Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Yang-Chun Yong, Jiangsu University, China

REVIEWED BY Xiao-Yu Yong, Nanjing Tech University, China Yafei Zhang, Jiangsu University, China

*CORRESPONDENCE Long Zou ⊠ zoulong@jxnu.edu.cn

RECEIVED 19 June 2023 ACCEPTED 21 July 2023 PUBLISHED 10 August 2023

CITATION

Huang Y, Tang J, Zhang B, Long Z-E, Ni H, Fu X and Zou L (2023) Influencing factors and mechanism of Cr(VI) reduction by facultative anaerobic *Exiguobacterium* sp. PY14. *Front. Microbiol.* 14:1242410. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2023.1242410

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Huang, Tang, Zhang, Long, Ni, Fu and Zou. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Influencing factors and mechanism of Cr(VI) reduction by facultative anaerobic *Exiguobacterium* sp. PY14

Yunhong Huang¹, Jie Tang¹, Bei Zhang², Zhong-Er Long¹, Haiyan Ni¹, Xueqin Fu¹ and Long Zou^{1*}

¹Nanchang Key Laboratory of Microbial Resources Exploitation and Utilization from Poyang Lake Wetland, College of Life Sciences, Jiangxi Normal University, Nanchang, China, ²College of Art and Design, Jiangxi Institute of Fashion Technology, Nanchang, China

Microbial reduction is an effective way to deal with hexavalent chromium [Cr(VI)] contamination in the environment, which can significantly mitigate the biotoxicity and migration of this pollutant. The present study investigated the influence of environmental factors on aqueous Cr(VI) removal by a newly isolated facultative anaerobic bacterium, Exiguobacterium sp. PY14, and revealed the reduction mechanism. This strain with a minimum inhibitory concentration of 400 mg/L showed the strongest Cr(VI) removal capacity at pH 8.0 because of its basophilic nature, which was obviously depressed by increasing the Cr(VI) initial concentration under both aerobic and anaerobic conditions. In contrast, the removal rate constant for 50 mg/L of Cr(VI) under anaerobic conditions (1.82 \times 10⁻² h⁻¹) was 3.3 times that under aerobic conditions. The co-existence of Fe(III) and Cu(II) significantly promoted the removal of Cr(VI), while Ag(I), Pb(II), Zn(II), and Cd(II) inhibited it. Electron-shuttling organics such as riboflavin, humic acid, and anthraquinone-2,6-disulfonate promoted the Cr(VI) removal to varying degrees, and the enhancement was more significant under anaerobic conditions. The removal of aqueous Cr(VI) by strain PY14 was demonstrated to be due to cytoplasmic rather than extracellular reduction by analyzing the contributions of different cell components, and the end products existed in the aqueous solution in the form of organo-Cr(III) complexes. Several possible genes involved in Cr(VI) metabolism, including chrR and chrA that encode well-known Chr family proteins responsible for chromate reduction and transport, respectively, were identified in the genome of PY14, which further clarified the Cr(VI) reduction pathway of this strain. The research progress in the influence of crucial environmental factors and biological reduction mechanisms will help promote the potential application of Exiguobacterium sp. PY14 with high adaptability to environmental stress in Cr(VI) removal in the actual environment.

KEYWORDS

Cr(VI) reduction, Exiguobacterium, heavy metal, chromate reductase, organo-Cr(III)

1. Introduction

Chromium (Cr) has a variety of significant industrial applications in textile dyes and mordants, electroplating, alloying, pigments, leather tanning, refractories, and ceramic glazes (Xia et al., 2020; Ukhurebor et al., 2021). Nevertheless, the accompanying Cr accumulation in the environment and its ecological risks have become important global concerns. The prevalent forms of Cr in the natural environment are trivalent Cr(III) and hexavalent Cr(VI) (Kotas and Stasicka, 2000; Rahman and Thomas, 2021), of which Cr(VI) is more toxic, migratory, and bioavailable (Jiang et al., 2019). Cr(VI) usually exists in several highly soluble forms of oxyanions varying with the pH of the aqueous media (Ukhurebor et al., 2021; Zheng et al., 2021), while Cr(III) behaves as a cation and can form precipitates such as Cr(OH)₃ (Rahman and Thomas, 2021). Moreover, Cr(VI), with its high oxidizing potential, exhibits strong cytotoxicity and DNA damage (DesMarais and Costa, 2019) and has been classified as a group 1 carcinogen by the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC, 1980). Therefore, the reduction of Cr(VI) to Cr(III) is recognized as an effective route to remediate Cr pollution in the soil and water ecosystems.

Compared with a conventional chemical route, which usually uses a large number of chemicals and produces enormous toxic sludge (Peng and Guo, 2020), microbial remediation of Cr(VI) is characterized as a fast, economical, environmental friendly, and low-energy consumption process, thus being considered a promising disposal strategy to counteract Cr(VI) pollution (Sharma et al., 2022). Numerous bacteria, both Gram-negative and Grampositive, have shown their ability to remove Cr(VI) through diverse mechanisms, including biosorption on the cell surface, bioaccumulation inside the cell, and biotransformation by the involvement of specific chromate reductases or other non-specific ones (Jobby et al., 2018). More particularly, abundant bacteria such as Bacillus sp. (Zhu et al., 2019; Gu et al., 2022), Pseudomonas sp. (Yu et al., 2016; Kang et al., 2017), Alishewanella sp. (Xia et al., 2018), Leucobacter sp. (Zhu et al., 2008), Rhodococcus sp. (Banerjee et al., 2017), Shewanella sp. (Bencheikh-Latmani et al., 2005; Parker et al., 2011), and Exiguobacterium sp. (Alam and Malik, 2008; Mohapatra et al., 2017; Das et al., 2021) have been well documented as being capable of reducing Cr(VI) either intracellularly or extracellularly. However, their Cr(VI)-reducing mechanisms differ greatly, which determines the removal efficiency and application scenarios of these bacteria.

