Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Pau Loke Show, University of Nottingham Malaysia Campus, Malaysia

REVIEWED BY

Viabhav Kumar Upadhayay, Dr. Rajendra Prasad Central Agricultural University, India Sami Abou Fayssal, University of Forestry, Sofia, Bulgaria

*CORRESPONDENCE Jianzhi Ye jianzhi_ye@yeah.net

RECEIVED 04 August 2023 ACCEPTED 28 September 2023 PUBLISHED 13 October 2023

CITATION

Han Z, Moh ESX, Santos ALS, Barcellos IC, Peng Y, Huang W and Ye J (2023) Dechlorination of wastewater from shell-based glucosamine processing by mangrove wetland-derived fungi. *Front. Microbiol.* 14:1271286. [doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2023.1271286](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2023.1271286)

COPYPICHT

© 2023 Han, Moh, Santos, Barcellos, Peng, Huang and Ye. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [Commons Attribution License \(CC BY\).](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

[Dechlorination of wastewater](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2023.1271286/full) [from shell-based glucosamine](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2023.1271286/full) [processing by mangrove](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2023.1271286/full) [wetland-derived fungi](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2023.1271286/full)

Zhiping Han¹, Edward S. X. Moh², André L. S. Santos³, luri C. Barcellos³, Yuanhuai Peng¹, Weicong Huang¹ and Jianzhi Ye^{4*}

1 College of Food Science and Engineering, Lingnan Normal University, Zhanjiang, Guangdong, China, ² ARC Centre of Excellence for Synthetic Biology, School of Natural Sciences, Macquarie University Sydney, NSW, Australia, ³Department of General Microbiology, Institute of Microbiology Paulo de Góes, Federal University of Rio de Janeiro (UFRJ), and Rede Micologia RJ – FAPERJ, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, 4Agricultural Products Processing Research Institute, Chinese Academy of Tropical Agricultural Sciences, Zhanjiang, Guangdong, China

Wastewater from processing crustacean shell features ultrahigh chloride content. Bioremediation of the wastewater is challenging due to the high chloride ion content, making it inhospitable for most microorganisms to survive and growth. In this study, mangrove wetland-derived fungi were first tested for their salt tolerance, and the highly tolerant isolates were cultured in shrimp processing wastewater and the chloride concentration was monitored. Notably, the filamentous fungal species *Aspergillus piperis* could remove over 70% of the chloride in the wastewater within 3  days, with the fastest biomass increase (2.01 times heavier) and chloride removal occurring between day one and two. The chloride ions were sequestered into the fungal cells. The genome of this fungal species contained Cl[−] conversion enzymes, which may have contributed to the ion removal. The fungal strain was found to be of low virulence in larval models and could serve as a starting point for further considerations in bioremediation of shell processing wastewater, promoting the development of green technology in the shell processing industry.

KEYWORDS

fungi, industry wastewater, inorganic chloride removal, bioremediation, environmental safety

1. Introduction

Inorganic chloride (Cl[−]) pollution is one of the problems faced by industries producing chitin, chitosan, and glucosamine from the cuticles of crustaceans such as shrimp, lobsters, and crabs, as the cuticles are only soluble in highly concentrated hydrochloric acid [\(Bastiaens et al.,](#page-9-0) [2019](#page-9-0)). It has been reported that around 8.5 tons of 30% (v/v) hydrochloric acid has to be used for processing 1 ton of shrimp cuticles, resulting in a high concentration of Cl[−] in the effluents (around 70g/L; [Bertuzzi et al., 2018\)](#page-9-1). Currently, four strategies are primarily used in industry for removal of Cl[−] from shrimp processing wastewater, including electrodialysis, precipitation, adsorption, and microporous separation [\(Association A.W.W, 2006;](#page-9-2) [Sathasivan et al., 2017](#page-10-0); [Li](#page-10-1) [et al., 2022\)](#page-10-1). These strategies concentrate Cl[−] as a result, and may cause salinization if improperly disposed ([Li et al., 2022\)](#page-10-1).

New technologies are emerging in two directions to prevent Cl[−] pollution caused by shell processing. One is to develop a green method for chitin extraction, which has drawn lots of attention and can be basically divided into chemical and biological methods [\(Kozma](#page-10-2) [et al., 2022\)](#page-10-2). In the chemical approaches, alternative solvents, such as citric, acetic, and lactic acids as well as ammonium-based ionic liquids were used to extract chitin from shrimp shells ([Cira et al., 2002;](#page-9-3) [Tolesa](#page-10-3) [et al., 2019](#page-10-3); [Kozma et al., 2022](#page-10-2)). Regarding biological approaches, enzymatic or microorganism fermentation methods have been employed to break down proteins and calcium carbonate in shells ([Arbia et al., 2013;](#page-9-4) [Hossin et al., 2021](#page-10-4); [Kou et al., 2021\)](#page-10-5). The other direction is to develop alternative Cl[−] removing methods from waters.

Bioremediation is environmentally friendly but not widely used in the Cl[−] removal industries, since most bacteria commonly used in wastewater treatment are not resistant to >3g/L Cl[−] under normoxia ([Liu et al., 2020;](#page-10-6) [Li et al., 2022\)](#page-10-1). On the other hand, biological removal of organic chlorine pollutants has been used and studied extensively with two common groups of prokaryotes, namely sulphate-reducing and denitrifying bacteria. Basically, these bacteria perform different adaptations to degrade chlorine pollutants, like aerobic fermentation on the surfaces of water or soil, denitrification response to changes in the oxygen concentration, and oxidation–reduction reactions in anoxic environments [\(Xu et al., 2019;](#page-10-7) [Xing et al., 2020;](#page-10-8) [Sobiecka,](#page-10-9) [2022\)](#page-10-9). The marine bacterial species *Staphylococcus xylosus* has been reported to be able to convert Cl[−] into organic cellular compounds and eventually lower the Cl[−] concentration in wastewater [\(Abou-Elela](#page-9-5) [et al., 2010\)](#page-9-5). As an alternative to bacteria, one can also consider fungi as a potential candidate in Cl[−] removal industries, as they can also be halophilic.

Microorganisms naturally growing in polluted environments are supposed to be capable of biodegrading toxic compounds [\(Sobiecka,](#page-10-9) [2022\)](#page-10-9). Mangrove forest land may be an ideal location for isolating fungi capable in Cl[−] removal from shrimp processing wastewater, though there has yet to be a report on this issue to our knowledge. Mangroves are a type of salt-tolerant submerged sclerophyllous plant species growing in coastlines worldwide at low tide areas in the tropics and subtropics. Mangrove forests form an interface between terrestrial and marine habitats and provide excellent support for migrating waterbirds, offshore fish, and other water fauna [\(Thatoi et al., 2013](#page-10-10)). The wetlands in mangrove forests feature not only high salt concentration (0.5–80g/L) but also abundant macronutrients from decomposition, providing a unique biosphere for colonization of halophilic microorganisms ([Schmitz et al., 2009](#page-10-11); [Hamzah et al., 2018;](#page-10-12) [Jia et al., 2020\)](#page-10-13).

