Check for updates

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY Jianwei Wang, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences and Peking Union Medical College, China

REVIEWED BY Lok Raj Joshi, Ragon Institute of MGH MIT and Harvard, United States Gang Wang, Shandong Agricultural University, China

\*CORRESPONDENCE Li Xing ⊠ xingli107@gmail.com

<sup>†</sup>These authors have contributed equally to this work

SPECIALTY SECTION This article was submitted to Virology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Microbiology

RECEIVED 15 January 2023 ACCEPTED 20 February 2023 PUBLISHED 09 March 2023

#### CITATION

Shah PT, Bahoussi AN, Cui X, Shabir S, Wu C and Xing L (2023) Genetic diversity, distribution, and evolution of chicken anemia virus: A comparative genomic and phylogenetic analysis. *Front. Microbiol.* 14:1145225. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2023.1145225

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Shah, Bahoussi, Cui, Shabir, Wu and Xing. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Genetic diversity, distribution, and evolution of chicken anemia virus: A comparative genomic and phylogenetic analysis

Pir Tariq Shah<sup>1†</sup>, Amina Nawal Bahoussi<sup>1†</sup>, Xiaogang Cui<sup>1†</sup>, Shaista Shabir<sup>1</sup>, Changxin Wu<sup>1,2,3,4</sup> and Li Xing<sup>1,2,3,4</sup>\*

<sup>1</sup>Institutes of Biomedical Sciences, Shanxi University, Taiyuan, Shanxi, China, <sup>2</sup>Shanxi Provincial Key Laboratory of Medical Molecular Cell Biology, Shanxi University, Taiyuan, China, <sup>3</sup>Shanxi Provincial Key Laboratory for Prevention and Treatment of Major Infectious Diseases, Taiyuan, China, <sup>4</sup>The Key Laboratory of Chemical Biology and Molecular Engineering of Ministry of Education, Shanxi University, Taiyuan, China

Chicken infectious anemia (CIA) is an immunosuppressive poultry disease that causes aplastic anemia, immunosuppression, growth retardation and lymphoid tissue atrophy in young chickens and is responsible for huge economic losses to the poultry industry worldwide. The disease is caused by the chicken anemia virus (CAV), which belongs to the genus Gyrovirus, family Anelloviridae. Herein, we analyzed the full-length genomes of 243 available CAV strains isolated during 1991-2020 and classified them into two major clades, GI and GII, divided into three and four sub-clades, GI a-c, and GII a-d, respectively. Moreover, the phylogeographic analysis revealed that the CAVs spread from Japan to China, China to Egypt and subsequently to other countries, following multiple mutational steps. In addition, we identified eleven recombination events within the coding and non-coding regions of CAV genomes, where the strains isolated in China were the most active and involved in ten of these events. Furthermore, the amino acids variability analysis indicated that the variability coefficient exceeded the estimation limit of 1.00 in VP1, VP2, and VP3 proteins coding regions, demonstrating substantial amino acid drift with the rise of new strains. The current study offers robust insights into the phylogenetic, phylogeographic and genetic diversity characteristics of CAV genomes that may provide valuable data to map the evolutionary history and facilitate preventive measures of CAVs.

#### KEYWORDS

chicken anemia virus, phylogenetics, diversity, distribution, recombination

## Introduction

Chicken infectious anemia (CIA), caused by the chicken anemia virus (CAV), is an immunosuppressive poultry disease that typically causes aplastic anemia, immunosuppression, growth retardation and lymphoid tissue atrophy (Pope, 1991; Schat, 2009; Liu et al., 2022), causing huge economic losses to the poultry industry all around the world (Schat, 2009; Fatoba and Adeleke, 2019). In adult chickens, CAV causes a mild subclinical infection, however, the infected chickens are usually manifested immunosuppression and become more sensitive to secondary infections with bacterial, viral or fungal pathogens (Adair and Immunology, 2000). It also causes a sub-optimal response

to the vaccinations, making it difficult to control (Techera et al., 2021). Though chicken is the main host of CAV and all kinds of chicken breeds are susceptible to this virus, several reports support the presence of CAV in the feces of other birds and some animals, e.g., mice and dogs (Rijsewijk et al., 2011; Chu et al., 2012; Zhang et al., 2014; Fang et al., 2017; Li et al., 2017; Liu et al., 2022). CAV strains generally have a 30% mortality (Li et al., 2021), which may reach 60%, particularly in complicated cases (Gelderblom et al., 1989). CAV mainly infects 10-14 days-old chickens, leading to severe anemia, yellow bone marrow, aplasia of the bone marrow and atrophy of the lymphoid organ by damaging erythroblastoid cells, resulting in depletion of thymocytes, which makes the chickens immunodeficient (Jeurissen et al., 1992; McIlroy et al., 1992; Adair and Immunology, 2000). Another cause of high mortality in chickens is the atrophy of the thymus and bone marrow, which also causes immunosuppression and weight loss in 2-4 weeks-old chicks. Therefore, CAV is considered an important viral agent of avian species worldwide (Kabir et al., 2021).

CAV is a non-enveloped, icosahedral, and single-stranded DNA virus with 23-25 nm in diameter that belongs to the genus Gyrovirus of the Anelloviridae family (Rosario et al., 2017; Di Francesco et al., 2022). The virus genome is about 2.2-2.3 kb that contains three overlapping Open Reading Frames (ORFs) that encode 51.6 kDa VP1 capsid protein, 24 kDa VP2 associated-protein and 13.6 kDa VP3 apoptin protein (Rosenberger and Cloud, 1998; Lacorte et al., 2007), respectively. VP1 is the major structural protein that contains ample antigenic epitopes and plays a vital role in the growth and transmission of CAV (Renshaw et al., 1996). VP2 is a non-structural protein with phosphatase activity that plays a key role in the virus's assembly during the infectious cycle. VP2 is also a scaffold protein, which assists in folding the VP1 protein during viral particle assembly. Furthermore, the VP1/VP2 co-expression can stimulate the antibody neutralizing in the host cell (Koch et al., 1995; Peters et al., 2002). VP3 (apoptin protein) is the main virulence factor of CAV, which induces severe lympho-atrophy and anemia in infected chickens, and can trigger apoptosis independent of p53 activation in the host cell and many tumor cell lines (Jeurissen et al., 1992; Zhuang et al., 1995). VP1 and VP2 proteins are the prime targets in designing vaccines to induce neutralizing antibodies (Moeini et al., 2011a).

