Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Samina Mehnaz, Forman Christian College, Pakistan

REVIEWED BY Salma Mukhtar, Connecticut Agricultural Experiment Station, United States Bartholomew Saanu Adeleke, Olusegun Agagu University of Science and Technology, Nigeria Ambreen Ahmed, University of the Punjab, Pakistan

*CORRESPONDENCE Youn-Sig Kwak ⊠ kwak@gnu.ac.kr

RECEIVED 06 March 2023 ACCEPTED 22 May 2023 PUBLISHED 09 June 2023

CITATION

Kim D-R and Kwak Y-S (2023) Endophytic Streptomyces population induced by L-glutamic acid enhances plant resilience to abiotic stresses in tomato. Front. Microbiol. 14:1180538. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2023.1180538

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Kim and Kwak. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Endophytic *Streptomyces* population induced by L-glutamic acid enhances plant resilience to abiotic stresses in tomato

Da-Ran Kim¹ and Youn-Sig Kwak^{1,2*}

¹Research Institute of Life Science, Gyeongsang National University, Jinju, Republic of Korea, ²Division of Applied Life Science (BK21 Plus), Research Institute of Life Science, Gyeongsang National University, Jinju, Republic of Korea

Endophyte bacteria, which colonize plants including roots, stem, flower, and fruit, it can derive their nutrients from the host, are recognized for their mutualistic relationship with the host plant. They play a critical role in promoting host growth and modulating abiotic stress. Carbon and nitrogen have a significant impact on bacterial population and secondary metabolite production, which are highly specific in various categories such as bacterial growth regulation, anti-compounds production. Application of L-glutamic acid can significantly enhance Streptomyces globisporus population buildup in plants. However, the effectiveness of this population buildup against abiotic stresses such as salinity and drought has not been investigated. Therefore, in this study, we tested the bacteria and their prebiotic activity against salinity and drought stress in tomato plants. Three different amino acids were treated on the tomato plants, and it was observed that L-asparagine and L-proline had a negative effect on plant growth and phenotype, while L-glutamic acid promoted plant growth and increased bacteria population density. The bacteria were found to colonize the rhizosphere and root endosphere, with colonization being promoted by L-glutamic acid. Additionally, Streptomyces was found to have plant growth promotion effects and provided protection against abiotic stresses. Interestingly, L-glutamic acid reduced the damage caused by salinity stress, but not drought stress. These findings suggest that L-glutamic acid plays a role in providing tolerance to salinity stress with the core microbiota, thus the current study demonstrated their prebiotic activity in the agriculture system.

KEYWORDS

drought stress, endosphere, prebiotic, probiotic, salinity stress

Introduction

Climate change and global warming are causing extreme stresses on plants, such as droughts and salt stress, which have severe effects on agricultural production (Pawlak and Kołodziejczak, 2020; Fasusi et al., 2021). Environmental abiotic stresses have also reduced crop productivity, and currently, no chemical or cultivation method can completely prevent this. However, plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) can partially reduce damage caused by abiotic stresses (Berkelmann et al., 2020). PGPRs have various plant growth enhancement abilities, such as IAA (Indole-3-acetic acid) production, nitrogen fixation, and

siderophore production (Herrera-Quiterio et al., 2020; Andleeb et al., 2022). Certain genera of bacteria, such as *Bacillus*, *Pseudomonas*, and *Streptomyces* are known as PGPR functional bacteria with direct or indirect mechanisms to enhance plant growth (Bokhari et al., 2019; Fidan and Zhan, 2019; Oviedo-Pereira et al., 2022).

Recent interest in plant endophytic bacteria has focused on their impact on host physiology. These bacteria thrive in the endosphere of plants, especially the nutrient-rich endodermis xylem tissue. The relationship between endophytic bacteria and their hosts has evolved into a symbiotic one, with the bacteria relying on the plant for nutrients (Pacifico et al., 2019; Lacava et al., 2022). Understanding this interaction has the potential to inform our knowledge of plant-microbe interactions and their implications for ecosystem function. Among them, Streptomyces is a beneficial endo-microorganism, producing bioactive compounds that protect crops such as wheat, rice, tomato, and strawberry, it has produces a variety of novel bioactive compounds, such as kakadumycin, coronamycin, grisin, and conprimycin (Ezra et al., 2004; Cha et al., 2016; Goudjal et al., 2016; Phuakjaiphaeo et al., 2016; Colombo et al., 2019; Kim et al., 2019a; Xu et al., 2019; Mattei et al., 2022). These secondary metabolites have antibacterial and antifungal properties, enhancing growth and protecting against biotic and abiotic stress, with have antifungal to Fusarium, Alternaria, and Pyricularia and antibacterial to Pseudomonas syringae and in abiotic stress related drought and salinity (Wang et al., 2010; Cha et al., 2016; Passari et al., 2017; Jeon et al., 2019; Kim et al., 2019a; Nozari et al., 2022). However, some of the metabolites remain unstudied, indicating a need for further research on the potential of Streptomyces to improve plant health and productivity.

Growth of Streptomyces can be enhanced by specific nutrient sources under natural conditions, as observed in the host plants (Costa et al., 2002; Reese et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2019; Estrela et al., 2021). In human microbiology, such nutrients are referred to as prebiotics, which regulate and modulate metabolic pathways (Ramnani et al., 2015; Samanta et al., 2015; Yang et al., 2021). Similarly, in plants, certain nutrients can support and modulate microbial growth and population density, not only enhancing probiotic effect to cell growth but also contributing significantly to the microbe's functional interaction with the host (Ducray et al., 2019; Du et al., 2021). Bacteria require nutrients for the production of enzymes, capsules, and colonization, and nitrogen compounds from plant origins are favorable nutrients for the colonizing bacteria. These compounds are utilized in the production of bioactive compounds and proteins with antibiotic activity (Voelker and Altaba, 2001; Costa et al., 2002; Bibb, 2005; Pandey et al., 2005; Rico and Preston, 2008). Prebiotics can also modulate bacterial growth in floral nectar and rhizosphere, serving as signal molecules for plant growth-promoting activity in bacteria (Borghi and Fernie, 2017; Aliashkevich et al., 2018). Kim et al. (2021) reported that L-glutamic acid can rebuild the population of a core bacterium strain in both above-ground and below-ground plant tissues, reshaping microbial community structures, maintaining high microbial diversity, and preventing plant pathogen attack. Moreover, the rebuilt core strain can move from root to stem and from flower to root tissue through the endosphere.

