Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Miklos Fuzi, Independent Researcher, Budapest, Hungary

REVIEWED BY Asghar Ali, Jamia Hamdard University, India Vijay Singh Gondil, University of Rochester Medical Center, United States Timothy Meredith, The Pennsylvania State University (PSU), United States

*CORRESPONDENCE Xiaoxia Liu ⊠ sunliuxx@163.com Jin Zhang ⊠ zhangjin7688@163.com

RECEIVED 05 November 2023 ACCEPTED 03 January 2024 PUBLISHED 22 January 2024

CITATION

Liu X, Wang Z, You Z, Wang W, Wang Y, Wu W, Peng Y, Zhang S, Yun Y and Zhang J (2024) Transcriptomic analysis of cell envelope inhibition by prodigiosin in methicillinresistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Front. Microbiol.* 15:1333526. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2024.1333526

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Liu, Wang, You, Wang, Wang, Wu, Peng, Zhang, Yun and Zhang. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Transcriptomic analysis of cell envelope inhibition by prodigiosin in methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*

Xiaoxia Liu¹*, Zonglin Wang^{1,2}, Zhongyu You¹, Wei Wang³, Yujie Wang¹, Wenjing Wu¹, Yongjia Peng¹, Suping Zhang⁴, Yinan Yun¹ and Jin Zhang¹*

¹College of Biological, Chemical Sciences and Engineering, Jiaxing University, Jiaxing, China, ²College of Geography and Environmental Sciences, Zhejiang Normal University, Jinhua, China, ³Clinical Laboratory of First Hospital of Jiaxing, Jiaxing, China, ⁴College of Advanced Materials Engineering, Jiaxing Nanhu University, Jiaxing, China

Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) is a leading threat to public health as it is resistant to most currently available antibiotics. Prodigiosin is a secondary metabolite of microorganisms with broad-spectrum antibacterial activity. This study identified a significant antibacterial effect of prodigiosin against MRSA with a minimum inhibitory concentration as low as 2.5 mg/L. The results of scanning electron microscopy, crystal violet staining, and confocal laser scanning microscopy indicated that prodigiosin inhibited biofilm formation in S. aureus USA300, while also destroying the structure of the cell wall and cell membrane, which was confirmed by transmission electron microscopy. At a prodigiosin concentration of 1.25 mg/L, biofilm formation was inhibited by 76.24%, while 2.5 mg/L prodigiosin significantly reduced the vitality of MRSA cells in the biofilm. Furthermore, the transcriptomic results obtained at 1/8 MIC of prodigiosin indicated that 235and 387 genes of S. aureus USA300 were significantly up- and downregulated, respectively. The downregulated genes were related to two-component systems, including the transcriptional regulator LytS, quorum sensing histidine kinases SrrB, NreA and NreB, peptidoglycan biosynthesis enzymes (MurQ and GlmU), iron-sulfur cluster repair protein ScdA, microbial surface components recognizing adaptive matrix molecules, as well as the key arginine synthesis enzymes ArcC and ArgF. The upregulated genes were mainly related to cell wall biosynthesis, as well as two-component systems including vancomycin resistance-associated regulator, lipoteichoic acid biosynthesis related proteins DltD and DltB, as well as the 9 capsular polysaccharide biosynthesis proteins. This study elucidated the molecular mechanisms through which prodigiosin affects the cell envelope of MRSA from the perspectives of cell wall synthesis, cell membrane and biofilm formation, providing new potential targets for the development of antimicrobials for the treatment of MRSA.

KEYWORDS

01

prodigiosin, methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*, biofilm, transcriptomic analysis, molecular mechanism

1 Introduction

The abuse of antibiotics has accelerated the emergence of drugresistant bacteria, among which MRSA is a serious threat to public health (Chalmers and Wylam, 2020). MRSA has gained attention not only because of its strong pathogenicity (Turner et al., 2019; Nandhini et al., 2022), but also because of its resistance to currently available antibiotics, which has brought an enormous burden to medical institutions. Therefore, the World Health Organization prioritized MRSA as a Class II pathogen (Cadelis et al., 2021). Approximately 80% of chronic and recurrent infections in humans are caused by bacterial biofilms (Lindsay and Holden, 2004), which can also be formed by MRSA on the surface of the skin, nasopharyngeal mucosa and gastrointestinal tract. In fact, MRSA is one of the most common causative agents of biofilm infections (Turner et al., 2019).

Cell envelope includes biofilm, cell membrane, and cell wall. Biofilms are composed of multiple layers of extracellular polymeric substances, including proteins, polysaccharides, and extracellular DNA, which protect the bacteria enclosed inside to avoid the host immune system, as well as environmental stress factors such as antibiotics and disinfectants (Paharik and Horswill, 2016; Flemming et al., 2023). It was observed that bacterial cells inside biofilms are resistant to antibiotic concentrations up to 1,000× greater than those required to kill planktonic bacteria (Mottola et al., 2016). Therefore, MRSA biofilm infections are one of the main concerns in the global public health sector. Currently, there are no effective drugs targeting MRSA biofilms in clinical practice (Jolivet-Gougeon and Bonnaure-Mallet, 2014; Nguena-Dongue et al., 2023). Therefore, the development of new drugs to treat MRSA infection is increasingly urgent.

Prodigiosin is a secondary metabolite of many microorganisms, including Serratia marcescens, Serratia nematodiphila, Zooshikella sp., Serratia plymuthica, etc., characterized by a relatively simple structure of three pyrrole rings and a methoxy group (Darshan and Manonmani, 2016; Woodhams et al., 2018; Ramesh et al., 2020; Amorim et al., 2022). In the past decade, various biological effects of prodigiosin were revealed, including immunosuppressive, anticancer, antimalarial and fungicidal activities (Han et al., 2005; Wang et al., 2016; Li et al., 2018; Han et al., 2021; Nguyen et al., 2022). Moreover, prodigiosin also exhibits broad-spectrum antibacterial activity against both Gram-positive and -negative bacteria, such as Bacillus subtilis, Escherichia coli, and Staphylococcus aureus (Suryawanshi et al., 2014; Woodhams et al., 2018; Herráez et al., 2019). The mechanisms underlying the antibacterial activity of prodigiosin include the disruption of the cell membrane and inhibition of biofilm formation (Danevčič et al., 2016b; Kimyon et al., 2016; Suryawanshi et al., 2017; Hage-Hülsmann et al., 2018).

However, the molecular mechanism through which prodigiosin inhibits the growth MRSA growth remains unclear to date. Thus, the aim of this study was to elucidate the molecular mechanism of the antibacterial effect of prodigiosin against the growth and especially envelope formation of MRSA. The findings of this study will hopefully contribute to the design of better antibacterial agents targeting multidrug resistant bacteria in the future.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Bacterial strains, culture conditions, and prodigiosin purification

Methicillin-sensitive *S. aureus* (MSSA) ATCC 25923 and MRSA USA300 were purchased from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC). The strain *S. marcescens* jx-1 was stored in our laboratory. Pure prodigiosin (HPLC >98%) was obtained using a previously published protocol (Sun et al., 2015).

2.2 Antibiotic resistance spectrum of *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates

The *S. aureus* isolates from patients at the Jiaxing First Hospital and identified and analyzed according to a previously published protocol (Wang B. et al., 2022; Wang Y. J. et al., 2022). The antibiotic resistance spectrum of MRSA isolates was confirmed using the method described by the National Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (Cusack et al., 2019).