Till now, plenty of filamentous fungi have been isolated from mangrove forest wetlands, including several species belonging to the following genera: *Penicillium, Aspergillus, Trichoderma, Stemphylium, Talaromyces, Setophoma, Mucor, Lasiodiplodia*, *Annulohypoxylon*, *Rhytidhysteron*, *Phomopsis*, *Diaporthe*, *Neosartorya*, *Beauveria*, *Eupenicillium*, and *Dipodascus* [\(Bonugli-Santos et al., 2015](#page-9-6); [Ancheeva](#page-9-7) [et al., 2018;](#page-9-7) [Jia et al., 2020\)](#page-10-13). Similarly, yeast have been recovered from this habitat, particularly species belonging to the *Candida, Kluyveromyces, Pichia*, *Kodamaea*, *Debaryomyces*, and *Williopsis* genera [\(Chi et al., 2012;](#page-9-8) [Bonugli-Santos et al., 2015\)](#page-9-6). Among these fungi, some of them have been studied on their industrial application. For example, *Aspergillus sclerotiorum* (strain CBMAI 849) and *Mucor racemosus* (strain CBMAI 847) are able to degrade polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons ([Passarini et al., 2011;](#page-10-14) [Bonugli-Santos et al., 2015](#page-9-6)). *Aureobasidium* sp. (strain P6), *Penicillium janthinellum* (strain P1) and *Tinctoporellus* sp. (strain CBMAI 1061) are able to decolor various synthetic dyes such as bromothymol blue, eriochrome black T, crystal violet, malachite green, and methyl orange ([Chen et al., 2014;](#page-9-9) [Rodriguez et al., 2015;](#page-10-15) [Lu et al., 2018](#page-10-16); [Aung et al., 2019\)](#page-9-10).

In this study, fungi growing near a sewage drain outlet in mangrove wetlands located in an industrial park in Zhanjiang, the southernmost city on the coast of mainland China, were isolated and studied in terms of fungal species, salt tolerance, chloride removal capacity and virulence. The results have the potential to offer valuable insights into the application of fungal strains in the remediation of Cl[−] in the processing industry.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Sampling campaigns

Sampling was conducted on three occasions in total over five afternoons before the tide rising, at three sites (seaward zone, mid zone and landward zone) in the mangrove forest (Leizhou, China, 21°68'N, 110°33′E), where soil samples were collected from 0 to 10cm below the surface sediments. The samples were collected using a sterilized hand shovel (around $4 \text{ cm} \times 6 \text{ cm}$, with 18cm handle) and large debris was removed by hand. All the samples from all sites were combined and mixed thoroughly before transferring into sterile 500mL canning jars and transported to laboratory in an icebox.

2.2. Fungal isolation assay

Fungal isolation was carried out immediately after arrival, around 30min after the collection, following Ahumada-Rudolph's method with some modifications [\(Ahumada-Rudolph et al., 2016\)](#page-9-11). Briefly, the sediment was suspended in 4L sterile seawater, homogenized, and left to settle. The supernatant was collected by centrifugation at 100g for 15min and stirred well afterwards. Supernatant (2mL) was diluted in series with sterilized seawater (10–100 folds in 10-fold intervals) with two replicates. An aliquot of 100μL was transferred to yeast extract peptone dextrose agar (YPD) prepared using sterilized seawater supplemented with ampicillin, kanamycin and streptomycin (final concentration of 50μg/mL, 50μg/mL and 25μg/mL respectively). The aliquot was spread onto the agar using a sterile glass coating rod and the incubation was conducted at 28°C.

Subcultures were performed till individual colonies were obtained. Morphology of individual colonies was verified under the microscope. Spores of isolated fungi were collected using sterilized water with 0.05% Tween 80 and stored at 4°C for up to 1week. The agar with fungal growth was sliced off from the dish using sterilized scalpel (about 4mm×4mm each piece), submerged in 80% glycerol solution (v/v) and stored at −80°C for 4months.

2.3. Molecular methods for fungal identification

Fungal genomic DNA was extracted using the Qiagen DNEasy Plant Extraction kit (Qiagen Inc., Valencia, CA, United States).

Primers (ITS1: 5'-TCCGTAGGTGAACCTGCGG-3′ and ITS4: 5'-TCCTCCGCTTATTGATATGC-3′) were used to amplify by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) the whole region of ribosomal internal transcribed spacer (*ITS1-5.8s-ITS2*; [Korabecna, 2007\)](#page-10-17). The PCR reaction mixture included 10μL of PCR master mix (Promega, $2X$), 1μ L of the forward primer ITS1, 1μ L of the reverse primer ITS4, 1μL of DNA extraction, and 7μL of ddH2O. The thermal cycler was programmed with the following conditions: initial denaturation at 94°C for 4min, followed by 35cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 20s, annealing at 55°C for 20s, and extension at 72°C for 1min, concluding with a final extension at 72°C for 10 min. PCR products were purified using the QIA quick PCR purification kit and sent to Sangon Biotech (Shanghai, China) for bidirectional sequencing. The assembled sequences were analyzed using BLAST¹ against the standard database on NCBI.

2.4. Salt tolerance assay

Salt tolerance assay was performed on YPD agar supplemented with sodium chloride (NaCl, w/v) at different concentrations (50, 100, 150, 200 and 250g/L). The spores of isolated fungi were inoculated on the salt-contained agar, cultured at 28°C for up to 10days, and the fungal growth was monitored.

2.5. Cultivation of salt tolerance strains in the wastewater

Real wastewater originating from processes utilized for glucosamine production was used in this study. The wastewater featured with a low pH (0.39 ± 0.05), dark color, fishy smell, and a high Cl[−] concentration (84.39 ± 1.21 g/L). Other physical properties and chemical composition were not characterized in this study.

Fungi tolerant to salt concentrations equal to or above 100 g/L were adapted gradually into 20, 40, 60 and 80% glucosamine processing wastewater. Peptone, yeast extract and glucose were added into the diluted wastewater to a 20% concentration of the regular YPB (yeast extract peptone dextrose broth) medium. Liquid cultures were performed in 250 mL conical flasks containing 50 mL of growth medium inoculated with 5×10^5 conidia and incubated at 28°C on an orbital shaker at 180 rpm with three individual flasks dedicated for each time point. Conidia collected from low wastewater-containing medium were used for the subsequent culture. Culture supernatants were collected every day from flasks dedicated to each time point by centrifugation at 4,500 g for 30 min. The biomass was weighed after drying and the supernatant was filtered through a 0.22-μm membrane (Millipore, China) at 4°C. The cleared supernatants were then aliquoted in 1.5 mL Eppendorf tubes and stored at −80°C.

