Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Ze Chen, Hebei Normal University, China

REVIEWED BY Samuel Sunday Taiwo, Ladoke Akintola University of Technology, Nigeria Laura Maria Andrade De Oliveira, Federal University of Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

*CORRESPONDENCE Abera Kumalo aberak2000@gmail.com

RECEIVED 15 August 2023 ACCEPTED 10 October 2023 PUBLISHED 30 October 2023

CITATION

Kumalo A, Gebre B, Shiferaw S, Wolde W and Shonde T (2023) *Group B Streptococci* rectovaginal colonization, antimicrobial susceptibility pattern, and associated factors among pregnant women at selected health facilities of Wolaita Sodo Town, Southern Ethiopia. *Front. Microbiol.* 14:1277928. [doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2023.1277928](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2023.1277928)

COPYPICHT

© 2023 Kumalo, Gebre, Shiferaw, Wolde and Shonde. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [Commons Attribution License \(CC BY\).](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

[Group B Streptococci](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2023.1277928/full) [recto-vaginal colonization,](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2023.1277928/full) [antimicrobial susceptibility](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2023.1277928/full) [pattern, and associated factors](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2023.1277928/full) [among pregnant women at](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2023.1277928/full) [selected health facilities of Wolaita](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2023.1277928/full) [Sodo Town, Southern Ethiopia](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2023.1277928/full)

Abera Kumalo^ı*, Biruk Gebre², Shimelis Shiferaw¹, Wokil Wolde³ and Tamirayehu Shonde4

1 Department of Medical Laboratory Science, College of Health Sciences and Medicine, Wolaita Sodo University, Wolaita Sodo, Ethiopia, 2Department of Medical Laboratory Science, Wolaita Sodo University Comprehensive Specialized Hospital, Wolaita Sodo, Ethiopia, ³Department of Obstetrics & Gynecology, School of Medicine, College of Health Sciences and Medicine, Wolaita Sodo University, Wolaita Sodo, Ethiopia, 4School of Medicine, College of Health Sciences and Medicine, Wolaita Sodo University, Wolaita Sodo, Ethiopia

Background: *Streptococcus agalactiae* or *Group B Streptococcal* colonization of the gastrointestinal and genital tracts of pregnant women usually remains asymptomatic, even though it is the critical determinant of infection in neonates and young infants. It causes early and late onset of invasive *Group B Streptococcus (GBS)* disease manifesting as septicemia, meningitis, and pneumonia. Now it is recognized as an important cause of maternal and neonatal morbidity and mortality in many parts of the world including Ethiopia, where the magnitude of the problem has been little studied. The aim of this study was to assess the prevalence of *GBS* colonization and to identify associated risk factors and antimicrobial susceptibility patterns among pregnant women at selected health facilities of Wolaita Sodo Town, Southern Ethiopia.

Methodology: A health-facility-based cross-sectional study design was conducted at WSUCSH & Wolaita Sodo Health Center from June to August, 2022. A total of 279 pregnant women who were in ANC follow-up at 35–37  weeks of gestation were included. For *GBS* isolation, recto-vaginal swabs were inoculated in 1 m L Todd-Hewitt broth medium supplemented with 10 μ g/mL colistin and 15  μg/mL nalidixic acid, followed by identification of isolates based on colonial morphology, gram stains, catalase reaction, and CAMP tests. Antimicrobial susceptibility testing was performed using a modified Kirby–Bauer disc diffusion method. All collected data were entered in Epi info 4.6.0.2, then transferred and tabulated using SPSS version 20. Logistic regression analysis was used to see the association between variables. Finally, a *p*-value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results: In the present study, 279 pregnant mothers, aged between 15 to 38  years with a mean of 26.5 ± 4.5 years, were included. Of all participants, the highest proportion (120) (43.01%) were housewives. The overall carriage rate of *GBS* was 67 (24.0%). *GBS* colonization showed a statistically significant association with college and above levels of maternal education [AOR  =  6.610, 95% CI (1.724–

25.349), $p = 0.01$]. High susceptibility of *GBS* isolate was seen with Penicillin G & Chloramphenicol (92.5%), Ampicillin, Ceftriaxone (89.6%), Vancomycin (74.62%), and Erythromycin (77%). Relatively, *GBS* showed high resistance to Tetracycline (88%).

Conclusion and recommendation: In this study, the overall prevalence of *GBS* colonization was 24.0%. College and above educational level was statistically significant with *GBS* colonization. This study aimed to draw attention to the management of *Group B Streptococci* in pregnant women by making *GBS* culture one of the routine diagnoses during ANC follow-up and to prevent infection with early detection.

KEYWORDS

GBS, antibiotic susceptibility pattern, pregnant women, Wolaita Sodo, Ethiopian

1. Introduction

Streptococcus agalactiae (GBS) is a typical microbiota of healthy adults' female genital tracts and anal areas, with the gastrointestinal tract acting as a natural reservoir and source of vaginal colonization. Pregnancy-related diseases such as urinary tract infection, bacteremia, chorioamnionitis, postpartum endometritis, preterm labor, preterm rupture of membranes, and perinatal transfer of the organism are all possible outcomes of maternal *GBS colonization. GBS's* ability to rise from the lower genital tract and colonize the upper genital tract has been linked to intrauterine infection [\(Lämmler et al., 1995;](#page-9-0) [Mengist](#page-10-0) [et al., 2016,](#page-10-0) [2017;](#page-10-1) [Liesse Iyamba et al., 2021](#page-9-1)).

Group B Streptococcus colonization of the genitourinary or gastrointestinal tract of pregnant women and its transmission to the infant during the labor and delivery process is the principal risk factor for early onset invasive *GBS* disease [\(Dadi et al., 2022](#page-9-2)). During pregnancy, approximately 10–30% of pregnant women are colonized with *GBS* in the vaginal and rectum area asymptomatically and 60% of their babies are infected through the birth canal ([Melin and](#page-9-3) [Efstratiou, 2013](#page-9-3); [Dadi et al., 2022\)](#page-9-2).

Antibiotic resistance among *GBS* isolates has also been a concern due to the extensive use of intrapartum antibiotic prophylaxis to avoid early-onset *GBS* illness ([Mengist et al., 2016,](#page-10-0) [2017](#page-10-1); [Leykun et al.,](#page-9-4) [2021](#page-9-4)). *Streptococcus agalactiae* remain fully susceptible to penicillin as well as to most *β*-lactams, and penicillin remains the first-choice antibiotic to prevent *GBS*-EOD and to treat *GBS* disease. However, over the last two decades, resistance to macrolides and clindamycin among invasive isolates of *GBS* has increased from <5% to common resistance of 20–30% [\(Melin and Efstratiou, 2013;](#page-9-3) [Dadi et al., 2022](#page-9-2)).