2.3 Measurement of bacterial growth curves after treatment with prodigiosin

Samples comprising $100\,\mu$ L of different concentrations of prodigiosin mixed with Mueller-Hinton (MH) broth in 96-well flatbottom microplates, after which $100\,\mu$ L of a bacterial suspension (~10⁶ CFU/mL) was added to the same wells and incubated at 37°C for 24h. The final concentration prodigiosin is 0, 0.16, 0.31, 0.63, 1.25 and 2.5 mg/L. The optical density at 620 nm was measured every 2h.

2.4 Determination of the minimum inhibitory concentration

The minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of prodigiosin was determined using a two-fold dilution technique according to the CLSI with MH broth in 96-well microplates. Prodigiosin was serially diluted to 20, 10, 5, 2.5 and 1.25 mg/L. Then, $200 \,\mu\text{L}$ MRSA suspensions (~10⁶ CFU/mL) were used to inoculate MH broth, placed into the wells, and incubated for 16 h with different concentrations (1.25, 2.5, 5, 10 or 20 mg/L) of prodigiosin, and only MH broth with different concentrations of prodigiosin as control. The OD620 absorbance values were measured at 16 h. The MIC value for antibacterial activity was defined as the lowest concentration that inhibited cell growth after 16 h culture.

2.5 Detection of biofilm formation using the crystal-violet assay

To evaluate the effect of the different concentrations and addition times of prodigiosin on MRSA biofilm formation, the biofilm assay was performed in 96-well flat-bottom plates, according to a previously published protocol (Lu et al., 2021). The $200\,\mu$ L bacterial cells

(~106 CFU/mL) were cultured in tryptic soy broth (TSB) containing 1% glucose (TSBG) and treated with different concentration (0.16, 0.31, 0.63, 1.25 or 2.5 mg/L) of prodigiosin for 24 h at 37°C without shaking. Addition time effects of prodigiosin on the biofilm biomass of MRSA USA300 were investigated under the same culture conditions. Prodigiosin was added at concentrations of 2.5 mg/mL or 5 mg/mL every 2 h from the start of cultivation (0 h) to the stationary phase (8h), and bacteria were cultured up to 24h. Edge effects were avoided by adding 200 µL TSBG to the 96-well plates. The plates obtained above were centrifuged, the supernatant was discarded, and the cell pellet was washed with water three times. Then, the biofilm in the plates was stained with 0.1% crystal violet (m/V) for 20 min. The excess crystal violet was washed with water three times, and crystal violet combined with biofilm was solubilized in 95% ethanol (Sivasamy et al., 2021). The absorbance of the ethanol solution at 570 nm was measured using a Spark® microplate reader (Tecan, Switzerland).

2.6 Scanning electron microscopy of the cell envelope

MRSA USA300 was cultured in 12-well polystyrene plates in TSBG at 37°C for 24h with 2.5 mg/L prodigiosin. An otherwise identical culture without prodigiosin was included as a control. Then, the plates were centrifuged, the supernatant was removed, the biofilms were washed with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS, pH7.4), and fixed with 2.5% glutaraldehyde at 4°C for 1 h. Then, the biofilms were observed on an SU-8010 SEM (Hitachi, Tokyo, Japan).

2.7 Confocal laser scanning microscopy of biofilms

MRSA was cultured using TSBG in 12-well polystyrene plates with the 20 mm-diameter glass coverslips (Guan et al., 2020) without shaking at 37°C for 24 h with 2.5 mg/L prodigiosin, which was added at different cultivation times (0h, 4h and 10h). Then fermentation broth was removed, and the glass coverslips were washed three times with PBS, and further processed as described before (Sathiyamoorthi et al., 2021). The biofilms were stained with 5 µM final concentration carboxy-fluorescein diacetate succinimidyl ester (CFSE) for 20 min at 37°C, then counter-stained with propidium iodide (PI) for 20 min and washed three times with PBS. The biofilm was visualized using excitation with an Ar 488 nm light source (emission wavelengths 200–550 nm). The cells were visualized by CLSM using a $20 \times$ objective. Methanol was used as the control. Color confocal images were visualized using Olympus FluoView Fv31s-SW. For each experiment, at least 10 random positions in three independent cultures were chosen for CLSM analysis using Olympus cellSens dimension software.

2.8 Effect of prodigiosin on the viability of cells in the biofilm

An aliquot comprising $100 \,\mu\text{L}$ TSBG and $100 \,\mu\text{L}$ of a bacterial suspension (~ $10^6 \,\text{CFU/mL}$) to the 96-well plate and incubated until the biofilm establishment. After 24 h, the medium was gently aspirated

from the wells and the wells were rinsed three times with PBS. The two-fold dilutions of prodigiosin (from 10 mg/L to 2.5 mg/L) in 200μ L of TSBG were then added to the wells. No prodigiosin was added to the positive biofilm control wells. The 96-well plate was incubated at 37° C for 24 h, after which the medium was removed, and the wells were cleaned according to the method mentioned above. Biofilm viability was assessed using the CFU counting method adapted from Pettit et al. (2005). The detection limit was 100 CFU/mL.

2.9 Transmission electron microscopy of cell morphology

MRSA was cultured in 5 mL of TSBG at 37°C for 12 h with 1/8 MIC prodigiosin in 50 mL centrifuge tubes. An otherwise identical culture without prodigiosin was included as a control. After centrifugation at 8000g, the supernatant was removed, and the collected cells were fixed with 2.5% glutaraldehyde at 4°C overnight. Then, the fixed cells were observed on a H-7650 TEM (Hitachi, Tokyo, Japan).

2.10 Preparation of MRSA USA300 cells for RNA-Seq

Shake flasks (250 mL) with 50 mL of TSBG were inoculated with a fresh colony of MRSA USA300 and incubated at 37°C with shaking at 250 rpm overnight. The cell suspension was adjusted to 10°CFU/mL and 3 samples of the USA300_EG group (USA300_EG1, USA300_ EG2, USA300_EG3) were treated with 1/8 MIC (0.31 mg/L) of prodigiosin for 5 h. The control group (USA300_CK, 3 samples) was incubated without prodigiosin under the same conditions for 5 h. Then, the cells were harvested by centrifugation at 8,000 g for 10 min, shock frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C until further processing.

2.11 RNA extraction, Illumina sequencing and data analysis

Total RNA was extracted from 6 bacterial samples using a MicroRNAeasy Kit (Qiagen; 217004) following the manufacturer's instructions. RNA concentrations were measured using a Qubit[®] RNA assay kit in a Qubit[®] 4.0 fluorometer, and RNA integrity was assessed through electrophoresis on a 1.0% (w/v) agarose gel. The rRNA was removed using a Ribo-off rRNA Depletion Kit V2 for Bacteria (Vazyme, China). The cDNA library was constructed as described before (Li et al., 2022).

2.12 Transcriptomic data analysis

The raw data were processed by discarding low-quality reads, including more than 30% bases with QA (quality analysis) \leq 15. All subsequent analyses were based on the resulting clean reads. Gene Bowtie2 and RSEM were used to calculate the fragments per kilobase million (FPKM) and identify significantly expressed genes, respectively (Mortazavi et al., 2008). Differentially expressed genes

were identified using the DESeq R package based on the criteria $|\log 2(\text{foldchange})| \ge 1$ and adjusted false discovery rate p < 0.05. Then, the DEGs were subjected to KEGG pathway and GO functional enrichment analysis with p < 0.05 as the threshold.

