Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Piyush Baindara, University of Missouri, United States

REVIEWED BY Ravinder Kumar, University of Tennessee Health Science Center (UTHSC), United States Jabila Mary Thankaraj Rajam, University of North Texas, United States Ramita Taggar, Institute of Microbial Technology (CSIR), India *CORRESPONDENCE

Zhengjun Xu ⊠ mywildrice@aliyun.com Lihua Li ⊠ lilihua1976@tom.com

These authors have contributed equally to this work and share first authorship

RECEIVED 06 April 2023 ACCEPTED 09 May 2023 PUBLISHED 24 May 2023

CITATION

Zhang Y, Yang Y, Zhang L, Zhang J, Zhou Z, Yang J, Hu Y, Gao X, Chen R, Huang Z, Xu Z and Li L (2023) Antifungal mechanisms of the antagonistic bacterium *Bacillus mojavensis* UTF-33 and its potential as a new biopesticide. *Front. Microbiol.* 14:1201624. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2023.1201624

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Zhang, Yang, Zhang, Zhang, Zhau, Yang, Hu, Gao, Chen, Huang, Xu and Li. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Antifungal mechanisms of the antagonistic bacterium *Bacillus mojavensis* UTF-33 and its potential as a new biopesticide

Yifan Zhang^{1†}, Yanmei Yang^{1†}, Luyi Zhang¹, Jia Zhang¹, Zhanmei Zhou¹, Jinchang Yang², Yu Hu³, Xiaoling Gao¹, Rongjun Chen¹, Zhengjian Huang¹, Zhengjun Xu¹* and Lihua Li¹*

¹Crop Ecophysiology and Cultivation Key Laboratory of Sichuan Province, Chengdu, Sichuan, China, ²Maize Research Institute, Sichuan Agricultural University, Chengdu, Sichuan, China, ³College of Agronomy, Sichuan Agricultural University, Chengdu, Sichuan, China

Biological control has gradually become the dominant means of controlling fungal disease over recent years. In this study, an endophytic strain of UTF-33 was isolated from acid mold (Rumex acetosa L.) leaves. Based on 16S rDNA gene sequence comparison, and biochemical and physiological characteristics, this strain was formally identified as Bacillus mojavensis. Bacillus mojavensis UTF-33 was sensitive to most of the antibiotics tested except neomycin. Moreover, the filtrate fermentation solution of Bacillus mojavensis UTF-33 had a significant inhibitory effect on the growth of rice blast and was used in field evaluation tests, which reduced the infestation of rice blast effectively. Rice treated with filtrate fermentation broth exhibited multiple defense mechanisms in response, including the enhanced expression of disease process-related genes and transcription factor genes, and significantly upregulated the gene expression of titin, salicylic acid pathway-related genes, and H_2O_2 accumulation, in plants; this may directly or indirectly act as an antagonist to pathogenic infestation. Further analysis revealed that the n-butanol crude extract of Bacillus mojavensis UTF-33 could retard or even inhibit conidial germination and prevent the formation of adherent cells both in vitro and in vivo. In addition, the amplification of functional genes for biocontrol using specific primers showed that Bacillus mojavensis UTF-33 expresses genes that can direct the synthesis of bioA, bmyB, fenB, ituD, srfAA and other substances; this information can help us to determine the extraction direction and purification method for inhibitory substances at a later stage. In conclusion, this is the first study to identify Bacillus mojavensis as a potential agent for the control of rice diseases; this strain, and its bioactive substances, have the potential to be developed as biopesticides.

KEYWORDS

antifungal activity, biopesticide, endophyte, Magnaporthe oryzae, lipopeptides

Introduction

Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) is one of the world's major food crops and also serves as a staple food for more than half of the world's population (Zeng et al., 2017; Huang et al., 2021). During its life cycle, rice can be attacked by a variety of microbial pathogens, thus causing huge economic losses to agricultural production while restricting the healthy and stable development of the rice

10.3389/fmicb.2023.1201624

industry. In particular, rice blast has a devastating effect on rice production. Rice blast is a worldwide rice disease caused by the ascomycete fungus (*Magnaporthe oryzae*). The disease affects rice all year round, producing lesions on leaves, leaf necks, culms, culm nodes, spike nodes and spike necks. These lesions cause leaf blast, neck blast, and spike blast and the development of spots. The color and shape of the spots vary depending on environmental conditions and the developmental stage of the plant (Law et al., 2017).

Rice fungus is a filamentous ascomycete fungus that exists in two hybrid types: MAT-1 and MAT-2. This fungus can form sexual substrates called ascospores within 21 days with flask-shaped mycelium carrying a large number of ascospores (Talbot, 2003; Ryder and Talbot, 2015). Rice blast begins when asexual spores land on the surface of rice leaves and attach themselves to the cuticle by releasing an adhesive in the apical spacing of the spores (Hamer et al., 1988). The disease causing process can be divided into the following five steps: conidia formation, budding, attachment cell formation, infestation peg differentiation, mycelial infestation expansion, and disease spot formation (Zhang et al., 2016; Osés-Ruiz et al., 2017; Gupta et al., 2021).

At present, the main control methods for rice blast are divided into three strategies: the selection of resistant rice varieties, chemical control, and biological control. Screening disease-resistant varieties can effectively improve the ability of rice to resist external disturbances, reduce the occurrence of disease, and promote the healthy growth of rice. However, the genetic complexity and diversity of the rice fungus itself leads to differences in its pathogenicity; furthermore, rice can exhibit differences in resistance (Ou, 1980). Furthermore, the fungi responsible for plague are prone to mutation which enables them to overcome the resistance of rice by evolutionary processes (Oerke, 1996). Chemical control is an important means of prevention and control because it is rapid and inexpensive; mizoram, paddy strain, tebuconazole, and tricyclozole are common chemical pesticides (Kunova et al., 2013). However, the annual application of a large number of pesticides has led to an increase in the resistance of rice to plague bacteria. Thus, the resistance of rice is becoming weaker; this, combined with environmental pollution, has caused socio-economic losses, and could eventually lead to the poisoning of humans and animals, and increased mortality.

With the increasing concern for sustainable agricultural development, biopesticides are highly valued for their environmental friendliness, low resistance, low harm to natural enemies of pests, and low risk to human and animal health (Zhang, 2020). Biopesticides involve organisms, or their secondary metabolites, producing antagonistic inhibitory effects on diseases (Samada and Tambunan, 2020). According to their source, biopesticides can be divided into plant-derived pesticides and microbial-derived pesticides. Research has shown that Bacillus can secrete a variety of hydrolytic enzymes, including lipase, protease, and amylase, because of its strong resistance to adversity, thus leading to high levels of resistance to temperature, ultraviolet radiation, and other factors (Fravel, 2005). Moreover, this bacterium has a wide spectrum of inhibitory properties, rapid reproduction, low production costs, a good safety profile, and a wide variety of advantageous properties for biological control. The main species of *Bacillus* used in biological control research are Bacillus subtilis, Bacillus thuringiensis, Bacillus amyloliq uefaciens, and Bacillus polymyxa (Wang et al., 2019; Roy et al., 2021).

In this study, an endophytic strain, named UTF-33, with strong inhibitory effects on rice fungus, was isolated and identified from the leaves of acid mold. The strain was identified by morphological, biochemical, and physiological characterization, and confirmed by 16S rRNA sequence analysis. The drug sensitivity profile of this bacterium was investigated and the effects of its filtrate fermentation solution on the defense mechanism of rice were investigated. The crude extraction of filtered fermentation broth with n-butanol was tested for its inhibitory effects on conidial germination, attachment cell formation, mycelial growth, cell permeability, and the infestation process of *Fusarium oxysporum*. In addition, the effect of antagonistic bacteria on the biological control of rice blast was evaluated in field trials. The detection of active expression genes provided further directions and methods for subsequent extraction and purification. Collectively, these studies confirmed the potential value of strain UTF-33 for development as a biopesticide.