Group B Streptococcus colonization of pregnant mother is different in different countries. In the United States and Europe, *GBS* is the major cause of mortality and morbidity. It can be found in the vaginal microbiota of up to 30% of pregnant women and can be transmitted to the infant via a perinatal transmission [\(Centers for Disease Control](#page-9-5) [and Prevention, 2007\)](#page-9-5). A review that has specifically looked at the prevalence of maternal colonization with *GBS* indicated the estimated mean prevalence of *GBS* colonization was 17.9% overall and was the highest in Africa (22.4%) followed by the Americas (19.7%) and Europe (19.0%). However, studies from Southeast Asia had the lowest estimated mean prevalence (11.1%) [\(Kwatra et al., 2016](#page-9-6); [Mengist et al.,](#page-10-0) [2016;](#page-10-0) [Nishihara et al., 2017\)](#page-10-2). Reduced by almost 80% in the United States, cases fell from 1.8 cases per 1,000 live births in the early 1990s to 0.23 cases per 1,000 live births in 2015 [\(Mengist et al., 2016\)](#page-10-0). Evidence on maternal colonization prevalence remains sparse in African settings [\(Gizachew et al., 2019\)](#page-9-7). In sub-Saharan Africa, the prevalence of *GBS* in Kampala, central Uganda was 3.9% [\(Tumuhamye](#page-10-3) [et al., 2021\)](#page-10-3), in the Democratic Republic of Congo, 23.07% [\(Liesse](#page-9-1) [Iyamba et al., 2021](#page-9-1)), and in Kenya 20.5% [\(Jisuvei et al., 2020\)](#page-9-8). In Sri Lanka, *GBS* vaginal colonization was 18% ([Dilrukshi et al., 2021\)](#page-9-9). Specifically in Ethiopia, studies have revealed maternal colonization ranges from 7.2% ([Woldu et al., 2014](#page-10-4)) to 25.5% ([Gizachew et al.,](#page-9-7) [2019\)](#page-9-7). Different studies conducted in Ethiopia indicated that Addis Ababa's prevalence of *GBS* colonization among pregnant women was 14.6% ([Assefa et al., 2018\)](#page-9-10), in Jimma the prevalence of *GBS* colonization among pregnant women was 19.0% ([Mengist et al.,](#page-10-0) [2016\)](#page-10-0), in Gondar the prevalence of *GBS* colonization among pregnant women was 25.5% ([Gizachew et al., 2019](#page-9-7)), and in Nekemte the prevalence of *GBS* colonization among pregnant women was 12% ([Mengist et al., 2017](#page-10-1)). Significant differences in the frequency of maternal colonization have been reported according to region, ethnicity, and socioeconomic characteristics [\(Kfouri et al., 2021\)](#page-9-11).

Worldwide mortality from *GBS* colonization decreased from 12.7 million in 1990 to 6.3 million in 2013, but continuous effective measures should be made to decrease the mortality of newborns in developing countries [\(Nishihara et al., 2017](#page-10-2)). In Africa, the mortality rate is 4 times higher compared to America and Europe. So strategies for the prevention of *GBS* have a crucial role in mortality [\(Centers for](#page-9-12) [Disease Control and Prevention, 2002;](#page-9-12) [Nishihara et al., 2017\)](#page-10-2).

GBS has the potential to thrive in a variety of diverse host environments (Plainvert et al., 2021). The problem is particularly immense in developing countries like Ethiopia that do not have quality microbiological laboratory facilities to isolate pathogens and determine their antimicrobial susceptibility pattern, in addition to the presence of fake drugs in circulation, and misuse of antimicrobials by health care providers, unskilled practitioners, and patients. Effective use of intrapartum antibiotic prophylaxis (IAP) reduces around 80% of early onset *GBS* disease. So a strategy on IAP evaluation for the prevention of EOD should be done in developed countries to decrease the burden of *GBS* disease, to develop a vaccine, or to prepare another preventive plan (Nishihara et al., 2017). There are many studies

conducted in different cities of Ethiopia that show a high prevalence of the disease in mothers. However, there is no strategic plan developed to minimize the disease.

GBS infection is a challenging problem; much research has been done to show the prevalence of *GBS*, and its antimicrobial pattern has changed from place to place and from time to time. So the epidemiological data needs to be updated for a given place and time ([Arain et al., 2015](#page-9-13)). Therefore, the main aim of this study will be to determine the prevalence of *GBS* bacteria in pregnant women and to carry out an antimicrobial susceptibility test in Wolaita Sodo Town, Southern Ethiopia. The result of this study may show the currently updated burden of the disease in Wolaita Sodo Town, Southern Ethiopia. This study could provide updated information for responsible bodies to formulate policies to implement prevention plans through universal screening for *GBS* in ANC units and effective use of prophylaxis to prevent early *GBS* infection.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Study area

The study was conducted in Wolaita Sodo Town, which is located 327km from Addis Ababa and 129km from Hawassa. There are two general public hospitals, one governmental specialized hospital (WSUCSH), and three government health centers. In this study, two health facilities were used: WSUCSH and Wolaita Sodo Health Center ([WSUCSH Co, 2022](#page-10-6)).

Wolaita Sodo Health Center under SNNPR Health Bureau provides outpatient services (ANC), follow-ups for adult OPD, pediatric OPD, a delivery service, TB patient follow-up, HIV counseling and screening, and health package services.

WSUCSH is a teaching and referral hospital of Wolaita Sodo University Health College, which started community service in 2009. It has about 500 beds, more than 300 health workers, and serves an average of about 1,000,000 patients annually ([WSUCSH Co, 2022](#page-10-6)).

2.2. Study design and period

A health-facility-based cross-sectional study design was conducted at the ANC clinic of Wolaita Sodo University Comprehensive Specialized Hospital and Wolaita Sodo Health Center from June to August 2022.

2.3. Study population

All pregnant mothers who attended ANC follow-up at WSUCSH and Wolaita Sodo Health Center in Sodo Town and who were in their 35 to 37weeks of gestational period were part of the study population.

2.4. Sample size determination

Sample size was determined using single population proportion formula considering the following assumptions: 95% confidence interval, 5% margin of error, and an assumed prevalence of 20.9% from the prevalence of *GBS colonization* among pregnant women in a previous study done Hawassa by [Mohammed et al. \(2010\).](#page-10-7)

The following standard formula was used to calculate it.

$$
n = \frac{Z\alpha^2 P(1 - P)}{d^2}
$$

By using a 95% confidence level, the *Z* value was 1.96, with a 5% margin of error (*d*).

P = estimated prevalence rate = (20.9%) ; α = 0.05 (level of significance).

 $n =$ the required sample size.

$$
n = \frac{(1.96)2(0.209[1 - 0.209])}{(0.05)^2} = \frac{3.8416*(0.209*0.791)}{0.0025}
$$

 $= 254 + (10\% \text{Non} - \text{response rate})$: $254 + 25 = 279$

2.5. Sampling method

The study participants were enrolled using a systematic sampling technique until a sample size of 279 was achieved. The first participant was selected by the lottery method and by using the formula $K = N/n$ ($K = 424/279 = 1.52 \sim 2$ from Wolaita Sodo Health Center and $K = 652/279 = 2.3 \sim 2$ WSUCSH) over a three-month period. Therefore, individual participants were selected randomly at every *K*th interval from two data collection institutions during the study period.