2.13 Quantitative real-time PCR

A total of 8 differentially expressed genes (DEGs) related to the cell envelope biosynthesis were selected to validate the results of RNA-sequencing by qRT-PCR analysis. Total RNA was extracted from the cells of MRSA USA300 cultured with 1/8 MIC (0.31 mg/L) prodigiosin in TSBG for 5h using a Bacterial RNA extraction kit (Sangon Biotech, China) according to the manufacturer's protocol. The extracted RNA was reverse transcribed into cDNA used random primers. Then, qRT-PCR was performed using specific primer pairs (Supplementary Table S1) and the 2× MagicSYBR mixture (CWBIO, Jiangsu, China). Each sample was analyzed in technical triplicates. The cDNA values were normalized to the 16S rRNA as the internal standard (Li et al., 2022).

2.14 Statistical analysis

All experiments were repeated three times, and the data were presented as the means \pm SEM. When only two groups were compared, differences between groups were analyzed using student's two-tailed *t*-test. When more than two groups were compared, differences between groups were analyzed using single-factor analysis of variance (one-way ANOVA). *p*-values <0.05 and <0.01 were, respectively, considered statistically significant and highly significant. All graphical evaluations were performed using GraphPad Prism 8.0.

3 Results

3.1 Identification and characterization of isolated *Staphylococcus aureus* strains

A total of 55 *S. aureus* strains were isolated from patients and identified using MALDI-TOF MS with a probability of 99% (Supplementary Table S2). The antibiotic resistance spectra of all isolates are listed in Supplementary Table S3. We found that there were 14 MSSA isolates, and 41 MRSA isolates according to oxacillin resistance. A total of 6 MRSA strains, respectively isolated from pus (n = 4), wound surface (n = 1), and blood (n = 1), were selected for further research.

3.2 Prodigiosin inhibited the cell growth of MRSA strains

We used different concentrations of prodigiosin from 1.25 to 20 mg/L to treat seven *S. aureus* strains. The MIC ranged from 2.5 to 5 mg/L for all tested strains (Table 1). The effects of different concentrations and addition times on the growth were investigated (Figure 1). The results showed that early prodigiosin addition (before 6h, late-logarithmic phase), had a greater impact on bacterial growth. However, when 2.5 mg/L

 $(1 \times MIC)$ and 5 mg/L ($2 \times MIC$) of prodigiosin were added at 8h, corresponding to the stationary phase of bacterial growth, there was no significant difference compared to the control (Figure 2).

3.3 Prodigiosin inhibited biofilm formation and viability of biofilm cells

The effects of the different prodigiosin concentrations and addition times on biofilm formation were investigated as shown in Figures 3, 4. The results showed that early prodigiosin addition had a greater inhibitory effect against biofilm formation, analogous to the observed effect on bacterial growth.

In addition, we conducted a SEM analysis of biofilm formation of *S. aureus* treated with 2.5 mg/L prodigiosin at the beginning of bacterial growth (0h) and in the stationary phase (10h) in TSBG for biofilm formation (Figure 5). In the control group, the cells were closely arranged, with each cell having an intact, round edge without wrinkles and breaks (Figures 5A,D). The same phenomenon was observed for cells treated with 2.5 mg/L prodigiosin in the stationary phase (10h) (Figures 5C,F). By contrast, the cells became wrinkled, severely deformed and broken following treatment with 2.5 mg/L prodigiosin at the beginning of bacterial growth (0h) (Figures 5B,E).

While MRSA USA300 formed a strong biofilm under the control conditions according to the CLSM analysis, prodigiosin drastically reduced the fluorescence intensity of live cells in the biofilm at the beginning and in the logarithmic phase (Figure 6). However, when 2.5 mg/L prodigiosin was added in the stationary phase, there was almost no effect on the fluorescence intensity of cells in the biofilm.

The effects of prodigiosin on the viability of cells in the biofilm was measured by CFU counting (Figure 7). The results showed that 2.5 mg/Land 5 mg/L prodigiosin significantly inhibited the viability of bacterial cells in the biofilm, but there is no significant difference between the two concentrations of prodigiosin.

3.4 General features of the transcriptome

A comparatively low concentration of 0.31 mg/L of prodigiosin (1/8 MIC) significantly inhibited biofilm formation when it was added at the beginning of the culture period (Figure 3). Therefore, gene expression was investigated by transcriptome analysis to explain the underlying molecular mechanism. Based on an adjusted |log2(fold change)| \geq 1 and *q*-value \leq 0.05, 622 DEGs were identified in the treated group, 235 of which were up- and 387 downregulated compared to the control (Figure 8). Then, KEGG pathway enrichment analysis was conducted to further understand the involved pathways (Figure 9A). In addition, Gene Ontology (GO) enrichment analysis revealed three specific categories with 21 significantly enriched GO terms (Figure 9B).

3.5 Differentially expressed genes related to the cell envelope

The most significant DEGs are listed in the Supplementary Table S4. The genes encoding *N*-acetylglucosamine-1-phosphate uridyltransferase (*glmU*), N-acetylmuramic acid 6-phosphate etherase (*murQ*), N-acetylglucosamine-6-phosphate deacetylase (*nagA*) and

TABLE 1 MIC values of prodigiosin against S. aureus strains.

Number	21,612,804	21,607,345	21,612,346	21,610,437	21,610,437	21,609,490	MRSA USA300
MIC (mg/L)	5	2.5	5	2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5



N-acetylmuramoyl-L-alanine amidase (aaa) were significantly downregulated. The upregulated genes included those encoding cell wall damage response proteins, such as the two-component system DNA-binding response regulator VraR, D-alanyl-lipoteichoic acid biosynthesis protein DltD and D-alanyl-lipoteichoic acid biosynthesis protein DltB, as well as the 9 capsular polysaccharide biosynthesis proteins (Supplementary Table S4). The genes encoding MSCRAMMs were significantly downregulated, including sdrC encoding serineaspartate repeat-containing protein C, sdrD encoding serine-aspartate repeat protein D, spa encoding surface protein A, ebpS encoding elastin-binding protein S, as well as the three genes ecb, scb and efb encoding fibrinogen-binding protein. The three genes encoding the immunoglobulin G-binding protein Sbi, as well as immunodominant antigen A and B (isaA and isaB) related to immunity were also significantly downregulated, as were the genes encoding ironregulatory proteins including srtA and scdA. The key genes for arginine synthesis, arcC encoding carbamate kinase and argF encoding ornithine carbamoyltransferase, were also significantly downregulated. In addition, the three genes *nreA*, *nreB* and *srrB* encoding a quorum sensing sensor histidine kinase were also downregulated significantly. The genes encoding the other surface proteins were upregulated, including sdrE (serine-aspartate repeat protein E) and sasA (adhesin).

3.6 RT-PCR validation

The expression levels of 8 randomly selected DEGs were consistent with the RNA-Seq results according to the qRT-PCR assay (Figure 10). This result confirmed the validity of the RNA-seq data.

4 Discussion

In recent years, the threat caused by antibiotic-resistant bacteria to people's health has become increasingly serious. Currently, the main solution to this problem is the combination of antibiotics (David et al., 2019; Nisarg et al., 2020; Yan et al., 2023). However, the discovery of new antibacterial agents is still the most promising way to overcome antibiotic resistance. Prodigiosin has been found to have significant bacteriostatic effects on many pathogens (Wang B. et al., 2022; Wang Y. J. et al., 2022).