Materials and methods

Isolation of endophytic bacteria from plants

The leaves of fresh and healthy acid mold, clover, hoodia, dong quai, cactus, goosefoot, double-podded cassia, leek, and coronary, were collected and placed in anhydrous ethanol, soaked and disinfected for 2-3 min; the mixture was then poured out and the procedure was repeated 2-3 times. Then, the leaves were placed in 1% sodium hypochlorite solution, soaked for 30s, rinsed with sterile distilled water, dried and grinded by homogenization. After gradient dilution of 10, 10²,10³ and 10⁴ times with sterile distilled water, single colonies were selected and incubated at 28°C for 24-36h on coated PDA (potato dextrose agar) plates on a shaking platform. In order to exclude the non-endogenous bacteria adhering to the surface of plant leaves from interfering with the test results, sterile distilled water from the last rinsing of leaves was collected and plated on PDA, and then plates were incubated for 48 h. The bacterial growth on the surface of the plates was observed to determine whether all the non-endogenous bacteria on the surface of plant leaves had been killed.

Screening of antagonistic bacteria for rice blast

The antifungal activity of endophytic bacteria was investigated using Guy11, a standard strain provided by the Rice Research Institute of Sichuan Agricultural University. First, $5 \,\text{mL}$ of sterilized fermentation broth was filtered by a $0.22 \,\mu\text{m}$ filter and added to 100 mL of cool uncoagulated PDA medium. This was shaken well and used to create a drug-containing plate. Then, we inoculated a rice fungus cake on the drug-containing plate and incubate it in a constant light incubator for 7 days at 28°C with alternating light and dark period. The inhibition rate of each endophytic bacterial filtrate ferment was counted, and the most active endophytic strain was selected as the antagonist UTF-33 as the reference strain for further study. The formula used to calculate inhibition rate was as follows:

Inhibition rate (%) = $[1-(\text{diameter of } M. \text{ oryzae} \text{ in the treatment} group – \text{diameter of } M. \text{ oryzae} \text{ cake in the treatment group})/(\text{diameter} \text{ of } M. \text{ oryzae} \text{ in the control group – diameter of } M. \text{ oryzae} \text{ cake in the} \text{ control group}] \times 100.$

Identification of strain UTF-33

Genomic DNA was extracted from strain UTF-33 with a DNA extraction kit and its 16S rRNA sequence was amplified using universal primers [27F (5-AGAGTTTGATCCTGGCTCAG) and 1492R (5-TACGGCTACCTTGTTACGACGACTT)]. PCR (polymerase chain reaction) was then performed according to previous literature (Reid, 1991). PCR amplicons were sent to Tsingke Biological Technology Company (Chengdu) for sequencing analysis after electrophoretic detection. The 16S rDNA sequences were analyzed by BLAST and a phylogenetic tree was constructed for strain UTF-33 using MEGA 11.1 software.

Antibiotic susceptibility testing

The paper agar diffusion method was used to detect the sensitivity of UTF-33 to various antibiotics. Fresh endophytes were diluted 100 times and applied evenly on the surface of PDA plates. After uniform coating, drug-sensitive tablets containing different antibiotics were placed in the center of the medium, and the plates were placed in an incubator at a constant temperature of 28°C for 24h. Finally, susceptibility was determined by measuring the size of the corresponding inhibition circle according to clinical and laboratory standards (Humphries et al., 2021).

Field trials

A trial was conducted to evaluate the control of UTF-33 filtrate fermentation solution on rice blast in the field at Wenjiang District, Chengdu, Sichuan Province, China (30°680 N, 103°850E). The paddy fields were divided into 1 m² blocks of 15 plots (5 groups of treatments, three replications) with a 40-50 cm interval between each plot. Dewy LTH (Lijiangxintuan) seeds were distributed in the field blocks, covered with film until the rice seedlings grew to 15-20 cm, and were cultivated for approximately 15 days after the film was removed. The leaves of LTH in the field were sprayed with 150 mL of sterile water, LB (Luria-Bertani) medium, carbendazim, UTF-33 bacterial solution, UTF-33 filter fermentation solution, and 1×105 CFU/mL fungus conidia suspension, mixed in equal amounts. All of these solutions contained 0.1% Tween-20. After treatment, the rice was left to grow naturally for 5-7 days, and the disease susceptibility of each treatment group was observed and quantified by the random sampling of each leaf intercepted 5 cm for the number of disease spots.

Defensive gene expression

The dewy LTH seeds were arranged in small pots and placed in a light culture room for 28 days (light culture: 28° C, 16 h; dark culture: 28° C, 8 h) until the three-leaf stage. Then, the plants were sprayed with equal amounts of sterile water, UTF-33 filtered fermentation solution, and 1×10^{5} CFU/mL of fungus conidia suspension, followed by leaf collection at 0, 24, 48, 72 and 96 h, respectively (Chen et al., 2021). Total RNA was extracted by the Trizol method and cDNA fragments were amplified with a Primes RT kit. qRT-PCR (quantitative real-time PCR) was performed using BIO-RAD ligation and the expression

levels of *OsActin* were used as an internal reference for normalization. The primer sequences of the relevant defense genes and the internal reference genes are shown in Table 1.

H_2O_2 accumulation

LTH leaves were treated as described above and leaves were collected at 0, 24, 48, 72 and 96h post-treatment, respectively. Then, we weighed 50 mg of DAB (3,3'-Diaminobenzidine Tetrahydrochloride) powder and dissolved this with a small amount of concentrated hydrochloric acid. The volume was then made up to 100 mL with distilled water to 100 mL and the pH was adjusted to 3.8. Then, the leaves were treated for different times in DAB staining solution, placed under vacuum for 30 min, and then placed in the dark at room temperature for 12h (Daudi and O'Brien, 2012). Next, the staining solution was poured off and the leaves were decolorized with 95% alcohol; during this stage, the alcohol was replaced as many times as necessary until the leaves became transparent. Then, the leaves were examined by stereomicroscopy.

Conidial germination testing and the formation of attachment cells

Conidia were inoculated onto CM (complete medium) plates with Guy11, incubated at 25°C for 7–11 days with alternating light and

TABLE 1	The related	defense	genes	detected	in this	study	and t	he	primers	
used for	fluorescent	quantita	tive PC	CR.						

Gene	Primer sequences $(5' \rightarrow 3')$						
Actin	F: GAGTATGATGAGTCGGGTCCAG						
Actin	R: ACACCAACAATCCCAAACAGAG						
DD1.	F: GCTACGTGTTTATGCATGTATGG						
PKIa	R: TCGGATTTATTCTCACCAGCA						
DD 5	F: GGTACAACGTCGCCATGAGCT						
PK5	R: TGGGCAGAAGACGACTCGGTAG						
CEDID	F: CATCGCTCATCATACAAACCA						
CEBIP	R: GGAGATAACAGACATGCTCCAC						
NH 11	F: AAGCGGTTCAAATCTCAAA						
NHI	R: GCCTCCATCGGAAACATA						
MADIZC	F: CTCGTACCACCTCAGAAAC						
MAPK6	R: AAATACAGCCCACAGACC						
IVDC	F: TGCCCAGGACCACATCAGT						
LIP6	R: CCAGGGAAGCCCGGAATAT						
LVD4	F: GCAACTTGGACCTGTTCTGCG						
L1P4	R: CCTGGGCATTGAGGCTTGAGT						
WDVV52	F: ACGGGCAGAAGCAGGTGAAG						
W KK 155	R: CCCTTGTAGACGATCTGGGTGA						
WDKV80	F: GCACCTCACAATGATGGA						
WKK107	R: GGACAGCCTTGCACTTTA						
FRERD	F: GTGTTCGTGTCTGGCTTGG						
LILDÍ	R: CACTTGACTTGGGTGCTTTA						

dark, and then scraped and filtered through non-woven fabric to remove the impurities left by the mycelium and medium. The filtered spore solution was then centrifuged at 10000 r/min for 2 min; then, the supernatant was removed and the procedure was repeated twice. Next, we added an appropriate amount of sterile distilled water to resuspend the spores, mixed thoroughly, and adjusted the concentration to approximately 1×10^5 CFU/mL with a hemocytometer under a microscope (Li et al., 2017). Then, we added n-butanol crude extract and sterile distilled water to the adjusted concentration of spore solution. Then, we took a clean hydrophobic coverslip and added 50 µL of spore solution so that there were approximately 20 drops of spore solution on one coverslip. The coverslips were then placed in a humid petri dish and incubated at 28°C. At 0, 2, 8, 12, 24, and 48 h of incubation, the cover slips were inverted on the slides and sealed with