Then, the final study population of 279 was allocated proportionally to the size for each health facility based on their pregnant women visit as shown below. Pregnant women ANC visit in the year of 2021 from both Health facility data were shown below.

- n_{WSHCSH} = number of sample participants required from Wolaita Sodo University Comprehensive Specialized Hospital.
- N_{WSUCSH} = number of pregnant women who came to WSUCH from June 1, 2021 to August 30, 2021 GC, which was 652.
- n_{wsHC} = number of sample participants required from Wolaita Sodo Health Center.
- N_{wsHC} = number of pregnant women who came to Wolaita Sodo Health Center from June 1, 2021 to August 30, 2021, which was 424.
- *n*=is the total sample size of the study, which was 279.
- N_{Total} = the sum of pregnant women both facilities from June 1 2021 to August 30, 2021, which was 1,076.

Therefore,

- n_{WSCSH} (from Wolaita Sodo Comprehensive Specialized $Hospital)=(n/N_{Total}) - N_{WSUCSH}.$
- $n_{\text{WSUCSH}} = (279/1076) \times 652 = 169$, sample size was allocated to WSUCH.

Whereas

- n_{WSHC} (from Wolaita Sodo Health Center) = $(n/N_{\text{Total}}) \times N_{\text{WSHC}}$.
- $n_{\text{WSHC}} = (279/1076) \times 424 = 110$ sample size was allocated to _{WSHC}.

2.6. Data collection

The data on socio-demographic variables and other relevant information were collected using a predesigned and pretested structured questionnaire and by reviewing medical records. The questionnaire was adapted from other similar studies and initially prepared in English, translated to Amharic, and then translated back to English by another translator to check for consistency. Informed consent was obtained from each study participant after explaining the purpose and procedure of the study. The questionnaire was administered by the attending midwives and nurses to pregnant women with a gestational age between 35 and 37 weeks.

2.6.1. Specimen collection

Specimens were collected as per the ACOG committee opinion and American Society for Microbiology (ASM) protocols. A vaginalrectal swab was sampled from the mother at the point of ANC and labor by trained midwives using a sterile cotton swab. Using an aseptic technique by applying sterile cotton-tipped swabs in separate sterile tubes at the site of the rectum and vagina, the vagina swab from the mucosal secretions of the lower-third part was obtained. Thereafter, the rectum swab was carefully inserted into the anal sphincter and gently rotated to touch the anal crypts. Within 30min the vaginal swab was placed in Amies transport media and within an hour of collection was transported to the Microbiology Laboratory of WSUCSH. Samples were transported in an ice box. All samples were cultured within an hour of arrival at the laboratory following standard bacteriological techniques [\(Leykun et al., 2021](#page-9-4)).

2.7. Laboratory procedures

2.7.1. Culture and identification of *Group B Streptococci*

The swabs were inoculated in 1mL Todd-Hewitt broth, an enrichment medium for *GBS*, supplemented with 10μg/mL colistin and 15μg/mL nalidixic acid to prevent contaminant growth. The samples were then incubated at 37°C aerobically for 18–24h, sub-cultured onto sheep blood agar plates, and re-incubated at 37°C. After 24h, the cultures were inspected for growth and all negative culture plates re-incubated for an additional 18–24h and then re-observed. Plates that showed growth were identified by their characteristic appearance and biochemical tests such as catalase and CAMP testing; those with no growth were discarded or reported as negative ([Figure 1\)](#page-4-0).

CAMP testing was performed on sheep blood agar plate (SBAP) by streaking of *S. aureus* down the middle of SBAP and the test organism was then streaked perpendicularly to the *Staphylococcal* streak. The streaks did not touch. CAMP factor produced by *S. agalactiae* and β lysine produced by *S. aureus* act synergistically on SBAP to produce enhanced hemolysis. After incubation overnight under candle jar atmospheres, the SBAP was examined for an arrowhead-shaped zone of enhanced lysis Christie, Atkins, and Munch-Petersen (CAMP) factors. Those that were Gram-positive cocci in gram stain, catalase-negative in Biochemical tests, and CAMP positive were identified as *S. agalactiae* [\(Shiferawu et al., 2019\)](#page-10-8).

2.7.2. Antimicrobial susceptibility testing

Kirby Bauer's disc diffusion technique was used to test the Antibiotic susceptibility (AST). The media used was Muller Hinton agar (MHA) supplemented with 5% sheep blood. From a fresh non-selective agar plate, pure colonies were selected and transferred to 5mL Sterile normal saline and thoroughly mixed to make the suspension homogeneous. Turbidity was adjusted using a McFarland densitometer to match with a 0.5 McFarland Standard, then inoculated following the standard over the entire surface of an MHA plate using a sterile swab. Then, using sterile forceps, the antibiotic disks were placed on MHA 15mm from the border and by considering the 24mm distance between each disc and Zone of Inhibition was measured by the metric scale and reported as susceptible (S), intermediate (I), or resistance (R). Using the updated guidelines ([CLSI, 2021](#page-9-14)), the following antibiotics disks were used for *Group B Streptococcus susceptibility*: Penicillin G 10IU, Ampicillin 10, Erythromycin 15 Clindamycin 2, Ceftriaxone 30, Ciprofloxacin 5, chloramphenicol 30, Clindamycin 2, Vancomycin 30, and Tetracycline 30.

2.8. Data quality control

To assure the quality of the data, a pre-test was done and 5% of the total sample was out of the study area. Training was given to the data collectors on interviewing and recto-vaginal swab sample collection. Laboratory attendants were trained on how to clean, sterilize, and reuse laboratory materials. Investigators were trained on how to collect recto-vaginal swab samples by trained data collectors. The specimens were transported to the WSUCSH Central Laboratory within 30min of collection in a cold chain (ice-box at 4°C) and immediately processed. Inoculums density for bacterial suspension for the antimicrobial susceptibility testing was standardized to 0.5. McFarlane Supervision was undertaken during the whole phase of the study period by the investigator and Medical Microbiologist. All culture media was prepared following the manufacturer's instructions. All media was checked for sterility and performance. Reference strain *S. aureus* (ATCC-25923) was used as quality control throughout the study for culture and antimicrobial susceptibility testing. *E. fecalis* (ATCC-25212) and *S. pyogenes* (ATCC 19615) were used as a negative control for CAMP testing. To check the quality of the culture media and antimicrobial disks, control organisms were obtained from the EPHI (Ethiopian Public Health Institute). Samples were collected and processed aseptically using a standard operating procedure.

2.9. Methods of data analysis

Data were entered, cleaned, and processed into Epi 4.6.0.2 using SPSS version 20. Logistic regression analysis was used to see the association between variables. The "*p*" value was less than 0.05, which was considered statistically significant.

3. Results

3.1. Socio-demographic characteristics

A total of 279 pregnant women (from 35 to 37weeks of gestation) were enrolled from June to August 2022, with a total response rate of 100%. The age of the study participants was between 15 to 38years, with a mean age with SD of 26.5 (± 4.5) years. Most of the study participants (135) were aged between 25 and 29 years (48.4%). The majority of the study participants were married (249) (89.2%) and were urban residents (260) (93.2%). Of all participants, the highest proportion (120) (43.01%) were housewives, followed by civil servants (64) (22.9%), students ([Garland et al., 2000](#page-9-15)) (17.9%), and merchants ([Fatemi et al., 2009](#page-9-16)) (16.0%). A socio-demographic characteristic of study participants is given in [Table 1](#page-5-0).