Among them, the genus Exiguobacterium is composed of Gram-positive facultative anaerobes with variable morphologies ranging from small rods to cocci, which have been repeatedly isolated or molecularly detected from diverse habitats with a broad range of temperature, pH, and salt ion concentrations (Vishnivetskaya et al., 2009; Kasana and Pandey, 2018). In addition, some strains of this genus have been shown to have plant growthpromoting attributes (Selvakumar et al., 2010; Bharti et al., 2013). These merits endow the genus Exiguobacterium with a strong competitive edge in environmental remediation. To our knowledge, the removal capacities and effective behaviors of several strains of Exiguobacterium for Cr(VI) remediation have been reported under aerobic conditions (Alam and Malik, 2008; Okeke, 2008; Mohapatra et al., 2017; Das et al., 2021), but there are still knowledge gaps in key influencing factors under both aerobic and anaerobic environments, as well as their biological reduction mechanisms. In our previous study, an alkaliphilic and salt-tolerant strain of Exiguobacterium sp. PY14 was isolated from the wetland soil of Poyang Lake (the largest freshwater lake in China), which could decolorize azo dyes and extracellularly reduce insoluble ironcontaining minerals (Tang et al., 2023). Herein, the reductive removal of aqueous Cr(VI) by this strain and the influencing factors were studied. Moreover, the reduction mechanism was discussed based on the distribution and speciation of reduction products, the contribution of different cell components, and potential genes related to Cr(VI) metabolism. This study would provide insights into the application of the genus *Exiguobacterium* in the remediation of Cr(VI) contamination.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Strain and culture

Strain PY14 used in this study was identified as being 98% similar to *E. mexicanum* 8N (GenBank assembly accession: GCA_025234665.1) based on 16S rRNA gene sequencing (Tang et al., 2023). This strain was cultured in 250-mL Erlenmeyer flasks with Luria-Bartani (LB) broth (tryptone, 10 g/L; yeast extract, 5 g/L; NaCl, 10 g/L; pH 8.5) for a logarithmic growth period as inoculants for follow-up Cr(VI) removal tests.

2.2. Cr(VI) removal test

The tests of Cr(VI) removal by PY14 cells were performed in LB broth medium only containing potassium dichromate (K₂CrO₄) as the form of Cr(VI) unless otherwise stated, and the bacterial cultures were inoculated in the fresh medium by 1% volume. The aerobic and anaerobic tests were carried out in 250-mL Erlenmeyer flasks and 100-mL serum vials, respectively, with a working volume of 50 mL. To achieve anaerobic conditions, the inoculums in serum vials were sparged with a mixture of N2:CO2 (80:20) to remove dissolved oxygen and capped with butyl rubber closures. The Erlenmeyer flasks and serum vials were incubated on a rotary shaker (200 rpm) at 37°C. During the incubation period, 1 mL aliquots of the cultures were periodically withdrawn using a sterile syringe and used for analysis after being filtered through a sterile aqueous microporous membrane (average pore size of $0.22 \,\mu$ m). The effects of the initial pH of the medium, initial Cr(VI) concentration, co-existing metal ions, and organic electron shuttle were investigated through the single-factor control experiment. The initial pH of the medium was adjusted by adding 0.1 M HCl or NaOH. The initial Cr(VI) concentration was controlled by changing the additional amount of Cr(VI) stock solution (10 g/L). The influences of Pb(II), Ag(I), Cu(II), Fe(III), Zn(II), and Cd(II) were studied by adding corresponding chlorates at a concentration of 20 mg/L. Anthraquinone-2,6-disulfonate (AQDS), riboflavin, and humic acid were selected as typical electron-shuttling organics and used at a concentration of 10 µM. Each experiment was performed in triplicate.

2.3. Cell denaturation and fraction preparation

To investigate the mechanism of Cr(VI) reduction by strain PY14, the cells were treated with different denaturation methods. In detail, after culture in LB medium without Cr(VI) for a logarithmic growth period, the cells were collected by centrifugation at 8,000 rpm for 5 min, and the cell-free culture supernatants were stored

at 4°C for later use. The collected cells were resuspended in an equal volume of fresh LB medium, and the cell suspension was divided into three parts. One part was not further treated and was directly used for the resting cells. Another part was incubated at 80°C for 30 min to obtain the heat-killed cells. The last part was treated with 5 mM sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide (SDS) for 30 min to denature proteins, resulting in SDS-denatured cells (Huang et al., 2021b). The prepared cell-free culture supernatant, resting cells, heat-killed cells, and SDS-denatured cells were used to remove Cr(VI) at an initial concentration of 20 mg/L.

Meanwhile, the cells at the logarithmic growth period were also resuspended in a 0.01-M phosphate buffer solution (PBS, pH = 8.0), and the suspension was divided into four parts. One part was directly used as the resting cells. The other part was used for ultrasonication to obtain the cytoplasmic fraction and cell insoluble fraction after centrifugation at 1,000 rpm for 10 min, and the cell insoluble fraction was resuspended in an equal volume of PBS buffer. The remaining two parts were treated with 5 units of proteinase K and 0.5 mg/mL of lysozyme for 1 h, respectively. All prepared samples were used to reduce Cr(VI) at an initial concentration of 5 mg/L with the addition of 1 mM NADH as electron donors.

2.4. Analysis

The density of bacterial cells was detected using an ultravioletvisible (UV-vis) spectrophotometer (UV-9000S, Shanghai Metash Instruments Co., Ltd., China) at a wavelength of 600 nm. The aqueous Cr(VI) concentration was analyzed using the 1,5diphenylcarbazide (DPC) UV-vis spectrophotometric method, and K₂CrO₄ was used as a standard of known Cr(VI) concentration (Watts et al., 2015). After acid digestion, the total Cr in the cell precipitation was determined using an atomic absorption spectrophotometer (SP-3530AA, Shanghai Spectral Instruments Co., Ltd., China) (Chen et al., 2021). The pH value was measured using a meter (FE28, METTLER TOLEDO, Switzerland). The bacterial morphology and distribution of Cr element on cell precipitates were characterized using scanning electron microscopy (SEM, CLARA, TESCAN, Czech) equipped with energy dispersive spectrometry (EDS). The chemical valence of the Cr element was analyzed using x-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS, AXIS SUPRA, Kratos, UK). The product species of Cr generated in the culture supernatant was examined using x-band electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR, EMXplus, Bruker, Germany) according to previous literature (Puzon et al., 2005).

