RRI</u> 330 	KRS
TH2201(QR670966) TH2202(QR670967) TH2301(QR670968) TH2302(QR670968) TH2302(QR670969) 11D10203(RF7382201) 11C1(KF736200) DFXO603(KF686144) D110503(RKF738251) tic o.09(AB669433) D1503(RKF738251) D1503(RKF738253) D141.Z(KU605774) 13DX11(KM873203)	KEI NETEI 230 	PFSFTANC 240	<u>CTASNLG</u> ) ) 	NVSGCCGK 250	TPTTL PPGAV 280	W DSTQGS/ 27 27 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	FTKPKAL PP	YAI FLI CGE	S	PSRPVG 300	GPCYL G	<u>L T ML A PI</u>	NHTEIL 	KVL ANSS   V G	;RT GI RRI 330	KRS
TH2201(OR670966) TH2301(OR670967) TH2301(OR670968) TH2302(OR670969) 11121(OR670969) 11121(OR670969) DFX0603(Re782011) DFX0603(Re782014) 110503R(KF738251) D14LZ(KU605774) J3DX11(KM873208)	KEI NETEI 20 	PFSFTANC 240	CTASNLGI	NVSGCCGKT 250	TPTTLPPGAV 280	W DSTQGSI 270 	FTKPKALPP 280	AI FLI CGE	280 290	PSRPVG 	GPCYL G	LTMLAPI	NHTEIL 	<u>KVLANSS</u> ) V	330 	KRS
TH2201(OR670966) TH2301(OR670967) TH2301(OR670968) TH2302(OR670969) 1121(OR670968) 11121(OR745200) DFX6050(7K968144) D110503R(KF738251) di Loso32(KF738251) di L42,KUK05774) 132N1(KM873208) 132LY05(KM873201)	KEI NETEI 230 	PFSFTANC 240	CTASNLG	NV\$GCCGK' 	TPTTLPPGAV 20 1	H. H. 270 270 	FTKPKAL PF	G.	S	PSRPVG 300	GPCYL Gł	LT ML API 310	NHTEI L 320 S. D. D. AD. AD.	KVL ANSS	<u>RTGI RRI</u> 1 330 M.	KRS
TH2201(OR670966) TH2301(OR670967) TH2301(OR670968) TH2302(OR670969) 11DC1(KF746200) DFX0603(KF738251) 11DS08(KF738251) 11DS08(KF738251) 11DS08(KF738251) 13DX11(KM873208) 13LH01(KM873208) 13LH01(KM873208) 13LH01(KM873208)	KEI NETEI 230 	PFSFTAN( 240	CTASNL GI	NVSGCCGK"	TPTTLPPGAV 280	H. H. 270	FTKPKALPF	AI FLI CGE	2RA WQGI 7 20 1	PSRPVG 300	GPCYL G	LTMLAPI	NHTEIL 322 	<u>KVLANSS</u> ) 	;RT GI RRI 330 -	KRS
TH2201(OR670966) TH2301(OR670967) TH2301(OR670968) TH2302(OR670969) 11121(OR670969) 11121(OR774200) DFX0603(RF738251) D10503R(RF738251) D10503R(RF738251) D14LZ(KU605774) 13DX11(KM873208) J3LH01(KM873208) J3LH01(KM873213)	Kei Netei 23	PFSFTAN 240	CTASNLG	NVSGCCGK	TPTTIPPGAY	H. H	FTKPKALPF	G.	DRAWQGI 7 220	PSRPVG 300	GPCYL Gł	LT ML API 310	NHTEIL 320 5. D. D. D. AD. AD. AD.	KVL ANSS 1 	;RT GI RRI 1 330 	KRS
TH2201(QR670966) TH2202(QR670967) TH2202(QR670968) TH2302(QR670968) TH2302(QR670968) TH2302(QR670968) TH2302(QR670968) TH2302(QR670968) TH2302(QR70968)	KEI NETEI 20 	PFSFTAN( 240	CTASNL GI	NVSGCCGK 20	TPTTLPPGAV 280	W DSTQGSI 270 	FTKPKALPF	G.	S	PSRPVG 300	GPCYL G	LT ML API	NHTEIL 320 	KVL ANSS ) 	SRT GI RRI	KRS
TH2201(OR670966) TH2301(OR670967) TH2301(OR670967) TH2302(OR670968) TH2302(OR670969) 111C1(KF746200) DFX0603(KF738251) D10503(KF738251) D10503(KF738251) D14,2(KU605774) 13DX11(KM873208) D14,2(KU605774) 13DX11(KM873208) D14,2(KU605774) 13DX11(KM873208) D14,2(KU605774) 13DX07(KM873218) D14,2(K060774) D13,2(C7607052) CR22001(OR670952) CR22001(OR670952)	KEI NETEI 230 	PFSFTANC 240	CTASNLG	NVSGCCGK 	TPTTLPPGAV 280	H. H. H. 270 270 	FTKPKAL PF	G.	DRAWQGI 220	PSRPVG 	GPCYL Gł	(LTMLAP) 310	NHTELL T 32 5 D. D. D.  AD.  AD.  D D	KVL ANSS ) V. G. G.	SRT GI RRI 330 	KRS