Tomatoes have been found to harbor a beneficial endophytic *Streptomyces* strain, which interestingly, is genetically identical to the strain found in other plant species (Cho and Kwak, 2019).

Tomatoes are subjected to a range of abiotic stresses such as drought, salinity, osmotic and ionic stresses, exacerbated by climate change. Several studies have demonstrated that the use of engineered bacterial communities can increase the accumulation of proline, a known osmoprotectant, in tomato plants under drought and salt stress conditions (Palaniyandi et al., 2014). Additionally, the presence of endophytic *Streptomyces* populations can improve the plant's capacity to absorb nutrients and maintain a healthy system, which further enhances its resilience to environmental stresses (Niu et al., 2022).

This raises the question of how this microbe is able to provide benefits to multiple host plants through its endophytic colonization system. Furthermore, it is unknown whether a probiotic strain that can regulate the microbial community structure and increase the density of the core strain in strawberries can also exhibit the same effect in other plant systems. In this study, we aim to investigate the colonization and modulation of the functional core *Streptomyces* strain by L-glutamic acid in tomato plants, as well as the bacterial efficacy in protecting against abiotic stresses.

Materials and methods

Plant growth condition

The surface of tomato (cv. Heinz) seeds was sterilized by immersing them in 70% ethanol for 5 min followed by 1% NaOCl for 3 min. The seeds were then washed twice with ddH₂O in a 50 ml tube and centrifuged at 4,000 rpm for 5 min to remove any dust. The supernatant was discarded using a 90-mm filter paper on a glass funnel. The sterilized seeds were air-dried at room temperature for 30 min in an air-clean biosafety cabinet. The dried seeds were then placed on water-soaked cotton for germination at 25°C for 3 days and subsequently planted in plastic pots (9 cm \times 7 cm) filled with nursery soil. The pots were incubated in a plant growth chamber from week 2 to week 6, with the following conditions: 25°C for 20 h under light (13,000 lux) and 22°C for 4 h in the dark with 80% relative humidity.

Streptomyces strains and seed coating

Tomato (cv. Heinz) seeds were sterilized by immersing them in 70% ethanol and 1% NaOCl solution, followed by drying in a biosafety cabinet for 30 min. Streptomyces strains were isolated from various host plants, including strawberry (SP6C4::hyg), kiwifruit (KPB2::hyg and W1SF4::hyg), and turfgrass (S8::hyg) (Table 1). The bacteria were grown in 5 ml of PDK broth media (10 g potato dextrose and 10 g peptone per L) at 30°C for 5 days in a shaking incubator (150 rpm). The cultured bacteria were then transferred to 500 ml of PDK broth media and main culture at 30°C for 10 days in the shaking incubator. The bacteria were washed out of media nutrients by centrifugation for 15 min and resuspended in 50 ml of ddH_2O (10⁶ cfu/ml) with 1% carboxymethyl cellulose (CMC). Tomato seeds were dipped in the bacterial strain cultured stocks, and control untreated seeds were soaked in ddH_2O (n = 5, each plant was a different replicate). The tomato plants received watering twice a week with 10 ml of 1X Hoagland's solution, which contained macronutrients [101.11 g of KNO₃ (1 M), 115.03 g of NH₄H₂PO₄ (1 M), 236.15 g of Ca (NO₃)₂·4H₂O (1 M), 246.48 g of MgSO₄·7H₂O (1 M), 0.5% (w/v) Fe-EDDHA per L], micronutrients [2.86 g of H₃BO₃, 1.81 g MnCl₂·4H₂O, 0.22 g of ZnSO₄·7H₂O, 0.051 g of CuSO₄·5H₂O, 0.09 g of Na₂MoO₄·2H₂O per L added 33 ml of 15% NaCl solution, 20% (w/v) PEG6000 and 200 mM NaCl solution] (Renau-Morata et al., 2014).

Colonization of the *Streptomyces* strains in rhizosphere and endosphere of tomato

Seed-coated tomato plants containing KPB2::hyg, W1SF4::hyg, SP6C4::hyg, or S8::hyg were used to determine the bacterial population densities in the rhizosphere and endosphere, as well as the contents of chlorophyll and fresh weight of tomato. Two weeks after the treatments, rhizosphere bacterial cells were collected by placing 1 g of root with rhizosphere soil in 2.5 mM MES [Monohydrate 2-(N-Morpholino)ethanesulfinic acid] buffer (pH 5.7 adjusted with 1M KOH) in a 15 ml tube, which was then vortexed for 15 sec and incubated at room temperature for 15 min. The mixture was centrifuged at 500 rpm for 5 min and the supernatant was transferred to a new 1.5 ml tube, which was then centrifuged at 8,000 rpm for 15 min. The supernatant was discarded, and the pellet was resuspended in 1 ml MES buffer (2.5 mM). The resuspended samples were serially diluted with ddH_2O up to 10^{-8} and 100 μl was spread on PDK media [PDK: Potato Dextrose Broth (BD Difco, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA) 10 g, Peptone 10 g, Agar 20 g per L] with hygromycin B (final concentration: 80 µg/ml). The plates were incubated at 28°C for 5 days to determine the colony-forming units (cfu).

To detect colonization of the Streptomyces strains in the endosphere, bacterial cultures were grown in flasks at 30°C for 10 days in a shaking incubator. The bacterial stock was then mixed with CMC (carboxymethyl cellulose) to achieve a final concentration of 0.1% v/v, and the resulting solution was used to drench tomato plants during the growth stage. Each plant received 10 ml of the bacterial mixture. After 2 weeks, tomato shoot length and weight were recorded, and rhizosphere, root, and stem samples were collected. To isolate endophytic bacteria, the roots, and stems were washed twice in ddH₂O and added to a 50-ml tube, which was sonicated at 35 MHz for 5 min in 1X PBS (Phosphate-Buffered Saline, prepared by adding 80 g of NaCl, 2 g of KCl, 4.4 g of Na₂HPO₄·2H₂O, 2.4 g of KH₂PO₄ per L and adjusting the pH to 7.4). This step was performed to remove surface soil and unwanted microbes. Surface sterilization was then carried out by treating the tissue samples with 70% ethanol for 2 min, followed by 1% NaOCl for 3 min, and washing twice with ddH2O. The sterilized tissue samples (0.5 g) were ground using a mortar and pestle and filtered through a single layer of cheesecloth into a 1.5-ml tube containing 1 ml of 1X PBS. The resulting mixture was diluted up to 10^{-4} with ddH₂O, and 100 µL of the diluted mixture was spread onto PDK plates supplemented with hygromycin B (final concentration: 80 µg/ml) to isolate the endophytic microbes. For the rhizosphere samples, 9 ml of MES buffer was added, and serial dilutions were made up to 10^{-8} using ddH₂O. The diluted samples were then spread onto PDK media, and the plates were incubated at 28°C for 5 days. Colony-forming units were counted to quantify the endophytic microbial population.