2.5. Genomic DNA sequencing, assembly, and annotation

The draft genome sequencing of strain PY14 was completed by Shanghai Majorbio Bio-pharm Technology Co., Ltd. Genomic DNA was extracted from the collected cells of logarithmic growth using the Genomic DNA Purification Kit (Thermo ScientificTM). The harvested DNA was detected by agarose gel electrophoresis and quantified by a Quantus Fluorometer. The library was constructed and sequenced using the Illumina Hiseq×10 platform, and the pair-end reads were assembled using SOAPdenovo software (Version 2.05). GeneMarkS software (Version 4.3) was used to predict the coding sequence (CDS). The predicted CDSs were translated and used to search against the NR (Non-Redundant Protein Sequence), KEGG (Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes), COG (Clusters of Orthologous Groups), and Swiss-Prot databases. The annotated draft genome has been submitted to GenBank with accession JASWER000000000, and the version described in this article is version JASWER000000000.1. The raw fastq reads were deposited at the NCBI SRA under accession number PRJNA982973.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Influence of environmental factors on aqueous Cr(VI) removal

3.1.1. Initial pH

The environmental pH is an important factor that affects the existing form of Cr(VI) and the metabolic activity of bacteria. The effect of the initial pH value of LB medium on the Cr(VI) removal by the basophilic Exiguobacterium sp. PY14 was first investigated (Figure 1). Under both aerobic and anaerobic conditions, the removal of aqueous Cr(VI) was almost devitalized in the acidic medium, reaching its maximum at an initial pH of 8.0 and then decreasing with a further increase in pH value. The results showed that the strain PY14 exhibited the best removal ability of Cr(VI) in a slightly alkaline environment where the highest density of bacterial cells was obtained (Supplementary Figure S1), regardless of aerobic or anaerobic conditions. After 96 h of reaction, the pH of the medium decreased slightly (Supplementary Figure S1), probably due to the production of a small number of protons during the bacterial metabolism and the relatively weak buffering capacity of the LB medium. This observation was consistent with some previous reports (Mohapatra et al., 2017; Das et al., 2021). Given the fact that the chromate (CrO_4^{2-}) is the dominant species in an aqueous environment during the tested pH range (Jobby et al., 2018), the observed difference in Cr(VI) removal capacity was suggested to be attributed to the change in bacterial metabolism and protein activity depending on pH value. A high pH value could enhance the electrostatic repulsion between the bacterial surface and the negatively charged form of Cr(VI) (Yaashikaa et al., 2019), which also contributed to the reduced ability at pH over 9.0. In addition, it was obvious that the Cr(VI) removal capacity under anaerobic conditions was much higher than that under aerobic conditions. However, the density of bacterial cells under the former condition was significantly lower than that under the latter condition. Specifically, at the optimum pH, 50 mg/L of Cr(VI) was completely removed within 144 h under anaerobic conditions, while only 58% of that was removed under aerobic conditions. The reasons why the anaerobic condition is better for Cr(VI) removal has been discussed in the following section.





3.1.2. Initial Cr(VI) concentration

The influence of the initial Cr(VI) concentration from 20 to 200 mg/L, below the detected minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of 400 mg/L, on the removal capacity was subsequently analyzed. Under both aerobic and anaerobic conditions (Figure 2), the Cr(VI) removal percentage decreased with an increase in the initial concentration during the 96-h test period. Meanwhile, the values of rate constants derived from the plots of $\ln(C/C_0)$ with time also decreased significantly (Supplementary Figure S2). This was suggested to be attributable to the toxicity of Cr(VI) on this strain (Ramli et al., 2023). The inhibition of Cr(VI) removal ability at the high Cr(VI) concentration was not uncommon (Chen et al., 2021; Das et al., 2021; Huang et al., 2021b). In addition, 20 mg/L of Cr(VI) was removed completely from the culture supernatant within 24 h under anaerobic conditions, while only 57% of that

was removed under aerobic conditions. The removal percentage for 50 mg/L of Cr(VI) within 96 h was approximately 82% under anaerobic conditions but only 51% under aerobic conditions. The value of the rate constant for 50 mg/L of Cr(VI) under anaerobic conditions was $1.82 \times 10^{-2} h^{-1}$, which was approximately 3.3fold of that under aerobic conditions (Supplementary Figure S2). These results further proved that the Cr(VI) removal ability of PY14 under anaerobic conditions was better than that under aerobic conditions. Similar phenomena have been found in some other facultative anaerobic bacteria capable of Cr(VI) reduction, such as *Pannonibacter phragmitetus* LSSE-09 (Xu et al., 2012) and *Sporosarcina saromensis* W5 (Huang et al., 2021b). To the best of our knowledge, the reduction potential of Cr(VI) is approximately 1.33 V (vs. a normal hydrogen electrode), which is higher than that of oxygen. Therefore, the reduction of Cr(VI) is thermodynamically





feasible in the presence of oxygen, which explains why PY14 could remove Cr(VI) through bio-reduction under aerobic conditions. Of course, under such conditions, PY14 still used oxygen as an electron acceptor for aerobic respiration, thus competing with Cr(VI) for electrons. However, under anaerobic conditions, the facultative anaerobic bacteria with Cr(VI) reduction ability, including strain PY14, survived with Cr(VI) as a preferred electron acceptor, thus resulting in rapid reductive removal of Cr(VI). Given the appropriate removal rate, the initial Cr(VI) concentration of 50 mg/L was used for subsequent experiments.