TH2201(QR670966) TH2301(QR670967) TH2301(QR670968) TH2302(QR670969) 1121(QR670969) 1121(QR745200) DFX6050(QR686144) D110503R(KF738251) di L0503R(KF738251) di L42,KUK05774) 132X11(KM873208) 132LV05(KM873211) n_6349(AB764106) 132LV07(KM873213) 132LV01(KM873218) L32V07(KM873213) CR2101(QR670953) CR2301(QR670954) CR2301(QR670954)	Kei Netei 20 	PFSFTAN 240	CTASNLG	NVSGCCGK 20	TPTTLPPGAV 20	W DSTQGSH 70 	<u>FTKPKALPF</u> 200 201	G.	DRAWQGI	PSRPVG	GPCYL G	LTMLAPI	NHTEIL 323 5. D. D. D. D. AD. AD. D. D. D. D. D. D. D. D. D. D. D. D. D	KVL ANSS ) 	SRT GI RRI 	KRS
TH2201(OR670966) TH2202(OR670967) TH2301(OR670967) TH2302(OR670968) TH2302(OR670969) H11C1(KF746200) DFX0603(KF738251) D11C5(KF738251) D142(KU605774) J13DX11(KM873208) J13LH0	KEI NETEI 20 	PFSFTANC 240	CTASNLGI	NVSGCCGK 20	TPTTLPPGAV 280	M DSTQGSI 270 	FTKPKALPF	G.	DRAWQGI 220 1	PSRPVG 	GPCYL GP	LTMLAPI	NHTELL T 323 5. D. D. D. AD. AD. D. D. D. D. D. D. D. D. D.	KVL ANSS ) 	SRT GI RRI 330 	KRS
TH2201(OR670966) TH2201(OR670967) TH2201(OR670967) TH2301(OR670968) TH2302(OR670969) H121(KF746200) DFXC063(RF738251) DFXC063(RF738251) DFXC063(RF738251) JEXC01(MR73208) H3LH01(KM873208) H3LH01(KM873208) H3LH01(KM873211) m_6349(AB764106) H3LH01(KM873218) H3LH01(KM873218) H3LH01(KM873218) H3LH01(KM873218) H3LH01(KM73218) H3LH0	Kei Netei 1230 	PFSFTAN	CTASNL GI	NVSGCCGK	TPTTLPPGAV 20 1	W DSTQGSI 70 70 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80	FTKPKALPF 280	G.	2RAWQGI 7 220 	PSRPVG 300	GPCYLG	<u>LTMLAP</u>	S	KVL ANSE	SRT GI RRI	KRS
TH2201(OR670966) TH2301(OR670967) TH2302(OR670967) TH2302(OR670969) TH2302(OR670969) TH2302(OR670969) TH2302(OR670964) TH210(CRF732251) TH21(CRF732251) TH21(CRF732251) TH21(CRF732251) TH21(CRF732251) TH21(CRF732251) TH21(CRF73251) TH21(CRF73251) TH21(CRF73251) TH21(CRF70954) TH21(CRF70954) TH212(OR6709552) TH212(OR6709554) TH212(OR6709554) TH212(OR6709554) TH212(OR6709554) TH212(OR6709554)	KEI NETEI 20 	PFSFTANC 240	<u>CTASNLG</u> ) 	NVSGCCGK 20	TPTTLPPGAV 280	M DSTQGSI 20 	FTKPKALPF	G.	S	PSRPVG 300	GPCYL G	LTMLAPI	NHTELL 	KVL ANSS ) 	SRT GI RRI 330 	KRS
TH2201(OR670966) TH2301(OR670967) TH2302(OR670967) TH2302(OR670969) TH2302(OR670969) TH2302(OR670969) TH2302(OR670969) TH2302(OR6708514) Th2503(RKF738251) Th2503(RKF738251) Th2503(RKF738251) Th2503(RKF738251) Th2503(RKF738251) Th2503(RKF738251) Th2503(RKF738251) Th2503(RKF738251) Th2503(RKF738251) Th2503(RKF738251) Th2503(RKF738251) Th2503(RKF738251) Th2503(RKF738251) Th2503(RKF738251) Th2503(RKF73855) Th2503(RKF73855) Th2503(RKF73855) Th2503(RK739555) Th2503(RK709555) Th25020(RK709555)	Kei Netei 20 	PFSFTANC 240	CTASNL GI	NVSGCCGK	TPTTIPPGAV	M DSTQGSI 270 	FTKPKAL PF	G.	SS.	PSRPVG 300 	A. A. A. A. GPCYL Gł	LTMLAP	NHTEI L 330 5. D. D. D. D. D. D. D. D. D. D. D. D. D.	KVL ANSE	SRT GI RRI 330 	KRS
TH2201(QR670966) TH2202(QR670967) TH2202(QR670968) TH2302(QR670968) TH2302(QR670968) TH2302(QR670968) H1C1(KR745200) DFXC063(KF738251) H1C1(KR745200) DFXC063(KF738251) H1C1(KR745203) H1SDX11(KR73203) H1SDX11(KR73203) H1SDX11(KR73203) H1SDX11(KR73213) H1SDX11(KR73213) H1SDX11(KR73213) CR2201(QR670955) CR2201(QR670954) DX2102(QR670955) DX2202(QR670958) DX220(QR670958) DX2202(QR670958) DX2202(QR670958) DX2202(QR670958)	KEI NETEI 20 	PFSFTAN 20	CTASNLGI ) 	NVSGCCGK 20	TPTTLPPGAV 280	W DSTQGSI 70 70 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	FTKPKALPF	G.	DRAWQGI 720 1	PSRPVG 300	GPCYL Gł	LTMLAPI	S. D.	KVL ANSS ) 		KRS