3.2. Obstetric and clinical characteristics

Regarding obstetric and clinical characteristics of study participants, 173 (62.0%) were primigravida and the remaining 106 (38%) were multigravida. Of the study participants, 51 (18.3%) had a history of abortion and 13 (4.7%) women had a history of preterm labor. Among the 279 pregnant women included in the study, 58 (20.8%) were at a gestational age of 35, 146 (52.3%) were at a gestational age of 36, and the rest (75) (26.9%) were at 37weeks of gestational age. History of hormonal contraceptive usage was reported by 223 (79.9%) of the study participants [\(Table 2](#page-5-1)).

3.3. *Group B Streptococcus* colonization

The overall prevalence of *GBS* colonization among pregnant women at 35–37weeks of gestation was 24% (67/279). The prevalence of *GBS* in the two health institutions was 37/67 (55.2%) from WSUCSH and 30/67 (44.8%) from Sodo Health Center.

3.4. Factors associated with maternal *Group B Streptococci* colonization

The assessment of the association of the socio-demographic, obstetric, and clinical characteristics with *GBS* colonization's is demonstrated in [Table 3.](#page-6-0) During the study period, a total of 279 mothers were screened for *GBS* colonization; *GBS* was confirmed in 67 (24.0%) of the study participants. In this study, the highest

TABLE 1 Socio-demographic characteristics among pregnant women at selected health facility of Wolaita Sodo Town, Southern Ethiopia from June to August 2022 (*n* = 279).

Socio- demographic characteristics	Categories	Frequency	Percentage $(\%)$
Health institutions			
WSU Comprehensive Specialized Hospital		169	60.6%
Sodo Health Center		110	39.4%
Age groups	$15 - 19$	22	7.9%
	$20 - 24$	53	19.0%
	$25 - 29$	135	48.4%
	$30 - 34$	48	17.2%
	\geq 35	21	7.5%
Residence	Urban	260	93.2%
	Rural	19	6.8%
Educational status	Primary	166	59.5%
	Secondary	55	19.7%
	College& above	58	20.8%
Marital status	Married	249	89.2%
	Divorced	6	2.2
	Single	21	7.5%
	Widowed	3	1.1
Monthly income	1,300-3,000	$221 \ge 10,000$	79.2
	3,100-5,000	35	12.5
	$5,100-1,000$	20	20
	$\geq 10,000$	3	1.1

prevalence of *GBS* was observed in those aged between 30 and 34 years 12/48 (25%), housewifes 32/120 (26.67%), and participants with a college or above education status 15/58 (25.9%). Based on history of contraceptive usage, higher rates of *GBS* were observed in those with a contraceptive usage history 53/223 (23.8%) compared with non-users. Out of 67 *GBS*-colonized pregnant women, 34 (19.7%) were Primigravida and 33 (31.1%) were multigravida. Of the mothers who were at 37weeks of gestation, 25 (33.3%) were culture positive for *GBS*. Women with no history of abortion had a 24.1% rate of colonization and women with a recent history of abortion had a rate of 23.5%. Variable candidates for multivariate logistics regression were selected by considering $p \leq 0.25$ from the bivariate model. Multivariable logistic regression analysis showed that mothers whose educational status was at the college level and above had a significant association with an increased risk of *GBS* colonization (*p* =0.01). In this study, educational status, gravidity, maternal age, and gestational age showed an association with *GBS* colonization in binary logistic regression but not in multi-logistic regression.

3.5. Antimicrobial susceptibility pattern of *GBS* isolates

The susceptibility patterns of *GBS* ($n = 67$) isolated from pregnant women were tested against nine antimicrobial agents

PROM, prolonged rupture of membrane; ANC, antenatal care; CMI, chronic medical illness; UTI, urinary tract infection; STI, sexually transmitted infections.

(presented in [Table 4](#page-7-0)). A high susceptibility rate of *GBS* isolate was seen for Penicillin G & Chloramphenicol (92.5%), Ampicillin and Ceftriaxone (89.6%), Vancomycin (74.62%), and Erythromycin (77%). Relatively, *GBS* showed high resistance to Tetracycline (88%), Ciprofloxacin (55.22%), and Clindamycin

TABLE 3 Bivariate and multivariate analysis of socio-demographic and obstetric factors among pregnant women at selected health facilities of Wolaita Sodo Town, Southern Ethiopian from June to August 2022 (n = 279).

UTI, unitary tract infection; COD, crude odds ratio; AOR, adjusted odds ratio.

(23.9%). Regarding the antibiogram of *GBS* isolates, susceptibility to all antibiotics was observed in two (2.98%) *GBS* isolate and resistance to one or more antibiotics was observed in 65 (97.01%) tested *GBS* isolates. According to the study, the most active drugs for *GBS* isolates were Penicillin, Chloramphenicol, Ampicillin, and Ceftriaxone, with susceptibility results of 92.5, 92.5, 89.6, and 74.62%, respectively. Moreover, five (7.46%) isolates of *GBS* showed intermediate susceptibility to Erythromycins and

Antibiotics with disc potency	Susceptible $(\%)$	Intermediate $(\%)$	Resistant $(\%)$
Penicillin G $(10$ IU)	62 (92.5%)		$5(7.46\%)$
Ampicillin $(10 \mu g)$	60 (89.6%)		$7(10.4\%)$
Erythromycin $(15 \mu g)$	52/ (77%)	5/67 (7.46%)	10/ (14.92%)
Erythromycin $(15 \mu g)$	$51/ (76.11\%)$		16/(23.88%)
Vancomycin $(30 \mu g)$	50/ (74.62%)	$6(8.9\%)$	11 (16.41%)
Ceftriazone $(30 \mu g)$	60/(89.6%)		$7/(10.4\%)$
Ciprofloxacin $(5 \mu g)$	30/(44.77%)		37 (55.22%)
Chloramphenicol $(30 \,\mu g)$	62/ (92.5%)	$5/ (7.5\%)$	
Tetracycline $(2 \mu g)$	$6/ (8.9\%)$	2/(2.98%)	59/(88%)

TABLE 4 Antimicrobial susceptibility patterns of *GBS* isolated from pregnant women at selected health facility of Wolaita Sodo Town, Southern Ethiopia ($n = 67$).

Chloramphenicol, six to Vancomycin (8.9%), and two (2.98%) to Tetracycline.

