Check for updates

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY E. Diane Williamson, Defence Science and Technology Laboratory, United Kingdom

REVIEWED BY Stuart Dowall, UK Health Security Agency (UKHSA), United Kingdom Sreelekshmy Mohandas, Indian Council of Medical Research (ICMR). India

\*CORRESPONDENCE Keersten M. Ricks keersten.m.ricks.civ@health.mil

RECEIVED 20 June 2023 ACCEPTED 14 August 2023 PUBLISHED 07 September 2023

#### CITATION

Davis I, Payne JM, Olguin VL, Sanders MP, Clements T, Stefan CP, Williams JA, Hooper JW, Huggins JW, Mucker EM and Ricks KM (2023) Development of a specific MPXV antigen detection immunodiagnostic assay. *Front. Microbiol.* 14:1243523. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2023.1243523

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Davis, Payne, Olguin, Sanders, Clements, Stefan, Williams, Hooper, Huggins, Mucker and Ricks. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Development of a specific MPXV antigen detection immunodiagnostic assay

Ian Davis <sup>(1)</sup>, Jackie M. Payne<sup>1</sup>, Victoria L. Olguin<sup>1</sup>, Madison P. Sanders<sup>1</sup>, Tamara Clements<sup>1</sup>, Christopher P. Stefan <sup>(1)</sup>, Janice A. Williams<sup>2</sup>, Jay W. Hooper <sup>(1)</sup>, John W. Huggins<sup>3</sup>, Eric M. Mucker <sup>(1)</sup>, and Keersten M. Ricks <sup>(1)</sup>, \*

<sup>1</sup>Diagnostic Systems Division, United States Army Medical Research Institute of Diseases, Frederick, MD, United States, <sup>2</sup>Pathology Division, United States Army Medical Research Institute of Diseases, Frederick, MD, United States, <sup>3</sup>Virology Division, United States Army Medical Research Institute of Diseases, Frederick, MD, United States

Human monkeypox (mpox) has recently become a global public health emergency; however, assays that detect mpox infection are not widely available, largely due to cross-reactivity within the Orthopoxvirus genus. Immunoassay development was largely confined to researchers who focus on biothreats and endemic areas (Central and West Africa) until the 2022 outbreak. As was noted in the COVID-19 pandemic, antigen detection assays, integrated with molecular assays, are necessary to help curb the spread of disease. Antigen-detecting immunoassays offer the advantage of providing results ranging from within min to h and in lateral flow formats; they can be deployed for point-of-care, home, or field use. This study reports the development of an mpox-specific antigen detection immunoassay developed on a multiplexed, magnetic-beadbased platform utilizing reagents from all research sectors (commercial, academic, and governmental). Two semi-quantitative assays were developed in parallel and standardized with infectious mpox virus (MPXV) cell culture fluid and MPXVpositive non-human primate (NHP) sera samples. These assays could detect viral antigens in serum, were highly specific toward MPXV as compared to other infectious orthopoxviruses (vaccinia virus, cowpox virus, and camelpox virus), and exhibited a correlation with quantitative PCR results from an NHP study. Access to a toolbox of assays for mpox detection will be key for identifying cases and ensuring proper treatment, as MPXV is currently a global traveler.

KEYWORDS

mpox, immunofluorescence, antigen assay, clade-specific, Magpix

## 1. Introduction

Human monkeypox (mpox), caused by the mpox virus (MPXV), recently became a global health emergency (Hirani et al., 2022). This emergency status was driven by mpox outbreaks occurring in dozens of countries in 2022, including those in Europe and the Americas, where mpox was not endemic. As a member of the *Orthopoxvirus* genus, mpox phenotypically presents similarly to smallpox, which is caused by the variola major virus (McCollum and Damon, 2014). The recent outbreak, combined with the similar presentation to smallpox, necessitates the development of new mpox-specific diagnostic tools for both public health and military force health protection. Both endeavors would benefit from a rapid diagnostic device that could be deployed far forward, either at the point-of-care or in the

field. The key features of such a device would be its robustness, ease of usage, and its ability to differentiate between MPXV, vaccinia, cowpox, and variola major to rule out potential, incredibly lethal smallpox infection or infection due to vaccinia virus (Henderson and Arita, 2014). To work toward such a device, we employed the assay development pipeline shown in Figure 1A. This pipeline begins by down-selecting relevant antibodies using recombinant antigens, screening against infectious viruses, and verification through *in vivo* models, as compared with other analytical techniques.