2.6. Acidity-tolerance assay

Acidity-tolerance assay was performed in liquid culture with 80% wastewater as a medium with different pH values (3.5, 4.0, 4.5, 5.0 and 5.6). The cultivation was performed at 28°C at 180rpm for 7days, and the fungal biomass, pH values as well as the Cl[−] concentration in the wastewater were measured daily.

2.7. Measurement of chloride removal from the wastewater

The fungal strains capable of growing in 80% wastewater (Cl[−] concentration of 67.512g/L) were further studied and their Cl[−] removal capacity was detected by ion chromatography at room temperature using a Metrohm Model 761 Compact Ion Chromatograph (Metrohm, Herisau, Switzerland) with suppressor module, equipped with an ICSep AN2™ analytical column $(250\,\text{mm}\times4.6\,\text{mm})$. The injection volume was $20\,\mu$ L. The eluent used was a 1.8 mM Na_2CO_3 + 1.7 mM NaHCO_3 mixture and the suppressor regenerating solution was $0.1 M H₂SO₄$. The eluent was freshly prepared and filtered through a 20-μm filter before usage. Data acquisition and processing were performed automatically using the integration software MagIC Net™ software 1.1. Standard solutions (GBW (E)082048, China) of known concentrations of Cl[−] were analyzed in order to create a calibration curve ([Supplementary Figure S2](#page-9-12)).

2.8. Microscopic examination and Cl[−] tracing

Fungal cells were stained using a chloride sensitive fluorescent probe MQAE (*N*-[ethoxycarbonylmethyl]-6-methoxy-quinolinium bromide, Beyotime, China) and then observed with a fluorescence microscope equipped with an imaging system at an excitation wavelength of 360nm (D-35578 Wetzlar, Leica, Germany).

To observe the distribution of Cl[−] in fungal cells, MQAE and Congo Red were used to conduct double-staining for the fungal cells. MQAE was loaded first following the manufacturer's manual. Briefly, the mycelia were washed three times with Krebs-HEPES buffer (0.02M, pH 7.4), placed onto glass slides immersed in a drop of MQAE (5mM), incubated for 30min at 37°C in the dark, and finally washed again using the buffer. The resulting specimens were then stained using 0.01% Congo Red for 10 min, washed with $ddH₂O$ to remove the excess stain, and then covered with 4% paraformaldehyde fix solution and a coverslip. A Leica TCS SP5 confocal laser microscope (Leica Microsystems, Germany) equipped with epifluorescence microscopy (Leica DMI 6000B microscope) was used to observe the specimens at excitation of 360nm (emission at 460nm) and 497nm (emission at 614nm) separately.

2.9. *In vivo* infection assays using *Tenebrio molitor* and *Galleria mellonella* models

1 www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/BLAST

For these experiments, *A. piperis* was grown on Petri dishes containing yeast peptone dextrose agar (YPD) at 28°C. After a 7-day-culture period, conidia were obtained by washing the plate surface with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS; 10mM NaH₂PO₄, 10mM Na2HPO4, 150mM NaCl, pH 7.2) and filtering them through a 40-μm nylon cell strainer (BD Falcon, Franklin Lakes, NJ, United States) in order to remove the hyphal fragments. The conidial cells were counted in a Neubauer chamber. *Tenebrio molitor* larvae exhibiting clear and uniform color and weighing between 70 and 100mg were selected for the survival studies [\(de Souza et al., 2015](#page-9-13)). *Galleria mellonella* larvae were maintained and fed as previously described until reaching 200–300mg in weight ([Silva et al., 2018\)](#page-10-18). The survival curves (virulence assay) were performed through injection of different fungal inocula $(10^2, 10^3, 10^4, 10^5, 10^6)$ conidia/larva). Larvae (10 per each assayed group) were inoculated with fungal conidia using an insulin syringe (10μL/larva) and incubated at both 28 and 37°C in Petri dishes containing rearing diet. The inoculation was performed by the injection of fungal suspensions into the *T. molitor* larvae hemocoel in the ventral portion at the second visible sternite above the legs or in the last right proleg of the *G. mellonella* larvae ([de Souza et al., 2015\)](#page-9-13). Larvae inoculated with sterile PBS were used as control groups. Larvae were assessed daily, up to 7days, to check their survival, being scored as dead when they displayed no movement in response to touch. Survival analyses were determined using the log-rank test and the Kaplan–Meier survival curves (GraphPad Prism 6). The experiment was conducted in two independent experimental sets.

3. Results

3.1. Morphology of the mangrove wetland-derived fungi

A total of 34 fungal strains were isolated from the wetlands of a mangrove forest, named H1 to H34 [\(Figure 1\)](#page-4-0), displayed on a dark background showing the diverse morphology of the fungal population isolated from the mangrove. Detailed taxonomy information for these strains can be found in [Supplementary Table S1](#page-9-12). The fungal strains' DNA was successfully amplified using the universal primers ITS1 and ITS4. BLAST searches revealed their identities as members of 3 different phyla [\(Supplementary Table S1\)](#page-9-12), namely Ascomycota, Basidiomycota and Mucoromycota, in which the Ascomycota accounted for the majority (44.1%). The dominating fungal genera identified in this study were *Aspergillus* (35.3%) and *Penicillium* (20.6%). Representatives of *Trichoderma*, *Furarium, Mucor, Candida, Amanita* and *Talaromyces* genera were additionally identified. Despite *Amanita loosii* is generally recognized as an edible mushroom, the strain identified in this study is not recommended for consumption, considering its origin in an industrial waste environment.

3.2. Salt tolerance and chloride removal assay

An initial screening of these fungal strains for salt tolerance was carried out. The results ([Figure 2\)](#page-4-1) showed that 4 strains (H6, H10, H15 and H16) were tolerant to a salinity of 200g/L, while 8 strains (H1, H4, H8, H12, H13, H14, H22 and H25) tolerant to 150g/L, 7 strains (H7, H9, H11, H19, H20, H29 and H32) tolerant to 100g/L, and 10 strains (H2, H3, H8, H23, H24, H26, H28, H30, H31 and H34) tolerant to 50g/L. Strains H17, H21, H27, and H33 showed tolerance to lower salt levels. However, they were not subjected to further testing because low tolerance was deemed unfeasible for processing shrimp shell effluents, which typically contain salt levels exceeding 50g/L. The mangrove wetland-derived fungal strains with a salt tolerance level greater than 100g/L were subsequently gradually adapted into the 80% wastewater and Cl[−] concentration in the water was measured using ion chromatography. Most of the fungal strains, including those tolerant at 200g/L NaCl on YPD medium, were not able to grow in the wastewater with pH adjusted to 5.6. Only 7 strains [\(Figure 2,](#page-4-1) yellow bars, H4, H6, H7, H12, H16, H18 and H32) adapted to the highest wastewater concentration (80% wastewater). Among these strains, H16 (*Aspergillus piperis*) removed the highest amount of Cl[−] (approx. 30%) from the wastewater.