TABLE 2 Primers used for amplification of functional genes relevant to this study.

Gene	Primer sequences $(5' \rightarrow 3')$	PCR products size (bp)		
1.1.4	F:TTCCACGGCCATTCCTATAC	210		
DIOA	R:TTTGTCCCCTTATCCTGCAC	210		
<u></u>	F:GAAAGAGCGGCTGCTGAAAC	272		
srtAA	R:CCCAATATTGCCGCAATGAC	2/3		
fenD	F:CCTGCAGAAGGAGAAGTGAAG	202		
	R:TGCTCATCGTCTTCCGTTTC	293		
	F:CTATAGTTTGTTGACGGCTC	1 400		
IENB	R:CAGCACTGGTTCTTGTCGCA	1,400		
ituC	F:TTCACTTTTGATCTGGCGAT	575		
	R:CGTCCGGTACATTTTCAC	5/5		
ituD	F:ATGAACAATCTTGCCTTTTTA	1 202		
	R:TTATTTTAAAAATCCGCAATT	1,203		
1 1	F:TGAAACAAAGGCATATGCTC	205		
ынув	R:AAAAATGCATCTGCCGTTCC	393		

petroleum jelly to create mounts for the observation of conidia germination and the formation of attached spores under a Zeiss fluorescence microscope.

The gene detection of active substances in endophytic bacteria

The genomic DNA of the antagonist was used as an amplification template and its genomic DNA sequence. Universal primers were then used to amplify the *sfp*, *ituD*, *fenB*, *bioA*, and *bmyB* genes (Table 2). Following electrophoretic detection, the PCR amplification stock solution was sent to Tsingke Biological Technology Company (Chengdu) for sequencing analysis.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using IBM SPASS Statistics 24.0 software. All values are presented as the mean \pm SD of at least three independent experiments. Statistically significant differences were calculated by Duncan's multiple range test and Student's *t*-test with p < 0.05.

Results

Isolation and screening of endophytic bacteria

Fourteen strains (Figure 1A) of endophytic bacteria were isolated from the leaves of fresh sour mold, clover, hoodia, dong quai, cactus, goosefoot, double-pod deciduous, leek and coronary. The antagonistic bacterium SM-Y4-3, which had the highest inhibition rate of 84.35% (Figure 1B), was obtained as the endophytic bacterium of acid mold leaves following the inhibition test; this was referred to as the antagonistic bacterium UTF-33 for further study.



FIGURE 1

The antifungal activity of isolated endophytes against *Magnaporthe oryzae* (**A**). A, negative control; B, LHB-Y2-1; C, LH-2; D, HYC-Y2-1; E, HD-6; F, M-11; G, DHC-6; H, DHC-12; I, YC-Y1-1; J, HD-3; K, YC-3; L, SYC-Y1-1; M, SYC-Y3-9; N, SM-Y1-1; O, SM-Y4-3. Scalar bar, 10 mm. The antifungal activity of isolated endophytes against *Magnaporthe oryzae* (**B**). Significant differences: **** difference is significant at the 0.0001 level.



Morphological characteristics and identification of strain UTF-33

The antagonistic bacterium UTF-33 grew normally on beef paste peptone medium (light yellow transparent medium), as shown in Figure 2A; colonies were round, milky white and opaque, with folds on the surface and a slight elevation in the middle; the microscopic structure was straight rod with a length of approximately 2–3 microns (Figure 2B). The results of genomic DNA extraction were excellent, with an OD₂₆₀/OD₂₈₀ of 1.83 and a 16S rDNA sequence length of 1,440 bp. The results of electrophoresis are given in Figure 3. Comparative analysis showed that the similarity to *Bacillus mojavensis* strain IFO 15718 was 99.43% (Figure 4); thus, the antagonist UTF-33 was named *Bacillus mojavensis* UTF-33. Figure 5 shows its affinities with other strains.

Antibiotic susceptibility testing

Analysis (Figure 6) showed that strain UTF-33 was sensitive to most antibiotics, including erythromycin $(15\,\mu g)$, tetracycline $(30\,\mu g)$, polytetracycline $(30\,\mu g)$ and minocycline $(30\,\mu g)$ of macrolides; gentamicin $(10\,\mu g)$, kanamycin $(30\,\mu g)$ and bupropion $(30\,\mu g)$ of aminoglycosides; penicillin $(10\,\mu g)$, ampicillin $(10\,\mu g)$, carbenicillin $(100\,\mu g)$, benzocillin $(30\,\mu g)$ and piperacillin $(100\,\mu g)$ of penicillins; cefazolin $(30\,\mu g)$, cefradine $(30\,\mu g)$, cefadroxil $(30\,\mu g)$, cefoperazone $(75\,\mu g)$, ceftriaxone $(30\,\mu g)$, cefuroxime $(30\,\mu g)$ and ceftazidime $(30\,\mu g)$ of cephalosporins. There were clear circles evident on the culture medium; however, there was resistance to neomycin $(30\,\mu g)$ of aminoglycosides, with no clear circles produced.

Field trials

As shown in Figure 7, the incidence of leaf disease and disease spot in the mycorrhizal solution and fermentation filtrate treatment groups were significantly lower than those of the water and LB treatment groups; the difference was smaller than that with the carbendazim



treatment group, in which the inhibitory effect of antagonistic bacteria UTF-33 filtrate fermentation solution was more significant.

Defensive gene expression

The OsActin gene was used as an internal reference to detect the normalized expression of genes related to the rice defense response, which was divided into three main categories: (1) genes related to disease course, including OsPR family genes such as OsPR1a, OsPR10a and OsPR5 (van Loon et al., 2006; Breen et al., 2016); (2) genes related to rice signal transduction, including salicylic acid signaling receptor gene OsNH1, titin signaling receptor genes OsCEBiP, OsLYP6, and OsMAPK signaling pathway genes (Yuan et al., 2007); (3) genes encoding transcription factors, including genes from the OsEREBP

and OsWRKY families (Pandey and Somssich, 2009; Birkenbihl et al., 2017).