CAV transmission occurs through horizontal and vertical routes (Miller and Schat, 2004; Gimeno, 2013). Horizontal transmission occurs via feathers, feces, oral contamination, and from chicken to chicken, while vertical transmission occurs through breeders to their progeny (McNulty et al., 1991; Davidson et al., 2008). CAV can persist in highly acidic environments reaching up to pH 3, and is resistant to harsh chemicals such as chloroform and acetone, commonly used for disinfection (Goryo et al., 1985). CAV is quite stable at high temperatures, surviving at 80°C for 30 min and inactivating completely at 100°C for 10 min (Urlings et al., 1993). The CAV ubiquity is due to all these characteristics (Todd et al., 1990). Commercial vaccines are currently available in the form of live attenuated type (Batheja et al., 2021), which are effective in overcoming the infection; however, they pose the risk of horizontal and vertical transmission to other chicks (Zeng et al., 2021). The commercially accessible vaccines include the CAV vaccine developed based on non-pathogenic CAV grown in chicken embryos (Vielitz and Landgraf, 1988) and an attenuated live virus strain (Steenhuisen et al., 1994). These vaccines, however, cannot be used on chickens in the laying stage or within 21 days after slaughter. Furthermore, if a live vaccine is not properly attenuated, it can cause clinical disease, and the dissemination of modified viruses to young chicks can also cause disease (Moeini et al., 2011b). Continuous reports of CAV outbreaks due to vaccination failure have resulted in the development of a plethora of contemporary vaccines with possible protection (Batheja et al., 2021).

The first case of CAV was reported in 1979 in Japan, isolated from commercially produced chickens (Yuasa et al., 1979). Since then, the virus has been detected by isolation or serological analysis in many other countries in both laying and broiler chickens (Bulow et al., 1997) and has become a global epidemic, which is being reported in most of the poultry-breeding countries, including Egypt, Italy, and Argentina et al. (Rosenberger and Cloud, 1989; Craig et al., 2009; Abdel-Mawgod et al., 2018; Quaglia et al., 2021; Techera et al., 2021). In China, CAV was first reported in 1996 (Zhou et al., 1997) and then detected subsequently in a chicken flock in Shandong, Guangdong, Jiangsu and many other provinces (Ducatez et al., 2008; Eltahir et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2013; Li et al., 2017). Since 2014, CAV outbreaks have occurred frequently in southern China, particularly in the Guangdong province (Zeng et al., 2021). According to a study conducted on the live poultry market in southern China, the virus was present in up to 87% of the birds (Ducatez et al., 2008). Recent surveys of chicken farms have revealed that the CAV seropositivity rate is high in three provinces of China, e.g., Zhejiang, Jiangsu, and Anhui (Zeng et al., 2021). It is becoming difficult to control the virus spread because of its great genetic diversity depending upon region. Recently, another novel Gyrovirus has been identified in the feces of humans that have genomic similarities to CAV (Rao et al., 2022). Therefore, to better understand the genetic evolution of CAV, we evaluated the complete genomes of the globally isolated CAVs between 1991-2020 by analyzing the phylogenetic, phylogeographic, and recombination characteristics of the virus.

## Materials and methods

#### Dataset

In this study, we accessed the NCBI GenBank database and retrieved all the full-length genome sequences of CAV isolated globally from 1991 to 2020 (a total of 243), including 170 from China, 24 from Egypt, 22 from Turkey, 7 from Malaysia, 3 from Vietnam, Germany, USA, and Brazil respectively, 2 from Argentina and Australia respectively, and 1 from South Korea, India, Iran, and Japan, respectively. The virus strains were identified using their GenBank ID, name, collection year and country/region [GenBank ID: virus/strain-collection year-country/region].

# Phylogenetic tree construction and genomic similarity analysis

All the 243 full-length nucleotide sequences of CAV were aligned with the ClustalW using the MEGA11 software (Tamura et al., 2021) and edited using the BioEdit v7.2.5 package (Hall, 1999). Following

the alignment, the ML (maximum likelihood) phylogenetic tree was inferred with the best-fitting model TIM3+F+I+G4 using the IQ-TREE v1.6.12 (Trifinopoulos et al., 2016). The tree was modified and visualized with the help of FigTree v1.4.<sup>1</sup> In addition, the genetic similarity map of selected representative sequences was achieved using SimPlot v3.5.1 (Lole et al., 1999).

# Phylogeographic network of full-length chicken anemia virus genomes, 1991–2020

The phylogeographic network depicts the regional level spread, portrays the genetic linkages between the intra-specific sequences, and bridges the population genetic data by inferring their relationships (Leigh and Bryant, 2015). Thus, all the CAV full-length genomic sequences were modified and exported into Nexus format using the MEGA11 software (Tamura et al., 2021). The phylogeographic network was mapped by inferring the Minimum Spanning Network (MSN) implemented by the PopArt v1.7 (Leigh and Bryant, 2015). The network included fifteen groups from fourteen different countries, e.g., Mainland China (125 sequences), Taiwan region of China (45), Egypt (24), Turkey (22), Malaysia (7), USA (3), Brazil (3), Vietnam (3), Germany (3), Argentina (2), South Korea (1), India (1), Iran (1), Japan (1), and Australia (2).

# Recombination analysis of full-length chicken anemia virus genomes, 1991–2020

The recombination events among the 243 complete genome sequences of CAV were assessed using the RDP4 software package (Martin et al., 2015). The potential recombination events were detected using each of the seven algorithms implemented by the RDP4 software, e.g., RDP, GENECONV, SiScan, 3seq, Bootscan, Chimaera, and MaxChi. The recombination events were accepted as real when confirmed by at least three of these seven methods.

# Animo acids variability analysis of chicken anemia virus

The complete nucleotide sequences of all the 243 CAV ORFs (ORF1, ORF2, and ORF3, encoding the VP1, VP2, and VP3 proteins, respectively) were separately retrieved from the NCBI database and were aligned and translated into amino acids sequences using the MEGA11 software (Tamura et al., 2004; Kumar et al., 2018). The amino acids variability landscape was achieved with the Wu-Kabat variability coefficient method implemented by the PVS (protein variability server; Garcia-Boronat et al., 2008). The variability coefficient is calculated using the following formula: variability =  $N^*k/n$ , where N is the number of sequences in the alignment, k is the number of different amino acids at a given position, and *n* represents the time that the most commonly recognized amino acid at that position is available.