3.3. pH tolerance and Cl− removal capacity assay

The original pH value of the wastewater was highly acidic (pH 0.39 ± 0.05), in which none of the tested fungal strains survived. A great amount of base (NaOH) was required to neutralize the pH to a level able to support the fungal growth, which would be problematic at an industrial scale. To determine the lowest possible pH for fungal growth, the pH of the wastewater was adjusted to 2.0–5.0, 0.5 intervals and 5.6 to assess viability for strain H16 that had the highest chloride removal. It turned out that H16 could survive down to pH 3.5, with a slow growth rate. Wastewater with pH 5.0 supported the best fungal growth ([Figure 3A\)](#page-5-0) and the fungal strain was able to neutralize the acidity of the medium as biomass increased ([Figure 3B\)](#page-5-0). Interestingly, while H16 neutralized the acidity of the medium at similar rates at pH 5.0 and pH 5.6, chloride removal was more rapid at pH 5.0 than it was at pH 5.6 ([Figure 3C\)](#page-5-0), and the biomass peaked earlier [\(Figure 3A](#page-5-0)).

The removal of Cl[−] was observed to occur in conjunction with fungal exponential phase [\(Figures 3A,B](#page-5-0)). When normalized to biomass, chloride reduction varied by days among the tested pH range ([Supplementary Figure S1](#page-9-12)). The fungus apparently tended to reduce more Cl[−] in a strong acidic environment, as seen from the reduction rates at pH 3.5 and 4.0, which were greater than those at higher pHs. However, the values kept decreasing day by day due to slow growth. pH 4.5, 5.0 and 5.6 brought out smaller Cl[−] reduction rates at the beginning of the cultivation, but the reductions were enhanced gradually with the highest chloride removal per unit biomass by day 7 at pH 4.5. Exploring the interplays between fungal growth, chloride uptake and medium pH will be an interesting avenue for future research.

The wastewater had a dark color ([Figure 4](#page-5-1)), low pH (1.7 in this study) and a high Cl[−] concentration [\(Figure 3C](#page-5-0)). After adjusting to pH 5.0 using NaOH, strain H16 was able to clarify the broth in pace with the fungal growth, along with the decrease in the Cl[−] concentration [\(Figure 4](#page-5-1)). A visible lightening in the color of the wastewater was also observed, suggesting that other components in the wastewater were remediated by the fungi, but the identification of which was not within the scope of this study. At day 3 post-inoculation, the Cl[−] concentration in the broth dropped to 17.478g/L from the original level of 67.512g/L, corresponding to a 74.11% Cl[−] removal. Further investigations are needed to lower the Cl[−] concentration to less than 5g/L, creating conditions conducive for the growth of most microorganisms. This, in turn, will enable subsequent bio-treatment

FIGURE 1

Diversity of fungal colonies isolated from a mangrove wetland located near the industrial park where the high salt wastewater was obtained from. All strains cultivated on YPD medium for 5  days at 28°C.

processes aimed at reducing the chemical oxygen demand (COD) and biochemical oxygen demand (BOD) in the wastewater ([Liu](#page-10-6) [et al., 2020\)](#page-10-6).

3.4. Distribution of Cl− in fungal cells

The Cl[−] and fungal cells were stained with MQAE (blue) [\(Figure 5\)](#page-6-0) and/or Congo red (red) [\(Figure 6](#page-7-0)) fluorescent stains, and observed

using a fluorescent microscope and a confocal laser microscope separately. MQAE is a sensitive chloride ion indicator, and the fluorescence was observed throughout the fungi, including both the hyphae and spores, distributed evenly in the cells, suggesting a strong uptake from the wastewater by strain H16, consistent with the reduction in media Cl[−] content [\(Figures 3,](#page-5-0) [4\)](#page-5-1). Compared to strain H4, which had a reduced chloride clearance ability than H16 [\(Figure 2\)](#page-4-1), the fluorescence intensity observed was lower [\(Figure 5](#page-6-0)). Confocal imaging with Congo Red, which bound to the glucans in the fungal

FIGURE 3

The fungal strain H16 grew in wastewater with different starting pH, the production of biomass (A), pH changes in the water (B) and concentration of residual Cl[−] (C). Data represent mean  ±  SD (*n*  =  3) of three biological replicates. Data for pH 2, 2.5 and 3 were not included as fungi did not grow.

cell wall, showed markedly different outcomes [\(Figure 6](#page-7-0)); chloride ions entered H16 cells, while being bound to the exterior of H4 cells. Further studies will be necessary to understand the mechanism behind the uptake of Cl[−] into the fungal cells.

3.5. Virulence capability of *Aspergillus piperis*

The pathogenicity of the isolated *A. piperis* was investigated using *T. molitor* and *G. mellonella* virulence models at both 28 and 37°C. The results of the present assay showed that mortality in both insect models was typically dose-dependent, with doses lower than 1×10^5 conidia having little to no effect on larval mortality within 7days after fungal inoculation ([Figure 7](#page-8-0)). The fungal infection capability was also found to be modulated by temperature, as incubation at 37°C (host temperature) led to more significant larval killing induced by *A. piperis* in both insect models compared to 28°C (an environmental temperature; [Figure 7](#page-8-0)). It is worth noting that *G. mellonella* larvae were found to be less sensitive to *A. piperis* conidial infection than *T. molitor* larvae under both tested temperatures [\(Figure 7\)](#page-8-0).

4. Discussion

The removal of Cl[−] from seafood shell processing wastewater using a biological method is highly sought after due to the high global consumption of seafood, such as crabs, lobsters and oysters. By discovering new biological solutions to remediate the high chloridecontaining wastewater, recycling efforts for shell wastes can be improved to achieve better sustainability outcomes. In this work, the fungal strain H16, of *A. piperis* genus, isolated from a mangrove swamp near the high-salt wastewater-producing industry, demonstrated the capability to bioremediate the high chloride content of the wastewater. Many fungi have been reported to be halophilic (Musa et al., 2018). Among them, the most salt-tolerant is the

FIGURE 5

Observation of *A. piperis* stained with Cl− fluorescent probe MQAE (H16). The unstained fungus was used as a control to show the fluorescence of Cl− (NS-H16). H4, growing in wastewater and taking up less Cl− was used as a control as well (H4). The brightness of the NS-H16 images was enhanced 40% to make visible. Magnification: ×400. Scale bars  =  20  μm.