3.1.3. Co-existing metal ions

Considering that various heavy metal ions are usually coexisting in natural environments, the effects of several common metal ions, including Pb(II), Ag(I), Cu(II), Fe(III), Zn(II), and Cd(II), on the bacterial removal of Cr(VI) were then analyzed (Figure 3). Although their impact degrees were different, the influence trend of any certain kind of co-existing metal ions was coincidental under both aerobic and anaerobic conditions. Evidently, the co-existence of either Cu(II) or Fe(III) greatly enhanced the Cr(VI) removal capacity of PY14. Almost a complete removal of Cr(VI) was observed in the group with the addition of either Cu(II) or Fe(III), while only approximately 82% of Cr(VI) was removed in control under anaerobic conditions. Similarly, the Cr(VI) removal amount increased by approximately twice after the addition of either Cu(II) or Fe(III) under aerobic conditions. As reported, Cu(II) can be used as a prosthetic group for various enzymes (e.g., reductases) and is an essential component in the electron transport chain (Huang et al., 2021b). For example,



Cu(II) was proven to nonspecifically bind chromate reductase NfoR from *Staphylococcus aureus* sp. LZ-01, leading to improved Cr(VI) reduction (Han et al., 2021). Moreover, Cu(II) can help the survival of bacteria by increasing the activity of antioxidant enzymes (Chen et al., 2021). The invigorating effect of Fe(III) was suggested by the production of a Fe(III)/F(II) redox couple by PY14 (an iron-reducing bacteria demonstrated in our previous study), which accelerated the electron transfer for Cr(VI) reduction (Huang et al., 2021a). On the contrary, Pb(II), Zn(II), Cd(II), and Ag(I) significantly inhibited the ability of this strain to remove Cr(VI), and the suppression became stronger successively. The inhibition of these heavy metal ions has also been reported in many other Cr(VI)-reducing bacteria (Banerjee et al., 2017; Zhu et al., 2019; Chen et al., 2021; Das et al., 2021; Huang et al., 2021b) because they either disrupted reductase activity or interfered with DNA repair and metabolic pathways.

3.1.4. Organic electron shuttles

Given the proven extracellularly respiratory characteristic of PY14 (Tang et al., 2023), several common organics with electron-shuttling functions, including riboflavin, humic acid, and AQDS, were selected to investigate their effect on Cr(VI) removal. Compared with the group using PY14 alone, the group supplemented with AQDS at a final concentration of $10\,\mu\mathrm{M}$ achieved a distinguishable improvement in the Cr(VI) removal capacity under aerobic conditions (Figure 4A). Meanwhile, the enhancement effect of adding either riboflavin or humic acid was relatively slight, which might imply that the strain had a preference for different electron shuttles under a certain condition (Rahman and Thomas, 2021). However, it was noteworthy that the addition of these three electron shuttles significantly improved the removal capacity of this strain under anaerobic conditions (Figure 4B). Particularly, in the presence of these electron shuttles, PY14 was able to remove almost 50 mg/L of Cr(VI) within 48 h, compared with 57% when it was alone. The observation that electron shuttles significantly promoted the bacterial removal of Cr(VI), especially under anaerobic conditions, has been reported in diverse Cr(VI)-reducing bacteria, such as Escherichia coli (Guo et al., 2012), Shewanella oneidensis (Meng et al., 2018), Geobacter sulfurreducens (He et al., 2019), Aeromonas hydrophila (Huang et al., 2019), Cellulomonas sp. (Field et al., 2013), and Ochrobactrum intermedium (Kavita and Keharia, 2012), although the degree of enhancement was largely dependent on bacterial species and electron shuttle type. Therefore, the electron-shuttling organics existing in natural environments are expected to in situ enhance the ability of PY14 to remediate Cr(VI) pollution.

3.2. Distribution and speciation of Cr(VI) reduction products

The distribution and speciation of Cr during the removal process were investigated. Under both aerobic and anaerobic conditions, the proportion of Cr(VI) in the culture supernatant decreased gradually with reaction time, while that of Cr(III) increased significantly (Figures 5A, B). The result substantially proved that the reduction of Cr(VI) into Cr(III) by PY14 in the aqueous medium greatly promoted the toxic Cr(VI) removal. The EPR spectrum of culture supernatant after 144 h of reaction showed a broad peak at a g-factor of 1.93 with a magnetic field distance of 42.06 mT (Figure 5C), which was consistent with the characteristic peak of soluble organo-Cr(III) complexes reported previously (Puzon et al., 2005; Huang et al., 2019). On the contrary, the percentage of Cr existing in the cell precipitates under aerobic and anaerobic conditions was approximately only 9 and 3%, respectively, after 144 h of reaction. It was positively correlated with the density of bacterial cells under the two conditions and indicated that the biosorption by bacterial cells contributed little to the Cr(VI) removal. The low Cr content related to cell precipitates was also found for other reported Gram-positive bacteria that typically have a compact and simple cell wall, such as Lysinibacillus sp. HST-98 (Chen et al., 2021). Meanwhile, the EDS analysis (Figure 5D) also showed that the content of Cr element on the surface of PY14 cells was very low, although the element mapping image exhibited a uniform distribution of Cr element in the cell precipitates (Supplementary Figure S3). In addition, the SEM image (Figure 5E) showed the ellipsoidal or short rod-shaped cells of PY14, which was in agreement with our previous finding that the young cells of this train were rod-shaped and the old cells were nearly spherical (Tang et al., 2023). Then, the collected cell precipitates were analyzed by XPS after vacuum freeze-drying. The XPS spectra (Figure 5F) showed a weak signal of Cr 2p at a binding energy of 575 eV, which was too weak to be used to distinguish its chemical valence state (Figure 5G), further indicating a very small amount of Cr element existing in the cell precipitates. Taken together, these results suggested that organo-Cr(III) complexes were the dominating final product of Cr(VI) reduction by PY14. The formation of organo-Cr(III) complexes with less toxicity during bacterial Cr(VI) reduction was common (Li et al., 2008; Dogan et al., 2011; Huang et al., 2019, 2021a), especially in small-molecule organic-rich matrixes such as LB medium, which could be an important survival strategy for bacteria in Cr(VI)contaminated environments.