### Results

# Genotyping full-length chicken anemia virus genomes

A total of 243 complete genome sequences of CAV, isolated from 1991 to 2020, were analyzed to determine the phylogenetic, phylogeographic, and recombination patterns of CAVs and track the global spread of CAVs. All the full-length genomic sequences were aligned, and an ML (maximum likelihood) phylogenetic tree was constructed based on 1,000 bootstraps using best-fitting model TIM3+F+I+G4 in the IQ-TREE v1.6.12 (Trifinopoulos et al., 2016).

The phylogenetic tree indicated that all the CAV strains are grouped into two distinct clades GI and GII, where the GI clade is further divided into three sub-clades (GI-a, GI-b, and GI-c), while the GII into four sub-clades (GII-a, GII-b, GII-c, and GII-d) (Figure 1; Supplementary Figure S1). The strains from China (125 strains from China mainland and 45 from Taiwan) were the most dominant and were present in all sub-clades. The GI-a consisted of strains from China (33), Egypt (6) and the USA (1), while the GI-b was the most diverse sub-clade consisting of strains from China (2), Japan (1), Vietnam (1), Malaysia (2), Egypt (2), Brazil (3), Argentina (2), and Australia (1). In addition, five of the strains isolated in China mainland and Taiwan region, e.g., GX1904B (GenBank ID: MN103406.1), CIAV-Dog (GenBank ID: KU645524.1), SD24 (GenBank ID: AY999018.1), SD22 (GenBank ID: DQ141673.1), and 1840TW (GenBank ID: MT799766.1), clustered separately within the GI clade (shown as GI-c) and were more distanced from all the remaining strains (Figure 1; Table 1; Supplementary Figure S1).

On the other hand, the GII clade clustered the highest number of strains, where the GII-a encompasses only strains from Asia, e.g., China (87), Turkey (3), South Korea (1), and Vietnam (1). GII-b was the most diverse sub-clade within the GII, clustering strains from China (30), Turkey (19), Vietnam (1), Malaysia (4), India (1), Iran (1), Egypt (16), USA (2), Australia (1), and Germany (3), while the GII-c sub-clade was limited to strains only from China (12). Similar to the GI clade, two of the strains isolated in China and Malaysia, respectively, clustered separately from all the remaining strains within the GII clade, including the SD1505 isolated in China in 2015 (GenBank ID: KU645523.1) and the LF4 isolated in Malaysia in 2005 (GenBank ID: AY839944.2) (Figure 1: Table 1: Supplementary Figure S1). Interestingly, the CAV vaccine strain reported in Australia in 2007 (GenBank ID: EF683159.1) clustered within the GII-b and was genetically closer to the D02152 isolated in United States in 2003 (GenBank ID: AF311892.2). Similarly, the SDAUC-VacChina strain (GenBank ID: MF614011.1), which is reported to be a Newcastle disease virus-attenuated vaccine co-contaminated with fowl adenovirus and chicken infectious anemia virus (Su et al., 2018), clustered within the GI-a sub-clade (Figure 1; Supplementary Figure S1).

Since the CAV isolates showed great diversity, we further analyzed the genetic similarity of CAV full-length genomes using fifteen representative strains from each sub-clade and SD1510 strain (2015-China) (GenBank ID: KU598851.1) as the query. The genetic similarity analysis indicated a great diversity, corroborating our phylogenetic tree. The nucleotide position encoding the VP1 protein (nt1000-2,187) showed the lowest similarity level (<95%) and indicated two distinct groups (GI and GII). The nucleotide position

<sup>1</sup> http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree/



#### FIGURE 1

Phylogenetic tree based on the full-length genome sequences of CAV strains, 1991–2020. ML (maximum likelihood) phylogenetic tree of 243 fulllength genome sequences of CAV classified all strains into two major clades, GI and GII. GI can be further classified into three sub-clades (GI-a, GI-b, and GI-c), while GII into four sub-clades (GII-a, GI-b, GII-c, and GII-d). The major clades and sub-clades of the CAV are indicated. The percentage of replicate trees in which the associated taxa clustered together in the bootstrap test (1,000 replicates) are indicated at each node. The evolutionary distances were computed using the best-fit substitution model TIM3+F+I+G4. The tree was visualized and modified to be proportional using FigTree v1.4. The branches in red color represents the CAVs isolated in China, while the blue color branch represents the CAV vaccine strain (GenBank ID: EF683159.1). The detailed information about the viruses in the tree can be seen in Supplementary Figure S1.

around 1–1,000 (encoding VP2 and VP3 proteins) is shown to be the most conserved region. Moreover, the GXC060821 strain (GenBank ID: JX964755.1, 2006-China) in GII-a and the TZC1910 (GenBank ID: MW423616.1, 2019-China) in GI-b showed the lowest similarity (<85%) at nt positions 1–250 and 1,500–1,800, respectively, (Figure 2).

# Phylogeographic network of full-length chicken anemia virus genome sequences

We constructed the CAV full-length sequences-based phylogeographic network to further evaluate the regional level spread

Genotypes	Asia	Africa	Europe	America	Oceania	Total
GI-a	China (33)	Egypt (6)	-	USA (1)	-	40
GI-b	China (2), Japan (1), Vietnam (1), Malaysia (2)	Egypt (2)	Argentina (2)	Brazil (3)	Australia (1)	14
GI-c	China (5)	-	-	-	-	5
GII-a	China (87), Turkey (3), South Korea (1), Vietnam (1)	-	-	-	-	92
GII-b	China (30), Turkey (19), Vietnam (1), Malaysia (4), India (1), Iran (1)	Egypt (16)	Germany (3)	USA (2)	Australia (1)	78
GII-c	China (12)	-	-	-	-	12
GII-d	China (1), Malaysia (1)	-	-	-	-	2
Total	206	24	5	6	2	243

TABLE 1 Geographic distribution of CAV full-length genomes-based genotypes, 1991–2020.