MPXV, shown in false color in Figure 1B, was discovered in 1958 in Denmark from an outbreak in laboratory primates (Magnus et al., 1959). The first documented human cases were found in 1970 in the Democratic Republic of the Congo, Liberia, Sierra Leone, and Nigeria during efforts to eradicate smallpox (Foster et al., 1972; Ladnyj et al., 1972). The first outbreak outside of Africa occurred in 2003 in the midwestern United States due to the importation of infected rodents from Ghana (Ligon, 2004; Reed et al., 2004). The recent global outbreak was unique in that high rates of humanto-human transmission via intimate, sexual contact was common (Heskin et al., 2022; Karagoz et al., 2023). The current gold standard for diagnosing mpox is polymerase chain reaction (PCR) to detect viral DNA (Li et al., 2006; Brown and Leggat, 2016; Maksyutov et al., 2016; Davi et al., 2019; Altindis et al., 2022). However, PCR requires careful sample handling and extraction; it also requires sophisticated instrumentation that may only exist in centralized labs and usually takes hours to days to produce a result. As such, there is a need for an assay that can provide immediate results to clinicians and commanders to inform their decision-making. Lateral flow antigen detection assays, which were ubiquitous during the COVID-19 pandemic, fit this requirement as they are accessible, easy to use, require minimal sample preparation, and offer a rapid response time ( $\sim$ 15–30 min). Prior to manufacturing these lateral flow devices, immunoassay development typically begins with more conventional, ELISA-style instrumentation.

We utilized a multiplexable, magnetic-bead-based Luminex system, the Magpix<sup>®</sup>, for our immunoassay development. This is because assay development workflows require smaller amounts of reagents and lower sample volumes, all while affording increased signal-to-noise over traditional 96-well plate ELISAs; they also offer multiplexing capability for more rapid downselection. Conserving time and resources is critical to rapid assay development prior to transitioning to more point-of-care formats. Typically, two antibodies are needed to design an antigen-detection immunoassay. A primary antibody is attached to magnetic beads to capture the antigen of interest, and a secondary antibody is used for detection, as depicted in Supplementary Figure S1. A library of monoclonal antibodies (MAbs) that react with antigens from the vaccinia virus (VACV) and other orthopoxviruses antigens have been developed at the United States Army Medical Research Institute of Infectious Diseases (USAMRIID) over the years to support orthopox vaccine development efforts. However, those antibodies lacked specificity to a particular orthopoxvirus. An MAb, 69-126-3-7, α-A29, was found to bind the MPXV protein, A29, but not the homologous protein from other orthopoxviruses (Hughes et al., 2014). This MAb was acquired and used as the primary (1°) MAb to develop a Magpix assay for specifically detecting MPXV A29. Institutional and commercial MAbs were screened for use as a secondary (2°) MAb to complete the antibody sandwich. Top-performing antibody pairs were verified using serum samples of cynomolgus macaques positive for MPXV from a previous study (unpublished).

Smallpox was one of the worst diseases in human history, so much so that a multi-decade global effort was undertaken to eradicate it. Routine vaccination against smallpox provided protection against mpox; however, the practice ended in the 1980s. Due to the lack of vaccination, the global population becomes more susceptible to poxviruses every year. As such, the natural spread of MPXV, or other similar poxviruses filling the ecological niche, and the potential threat of bioterrorism with smallpox are growing concerns. Therefore, there is a critical need to develop modern diagnostics for poxviruses, both to support public health by limiting the spread of mpox and to prevent the reemergence of smallpox.

# 2. Materials and methods

### 2.1. Reagents

Recombinantly expressed vaccinia virus (VACV) A27L was purchased from AlphaVax Inc. (Research Triangle Park, NC, USA), and Clade II MPXV A29L was purchased from Sino Biological Inc. (Chesterbrook, PA, USA). Clade I MPXV A29L was recombinantly expressed and purified in a previous study (Heraud et al., 2006). Commercial α-A29 MAbs, namely, clone IDs 31, 27, 32, 25, and 17, were purchased from Sino Biological Inc. (Chesterbrook, PA, USA). The previously identified  $\alpha$ -MPXV A29 MAb (69-126-3-7) was acquired from the Center for Disease Control (CDC) (Hughes et al., 2014), and the USAMRIID α-VACV A27 MAb (4B4) was acquired from a previous study (Hooper et al., 2009). Magnetic microspheres and an  $xMAP^{\ensuremath{\mathbb{R}}}$  antibody coupling kit were purchased from Luminex Inc. (Austin, TX, USA). Streptavidin, R-phycoerythrin conjugate (SAPE) was purchased from Life Technologies Corporation (Eugene, OR, USA), the PE/R-Phycoerythrin conjugation kit-Lighting-Link<sup>®</sup> was purchased from Abcam (Cambridge, UK), and the EZ-link<sup>TM</sup> Sulfo-NHS-LC-Biotin, No-Weigh<sup>TM</sup> Format kit was purchased from Thermo Fisher Scientific (Waltham, MA, USA). Phosphate buffered saline, Tween-20, and skim milk powder were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA).

### 2.2. Microsphere preparation

Antibodies were covalently linked to microspheres following the manufacturer's instructions. For all steps that required removing solutions, the microspheres were immobilized by placing a rare-earth magnet adjacent to the reaction vessel, and the solution was removed using a pipette. The microspheres were protected from light during the incubation steps with aluminum foil. Briefly, 12.5 million microspheres were washed three times with 500  $\mu$ L of activation buffer and resuspended in 274.5  $\mu$ L of activation buffer. Next, 144.0  $\mu$ L of sulfo-N-hydroxysulfosuccinimide and 81.5  $\mu$ L of 1-ethyl-3-(3-dimethylaminopropyl) carbodiimide hydrochloride



solutions were added, and the tubes were gently rotated for 20 min. After activation, the microspheres were washed three times with coupling buffer, and the antibody was added at 4  $\mu$ g per

million microspheres. The reaction was allowed to incubate for 2 h, after which the microspheres were washed three times with 500  $\mu$ L of PBS-T (phosphate buffered saline with 0.05% Tween-20),

stored at 4°C.