3.3. Contribution of cell components to Cr(VI) reduction

To determine the mechanism of Cr(VI) reduction by PY14, the contributions of culture supernatant, vigorous, and denatured cells were compared. After the bacterial cells were cultured to the logarithmic growth stage, the bacterial cells were collected by centrifugation as resting cells. As shown in Figure 6A, the resting cells resuspended in fresh LB medium of equal volume removed more than 95% of Cr(VI) at an initial concentration of 20 mg/L within 24 h under both aerobic and anaerobic conditions, and yet, the fresh LB medium and the culture supernatant had little removal capacity. In addition, after heat killing and SDS denaturation, the resting cells almost lost their ability to remove Cr(VI). This result indicated that the reduction of Cr(VI) was mainly attributed to the cells rather than the culture supernatant, although it has been previously confirmed that the reduction products existed in the form of organo-Cr(III) complexes in the culture supernatant. This further excluded cell adsorption as a significant approach for Cr(VI) removal. Then, the abilities of different cell components, including cytoplasm and cell membrane proteins, in Cr(VI) reduction were compared in 0.01M PBS buffer with the addition of 1 mM NADH as electron donors (Figure 6B). Expectedly, the resting cells showed a distinct ability to reduce Cr(VI) at an intimal concentration of 5 mg/L in comparison to the control. After the digestion of out-membrane proteins by proteinase K (Long et al., 2022), the cells retained a similar Cr(VI)-reducing capability, suggesting the ignorable effect of out-membrane proteins on Cr(VI) reduction. However, after treatment with lysozyme, the Cr(VI)-reducing capability increased significantly, indicating that the leakage of the cytoplasm could promote the reductive removal of Cr(VI).

Furthermore, after ultrasonic fragmentation of the resting cells, the cytoplasmic fraction was collected through centrifugation for the Cr(VI)-reducing test. It was found that the cytoplasmic fraction exhibited a much higher capacity for Cr(VI) reduction



than the resting cells, indicating the significant contribution of the cytoplasmic fraction to Cr(VI) reduction. However, the residual cell insoluble fraction had almost no Cr(VI)-reducing ability (Figure 6B), which further suggested that the cell membrane components were not involved in Cr(VI) reduction. This view that the cytoplasm was the primary site for Cr(VI) reduction was also supported by previous literature on other strains of *Exiguobacterium* species (Alam and Malik, 2008; Das et al., 2021). Taken together, intracellular reductases, rather than extracellular or membrane-bound reductases, were the main participants in the biotransformation of highly toxic Cr(VI) into less toxic Cr(III).

3.4. Genes related to Cr(VI) metabolism

The draft genome of strain PY14 was sequenced to determine the possible genes related to Cr(VI) metabolism. The results showed that the estimated genome size was 2,997,078 base pairs (bp), with a G+C content of 51.58%. The reads were assembled into 50 contigs and 33 scaffolds, with a sequencing coverage of 473 fold. The N₅₀ length of the contigs was 339,536 bp, and 3,092 CDSs were predicted in the draft genome sequences. The CDSs were used for homology analysis and annotation with the NR, KEGG, COG, and Swiss-Prot databases; the genes with chromate reduction and transport functions are shown in Table 1. Two putative genes, chrA and chrR, were annotated to encode Chr family proteins that were reported to be involved in bacterial chromate metabolism. Protein ChrA was identified as a transporter responsible for chromate efflux in P. aeruginosa and Cupriavidus metallidurans (Ramírez-Díaz et al., 2008), which endowed the bacteria with chromate resistance. Owing to the structural similarity between chromate oxyanion and sulfate oxyanion, the sulfate transporter was considered the potential carrier responsible for the entry of chromate into bacterial cells (Salnikow and Zhitkovich, 2008). Two genes (accession: MDL5376491.1 and MDL5376674.1) are annotated as sulfate transporter coding genes, which might take charge of the chromatin influx into PY14 cells. Since ChrR was identified as a Class I chromate reductase in several bacteria, such as P. putida (Park et al., 2000; Ackerley et al., 2004b), the existence of the chrR gene in the genome of PY14 suggested its contribution to chromate reduction. The gene annotated as nfrA1, belonging to nfsA, encodes oxygen-insensitive NADPH nitroreductase. NfsA was previously reported as a chromate reducer in E. coli (Ackerley et al., 2004a). In addition, three other genes with accession numbers MDL5375555.1, MDL5376943.1, and MDL5377576.1, respectively, were also annotated to encode nitroreductase family proteins, though it was unclear whether they were involved in chromium reduction in PY14. The gene acpD encoding FMN-dependent NADH-azoreductase was also identified in the genome of PY14, which showed a high identity to AzoR (another chromate reductase found in E. coli) (Robins et al., 2013). These results demonstrated the existence of multiple putative chromate reductases and transporters in strain PY14, indicating its versatile intracellular Cr(VI) reduction mechanism.

4. Conclusion

In summary, several significant factors that influence the reductive removal of aqueous Cr(VI) by a Gram-positive facultative anaerobic Exiguobacterium sp. PY14, and the reduction mechanism were elucidated under both aerobic and anaerobic conditions. This strain, PY14, showed the strongest Cr(VI) removal ability at a moderately alkaline pH of 8.0 because of its basophilic growth. The increase in the initial concentration of Cr(VI) depressed the removal percentage and rate due to its biotoxicity to PY14 cells. The removal rate constant for 50 mg/L of Cr(VI) under anaerobic conditions $(1.82 \times 10^{-2} h^{-1})$ was 3.3 times that under aerobic conditions, proving that PY14 had superior Cr(VI) removal ability under the former condition. The co-existence of Fe(III) and Cu(II) significantly promoted the removal of Cr(VI), while the effects of Ag(I), Pb(II), Zn(II), and Cd(II) were quite contrary. In addition, electron-shuttling organics, such as riboflavin, humic acid, and AQDS, promoted the Cr(VI) removal to varying degrees, and the effect was more significant under anaerobic conditions. By analyzing the contribution of different cell components and the speciation of products, the removal of aqueous Cr(VI) by

Gene accession	Putative name	Predictive function	Identities
MDL5376476.1	chrA	Chromate efflux transporter; chromate transporter (Exiguobacterium alkaliphilum)	365/394 (93%)
MDL5375720.1	chrR	Chromate reductase, NADPH-dependent FMN reductase (Exiguobacterium sp. AT1b)	153/185 (83%)
MDL5376491.1	-	SulP family inorganic anion transporter (Exiguobacterium alkaliphilum)	465/493 (94%)
MDL5376674.1	-	SulP family inorganic anion transporter (<i>Exiguobacterium</i> sp. AT1b)	440/514 (86%)
MDL5375493.1	nfrA1	Oxygen-insensitive NADPH nitroreductase (Exiguobacterium alkaliphilum)	212/249 (85%)
MDL5377051.1	acpD	FMN-dependent NADH-azoreductase; NAD(P)H-dependent oxidoreductase (<i>Exiguobacterium alkaliphilum</i>)	197/211 (93%)

TABLE 1 Putative genes related to Cr(VI) metabolism in the genome of strain PY14.