In this study, the MIC value of prodigiosin ranged from 2.5 to 5 mg/L for all *S. aureus* isolates. This was inconsistent with previously reported MIC values, which were in the range of 0.25–32 mg/L (Ji et al., 2015; Danevčič et al., 2016b; Suryawanshi et al., 2017; Wang B. et al., 2022; Wang Y. J. et al., 2022; Yan et al., 2023). Possible reasons for the wide range of MIC values reported in the literature may be prodigiosin samples obtained from different strains, different purification methods, as well as different methods for measuring MIC values and different testing strains. By contrast, Wang B. et al. (2022) and Wang Y. J. et al. (2022) reported that MSSA and MRSA strains had the same MIC values. However, the results of Yip et al. (2021) indicated that 10 mg/L prodigiosin was necessary to inhibit the growth of MRSA. This difference between MSSA and MRSA strains merits further study in the future.

The results of crystal-violet staining indicated that prodigiosin significantly inhibited biofilm formation, and at a concentration of 1.25 mg/L, the inhibition rate reached 76.24%. Similarly, a previous study indicated that prodigiosin could inhibit *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* biofilm formation by inducing the production of reactive oxygen species (Kimyon et al., 2016). Moreover, prodigiosin inhibited biofilm formation in MRSA ATCC 43300 and had synergistic activity with vancomycin (Yan et al., 2023). However, another study showed that prodigiosin actually induced biofilm formation in *P. aeruginosa*, while decreasing biofilm formation in *Enterococcus faecalis, Salmonella enterica* serovar typhimurium and *E. coli* (Yip et al., 2021).

Two component signal regulatory systems (TCSs) are composed of a histidine kinase that acts as a membrane sensor and a response regulator, which can receive the information from the kinase and mediate the relevant intracellular response. Notably, studies have shown that biofilm formation is also regulated by two-component regulatory systems. Our results indicated that three pathways of TCSs in *S. aureus* were differentially expressed, including one involved in cell wall biosynthesis (VraR), one associated with the sensor histidine kinase genes *nreA*, *nreB* and *srrB* that respond to oxygen availability, and another involved in autolysis (LytS).

Peptidoglycan is an important component of the cell wall of Gram-positive bacteria, providing rigidity and maintaining the shape of cells. GlmU as a bifunctional enzyme that converts GlcNAc-1-P and UTP into UDP-GlcNAc for peptidoglycan biosynthesis (Vithani et al., 2014; Soni et al., 2023). Some researchers reported that GlmU may be a promising target for inhibiting the growth of pathogenic bacteria (Mochalkin et al., 2008; Purushotham et al., 2019; Palathoti and Azam, 2023). Here, we report the first evidence that GlmU expression can be inhibited in MRSA using prodigiosin.

MurQ converts N-acetyl-D-glucosamine 6-phosphate and I-lactate to form N-acetylmuramate 6-phosphate, and NagA converts N-acetyl-D-glucosamine 6-phosphate to produce



FIGURE 2

Addition time effects of prodigiosin on MRSA USA300 growth. Bacteria were cocultured with MH medium in a 96-well plate at 37° C. Prodigiosin was added at concentrations of 2.5 mg/mL (A) and 5 mg/mL (B) every 2 h from the start of cultivation (0 h) to 10 h. OD620 values were measured every 2 h up to 24 h. The sample treated without prodigiosin adding served as the control. Data were expressed as mean ± SEM (n = 3).



USA300. Bacteria were cocultured in TSB containing 1% glucose (TSBG) with prodigiosin (0, 0.16, 0.31, 0.63, 1.25 or 2.5 mg/L) in a 96-well plate at 37°C for 24 h. Biofilm was stained by 0.1% crystal violet (m/V) for 20 min, and OD570 values were measured after 95% ethanol dissolved the staining solution. The sample treated without prodigiosin adding served as the control. Data were expressed as mean \pm SEM (n = 3). ***p < 0.001, **p < 0.01, and *p < 0.05, compared to the control.

D-glucosamine 6-phosphate in the peptidoglycan pathway. In addition, Aaa hydrolyzes the link between N-acetylmuramoyl and L-amino acid residues in some cell-wall glycopeptides during the recycling of peptidoglycan. Hadi et al. (2013) reported that MurQ from *Haemophilus influenzae* can bind an inhibitor, whereas Stephanie et al. (2022) reported that a defect of cell wall recycling conferred antibiotic resistance to *S. aureus*, due to partial collapse of a pathway involving MurQ. Further research is needed to

determine whether prodigiosin binds to MurQ and regulate the synthesis of peptidoglycans by molecular docking and experimental verification.

Our results indicated that prodigiosin significantly inhibited most cell wall anchor proteins related to biofilm formation, including SdrC, SdrD, Sbi, IsaA, IsaB, Efb, Ecb, Scb and SarX, which was consistent with the literature (Geoghegan et al., 2013; Ma et al., 2022; Zhang et al., 2022). Similarly, SdrC, SdrD and Sbi were found to be inhibited by lomitapide, which also decreases biofilm formation (Zhang et al., 2022). SdrC is engaged in the first stage of adhesion among cells by low-affinity bonds, and also promotes the adherence of cells to surfaces, which may contribute to biofilm formation (Pi et al., 2020). SdrD is a cell surface protein that plays a crucial role in the attachment of *S. aureus* to the extracellular matrix (ECM) during biofilm formation (Salinas et al., 2022). Accordingly, the attachment and biofilm formation of MRSA was inhibited by reducing the expression of the *sdrD* gene and increasing the distance between the ECM-interacting domain and the bacterial surface (Iwata et al., 2021).

Similarly, disruption of the *isaA* virulence factor gene decreased biofilm formation (Ma et al., 2022). Mackey-Lawrence et al. (2009) showed that IsaB exhibited higher affinity for double-stranded DNA than single-stranded DNA and RNA, but it was found that IsaB did not contribute to biofilm formation. Further studies are necessary to determine whether IsaB influences biofilm formation in the MRSA USA300 strain and what role it may play in the establishment and/or progression of *S. aureus* infection.

Fibronectin/fibrinogen-binding proteins (Ecb, Scb and Efb) are expressed by the majority of *S. aureus* strains, in which they facilitate the colonization of biotic surfaces and can promote biofilm matrix formation via a mechanism based on Zn^{2+} -dependent, low affinity bonds between adjacent cells (Geoghegan et al., 2013). In a previous study, the genes encoding fibrinogen binding proteins involved in the biosynthesis of polysaccharide intercellular adhesin (PIA) were found to be downregulated when MRSA was treated with Manuka honey (Kot et al., 2020), which is consistent with our results.

Biofilm production by *S. aureus* was promoted by the *sarX* gene, which was attributed to increased expression of the *ica* operon and PIA. Furthermore, deletion of *sarX* reduced *S. aureus*



Addition time effects of prodigiosin on the biofilm biomass of MRSA USA300. Bacteria were cultured with TSBG in a 96-well plate at 37°C. Prodigiosin was added at concentrations of 2.5 mg/mL or 5 mg/mL every 2 h from the start of cultivation (0 h) to 8 h, and bacteria were cultured up to 24 h. OD570 values were measured to indicate the biomass of biofilm. Data were expressed as mean \pm SEM (n = 3). ***p < 0.001, **p < 0.01, and *p < 0.05, compared to the control.

biofilm formation by decreasing *ica* operon expression and PIA biosynthesis as well as downregulating *spa* (Hao et al., 2021). This result was in agreement with our research, which showed that the expression of sarX and spa were downregulated when prodigiosin reduced biofilm formation. The results of Ma et al. (2022) indicated that the adhesin/biofilm-related genes and hemolysin genes, such as *sarX* and *hlgC*, were simultaneously downregulated with *isaA*, and the same phenomenon was observed in our study.