basidiomycete fungus *Wallemia ichthyophaga,* which can tolerate as much as 320g/L of NaCl and grows fast in a high-salt environment ([Jančič et al., 2016;](#page-10-20) [Musa et al., 2018\)](#page-10-19). However, there are no reports regarding their capabilities of growing in shell processing wastewater. Furthermore, the wastewater from shell processing not only features a high Cl[−] concentration but also contains heavy oligosaccharides, COD, BOD, oxidized proteins, and pigments with an extremely dark color and low pH [\(Gincy et al., 2021](#page-9-14)). Strain H16 not only demonstrated the ability to survive in the 80% wastewater but also effectively reduced the chloride content and diminished the pigmentation of the wastewater, suggesting multiple modes of bioremediation. Some microorganisms are capable of maintaining different pH levels across the plasma membrane, demonstrating a pH optimum for growth and another pH optimum for tolerance in extreme environment [\(Baker-Austin and Dopson, 2007\)](#page-9-15). This homeostasis is supported by mechanisms such as organic acid, DNA and protein repair systems, and complex cell wall structures, including reversed transmembrane potentials, highly impermeable cell membranes, and secondary active transport systems ([Baker-Austin](#page-9-15) [and Dopson, 2007\)](#page-9-15). Further study showed that the tolerance of

acidophilic bacteria to Cl[−] was highly greater at pH 3.0 than at pH 2.0, although the maximum Cl[−] resistance level varied greatly between species [\(Carmen and Barrie, 2018\)](#page-9-16). In our study, *A. piperis* strain H16 demonstrated more efficient Cl[−] removal from wastewater at pH 5.0 than at pH 3.0 ([Figure 3C](#page-5-0)). Further investigation into nitrogen and carbon conversion will provide insight into the underlying mechanisms. *A. piperis* strain H16 was also able to neutralize the low pH environment of the medium, reducing the amount of base required to transform the highly acidic wastewater environment into a viable one ([Figure 3B](#page-5-0)). The phenomenon has been observed in some fungal species such as *A. nidulans* ([Vylkova, 2017\)](#page-10-21), *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* ([Palková et al., 2002](#page-10-22)), and *Candida albicans* ([Davis et al.,](#page-9-17) [2000\)](#page-9-17). The increase in pH is believed to be linked to ammonia production, as suggested by existing literature [\(Vylkova et al., 2011;](#page-10-23) [Vylkova, 2017](#page-10-21)). Ammonia can be produced either through amino acid catabolism under carbon deprivation conditions or *via* the reduction of nitrate/nitrite under nitrogen catabolite repression mode [\(Palková](#page-10-24) [et al., 1997](#page-10-24); [Alkan et al., 2008\)](#page-9-18). Further investigations will be necessary to understand whether strain H16 of *A. piperis* employs a similar mechanism to neutralize the low pH environment.

Distribution of Cl− in the mycelia (H16-M) and spores (H16-S) of *A. piperis* 3  days post-inoculation observed using a confocal laser microscope. H4, growing in wastewater and taking up less Cl[−] was used as a control to verify the staining protocol (H4). Magnification: ×400. Bars = 20 μm

Chloride ions were sequestered into *A. piperis* (strain H16) rather than being biosorbed, as observed using a confocal laser microscope ([Figure 6](#page-7-0)). Microorganisms exhibit varying responses in salty conditions. Some may succumb to osmotic pressure ([Mille et al.,](#page-10-25) [2005\)](#page-10-25), while others some maintain low intracellular salt content relative to the extracellular medium and regulate their metabolism and membrane fluidity accordingly [\(Plemenitaš et al., 2008\)](#page-10-26). Some microorganisms adsorb and immobilize ions at the cell surface or within biofilms, akin to the phenomenon observed in fluoride ion removal ([Yao et al., 2009](#page-10-27); [Silva et al., 2019](#page-10-28)). Additionally, some microorganisms sequester and convert the chloride ions into organic chlorine compounds, such as polychlorinated halogenated alkanes, chloroacetic acid and halogenated aromatic compounds ([Geng et al.,](#page-9-19) [2009\)](#page-9-19). Further investigation is warranted to elucidate the mechanism by which strain H16 of *A. piperis* adapts to high intracellular chloride concentrations and the specific compounds into which it channels chloride. Previous research has highlighted the involvement of certain enzymes in the biological conversion of Cl[−] to organic chloride, including S-adenosyl-L-methionine (SAM) chlorinase, SAM methyltransferase, SAM halogenase [\(Atashgahi et al., 2018\)](#page-9-20), flavindependent halogenase [\(Hopwood, 2012](#page-10-29)), and chloroperoxidase ([Bengtson et al., 2013](#page-9-21)). A search of the NCBI database for *A. piperis* proteins reveals the presence of four SAM methyltransferase enzymes (GI: 1407039482, GI: 1419162826, GI: 1407048220, and GI: 1407048162). This finding suggests that *A. piperis* might employ SAM methyltransferases to process the excess chloride ions, indicating a potential pathway for chloride ion utilization. However, the overall pathway in fungi remains largely unknown and warrants further studies.

To the best of our knowledge, there are few reports regarding the pathogenesis of *A. piperis*. In this study, larvae of *G. mellonella* and *T. molitor* were employed to investigate this concern. These larvae are highly convenient in *in vivo* models for a variety of research purposes as these invertebrates possess a humoral immune response that is highly compatible with that of mammals. Examples of studies using these insect models include assessing the activity and toxicity of antimicrobial agents, evaluating the virulence capability of microbial agents, and studying the immune response to pathogens [\(Piatek et al.,](#page-10-30) [2021\)](#page-10-30). In addition, insect larvae are also inexpensive to purchase and house, easy to inoculate, and their use is not subjected to legal or ethical restrictions. Due to these numerous advantages, insect models have become widely adopted in both academic and industrial research

settings ([Piatek et al., 2021](#page-10-30)). The fungus isolated in the present study (*A. piperis*) was observed to exhibit low virulent, which was dependent on cell density and temperature [\(Figure 7\)](#page-8-0). However, it is important to note that every microorganism has the potential to become a pathogen under specific host and environmental conditions. For example, *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, a yeast species commonly used in the production of bread, pizza and wine, was described as an opportunistic pathogen recently and might cause sepsis in immunosuppressed individuals [\(Ramos et al., 2023\)](#page-10-31). In contrast, *A. piperis* demonstrates significant potential in bioremediation of pollutants, not only for Cl[−] as shown in this study but also for heavy metal. Other studies have demonstrated that this fungus is capable of removing heavy metals such as Se (IV), Pb (II), and Zn (II) from water, with a maximum Pb (II) adsorption capacity predicted by isotherm models to be 275.82mg/g [\(De Wet et al., 2020](#page-9-22); [de Wet and](#page-9-23) [Brink, 2021](#page-9-23)). Further analysis showed that heavy metals were adsorbed on the surface of fungal cells and displaced sodium (Na) and potassium (K) [\(de Wet and Brink, 2021](#page-9-23)), which is a different remediation pathway from this study.