and potential mutational steps of CAVs. In consistence with our phylogenetic and genetic similarity results, the phylogeographic analysis indicated a huge diversity of CAVs. The network analysis showed two major clusters (relative to GI and GII clades) with multiple further sub-branches within each cluster, where the strains isolated in China mainland and Taiwan region dominated the network (Figure 3). Interestingly, Cluster 1 and Cluster 2 are connected by three strains isolated in the USA and Egypt, e.g., 98D06073 (GenBank ID: AF311900.3, 2006-USA), CAV-CA1-2015 (GenBank ID: MG827098.1, 2015-Egypt) and CAV-GZ2-2016 (GenBank ID:

MG827099.1, 2016-Egypt), respectively, following 25 and 32 mutational substitutions within these strains. Similarly, the strains isolated in Brazil and Argentina are connected to the early isolated strain (GenBank ID: U65414.1, 1996-Australia) and TR20 (GenBank ID: AB027470.1, 1999-Japan) through a short mutational branch, while the majority of strains isolated in Turkey are connected to the CAV-EG-26 strain (GenBank ID: MH001564.1, 2017-Egypt) (Figure 3). In addition, the CAV vaccine strain 3,711 (GenBank ID: EF683159.1, 2007-Australia) is connected to the 98D02152 (GenBank ID: AF311892.2, 2003-USA) and CAV-SK4-2017 (GenBank ID:

MG827100.1,2017-Egypt), both of which were clustered within the GII-b in the phylogenetic tree (Figure 1; Supplementary Figure S1). These results speculate the spread of CAVs from Japan to China to Egypt and subsequently to multiple regions of the globe. The spread of CAVs between different regions may have occurred through the trade of birds and their products or by natural carriers.

#### **Recombination analysis**

Since the phylogenetic, genetic similarity, and phylogeographic network analyses revealed possible mutations and genetic exchanges, we evaluated the occurrence of recombination among the 243 full-length genome sequences of CAVs. The RDP4 software package (Martin et al., 2015) was used to map the recombination patterns and genomic breakpoints. We identified a total of eleven recombination events, among which, ten events were inter-genotype (Events 1–10), and only one was intra-genotype (Event 11; Table 2). As shown in Figure 4, four events (Events 2, 3, 5 and 6) occurred within the VP1 protein coding region (breakpoints beginning at nt 1,560, 1,678, 1,810, 2,024, and ending at nt 1,660, 65, 2,088, and 78, respectively). Similarly, two events (Event 4 and 11) occurred within the VP1/VP2 proteins encoding regions (breakpoints beginning at nt 930, 924 and ending at nt 1,314, 1,371 respectively), one event (Event 1) within VP2 (beginning at nt 112 and ending at nt 456), one (Event 8) within the 5' end region (beginning at nt 114 and ending



#### TABLE 2 Identification of 11 potential recombination events in the genome of CAVs isolated during 1991–2020.

Event serial NO.	Recombinant		Minor parent		Major parent		Detection methods
	GenBank ID: Virus name (Year-Country/region)	Genogroup	GenBank ID: Virus name (Year-Country/ region)	Genogroup	GenBank ID: Virus name (Year-Country/region)	Genogroup	R,G,B,M,C,S,T
1	MK887171.1:N1(2016-China)	GII-a	DQ124936.1:AH4(2005-China)	GI-b	JX964755.1:GXC060821(2006- China)	GII-a	++++++
2	MW423616.1:TZC1910(2019- China)	GI-b	MH186141.1:CIAV- Guangdong11(2018-China)	GI-a	JX260426.1:GD-1-12 (2012-China)	GII-a	++++++
3	KU645524.1:CIAV-Dog(2015- China)	GI-c	KU641014.1:JN1503(2015-China)	GI-a	KX447633.1:BS-C1(2016-China)	GII-c	++++++
4	MW423616.1:TZC1910(2019- China)	GI-b	MH186142.1:CIAV-Shanxi7(2018- China)	GI-a	AY843527.2:TJBD33(2005-China)	GII-b	+-++++
5	AY999018.1:SD24(2005-China)	GI-c	MH186139.1:CIAV-Hebei2(2018- China)	GI-a	MK484614.1:GX1801(2018-China)	GII-a	++++++
6	*KX447633.1:BS-C1(2016-China)	GII-c	MH186141.1:CIAV- Guangdong11(2018-China)	GI-a	KU598851.1:SD1510(2015-China)	GII-a	++++
7	*KU641014.1:JN1503(2015-China)	GI-a	KU645514.1:HB1404(2014- China)	GII-a	MH186139.1:CIAV-Hebei2(2018- China)	GI-a	++++++
8	*MH186139.1:CIAV-Hebei2(2018- China)	GI-a	MH186140.1:CIAV-Anhui8(2018- China)	GII-b	MF614011.1:SDAUC-Vac(2017- China)	GI-a	+++
9	MN103402.1:GX1904A(2019- China)	GI-a	MT795930.1:1537TW(2016- Taiwan, China)	GII-b	KU645516.1:HB1517(2015-China)	GII-b	+++-+
10	*MH186142.1:CIAV-Shanxi7(2018- China)	GI-a	KY486147.1:JL14026(2014-China)	GII-a	MF614011.1:SDAUC-Vac(2017- China)	GI-a	+-++++
11	KU645519.1:SD1508(2015-China)	GII-a	DQ124935.1:AH6(2005-China)	GII-b	JF507715.1:CIAV89-69 (1991-South_Korea)	GII-a	+++

R, RDP; G, GENECONV; B, BootScan; M, MaxChi; C, Chimaera; S, SiScan; T, 3seq. +, verified; -, not verified. \*The major or minor parent may be the actual recombinant due to the possibility of misidentification.



Genetic recombination analysis of 243 full-length genomes of CAV, 1991–2020. Diagram on the top showing the full-length genome of CAV and the corresponding regions encoding the VP1, VP2, and VP3 proteins. The numbers indicate the nucleotide positions relative to the genome of CAV. Schematic representation of the eleven potential recombination events listed in Table 2. The serial number of the recombination events and the description of potential recombinants (GenBank ID: virus name/collection year-country/region) are shown on the left. The pink and gray blocks represent the DNA regions from minor and major parent viruses, respectively. The numbers on the top of filled pink blocks indicate the nucleotide positions of breakpoints relative to the genome sequences of the corresponding recombinant viruses on the left.

at nt 155), while three events (Event 7, 9, and 10) encompassed all the three protein VP1/VP2/VP3 coding regions (beginning at nt 2,152, 2,128, 2,168, and ending at nt 961, 490, 993, respectively; Figure 4).