### 2.3. Antibody conjugation

Antibodies were covalently coupled with biotin and phycoerythrin (PE) using commercial kits and following the manufacturers' instructions. Biotinylation of MAbs was achieved using the EZ-link<sup>TM</sup> Sulfo-NHS-LC-Biotin, No-Weigh<sup>TM</sup> Format kit. Briefly, 50–60  $\mu$ g of MAbs were made to react with a 20-fold molar excess of freshly prepared sulfo-NHS-LC-biotin (sulfosuccinimidyl-6-[biotin-amido]hexanoate) at room temperature for 30 min. After the reaction, excess biotin was removed by dialyzing against PBS-T. Antibody-PE conjugates were generated with the PE/R-Phycoerythrin conjugation kit—Lighting-Link<sup>®</sup>. Briefly, 6  $\mu$ L of a modifier reagent was added to 60  $\mu$ g of MAb (1.0 mg/mL), before being added to lyophilized R-phycoerythrin. The mixture was allowed to react in the dark overnight. After the reaction, 6  $\mu$ L of a quencher reagent was added, and the conjugates were used without further purification.

### 2.4. General assay procedure

Assays were developed on the Magpix<sup>®</sup> platform using white, Costar, round-bottom 96-well plates. The plates were loaded with 2,500 microspheres per well, placed on a magnetic block for 1 min, and manually decanted. Samples were diluted in 5% skim milk in PBS-T (SM), and 50 µL was applied to each well. The plates were then covered and allowed to incubate with 450 rpm shaking for 1 h. After incubation, the microspheres in each well were washed three times with 100 µL of PBS-T. Secondary antibody conjugates (PE or biotin-labeled) were diluted to 1 µg/mL in SM, and 50 µL was added to the appropriate wells. The plates were then covered and allowed to incubate with 450 rpm shaking for another hour. After incubation, the microspheres were washed three times with PBS-T. For plates using 2°-PE conjugates, the microspheres were suspended in 100 µL of PBS-T and read using the Magpix<sup>®</sup> instrument. For plates using 2°-biotin conjugates, SAPE was diluted to 10 µg/mL in SM, and 50 µL was added per well before covering and incubating with shaking at 450 rpm for 30 min. After the final incubation, the microspheres were washed three times with PBS-T, suspended in 100 µL of PBS-T, and read using the Magpix<sup>®</sup> instrument. A pictorial representation is provided in Supplementary Figure S1.

### 2.5. Test samples

Whole blood samples were derived from a therapeutics study performed in cynomolgus macaques under the Good Laboratory Practices standard described by the Food and Drug Administration. Briefly, animals were intravenously infected with the Zaire strain of MPXV (Clade I), as previously described (Mucker et al., 2022a,b). To assess the efficacy of ST-246 at the time of lesion onset, animals were orally treated with one of two concentrations (10 mg/kg or 20 mg/kg) of ST-246 or placebo, starting at 72 h or 96 h post-exposure. At 72 h, 50% of the placebo and 7 of 12 ST-246 treated animals had observable lesions, whereas 100% of all animals had observable lesions at 96 h. Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA)-treated whole blood samples were collected on the day before the challenge, on the day of the challenge, every 3 days after the challenge, and upon death. The samples were processed to sera for analysis. All treated animals survived the exposure, whereas all placebo-treated animals succumbed to infection.

# 2.6. Extraction and qPCR

Nucleic acid was extracted from the samples using Qiagen's QIAamp DNA Mini Kit. A quantitative, pan-orthopox assay was used to detect the poxvirus hemagglutinin (HA) gene. Assays were performed and analyzed on a LightCycler 2.0 or similar. The limit of quantitation was 50 copies per 5  $\mu$ L reaction (10,000 genomes/mL). This procedure has been validated and described elsewhere (Mucker et al., 2017).