Amino acids treatment of tomato

A stock solution of L-asparagine, L-proline, and L-glutamic acid (known to have *Streptomyces* growth-promoting effects) (Kim et al., 2021) was prepared at a concentration of 5 μ g/ml. L-asparagine and L-proline were solubilized in ddH₂O after autoclaving, while L-glutamic acid was added to ddH₂O, and its pH was adjusted using 1M KOH. Tomato plants were grown for 20 days in plastic pots. Ten ml of the amino acid solutions were administered to each tomato plant once a week for 3 weeks (*n* = 10), with plants receiving different treatments being separated in separate plastic trays. A combination of the SP6C4 strain of *Streptomyces globisporus* and L-glutamic acid was applied to different plastic trays and drenched onto plants 2 days before the amino acid treatment.

Glutamic acid and SP6C4 application with drought stress

Tomato plants (cv. Heinze) were grown under controlled conditions of 24°C for 16 h and 26°C for 8 h, with 80% relative humidity for 2 weeks. The plants were grown separately in plastic trays, and treated with either L-glutamic acid or SP6C4::hyg. The L-glutamic acid pH was adjusted with 1M KOH, and the bacterial stock of SP6C4 was prepared with 1% CMC (10⁶ cfu/ml), which was then applied to the tomato plants (10 ml) at 7-day intervals. The treatments included: untreated (n = 20), drought stress (n = 20), L-glutamic acid with drought stress (n = 20), and SP6C4::hyg with drought stress (n = 20). Drought conditions were applied to 20 plants for each treatment, with 10 plants used for recovery treatment. The amino acids were supplied twice, after 3 days and at 2 weeks. The untreated plants were supplied with 1X Hoagland solution every 3 days prior to the drought stress. To induce drought stress, the water supply was stopped for 7 days, and then 1X Hoagland solution was supplied during the recovery stage for 2 days to each plant, with each plant receiving 10 ml of the solution. On the 28th day (the last day under drought stress) and on the 30th day (after the recovery treatment), the plants were measured for shoot length, weight, and stomatal aperture.

TABLE 1 List of Streptomyces strains in this study.

| Bacterial identification | Host | Origin | References |
|--|------------|--------------------------|-------------------|
| Streptomyces parvulus KPB2 | Kiwifruit | Phyllosphere (pollen) | Kim et al., 2019b |
| Streptomyces racemochromogenes W1SF4 | Kiwifruit | Rhizosphere | Kim et al., 2019b |
| Streptomyces globisporus SP6C4 | Strawberry | Phyllosphere (pollen) | Kim et al., 2019a |
| Streptomyces bacillaris S8 | Turfgrass | Rhizosphere | Jeon et al., 2019 |

Glutamic acid and SP6C4 treatments for salt stress

A salinity stress experiment was performed using 2-week-old tomato plants (cv. Heinz) with 4–6 leaves, grown under conditions of 25° C for 16 h (light) and 22° C for 8 h (dark), and 80%

relative humidity. Twenty plants were used for each treatment, with ten plants per replicate after stress and the other ten for the recovery stage. The treatments comprised seven conditions: untreated control, 75 mM NaCl, 100 mM NaCl, L-glutamic acid, L-glutamic acid with 75 mM NaCl and 100 mM NaCl, SP6C4 (10⁶ cfu/ml), and SP6C4 with 75 mM NaCl and 100 mM NaCl. Salinity



FIGURE 1

Effect of L-glutamic acid enriched *Streptomyces globisporus* SP6C4 on population density in the rhizosphere and endosphere of tomato plants (cv. Heinz). The plants were sterilized with 70% ethanol and 2% NaOCl, and then planted in pots. After 2 weeks, *S. globisporus* SP6C4::hyg 10⁶ cfu/ml with 1% carboxymethyl cellulose (CMC) was applied to the plants (n = 5). (A) The population density of *S. globisporus* SP6C4 was determined in the rhizosphere, stem endosphere, and leaf endosphere. Rhizosphere soil was serially diluted and endosphere tissue was washed with sonicated 1X PBS buffer for 15 min. (B) The tomato shoot weight was determined 2 weeks after treatment, (C) shoot length was measured. All plants were grown in a plant chamber at 28°C for 20 h of light and 22°C for 4 h of dark, with 80% relative humidity. Each bar on the graph represents the standard deviation with p = 0.05. (D) *Streptomyces* population density in the root endosphere was measured following treatment with L-glutamic acid (5 µg/ml) once per week from week 5 to week 6, with each tomato receiving 10 ml of the solution (n = 10). Statistically significant differences among treatments (*p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001).

stress was induced by drenching the plants with 10 ml of 75 mM or 100 mM NaCl solutions in week 4, and the plants were collected in week 5 after the stress treatments. The recovery samples were resupplied with 1X Hoagland solution with 10 ml for 1 week. The shoot length and plant weight were measured after the salt stress treatments and the recovery stage.