The results showed that the CAV strains isolated in China are highly active and appeared in all recombination events. The unique intra-genotype recombination event (Event 11) involved a strain from South Korea, CIAV89-69 (GenBank ID: JF507715.1, 1991-South Korea), as a major parent. Importantly, two of the strains that clustered separately in our phylogenetic tree within the GI-c, e.g., CIAV-Dog (GenBank ID: KU645524.1, 2015-China) and SD24 (GenBank ID: AY999018.1, 2005-China), were found to be recombinants (Events 3 and 5, respectively), the AH4 strain (GenBank ID: DQ124936.1, 2005-China) that clustered as a distanced strain within the GI-b appeared as a minor parent of the recombinant N1 of Event 1 (GenBank ID: MK887171.1, 2016-China) (Figure 4; Table 2); meanwhile, the strain TZC1910 (MW423616, 2019-China) that showed the lowest similarity level (<85% at VP1 gene) is identified as a recombinant event (Event 2) with beginning and ending breakpoints located within VP1 coding region (nt1560 and nt1660, respectively).

To further validate the evidence of the identified recombination events, we constructed three separate phylogenetic trees based on the three fragments of the CAV genome. The first fragment (nt 1–450) corresponds to the 5' end to the beginning of VP1 and VP2 ORFs, the second fragment (nt 1–900) encodes for the VP1 and VP2, and the third fragment (nt 1,800-2,187) is relative to the 3' end of the VP1 ORF. The short fragments-based phylogenetic trees are not superimposable on each other (Supplementary Figures S2A–C). For instance, the recombinant in event 1 nested with its minor parent in the first tree (Supplementary Figure S2A) but with its major parents in the second (Supplementary Figure S2B) and third (Supplementary Figure S2C) trees. The results indicate that the recombination of CAV genomes drives the rise of new virus lineages.

# Amino acid variability pattern of chicken anemia virus proteins

The amino acid variability patterns across the three VP1, VP2, and VP3 proteins of CAV were assessed using the Wu-Kabat variability method offered by the PVS. The consensus sequence of the VP1 protein consisted of 449 amino acids, the VP2 of 216 amino acids, and the VP3 protein contained 121 amino acids. The Wu-Kabat variability coefficient indicated significant variability across all three proteins, where the values in multiple regions exceeded the estimation limit of 1 (Figures 5A–C). The VP1 protein was indicated to be the most variable, especially at the aa region



11–30, 285–294, and 370–378 (highest values 8, 8, and 7, respectively; Figure 5A). Similarly, the VP2 aa position 149–186 and VP3 aa position 2–35 indicated great variability (highest values 5 and 4 respectively) (Figures 5B,C). These results suggest that the amino acids across all three proteins varied greatly during 1991–2020.

# Discussion

The chicken anemia virus is responsible for immunosuppressive poultry disease CIA (Pope, 1991; Schat, 2009; Liu et al., 2022), causing huge economic losses to the poultry industry in many parts of the world (Schat, 2009; Fatoba and Adeleke, 2019). According to the International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses (ICTV), the virus is placed in the family Anelloviridae and genus Gyrovirus (Rosario et al., 2017; ICTV, 2020; Di Francesco et al., 2022), however, there is no classification on the clades and sub-clades level as per ICTV, and CAV strains are usually classified into different groups and sub-groups by the researchers reporting the new strains (Craig et al., 2009; Zhang et al., 2013; Liu et al., 2022; Zhang et al., 2022). Previously, CAVs were sorted into five groups (A to E) based on 54 partial genomic sequences (Zhang et al., 2013), or into four major groups (A, B, C, and D) based on 55 VP1, VP2, and VP3 complete coding sequences (Eltahir et al., 2011). Similarly, 121 complete genomes of CAVs were divided into eight lineages (Li et al., 2017). Herein, we accessed the NCBI database and retrieved the available full-length genome sequences (a total of 243) isolated globally from 1991 to 2020 and grouped them into two distinct clades, GI and GII, where the GI clade is further divided into three sub-clades (GI-a, GI-b, and GI-c), while GII into four sub-clades (GII-a, GII-b, GII-c, and GII-d). The differences in CAV classification proposed by previous studies are related to different classification methods and inclusion criteria of the viruses, where researchers have analyzed different reference strains with different sequence lengths. For example, 54 partial genomes-based and 55 complete genome-based studies generated the CAV phylogenetic trees using the Neighbor-Joining approach with MEGA software (Eltahir et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2013), while the 121 complete genomes-based study analyzed the CAV genetic evolution using the ML (maximum likelihood) method with RAxML software (Li et al., 2017). We analyzed the available full-length genome sequences and inferred the ML phylogenetic tree with the best-fitting model using the IQ-TREE multicore version 1.6.12 (Trifinopoulos et al., 2016). Our results provide the latest and most robust phylogenetic analysis that may be used to place the existing and newly reported strains.

Since the first case of CAV in 1979 in Japan (Yuasa et al., 1979), the virus has spread to most of the poultry-breeding countries (Rosenberger and Cloud, 1989; Craig et al., 2009; Abdel-Mawgod et al., 2018; Quaglia et al., 2021; Techera et al., 2021). Thus, we analyzed the phylogeographic network of all CAV strains available to date. The phylogeographic analysis also revealed two major clusters corresponding to the GI and GII clades of the phylogenetic analysis. CAV outbreaks have been reported to be frequently occurred in China since 2014, especially in the southern region of the country (Zeng et al., 2021). Our results speculate the spread of the virus from Japan to China, China to Egypt and other countries. A previous study has also reported similar results, which reported that the CAV spread from Japan to Africa and South America during 1984–1985 and from China to Egypt during 1984 (Techera et al., 2021). These results are also in agreement with the historical records, showing the evidence that during the 1980s, China and Japan were the two main producers and exporters of poultry, which may have facilitated the CAV introduction into other parts of the world (FAOSTAT, 2018).

Genetic recombination is crucial in the evolution of viruses and plays a significant role in maintaining or generating diversity in viruses. CAVs exhibit a low efficiency of recombination as they are DNA viruses. Nevertheless, earlier studies have provided evidence of genetic recombination events in CAVs (Eltahir et al., 2011; Tan et al., 2020; Li et al., 2021; Liu et al., 2022). In this study, we detected eleven recombination events among the CAVs isolated from 1991– 2020. The published studies suggest that recombination within CAVs could occur across the coding and non-coding regions (Li et al., 2017; Tan et al., 2020), which is consistent with the findings in our study.