# 3. Results

# 3.1. Screening antibody combinations for VACV A27 and MPXV A29 detection

The USAMRIID has researched orthopoxviruses for many decades and has a wide inventory of monoclonal antibodies (MAb) against vaccinia virus (VACV), but the majority of these antibodies are highly cross-reactive among the genus (Edghill-Smith et al., 2005; Kitamoto et al., 2005; Heraud et al., 2006; Hooper et al., 2007, 2009; Su et al., 2007; Golden and Hooper, 2008, 2010; Golden et al., 2011, 2012; Mucker et al., 2013, 2017, 2018, 2020, 2022a,b; Pittman et al., 2015; Kota et al., 2023; Taha et al., 2023). A previous study by the Center for Disease Control (CDC) discovered MAb 69-126-3-7 (α-A29) that binds MPXV protein A29 (ortholog of VACV A27) with high specificity (Hughes et al., 2014). The CDC and USAMRIID further characterized this monoclonal antibody. At the beginning of assay development, this was the only monoclonal in the peer-reviewed literature that was shown to be specific to MPXV. As such, we targeted MPXV A29 for MPXV-specific immunoassay detection. We acquired MAb 69-126-3-7 from the CDC, five commercial A29 MAbs (S17, S25, S27, S31, and S32), and USAMRIID's MAb 4B4, which was previously used to detect VACV A27 (Hooper et al., 2009). To screen for viable antibody pairs, each of the MPXV A29 MAbs was covalently coupled to magnetic microspheres to serve as capture MAbs  $(1^{\circ})$  and covalently linked with biotin to serve as detector MAbs (2°). SAPE was used as a fluorescent reporter. Antibody pairs were screened against recombinant Clade I and II MPXV A29, as well as VACV A27, at 100 ng/mL in a checkerboard fashion to determine the best antibody pairs (Figures 2A, B, Supplementary Figure S2). All commercial MAbs were cross-reactive with Clade I MPXV A29 and VACV A27 when used as a capture antibody. When  $\alpha$ -A29 was used as the capture antibody, there was notable one-way reactivity with MPXV A29 with all commercial MAbs as detectors, except for S31. For Clade II MPXV A29, one-way reactivity was found for several pairs that utilized  $\alpha$ -A29 or S31. The most promising



signals using MPXV A29 divided by signals using VACV A27.

pairs were  $\alpha$ -A29/S27, S31/S27, and S27/S31. Based on these results, the aforementioned pairs were down-selected for further assay optimization.

# 3.2. Selection of a labeling strategy

Selected pairs from the initial screening, as well as MAb 4B4 from previous research (Hooper et al., 2009), were directly labeled with phycoerythrin (PE). Direct PE conjugation of the 2° MAbs simplifies assays by eliminating the need for a third assay incubation with SAPE, and it can improve the overall assay performance. As stated above, MAb pairs were screened against Clade I and II MPXV A29, as well as VACV A27 (100 ng/mL). The

signal was expressed as the MPXV A29 response divided by the VACV A27 response to demonstrate specificity (Figures 2C, D) or as median fluorescence intensity (MFI) (Supplementary Figure S3).  $\alpha$ -A29 was screened as 1° with either 4B4 or S27 as 2°, S31 was screened as 1° with S27 as 2°, and S27 was screened as 1° with S31 as 2°. In addition to showing one-way reactivity toward MPXV,  $\alpha$ -A29/4B4 was also specific to Clade I MPXV A29 with biotin (4B4-B) or PE (4B4-PE) conjugation. The  $\alpha$ -A29/S27 pair reacted to both MPXV A29 clades, whether biotinylated (S27-B) or as a PE conjugate (S27-PE), with S27-PE generating more signal for Clade I MPXV A29, with S27/S31 and S31/S27 were both selective for Clade II MPXV A29, with S27/S31-PE generating the highest signal. The MAb pairs were further characterized using infectious viruses, *vide infra*.

	α <b>-A29</b> <sup>a</sup>				S31 <sup>a</sup>		<b>S27</b> <sup>a</sup>	
	4B4 <sup>b</sup>		S27 <sup>b</sup>		S27 <sup>b</sup>		S31 <sup>b</sup>	
	2°-biotin	2°-PE	2°-biotin	2°-PE	2°-biotin	2°-PE	2°-biotin	2°-PE
MPVX Zaire ′79	1706.6	405.4	701.5	845.4	1.1	0.9	1.0	0.0
MPXV Katakombe	1916.7	436.2	792.8	920.0	1.2	0.9	1.0	0.0
MPXV US 2003	1.1	219.3	829.5	807.0	2.7	1.5	1.0	0.0
MPXV current	1.1	458.0	904.1	774.6	6.2	1.1	1.0	0.0
VACV lister	39.1	33.7	38.3	23.6	1.3	0.4	1.0	0.0
VACV IHDJ	17.5	14.2	14.9	10.6	1.2	0.9	1.0	0.0
VACV WR	32.9	27.1	49.9	26.7	1.5	0.6	1.0	0.0
Cowpox BR	46.8	37.1	53.4	34.6	1.5	0.6	1.0	0.0
Cowpox wt	11.4	11.7	13.2	10.4	1.1	0.9	1.0	0.0
Camelpox Somalia	1.0	0.8	1.2	1.1	1.0	0.9	1.0	0.1

#### TABLE 1 Antigen detection with infectious virus.

<sup>a</sup>The 1° MAb is covalently linked to magnetic microspheres.

 $^{\rm b}{\rm The}~2^{\circ}$  MAb is biotiny lated (detection with SAPE) or directly PE-labeled.