4. Discussion

The overall prevalence of *Group B Streptococcus (GBS)* in the present study among pregnant women was 24.0%. Such a result is comparable with studies worldwide, ranging from 10 to 30% in the USA, [Kwatra et al. \(2016\)](#page-9-6) 6.5–36% in Europe ([Nishihara et al., 2017](#page-10-2)), 7.1–16% in Asia [\(Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2007](#page-9-5)), and 11.9–31.6% in Africa [\(Arain et al., 2015\)](#page-9-13). This study is also relatively similar to studies conducted in different parts of Ethiopia: 20.9% in Hawassa Health Centers ([Mohammed et al., 2012\)](#page-10-9), 19% in Jimma Hospital ([Mengist et al., 2016\)](#page-10-0), and 14.6% in different health centers in Addis Ababa [\(Assefa et al., 2018\)](#page-9-10). The rate of *GBS* colonization in this study is lower than the studies conducted in Brazil at 28.4% [\(Melo et al., 2018](#page-9-17)) and South Africa at 30.9% [\(Bolukaoto](#page-9-18) [et al., 2015\)](#page-9-18).

The rate of *GBS* found in this study and some countries of Europe is comparable, for example in Italy two studies reported *GBS* rates as 17.9% [\(Melo et al., 2018\)](#page-9-17) and 18% ([Poyart et al., 2003](#page-10-10)). In Switzerland and Poland, positivity rates were 21% [\(Cockerill](#page-9-19) [et al., 2012](#page-9-19)) and 17.2% ([Blumberg et al., 1996\)](#page-9-20) respectively. A study done in the Netherlands showed 21% ([Flaherty et al., 2019](#page-9-21)). However, a lower *GBS* colonization rate was recorded from Istanbul and Elazin in Turkey giving 8% ([Khan et al., 2015\)](#page-9-22) and 8.7% ([Assefa](#page-9-10) [et al., 2018](#page-9-10)), respectively, while a study in Northern Greece reported the lowest rate of 6.6% ([Valkenburg-Van Den Berg et al., 2006\)](#page-10-11).

GBS colonization is an important cause of infection in pregnant women and is associated with adverse outcomes in their newborns; however, there have been limited studies available in Ethiopia ([Assefa](#page-9-10) [et al., 2018](#page-9-10)). It also has variable prevalence and susceptibility against commonly prescribed drugs in different geographic locations.

Providing adequate knowledge for pregnant women on *GBS* risk factors plays a crucial role in decreasing the morbidity and mortality related to maternal *GBS* infections. The geographical differences, variability in the sample size, and methods employed for *GBS* detection might possibly explain the disparities.

In this study, socio-demographic characteristics (age, residence, education status, marital status, income, and occupation) and Obstetrics and clinical characteristics (gravidity, gestational age, history of Preterm PROM, preterm labor, contraceptive use, history of abortion, UTI pregnancy, STI pregnancy, and any antibiotic) had no relation to the *GBS* colonization. Similar findings were reported from studies done in Italy that reported the *GBS* rate as 17.9% ([Melo et al., 2018](#page-9-17)), Poland had a positivity rate of 17.2% ([Blumberg et al., 1996](#page-9-20)). Having a college or above educational level was, however, significantly associated with maternal colonization ($p = 0.01$), with a similar finding shown in studies done in Poland ([El Aila et al.,](#page-9-23) [2010\)](#page-9-23) and Bangladesh [\(Blumberg et al., 1996\)](#page-9-20). Maternal age and gestational age were identified as risk factors for *GBS* colonization ([Blumberg et al., 1996;](#page-9-20) [Poyart et al., 2003](#page-10-10); [El Aila et al., 2010](#page-9-23); [Melo](#page-9-17) [et al., 2018\)](#page-9-17) in studies done before but no association was seen in the current study. In study done in Thailand reported that lower maternal age and lower gestational age were risks for colonization by *GBS* [\(Khan et al., 2015\)](#page-9-22). The relationship between these factors and *GBS* colonization, however, showed marked inconsistencies.

In some studies, colonization increased with age ([Cockerill et al.,](#page-9-19) [2012\)](#page-9-19), while other reports confirmed younger age groups showed the highest risk ([Khan et al., 2015;](#page-9-22) [Assefa et al., 2018](#page-9-10)). The possible reason for this difference seems to be seasonal differences globally, the availability of laboratory facilities for detecting *GBS*, and the length of study periods.

In this study, maternal age, gravidity, and gestational age showed association with *GBS* colonization in binary logistic regression, and college and above educational level showed an association on multilogistic regression.

In the current study, the susceptibility pattern of *GBS* isolates to Penicillin (92.5%), Vancomycin (74.62%), ampicillin (89.6%), Ceftriaxone (89.6%), Chloramphenicol (92.5.%), Erythromycin (77%), and Clindamycin (76.11%) is comparable with previous studies conducted in different countries, in which similar records were found from Mekele, Ethiopia [\(Alemseged et al., 2015\)](#page-9-24), the USA ([Rausch et al., 2009\)](#page-10-12), Canada ([Ayata et al., 1994](#page-9-25)), and Lebanon [\(El-](#page-9-26)[Kersh et al., 2002](#page-9-26)).

However, high resistance was observed in Tetracycline (88%), Ciprofloxacin (55.22%), and Clindamycin (23.88%). Similar records were found in Lebanon [\(El-Kersh et al., 2002](#page-9-26)), the USA [\(Rausch et al.,](#page-10-12) [2009](#page-10-12); [Strus et al., 2009\)](#page-10-13), Mekele, Ethiopia ([Alemseged et al., 2015\)](#page-9-24), and Canada [\(Ayata et al., 1994\)](#page-9-25). Erythromycin (14.92%), Vancomycin (16.41%), Ceftriaxone and Ampicillin (10.4%), and, *GBS* resistant with reduced Penicillin susceptibility have been detected. Penicillin is the first agent for the prevention and treatment of GBS infections; however, nowadays GBS strains with reduced susceptibility to Penicillin have been reported periodically as seen in different study previously like also this study.

To prevent *GBS*, Erythromycin and Clindamycin are alternative antibiotics to Penicillin, especially for pregnant women with allergies who are at a high risk of anaphylaxis.

The increase of *GBS* strains resistant to Erythromycin and Clindamycin is complicating the management of pregnant women

who are allergic to Penicillin [\(Valkenburg-Van Den Berg et al.,](#page-10-11) [2006\)](#page-10-11). In contrast to reports from many other countries, the highest susceptibility in the present study was seen to Erythromycin (77%) and Clindamycin (76.11%), and only a few isolates were resistant to these drugs. In this study, 15% Erythromycin and 24% Clindamycin resistance was reported, which is similar to studies done in Ethiopia, Gondar, showing a 22.7% resistance to Erythromycin and 17.6– 18.2% resistance to Clindamycin [\(Schmidt et al., 1989](#page-10-14)). Also in South Africa, 17.2% Clindamycin and 21.1% Erythromycin resistance were reported [\(Verani et al., 2010\)](#page-10-15). In Italy and the USA, Clindamycin resistance was reported at 17.6% ([Savoia et al., 2008](#page-10-16)) and 21.0% ([Fatemi et al., 2009\)](#page-9-16), respectively, which is comparable to our study.