The CAV genome contains three overlapping ORFs encoding the VP1, VP2, and VP3 proteins (Rosenberger and Cloud, 1998; Lacorte et al., 2007). The amino acid variability analysis in this study indicated that the VP1 protein had the highest variability, concentrated in some hypervariable regions, e.g., regions aa 11-30, aa 285-294, and aa 370-378. This finding is consistent with a recent report, which shows that the VP1 protein has the highest mutation rate, and sites of amino acid variations are concentrated in hypervariable regions (Liu et al., 2022). These concentrated variation sites within the VP1 seem to be related to the replication and pathogenicity of the virus (Renshaw et al., 1996; Yamaguchi et al., 2001; Todd et al., 2002). In contrast to the notion that VP2 and VP3 are the most conserved proteins with no universal mutations (Liu et al., 2022), our results indicate several variable regions within VP2 and VP3 proteins that exceeded the estimation limit. Though, the binding site of CAV in chickens remains to be elucidated, VP1 and VP2 proteins are the prime targets in designing vaccines to induce neutralizing antibodies (Moeini et al., 2011a), and our findings may provide valued information for vaccine design as well as better understanding of CAV pathogenesis. Our findings indicate that the amino acids across all three proteins have greatly varied during 1991-2020. This divergence is also clearly evidenced from the existence of various sub-clades within each clade in the phylogenetic tree (Figure 1) and multiple mutational branches within the phylogeographic network of the CAVs (Figure 3). Therefore, we speculate that substantial genetic mutation and recombination in CAV genomes were involved in generation of new viral lineages.

In summary, this study provides the latest insights into the phylogenetic characteristics, geographic distribution and genetic variability patterns of the chicken anemia virus based on the fulllength genomic sequences isolated in 1991–2020. The classification of CAVs into two major clades with further sub-clades may offer a robust system of placing the existing and future strains. In addition, genetic recombination and amino acid variability indications may be used to determine the pathogenicity and design effective vaccines to facilitate the prevention and control measures of CAVs.

# Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

## **Ethical statement**

For this retrospective type of study, formal consent is not required. Statement on the welfare of animals is not applicable as sample collection from animals has been done before.

## Author contributions

PS and LX: conceptualization. PS, AB, XC, and SS: data analysis. PS: visualization and writing. CW and LX: administration. PS, AB, and LX: manuscript revision. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

## Funding

The Program of Introducing Talents of Discipline to Universities (D21004).

## Acknowledgments

We thank all the persons who contributed to the collection and production of genomic sequences of chicken anemia virus in NCBI GenBank.

# **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

# Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

# Supplementary material

The Supplementary material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2023.1145225/ full#supplementary-material

## References

Abdel-Mawgod, S., Adel, A., Arafa, A.-S., and Hussein, H. A. (2018). Full genome sequences of chicken anemia virus demonstrate mutations associated with pathogenicity in two different field isolates in Egypt. *Virus* 29, 333–341. doi: 10.1007/s13337-018-0467-z

Adair, B. J. D., and Immunology, C. (2000). Immunopathogenesis of chicken anemia virus infection. *Dev. Comp. Immunol.* 24, 247–255. doi: 10.1016/S0145-305X(99)00076-2

Batheja, R., Ramamurthy, N., Silva, A. L. D., Pathak, D. C., Singh, A., Marriappan, A. K., et al. (2021). Chicken anemia virus infection of poultry and its control by vaccines. *J. Pharm. Innov.* 10, 773–778.

Bulow, V. V., Schat, K. J. D. O. P., Calnek, W. B., Mosby, W., and Ames, I. A. (1997). "Chicken Infectious Anemia," in *Diseases of Poultry*. Iowa State University Press; Ames, IA. 10th Edn, 739–756.

Chu, D. K., Poon, L. L., Chiu, S. S., Chan, K. H., Ng, E. M., Bauer, I., et al. (2012). Characterization of a novel gyrovirus in human stool and chicken meat. *J. Clin. Virol.* 55, 209–213. doi: 10.1016/j.jcv.2012.07.001

Craig, M. I., Rimondi, A., Delamer, M., Sansalone, P., König, G., Vagnozzi, A., et al. (2009). Molecular characterization of chicken infectious anemia virus circulating in Argentina during 2007. *Avian Dis.* 53, 331–335. doi: 10.1637/8478-100808-Reg.1

Davidson, I., Artzi, N., Shkoda, I., Lublin, A., Loeb, E., and Schat, K. (2008). The contribution of feathers in the spread of chicken anemia virus. *Virus Res.* 132, 152–159. doi: 10.1016/j.virusres.2007.11.012

Di Francesco, A., Quaglia, G., Salvatore, D., Sakhria, S., Catelli, E., Bessoussa, G., et al. (2022). Occurrence of chicken infectious anemia virus in industrial and backyard tunisian broilers: preliminary results. *Animals (Basel)* 12:62. doi: 10.3390/ani12010062

Ducatez, M., Chen, H., Guan, Y., and Muller, C. (2008). Molecular epidemiology of chicken anemia virus (CAV) in southeastern Chinese live birds markets. *Avian Dis.* 52, 68–73. doi: 10.1637/8049-070407-Reg

Eltahir, Y. M., Qian, K., Jin, W., and Qin, A. (2011). Analysis of chicken anemia virus genome: evidence of intersubtype recombination. *Virol. J.* 8, 1–7. doi: 10.1186/1743-422X-8-512

Eltahir, Y. M., Qian, K., Jin, W., Wang, P., and Qin, A. (2011). Molecular epidemiology of chicken anemia virus in commercial farms in China. *Virol. J.* 8, 1–8. doi: 10.1186/1743-422X-8-145

Fang, L., Li, Y., Wang, Y., Fu, J., Cui, S., Li, X., et al. (2017). Genetic analysis of two chicken infectious anemia virus variants-related gyrovirus in stray mice and dogs: the first report in China, 2015. *Bio Med. Res. Int.* 2017:6707868. doi: 10.1155/2017/6707868

FAOSTAT. (2018). Crops and livestock products: food and agriculture organization of the United Nations. Available at: https://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#data/QCL/visualize (Accessed February 20, 2023).