PY14 was demonstrated to be attributed to the reduction in the cytoplasm rather than outside the cells. However, the end products existed in the aqueous solution in the form of an organic-Cr(III) complexes. Several possible genes involved in Cr(VI) metabolism were identified through genomic sequencing, alignment, and annotation. Particularly, the genes (*chrR* and *chrA*) that encode well-known Chr family proteins responsible for chromate reduction and transport existed in the genome of PY14. Several genes also encode nitroreductase, azoreductase, and sulfate transporters, which have also been documented to be involved in Cr(VI) metabolism. The progress of this study in the roles of crucial influencing factors and reduction mechanisms will be conducive to promoting the potential application of *Exiguobacterium* sp. PY14 with high adaptability to environmental stress in the removal of Cr(VI) contamination in the actual environment.

Data availability statement

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found in the article/Supplementary material.

Author contributions

YH: formal analysis, study design, data acquisition, funding acquisition, and writing-original draft. JT: study design, method development, data acquisition, and writing-editing. BZ: formal analysis, data acquisition, and writing editing. Z-EL and HN: study design, method development, and writing-editing. XF: study design and writing-editing. LZ: study design, data acquisition, funding acquisition, project management, supervision, and

writing-drafting and editing. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submission.

Funding

This study was supported financially by the Natural Science Foundation of Jiangxi Province, China (no. 20202ACB215001) and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (nos. 32260025 and 31900109).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2023. 1242410/full#supplementary-material

References

Ackerley, D. F., Gonzalez, C. F., Keyhan, M., Blake, R., and Matin, A. (2004a). Mechanism of chromate reduction by the *Escherichia coli* protein, NfsA, and the role of different chromate reductases in minimizing oxidative stress during chromate reduction. *Environ. Microbiol.* 6, 851–860. doi: 10.1111/j.1462-2920.2004.00639.x

Ackerley, D. F., Gonzalez, C. F., Park, C. H., Blake, R., Keyhan, M., Matin, A., et al. (2004b). Chromate-reducing properties of soluble flavoproteins from

Pseudomonas putida and Escherichia coli. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 70, 873–882. doi: 10.1128/AEM.70.2.873-882.2004

Alam, M. Z., and Malik, A. (2008). Chromate resistance, transport and bioreduction by *Exiguobacterium* sp. ZM-2 isolated from agricultural soil irrigated with tannery effluent. *J. Basic Microbiol.* 48, 416–420. doi: 10.1002/jobm.2008 00046

Banerjee, S., Joshi, S. R., Mandal, T., and Halder, G. (2017). Insight into Cr⁶⁺ reduction efficiency of *Rhodococcus erythropolis* isolated from coalmine waste water. *Chemosphere* 167, 269–281. doi: 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2016.10.012

Bencheikh-Latmani, R., Williams Sarah, M., Haucke, L., Criddle Craig, S., Wu, L., Zhou, J., et al. (2005). Global transcriptional profiling of *Shewanella oneidensis* MR-1 during Cr(VI) and U(VI) reduction. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 71, 7453–7460. doi: 10.1128/AEM.71.11.7453-7460.2005

Bharti, N., Yadav, D., Barnawal, D., Maji, D., and Kalra, A. (2013). *Exiguobacterium* oxidotolerans, a halotolerant plant growth promoting rhizobacteria, improves yield and content of secondary metabolites in *Bacopa monnieri* (L.) Pennell under primary and secondary salt stress. *World J. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 29, 379–387. doi: 10.1007/s11274-012-1192-1

Chen, J., Li, X., Gan, L., Jiang, G., Zhang, R., Xu, Z., et al. (2021). Mechanism of Cr(VI) reduction by *Lysinibacillus* sp. HST-98, a newly isolated Cr (VI)-reducing strain. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* 28, 66121–66132. doi: 10.1007/s11356-021-15424-x

Das, S., Chandra Behera, B., Mohapatra, R. K., Pradhan, B., Sudarshan, M., Chakraborty, A., et al. (2021). Reduction of hexavalent chromium by *Exiguobacterium mexicanum* isolated from chromite mines soil. *Chemosphere* 282, 131135. doi: 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2021.131135

DesMarais, T. L., and Costa, M. (2019). Mechanisms of chromium-induced toxicity. *Curr. Opinion Toxicol.* 14, 1–7. doi: 10.1016/j.cotox.2019.05.003

Dogan, N. M., Kantar, C., Gulcan, S., Dodge, C. J., Yilmaz, B. C., Mazmanci, M. A., et al. (2011). Chromium(VI) bioremoval by *Pseudomonas* bacteria: role of microbial exudates for natural attenuation and biotreatment of Cr(VI) contamination. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 45, 2278–2285. doi: 10.1021/es102095t

Field, E. K., Gerlach, R., Viamajala, S., Jennings, L. K., Peyton, B. M., Apel, W. A., et al. (2013). Hexavalent chromium reduction by *Cellulomonas* sp. strain ES6: the influence of carbon source, iron minerals, and electron shuttling compounds. *Biodegradation* 24, 437–450. doi: 10.1007/s10532-012-9600-7

Gu, T., Niu, W., Wu, Y., Huo, L., Ahmad, Z., Deng, M., et al. (2022). The characteristics of molasses-based reductive removal of Cr(VI) from groundwater by *Bacillus sp. J. Environ. Chem. Eng.* 10, 108595. doi: 10.1016/j.jece.2022.108595

Guo, J., Lian, J., Xu, Z., Xi, Z., Yang, J., Jefferson, W., et al. (2012). Reduction of Cr(VI) by *Escherichia coli* BL21 in the presence of redox mediators. *Biores. Technol.* 123, 713–716. doi: 10.1016/j.biortech.2012.07.090