Using microorganisms to reduce Cl[−] concentration in wastewater has been studied in some fungal species. *A. terries* has been found to be able to reduce 43% of Cl[−] in wastewater samples with a Cl[−] concentration of 0.478g/L collected from an urban wastewater treatment plant, while *A. niger* and *Penecillium digitatum* could reduce 22 and 34%, respectively [\(Kadhim et al., 2021](#page-10-32)). The authors discovered

that the plasma membrane played a role in regulating the elimination of Cl[−], yet the specific mechanism responsible for this regulation remains unsolved. [Sobiecka \(2022\)](#page-10-9) used a mixture of both sulphatereducing and denitrifying bacteria isolated from a petrochemical wastewater sedimentation tank to remove Cl− in a synthetic chloriderich medium in anaerobic conditions, and achieved a highest removal rate of 15.85% on the third week post-inoculation ([Sobiecka, 2022](#page-10-9)).

5. Conclusion

Cl[−] pollution originates from multiple sources and is considered hazardous at high concentrations. Bioremediation is one of the sustainable approaches to address this issue. Most studies showed that microorganisms conduct Cl[−] bioremediation through passive processes like adsorption or biosorption. In this study, a mangrove wetland-derived *A. piperis* was found to be able to actively transport chloride ions into their cells, resulting in the removal of 74.11% of Cl[−] from glucosamine processing wastewater with a salt concentration exceeding 60g/L in just 3days. The metabolic processes of Cl[−] inner the fungal cells deserve further investigation to elucidate the underlying mechanisms and optimize fungal bioremediation techniques. This strain may become an industrially viable chloride bioremediation organism, contributing towards a global push for sustainability.

Data availability statement

The data presented in the study are deposited in the GenBank repository, accession number OR393858.

Author contributions

ZH: Data curation, Investigation, Writing – original draft. EM: Methodology, Writing – review & editing. AS: Writing – review & editing, Formal analysis, Supervision. IB: Formal analysis, Investigation, Validation, Writing – original draft. YP: Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing – review & editing. WH: Data curation, Investigation, Writing – original draft. JY: Funding acquisition, Project administration, Supervision, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This work was financially supported in part by Natural Science Foundation of Hainan Province of China (grant number 322MS121), Science and Technology Planning Projects of Zhanjiang, China (grant number 2020A01040), EM is supported by the ARC Centre of Excellence in Synthetic Biology, Australia (CE200100029). Brazilian agencies FAPERJ, CNPq and CAPES also supported the study.

References

Abou-Elela, S. I., Kamel, M. M., and Fawzy, M. E. (2010). Biological treatment of saline wastewater using a salt-tolerant microorganism. *Desalination* 250, 1–5. doi: [10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2009.03.022) [desal.2009.03.022](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2009.03.022)

Ahumada-Rudolph, R., Novoa, V., Sáez, K., Martínez, M., Rudolph, A., Torres-Diaz, C., et al. (2016). Marine fungi isolated from Chilean fjord sediments can degrade oxytetracycline. *Environ. Monit. Assess.* 188, 1–10. doi: [10.1007/s10661-016-5475-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-016-5475-0)

Alkan, N., Fluhr, R., Sherman, A., and Prusky, D. (2008). Role of ammonia secretion and pH modulation on pathogenicity of *Colletotrichum coccodes* on tomato fruit. *Mol. Plant-Microbe Interact.* 21, 1058–1066. doi: [10.1094/MPMI-21-8-1058](https://doi.org/10.1094/MPMI-21-8-1058)

Ancheeva, E., Daletos, G., and Proksch, P. (2018). Lead compounds from mangroveassociated microorganisms. *Mar. Drugs* 16:00319. doi: [10.3390/md16090319](https://doi.org/10.3390/md16090319)

Arbia, W., Arbia, L., Adour, L., and Amrane, A. (2013). Chitin extraction from crustacean shells using biological methods–a review. *Food Technol. Biotechnol.* 51, 12–25.

 Association A.W.W (2006). *Water Chlorination/Chloramination Practices and Principles*, Denver, CO, USA, American Water Works Association.

Atashgahi, S., Liebensteiner, M. G., Janssen, D. B., Smidt, H., Stams, A. J. M., and Sipkema, D. (2018). Microbial synthesis and transformation of inorganic and organic chlorine compounds. *Front. Microbiol.* 9, 3079–3088. doi: [10.3389/fmicb.2018.03079](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2018.03079)

Aung, T., Jiang, H., Chen, C., Liu, G., Hu, Z., Chi, Z., et al. (2019). Production, gene cloning, and overexpression of a laccase in the marine-derived yeast *Aureobasidium melanogenum* strain 11-1 and characterization of the recombinant laccase. *Mar. Biotechnol.* 21, 76–87. doi: [10.1007/s10126-018-9860-2](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10126-018-9860-2)

Baker-Austin, C., and Dopson, M. (2007). Life in acid: pH homeostasis in acidophiles. *Trends Microbiol.* 15, 165–171. doi: [10.1016/j.tim.2007.02.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tim.2007.02.005)

Bastiaens, L., Soetemans, L., D'Hondt, E., and Elst, K. (2019). Sources of chitin and chitosan and their isolation. In: BroekL.A.M. van den and C.G. Boeriu (Eds.) *Chitin and Chitosan: Properties and Applications*. Germany: John Wiley and Sons. 1–34.

Bengtson, P., Bastviken, D., and Öberg, G. (2013). Possible roles of reactive chlorine II: assessing biotic chlorination as a way for organisms to handle oxygen stress. *Environ. Microbiol.* 15, 991–1000. doi: [10.1111/j.1462-2920.2012.02807.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1462-2920.2012.02807.x)

Bertuzzi, D. L., Becher, T. B., Capreti, N. M. R., Amorim, J., Jurberg, I. D., Megiatto, J. D. Jr., et al. (2018). General protocol to obtain D-glucosamine from biomass

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Yimin Fan, Jinfeng Tan and Fang Qiu from the Department of Dermatology, Affiliated Hospital of Guangdong Medical College for their help in taking the Confocal Microscopy images.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary material for this article can be found online at: [https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2023.1271286/](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2023.1271286/full#supplementary-material) [full#supplementary-material](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2023.1271286/full#supplementary-material)

residues: shrimp shells, cicada sloughs and cockroaches. *Glob. Chall.* 2:e1800046. doi: [10.1002/gch2.201800046](https://doi.org/10.1002/gch2.201800046)

Bonugli-Santos, R. C., Dos Santos Vasconcelos, M. R., Passarini, M. R., Vieira, G. A., Lopes, V. C., Mainardi, P. H., et al. (2015). Marine-derived fungi: diversity of enzymes and biotechnological applications. *Front. Microbiol.* 6, 269–275. doi: [10.3389/fmicb.2015.00269](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2015.00269)