Fatoba, A., and Adeleke, M. (2019). Chicken anemia virus: a deadly pathogen of poultry. Acta Virol. 63, 19–25. doi: 10.4149/av\_2019\_110

Garcia-Boronat, M., Diez-Rivero, C. M., Reinherz, E. L., and Reche, P. A. (2008). PVS: a web server for protein sequence variability analysis tuned to facilitate conserved epitope discovery. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 36, W35–W41. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkn211

Gelderblom, H., Kling, S., Lurz, R., and Tischer, I. (1989). Morphological characterization of chicken anaemia agent (CAA). *Arch. Virol.* 109, 115–120. doi: 10.1007/BF01310522

Gimeno, I. M. Immunosuppressive Diseases of Poultry: Servet, Grupo Asís Biomedia: Zaragoza. (2013).

Goryo, M., Sugimura, H., Matsumoto, S., Umemura, T., and Itakura, C. J. A. P. (1985). Isolation of an agent inducing chicken anaemia. *Avian Pathol.* 14, 483–496.

Hall, T. A., Bio edit: A User-Friendly Biological Sequence Alignment Editor and Analysis Program for Windows 95/98/NT. Nucleic Acids Symposium Series. (1999). London: Information Retrieval Ltd., c1979–c2000.

ICTV. (2020). Taxon details; chicken anemia virus. Available at: https://ictv.global/ taxonomy/taxondetails?taxnode\_id=202102554 (Accessed February 20, 2023).

Jeurissen, S. H., Janse, M. E., van Roozelaar, D. J., Koch, G., and de Boer, G. F. (1992). Susceptibility of thymocytes for infection by chicken anemia virus is related to pre-and posthatching development. *Dev Immunol.* 2, 123–129. doi: 10.1155/1992/52484

Jeurissen, S., Wagenaar, F., Pol, J., Van der Eb, A., and Noteborn, M. J. J. O. V. (1992). Chicken anemia virus causes apoptosis of thymocytes after in vivo infection and of cell lines after in vitro infection. *J. Virol.* 66, 7383–7388. doi: 10.1128/jvi.66.12.7383-7388.1992

Kabir, M. A. A., Sukumar Saha, M., Hossain, G., Khan, K. A., Islam, M. A., Rahman, L. J. J. O. A. V., et al. (2021). Serological survey on the prevalence of chicken infectious anemia virus in broiler breeder and layer farms in some selected areas of Bangladesh. *J. Adv. Vet. Anim. Res.* 8, 323–329.

Koch, G., van Roozelaar, D. J., Verschueren, C. A., van der Eb, A. J., and Noteborn, M. H. (1995). Immunogenic and protective properties of chicken anaemia virus proteins expressed by baculovirus. *Vaccine* 13, 763–770. doi: 10.1016/0264-410X(94)00034-K

Kumar, S., Stecher, G., Li, M., Knyaz, C., and Tamura, K. (2018). MEGA X: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis across computing platforms. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 35, 1547–1549. doi: 10.1093/molbev/msy096

Lacorte, C., Lohuis, H., Goldbach, R., and Prins, M. (2007). Assessing the expression of chicken anemia virus proteins in plants. *Virus Res.* 129, 80–86. doi: 10.1016/j. virusres.2007.06.020

Leigh, J. W., and Bryant, D. (2015). POPART: full-feature software for haplotype network construction. *Methods Ecol. Evol.* 6, 1110–1116. doi: 10.1111/2041-210X.12410

Li, Y., Fang, L., Cui, S., Fu, J., Li, X., Zhang, H., et al. (2017). Genomic characterization of recent chicken anemia virus isolates in China. *Front. Microbiol.* 8:401. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2017.00401

Li, Y., Yan, N., Wang, Y., Liu, A., Liu, C., Lan, X., et al. (2021). Molecular evolution and pathogenicity of chicken anemia virus isolates in China. *Arch. Virol.* 166, 439–449. doi: 10.1007/s00705-020-04909-8

Liu, L., Li, Y., Yin, M., Zhao, P., Guo, L., and Wang, Y. (2022). Genomic characterization of chicken anemia virus in broilers in Shandong Province, China, 2020–2021. *Front. Vet. Sci.* 9:6860. doi: 10.3389/fvets.2022.816860

Lole, K. S., Bollinger, R. C., Paranjape, R. S., Gadkari, D., Kulkarni, S. S., Novak, N. G., et al. (1999). Full-length human immunodeficiency virus type 1 genomes from subtype C-infected seroconverters in India, with evidence of intersubtype recombination. *J. Virol.* 73, 152–160. doi: 10.1128/JVI.73.1.152-160.1999

Martin, D. P., Murrell, B., Golden, M., Khoosal, A., and Muhire, B. (2015). RDP4: detection and analysis of recombination patterns in virus genomes. *Virus Evol*. 1:vev003. doi: 10.1093/ve/vev003

McIlroy, S., McNulty, M., Bruce, D., Smyth, J., Goodall, E., and Alcorn, M. J. A. D. (1992). Economic effects of clinical chicken anemia agent infection on profitable broiler production. *Avian Dis.* 36, 566–574.

McNulty, M., McIlroy, S., Bruce, D., and Todd, D. J. (1991). Economic effects of subclinical chicken anemia agent infection in broiler chickens. *Avian Dis.*, 263–268.

Miller, M. M., and Schat, K. (2004). Chicken infectious anemia virus: an example of the ultimate host-parasite relationship. *Avian Dis.* 48, 734–745. doi: 10.1637/7271-090304R

Moeini, H., Omar, A. R., Rahim, R. A., and Yusoff, K. (2011a). Improving the potency of DNA vaccine against chicken anemia virus (CAV) by fusing VP1 protein of CAV to Marek's disease virus (MDV) type-1 VP22 protein. *Virol. J.* 8, 1–9. doi: 10.1186/1743-422X-8-119

Moeini, H., Omar, A. R., Rahim, R. A., and Yusoff, K. (2011b). Development of a DNA vaccine against chicken anemia virus by using a bicistronic vector expressing VP1 and VP2 proteins of CAV. *Comp. Immunol. Microbiol. Infect. Dis.* 34, 227–236. doi: 10.1016/j. cimid.2010.11.006

Peters, M. A., Jackson, D. C., Crabb, B. S., and Browning, G. F. (2002). Chicken anemia virus VP2 is a novel dual specificity protein phosphatase. *J. Biol. Chem.* 277, 39566–39573. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M201752200