Tomato microbiota analysis

Tomato tissues were collected for the analysis of the microbiota community structure. The root samples were washed with 70% EtOH for 30 sec, 1% NaOCl for 30 sec, and rinsed with ddH₂O two times, followed by sonication with 50 ml of 1X PBS buffer for 15 min. DNA extraction was performed using the soil FastDNA SPIN Kit (MP Biomedicals, Santa Ana, CA, USA) with 0.5 g of root samples, 122 µL of MT buffer, 978 µL of sodium phosphate buffer, and homogenized with FastPrep-24TM Classic bead homogenizer (MP Biomedicals, Santa Ana, CA USA) following the manufacturer's instructions. The extracted DNA was washed with 500 µL of SEWS-M buffer with EtOH and centrifuged at 14,000 g for 1 min. The catch tube was discarded, and a membrane filter was centrifuged at 14,000 g for 2 min to remove the wash buffer. The filter was then added with 50 μ L of DES buffer, and the DNA quantity and quality were calculated with a spectrometer (Nanodrop 2000C, Thermo Scientific, Waltham, USA). The extracted DNA (10 \sim 20 ng/µL) was used for blocking PCR, which is a necessary step to block plant plastid DNA amplicon chimera (Kim et al., 2021). The endophyte microbial pyrosequencing PCR step was performed with 20 µL of KAPA HiFi HotStart ReadyMix (Roche, Basel, Switzerland), PNA (5'-GGCTCAACCCTGGACAG-3'), mPNA (5'-GGCAAGTGTTCTTCGGA-3'), 515F primer (5' TCGTCGGCAGCGTCAGATGTGTATAAGAGACAGG TGCCAGCMGCCGCGGAA-3'), and 805R primer (5'-GTCTCGTGGGCTCGGAGATGTGTATAAGAGACAGGACTAC HVGGGTATCTAATCC-3'). The PCR conditions were as follows: initial denaturation at 98°C for 5 min, followed by 22 cycling steps consisting of denaturation at 98°C for 1 min, PNA at 78°C for 10 sec, annealing at 55°C for 30 sec, extension at 72°C for 1 min, and final extension at 72°C for 5 min (Cho et al., 2022). To avoid amplification of the mitochondria and chloroplast, the PCR fragment was purified with an SV kit (GeneAll, Seoul, Republic of Korea), and the 16S rRNA partially V4 region pyrosequencing was performed with Illumine Miseq (2 bp \times 300 bp) by Macrogen (Seoul, Republic of Korea).

detached barcode sequences obtained The from pyrosequencing were subjected to a quality check in FASTQ format. Two sequences with a forward length of 240-bp and reverse length of 200-bp were merged, and the resulting amplicon sequence variants (ASVs) were clustered using DADA2 (version 1.20) in R (version 4.2.1) as described by Callahan et al. (2016). Taxonomic assignments were performed using the IDTAXA classifier in the DECIPHER package (Version 2.20) with SILVA 138 SSU for each ASV, as described by Murali et al. (2018). Bacterial community analysis was performed using alpha diversity, bar plots of bacterial composition, and principal coordinates analysis (PCoA) using the phyloseq package (version 1.36). Results were visualized using ggplot2 (version 3.3.6) in R.

Statistical analyses

The statistical analyses of all graphs were conducted using ANOVA, *t*-test, and Kruskal–Wallis tests. If the data did not meet the assumptions of normality and equal variance, they were analyzed using ANOVA and Kruskal–Wallis tests. *Post-hoc* tests were performed using Tukey's HSD and pairwise Wilcox tests. The graphs were visualized using ggplot2 from the R software package.

Results

Streptomyces spp. colonization and growth promotion

We investigated the effects of four Streptomyces strains on tomato plants. The strains were applied through drenching, and their impact on chlorophyll content and fresh weight were evaluated. Results showed that chlorophyll content did not differ significantly between the untreated control, KPB2, and W1SF4 treatments. However, S8 and SP6C4 treatments led to a significant increase in chlorophyll content, more than double ($400 \text{ mg}^{-1} \text{ FW}$) that of the untreated control (200 mg^{-1} FW) (Supplementary Figure 1A). Similarly, fresh weight was found to be higher in S8 and SP6C4 treatments compared to the untreated control, KPB2, and W1SF4 treatments (Supplementary Figure 1B). Colonization of the tomato rhizosphere by the Streptomyces strains was observed at levels between 10³ and 10⁴ cfu/g of soil (Supplementary Figure 1C). Among the tested strains, only SP6C4 showed relatively high activity in PGPR and colonization, and thus further investigation was focused on this strain.

After the application of the SP6C4::hyg strain on tomato plants for 7 days, bacterial population densities were determined on antibiotic-amended media. Results revealed population densities of 3.1×10^7 cfu/ml, 5.6×10^5 cfu/ml, and 5.8×10^3 cfu/ml in the rhizosphere, stem endosphere, and leaf endosphere, respectively (Figure 1A). Furthermore, the application of S. globisporus SP6C4 significantly improved the growth of tomato plants. An increase in shoot weight and length was observed upon SP6C4 application (Figures 1B, C). Notably, among the three amino acids tested, L-glutamic acid treatment exhibited the most pronounced growthpromoting effect (Supplementary Figure 2). Combination of SP6C4 and L-glutamic acid treatment further enhanced plant growth. Moreover, L-glutamic acid treatment facilitated the growth of SP6C4 population in the tomato root endosphere (Figure 1D). Conversely, L-asparagine and L-proline treatment exerted negative effects on the host growth, causing damage to both above and below-ground tissues.

SP6C4 enhanced drought stress tolerance

L-glutamic acid was applied to 2-week-old tomato plants, followed by exposure to drought stress for 7 days (Figure 2A). Under drought stress conditions, the abiotic stress significantly suppressed tomato growth, including shoot length and fresh



Phenotypic changes of tomato under normal and drought stress conditions. (A) Tomato (cv. Heinz 1350) shoot length and weight after drought stress and recovery during growth. The tomato was in the vegetative stage for 2 weeks, and L-glutamic acid (5 μ g/ml) was applied with 10 ml per plant (n = 20). L-glutamic acid was added twice, followed by drought stress for 7 days, while untreated plants were watered with 1X Hoagland solution. For recovery, 1X Hoagland solution was supplied. (B,C) Plants under drought stress. (D,E) Plants under recovery condition. The bar on each graph indicates the standard deviation. A significant difference was observed at p = 0.05. Statistically significant differences among treatments (*p < 0.05, **p < 0.01).

weight. Treatment with *S. globisporus* SP6C4 provided effective protection against drought stress (Figures 2B, C). However, L-glutamic acid treatment did not protect the plant against

stress treatment. At the recovery stage, SP6C4-treated plants fully recovered from drought stress, including shoot length and fresh weight (Figures 2D, E). During exposure to drought stress,



stomatal aperture closure and physical destruction occurred, but SP6C4-treated tomato plants maintained normal stomatal aperture and structure (**Figure 3A**). After recovery from drought stress, the stomatal aperture size was $2.0 \sim 2.5 \ \mu\text{m}$ under normal conditions and SP6C4 treatment (**Figure 3B**). In contrast, damaged stomata had an opening size of $0 \sim 2.0 \ \mu\text{m}$ and decolorized chlorophyll in the stomata cells. Interestingly, L-glutamic acid treatment also showed relatively less damage to stomatal shape both under drought conditions and after recovery. These findings suggest that SP6C4 may have a mechanism of stomatal aperture regulation-independent protection against drought stress.