Carmen, F., and Barrie, J. D. (2018). The significance of pH in dictating the relative toxicities of chloride and copper to acidophilic bacteria. *Res. Microbiol.* 169, 552–557. doi: [10.1016/j.resmic.2018.07.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resmic.2018.07.004)

Chen, H., Wang, M., Shen, Y., and Yao, S. (2014). Optimization of two-species whole-cell immobilization system constructed with marine-derived fungi and its biological degradation ability. *Chin. J. Chem. Eng.* 22, 187–192. doi: [10.1016/S1004-9541\(14\)60024-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1004-9541(14)60024-0)

Chi, Z. M., Liu, T. T., Chi, Z., Liu, G. L., and Wang, Z. P. (2012). Occurrence and diversity of yeasts in the mangrove ecosystems in Fujian, Guangdong and Hainan provinces of China. *Indian J. Microbiol.* 52, 346–353. doi: [10.1007/s12088-012-0251-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12088-012-0251-5)

Cira, L. A., Huerta, S., Hall, G. M., and Shirai, K. (2002). Pilot scale lactic acid fermentation of shrimp wastes for chitin recovery. *Process Biochem.* 37, 1359–1366. doi: [10.1016/S0032-9592\(02\)00008-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0032-9592(02)00008-0)

Davis, D., Wilson, R. B., and Mitchell, A. P. (2000). RIM101-dependent andindependent pathways govern pH responses in *Candida albicans*. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 20, 971–978. doi: [10.1128/MCB.20.3.971-978.2000](https://doi.org/10.1128/MCB.20.3.971-978.2000)

de Souza, P. C., Morey, A. T., Castanheira, G. M., Bocate, K. P., Panagio, L. A., Ito, F. A., et al. (2015). *Tenebrio molitor* (Coleoptera: Tenebrionidae) as an alternative host to study fungal infections. *J. Microbiol. Methods* 118, 182–186. doi: [10.1016/j.mimet.2015.10.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mimet.2015.10.004)

de Wet, M. M. M., and Brink, H. G. (2021). Lead biosorption characterisation of *Aspergillus piperis*. *Sustainability* 13:13169. doi: [10.3390/su132313169](https://doi.org/10.3390/su132313169)

De Wet, M. M. M., Horstmann, C., and Brink, H. G. (2020). Heavy metal tolerance of *Aspergillus piperis* using the agar well diffusion method. *Chem. Eng. Trans.* 79, 343–348. doi: [10.3303/CET2079058](https://doi.org/10.3303/CET2079058)

Geng, Z., Wang, H., Zhao, P., Hao, X., and Zeng, Y. (2009). Research development on halogenases and biological halogenation. *Acta Bot. Yunnanica* 31, 269–278. doi: [10.3724/](https://doi.org/10.3724/SP.J.1143.2009.08218) SP.L1143.2009.08218

Gincy, M. M., Sukumaran, R. K., Sindhu, R., Parameswaran, B., and Ashok, P. (2021). Green remediation of the potential hazardous shellfish wastes generated from the processing industries and their bioprospecting. *Environ. Technol. Innov.* 24:101979. doi: [10.1016/j.eti.2021.101979](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eti.2021.101979)

Hamzah, T. N. T., Lee, S. Y., Hidayat, A., Terhem, R., Faridah-Hanum, I., and Mohamed, R. (2018). Diversity and characterization of endophytic fungi isolated from the tropical mangrove species, *Rhizophora mucronata*, and identification of potential antagonists against the soil-borne fungus, *Fusarium solani*. *Front. Microbiol.* 9:1707. doi: [10.3389/fmicb.2018.01707](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2018.01707)

Hopwood, D. A. (2012). *Natural product biosynthesis by microorganisms and plants, Part B*. United States: Elsevier Science.

Hossin, M. A., Al Shaqsi, N. H. K., Al Touby, S. S. J., and Al Sibani, M. A. (2021). A review of polymeric chitin extraction, characterization, and applications. *Arab. J. Geosci.* 14, 1–8. doi: [10.1007/s12517-021-08239-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12517-021-08239-0)

Jančič, S., Zalar, P., Kocev, D., Schroers, H.-J., Džeroski, S., and Gunde-Cimerman, N. (2016). Halophily reloaded: new insights into the extremophilic life-style of *Wallemia* with the description of *Wallemia hederae* sp. nov. *Fungal Divers.* 76, 97–118. doi: [10.1007/s13225-015-0333-x](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13225-015-0333-x)

Jia, S. L., Chi, Z., Liu, G. L., Hu, Z., and Chi, Z. M. (2020). Fungi in mangrove ecosystems and their potential applications. *Crit. Rev. Biotechnol.* 40, 852–864. doi: [10.1080/07388551.2020.1789063](https://doi.org/10.1080/07388551.2020.1789063)

Kadhim, N. F., Mohammed, W. J., Al Hussaini, I. M., Al-Saily, H. M. N., and Ali, R. N. (2021). The efficiency of some fungi species in wastewater treatment. *J. Water Land Dev.* 50, 248–254. doi: [10.24425/jwld.2021.138180](https://doi.org/10.24425/jwld.2021.138180)

Korabecna, M. (2007). The variability in the fungal ribosomal DNA (ITS1, ITS2, and 5.8 S rRNA gene): ITS biological meaning and application in medical mycology. *Commun. Curr. Res. Educ. Topics Trends Appl. Microbiol.* 2, 783–787.

Kou, S. G., Peters, L. M., and Mucalo, M. R. (2021). Chitosan: a review of sources and preparation methods. *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.* 169, 85–94. doi: [10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2020.12.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2020.12.005)

Kozma, M., Acharya, B., and Bissessur, R. (2022). Chitin, chitosan, and nanochitin: extraction, synthesis, and applications. *Polymers* 14:3989. doi: [10.3390/](https://doi.org/10.3390/polym14193989) [polym14193989](https://doi.org/10.3390/polym14193989)

Li, Y., Yang, Z., Yang, K., Wei, J., Li, Z., Ma, C., et al. (2022). Removal of chloride from water and wastewater: removal mechanisms and recent trends. *Sci. Total Environ.* 821:153174. doi: [10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.153174](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.153174)

Liu, W., Song, X., Huda, N., Xie, M., Li, G., and Luo, W. (2020). Comparison between aerobic and anaerobic membrane bioreactors for trace organic contaminant removal in wastewater treatment. *Environ. Technol. Innov.* 17:e100564. doi: [10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eti.2019.100564) [eti.2019.100564](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eti.2019.100564)

Lu, T., Zhang, Q., and Yao, S. (2018). "Application of biosorption and biodegradation functions of fungi in wastewater and sludge treatment" in *Fungal Applications in Sustainable Environmental Biotechnology*. ed. D. Purchase (Cham: Springer), 65–90.