Generally, in contrast to this study, worldwide studies have reported a high resistance rate to Erythromycin, which ranges from 18 to 54% [\(Blumberg et al., 1996\)](#page-9-20). Absence or low antibiotic resistance of *GBS* strains in the present study may indicate the suitability of Penicillin, Ampicillin, and Chloramphenicol for Ethiopia to prevent *GBS* until a vaccine is available on the market.

Similar to the present study, low levels of resistance to Erythromycin was reported in Australia (6.4%) [\(Assefa et al., 2018](#page-9-10)), Brazil (4.1%) ([De Steenwinkel et al., 2008\)](#page-9-27), on the Thai-Myanmar border (8.5%) [\(El Aila et al., 2010](#page-9-23)), and France (4%) ([Blumberg et al.,](#page-9-20) [1996\)](#page-9-20). No resistance to Chloramphenicol was observed in this study and two (7.5%) and three (10.4%) of the isolates showed resistance to Penicillin and Ampicillin, respectively.

High resistance to Tetracycline (88%) in this study was reported and similar reports from other countries, such as Brazil (83%) ([Valkenburg-Van Den Berg et al., 2006\)](#page-10-11), Australia (85.9%) [\(Savoia](#page-10-16) [et al., 2008\)](#page-10-16), Kuwait (89.5%) ([Rausch et al., 2009\)](#page-10-12), Canada (89%) ([Ayata et al., 1994](#page-9-25)), and Greece (85%) ([Tsolia et al., 2003](#page-10-17)) also reported.

CDC-approved patients can take Penicillin or Ampicillin if they are not allergic to Penicillin. Clindamycin or Vancomycin is the drug of choice for those who have a major Penicillin allergy and Ceftriaxone for a minor allergy to Penicillin ([YektaKooshali et al., 2018](#page-10-18)). It is difficult to develop a vaccine for *GBS* due to its multiple serotypes and variations in geographical locations ([Garland et al., 2000](#page-9-15)). In addition, resistance to Clindamycin and Erythromycin, which are first-line drugs for those with a penicillin allergy, has increased rapidly [\(Garland](#page-9-15) [et al., 2000\)](#page-9-15).

Resistance to Erythromycin ranged from 7 to 40% and Clindamycin from 3 to 26.4%; this was related to some serotypes ([Fatemi et al., 2009;](#page-9-16) [Tsui et al., 2009](#page-10-19); [Khan et al., 2015](#page-9-22)). Inappropriate use of antimicrobial drugs leads to high drug resistance. In Ethiopia, people can easily go to pharmacies without a prescription to buy antibiotics and this type of antibiotic use might responsible for the high drug resistance rates observed currently.

4.1. The strengths of this study

In this study, a more valid method was used to identify *GBS* colonization. The use of THB (Todd-Hewitt broth), a primary selective broth media for isolation of *GBS* that consisted of 10μg/mL colistin and 15μg/mL nalidixic, as well as the use of antibiotics in the primary media to selectively isolate the bacteria, makes our isolation able to indicate maximum carriage rate.

4.2. Limitations of the study

- No serotyping was done and only disc diffusion was used for the antibiotic susceptibility test.
- There was a failure to assess the outcome on neonates whose mothers were detected to be colonized by *GBS* in the study.

5. Conclusion

The prevalence of *GBS* in the current study was 24.0%. Among 279 pregnant women, the carriage rate of *GBS* was highest among those aged 30–34 years. Having a college or above level of maternal education was significantly associated to maternal colonization in the current study [AOR=6.610, 95% CI (1.724–25.349), *p* =0.01]. The highest susceptibility was shown for penicillin and chloramphenicol (92.5%). High resistance was observed against Tetracycline (88%) and Ciprofloxacin (55.22%). The overall prevalence of *GBS* in the current study is high. Therefore, there is a need for screening of pregnant mothers near term delivery and to determine their antibiotic susceptibility so as to set appropriate intervention mechanisms. Early diagnosis, treatment, and proper management is very important to reduce *GBS* infection of neonates and newborns.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

Ethics statement

The studies involving humans were approved by the Institutional Review Board of College of Health Sciences and Medicine, Wolaita Sodo University. The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. The participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

Author contributions

AK: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Software, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing – review & editing. BG: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Software, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing – original draft. SS: Conceptualization, Data curation, Methodology, Project administration, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing – review & editing. WW: Conceptualization, Software, Supervision, Validation, Writing – review & editing. TS: Data curation, Formal analysis, Software, Supervision, Validation, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that no financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Wolaita Sodo University for providing permission to conduct the study. The authors would also like to thank South Nations, Nationalities, and Peoples Region Health Bureau Regional Laboratory Institute for providing culture media, reagents, and antimicrobial disks, and all staff at the ANC of the Wolaita Sodo University Comprehensive Specialized Hospital for their awareness and cooperation during sample collection. Finally, all study participants, data collectors, and supervisors were acknowledged.

References

Alemseged, G., Niguse, S., Hailekiros, H., Abdulkadir, M., Saravanan, M., and Asmelash, T. (2015). Isolation and anti-microbial susceptibility pattern of group B Streptococcus among pregnant women attending antenatal clinics in Ayder referral hospital and Mekelle health center, Mekelle, Northern Ethiopia. *BMC Res. Notes* 8, 1–8. doi: [10.1186/s13104-015-1475-3](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13104-015-1475-3)

Arain, F. R., Al-Bezrah, N. A., and Al-Aali, K. Y. (2015). Prevalence of maternal genital tract colonization by group B streptococcus from Western Province, Taif, Saudi Arabia. *J. Clin. Gynecol. Obstet.* 4, 258–264. doi: [10.14740/jcgo341w](https://doi.org/10.14740/jcgo341w)

Assefa, S., Desta, K., and Lema, T. (2018). Group B streptococci vaginal colonization and drug susceptibility pattern among pregnant women attending in selected public antenatal care centers in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth* 18:135. doi: [10.1186/s12884-018-1791-4](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12884-018-1791-4)

Ayata, A., Güvenç, H., Felek, S., Aygün, A. D., Kocabay, K., and Bektas, S. (1994). Maternal carriage and neonatal colonisation of group B streptococci in labour are uncommon in Turkey. *Paediatr. Perinat. Epidemiol.* 8, 188–192. doi: [10.1111/j.1365-3016.1994.tb00449.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3016.1994.tb00449.x)

Blumberg, H. M., Stephens, D. S., Modansky, M., Erwin, M., Elliot, J., Facklam, R. R., et al. (1996). Invasive group B streptococcal disease: the emergence of serotype V. *J. Infect. Dis.* 173, 365–373. doi: [10.1093/infdis/173.2.365](https://doi.org/10.1093/infdis/173.2.365)

Bolukaoto, J. Y., Monyama, C. M., Chukwu, M. O., Lekala, S. M., Nchabeleng, M., Maloba, M. R., et al. (2015). Antibiotic resistance of *Streptococcus agalactiae* isolated from pregnant women in Garankuwa, South Africa. *BMC Res. Notes* 8, 1–7. doi: [10.1186/](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13104-015-1328-0) [s13104-015-1328-0](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13104-015-1328-0)

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) (2002). Prevention of perinatal group B streptococcal disease: revised recommendations from CDC. *MMWR* 51 (No. RR-11).