# 3.3. Down-selection using infectious viruses

After screening numerous MAb pairs and reporter-labeling strategies against recombinant antigens, we proceeded to test the assays against infectious viruses. Various orthopoxviruses (MPXV Zaire '79, MPXV Katakombe, MPXV US 2003, MPXV current, VACV Lister, VACV IHDJ, VACV Western Reserve, cowpox Brighton, cowpox wild-type, and camelpox Somalia) were previously cultured in Vero cells, and cell slurries were harvested for antigen detection. Relative virus concentrations were determined through plaque assay to be 10<sup>6</sup>-10<sup>8</sup> plaque-forming units per milliliter (pfu/mL). Two-fold dilutions of the cell slurries were screened with the selected MAb pairs, and the results are shown as signal divided by negative slurry (Table 1). Among the MAb pairs screened, only pairs that used  $\alpha$ -A29 as 1° MAb, yielded an appreciable signal over the uninfected cell slurry. As a 2° MAb, S27 performed similarly for both clades and with either label (biotin or PE), whereas 4B4 maintained Clade I selectivity when biotinylated but lost selectivity and response when PE-conjugated. Based on the reactivity and signal-to-noise ratio, three MAb pairs were selected for further development: α-A29/4B4-B, α-A29/S27-B, and α-A29/S27-PE.

## 3.4. Determination of the limits of detection

Three antibody pairs were tested against infectious MPXV strains to determine their limits of detection (LoD).  $\alpha$ -A29 was used as the 1° MAb, with 4B4-B, S27-B, or S27-PE as 2° MAb. Slurries of infectious virus were initially diluted at a 1:2 ratio in the assay buffer prior to 10-fold serial dilutions (Figure 3). The data were fit with a four-parameter sigmoidal function, and LoDs were interpolated from the fitted function using three standard deviations greater

than the average of a blank titration as a cutoff value (Figure 3E, Supplementary Table S1). For Clade I strains, the LoD for S27-PE and 4B4-B were both in the low thousands of pfu/mL, while S27-B was ten-fold less sensitive. For all strains, S27-PE had a much lower LoD than S27-B.

# 3.5. MPXV assay verification with longitudinal animal model samples

Two assays,  $\alpha$ -A29/S27-PE and  $\alpha$ -A29/4B4-B as 1°/2°, were carried forward to test for antigenic reactivity with serum samples from an institutional, legacy MPXV animal study. Non-human primates (NHPs and cynomolgus macaques) were given an intravenous challenge of MPXV Zaire '79 (day 0) and treated with tecovirimat (ST-246) (3 NHPs, 20 mg/kg) or placebo (4 NHPs) 72 h later. Placebo NHPs experienced severe illness and succumbed between days 13 and 15, while all treated NHPs survived. Serum was collected on the day before the challenge, on the day of the challenge, every 3 days after the challenge, and during terminal bleeds for NHPs that succumbed on day 14. Serum samples were diluted 1:20 and tested for MPXV A29 (Figure 4). Legions appeared for all NHPs on days 3 and 4, and the placebo NHPs showed detectable MPXV A29 in the serum beginning on day 6 and peaking on day 12. Both assays detected MPXV A29 in the serum from challenged, but not treated, NHPs, even though the treated NHPs developed lesions. There was no statistical difference between the signal from the S27-PE assay and that from the 4B4-B assay in terms of both total signal and S/N. Samples were also screened for the presence of MPXV DNA using qPCR, the current "goldstandard" for detection in this model (Mucker et al., 2017). The antigen detection assays were highly correlated with the qPCR results (Pearson r > 0.98, Supplementary Figure S3).



# 4. Discussion

Diagnostic tools that are sensitive, specific, accurate, and accessible are invaluable to correctly identifying the etiology and ultimately stopping widespread disease, in the public health sector and for military force health protection. PCR became a household term during the COVID-19 pandemic, as this nucleic acid-based test was the only FDA-cleared method for determining SARS-CoV-2 positivity during the first year of the pandemic. Once rapid antigen tests became widely available, infected individuals were better able to self-diagnose and isolate without having to wait 24–48 h for test results (Gronvall, 2021). Molecular diagnostic assays, such as PCR, are much more sensitive and specific than immunodiagnostic assays. Primer and probe design for specific nucleic acid targets coupled with signal amplification contributes to

the exquisite sensitivity of these assays. Immunodiagnostic assays, such as ELISA and lateral flow assays, are not as sensitive as molecular diagnostic assays, such as PCR, but they are often much faster and require far fewer sample processing steps (e.g., nucleic acid extraction) and consumables.

The world was still in slow recovery from the COVID-19 pandemic when mpox cases began to rise at an alarming rate in non-endemic areas in early 2022. Due to the ongoing development of orthopoxvirus countermeasures, potential vaccines, as well as PCR assays, were already available for diagnosing patients among naïve populations (Li et al., 2006; Maksyutov et al., 2016; Mucker et al., 2017; Papadakis et al., 2023). There were no commercially available antigen assays for MPXV or any orthopoxvirus outside of lab-derived tests at research institutions. Prior to the 2022 outbreak, the commercial market for antibodies