Analysis (Figure 8) indicated that all rice-related defense genes were differentially upregulated in response to treatment. The expression of

genes related to disease process, *OsPR1a* and *OsPR5* genes, peaked at 72 h. Compared with the control group, the expression of *OsPR1a* was upregulated by approximately 90-fold while that of *OsPR5* was upregulated by approximately 30-fold. The expression of *OsCEBiP* and

	1 10	20	20	10	EO	60
UTF-33	TCGCGGCTGCTAT	AATGCAAGTC	GAGCGGACAG	ATGGGAGCTT	GCTCCCTGAT	GTTAGCG
11015/18	70	80	9 0	100	110	120
UTF-33 IF015718	GCGGACGGGTGAG	TAACACGTGG	GTAACCTGCC GTAACCTGCC	TGTAAGACTG TGTAAGACTG	GGATAACTCC GGATAACTCC	GGGAAAC
11010/10	130	140	150	160	170	180
UTF-33 IF015718	CGGGGGCTAATACCO CGGGGCTAATACCO	GGATG <mark>G</mark> TTGT GGATG <mark>C</mark> TTGT	ITGAACCGCA ITGAACCGCA	TGGTTCA <mark>G</mark> AC TGGTTCA <mark>A</mark> AC	ATAAAAGGTG ATAAAAGGTG	GCTTCGG GCTTCGG
	190	200	210	220	230	240
UTF-33 IF015718	CTACCACTTACAG CTACCACTTACAG	ATGGACCCGC ATGGACCCGC	GGCGCATTAG GGCGCATTAG	CTAGTTGGTG CTAGTTGGTG	AGGTAA <mark>C</mark> GGC Aggtaa <mark>t</mark> ggc	TCACCAA TCACCAA
	250	260	270	280	290	300
UTF-33 IF015718	GGC <mark>G</mark> ACGATGCGT GGC <mark>A</mark> ACGATGCGT	AGCCGACCTG	AGAGGGGTGAT Agagggtgat	CGGCCACACT CGGCCACACT	GGGACTGAGA GGGACTGAGA	CACGGCC CACGGCC
	370	380	390	400	410	420
UTF-33 IF015718	CAACGCCGCGTGA CAACGCCGCGTGA	GTGATGAAGG' GTGATGAAGG'	TTTTCGGATC TTTTCGGATC	GTAAAGCTCT GTAAAGCTCT	GTTGTTAGGG GTTGTTAGGG	AAGAACA AAGAACA
	430	440	450	460	470	480
UTF-33 IF015718	AGT <mark>GCCGTTCAAA</mark> Agt <mark>Accgttc</mark> gaa	TAGGGCGG <mark>C</mark> A TAGGGCGG <mark>T</mark> A	CCTTGACGGT CCTTGACGGT	ACCTAACCAG	AAAGCCACGG AAAGCCACGG	СТААСТА СТААСТА
	490	500	510	520	530	540
IF015718	CGTGCCAGCAGCC	GCGGTAATAC	GTAGGTGGCA	AGCGTTGTCC	GGAATTATTG GGAATTATTG	GGCGTAA
11000-22	550	560 C C M M T C M M 3 3	570	580	590	600
IF015718	AGGGCTCGCAGGC	GGTTICTTAA GGTT <mark>C</mark> CTTAA	GTCTGATGTG	AAAGCCCCCG	GCTCAACCGG	GGAGGGT
UTF-33	610 CATTGGAAACTGG	620 GGAACTTGAG	630 GCAGAAGAG	640 GAGAGTGGAA	650 TTCCACGTGT	660 AGCGGTG
IF015718	CATTGGAAACTGG	GGAACTTGAG	GCAGAAGAG	GAGAGTGGAA	TTCCACGTGT	AGCGGTG
UTF-33	AAATGCGTAGAGA	TGTGGAGGAA	CACCAGTGGC	GAAGGCGACT	CTCTGGTCTG	TAACTGA
IF015718	AAATGCGTAGAGA	TGTGGAGGAA	CACCAGTGGC 750	GAAGGCGACT	TCTGGTCTG	TAACTGA
UTF-33	CGCTGAGGAGCGA	AAGCGTGGGG	AGCGAACAGG	ATTAGATACC	CTGGTAGTCC	ACGCCGT
1F015718	790	800	810	820	830	8 4 0
UTF-33	AAACGATGAGTGC	TAAGTGTTAG	GGGGTTTCCG	CCCCTTAGTG	CTGCAGCTAA	CGCATTA
11015/18	850	860	870	880	890	900
UTF-33 IF015718	AGCACTCCGCCTG	GGGAGTACGG GGGAGTACGG	TCGCAAGACT TCGCAAGACT	GAAACTCAAA GAAACTCAAA	GGAATTGACG Ggaattgacg	GGGGCCC
	910	920	930	940	950	960
UTF-33	GCACAAGCGGTGG.	AGCATGTGGT	TTAATTCGAA	GCAACGCGAA	GAACCTTACC	AGGTCTT
11015/18	970	980	990	1000	1010	1020
UTF-33	GACATCCTCTGAC	AATCCTAGAG	ATAGGACGTC	CCCTTCGGGGG	GCAGAGTGAC	AGGTGGT
IF015718	GACATCCTCTGAC	AATCCTAGAG	1050	1060	1070	AGGTGGT 1080
UTF-33	GCATGGTTGTCGT	CAGCTCGTGT	CGTGAGATGT	TGGGTTAAGT	CCCGCAACGA	GCGCAAC
11015/18	1090	1100	1110	1120	1130	1140
UTF-33	CCTTGATCTTAGT	TGCCAGCATT	CAGTTGGGCA	CTCTAAGGTG	ACTGCCGGTG	ACAAACC
11015/18	1150	1160	1170	1180	1190	1200
UTF-33	GGAGGAAGGTGGG	GATGACGTCA	AATCATCATG	CCCCTTATGA	CCTGGGCTAC	ACACGTG
11015/18	1210	1220	1230	1240	1250	1260
UTF-33	CTACAATGGACAG	AACAAAGGGC	AGCGAAACCG	CGAGGTTAAG	CCAATCCCAC	AAATCTG
11015/18	1270	1280	1290	1300	1310	1320
UTF-33	TTCTCAGTTCGGA	TCGCAGTCTG	CAACTCGACT	GCGTGAAGCT	GGAATCGCTA	GTAATCG
1101010/10	1330	1340	1350	1360	1370	1380
UTF-33 IF015718	CGGATCAGCATGC	CGCGGTGAAT. CGCGGTGAAT	ACGTTCCCGG	GCCTTGTACA	CACCGCCCGT	CACACCA
	1390	1400	1410	1420	1430	1440

FIGURE 4

Sequence comparison of 16S rDNA.



OsLYP6 peaked at 72h while that of OsLYP4 peaked at 24h. Compared with the control group, the expression of OsCEBiP was up-regulated by approximately 11-fold, OsLYP6 by approximately 3-fold, and OsLYP4 by approximately 15-fold. The expression levels of both the salicylate signaling receptor gene OsNH1 and the OsMAPK6 signaling pathway gene peaked at 24h. Compared with the control group, the expression of OsNH1 was up-regulated by approximately 3-fold while that of OsMAPK6 was up-regulated by approximately 4-fold. The expression of genes encoding transcription factors (OsEREBP, OsWRKY53 and OsWRKY89) peaked at 24h (the expression of OsWRKY89 at 24 and 48h was very similar), while the expression levels of OsEREBP were up-regulated by approximately 7-fold, OsWRKY53 by approximately 2-fold, and OsWRKY89 by approximately 6-fold when compared with the control group. In summary, the antagonistic bacterium UTF-33 promoted the expression of rice defense genes, and the concentrated response was expressed in the early and mid-late stages after rice treatment.