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) (2007). Perinatal group B streptococcal disease after universal screening recommendations--United States, 2003–2005. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* 56, 701–705.

CLSI (Ed.) (2021). *Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing. 31st ed. CLSI supplement M100*. USA: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute.

Cockerill, F. R., Wikler, M. A., Alder, J., Dudley, M., Eliopoulos, G., Ferraro, M., et al. (2012). Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing: twenty-second informational supplement. *Clin. Lab. Stand. Inst.* 32, M100–S22.

Dadi, B. R., Sime, M., Seid, M., Tadesse, D., Siraj, M., Alelign, D., et al. (2022). Vertical transmission, risk factors, and antimicrobial resistance patterns of group B Streptococcus among mothers and their neonates in southern Ethiopia. *Can. J. Infect. Dis. Med. Microbiol.*:8163396:7. doi: [10.1155/2022/8163396](https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/8163396)

De Steenwinkel, F. D., Tak, H. V., Muller, A. E., Nouwen, J. L., Oostvogel, P. M., and Mocumbi, S. M. (2008). Low carriage rate of group B streptococcus in pregnant women in Maputo, Mozambique. *Trop. Med. Int. Health* 13, 427–429. doi: $10.1111/\overline{j}$.1365-3156.2008.02018.x

Dilrukshi, G. N., Kottahachchi, J., Dissanayake, D., Pathiraja, R. P., Karunasingha, J., Sampath, M. K. A., et al. (2021). Group B Streptococcus colonisation and their antimicrobial susceptibility among pregnant women attending antenatal clinics in tertiary care hospitals in the Western Province of Sri Lanka. *J. Obstet. Gynaecol.* 41, 1–6. doi: [10.1080/01443615.2020.1716313](https://doi.org/10.1080/01443615.2020.1716313)

El Aila, N. A., Tency, I., Claeys, G., Saerens, B., Cools, P., Verstraelen, H., et al. (2010). Comparison of different sampling techniques and of different culture methods for detection of group B streptococcus carriage in pregnant women. *BMC Infect. Dis.* 10, 1–8. doi: [10.1186/1471-2334-10-285](https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2334-10-285)

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

El-Kersh, T. A., Al-Nuaim, L. A., Kharfy, T. A., Al-Shammary, F. J., Al-Saleh, S. S., and Al-Zamel, F. A. (2002). Detection of genital colonization of group B streptococci during late pregnancy. *Saudi Med. J.* 23, 56–61.

Fatemi, F., Chamani, L., Pakzad, P., Zeraati, H., Rabbani, H., and Asgari, S. (2009). Colonization rate of group B Streptococcus (GBS) in pregnant women using GBS agar medium. *Acta Med. Iran.* 25:30.

Flaherty, R. A., Borges, E. C., Sutton, J. A., Aronoff, D. M., Gaddy, J. A., Petroff, M. G., et al. (2019). Genetically distinct group B Streptococcus strains induce varying macrophage cytokine responses. *PLoS One* 14:e0222910. doi: [10.1371/journal.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0222910) [pone.0222910](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0222910)

Garland, S. M., Kelly, N., and Ugoni, A. M. (2000). Is antenatal group B streptococcal carriage a predictor of adverse obstetric outcome? *Infect. Dis. Obstet. Gynecol.* 8, 138–142. doi: [10.1155/S106474490000017X](https://doi.org/10.1155/S106474490000017X)

Gizachew, M., Tiruneh, M., Moges, F., Adefris, M., Tigabu, Z., and Tessema, B. (2019). *Streptococcus agalactiae* from Ethiopian pregnant women; prevalence, associated factors and antimicrobial resistance: alarming for prophylaxis. *Ann. Clin. Microbiol. Antimicrob.* 18:3. doi: [10.1186/s12941-019-0303-3](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12941-019-0303-3)

Jisuvei, S. C., Osoti, A., and Njeri, M. A. (2020). Prevalence, antimicrobial susceptibility patterns, serotypes and risk factors for group B streptococcus rectovaginal isolates among pregnant women at Kenyatta National Hospital, Kenya; a cross-sectional study. *BMC Infect. Dis.* 20:302. doi: [10.1186/s12879-020-05035-1](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12879-020-05035-1)

Kfouri, R. D. A., Pignatari, A. C. C., Kusano, E. J., Trocchetti, T., Fonseca, C., and Weckx, L. Y. (2021). Capsular genotype distribution of group B streptococcus colonization among at-risk pregnant women in São Paulo, Brazil. *Braz. J. Infect. Dis.* 25:101586. doi: [10.1016/j.bjid.2021.101586](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bjid.2021.101586)

Khan, M. A., Faiz, A., and Ashshi, A. M. (2015). Maternal colonization of group B streptococcus: prevalence, associated factors and antimicrobial resistance. *Ann. Saudi Med.* 35, 423–427. doi: [10.5144/0256-4947.2015.423](https://doi.org/10.5144/0256-4947.2015.423)

Kwatra, G., Cunnington, M. C., Merrall, E., Adrian, P. V., Ip, M., Klugman, K. P., et al. (2016). Prevalence of maternal colonisation with group B streptococcus: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet Infect. Dis.* 16, 1076–1084. doi: [10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(16)30055-X) [S1473-3099\(16\)30055-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(16)30055-X)

Lämmler, C., Schwarz, S., Wibawan, I. W., Ott, E., Bopp, V., and Martinez-Tagle, A. (1995). Comparison of streptococci of serological group B isolated from healthy carriers and active disease in Chile. *J. Med. Microbiol.* 42, 161–164. doi: [10.1099/00222615-42-3-161](https://doi.org/10.1099/00222615-42-3-161)

Leykun, Y., Chalchew, G., and Mulu, W. (2021). Group B streptococci vaginal-recto colonization, vertical transmission to newborns, antimicrobial susceptibility profile and associated factors in selected health facilities of Bahir Dar City. *Infect. Drug Resist.* 14, 5457–5472. doi: [10.2147/IDR.S343429](https://doi.org/10.2147/IDR.S343429)

Liesse Iyamba, J.-M., Mongane, P. M., Lukukula, C. M., Ngbandani, B. K., Tshimpangila, J. D., Vihembo, G. M., et al. (2021). Vaginal colonization and antibiotic susceptibility pattern of group B Streptococcus isolated from pregnant women in Maternité de l'Hôpital Des Soeurs de Pauvres de Bergame de Kimbanseke, Kinshasa, Democratic Republic of Congo. *Adv. Microbiol.* 11, 335–341. doi: [10.4236/aim.2021.117026](https://doi.org/10.4236/aim.2021.117026)

Melin, P., and Efstratiou, A. (2013). Group B streptococcal epidemiology and vaccine needs in developed countries. *Vaccine* 31, D31–D42. doi: [10.1016/j.vaccine.2013.05.012](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2013.05.012)