TH2201(OR670966) TH2202(OR670967) TH2301(OR670968) TH2302(OR670969) TH2302(OR670969) TH2302(OR670969) TH2302(OR670969) TH250203(RF738251) DFX603(RF738251) DFX603(RF738251) DFX603(RF738251) DFX603(RF738251) DFX603(RF738251) DFX603(RF738251) DFX603(RF738251) DFX603(RF738251) DFX603(RF738251) DFX603(RF738251) DFX603(RF738251) DFX603(RF738251) DFX603(RF738251) DFX603(RF738551) DFX603(RF709551) DFX202(OR6709551) DFX201(OF70570) DFX201(	KEI NETEI 230 	PFSFTANC 240	CTASNL GI	NVSGCCGK	TPTTLPPGAV 280	M DSTQGSI 270 	FTKPKAL PF	G.	DRAWQGI	PSRPVG 300	GPCYL G	11 TMLAP 310	NHTEI L 322 5 D. AD. AD. D. AD. D. AD. D. D. AD. D. D. AD. D. D. D. D. D. D. D. D. D.	KVL ANSE	SRT GI RRI 330 	KRS
TH2201(QR670966) TH2301(QR670967) TH2302(QR670967) TH2302(QR670968) TH2302(QR670969) 11C1(KF746200) DFXG603(KF738251) ki_oo9(QR669434) D110503R(KF738251) ki_o09(QR669433) 13LH01(KR473208) 13LH01(KR473208) 13LH01(KR473208) 13LH01(KR473213) T3LH01(KR473213) T3LH01(KR473213) CR2101(QR670955) DX2102(QR670955) DX2102(QR670956) DX2202(QR670956) DX2202(QR670956) DX2302(QR670956) DX2302(QR670956) DX2302(QR670956) DX2302(QR670956) DX2302(QR670956) DX2302(QR670956) DX2302(QR670956) DX2302(QR670956)	KEI NETEI 230 	PFSFTAN 240	CTASNLG	NVSGCCGK Z0 1	TPTTLPPGAV 20	W DSTQGSI 70 	FTKPKALPF	G G	S DRAWQGI 200 1	PSRPVG 300	GPCYL Gł	LTMLAP	S	KVLANSS ) 		KRS
TH2201(OR670966) TH2301(OR670967) TH2301(OR670967) TH2302(OR670967) TH2302(OR670969) TH2302(OR670969) TH2302(OR670968) TH2302(OR670961) TH2102(OR670314) TH2102(OR670314) TH2102(OR670314) TH2102(OR670314) TH2102(OR670951) TH2102(OR670953) TH2102(OR670955) TH22101(OR670955) TH22101(OR670955) TH22101(OR670955) TH22101(OR670955) TH2202(OR670956) TH2202(OR670956) TH2202(OR670956) TH2202(OR670956) TH2202(OR670956) TH2202(OR670956) TH2202(OR670956) TH2202(OR670956) TH2202(OR670956) TH2202(OR670956) TH2202(OR670956) TH2202(OR670956) TH2202(OR670956) TH2202(OR670956) TH2202(OR670966) TH2202(OR670966) TH2202(OR670966) TH2202(OR670966)	<u>КЕІ NETEI</u> 20 К. К. К. К. К. К. К. К. К. К.	PFSFTAN( 240	CTASNL GI	NVSGCCGK 20	TPTTLPPGAV 280	M DSTQGS 20 	FTKPKALPF	G	S	PSRPVG 300	GPCYL G	LTMLAP	NHTEIL T 3323 D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D	KVL ANSS ) 	8RT GI RRI 330 	KRS
TH2201(OR670966) TH2301(OR670967) TH2302(OR670967) TH2302(OR670968) TH2302(OR670969) 11C1(KF746200) DFX003(KF738251) DFX003(RF738251) D10503(KF738251) D10503(KF738251) J10503(KF738251) J10503(KF738251) J10503(KF738251) J1101(KKF73208) J1101(CR670955) DX2101(OR670955) DX2101(OR670955) DX2201(OR670955) DX2201(OR670955) DX2201(OR670955) DX2201(OR670955) DX2201(OR670955) DX2201(OR670955) DX2201(OR670955) DX2201(OR670955) DX2301(OR670955) DX2301(OR670955) DX2301(OR670956) DX2301(OR670956) DX2301(OR670956) DX2301(OR670956) DX2301(OR670962) DX2301(OR670962)	KEI NETEI 20 	PFSFTAN(	СТАSNL GI ) . К. . I . I . R. . К	NVSGCCGK	TPTTIPPGAV	M DSTQGSI 20 	FTKPKALPF 280	G.	S PRAWQGI 7 200 	PSRPVG 300 	GPCYL Gł	LTMLAP	S	KVL ANSE ) 	SRT GI RRI 330 	KRS