H_2O_2 accumulation

Analysis (Figure 9) showed that the control group showed the deposition of some brown at both 24 and 72 h, thus indicating the presence of H_2O_2 accumulation (as detected by DAB staining); the

most intense DAB staining was observed in leaf material at 72 h, thus showing the maximal accumulation of $\rm H_2O_2.$

Conidial germination testing and the formation of attachment cells

As shown in Figure 10, conidia in the blank group started to generate budding tubes after 2 h; the germination rate was 75.62% and no attached cells were formed. At 8 h, the budding tubes kept elongating, the germination rate was 83.11%, and the attached cell formation rate was 71.79%. At 12h, melanin deposition was clearly evident in the attached cells, and the germination rate and attached cell formation rate were 86.81 and 76.12%, respectively. At 24 and 48 h, the bud tube germination rate in the blank group increased to 87.8 and 92.98%, and the attached cell formation rate was 78.64 and 72.89%. In the treatment group, a very small number of spores were found to have germinated from 8 h; the germination rate remained below 10% until 48 h and some of the conidia were found to be distorted at 24 h and 48 h. Furthermore, the germinated budding tubes were swollen and broken; no normal attached cell structures were produced throughout the entire experiment.



FIGURE 6

Drug susceptibility testing. 1, Penicillin; 2, oxacillin; 3, Ampicillin; 4, Carboxybenzyl penicillin; 5, piperacillin; 6, Cephalexin; 7, Cefazolin; 8, Cefradine; 9, Cefuroxime; 10, Ceftazidime; 11, Ceftriaxone; 12, Cefoperazone; 13, Polytetracycline; 14, Amikacin; 15, Gentamicin; 16, Kanamycin; 17, neomycin; 18, Tetracycline; 19, minocycline; 20, Erythromycin. Scalar bar, 10 mm.

The detection of genes associated with active substances in endophytic bacteria

Figure 11 shows a functional gene amplification fragment electrophoresis map. The gene fragment sizes of *bioA*, *bmyB*, *fenB*, fenD, ituD and srfAA obtained after sequencing analysis were 187 bp, 332 bp, 1,306 bp, 252 bp, 1,108 bp and 187 bp, respectively, corresponding to the number of encoded amino acid residues of 54, 156, 433, 83, 366 and 65, respectively. The nucleic acid sequences of each gene were compared by NCBI; analysis showed that these functional genes had very high and uniform similarity with Bacillus velezensis, Bacillus sp. and Bacillus amyloliquefaciens strains featuring corresponding genes, with 97.24, 99.07, 99.92, 99.58, 99.91 and 98.21% similarity, respectively. The nucleic acid sequence comparison with common strains is shown in Figure 12. The results of amino acid sequence comparison matched with the nucleic acid comparison, but since the expression of genes in different strains differed to some extent, the strains with the highest similarity and their corresponding proteins were selected to generate sequence comparison maps (Figure 13). The bioA, bmyB, fenB, fenD, ituD and srfAA genes corresponded to adenosylmethionine - 8-amino-7-oxononanoate transaminase in *Bacillus velezensis*, bmyB in *Bacillus subtilis*, fengycin synthetase in *Bacillus subtilis*, FenD in *Bacillus subtilis*, bacillomycin D biosynthesis malonyl-CoA transacylase BamD in *Bacillus velezensis*, and surfactin non-ribosomal peptide synthetase SrfAA in *Bacillus velezensis*, with similarities of 98.15, 99.02, 99.77, 91.57, 98.91 and 97.06%, respectively. In summary, the functional genes involved in the synthesis of lipopeptides were successfully detected in the antagonist bacterium UTF-33.

Discussion

As the global population increases, agriculture and natural resources are facing unprecedented demands. In order to meet the needs of the world's future food security and sustainable development, there is a need to increase food production while effectively reducing environmental hazards (Foley et al., 2011; Zeng et al., 2017). To alleviate the problem of rice yield reduction caused by rice plague, many chemicals have been used as pesticides and fungicides over recent years. However, many of these have been gradually replaced due to the environmental pollution and drug residues they cause; thus



increasing interest in the use of biopesticides. Microbial pesticide control effect, production of raw materials and active ingredients are natural products, safe, non-toxic residue-free, to ensure sustainable development (Starnes et al., 1993; Fravel, 2005). *Bacillus*, as one of the most important microbial pesticides, exerts inhibitory effects through mechanisms such as competitive action, antagonism, and the induction of plant resistance (Bacon et al., 2001; Durairaj et al., 2017; Hasan et al., 2020; Diabankana et al., 2021).

In this study, an endophytic strain of the antagonistic bacterium UTF-33 was extracted from the plant acid mold, a perennial herb that is rich in vitamin A, vitamin C, and oxalic acid; this herb is often used as a seasoning for cooking. The plant itself is edible, and it can be inferred that its endophytic bacteria have no toxic effects on human body. The antagonistic bacteria UTF-33 was identified as Bacillus mojavensis UTF-33 by physiological and biochemical indicators and by molecular biology. A review of published information on Bacillus mojavensis species showed that this bacterium is non-toxic and non-pathogenic; thus, the endophyte can be guaranteed in terms of crop safety and suitable to be developed as biopesticides (Fanaei, 2018; Camele et al., 2019; Mnif et al., 2021). Further analysis found that Bacillus mojavensis UTF-33 was sensitive to most of the antibiotics tested, thus indicating that it was not a drug-resistant microorganism, a characteristic consistent with the use of biocontrol bacteria.

The plant's innate immune system consists of two immune responses: PTI (PAMP-triggered immunity) and ETI (effector-triggered immunity) (Li et al., 2015). PTI is mainly induced by the stimulation of pathogen-associated molecular patterns on the surface of pathogenic microorganisms, thus leading to non-specific defense responses in plants, including the production of reactive oxygen species ROS, *MAPK* activation, and SA (salicylic acid) production.

ETI is triggered by the recognition of effector proteins produced by pathogenic microorganisms by the plant's disease-resistant R proteins, including the production of resistant SAR (systemic acquired resistance) and the activation of various protein kinase cascades (Feys and Parker, 2000). The defense mechanism in rice is specifically divided into three expression pathways: those related to disease course, those related to signal transduction, and those related to the encoding of transcription factors (Yuan et al., 2007; Pandey and Somssich, 2009; Mahdavi et al., 2012; Liu et al., 2016).

After treatment with the fermentation products of Bacillus mojavensis UTF-33, PR1a and PR5 (genes related to the course of disease) reached maximal expression levels at 72 h. The genes associated with the titin signaling receptors (OsCEBiP and OsLYP4 and OsLYP6) also reached maximal levels during the same period. OsCEBiP is known to play a key role in the perception (Kaku et al., 2006) and transduction of titin oligosaccharide inducers and OsLYP4 and OsLYP6 encoding proteins that are capable of sensing PGN (peptidoglycan) and fungal titin as bifunctional PRs (pathogenesisrelated proteins) (Liu et al., 2012). The genes encoding the OsEREBP, OsWRKY53 and OsWRKY89 transcription factors were upregulated significantly at 24h. The expression of the salicylic acid signaling receptor pathway and the OsMAPK6 signaling pathway (OsNH1) also reached maximal levels at this time; this is consistent with the timing and characteristics of the rice autoimmune response when analyzed together with the H₂O₂ accumulation results. It was evident that the immune response of the treated rice was concentrated in the early and mid-late stages, with the initial defense against infestation in the early stage; this was due to pathogenic infection stimulating PRS and up-regulating the expression of the fungal titin recognition pathway. The mid-late stage mainly targeted the formation of the infestation pegs, mediating the internal synthesis of SA, and upregulating the



expression in concert with multiple transcription factor-related pathways to complete the immune response. In recent studies (Chaiharn et al., 2020; Rong et al., 2020; de Sousa et al., 2021) identified *Bacillus safensis*, *Streptomyces* spp. and *Trichoderma* sp.as potentially being useful for the control of rice blast. These studies mainly focused on the antagonistic effects of microbial pesticides and







explored the direct inhibitory effects of metabolites on rice blast. However, we do not know whether these pesticides enhance the autoimmune capacity of rice or activate the response of relevant immune mechanism pathways. However, *Bacillus mojavensis* UTF-33 can indirectly fight against rice fungus infestation by promoting the upregulation of the defense mechanism response in rice itself.