Han, H., Zheng, Y., Zhou, T., Liu, P., and Li, X. (2021). Cu(II) nonspecifically binding chromate reductase NfoR promotes Cr(VI) reduction. *Environ. Microbiol.* 23, 415–430. doi: 10.1111/1462-2920.15329

He, Y., Gong, Y., Su, Y., Zhang, Y., and Zhou, X. (2019). Bioremediation of Cr (VI) contaminated groundwater by *Geobacter sulfurreducens*: Environmental factors and electron transfer flow studies. *Chemosphere* 221, 793–801. doi: 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2019.01.039

Huang, X. N., Min, D., Liu, D-F., Cheng, L., Qian, C., and Li, W-W., et al. (2019). Formation mechanism of organo-chromium (III) complexes from bioreduction of chromium (VI) by *Aeromonas hydrophila. Environ. Int.* 129, 86–94. doi: 10.1016/j.envint.2019.05.016

Huang, Y., Zeng, Q., Hu, L., Xiong, D., Zhong, H., He, Z., et al. (2021a). Column study of enhanced Cr(VI) removal and removal mechanisms by *Sporosarcina saromensis* W5 assisted bio-permeable reactive barrier. *J. Hazardous Mat.* 405, 124115. doi: 10.1016/j.jhazmat.2020.124115

Huang, Y., Zeng, Q., Hu, L., Zhong, H., and He, Z. (2021b). Bioreduction performances and mechanisms of Cr(VI) by *Sporosarcina saromensis* W5, a novel Cr(VI)-reducing facultative anaerobic bacteria. *J. Hazardous Mat.* 413, 125411. doi: 10.1016/j.jhazmat.2021.125411

IARC (1980). Chromium and Chromium Compounds. IARC Monogr Eval Carcinog Risk Chem Hum. Lyon: IARC.

Jiang, B., Gong, Y., Gao, J., Sun, T., Liu, Y., Oturan, N., et al. (2019). The reduction of Cr(VI) to Cr(III) mediated by environmentally relevant carboxylic acids: state-of-the-art and perspectives. *J. Hazardous Mat.* 365, 205–226. doi: 10.1016/j.jhazmat.2018.10.070

Jobby, R., Jha, P., Yadav, A. K., and Desai, N. (2018). Biosorption and biotransformation of hexavalent chromium Cr(VI): a comprehensive review. *Chemosphere* 207, 255–266. doi: 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2018.05.050

Kang, C., Wu, P., Li, L., Yu, L., Ruan, B., Gong, B., et al. (2017). Cr(VI) reduction and Cr(III) immobilization by resting cells of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* CCTCC AB93066: spectroscopic, microscopic, and mass balance analysis. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* 24, 5949–5963. doi: 10.1007/s11356-016-8356-8

Kasana, R. C., and Pandey, C. B. (2018). *Exiguobacterium*: an overview of a versatile genus with potential in industry and agriculture. *Critic. Rev. Biotechnol.* 38, 141–156. doi: 10.1080/07388551.2017.1312273

Kavita, B., and Keharia, H. (2012). Reduction of hexavalent chromium by *Ochrobactrum intermedium* BCR400 isolated from a chromium-contaminated soil. *Biotech* 2, 79–87. doi: 10.1007/s13205-011-0038-0

Kotas, J., and Stasicka, Z. (2000). Chromium occurrence in the environment and methods of its speciation. *Environ. Pollut.* 107, 263–283. doi: 10.1016/S0269-7491(99)00168-2

Li, B., Pan, D., Zheng, J., Cheng, Y., Ma, X., Huang, F., et al. (2008). Microscopic investigations of the Cr(VI) uptake mechanism of living *Ochrobactrum anthropi*. *Langmuir* 24, 9630–9635. doi: 10.1021/la801851h

Long, X., Zhang, H., Cao, X., Wang, H., Shimokawa, K., Chi, H., et al. (2022). Biogenic Mn_2O_3 via the redox of *Shewanella oneidensis* MR-1 for peroxymonosulfate advanced oxidation. *Sep. Purif. Technol.* 303, 122144. doi: 10.1016/j.seppur.2022.122144

Meng, Y., Zhao, Z., Burgos, W. D., Li, Y., Zhang, B., Wang, Y., et al. (2018). Iron(III) minerals and anthraquinone-2,6-disulfonate (AQDS) synergistically enhance bioreduction of hexavalent chromium by *Shewanella oneidensis* MR-1. *Sci. Total Environ*. 641, 591–598. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.05.331

Mohapatra, R. K., Parhi, P. K., Thatoi, H., and Panda, C. R. (2017). Bioreduction of hexavalent chromium by *Exiguobacterium indicum* strain MW1 isolated from marine water of Paradip Port, Odisha, India. *Chem. Ecol.* 33, 114–130. doi: 10.1080/02757540.2016.1275586

Okeke, B. C. (2008). Bioremoval of hexavalent chromium from water by a salt tolerant bacterium, *Exiguobacterium* sp GS1. *J. Ind. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 35, 1571–1579. doi: 10.1007/s10295-008-0399-5

Park, C. H., Keyhan, M., Wielinga, B., Fendorf, S., and Matin, A. (2000). Purification to homogeneity and characterization of a novel *Pseudomonas putida* chromate reductase. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 66, 1788–1795. doi:10.1128/AEM.66.5.1788-1795.2000

Parker, D., Borer, P., and Bernier-Latmani, R. (2011). The response of *Shewanella oneidensis* MR-1 to Cr(III) toxicity differs from that to Cr(VI). *Front. Microbiol.* 2, 223. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2011.00223

Peng, H., and Guo, J. (2020). Removal of chromium from wastewater by membrane filtration, chemical precipitation, ion exchange, adsorption electrocoagulation, electrochemical reduction, electrodialysis, electrodeionization, photocatalysis and nanotechnology: a review. *Environ. Chem. Lett.* 18, 2055–2068. doi: 10.1007/s10311-020-01058-x

Puzon, G. J., Roberts, A. G., Kramer, D. M., and Xun, L. (2005). Formation of soluble organo-chromium(III) complexes after chromate reduction in the presence of cellular organics. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 39, 2811–2817. doi: 10.1021/es048967g