L-glutamic acid and SP6C4 protected tomato from salinity stress

The results demonstrated that exposure of tomato plants to 75 mM or 100 mM NaCl resulted in a significant reduction in shoot length and fresh weight (Figures 4A, B). Moreover, plants exposed to 100 mM NaCl exhibited visible symptoms of stress, including stunting, leaf twisting, and root browning. Treatment with the SP6C4 strain was found to reduce damage at the 100 mM NaCl concentration but did not show a significant effect at the 75 mM NaCl concentration, possibly due to the lower levels of damage observed at this concentration. Interestingly, L-glutamic acid treatment also resulted in a reduction in salinity damage at the 100 mM NaCl concentration, but not at the 75 mM NaCl concentration (Figures 4A, B). Analysis of stomata shape and aperture size revealed that untreated control, L-glutamic acidtreated, and SP6C4-treated plants maintained normal stomatal morphology, regardless of NaCl concentration. However, tomato plants exposed to 75 mM or 100 mM NaCl showed a significant reduction in stomata aperture size and shape (Figures 4C, D). These findings suggest that treatment with SP6C4 bacteria and L-glutamic acid may provide a potential strategy for mitigating salinity stress in tomato plants.

Tomato endophytic bacterial community structure shifting by L-glutamic acid treatment

Three different amino acids were used to treat tomato plants and their impact on the root endophytic bacterial community



structure was investigated. The alpha diversity analysis of Shannon, Simpson, and Observed metrics showed that L-glutamic acid had the most significant effect on the root endophytic microbiota community structure, with each treatment displaying a unique pattern (**Supplementary Figure 3**). Interestingly, untreated tomato plants maintained a stable microbial diversity over the sampling periods. The beta diversity analysis revealed that Gammaproteobacteria was the most consistent group across all treatments. L-glutamic acid treatment resulted in an increase in specific bacterial taxa, including Streptomycetaceae and Burkholderiaceae (**Supplementary Figure 4**). Furthermore, the L-glutamic acid-treated tomato plants displayed distinct microbiota community patterns when compared to the untreated and other amino acids treated groups (**Figure 5**). Remarkably, only the L-glutamic acid-treated tomato plants showed an enrichment of the unique taxon *Streptomyces* ASV 211, which increased from 0 to 3.8% (**Figure 6**). These findings suggest that L-glutamic acid plays a vital role in promoting the growth of certain microbial members in the endosphere, which may be associated with plant growth promotion and protection against drought and salinity stresses.



FIGURE 5

Depicts the microbial community changes following three different amino acid treatments. The microbial diversity was analyzed using the V4 region (250 bp) with Illumina Miseq and principal coordinate analysis (PCoA) to present similarities or dissimilarities based on the Bray–Curtis distance (n = 5). The different shapes in the figure represent the amino acid groups, while the color changes from bright to dark as the sampling time progresses. The visualized data were statistically analyzed by PERMANOVA.



FIGURE 6

Relative abundance of *Streptomyces* ASVs in different amino acid treatments. Tomato plants were grown in soil treated with three types of amino acids (L-asparagine, L-proline, and L-glutamic acid) for 3 weeks (n = 10). The amino acid stock concentration was 5 μ g/ml, with 10 ml drenched per pot per week. The root endosphere microbial community was analyzed using the 16S rRNA V4 region, and unique ASVs were identified using the base package (4.3 version) unique in R (4.2.1). Statistical analysis was conducted using Kruskal–Wallis and Tukey's HSD tests in the treatment group (untreated, L-asparagine, L-proline, and L-glutamic acid).

Discussion

Plants are confronted with a multitude of biotic and abiotic stressors that severely reduce their productivity (Mishra et al., 2015; Diagne et al., 2020; Arora and Fatima, 2022). These stressors, coupled with the effects of climate change, threaten the agricultural system by exposing crops to harmful factors such as drought and salinity. The symptoms of such stresses include leaf rolling and twisting, browning, and decreased yield (Li et al., 2022; Makhtoum et al., 2022). One promising approach to mitigate the impact of environmental stresses on plants involves leveraging the protective properties of certain plant-associated beneficial microbes (Worsley et al., 2020; Bhagat et al., 2021; Mohamad et al., 2022). Bacillus and Burkholderia, two genera of PGPR strains, are known to colonize the rhizosphere and endosphere of plants, protecting the host from abiotic stresses (Liu et al., 2017; Bokhari et al., 2019; Fidan and Zhan, 2019; Oviedo-Pereira et al., 2022). Additionally, endophytic Streptomyces spp. have been identified as probiotic strains in numerous crops (Pandey et al., 2005; Patel et al., 2018; Kim et al., 2019a,b; Donald et al., 2022). Kim et al. (2019a) reported that S. globisporus SP6C4, a core strain, aggressively colonized and modulated the whole microbiota structure in the strawberry root, stem endodermis, and flower. This strain was also capable of translocating from root to flower and vice versa through the plant vascular bundle system.

The SP6C4 stain has been shown to successfully colonize two different hosts, plants and insects, and exhibits antipathogen activity against a range of plant pathogens such as Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. fragariae, Botrytis cinerea, and Cladosporium cladosporioides (Kim and Kwak, 2021). Additionally, it also exhibits antibacterial activity against entomopathogens, Paenibacillus larvae, and Serratia marcescens (Kim et al., 2019a, 2021). Interestingly, triple kingdom mutualism has been observed with this strain, whereby the populations in the ecosystem can be rebuilt by introducing L-glutamic acid. This presented the potential to engineer the microbiota community in both the anthosphere and rhizosphere of strawberry (Kim et al., 2021). Another strain, S. globisporus TFH56, isolated from tomato, has also shown a high degree of similarity with the SP6C4 strain at the whole genome scale (Cho and Kwak, 2019). However, the potential effects of this strain and glutamic acid against abiotic stresses, such as drought and salinity, remain to be explored.