TH2201(OR670966) TH2301(OR670967) TH2301(OR670968) TH2302(OR670969) TH2302(OR670969) TH2302(OR670969) TH2302(OR670969) DX0003(KF73221) D11502(KF738251) D11502(KF738251) D1412(KU605774) D1302(KK873203) D1412(KU605774) D1302(KK873203) D1412(KU605774) D1302(KK873203) D1412(KU605774) D1302(CR70956) D1412(CR70956) DX2302(OR670957) DX2302(OR670956) DX2302(OR670956) DX2302(OR670956) DX2302(OR670956) DX2302(OR670956) DX2302(OR670956) DX2302(OR670956) DX2302(OR670956) DX2302(OR670956) DX2302(OR670965) DX2302(OR670956) D	<u>КЕІ NETEI</u> 20 	PFSFTAN 20	CTASNLGI ) 	NVSGCCGK 20		W DSTQGS) 70 70 8 8 8 8 9 8 9 8 9 8 9 8 9 8 9 8 9 8 9	ETKPKALPF	G	S 220 	PSRPVG 300	GPCYL Gł	LT ML API	NHTEIL T 333 S D. D. D. D. D. D. D. D. D.	KVL ANSS ) . V. . G. . G. . G.	M.	KRS
TH2201(OR670966) TH2301(OR670967) TH2301(OR670967) TH2302(OR670967) TH2302(OR670969) TH2302(OR670969) TH2302(OR670969) TH2302(OR670314) D10503R(K7738251) D10503R(K7738251) D10503R(K7738251) D10503R(K7738251) D14LZ(KU605774) T3DX11(KM873208) T3LH01(KM873208) T3LH01(KM873208) T3LH01(KM873208) T3LH01(KM873208) T3LH01(KM873208) T3LH01(CR670952) CR2201(OR670955) DX2102(OR670955) DX2102(OR670955) DX2101(OR670955) DX2301(OR670955) DX2301(OR670955) DX2301(OR670955) DX2301(OR670955) DX2301(OR670956) DX2301(OR670956) TD2301(OR670964) TH2101(OR670964) TH2101(OR670964)	KEI NETEI 230 	PFSFTANC 240 241	CTASNL GI	NVSGCCGK	TPTTIPPGAV	M DSTQGSI 20 	FTKPKAL PF	G.	S	PSRPVG 300	GPCYL Gł	11 TML AP  310	S	KVL ANSE ) 	. К SRT GI RRI 330 	KRS
CH12201(QR670966) CH12301(QR670967) CH12301(QR670967) CH12302(QR670968) CH12302(QR670969) CH12302(QR670969) CH12302(QR670968) CH12302(QR670968) CH124(ZKU670314) W3593(HM582658) DH122(XU60774) L32V07(KM873208) L32V07(KM873208) L32V07(KM873208) L32V07(KM873208) L32V07(KM873208) L32V07(KM873208) L32V07(KM873208) CR2301(QR670955) DX2102(QR670956) DX2102(QR670956) DX2202(QR670965) DX2202(QR670965) DX2202(QR670965) DX2302(QR670965) DX2302(QR670965) DX2302(QR670965) DX2302(QR670965) DX2302(QR670966) ND2201(QR670965) DX2302(QR670966) ND2301(QR670965) DX2302(QR670966) ND2301(QR670965) DX2302(	KEI NETEI 230 	PFSFTAN 200	CTASNLG	NVSGCCGK Z0		W DSTQGSI 70 	FTKPKALPF 280 201	G.	S DRAWQGI 200 	PSRPVG 300	GPCYL Gł	LTMLAP	NHTEIL 	KVLANSE ) 		KRS
TH2201(OR670966) TH2301(OR670967) TH2302(OR670967) TH2302(OR670967) TH2302(OR670969) TH2302(OR670969) TH2302(OR670969) TH28020(OR670314) D12503(KF738251) D142(KU605774) J3204(DR670314) J3204(DR670314) J3204(DR670314) J3204(OR670314) J3204(OR670314) J3204(OR670952) D32201(OR670955) DX2101(OR670955) DX2101(OR670955) DX2101(OR670955) DX2301(OR670955) DX2301(OR670955) DX2301(OR670955) DX2301(OR670955) DX2301(OR670955) DX2301(OR670955) DX2301(OR670955) DX2301(OR670955) DX2301(OR670955) DX2301(OR670955) DX2301(OR670956) DX2301(OR670951) DX2302(OR670951) DX2302(OR6709	KEI NETEI    20	PFSFTANC	CTASNL GI	NVSGCCGK	TPTTLPPGAV 280	M DSTQGSI 270 	FTKPKAL PF	G	S	PSRPVG 300	A. A. A. A. GPCYL Gł	<u>LTMLAP</u>	S	KVLANS: ) 		KRS