In addition, fermentation products were extracted with n-butanol to obtain crude extracts. We found that these crude extracts had a significant effect in delaying and inhibiting the germination of rice fever conidia and the formation of attachment cells; furthermore, some of the treated conidia showed distortion after 12h. Most of the mycelium that could germinate also swelled and broke and could not form a normal attachment cell structure. This indicates that these extracts can directly inhibit the developmental growth process of rice fever conidia, as described previously by Chen et al. who treated conidia using the fermentation product of *Bacillus velezensis* (Chen et al., 2021); however, the inhibitory effect of *Bacillus mojavensis* UTF-33 was clearly more effective. Considering both the control effect of biopesticides and the economic effect at the same cost, *Bacillus mojavensis* UTF-33 is more advantageous.

Functional gene amplification experiments were also conducted; we found that Bacillus mojavensis UTF-33 expressed genes required for the synthesis of various lipopeptides, including bioA, bmyB and fenB, ituD, srfAA. fenB, ituD, and sfp. Synthesis products are useful as Bacillus extracts are often used in antifungal studies and have recognized potential for use in biocontrol (Zhang et al., 2017; Li et al., 2021; Rasiya and Sebastian, 2021). For example, B. velezensis 9D-6 produces surfactins C14 and C15 to antagonize fungal pathogens such as Fusarium oxysporum, Gibberella zeae, Pyrenochaeta terrestris (Grady et al., 2019) and Bacillus subtilis WL-2 antagonizes potato blight by producing Iturin A (Wang et al., 2020). In a previous study, Xiao and Hanif purified fengycin from Bacillus subtilis Z-14 and Bacillus amyloliquefaciens FZB42 to destroy the structure of Gaeumannomyces graminis var. tritici, and Fusarium graminearum to achieve a suppressive effect (Hanif et al., 2019; Xiao et al., 2021). In contrast, Bacillus mojavensis UTF-33 has the potential to synthesize a variety of lipopeptides and is presumed to have



FIGURE 12

Nucleic acid sequence comparison plots of functional genes. Panels (A–F) are corresponding to gene *bioA*, *bmyB*, *fenB*, *fenD*, *ituD* and *srfAA*, respectively. LDO2: *Bacillus velezensis* LDO2, HNA3: *Bacillus sp*. HNA3, A2: *Bacillus velezensis* A2, LG37: *Bacillus velezensis* LG37, CBMB205: *Bacillus velezensis* CBMB205, UB2017: *Bacillus velezensis* UB2017.



FIGURE 13

Comparative amino acid sequences of functional genes. Panels (A–F) are corresponding to amino acid sequence comparisons of gene *bioA*, *bmyB*, *fenB*, *fenD*, *ituD* and *srfAA*, respectively. 8-amino-7: adenosylmethionine--8-amino-7-oxononanoate transaminase in *Bacillus velezensis*, BmyB: bmyB in *Bacillus subtilis*, fengycin: fengycin synthetase in *Bacillus subtilis*, FenD: FenD in *Bacillus subtilis*, bacillomycin: bacillomycin D biosynthesis malonyl-CoA transacylase BamD in *Bacillus velezensis*, SrfA: surfactin non-ribosomal peptide synthetase SrfAA in *Bacillus velezensis*.

direct antagonistic effects on a variety of plant pathogens. It is necessary to further purify and investigate the metabolic activity of a variety of antibacterial active substances to investigate their mechanisms of action and broaden the scope of application.

In the field trials, the control effect of *Bacillus mojavensis* UTF-33 as a biopesticide was further evaluated. Leaf disease and spot statistics of the fermented filtrate spray treatment were significantly lower than those of the water and LB treatment groups, and were similar to those of the carbendazim treatment group. Analysis showed that *Bacillus mojavensis* UTF-33 also exhibited excellent bacterial inhibition activity in practical use and could be a beneficial tool for controlling rice blast in the field.

In recent years, Snook et al., Bacon et al., and Hinton et al. used maize as experimental material to study the antagonistic mechanism of Bacillus mojavensis against Fusarium verticillioides. They also used the metabolites of this species to reduce the lesions of maize stalk seedlings, and developed it as a biopesticide for disease control in maize (Snook et al., 2009; Bacon and Hinton, 2011a,b). Hanen et al., Ayed et al., and Samiha et al. used Bacillus mojavensis to extract lipopeptides such as surface activator and eugenol (Ayed et al., 2014; Hanen et al., 2014; Samiha et al., 2014), and also purified the protease synthesized by their metabolism (Beg and Gupta, 2003), or optimizing the production process (Beg et al., 2004; Sepahy et al., 2011). The present literature relating to the biocontrol of crops is relatively homogeneous. This is the first study focusing on the antagonistic effect of Bacillus mojavensis on rice diseases. Our findings illustrate the direct inhibitory effect of Bacillus mojavensis UTF-33 on rice blast and the indirect induction of the rice defense gene expression mechanism. These data confirm the potential of Bacillus mojavensis as a biopesticide and advance the progress of research on the disease suppression mechanisms in plant endophytes.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding authors.

References

Ayed, H. B., Hmidet, N., Bechet, M., Chollet, M., Chataigne, G., Leclere, V., et al. (2014). Identification and biochemical characteristics of lipopeptides from *Bacillus mojavensis* A21. *Process Biochem.* 49, 1699–1707. doi: 10.1016/j.procbio.2014.07.001

Bacon, C. W., and Hinton, D. M. (2011a). "Bacillus mojavensis: its endophytic nature, the surfactins and their role in the plant response to infection by Fusarium verticillioides," in *Bacteria in Agrobiology: Plant Growth Responses*. ed. D. K. Maheshwari (Berlin: Springer-Verlag), 21–39.

Bacon, C. W., and Hinton, D. M. (2011b). In planta reduction of maize seedling stalk lesions by the bacterial endophyte *Bacillus mojavensis. Can. J. Microbiol.* 57, 485–492. doi: 10.1139/w11-031

Bacon, C. W., Yates, I. E., Hinton, D. M., and Meredith, F. (2001). Biological control of Fusarium moniliforme in maize. *Environ. Health Perspect.* 109, 325–332. doi: 10.1289/ehp.01109s2325

Beg, Q. K., and Gupta, R. (2003). Purification and characterization of an oxidationstable, thiol-dependent serine alkaline protease from *Bacillus mojavensis*. *Enzym. Microb. Technol.* 32, 294–304. doi: 10.1016/S0141-0229(02)00293-4

Beg, Q. K., Sahai, V., and Gupta, R. (2004). Statistical media optimization and alkaline protease production from *Bacillus mojavensis* in a bioreactor. *Process Biochem.* 39, 203–209. doi: 10.1016/S0032-9592(03)00064-5

Birkenbihl, R. P., Kracher, B., Roccaro, M., and Somssich, I. E. (2017). Induced genome-wide binding of three Arabidopsis WRKY transcription factors during early MAMP-triggered immunity. *Plant Cell* 29, 20–38. doi: 10.1105/tpc.16.00681

Author contributions

ZX, RC, YZ, and YY contributed to conception and design of the study. YZ, YY, LZ, and ZZ organized the database. YZ, JZ, XG, and JY performed the statistical analysis. YZ wrote the first draft of the manuscript. YY, YH, ZH, and LL wrote sections of the manuscript. All authors contributed to manuscript revision, read, and approved the submitted version.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to the State Key Laboratory of Crop Gene Exploration and Utilization in Southwest China of Sichuan Agricultural University for providing the rice blast pathogenic fungus *M. oryzae* Guy11.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2023.1201624/ full#supplementary-material