The findings of this study demonstrated that SP6C4 enhances tolerance to both drought and salinity stresses in tomatoes when colonizing the rhizosphere and root endosphere. In contrast, L-glutamic acid was found to protect tomatoes specifically from salinity stress, and nitrogen sources were found to trigger changes in the microbiota. The microbiota structure included unique taxa that have been used as probiotics to reduce damage caused by salinity stress. Additionally, L-glutamic acid was found to decrease abiotic stress damage by increasing the population of Streptomyces and changing the microbial community structure, which are mechanisms that differ from those observed with L-asparagine and L-cysteine treatments. These results suggested that L-glutamic acid may be an essential nutrient for certain Streptomyces growth and population building in the microbiota. Nitrogen nutrients are also critical for living bacteria in a host and are essential to the microbial engineering system (Jonsbu et al., 2000; Costa et al., 2002; Reese et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2019; Estrela et al., 2021; Lau et al., 2022). Some nitrogen plays a role in signal molecules to improve pathogenicity as biofilm and communication molecules, which is a common strategy for biological function (Ramnani et al., 2015; Samanta et al., 2015; Yang et al., 2021). However, these functions and biological roles in the ecosystem are largely unexplored. Our study highlights the distinct biological role of L-glutamic acid in changing microbial communities and controlling salinity stress and may inform future efforts to engineer bacterial communities or core microbe properties to promote host tolerance to abiotic stresses.

Data availability statement

The data presented in this study are deposited in the NCBI repository, accession number: PRJEB61093.

Author contributions

D-RK performed experiments and data analyses. D-RK and Y-SK designed the research and wrote the manuscript. Both authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Funding

This work was supported by the National Research Foundation of Republic of Korea (NRF) grant funded by the Republic of Korea Government (MIST) (2020R1A2C20041777).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2023. 1180538/full#supplementary-material

References

Aliashkevich, A., Alvarez, L., and Cava, F. (2018). New insights into the mechanisms and biological roles of D-amino acids in complex eco-systems. *Front. Microbial.* 9:683. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2018.00683

Andleeb, S., Shafique, I., Naseer, A., Abbasi, W. A., Ejaz, S., Liaqat, I., et al. (2022). Molecular characterization of plant growth-promoting vermi-bacteria associated with *Eisenia fetida* gastrointestinal tract. *PLoS One* 17:e0269946. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone. 0269946

Arora, N. K., and Fatima, T. (2022). Role of plant growth promoting microbes in managing sustainability of stressed agroecosystems. *J. Environ. Sustain.* 5, 1–3. doi: 10.1007/s42398-022-00222-z

Berkelmann, D., Schneider, D., Hennings, N., Meryandini, A., and Daniel, R. (2020). Soil bacterial community structures in relation to different oil palm management practices. *Sci. Data.* 7, 1–7. doi: 10.1038/s41597-020-00752-3

Bhagat, N., Raghav, M., Dubey, S., and Bedi, N. (2021). Bacterial exopolysaccharides: insight into their role in plant abiotic stress tolerance. *J. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 31, 1045–1059. doi: 10.4014/jmb.2105.05009

Bibb, M. J. (2005). Regulation of secondary metabolism in streptomycetes. Curr. Opin. Microbiol. 8, 208–215. doi: 10.1016/j.mib.2005.02.016

Bokhari, A., Essack, M., Lafi, F. F., Andres-Barrao, C., Jalal, R., Alamoudi, S., et al. (2019). Bioprospecting desert plant *Bacillus endophytic* strains for their potential to enhance plant stress tolerance. *Sci. Rep.* 9:18154. doi: 10.1038/s41598-019-54685-y

Borghi, M., and Fernie, A. R. (2017). Floral metabolism of sugars and amino acids: implications for pollinators' preferences and seed and fruit set. *Plant Physiol.* 175, 1510–1524. doi: 10.1104/pp.17.01164

Callahan, B. J., McMurdie, P. J., Rosen, M. J., Han, A. W., Johnson, A. J. A., and Holmes, S. P. (2016). DADA2: high-resolution sample inference from *Illumina amplicon* data. *Nat. Methods.* 13, 581–583. doi: 10.1038/nmeth.3869

Cha, J., Han, S., Hong, H., Cho, H., Kim, D., Kwon, Y., et al. (2016). Microbial and biochemical basis of a *Fusarium wilt*-suppressive soil. *ISME J.* 10, 119–129. doi: 10.1038/ismej.2015.95

Cho, G., and Kwak, Y. S. (2019). Evolution of antibiotic synthesis gene clusters in the *Streptomyces globisporus* TFH56, isolated from tomato flower. *G3* 9, 1807–1813. doi: 10.1534/g3.119.400037

Cho, G., Jo, G. S., Lee, Y., and Kwak, Y. S. (2022). Effect of *Scenedesmus* sp. CHK0059 on strawberry microbiota community. *J. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 32, 862–868. doi: 10.4014/jmb.2205.05016

Colombo, E. M., Kunova, A., Pizzatti, C., Saracchi, M., Cortesi, P., and Pasquali, M. (2019). Selection of an endophytic *Streptomyces* sp. strain DEF09 from wheat roots as a biocontrol agent against *Fusarium graminearum*. *Front. Microbiol.* 10:2356. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2019.02356

Costa, E., Teixidó, N., Usall, J., Atarés, E., and Viñas, I. (2002). The effect of nitrogen and carbon sources on growth of the biocontrol agent *Pantoea agglomerans* strain CPA-2. *Lett. Appl. microbiol.* 35, 117–120. doi: 10.1046/j.1472-765X.2002.01133.x

Diagne, N., Ngom, M., Djighaly, P. I., Fall, D., Hocher, V., and Svistoonoff, S. (2020). Roles of *Arbuscular mycorrhizal* fungi on plant growth and performance: Importance in biotic and abiotic stressed regulation. *Diversity* 12:370. doi: 10.3390/d1210 0370

Donald, L., Pipite, A., Subramani, R., Owen, J., Keyzers, R. A., and Taufa, T. (2022). *Streptomyces*: still the biggest producer of new natural secondary metabolites, a current perspective. *Microbiol. Res.* 13, 418–465. doi: 10.3390/microbiolres13030031

Du, J. X., Li, Y., Ur-Rehman, S., Mukhtar, I., Yin, Z., Dong, H., et al. (2021). Synergistically promoting plant health by harnessing synthetic microbial communities and prebiotics. *iScience* 24:102918. doi: 10.1016/j.isci.2021.102918

Ducray, H. A. G., Globa, L., Pustovyy, O., Morrison, E., Vodyanoy, V., and Sorokulova, I. (2019). Yeast fermentate prebiotic improves intestinal barrier integrity during heat stress by modulation of the gut microbiota in rats. *J. Appl. microbial.* 127, 1192–1206. doi: 10.1111/jam.14361