Mutations in the gp85 protein of ALV-K. Yellow squares represent hr1, hr2, Vr1, Vr2, and Vr3 of ALV-K. The dots indicate identical residues, the letters indicate substitutions, and the dashes indicate deletion. ALV-K, avian leukosis virus subgroup K.



Sequence analysis of the coding region showed that the gag and pol genes remained highly conserved in all ALV-J strains. It was shown that the env gene and LTR sequences have an important influence on the replication rate and pathogenicity of ALV-K. Some of the amino acids encoded by the gp85 gene are also strongly associated with the cellular receptor for ALV-K. By analyzing the LTR sequences of the isolates, we found that the LTR sequences of the three isolates showed multiple deletions and mutations compared with those of the ALV-J strain, which has the fastest replication rate and the strongest pathogenicity, and ALV-K strains with exogenous ALV as the backbone and the env gene for ALV-K, such as JXCR2101, JXCR2201, and JXCR2301, resulting in a part of the deletions in CAAT LTR Enhancer Box, CAAT Box, PRE Box, CarG Box, and Y Box (Supplementary Figure S1). During initial virus isolation, the low replication efficiency of the isolates in this study may be related to the deletion of these transcription elements. Studies have shown that ALV-K strains such as JS15SG01 and HB2015032, which have exogenous ALV as the backbone and the env gene as ALV-K, are also more pathogenic (Liang et al., 2019; Li et al., 2021). In contrast, the isolate in this study, like most classical ALV-K strains in recent years, belongs to the category with endogenous ALV as the backbone and the env gene for ALV-K. ALV infection of host cells is dependent on the binding of its vesicle membrane surface protein, gp85, to the cellular receptor, and studies have shown that the ALV-K is identical to the ALV-A cellular receptor, Tva, and the ALV-K vesicle membrane protein (SU) hr2 region G at position 196 and R at position 198 determine whether ALV-K SU can bind to Tva (Prikryl et al., 2019; Chen J. et al., 2020). By analyzing the SU protein of the isolate in this study, it was found that the G and R at position 196 and 198 were conserved, and the Y/F at position 200 of the isolate JXDX2302 was mutated to S, which indicated that the structural domains of the receptor were conserved in the isolate in this study.