The genes encoding cell wall synthesis related proteins including DltB, DltD, 9 capsular polysacchar-ide biosynthesis proteins and VraR were upregulated according to bioinformatics analysis. This was consistent with earlier reports, which indicated that VraR expression was induced by cell wall antimicrobials, and the cell wall of *S. aureus* was damaged by lomitapide (Yin et al., 2006; Levinger et al., 2012; Zhang et al., 2022).

The genes *nreA*, *nreB* and *srrB* encode sensor histidine kinases of two-component systems related to quorum sensing that are located on the cell membrane. Our SEM and TEM results showed that prodigiosin destroyed the cell membrane integrity of *S. aureus* (Figures 5, 11). Consistently, earlier reports indicated that the principal antibacterial mechanism of prodigiosin is based on the disruption of the cell membrane (Danevčič et al.,



FIGURE 5

Prodigiosin inhibitory effects on the formation of MRSA USA300 biofilm assessed by scanning electron microscopy. Bacteria were cultured with TSBG in a 12-well plate at 37°C. (A,C): 0 mg/L prodigiosin; (B,D): 2.5 mg/L prodigiosin added at 0 h of cultivation; (E,F): 2.5 mg/L prodigiosin added at 10 h of the cultivation; bacteria were cultured up to 24 h and biofilms obtained were washed with PBS and fixed with 2.5% glutaraldehyde at 4°C for 1 h. (A–C) Scale bar: $10.0 \mu m$. (D–F) Scale bar: $5.00 \mu m$.



without prodigiosin served as control. Scale bar: 20 μ m.



FIGURE 7

Effect of prodigiosin on the viability of MRSA USA300 cells in the biofilm. Bacterial biofilm (24 h old) was treated with prodigiosin (1.25, 2.5 or 5 mg/mL) for 24 h. Viability of the cells was measured by CFU counting. The sample treated without prodigiosin served as the control. Data were expressed as mean \pm SEM (n = 3). **p < 0.01, compared with control.

2016b; Suryawanshi et al., 2017; Herráez et al., 2019; Wang B. et al., 2022; Wang Y. J. et al., 2022). Danevčič et al. (2016b) reported that prodigiosin damaged the cytoplasmic membrane of B. subtilis and increased its permeability, which is associated with autolysin biosynthesis. The same research team found that cells treated with prodigiosin at a dose higher than the MIC had a leaky cell membrane, but there was no significant disintegration (Danevčič et al., 2016a). Further evidence provided by Suryawanshi et al. (2017) indicated that the surface of S. aureus treated by prodigiosin was heterogeneous. These findings suggested that prodigiosin acts as an external stressor able to damage the plasma membrane (Danevčič et al., 2016b). NreB is a cytoplasmic protein with four conserved cysteine residues that comprise an iron-sulfur cluster (Annegret et al., 2004; Sangare et al., 2020). The downregulation of the iron-sulfur cluster repair protein ScdA may further decreased the content of iron-sulfur clusters. It is speculated that the decrease of iron-sulfur clusters reduced biofilm formation, indicating that iron-sulfur cluster proteins may be an important target of prodigiosin. However, their role in biofilm formation needs further study. In addition, Đukanović et al. (2022) reported that emodin from Frangula bark inhibited biofilm formation in S. aureus by downregulating the expression of the srrB gene, which is an important target for inhibiting biofilm formation by reducing the biosynthesis of adhesion. These earlier reports are consistent with our results.





It was also reported that pneumococcal LytS is involved in anchoring both the capsular polysaccharide and teichoic acids to cell wall, which is important for virulence (Ye et al., 2018; Huang et al., 2021). Due to their lytic activities these proteins are related to the synthesis of the cell wall, autolysis and release of genomic DNA, which eventually become the biofilm matrix, thus promoting biofilm formation. However, the function of LytS in biofilm formation by *S. aureus* needs further study.

Arginine regulates the expression of downstream genes in the two-component system after phosphorylation (Fan et al., 2020), including those involved in biofilm synthesis. The genes *arcC* and *argF* involved in the biosynthesis of arginine were downregulated when the biofilm formation of MRSA USA300 was significantly reduced by prodigiosin. Samaneh et al. (2022) reported that L-arginine supplementation enhanced biofilm formation in *S. mutans* at concentrations of 5 and 10 μ M. Similarly, Liu et al.

(2022) found that arginine could promote biofilm formation in P. aeruginosa. Moreover, Adhar et al. (2022) reported that the small regulatory RNA Teg58 influenced arginine biosynthesis genes (i.e., argGH encoding arginosuccinate synthetase and lyase) to reduce biofilm formation. However, Zhu et al. (2007) indicated that the catabolic pathway of arginine did not play a significant role in the inhibition of biofilm formation in S. aureus. Nevertheless, Qu et al.



exposed to 1/8 MIC prodigiosin for 5 h. the expression levels of eight genes related to the cell envelope biosynthesis were confirmed by RT-PCR. Data were expressed as mean + SEM (n = 3)

(2020) showed that the novel coumarin derivative DCH inhibited MRSA biofilm formation by targeting a repressor of the arginine catabolic pathway. Further studies are needed to clarify if the downregulation of *arcC* and *argF* influences the yield of arginine, as well as to evaluate the effects of prodigiosin on arginine synthesis and biofilm formation.

5 Conclusion

Our results showed that prodigiosin can affect the cell envelope formation, including the destruction of the cell wall and cell membrane structures, as well as the formation of biofilm. At a concentration of 2.5 mg/L, prodigiosin also significantly inhibited the vitality of MRSA cells in the biofilm. Transcriptomic analysis of the stress response of MRSA USA300 exposed to 1/8 MIC (0.31 mg/L) prodigiosin indicated that three TCSs were differentially expressed, including one associated with autolysis (transcriptional regulator LytS), one controlling virulence genes (nreA, nreB and srrB) involved in quorum sensing related to oxygen availability, and another involved in cell wall biosynthesis (vraR, murQ and glmU). In addition, MSCRAMMs including SdrC, SdrD, Spa, Efb, etc. as well as the key arginine synthesis enzymes (ArcC and ArgF) were also affected. This evidence expands the knowledge of the response of MRSA USA300 to prodigiosin, providing a theoretical basis for designing highly effective inhibitors for the treatment of multidrug-resistant MRSA bacteria.



1/8 MIC prodigiosin

FIGURE 11

Prodigiosin effects on cell structure of MRSA USA300 assessed by transmission electron microscopy. Bacteria were cocultured with 1/8 MIC (0.31 mg/L) prodigiosin using 5 mL TSBG in 50 mL centrifuge tubes at 37°C for 12 h. And the cells were collected and fixed with 2.5% glutaraldehyde at 4°C overnight. The fixed cells were observed on a H-7650 TEM. (A,C) Treated with 0 mg/L prodigiosin. (B,D) Treated with 1/8 MIC (0.31 mg/L) prodigiosin. (A,B) Scale bar: 5.00 µm. (C,D) Scale bar: 1.00 µm.

Data availability statement

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found in the article/Supplementary material.