or recombinant proteins for MPXV or other orthopoxviruses was limited. Orthopoxviruses are large DNA viruses with genomes that encode approximately 200 proteins. The large number of proteins complicates the development of antigen-detection immunoassays because biologically relevant targets must be carefully selected (Shchelkunov et al., 2002). The Diagnostic Systems Division at USAMRIID has developed several research-grade assays using monoclonal antibodies developed by the Virology Division, but these reagents lack antigenic specificity, leading to "panorthopoxvirus" immunoassays. Research on orthopoxviruses over the years from the USAMRIID, the CDC, and other academic and governmental institutions have highlighted protein targets of interest for MPXV-specific detection, including the α-A29 MAb discovered in 2014. The recent outbreak invigorated interest from commercial sources to develop MPXV-specific MAbs against A29 as well. Upon testing these MAb sources with our USAMRIID orthopox MAb collection, we successfully designed and verified an MPXV-specific MPXV A29 detection assay. The assay was verified against well-characterized, longitudinal NHP samples from an MPXV therapeutics study. We could detect MPXV A29 in the untreated animals but observed no signal from the treated animals, and the results showed an excellent correlation with qPCR data. The signal became detectable on day 6 in the untreated cohort and persisted until the end of the study. While this assay cannot be considered an indication of a correlate of protection, it was certainly interesting to observe the absence of a signal in the treated cohort.

Our aim at the beginning of this study was to create an MPXV-specific immunoassay. We did not expect to find cladespecific reactivity. MPXV A29 from the two clades differ by only two amino acids, the substitutions are relatively conservative, histidine to arginine and arginine to histidine, and they are not located on the heparin-binding domain that confers specificity to  $\alpha$ -A29 (Hughes et al., 2014). Further modeling is necessary to assess the binding sites, antibody kinetics, and epitope binning to understand this phenomenon and determine whether it is due to the antibody itself or the labeling chemistry. The most plausible explanation is that the relatively small size of biotin, as compared to PE, allows it to access an attachment site that competes for a binding epitope necessary for identifying Clade II A29 but not Clade I A29. This development effort also highlights the risks associated with relying on recombinant antigens for assay development. Several commercial MAb pairs produced promising results during the initial screening, but none of them were effective when assayed against infectious viruses. MAbs developed using recombinant antigens may not translate to clinically relevant assays, demonstrating the need to use infectious viruses in antibody discovery pipelines.

# 5. Conclusion

Two antibody pairs that detected MPXV with high sensitivity and selectivity were identified. The LoDs for the assays were in the low thousands of pfu/mL. The developed assays were verified with serum samples from an animal model of mpox. Future research will focus on testing the assay on known MPXV-infected human samples, as well as other matrices of clinical relevance to orthopoxviruses (pustules, scabs, and swabs). Additionally, we plan to transition these assays to a lateral-flow format so that they can be deployed far forward for point-of-care or field use. Accurate, specific, and timely diagnostics are key to mission readiness. As such, our diagnostic toolbox needs to be well-stocked to prepare for the next outbreak or pandemic.

# Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

# **Ethics statement**

The animal study was approved by the United States Army Medical Research Institute of Infectious Diseases IACUC. The study was conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements.

# Author contributions

ID and KR conceived and designed the study and wrote the manuscript with input from all coauthors. ID, JP, VO, and TC conducted the experiments. CS provided advice. JW provided the TEM image. JHo provided reagents and advice. JHu and EM conducted the NHP study. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

# Funding

This work was funded by the DHA through the MIDRP grant MI220241: Development of Immunodiagnostic Assays for Monkeypox.

## Acknowledgments

We thank Christy Hutson and Subbian Satheshkumar Panayampalli of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention for providing mAb 69-126-3-7, Scott Olschner, Brian Kearney, and Dr. Jason Shoemaker for technical assistance, Dennis E. Hruby and Douglas W. Grosenbach (SIGA Technologies, Inc.) for intellectual contributions to the design and conduct of the MPXV study conducted in NHPs, and the research animals for their sacrifice. Opinions, interpretations, conclusions, and recommendations are

## References

Altindis, M., Puca, E., and Shapo, L. (2022). Diagnosis of monkeypox virus—an overview. *Travel Med. Infect. Dis.* 50, 102459. doi: 10.1016/j.tmaid.2022.102459

Brown, K., and Leggat, P. A. (2016). Human monkeypox: current state of knowledge and implications for the future. *Trop. Med. Infect. Dis.* 1, 8. doi: 10.3390/tropicalmed1010008

Davi, S. D., Kissenkotter, J., Faye, M., Bohlken-Fascher, S., Stahl-Hennig, C., Faye, O., et al. (2019). Recombinase polymerase amplification assay for rapid detection of Monkeypox virus. *Diagn. Microbiol. Infect. Dis.* 95, 41–45. doi: 10.1016/j.diagmicrobio.2019.03.015

Edghill-Smith, Y., Golding, H., Manischewitz, J., King, L. R., Scott, D., Bray, M., et al. (2005). Smallpox vaccine-induced antibodies are necessary and sufficient for protection against monkeypox virus. *Nat. Med.* 11, 740–747. doi: 10.1038/nm1261

Foster, S. O., Brink, E. W., Hutchins, D. L., Pifer, J. M., Lourie, B., Moser, C. R., et al. (1972). Human monkeypox. *Bull. World Health Organ.* 46, 569–576.