Estrela, S., Sanchez-Gorostiaga, A., Vila, J. C., and Sanchez, A. (2021). Nutrient dominance governs the assembly of microbial communities in mixed nutrient environments. *eLife* 10:e65948. doi: 10.7554/eLife.65948

Ezra, D., Castillo, U. F., Strobel, G. A., Hess, W. M., Porter, H., Jensen, J. B., et al. (2004). Coronamycins, peptide antibiotics produced by a verticillate *Streptomyces* sp. (MSU-2110) endophytic on Monstera sp. *Microbiology* 150, 785–793. doi: 10.1099/mic.0.26645-0

Fasusi, O. A., Cruz, C., and Babalola, O. O. (2021). Agricultural sustainability: microbial biofertilizers in rhizosphere management. *Agriculture* 11:163. doi: 10.3390/agriculture11020163

Fidan, O., and Zhan, J. (2019). Discovery and engineering of an endophytic *Pseudomonas* strain from *Taxus chinensis* for efficient production of zeaxanthin diglucoside. *J. Biol. Eng.* 13:66. doi: 10.1186/s13036-019-0196-x

Goudjal, Y., Zamoum, M., Sabaou, N., Mathieu, F., and Zitouni, A. (2016). Potential of endophytic *Streptomyces* spp. for biocontrol of Fusarium root rot disease and

growth promotion of tomato seedlings. *Biocontrol Sci. Techn.* 26, 1691–1705. doi: 10.1080/09583157.2016.1234584

Herrera-Quiterio, A., Toledo-Hernández, E., Aguirre-Noyola, J. L., Romero, Y., Ramos, J., Palemón-Alberto, F., et al. (2020). Antagonic and plant growth-promoting effects of bacteria isolated from mine tailings at El Fraile, Mexico. *Rev. Argent. Microbiol.* 52, 231–239. doi: 10.1016/j.ram.2019.08.003

Jeon, C. W., Kim, D. R., and Kwak, Y. S. (2019). Valinomycin, produced by *Streptomyces* sp. S8, a key antifungal metabolite in large patch disease suppressiveness. *World J. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 35:128. doi: 10.1007/s11274-019-2704-z

Jonsbu, E., Ellingsenc, T. E., and Nielsen, J. (2000). Effects of nitrogen sources on cell growth and production of nystatin by *Streptomyces noursei*. J. Antibiot. 53, 1354–1362. doi: 10.7164/antibiotics.53.1354

Kim, D. R., and Kwak, Y. S. (2021). A genome-wide analysis of antibiotic producing genes in *Streptomyces globisporus* SP6C4. *Plant Pathol. J.* 37:389. doi: 10.5423/PPJ.NT. 03.2021.0047

Kim, D. R., Cho, G., Jeon, C. W., Weller, D. M., Thomashow, L. S., Paulitz, T. C., et al. (2019a). A mutualistic interaction between *Streptomyces bacteria*, strawberry plants and pollinating bees. *Nat. Commun.* 10:4802. doi: 10.1038/s41467-019-12785-3

Kim, D. R., Jeon, C. W., Cho, G., Thomashow, L. S., Weller, D. M., Paik, M. J., et al. (2021). Glutamic acid reshapes the plant microbiota to protect plants against pathogens. *Microbiome* 9:244. doi: 10.1186/s40168-021-01186-8

Kim, M. J., Chae, D. H., Cho, G., Kim, D. R., and Kwak, Y. S. (2019b). Characterization of antibacterial strains against kiwifruit bacterial canker pathogen. *Plant Pathol. J.* 35:473. doi: 10.5423/PPJ.OA.05.2019.0154

Lacava, P. T., Bogas, A. C., and Cruz, P. N. (2022). Plant growth promotion and biocontrol by endophytic and rhizospheric microorganisms from the tropics: a review and perspectives. *Front. Sustain. Food Syst.* 6:796113. doi: 10.3389/fsufs.2022.796113

Lau, S. E., Teo, W. F. A., Teoh, E. Y., and Tan, B. C. (2022). Microbiome engineering and plant biostimulants for sustainable crop improvement and mitigation of biotic and abiotic stresses. *Discover Food.* 2:9. doi: 10.1007/s44187-022-00009-5

Li, X., Xu, S., Fuhrmann-Aoyagi, M. B., Yuan, S., Iwama, T., Kobayashi, M., et al. (2022). CRISPR/Cas9 technique for temperature, drought, and salinity stress responses. *Curr. Issues Mol. Biol.* 44, 2664–2682. doi: 10.3390/cimb44060182

Liu, H., Carvalhais, L. C., Crawford, M., Singh, E., Dennis, P. G., Pieterse, C. M., et al. (2017). Inner plant values: diversity, colonization and benefits from endophytic bacteria. *Front. Microbiol.* 8:2552. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2017.02552

Makhtoum, S., Sabouri, H., Gholizadeh, A., Ahangar, L., Katouzi, M., and Mastinu, A. (2022). Mapping of QTLs controlling barley agronomic traits (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) under normal conditions and drought and salinity stress at reproductive stage. *Plant Gene.* 31:100375. doi: 10.1016/j.plgene.2022.100375

Mattei, V., Motta, A., Saracchi, M., Kunova, A., Cortesi, P., Pizzatti, C., et al. (2022). Wheat seed coating with *Streptomyces* sp. strain DEF39 spores protects against Fusarium head blight. *Microorganisms* 10:1536. doi: 10.3390/microorganisms10081536

Mishra, A. K., Mottaleb, K. A., Khanal, A. R., and Mohanty, S. (2015). Abiotic stress and its impact on production efficiency: the case of rice farming in Bangladesh. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* 199, 146–153. doi: 10.1016/j.agee.2014.09.006

Mohamad, O. A. A., Liu, Y. H., Li, L., Ma, J. B., Huang, Y., Gao, L., et al. (2022). Synergistic plant-microbe interactions between endophytic *Actinobacteria* and their role in plant growth promotion and biological control of cotton under salt stress. *Microorganisms* 10:867. doi: 10.3390/microorganisms10050867

Murali, A., Bhargava, A., and Wright, E. S. (2018). IDTAXA: a novel approach for accurate taxonomic classification of microbiome sequences. *Microbiome* 6:140. doi: 10.1186/s40168-018-0521-5