To confirm this speculation, strains JXDX2301, JXTH2202, and JXTH2203 were inoculated into DF-1 cells and the replication kinetics were recorded. It was found that the replication rate of JXTH2203 was significantly higher than that of JXDX2301 and JXTH2202, while JXTH2203 had a clear advantage in replication ability. Due to the low replication efficiency of JXTH2202, ALV-K is easily overlooked in the

daily monitoring of chickens, leading to vertical transmission of the virus. This also reminds us that more measures should be taken to improve the detection sensitivity, especially when ALV clearance is performed on Chinese indigenous breeds.

### **5** Conclusion

To study the prevalence of each subtype of ALV in local chickens in Jiangxi, we tested 73,664 clinical samples from four major local chickens in Jiangxi from 2021 to 2023. The results showed that ALV-J was the most frequently detected virus with prevalence rates ranging from 1.47 to 8.49%, and ALV-K was the second most prevalent virus detected in blood samples. It is noteworthy that although ALV-K has only recently been detected, its prevalence is increasing every year and may pose a long-term threat to endemic flocks. We report for the first time the prevalence and genetic variation of ALV-K in local chicken breeds in Jiangxi, China. Phylogenetic analyses showed that in the past 3 years, local breeds of chickens in Jiangxi were genetically diverse in terms of the distribution of ALV-K in various branches of the evolutionary tree. ALV-K is easy to be ignored because of its low viral replication efficiency and difficult to isolate, and there is a risk of longterm cryptic transmission in chickens. Moreover, its current infection in local breeds of chickens in China is complex, and in-depth studies should be carried out on it, and it should not be ignored in the decontamination process to avoid it from becoming a potential risk.

### Data availability statement

The data presented in the study are deposited in the GenBank repository, accession number OR670952-OR670975.

### Ethics statement

The animal study was approved by Institute of Animal Husbandry and Veterinary Medicine, Jiangxi Academy of Agricultural Sciences. The study was conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements.

### Author contributions

FZ: Data curation, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. HL: Methodology, Resources, Visualization, Writing – original draft. CL: Investigation, Methodology, Software, Supervision, Writing – original draft. YWe: Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Project administration, Supervision, Writing – original draft. WZ: Formal analysis, Resources, Visualization, Writing – original draft. YWu: Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Writing – original draft. ZK: Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Methodology, Resources, Visualization, Writing – review & editing.

### Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This

research was supported by Jiangxi Special Fund for Agro-scientific Research in the Collaborative Innovation Project (JXXTCXQN202101), the earmarked fund for Jiangxi Agriculture Research System (JXARS-09), and the earmarked fund for Jiangxi Provincial Livestock and Poultry Breeding Industry Joint Tackling of Challenges Project (2022JXCQZY04).