Golden, J. W., and Hooper, J. W. (2008). Heterogeneity in the A33 protein impacts the cross-protective efficacy of a candidate smallpox DNA vaccine. *Virology* 377, 19–29. doi: 10.1016/j.virol.2008.04.003

Golden, J. W., and Hooper, J. W. (2010). Evaluating the orthopoxvirus type I interferon-binding molecule as a vaccine target in the vaccinia virus intranasal murine challenge model. *Clin. Vaccine Immunol.* 17, 1656–1665. doi: 10.1128/CVI.00235-10

Golden, J. W., Josleyn, M., Mucker, E. M., Hung, C. F., Loudon, P. T., Wu, T. C., et al. (2012). Side-by-side comparison of gene-based smallpox vaccine with MVA in nonhuman primates. *PLoS ONE* 7, e42353. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0042353

Golden, J. W., Zaitseva, M., Kapnick, S., Fisher, R. W., Mikolajczyk, M. G., Ballantyne, J., et al. (2011). Polyclonal antibody cocktails generated using DNA vaccine technology protect in murine models of orthopoxvirus disease. *Virol. J.* 8, 441. doi: 10.1186/1743-422X-8-441

Gronvall, G. (2021). COVID-19 testing and a path out of the pandemic. *Clin. Chem.* 68, 27–29. doi: 10.1093/clinchem/hvab226

Henderson, D. A., and Arita, I. (2014). The smallpox threat: a time to reconsider global policy. *Biosecur. Bioterror.* 12, 117–121. doi: 10.1089/bsp.2014.1509.comm

those of the authors and are not necessarily endorsed by the U.S. Army or the Department of Defense.

# Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

## Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

# Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2023. 1243523/full#supplementary-material

Heraud, J. M., Edghill-Smith, Y., Ayala, V., Kalisz, I., Parrino, J., Kalyanaraman, V. S., et al. (2006). Subunit recombinant vaccine protects against monkeypox. *J. Immunol.* 177, 2552–2564. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.177.4.2552

Heskin, J., Belfield, A., Milne, C., Brown, N., Walters, Y., Scott, C., et al. (2022). Transmission of monkeypox virus through sexual contact - A novel route of infection. *J. Infect.* 85, 334–363. doi: 10.1016/j.jinf.2022.05.028

Hirani, R., Rashid, D., Lewis, J., Hosein-Woodley, R., and Issani, A. (2022). Monkeypox outbreak in the age of COVID-19: a new global health emergency. *Mil. Med. Res.* 9, 55. doi: 10.1186/s40779-022-00419-7

Hooper, J. W., Ferro, A. M., Golden, J. W., Silvera, P., Dudek, J., Alterson, K., et al. (2009). Molecular smallpox vaccine delivered by alphavirus replicons elicits protective immunity in mice and non-human primates. *Vaccine* 28, 494–511. doi: 10.1016/j.vaccine.2009.09.133

Hooper, J. W., Golden, J. W., Ferro, A. M., and King, D. A. (2007). Smallpox DNA vaccine delivered by novel skin electroporation device protects mice against intranasal poxvirus challenge. *Vaccine* 25, 1814–1823. doi: 10.1016/j.vaccine.2006.11.017

Hughes, L. J., Goldstein, J., Pohl, J., Hooper, J. W., Lee Pitts, R., Townsend, M. B., et al. (2014). A highly specific monoclonal antibody against monkeypox virus detects the heparin binding domain of A27. *Virology* 464-465, 264-273. doi:10.1016/j.virol.2014.06.039

Karagoz, A., Tombuloglu, H., Alsaeed, M., Tombuloglu, G., AlRubaish, A. A., Mahmoud, A., et al. (2023). Monkeypox (mpox) virus: Classification, origin, transmission, genome organization, antiviral drugs, and molecular diagnosis. *J. Infect. Public Health* 16, 531–541. doi: 10.1016/j.jiph.2023.02.003

Kitamoto, N., Kobayashi, T., Kato, Y., Wakamiya, N., Ikuta, K., Tanaka, T., et al. (2005). Preparation of monoclonal antibodies cross-reactive with orthopoxviruses and their application for direct immunofluorescence test. *Microbiol. Immunol.* 49, 219–225. doi: 10.1111/j.1348-0421.2005.tb03723.x

Kota, K. P., Ziołkowska, N. E., Wei, J., Peng, J., Ordonez, D., Raney, C., et al. (2023). Development of a rapid image-based high-content imaging screening assay to evaluate therapeutic antibodies against the monkeypox virus. *Antiviral Res.* 210, 105513. doi: 10.1016/j.antiviral.2022.105513

Ladnyj, I. D., Ziegler, P., and Kima, E. (1972). A human infection caused by monkeypox virus in Basankusu Territory, Democratic Republic of the Congo. *Bull. World Health Organ.* 46, 593–597.