Niu, S., Gao, Y., Zi, H., Liu, Y., Liu, X., Xiong, X., et al. (2022). The osmolyteproducing endophyte *Streptomyces albidoflavus* OsiLf-2 induces drought and salt tolerance in rice via a multi-level mechanism. *Crop J.* 10, 375–386. doi: 10.1016/j.cj. 2021.06.008

Nozari, R. M., Ramos, L. M., da Luz, L. A., Almeida, R. N., Lucas, A. M., Cassel, E., et al. (2022). Halotolerant *Streptomyces* spp. induce salt tolerance in maize through systemic induction of the antioxidant system and accumulation of proline. *Rhizosphere* 24:100623. doi: 10.1016/j.rhisph.2022.100623

Oviedo-Pereira, D. G., Lopez-Meyer, M., Evangelista-Lozano, S., Sarmiento-Lopez, L. G., Sepulveda-Jimenez, G., and Rodriguez-Monroy, M. (2022). Enhanced specialized metabolite, trichome density, and biosynthetic gene expression in *Stevia rebaudiana* (Bertoni) Bertoni plants inoculated with endophytic bacteria *Enterobacter hormaechei. PeerJ* 10:e13675. doi: 10.7717/peerj.13675/fig-2

Pacifico, D., Squartini, A., Crucitti, D., Barizza, E., Lo Schiavo, F., Muresu, R., et al. (2019). The role of the endophytic microbiome in the grapevine response to environmental triggers. *Front. Plant Sci.* 10:1256. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2019.01256

Palaniyandi, S. A., Damodharan, K., Yang, S. H., and Suh, J. W. (2014). *Streptomyces* sp. strain PGPA39 alleviates salt stress and promotes growth of 'micro tom'tomato plants. *J. Appl. Microbiol.* 117, 766–773. doi: 10.1111/jam.12563

Pandey, A., Shukla, A. N., and Majumdar, S. K. (2005). Utilization of carbon and nitrogen sources by *Streptomyces kanamyceticus* M 27 for the production of an antibacterial antibiotic. *Afr. J. Biotechnol.* 4, 909–910.

Passari, A. K., Mishra, V. K., Singh, G., Singh, P., Kumar, B., Gupta, V. K., et al. (2017). Insights into the functionality of endophytic actinobacteria with a focus on their biosynthetic potential and secondary metabolites production. *Sci. Rep.* 7:11809. doi: 10.1038/s41598-018-22947-w

Patel, J. K., Madaan, S., and Archana, G. (2018). Antibiotic producing endophytic *Streptomyces* spp. colonize above-ground plant parts and promote shoot growth in multiple healthy and pathogen-challenged cereal crops. *Microbiol. Res.* 215, 36–45. doi: 10.1016/j.micres.2018.06.003

Pawlak, K., and Kołodziejczak, M. (2020). The role of agriculture in ensuring food security in developing countries: considerations in the context of the problem of sustainable food production. *Sustainability* 12:5488. doi: 10.3390/su12135488

Phuakjaiphaeo, C., Chang, C. I., Ruangwong, O., and Kunasakdakul, K. (2016). Isolation and identification of an antifungal compound from endophytic *Streptomyces* sp. CEN26 active against *Alternaria brassicicola. Lett. Appl. Microbiol.* 63, 38–44. doi: 10.1111/lam.12582

Ramnani, P., Costabile, A., Bustillo, A. G. R., and Gibson, G. R. (2015). A randomized, double-blind, cross-over study investigating the prebiotic effect of agave fructans in healthy human subjects. *J. Nutr. Sci.* 4:e10. doi: 10.1017/jns.2014.68

Reese, A. T., Pereira, F. C., Schintlmeister, A., Berry, D., Wagner, M., Hale, L. P., et al. (2018). Microbial nitrogen limitation in the mammalian large intestine. *Nat. Microbiol.* 3, 1441–1450. doi: 10.1038/s41564-018-0267-7

Renau-Morata, B., Sánchez-Perales, M., Medina, J., Molina, R. V., Corrales, R., Carrillo, L., et al. (2014). Salinity assay in tomato. *Biosci. Protocol.* 4:e1215. doi: 10. 21769/BioProtoc.1215

Rico, A., and Preston, G. M. (2008). *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. tomato DC3000 uses constitutive and apoplast-induced nutrient assimilation pathways to catabolize nutrients that are abundant in the tomato apoplast. *Mol. Plant Microbe Interact.* 21, 269–282. doi: 10.1094/MPMI-21-2-0269

Samanta, A. K., Jayapal, N., Jayaram, C., Roy, S., Kolte, A. P., Senani, S., et al. (2015). Xylooligosaccharides as prebiotics from agricultural by-products: Production and applications. *Bioact. Carbohydr. Diet.* 5, 62–71. doi: 10.1016/j.bcdf.2014.1 2.003

Voelker, F., and Altaba, S. (2001). Nitrogen source governs the patterns of growth and pristinamycin production in '*Streptomyces pristinaespiralis*'. *Microbiology* 147, 2447–2459. doi: 10.1099/00221287-147-9-2447

Wang, F., Xu, M., Li, Q., Sattler, I., and Lin, W. (2010). p-Aminoacetophenonic acids produced by a mangrove endophyte *Streptomyces* sp. (strain HK10552). *Molecules* 15, 2782–2790. doi: 10.1021/np0500777

Wang, X., Xia, K., Yang, X., and Tang, C. (2019). Growth strategy of microbes on mixed carbon sources. *Nat. Commun.* 10:1279. doi: 10.1038/s41467-019-09 261-3

Worsley, S. F., Newitt, J., Rassbach, J., Batey, S. F., Holmes, N. A., Murrell, J. C., et al. (2020). *Streptomyces endophytes* promote host health and enhance growth across plant species. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 86:e1053. doi: 10.1128/AEM.01053-20

Xu, T., Cao, L., Zeng, J., Franco, C. M. M., Yang, Y., Hu, X., et al. (2019). The antifungal action mode of the rice endophyte *Streptomyces hygroscopicus* OsiSh-2 as a potential biocontrol agent against the rice blast pathogen. *Pestic. Biochem. Physiol.* 160, 58–69. doi: 10.1016/j.pestbp.2019.06.015

Yang, N., Nesme, J., Roder, H. L., Li, X., Zuo, Z., Petersen, M., et al. (2021). Emergent bacterial community properties induce enhanced drought tolerance in Arabidopsis. *NPJ Biofilms Microbiomes*. 7:82. doi: 10.1038/s41522-021-00253-0