Breen, S., Williams, S. J., Winterberg, B., Kobe, B., and Solomon, P. S. (2016). Wheat PR-1 proteins are targeted by necrotrophic pathogen effector proteins. *Plant J. Cell Mol. Biol.* 88, 13–25. doi: 10.1111/tpj.13228

Camele, I., Elshafie, H. S., Caputo, L., Sakr, S. H., and Feo, V. D. (2019). Bacillus mojavensis: biofilm formation and biochemical investigation of its bioactive metabolites. J. Biol. Res. – Boll. Della Soc. Ital. Biol. Sper. 92, 39–45. doi: 10.4081/jbr.2019.8296

Chaiharn, M., Theantana, T., and Pathom-aree, W. (2020). Evaluation of biocontrol activities of *Streptomyces* spp. against rice blast disease fungi. *Pathogens* 9:126. doi: 10.3390/pathogens9020126

Chen, Z., Zhao, L., Dong, Y., Chen, W., Li, C., Gao, X., et al. (2021). The antagonistic mechanism of *Bacillus velezensis* ZW10 against rice blast disease: evaluation of ZW10 as a potential biopesticide. *PLoS One* 16:e0256807. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0256807

Daudi, A., and O'Brien, J. A. (2012). Detection of hydrogen peroxide by DAB staining in Arabidopsis leaves. *Bio Protoc.* 2:e263. doi: 10.21769/BioProtoc.263

de Sousa, T. P., Chaibub, A. A., Cortes, M. V. D. C. B., Batista, T. F. C., Bezerra, G. D. A., Da Silva, G. B., et al. (2021). Molecular identification of Trichoderma sp. isolates and biochemical characterization of antagonistic interaction against rice blast. *Arch. Microbiol.* 203, 3257–3268. doi: 10.1007/s00203-021-02307-5

Diabankana, R. G. C., Afordoanyi, D. M., Safin, R. I., Nizamov, R. M., Karimova, L. Z., and Validov, S. Z. (2021). Antifungal properties, abiotic stress resistance, and biocontrol

ability of Bacillus mojavensis PS17. Curr. Microbiol. 78, 3124–3132. doi: 10.1007/ s00284-021-02578-7

Durairaj, K., Velmurugan, P., Park, J.-H., Chang, W.-S., Park, Y.-J., Senthilkumar, P., et al. (2017). Potential for plant biocontrol activity of isolated Pseudomonas aeruginosa and *Bacillus stratosphericus* strains against bacterial pathogens acting through both induced plant resistance and direct antagonism. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* 364:fnx225. doi: 10.1093/femsle/fnx225

Fanaei, M. (2018). Microbial assisted (*Bacillus mojavensis*) production of bio-surfactant lipopeptide with potential pharmaceutical applications and its characterization by MALDI-TOF-MS analysis. *J. Mol. Liq.* 268, 707–714. doi: 10.1016/j.molliq.2018.07.103

Feys, B. J., and Parker, J. E. (2000). Interplay of signaling pathways in plant disease resistance. *Trends Genet.* 16, 449–455. doi: 10.1016/s0168-9525(00)02107-7

Foley, J. A., Ramankutty, N., Brauman, K. A., Cassidy, E. S., Gerber, J. S., Johnston, M., et al. (2011). Solutions for a cultivated planet. *Nature* 478, 337–342. doi: 10.1038/ nature10452

Fravel, D. R. (2005). Commercialization and implementation of biocontrol. *Annu. Rev. Phytopathol.* 43, 337–359. doi: 10.1146/annurev.phyto.43.032904.092924

Grady, E. N., MacDonald, J., Ho, M. T., Weselowski, B., McDowell, T., Solomon, O., et al. (2019). Characterization and complete genome analysis of the surfactin-producing, plant-protecting bacterium *Bacillus velezensis* 9D-6. *BMC Microbiol.* 19:5. doi: 10.1186/s12866-018-1380-8

Gupta, L., Vermani, M., Ahluwalia, S. K., and Vijayaraghavan, P. (2021). Molecular virulence determinants of Magnaporthe oryzae: disease pathogenesis and recent interventions for disease management in rice plant. *Mycology* 12, 174–187. doi: 10.1080/21501203.2020.1868594

Hamer, J. E., Howard, R. J., Chumley, F. G., and Valent, B. (1988). A mechanism for surface attachment in spores of a plant pathogenic fungus. *Science* 239, 288–290. doi: 10.1126/science.239.4837.288

Hanen, B., Ayed, N., Hmidet, H., Maalej, M., and Nasri, M. (2014). Characterization and stability of biosurfactant produced by Bacillusmojavensis A21 and its application in enhancing solubility of hydrocarbon. *J. Chem. Technol. Biotechnol.* 89, 1007–1014. doi: 10.1002/jctb.4192

Hanif, A., Zhang, F., Li, P., Li, C., Xu, Y., Zubair, M., et al. (2019). Fengycin produced by *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* FZB42 inhibits Fusarium graminearum growth and Mycotoxins biosynthesis. *Toxins* 11:295. doi: 10.3390/toxins11050295

Hasan, N., Farzand, A., Heng, Z., Khan, I. U., Moosa, A., Zubair, M., et al. (2020). Antagonistic potential of novel Endophytic Bacillus strains and mediation of plant defense against Verticillium wilt in upland cotton. *Plan. Theory* 9:1438. doi: 10.3390/ plants9111438

Huang, D., Chi, H., Xin, F., Miyata, A., Kang, M., Liu, K., et al. (2021). Improved estimation of gross primary production of paddy rice cropland with changing model parameters over phenological transitions. *Ecol. Model.* 445:109492. doi: 10.1016/j. ecolmodel.2021.109492

Humphries, R., Bobenchik, A. M., Hindler, J. A., and Schuetz, A. N. (2021). Overview of changes to the clinical and laboratory standards institute performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing, M100, 31st Edition. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 59:e0021321. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0508882103

Kaku, H., Nishizawa, Y., Ishii-Minami, N., Akimoto-Tomiyama, C., Dohmae, N., Takio, K., et al. (2006). Plant cells recognize chitin fragments for defense signaling through a plasma membrane receptor. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 103, 11086–11091. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0508882103

Kunova, A., Pizzatti, C., and Cortesi, P. (2013). Impact of tricyclazole and azoxystrobin on growth, sporulation and secondary infection of the rice blast fungus, *Magnaporthe oryzae*. *Pest Manag. Sci.* 69, 278–284. doi: 10.1002/ps.3386

Law, J. W.-F., Ser, H.-L., Khan, T. M., Chuah, L.-H., Pusparajah, P., Chan, K.-G., et al. (2017). The potential of Streptomyces as biocontrol agents against the Rice blast fungus, Magnaporthe oryzae (Pyricularia oryzae). *Front. Microbiol.* 8:3. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2017.00003

Li, Y., Gu, Y., Li, J., Xu, M., Wei, Q., and Wang, Y. (2015). Biocontrol agent *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* LJ02 induces systemic resistance against cucurbits powdery mildew. *Front. Microbiol.* 6:883. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2015.00883

Li, M. S. M., Piccoli, D. A., McDowell, T., MacDonald, J., Renaud, J., and Yuan, Z.-C. (2021). Evaluating the biocontrol potential of Canadian strain *Bacillus velezensis* 1B-23 via its surfactin production at various pHs and temperatures. *BMC Biotechnol.* 21, 31–12. doi: 10.1186/s12896-021-00690-x

Li, W., Zhu, Z., Chern, M., Yin, J., Yang, C., Ran, L., et al. (2017). A natural allele of a transcription factor in rice confers broad-spectrum blast resistance. *Cells* 170, 114–126.e15. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2017.06.008

Liu, C., Cheng, F., Sun, Y., Ma, H., and Yang, X. (2016). Structure-function relationship of a novel PR-5 protein with antimicrobial activity from soy hulls. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 64, 948–959. doi: 10.1021/acs.jafc.5b04771