### **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

### References

Chang, S. W., Hsu, M. F., and Wang, C. H. (2013). Gene detection, virus isolation, and sequence analysis of avian leukosis viruses in Taiwan country chickens. *Avian Dis.* 57, 172–177. doi: 10.1637/10387-092612-Reg.1

Chen, H., Diao, Y., Sun, X., and Wang, Y. (2022). Isolation, identification, and pathogenicity of a ALV-K strain from Chinese indigenous chicken breed. *Poult. Sci.* 101:102116. doi: 10.1016/j.psj.2022.102116

Chen, J., Li, J., Li, L., Liu, P., Xiang, Y., and Cao, W. (2020). Single amino acids G196 and R198 in hr1 of subgroup K avian leukosis virus glycoprotein are critical for TVA receptor binding. *Front. Microbiol.* 11:596586. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2020.596586

Chen, X., Wang, H., Fang, X., Gao, K., Fang, C., Gu, Y., et al. (2020). Identification of a novel epitope specific for Gp85 protein of avian leukosis virus subgroup K. Vet. Immunol. Immunopathol. 230:110143. doi: 10.1016/j.vetimm.2020.110143

Deng, Q., Li, M., He, C., Lu, Q., Gao, Y., Li, Q., et al. (2021). Genetic diversity of avian leukosis virus subgroup J (ALV-J): Toward a unified phylogenetic classification and nomenclature system. *Virus Evol.* 7:b37. doi: 10.1093/ve/veab037

Deng, Q., Li, Q., Li, M., Zhang, S., Wang, P., Fu, F., et al. (2022). The emergence, diversification, and transmission of subgroup j avian leukosis virus reveals that the live chicken trade plays a critical role in the adaption and endemicity of viruses to the vellow-chickens. *J. Virol.* 96:e71722. doi: 10.1128/jvi.00717-22

Dou, J., Wang, Z., Li, L., Lu, Q., Jin, X., Ling, X., et al. (2023). A multiplex quantitative polymerase chain reaction for the rapid differential detection of subgroups a, B, J, and K Avian leukosis viruses. *Viruses (Basel)* 15:1789. doi: 10.3390/v15091789

Guo, J., Deng, Q., Zhu, W., Fu, F., Liu, L., Wei, T., et al. (2023). The phylogenetic analysis of the new emerging ALV-K revealing the co-prevailing of multiple clades in chickens and a proposal for the classification of ALV-K. *Front. Vet. Sci.* 10:1228109. doi: 10.3389/fvets.2023.1228109

Li, X., Chen, Y., Yu, M., Wang, S., Liu, P., Meng, L., et al. (2023). Residues E53, L55, H59, and G70 of the cellular receptor protein TVA mediate cell binding and entry of the novel subgroup K avian leukosis virus. *J. Biol. Chem.* 299:102962. doi: 10.1016/j. jbc.2023.102962

Li, X., Lin, W., Chang, S., Zhao, P., Zhang, X., Liu, Y., et al. (2016). Isolation, identification and evolution analysis of a novel subgroup of avian leukosis virus isolated from a local Chinese yellow broiler in South China. *Arch. Virol.* 161, 2717–2725. doi: 10.1007/s00705-016-2965-x

Li, Y., Liu, Y., Lin, Z., Cui, S., Chang, S., Cui, Z., et al. (2022). Role of *env* gene and LTR sequence in the pathogenesis of subgroup K avian leukosis virus. *J. Gen. Virol.* 103:001719. doi: 10.1099/jgv.0.001719

Li, T., Xie, J., Liang, G., Ren, D., Sun, S., Lv, L., et al. (2019). Co-infection of VVMDV with multiple subgroups of avian leukosis viruses in indigenous chicken flocks in China. *BMC Vet. Res.* 15:288. doi: 10.1186/s12917-019-2041-3

Li, X., Yu, Y., Ma, M., Chang, F., Muhammad, F., Yu, M., et al. (2021). Molecular characteristic and pathogenicity analysis of a novel multiple recombinant ALV-K strain. *Vet. Microbiol.* 260:109184. doi: 10.1016/j.vetmic.2021.109184

### Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

### Supplementary material

The Supplementary material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2024.1341201/ full#supplementary-material

Li, H. Q., Zhang, F. F., Chen, L., Yang, Q., Zeng, Y. B., Tan, J., et al. (2022). Wholegenome analysis reveals possible sources of ALV-J infection in an anyi tile-like gray chicken flock. *Poult. Sci.* 101:101764. doi: 10.1016/j.psj.2022.101764

Liang, X., Gu, Y., Chen, X., Li, T., Gao, Y., Wang, X., et al. (2019). Identification and characterization of a novel natural recombinant avian leucosis virus from Chinese indigenous chicken flock. *Virus Genes* 55, 726–733. doi: 10.1007/s11262-019-01695-7

Lv, L., Li, T., Hu, M., Deng, J., Liu, Y., Xie, Q., et al. (2019). A recombination efficiently increases the pathogenesis of the novel k subgroup of avian leukosis virus. *Vet. Microbiol.* 231, 214–217. doi: 10.1016/j.vetmic.2019.03.021

Mo, G., Wei, P., Hu, B., Nie, Q., and Zhang, X. (2022). Advances on genetic and genomic studies of alv resistance. J. Anim. Sci. Biotechnol. 13:123. doi: 10.1186/ s40104-022-00769-1

Ochi, A., Ochiai, K., Kobara, A., Nakamura, S., Hatai, H., Handharyani, E., et al. (2012). Epidemiological study of fowl glioma-inducing virus in chickens in Asia and Germany. *Avian Pathol.* 41, 299–309. doi: 10.1080/03079457.2012.684373

Prikryl, D., Plachy, J., Kucerova, D., Koslova, A., Reinisova, M., Senigl, F., et al. (2019). The novel avian leukosis virus subgroup K shares its cellular receptor with subgroup A. *J. Virol.* 93, e519–e580. doi: 10.1128/JVI.00580-19

Shao, H., Wang, L., Sang, J., Li, T., Liu, Y., Wan, Z., et al. (2017). Novel avian leukosis viruses from domestic chicken breeds in mainland China. *Arch. Virol.* 162, 2073–2076. doi: 10.1007/s00705-017-3344-y

Smith, L. P., Petheridge, L., Nair, V., Wood, A., and Welchman, D. (2018). Avian leukosis virus subgroup j-associated myelocytoma in a hobby chicken. *Vet. Rec.* 182:23. doi: 10.1136/vr.104626

Su, Q., Li, Y., Cui, Z., Chang, S., and Zhao, P. (2018a). The emerging novel avian leukosis virus with mutations in the pol gene shows competitive replication advantages both *in vivo* and *in vitro*. *Emerg. Microbes Infect.* 7:117. doi: 10.1038/s41426-018-0111-4

Su, Q., Li, Y., Li, W., Cui, S., Tian, S., Cui, Z., et al. (2018b). Molecular characteristics of avian leukosis viruses isolated from indigenous chicken breeds in China. *Poult. Sci.* 97, 2917–2925. doi: 10.3382/ps/pex367

Sun, T., Wang, X., Han, W., Ma, X., Yin, W., Fang, B., et al. (2020). Complete genome sequence of a novel recombinant avian leukosis virus isolated from a three-yellow chicken. *Arch. Virol.* 165, 2615–2618. doi: 10.1007/s00705-020-04764-7

Wu, Z. C., Zhu, M. Z., Bian, X. M., Ma, C. T., Zhao, P., and Cui, Z. Z. (2011). Comparison of whole genome sequences and replication ability in cell cultures between two avian leukosis viruses of subgroup B. *Bing Du Xue Bao* 27, 447–455.

Zhang, J., Li, T., Wang, W., Xie, Q., Wan, Z., Qin, A., et al. (2021). Isolation and molecular characteristics of a novel recombinant avian orthoreovirus from chickens in China. *Front. Vet. Sci.* 8:771755. doi: 10.3389/fvets.2021.771755

Zhou, X., Wang, L., Shen, A., Shen, X., Xu, M., Qian, K., et al. (2019). Detection of alv p27 in cloacal swabs and virus isolation medium by Selisa. *BMC Vet. Res.* 15:383. doi: 10.1186/s12917-019-2150-z