Rahman, Z., and Thomas, L. (2021). Chemical-assisted microbially mediated chromium Cr(VI) reduction under the influence of various electron donors, redox mediators, and other additives: An outlook on enhanced Cr(VI) removal. *Front. Microbiol.* 11, 619766. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2020.619766

Ramírez-Díaz, M. I., Díaz-Pérez, C., Vargas, E., Riveros-Rosas, H., Campos-García, J., Cervantes, C., et al. (2008). Mechanisms of bacterial resistance to chromium compounds. *BioMetals* 21, 321–332. doi: 10.1007/s10534-007-9121-8

Ramli, N. N., Othman, A. R., Kurniawan, S. B., Abdullah, S. R. S., and Abu Hasan, H. (2023). Metabolic pathway of Cr(VI) reduction by bacteria: a review. *Microbiol. Res.* 268, 127288. doi: 10.1016/j.micres.2022.127288

Robins, K. J., Hooks, D. O., Rehm, B. H. A., and Ackerley, D. F. (2013). *Escherichia coli* NemA is an efficient chromate reductase that can be biologically immobilized to provide a cell free system for remediation of hexavalent chromium. *PloS ONE* 8, e59200. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0059200

Salnikow, K., and Zhitkovich, A. (2008). Genetic and epigenetic mechanisms in metal carcinogenesis and cocarcinogenesis: nickel, arsenic, and chromium. *Chem. Res. Toxicol.* 21, 28–44. doi: 10.1021/tx700198a

Selvakumar, G., Kundu, S., Joshi, P., Nazim, S., Gupta, A. D., Gupta, H. S., et al. (2010). Growth promotion of wheat seedlings by *Exiguobacterium acetylicum* 1P (MTCC 8707) a cold tolerant bacterial strain from the Uttarakhand Himalayas. *Indian J. Microbiol.* 50, 50–56. doi: 10.1007/s12088-009-0024-y

Sharma, P., Singh, S. P., Parakh, S. K., and Tong, Y. W. (2022). Health hazards of hexavalent chromium (Cr (VI)) and its microbial reduction. *Bioengineered* 13, 4923–4938. doi: 10.1080/21655979.2022.2037273

Tang, J., Zhu, Q., Peng, J., Huang, Y., Ni, H., Long, Z., et al. (2023). Isolation of extracellular respiratory strain Exiguobacterium sp. PY14 and its dissimilatory iron reduction characteristic. *Chin. J. Appl. Environ. Biol.* 29, doi: 10.19675/j.cnki.1006-687x.2022.10036

Ukhurebor, K. E., Aigbe, U. O., Onyancha, R. B., Nwankwo, W., Osibote, O. A., Paumo, H. K., et al. (2021). Effect of hexavalent chromium on the environment and removal techniques: a review. *J. Environ. Manage.* 280, 111809. doi: 10.1016/j.jenvman.2020.111809

Vishnivetskaya, T. A., Kathariou, S., and Tiedje, J. M. (2009). The *Exiguobacterium* genus: biodiversity and biogeography. *Extremophiles* 13, 541–555. doi: 10.1007/s00792-009-0243-5

Watts, M. P., Khijniak, T. V., Boothman, C., and Lloyd, J. R. (2015). Treatment of alkaline Cr(VI)-contaminated leachate with an alkaliphilic metal-reducing bacterium. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 81, 5511–5518. doi: 10.1128/AEM.00853-15

Xia, S., Song, Z., Jeyakumar, P., Bolan, N., and Wang, H. (2020). Characteristics and applications of biochar for remediating Cr(VI)-contaminated soils and wastewater. *Environ. Geochem. Health* 42, 1543–1567. doi: 10.1007/s10653-019-00445-w

Xia, X., Wu, S., Li, N., Wang, D., Zheng, S., Wang, G., et al. (2018). Novel bacterial selenite reductase CsrF responsible for Se(IV) and Cr(VI) reduction that

produces nanoparticles in Alishewanella sp. WH16-1. J. Hazardous Mat. 342, 499–509. doi: 10.1016/j.jhazmat.2017.08.051

Xu, L., Luo, M., Jiang, C., Wei, X., Kong, P., Liang, X., et al. (2012). In vitro reduction of hexavalent chromium by cytoplasmic fractions of *Pannonibacter phragmitetus* LSSE-09 under aerobic and anaerobic conditions. *Appl. Biochem. Biotechnol.* 166, 933–941. doi: 10.1007/s12010-011-9481-y

Yaashikaa, P. R., Senthil Kumar, P., Mohan Babu, V. P., Kanaka Durga, R., Manivasagan, V., Saranya, K., et al. (2019). Modelling on the removal of Cr(VI) ions from aquatic system using mixed biosorbent (*Pseudomonas stutzeri* and acid treated Banyan tree bark). *J. Mol. Liq.* 276, 362–370. doi: 10.1016/j.molliq.2018. 12.004

Yu, X., Jiang, Y., Huang, H., Shi, J., Wu, K., Zhang, P., et al. (2016). Simultaneous aerobic denitrification and Cr(VI) reduction by *Pseudomonas brassicacearum*

LZ-4 in wastewater. Biores. Technol. 221, 121–129. doi: 10.1016/j.biortech.2016. 09.037

Zheng, C., Yang, Z., Si, M., Zhu, F., Yang, W., Zhao, F., et al. (2021). Application of biochars in the remediation of chromium contamination: Fabrication, mechanisms, and interfering species. *J. Hazardous Mat.* 407, 124376. doi: 10.1016/j.jhazmat.2020.124376

Zhu, W., Yang, Z., Ma, Z., and Chai, L. (2008). Reduction of high concentrations of chromate by *Leucobacter* sp. CRB1 isolated from Changsha, China. *World J. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 24, 991–996. doi: 10.1007/s11274-007-9564-7

Zhu, Y., Yan, J., Xia, L., Zhang, X., and Luo, L. (2019). Mechanisms of Cr(VI) reduction by *Bacillus* sp. CRB-1, a novel Cr(VI)-reducing bacterium isolated from tannery activated sludge. *Ecotoxicol. Environ. Safety* 186, 109792. doi: 10.1016/j.ecoenv.2019.109792