Melo, S. C. C. S., Costa, A. B., Silva, F. T. R. D., Silva, N. M. M. G., Tashima, C. M., Cardoso, R. F., et al. (2018). Prevalence of *Streptococcus agalactiae* colonization in pregnant women from the 18 th health region of Paraná state. *Rev. Inst. Med. Trop. São Paulo* 60:e2. doi: [10.1590/s1678-9946201860002](https://doi.org/10.1590/s1678-9946201860002)

Mengist, A., Kannan, H., and Abdissa, A. (2016). Prevalence and antimicrobial susceptibility pattern of anorectal and vaginal group B streptococci isolates among pregnant women in Jimma, Ethiopia. *BMC Res. Notes* 9:351. doi: [10.1186/](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13104-016-2158-4) [s13104-016-2158-4](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13104-016-2158-4)

Mengist, H. M., Zewdie, O., Belew, A., and Dabsu, R. (2017). Prevalence and drug susceptibility pattern of group B streptococci (GBS) among pregnant women attending antenatal care (ANC) in Nekemte referral hospital (NRH), Nekemte, Ethiopia. *BMC Res. Notes* 10:388. doi: [10.1186/s13104-017-2725-3](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13104-017-2725-3)

Mohammed, M., Asrat, D., Woldeamanuel, Y., and Demissie, A. (2010). Prevalence of group B Streptococcus colonization among pregnant women attending antenatal clinic of Hawassa health center. *Ethiop. J. Health Dev.* 38, 36–42.

Mohammed, M., Asrat, D., Woldeamanuel, Y., and Demissie, A. (2012). Prevalence of group B Streptococcus colonization among pregnant women attending antenatal clinic of Hawassa health center, Hawassa, Ethiopia. *Ethiop. J. Health Dev.* 26, 36–42.

Nishihara, Y., Dangor, Z., French, N., Madhi, S., and Heyderman, R. (2017). Challenges in reducing group B Streptococcus disease in African settings. *Arch. Dis. Child.* 102, 72–77. doi: [10.1136/archdischild-2016-311419](https://doi.org/10.1136/archdischild-2016-311419)

Plainvert, C., Anselem, O., Joubrel, C., Marcou, V., Falloukh, A., Frigo, A., et al. (2021). Persistence of group B Streptococcus vaginal colonization and prevalence of hypervirulent CC-17 clone correlate with the country of birth: a prospective 3-month follow-up cohort study. *Eur. J. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. Dis.* 40, 133–140. doi: [10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10096-020-04011-6) [s10096-020-04011-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10096-020-04011-6)

Poyart, C., Jardy, L., Quesne, G., Berche, P., and Trieu-Cuot, P. (2003). Genetic basis of antibiotic resistance in *Streptococcus agalactiae* strains isolated in a French hospital. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 47, 794–797. doi: [10.1128/](https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.47.2.794-797.2003) [AAC.47.2.794-797.2003](https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.47.2.794-797.2003)

Rausch, A.-V., Gross, A., Droz, S., Bodmer, T., and Surbek, D. V. (2009). Group B Streptococcus colonization in pregnancy: prevalence and prevention strategies of neonatal sepsis. *J. Perinat. Med.* 37, 124–129. doi: [10.1515/JPM.2009.020](https://doi.org/10.1515/JPM.2009.020)

Savoia, D., Gottimer, C., Crocilla, C., and Zucca, M. (2008). *Streptococcus agalactiae* in pregnant women: phenotypic and genotypic characters. *J. Infect.* 56, 120–125. doi: [10.1016/j.jinf.2007.11.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinf.2007.11.007)

Schmidt, J., Halle, E., Halle, H., Mohammed, T., and Gunther, E. (1989). Colonization of pregnant women and their newborn infants with group B streptococci in the Gondar College of Medical Sciences. *Ethiop. Med. J.* 27, 115–119.

Shiferawu, S., Mekonen, M., Baza, D., and Lera, T. (2019). Prevalence of group b Streptococcus, its associated factors and antimicrobial susceptibility pattern among pregnant women attending antenatal Care at Arbaminch Hospital, South Ethiopia. *Am. J. Health Res.* 7:104. doi: [10.11648/j.ajhr.20190706.12](https://doi.org/10.11648/j.ajhr.20190706.12)

Strus, M., Pawlik, D., Brzychczy-Włoch, M., Gosiewski, T., Rytlewski, K., Lauterbach, R., et al. (2009). Group B streptococcus colonization of pregnant women and their children observed on obstetric and neonatal wards of the University Hospital in Krakow, Poland. *J. Med. Microbiol.* 58, 228–233. doi: [10.1099/jmm.0.002865-0](https://doi.org/10.1099/jmm.0.002865-0)

Tsolia, M., Psoma, M., Gavrili, S., Petrochilou, V., Michalas, S., Legakis, N., et al. (2003). Group B streptococcus colonization of Greek pregnant women and neonates: prevalence, risk factors and serotypes. *Clin. Microbiol. Infect.* 9, 832–838. doi: [10.1046/j.](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1469-0691.2003.00662.x) [1469-0691.2003.00662.x](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1469-0691.2003.00662.x)

Tsui, M., Ng, P., Sahota, D. S., et al. (2009). Change in prevalence of group B Streptococcus maternal colonisation in Hong Kong. *Hong Kong Med. J.* 15, 414–419.

Tumuhamye, J., Steinsland, H., Bwanga, F., Tumwine, J. K., Ndeezi, G., Mukunya, D., et al. (2021). Vaginal colonization with antimicrobial-resistant bacteria among women in labor in Central Uganda: prevalence and associated factors. *Antimicrob. Resist. Infect. Control* 10:37. doi: [10.1186/s13756-021-00897-9](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13756-021-00897-9)

Valkenburg-Van Den Berg, A. W., Sprij, A. J., Oostvogel, P. M., Mutsaers, J. A., Renes, W. B., Rosendaal, F. R., et al. (2006). Prevalence of colonisation with group B streptococci in pregnant women of a multi-ethnic population in the Netherlands. *Eur. J. Obstet. Gynecol. Reprod. Biol.* 124, 178–183. doi: [10.1016/j.ejogrb.2005.06.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejogrb.2005.06.007)

Verani, J. R., McGee, L., and Schrag, S. J. (2010). *Prevention of perinatal group B streptococcal disease: Revised guidelines from CDC, 2010* Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control.

Woldu, Z. L., Teklehaimanot, T. G., Waji, S. T., and Gebremariam, M. G. (2014). The prevalence of group B streptococus recto-vaginal colonization and antimicrobial susceptibility pattern in pregnant mothers at two hospitals of Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. *Reprod. Health* 11, 1–4. doi: [10.1186/1742-4755-11-80](https://doi.org/10.1186/1742-4755-11-80)

WSUCSH Co. (2022). *Wolaita Sodo University comprehensive specialized hospital healthcare quality Progress*.

YektaKooshali, M. H., Hamidi, M., Tousi, S. M. T. R., and Nikokar, I. (2018). Prevalence of group B streptococcus colonization in Iranian pregnant women: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int. J. Reprod. Biomed.* 16:731. doi: [10.18502/ijrm.](https://doi.org/10.18502/ijrm.v16i12.3679) [v16i12.3679](https://doi.org/10.18502/ijrm.v16i12.3679)