Li, Y., Olson, V. A., Laue, T., Laker, M. T., and Damon, K. I. (2006). Detection of monkeypox virus with real-time PCR assays. J. Clin. Virol. 36, 194–203. doi: 10.1016/j.jcv.2006.03.012

Ligon, B. L. (2004). Monkeypox: a review of the history and emergence in the Western hemisphere. *Semin. Pediatr. Infect. Dis.* 15, 280–287. doi: 10.1053/j.spid.2004.09.001

Magnus, P., Andersen, E. K., Petersen, K. B., and Birch-Andersen, A. (1959). A poxlike disease in cynomolgus monkeys. *Acta Pathologica Microbiologica Scandinavica* 46, 156–176. doi: 10.1111/j.1699-0463.1959.tb00328.x

Maksyutov, R. A., Gavrilova, E. V., and Shchelkunov, N. S. (2016). Species-specific differentiation of variola, monkeypox, and varicella-zoster viruses by multiplex real-time PCR assay. *J. Virol. Methods* 236, 215–220. doi: 10.1016/j.jviromet.2016.07.024

McCollum, A. M., and Damon, I. K. (2014). Human monkeypox. Clin. Infect. Dis. 58, 260-267. doi: 10.1093/cid/cit703

Mucker, E. M., Goff, A. J., Shamblin, J. D., Grosenbach, D. W., Damon, I. K., Mehal, J. M., et al. (2013). Efficacy of tecovirimat (ST-246) in nonhuman primates infected with variola virus (Smallpox). *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother*. 57, 6246–6253. doi: 10.1128/AAC.00977-13

Mucker, E. M., Golden, J. W., Hammerbeck, C. D., Kishimori, J. M., Royals, M., Joselyn, M. D., et al. (2022a). A nucleic acid-based orthopoxvirus vaccine targeting the vaccinia virus L1, A27, B5, and A33 proteins protects rabbits against lethal rabbitpox virus aerosol challenge. *J. Virol.* 96, e0150421. doi: 10.1128/JVI.01504-21

Mucker, E. M., Hartmann, C., Hering, D., Giles, W., Miller, D., Fisher, R., et al. (2017). Validation of a pan-orthopox real-time PCR assay for the detection and quantification of viral genomes from nonhuman primate blood. *Virol. J.* 14, 210. doi: 10.1186/s12985-017-0880-8

Mucker, E. M., Lindquist, M., and Hooper, W. J. (2020). Particle-specific neutralizing activity of a monoclonal antibody targeting the poxvirus A33 protein

reveals differences between cell associated and extracellular enveloped virions. *Virology* 544, 42–54. doi: 10.1016/j.virol.2020.02.004

Mucker, E. M., Thiele-Suess, C., Baumhof, P., and Hooper, W. J. (2022b). Lipid nanoparticle delivery of unmodified mRNAs encoding multiple monoclonal antibodies targeting poxviruses in rabbits. *Mol. Ther. Nucleic Acids* 28, 847–858. doi: 10.1016/j.omtn.2022.05.025

Mucker, E. M., Wollen-Roberts, S. E., Kimmel, A., Shamblin, J., Sampey, D., and Hooper, W. J. (2018). Intranasal monkeypox marmoset model: Prophylactic antibody treatment provides benefit against severe monkeypox virus disease. *PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis.* 12, e0006581. doi: 10.1371/journal.pntd.0006581

Papadakis, G., Tran, T., Druce, J., Lim, C. K., Williamson, D. A., and Jackson, K. (2023). Evaluation of 16 molecular assays for the detection of orthopox and mpox viruses. *J. Clin. Virol.* 161, 105424. doi: 10.1016/j.jcv.2023. 105424

Pittman, P. R., Garman, P. M., Kim, S. H., Schmader, T. J., Nieding, W. J., Pike, J. G., et al. (2015). Smallpox vaccine, ACAM2000: Sites and duration of viral shedding and effect of povidone iodine on scarification site shedding and immune response. *Vaccine* 33, 2990–2996. doi: 10.1016/j.vaccine.2015.04.062

Reed, K. D., Melski, J. W., Graham, M. B., Regnery, R. L., Sotir, M. J., Wegner, M. V., et al. (2004). The detection of monkeypox in humans in the Western Hemisphere. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 350, 342–350. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa032299

Shchelkunov, S. N., Totmenin, A. V., Safronov, P. F., Mikheev, M. V., Gutorov, V. V., Ryazankina, O. I., et al. (2002). Analysis of the monkeypox virus genome. *Virology* 297, 172–194. doi: 10.1006/viro.2002.1446

Su, H. P., Golden, J. W., Gittis, A. G., Hooper, J. W., and Garboczi, N. D. (2007). Structural basis for the binding of the neutralizing antibody, 7D11, to the poxvirus L1 protein. *Virology* 368, 331–341. doi: 10.1016/j.virol.2007. 06.042

Taha, T. Y., Townsend, M. B., Pohl, J., Karem, K. L., Damon, I. K., Mbala Kingebeni, P., et al. (2023). Design and optimization of a monkeypox virus specific serological assay. *Pathogens* 12, 396. doi: 10.3390/pathogens12030396