Liu, B., Li, J.-F., Ao, Y., Qu, J., Li, Z., Su, J., et al. (2012). Lysin motif-containing proteins LYP4 and LYP6 play dual roles in peptidoglycan and chitin perception in rice innate immunity. *Plant Cell* 24, 3406–3419. doi: 10.1105/tpc.112.102475

Mahdavi, F., Sariah, M., and Maziah, M. (2012). Expression of rice thaumatin-like protein gene in transgenic banana plants enhances resistance to fusarium wilt. *Appl. Biochem. Biotechnol.* 166, 1008–1019. doi: 10.1007/s12010-011-9489-3

Mnif, I., Bouallegue, A., Mekki, S., and Ghribi, D. (2021). Valorization of date juice by the production of lipopeptide biosurfactants by a *Bacillus mojavensis* BI2 strain: bioprocess optimization by response surface methodology and study of surface activities. *Bioprocess Biosyst. Eng.* 44, 2315–2330. doi: 10.1007/s00449-021-02606-7

Oerke, E.-C. (1996). Rice blast disease: edited by R. S. Zeigler, S. a. Leong and P. S. Teng. CAB International, Wallingford, UK, in association with the international Rice Research Institute, Los Baños, Philippines, 1994. 626 pp. Price: US\$135 (hardback). ISBN 0 85198 9357. *Agric. Syst.* 51, 367–369. doi: 10.1016/0308-521X(96)86783-7

Osés-Ruiz, M., Sakulkoo, W., Littlejohn, G. R., Martin-Urdiroz, M., and Talbot, N. J. (2017). Two independent S-phase checkpoints regulate appressorium-mediated plant infection by the rice blast fungus Magnaporthe oryzae. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 114, E237–E244. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1611307114

Ou, S. H. (1980). Pathogen variability and host resistance in Rice blast disease. *Annu. Rev. Phytopathol.* 18, 167–187. doi: 10.1146/annurev.py.18.090180.001123

Pandey, S. P., and Somssich, I. E. (2009). The role of WRKY transcription factors in plant immunity. *Plant Physiol.* 150, 1648–1655. doi: 10.1104/pp.109.138990

Rasiya, K. T., and Sebastian, D. (2021). Iturin and surfactin from the endophyte *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* strain RKEA3 exhibits antagonism against *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Biocatal. Agric. Biotechnol.* 36:102125. doi: 10.1016/j.bcab.2021.102125

Reid, G. A. (1991). Molecular cloning: a laboratory manual, 2nd edn. Trends Biotechnol. 9, 213–214. doi: 10.1016/0167-7799(91)90068-S

Rong, S., Xu, H., Li, L., Chen, R., Gao, X., and Xu, Z. (2020). Antifungal activity of endophytic *Bacillus safensis* B21 and its potential application as a biopesticide to control rice blast. *Pestic. Biochem. Physiol.* 162, 69–77. doi: 10.1016/j.pestbp.2019.09.003

Roy, M., Chatterjee, S., and Dangar, T. K. (2021). Characterization and mosquitocidal potency of a *Bacillus thuringiensis* strain of rice field soil of Burdwan, West Bengal, India. *Microb. Pathog.* 158:105093. doi: 10.1016/j.micpath.2021.105093

Ryder, L. S., and Talbot, N. J. (2015). Regulation of appressorium development in pathogenic fungi. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* 26, 8–13. doi: 10.1016/j.pbi.2015.05.013

Samada, L. H., and Tambunan, U. S. F. (2020). Biopesticides as promising alternatives to chemical pesticides: a review of their current and future status. *OnLine J. Biol. Sci.* 20, 66–76. doi: 10.3844/ojbsci.2020.66.76

Samiha, M., Anissa, H., Ibtissem, H., Mnif, F., and Frikha, M. (2014). Optimization of protease production by *Bacillus mojavensis* A21 on chickpea and Faba bean. *Adv. Biosci. Biotechnol.* 5, 1049–1060. doi: 10.4236/abb.2014.514120

Sepahy, A. A., Ghazi, S., and Sepahy, M. A. (2011). Cost-effective production and optimization of alkaline Xylanase by indigenous *Bacillus mojavensis AG137* fermented on agricultural waste. *Enzyme Res.* 2011:593624. doi: 10.4061/2011/593624

Snook, M. E., Mitchell, T., Hinton, D. M., and Bacon, C. W. (2009). Isolation and characterization of leu7-surfactin from the endophytic bacterium *Bacillus mojavensis* RRC 101, a biocontrol agent for Fusarium verticillioides. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 57, 4287–4292. doi: 10.1021/jf900164h

Starnes, R. L., Li, L. C., and Marrone, P. G. (1993). History, use, and future of microbial insecticides. *Am. Entomol.* 39, 83–91. doi: 10.1093/ae/39.2.83

Talbot, N. J. (2003). On the trail of a cereal killer: exploring the biology of *Magnaporthe grisea*. Annu. Rev. Microbiol. 57, 177–202. doi: 10.1146/annurev.micro.57.030502.090957

van Loon, L. C., Rep, M., and Pieterse, C. M. J. (2006). Significance of inducible defense-related proteins in infected plants. *Annu. Rev. Phytopathol.* 44, 135–162. doi: 10.1146/annurev.phyto.44.070505.143425

Wang, S., Liu, J., Li, C., and Chung, B. M. (2019). Efficiency of Nannochloropsis oculata and *Bacillus polymyxa* symbiotic composite at ammonium and phosphate removal from synthetic wastewater. *Environ. Technol.* 40, 2494–2503. doi: 10.1080/09593330.2018.1444103

Wang, Y., Zhang, C., Liang, J., Wu, L., Gao, W., and Jiang, J. (2020). Iturin a extracted from *Bacillus subtilis* WL-2 affects *Phytophthora infestans* via cell structure disruption, oxidative stress, and energy supply dysfunction. *Front. Microbiol.* 11:536083. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2020.536083

Xiao, J., Guo, X., Qiao, X., Zhang, X., Chen, X., and Zhang, D. (2021). Activity of Fengycin and Iturin a isolated from *Bacillus subtilis* Z-14 on Gaeumannomyces graminis Var. tritici and soil microbial diversity. *Front. Microbiol.* 12:682437. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2021.682437

Yuan, Y., Zhong, S., Li, Q., Zhu, Z., Lou, Y., Wang, L., et al. (2007). Functional analysis of rice NPR1-like genes reveals that OsNPR1/NH1 is the rice orthologue conferring disease resistance with enhanced herbivore susceptibility. *Plant Biotechnol. J.* 5, 313–324. doi: 10.1111/j.1467-7652.2007.00243.x

Zeng, D., Tian, Z., Rao, Y., Dong, G., Yang, Y., Huang, L., et al. (2017). Rational design of high-yield and superior-quality rice. *Nat. Plants* 3, 17031–17035. doi: 10.1038/nplants.2017.31

Zhang, T. (2020). En Garde: CRK2 Preassociates with RBOHD and regulates ROS production. *Plant Cell* 32, 801-802. doi: 10.1105/tpc.20.00092

Zhang, D. D., Guo, X. J., Wang, Y. J., Gao, T. G., and Zhu, B. C. (2017). Novel screening strategy reveals a potent *Bacillus* antagonist capable of mitigating wheat take-all disease caused by *Gaeumannomyces graminis* var. *tritici. Lett. Appl. Microbiol.* 65, 512–519. doi: 10.1111/lam.12809

Zhang, H., Zheng, X., and Zhang, Z. (2016). The Magnaporthe grisea species complex and plant pathogenesis. Mol. Plant Pathol. 17, 796–804. doi: 10.1111/mpp.12342