Author contributions

XL: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing, Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Supervision. ZW: Data curation, Formal analysis, Methodology, Resources, Writing – original draft. ZY: Methodology, Resources, Writing – review & editing. WW: Data curation, Methodology, Resources, Writing – review & editing. YW: Resources, Writing – review & editing. WWu: Data curation, Software, Writing – review & editing. YP: Data curation, Resources, Writing – review & editing. SZ: Writing – review & editing. YY: Software, Writing – review & editing. JZ: Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Supervision, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This study was supported by Zhejiang Province Basic Public Welfare Research Program (Grant No. LGF22B060004), Jiaxing Commonwealth Project (Grant No. 2020AD30029), Project of Jiaxing Science and Technology (Grant No. 2021AD10016) and Scientific Research Project of Jiaxing Nanhu University (Grant No. 62207ZL).

References

Adhar, C., Manna, S. L., Sergey, G., Víctor, G. R., Serge, R., Patrice, F., et al. (2022). *Teg58*, a small regulatory RNA, is involved in regulating arginine biosynthesis and biofilm formation in *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Sci. Rep.* 12:14963. doi: 10.1038/s41598-022-18815-3

Amorim, L. F. A., Fangueiro, R., and Gouveia, I. C. (2022). Characterization of bioactive colored materials produced from bacterial cellulose and bacterial pigments. *Materials* 15:2069. doi: 10.3390/ma15062069

Annegret, K., Stephanie, A., Iris, F., Gottfried, U., and Friedrich, G. (2004). *Staphylococcal NreB*: an O₂-sensing histidine protein kinase with an O₂-labile iron-sulphur cluster of the FNR type. *Mol. Microbiol.* 52,713–723. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2958.2004.04024.x

Cadelis, M. M., Geese, S., Uy, B. B., Mulholland, D. R., van de Pas, S. J., Grey, A., et al. (2021). Antimicrobial metabolites against methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* from the endophytic fungus *Neofusicoccum australe*. *Molecules* 26:094. doi: 10.3390/ molecules26041094

Chalmers, S. J., and Wylam, M. E. (2020). Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* infection and treatment options. *Methods Mol. Biol.* 2069, 229–251. doi: 10.1007/978-1-4939-9849-4_16

Cusack, T. P., Ashley, E. A., Ling, C. L., Rattanavong, S., Roberts, T., Turner, P., et al. (2019). Impact of CLSI and EUCAST breakpoint discrepancies on reporting of antimicrobial susceptibility and AMR surveillance. *Clin. Micro. Infect.* 25, 910–911. doi: 10.1016/j.cmi.2019.03.007

Danevčič, T., Borić, V. M., Tabor, M., Zorec, M., and Stopar, D. (2016a). Prodigiosin induces autolysins in actively grown *Bacillus subtilis* cells. *Front. Microbiol.* 7:27. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2016.00027

Danevčič, T., Borić, V. M., Zorec, M., and Stopar, D. (2016b). Prodigiosin-a multifaceted *Escherichia coli* antimicrobial agent. *PLoS One* 11:e0162412. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0162412

Darshan, N., and Manonmani, H. K. (2016). Prodigiosin inhibits motility and activates bacterial cell death revealing molecular biomarkers of programmed cell death. *AMB Express* 6:50. doi: 10.1186/s13568-016-0222-z

David, P. K., Freddie, B., Jeremiah, S., Raymond, M., Edgar, K., Brian, M., et al. (2019). CA-MRSA and HA-MRSA coexist in community and hospital settings in Uganda. *Antimicrob. Resist. Infect. Control* 8:94. doi: 10.1186/s13756-019-0551-1

Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to all the authors and reviewers who have contributed to the quality of this paper. Finally, the authors thank all the researchers around the world devote their valuable time into biofilm studies.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2024.1333526/ full#supplementary-material

Dosler, S., and Karaaslan, E. (2014). Inhibition and destruction of *Pseudomonas* aeruginosa biofilms by antibiotics and antimicrobial peptides. *Peptides* 62, 32–37. doi: 10.1016/j.peptides.2014.09.021

Đukanović, S., Ganić, T., Lončarević, B., Cvetković, S., Nikolić, B., Tenji, D., et al. (2022). Elucidating the antibiofilm activity of frangula emodin against *Staphylococcus aureus* biofilms. *J. Appl. Microbiol.* 132, 1840–1855. doi: 10.1111/jam.15360

Fan, R., Shi, X., Guo, B., Zhao, J., Liu, J., Quan, C., et al. (2020). The effects of L-arginine on protein stability and DNA binding ability of SaeR, a transcription factor in *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Protein Expr. Purif.* 177:105765. doi: 10.1016/j.pep.2020.105765

Flemming, H. C., van Hullebusch, E. D., Neu, T. R., Nielsen, P. H., Seviour, T., Stoodley, P., et al. (2023). The biofilm matrix: multitasking in a shared space. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 21, 70–86. doi: 10.1038/s41579-022-00791-0

Geoghegan, J. A., Monk, I. R., O'Gara, J. P., and Foster, T. J. (2013). Subdomains N2N3 of fibronectin binding protein a mediate *Staphylococcus aureus* biofilm formation and adherence to fibrinogen using distinct mechanisms. *J. Bacteriol.* 195, 2675–2683. doi: 10.1128/JB.02128-12

Guan, C., Che, F., Zhou, H., Li, Y., Li, Y., and Chu, J. (2020). Effect of Rubusoside, a natural sucrose substitute, on *Streptococcus mutans* biofilm cariogenic potential and virulence gene expression *in vitro*. *Appl. Environ*. *Microbiol*. 86, e01012–e01020. doi: 10.1128/AEM.01012-20

Hadi, T., Hazra, S., Tanner, M. E., and Blanchard, J. S. (2013). Structure of MurNAc 6-phosphate hydrolase (MurQ) from *Haemophilus influenzae* with a bound inhibitor. *Biochemistry* 52, 9358–9366. doi: 10.1021/bi4010446

Hage-Hülsmann, J., Grünberger, A., Thies, S., Santiago-Schübel, B., Klein, A. S., and Pietruszka, J. (2018). Natural biocide cocktails: combinatorial antibiotic effects of prodigiosin and biosurfactants. *PLoS One* 13:e0200940. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0200940

Han, S. B., Lee, C. W., Yoon, Y. D., Kang, J. S., Lee, K. H., Yoon, W. K., et al. (2005). Effective prevention of lethal acute graft-versus-host disease by combined immunosuppressive therapy with prodigiosin and cyclosporine A. *Biochem. Pharmacol.* 70, 1518–1526. doi: 10.1016/j.bcp.2005.08.017

Han, R., Xiang, R., Li, J., Wang, F., and Wang, C. (2021). High-level production of microbial prodigiosin: a review. J. Basic Microbiol. 61, 506–523. doi: 10.1002/jobm.202100101

Hao, Z., Guo, Y., Rao, L., Yu, J., Zhan, Q., and Xu, Y. (2021). Deletion of SarX decreases biofilm formation of *Staphylococcus aureus* in a polysaccharide intercellular adhesin (PIA)-dependent manner by downregulating spa. *Infect. Drug Resist.* 14, 2241–2250. doi: 10.2147/IDR.S305650

Herráez, R., Mur, A., Merlos, A., Viñas, M., and Vinuesa, T. (2019). Using prodigiosin against some gram-positive and gram-negative bacteria and *Trypanosoma cruzi. J. Venom. Anim. Toxins Incl. Trop. Dis.* 25:e20190001. doi: 10.1590/1678-9199-JV ATITD-2019-0001