Mille, Y., Beney, L., and Gervais, P. (2005). Compared tolerance to osmotic stress in various microorganisms: towards a survival prediction test. *Biotechnol. Bioeng.* 92, 479–484. doi: [10.1002/bit.20631](https://doi.org/10.1002/bit.20631)

Musa, H., Kasim, F. H., Gunny, A. A. N., and Gopinath, S. C. B. (2018). Salt-adapted moulds and yeasts: potentials in industrial and environmental biotechnology. *Process Biochem.* 69, 33–44. doi: [10.1016/j.procbio.2018.03.026](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procbio.2018.03.026)

Palková, Z., Devaux, F., Icicová, M., Mináriková, L., Le Crom, S., and Jacq, C. (2002). Ammonia pulses and metabolic oscillations guide yeast colony development. *Mol. Biol. Cell* 13, 3901–3914. doi: [10.1091/mbc.e01-12-0149](https://doi.org/10.1091/mbc.e01-12-0149)

Palková, Z., Janderová, B., Gabriel, J., Zikánová, B., Pospísek, M., and Forstová, J. (1997). Ammonia mediates communication between yeast colonies. *Nature* 390, 532–536. doi: [10.1038/37398](https://doi.org/10.1038/37398)

Passarini, M. R., Rodrigues, M. V., da Silva, M., and Sette, L. D. (2011). Marinederived filamentous fungi and their potential application for polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon bioremediation. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* 62, 364–370. doi: [10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2010.10.003) [marpolbul.2010.10.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2010.10.003)

Piatek, M., Sheehan, G., and Kavanagh, K. (2021). *Galleria mellonella*: the versatile host for drug discovery, in vivo toxicity testing and characterising host-pathogen interactions. *Antibiotics* 10:1545. doi: [10.3390/antibiotics10121545](https://doi.org/10.3390/antibiotics10121545)

Plemenitaš, A., Vaupotič, T., Lenassi, M., Kogej, T., and Gunde-Cimerman, N. (2008). Adaptation of extremely halotolerant black yeast *Hortaea werneckii* to increased osmolarity: a molecular perspective at a glance. *Stud. Mycol.* 61, 67–75. doi: [10.3114/](https://doi.org/10.3114/sim.2008.61.06) [sim.2008.61.06](https://doi.org/10.3114/sim.2008.61.06)

Ramos, L. S., Mokus, L., Frota, H. F., Santos, M. V., Oliveira, S. S. C., Oliveira, M. M. E., et al. (2023). SARS-CoV-2 post-infection and sepsis by *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*: a fatal case report—focus on fungal susceptibility and potential virulence attributes. *Trop. Med. Infect. Dis.* 8:99. doi: [10.3390/tropicalmed8020099](https://doi.org/10.3390/tropicalmed8020099)

Rodriguez, J. P. G., Williams, D. E., Sabater, I. D., Bonugli-Santos, R. C., Sette, L. D., Andersen, R. J., et al. (2015). The marine-derived fungus *Tinctoporellus* sp. CBMAI 1061 degrades the dye Remazol brilliant blue R producing anthraquinones and unique tremulane sesquiterpenes. *RSC Adv.* 5, 66360–66366. doi: [10.1039/C5RA13580J](https://doi.org/10.1039/C5RA13580J)

Sathasivan, A., Herath, B. S., Senevirathna, S. T. M. L. D., and Kastl, G. (2017). "14-Dechlorination in wastewater treatment processes" in *Current Developments in Biotechnology and Bioengineering*. eds. D.-J. Lee, V. Jegatheesan, H. H. Ngo, P. C. Hallenbeck and A. Pandey (Netherlands: Elsevier), 359–380.

Schmitz, N., Robert, E., Kirauni, H. A., and Koedam, N. (2009). Salinity fluctuations in the mangrove forest of Gazi Bay, Kenya: lessons to take for future research. *Nat. Faune* 24, 89–95.

Silva, L. N., Campos-Silva, R., Ramos, L. S., Trentin, D. S., Macedo, A. J., Branquinha, M. H., et al. (2018). Virulence of *Candida haemulonii* complex in *Galleria mellonella* and efficacy of classical antifungal drugs: a comparative study with other clinically relevant non-albicans *Candida* species. *FEMS Yeast Res.* 18, 1–12. doi: [10.1093/](https://doi.org/10.1093/femsyr/foy082) [femsyr/foy082](https://doi.org/10.1093/femsyr/foy082)

Silva, A., Delerue-Matos, C., Figueiredo, S. A., and Freitas, O. M. (2019). The use of algae and fungi for removal of pharmaceuticals by bioremediation and biosorption processes: a review. *Water* 11:1555. doi: [10.3390/w11081555](https://doi.org/10.3390/w11081555)

Sobiecka, E. (2022). The biological processes of chloride ions removal from the environment. *Appl. Sci.* 12:8818. doi: [10.3390/app12178818](https://doi.org/10.3390/app12178818)

Thatoi, H., Behera, B. C., and Mishra, R. R. (2013). Ecological role and biotechnological potential of mangrove fungi: a review. *Mycology* 4, 54–71. doi: [10.1080/21501203.2013.785448](https://doi.org/10.1080/21501203.2013.785448)

Tolesa, L. D., Gupta, B. S., and Lee, M.-J. (2019). Chitin and chitosan production from shrimp shells using ammonium-based ionic liquids. *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.* 130, 818–826. doi: [10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2019.03.018](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2019.03.018)

Vylkova, S. (2017). Environmental pH modulation by pathogenic fungi as a strategy to conquer the host. *PLoS Pathog.* 13:e1006149. doi: [10.1371/journal.ppat.1006149](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1006149)

Vylkova, S., Carman, A. J., Danhof, H. A., Collette, J. R., Zhou, H., and Lorenz, M. C. (2011). The fungal pathogen *Candida albicans* autoinduces hyphal morphogenesis by raising extracellular pH. *MBio* 2, e00055–e00011. doi: [10.1128/mBio.00055-11](https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.00055-11)

Xing, Z., Hu, T., Xiang, Y., Qi, P., and Huang, X. (2020). Degradation mechanism of 4-chlorobiphenyl by consortium of *Pseudomonas* sp. strain CB-3 and *Comamonas* sp. strain CD-2. *Curr. Microbiol.* 77, 15–23. doi: [10.1007/s00284-019-01791-9](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00284-019-01791-9)

Xu, Y., Gregory, K. B., and Van Briesen, J. M. (2019). Reduction in sulfate inhibition of microbial dechlorination of polychlorinated biphenyls in Hudson and Grasse River sediments through fatty acid supplementation. *Chemosphere* 233, 81–91. doi: [10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2019.05.211) [chemosphere.2019.05.211](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2019.05.211)

Yao, R., Meng, F., Zhang, L., Ma, D., and Wang, M. (2009). Defluoridation of water using neodymium-modified chitosan. *J. Hazard. Mater.* 165, 454–460. doi: [10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2008.10.052) [jhazmat.2008.10.052](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2008.10.052)