Huang, W., Chen, Y., Li, Q., Jiang, H., Lv, Q., Zheng, Y., et al. (2021). LytR plays a role in normal septum formation and contributes to full virulence in *Streptococcus suis*. *Vet. Microbiol.* 254:109003. doi: 10.1016/j.vetmic.2021.109003

Iwata, Y., Sakai, N., Yoneda, I., Senda, Y., Sakai-Takemori, Y., Oshima, M., et al. (2021). D-serine inhibits the attachment and biofilm formation of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 537, 50–56. doi: 10.1016/j. bbrc.2020.12.078

Ji, K., Jeong, T. H., and Kim, Y. T. (2015). Anti-MRSA properties of prodigiosin from Serratia sp. PDGS 120915. J. Life Sci. 25, 29–36. doi: 10.5352/JLS.2015.25.1.29

Jolivet-Gougeon, A., and Bonnaure-Mallet, M. (2014). Biofilms as a mechanism of bacterial resistance. *Drug Discov. Today Technol.* 11, 49–56. doi: 10.1016/j. ddtec.2014.02.003

Kimyon, O., Das, T., Ibugo, A. I., Kutty, S. K., Ho, K. K., Tebben, J., et al. (2016). *Serratia* secondary metabolite prodigiosin inhibits *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* biofilm development by producing reactive oxygen species that damage biological molecules. *Front. Microbiol.* 7:972. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2016.00972

Kot, B., Sytykiewicz, H., Sprawka, I., and Witeska, M. (2020). Effect of manuka honey on biofilm-associated genes expression during methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* biofilm formation. *Sci. Rep.* 10:13552. doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-70666-y

Levinger, O., Bikels-Goshen, T., Landau, E., Fichman, M., and Shapira, R. (2012). Epigallocatechin gallate induces upregulation of the two-component VraSR system by evoking a cell wall stress response in *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 78, 7954–7959. doi: 10.1128/AEM.02253-12

Li, H., Huang, Y. Y., Keren, A. A., Huang, Z. X., Yu, Y. G., and Xiao, X. L. (2022). Transcriptomic and proteomic analysis of *Staphylococcus aureus* response to cuminaldehyde stress. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* 382:109930. doi: 10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2022.109930

Li, D., Liu, J., Wang, X., Kong, D., Du, W., Li, H., et al. (2018). Biological potential and mechanism of prodigiosin from *Serratia marcescens* Subsp. *lawsoniana* in human choriocarcinoma and prostate cancer cell lines. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 19:3465. doi: 10.3390/ ijms19113465

Lindsay, J. A., and Holden, M. T. (2004). *Staphylococcus aureus*: superbug, super genome? *Trends Microbiol.* 12, 378–385. doi: 10.1016/j.tim.2004.06.004

Liu, Z. X., Hossain, S. S., Moreira, Z. M., and Haney, C. H. (2022). Putrescine and its metabolic precursor arginine promote biofilm and c-di-GMP synthesis in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. J. Bacteriol. 204:e0029721. doi: 10.1128/JB.00297-21

Lu, C., Liu, H., Shangguan, W., Chen, S., and Zhong, Q. (2021). Antibiofilm activities of the cinnamon extract against *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* and *Escherichia coli. Arch. Microbiol.* 203, 125–135. doi: 10.1007/s00203-020-02008-5

Ma, P. Y., Chong, C. W., Than, L. T. L., Sulong, A. B., Ho, K. L., Neela, V. K., et al. (2022). Impact of *IsaA* gene disruption: decreasing *Staphylococcal* biofilm and alteration of transcriptomic and proteomic profiles. *Microorganisms* 10:1119. doi: 10.3390/ microorganisms10061119

Mackey-Lawrence, N. M., Potter, D. E., Cerca, N., and Jefferson, K. K. (2009). *Staphylococcus aureus* immunodominant surface antigen B is a cell-surface associated nucleic acid binding protein. *BMC Microbiol.* 9:61. doi: 10.1186/1471-2180-9-61

Mochalkin, I., Lightle, S., Narasimhan, L., Bornemeier, D., Melnick, M., Vanderroest, S., et al. (2008). Structure of a small-molecule inhibitor complexed with GlmU from *Haemophilus influenzae* reveals an allosteric binding site. *Protein Sci.* 17, 577–582. doi: 10.1110/ps.073271408

Mortazavi, A., Williams, B. A., McCue, K., Schaeffer, L., and Wold, B. (2008). Mapping and quantifying mammalian transcriptomes by RNA-Seq. *Nat. Methods* 5, 621–628. doi: 10.1038/nmeth.1226

Mottola, C., Matias, C. S., Mendes, J. J., Melo-Cristino, J., Tavares, L., Patrícia Cavaco-Silva, P., et al. (2016). Susceptibility patterns of *Staphylococcus aureus* biofilms in diabetic foot infections. *BMC Microbiol.* 16:119. doi: 10.1186/s12866-016-0737-0

Nandhini, P., Kumar, P., Mickymaray, S., Alothaim, A. S., Somasundaram, J., and Rajan, M. (2022). Recent developments in methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) treatment: a review. *Antibiotics* 11:606. doi: 10.3390/antibiotics11050606

Nguena-Dongue, B. N., Tchamgoue, J., Keilah, P. K., Toghueo, K. R. M., Zeukoo, M. E., Melogmo, Y. K. D., et al. (2023). Potentiation effect of mallotojaponin B on chloramphenicol and mode of action of combinations against methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. *PLoS One* 18:e0282008. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0282008

Nguyen, S. L. T., Nguyen, T. C., Do, T. T., Vu, T. L., Nguyen, T. T., Do, T. T., et al. (2022). Study on the anticancer activity of prodigiosin from variants of *Serratia marcescens* QBN VTCC 910026. *Biomed. Res. Int.* 2022:4053074. doi: 10.1155/2022/4053074

Nisarg, G., Gargi, B., and Vijai, S. (2020). Synergistic bactericidal profiling of prodigiosin extracted from *Serratia marcescens* in combination with antibiotics against pathogenic bacteria. *Microb. Pathog.* 149:104508. doi: 10.1016/j.micpath.2020.104508

Paharik, A. E., and Horswill, A. R. (2016). The *Staphylococcal* biofilm: adhesins, regulation, and host response. *Microbiol. Spectr.* 4, 1–27. doi: 10.1128/microbiolspec. VMBF-0022-2015

Palathoti, N., and Azam, M. A. (2023). GlmU inhibitors as promising antibacterial agents: a review. *Mini Rev. Med. Chem.* 23, 343–360. doi: 10.217 4/1389557522666220817114445

Pettit, R. K., Weber, C. A., Kean, M. J., Hoffmann, H., Pettit, G. R., Tan, R., et al. (2005). Microplate Alamar blue assay for *Staphylococcus epidermidis* biofilm susceptibility testing. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 49, 2612–2617. doi: 10.1128/AAC.49.7.2612-2617.2005

Pi, Y., Chen, W., and Ji, Q. (2020). Structural basis of *Staphylococcus aureus* surface protein SdrC. *Biochemistry* 59, 1465–1469. doi: 10.1021/acs.biochem.0c00124

Purushotham, N., Poojary, B., Rai, V., and Vasantha, S. P. (2019). A preliminary study on quinazolinylaminobenzoyl monopeptide esters as effective Gram-positive bacteriostatic agents. *Future Med. Chem.* 11, 407–422. doi: 10.4155/fmc-2018-0275

Qu, D., Hou, Z., Li, J., Luo, L., Su, S., Ye, Z., et al. (2020). A new coumarin compound DCH combats methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* biofilm by targeting arginine repressor. *Sci. Adv.* 6. doi: 10.1126/sciadv.aay9597

Ramesh, C., Vinithkumar, N. V., Kirubagaran, R., Venil, C. K., and Dufossé, L. (2020). Applications of prodigiosin extracted from marine red pigmented bacteria *Zooshikella* sp. and actinomycete *Streptomyces* sp. *Microorganisms* 8:556. doi: 10.3390/ microorganisms8040556

Salinas, C., Florentín, G., Rodríguez, F., Alvarenga, N., and Guillén, R. (2022). Terpenes combinations inhibit biofilm formation in *Staphyloccocus aureus* by interfering with initial adhesion. *Microorganisms* 10:1527. doi: 10.3390/ microorganisms1008-1527

Samaneh, V., Mobina, S., Farideh, K., Fatemeh, N., and Mohammad, T. (2022). Evaluation of L-arginine supplement on the growth rate, biofilm formation, and antibiotic susceptibility in *Streptococcus mutans. Eur. J. Med. Res.* 27:108. doi: 10.1186/ s40001-022-00735-7

Sangare, L., Chen, W., Wang, C., Chen, X., Wu, M., Zhang, X., et al. (2020). Structural insights into the conformational change of *Staphylococcus aureus NreA* at C-terminus. *Biotechnol. Lett.* 42, 787–795. doi: 10.1007/s10529-020-02807-2

Sathiyamoorthi, E., Faleye, O. S., Lee, J. H., Raj, V., and Lee, J. (2021). Antibacterial and antibiofilm activities of chloroindoles against *Vibrio parahaemolyticus. Front. Microbiol.* 12:714371. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2021.714371

Sivasamy, S., Ezhaveni, S., Yong-Guy, K., Jin-Hyung, L., and Jintae, L. (2021). Antibiofilm and antivirulence properties of indoles against *Serratia marcescens. Front. Microbiol.* 11:584812. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2020.584812

Soni, V., Rosenn, E. H., and Venkataraman, R. (2023). Insights into the central role of N-acetyl-glucosamine-1-phosphate uridyltransferase (GlmU) in peptidoglycan metabolism and its potential as a therapeutic target. *Biochem. J.* 480, 1147–1164. doi: 10.1042/BCJ20230173

Stephanie, T., Kelvin, C., and Justin, R. N. (2022). A defect in cell wall recycling confers antibiotic resistance and sensitivity in *Staphylococcus aureus. J. Biol. Chem.* 298:102473. doi: 10.1016/j.jbc.2022.102473

Sun, S. Q., Wang, Y. J., Xu, W., Zhu, C. J., and Liu, X. X. (2015). Optimizing ultrasoundassisted extraction of prodigiosin by response surface methodology. *Prep. Biochem. Biotechnol.* 45, 101–108. doi: 10.1080/10826068.2013.877029

Suryawanshi, R. K., Patil, C. D., Borase, H. P., Salunke, B. K., and Patil, S. V. (2014). Studies on production and biological potential of prodigiosin by *Serratia marcescens. Appl. Biochem. Biotechnol.* 173, 1209–1221. doi: 10.1007/s12010-014-0921-3

Suryawanshi, R. K., Patil, C. D., Koli, S. H., Hallsworth, J. E., and Patil, S. V. (2017). Antimicrobial activity of prodigiosin is attributable to plasma membrane damage. *Nat. Prod. Res.* 31, 572–577. doi: 10.1080/14786419.2016.1195380

Turner, N. A., Sharma-Kuinkel, B. K., Maskarinec, S. A., Eichenberger, E. M., Shah, P. P., Carugati, M., et al. (2019). Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*: an overview of basic and clinical research. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 17, 203–218. doi: 10.1038/ s41579-018-0147-4

Vithani, N., Bais, V., and Prakash, B. (2014). GlmU (N-acetylglucosamine-1-phosphate uridyltransferase) bound to three magnesium ions and ATP at the active site. *Acta Crystallogr. F* 70, 703–708. doi: 10.1107/S2053230X14008279

Wang, Z., Li, B., Zhou, L., Yu, S., Su, Z., Song, J., et al. (2016). Prodigiosin inhibits Wnt/ β -catenin signaling and exerts anticancer activity in breast cancer cells. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 113, 13150–13155. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1616336113

Wang, B., Peng, W. W., Yang, Y., Chao, R. S., Xu, W., Yong, X. Y., et al. (2022). Functional and expression characteristics identification of Phormicins, novel AMPs from *Musca domestica* with anti-MRSA biofilm activity, in response to different stimuli. *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.* 209, 299–314. doi: 10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2022.03.204

Wang, Y. J., Wang, W., You, Z. Y., and Liu, X. X. (2022). Observation of synergistic antibacterial properties of prodigiosin from *Serratia marcescens jx-1* with metal ions in clinical isolates of *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Prep. Biochem. Biotechnol.* 52, 344–350. doi: 10.1080/10826068.2021.1944201

Woodhams, D. C., LaBumbard, B. C., Barnhart, K. L., Becker, M. H., Bletz, M. C., Escobar, L. A., et al. (2018). Prodigiosin, violacein, and volatile organic compounds

produced by widespread cutaneous bacteria of amphibians can inhibit two *Batrachochytrium* fungal pathogens. *Microb. Ecol.* 75, 1049–1062. doi: 10.1007/s00248-017-1095-7

Yan, J., Yin, Q., Nie, H., Liang, J., Liu, X. R., Li, Y., et al. (2023). Prodigiosin as an antibiofilm agent against multidrug-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Biofouling* 39, 444–458. doi: 10.1080/08927014.2023.2226613

Ye, W., Zhang, J., Shu, Z., Yin, Y., Zhang, X., and Wu, K. (2018). Pneumococcal LytR protein is required for the surface attachment of both capsular polysaccharide and teichoic acids: essential for pneumococcal virulence. *Front. Microbiol.* 9:1199. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2018.01199

Yin, S., Daum, R. S., and Boyle-Vavra, S. (2006). VraSR two-component regulatory system and its role in induction of pbp2 and vraSR expression by cell wall antimicrobials

in Staphylococcus aureus. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 50, 336–343. doi: 10.1128/ AAC.50.1.336-343.2006

Yip, C. H., Sobina, M., Wan, K. L., and Nathan, S. (2021). Prodigiosin inhibits bacterial growth and virulence factors as a potential physiological response to interspecies competition. *PLoS One* 16:e0253445. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0253445

Zhang, Y., Zhang, Y., Chen, C., Cheng, H., Deng, X., Li, D., et al. (2022). Antibacterial activities and action mode of anti-hyperlipidemic lomitapide against *Staphylococcus aureus*. *BMC Microbiol*. 22:114. doi: 10.1186/s12866-022-02535-9

Zhu, Y. F. Z., Weiss, E. C., Otto, M., Fey, P. D., Smeltzer, M. S., and Somerville, G. A. (2007). *Staphylococcus aureus* biofilm metabolism and the influence of arginine on polysaccharide intercellular adhesin synthesis, biofilm formation, and pathogenesis. *Infect. Immun.* 75, 4219–4226. doi: 10.1128/IAI.00509-07