Pope, C. R. (1991). Chicken anemia agent. Vet. Immunol. Immunopathol. 30, 51–65. doi: 10.1016/0165-2427(91)90008-Z

Quaglia, G., Mescolini, G., Catelli, E., Berto, G., Muccioli, F., and Lupini, C. (2021). Genetic heterogeneity among chicken infectious anemia viruses detected in Italian fowl. *Animals* 11:944. doi: 10.3390/ani11040944

Rao, P. L., Priyanka, E., Kannaki, T., and Subbiah, M. J. V. R. (2022). Whole genome analysis and molecular characterization of chicken infectious anemia virus from an outbreak in a layer flock reveals circulation of genogroup IIIb in South India. *Virus Res.* 308:198649. doi: 10.1016/j.virusres.2021.198649

Renshaw, R. W., Soiné, C., Weinkle, T., O'Connell, P. H., Ohashi, K., Watson, S., et al. (1996). A hypervariable region in VP1 of chicken infectious anemia virus mediates rate of spread and cell tropism in tissue culture. *J. Virol.* 70, 8872–8878. doi: 10.1128/jvi.70.12.8872-8878.1996

Rijsewijk, F. A., Dos Santos, H. F., Teixeira, T. F., Cibulski, S. P., Varela, A. P., Dezen, D., et al. (2011). Discovery of a genome of a distant relative of chicken anemia virus reveals a new member of the genus Gyrovirus. *Arch. Virol.* 156, 1097–1100. doi: 10.1007/s00705-011-0971-6

Rosario, K., Breitbart, M., Harrach, B., Segale, S. J., Delwart, E., Biagini, P., et al. (2017). Revisiting the taxonomy of the family Circoviridae: establishment of the genus Cyclovirus and removal of the genus Gyrovirus. *Arch. Virol.* 162, 1447–1463. doi: 10.1007/s00705-017-3247-v

Rosenberger, J. K., and Cloud, S. S. (1989). The isolation and characterization of chicken anemia agent (CAA) from broilers in the United States. *Avian Dis.* 33, 707–713.

Rosenberger, J. K., and Cloud, S. S. (1998). Chicken anemia virus. Poult. Sci. 77, 1190–1192. doi: 10.1093/ps/77.8.1190

Schat, K. J. T. V. (2009). Chicken anemia virus. Curr. Top Microbiol. Immunol. 331, 151–183. doi: 10.1007/978-3-540-70972-5\_10

Steenhuisen, W., Jagt, H., and Schrier, C., The use of a live attenuated CAV vaccine in breeder flocks in the Netherlands. Proceedings of the international symposium on infectious bursal disease and infectious chicken Anaemia, Rauischholzhausen, Germany: University of Giesen. (1994).

Su, Q., Li, Y., Meng, F., Cui, Z., Chang, S., and Zhao, P. (2018). Newcastle disease virusattenuated vaccine co-contaminated with fowl adenovirus and chicken infectious anemia virus results in inclusion body hepatitis-hydropericardium syndrome in poultry. *Vet. Microbiol.* 218, 52–59. doi: 10.1016/j.vetmic.2018.03.019

Tamura, K., Nei, M., and Kumar, S. (2004). Prospects for inferring very large phylogenies by using the neighbor-joining method. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 101, 11030–11035. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0404206101

Tamura, K., Stecher, G., and Kumar, S. (2021). MEGA11: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis version 11. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 38, 3022–3027. doi: 10.1093/molbev/msab120

Tan, C., Wang, Z., Lei, X., Lu, J., Yan, Z., Qin, J., et al. (2020). Epidemiology, molecular characterization, and recombination analysis of chicken anemia virus in Guangdong province. *China. Arch. Virol.* 165, 1409–1417. doi: 10.1007/s00705-020-04604-8

Techera, C., Marandino, A., Tomás, G., Grecco, S., Hernández, M., Hernández, D., et al. (2021). Origin, spreading and genetic variability of chicken anaemia virus. *Avian Pathol.* 50, 311–320. doi: 10.1080/03079457.2021.1919289

Todd, D., Creelan, J. L., Mackie, D. P., Rixon, F., and MS, M. N. (1990). Purification and biochemical characterization of chicken anaemia agent. *J. Gen. Virol.* 71, 819–823.

Todd, D., Scott, A. N., Ball, N. W., Borghmans, B. J., and Adair, B. M. (2002). Molecular basis of the attenuation exhibited by molecularly cloned highly passaged chicken anemia virus isolates. *J. Virol.* 76, 8472–8474. doi: 10.1128/JVI.76.16.8472-8474.2002

Trifinopoulos, J., Nguyen, L.-T., von Haeseler, A., and Minh, B. Q. (2016). W-IQ-TREE: a fast online phylogenetic tool for maximum likelihood analysis. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 44, W232–W235. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkw256 Urlings, H., De Boer, G., Van Roozelaar, D., and Koch, G. J. V. Q. (1993). Inactivation of chicken anaemia virus in chickens by heating and fermentation. *Vet Q.* 15, 85–88.

Vielitz, E., and Landgraf, H. J. (1988). Anaemia-dermatitis of broilers: Field observations on its occurrence, transmission and prevention. *Avian Pathol.* 17, 113–120.

Yamaguchi, S., Imada, T., Kaji, N., Mase, M., Tsukamoto, K., Tanimura, N., et al. (2001). Identification of a genetic determinant of pathogenicity in chicken anaemia virus. J. Gen. Virol. 82, 1233–1238. doi: 10.1099/0022-1317-82-5-1233

Yuasa, N., Taniguchi, T., and Yoshida, I. (1979). Isolation and some characteristics of an agent inducing anemia in chicks. *Avian Dis.* 23, 366–385.

Zeng, F., Li, W., Ke, J., Wen, F., Guo, J., Zhang, X., et al. (2021). Epidemiological characteristics and genetic diversity of chicken infectious anemia virus (CIAV) in Guangdong Province, China. *Res. Square*, Preprint. doi: 10.21203/rs.3.rs-385481/v1

Zhang, M., Deng, X., Xie, Z., Zhang, Y., Xie, Z., Xie, L., et al. (2022). Molecular characterization of chicken anemia virus in Guangxi Province, southern China, from 2018 to 2020. J. Vet. Sci. 23:e63. doi: 10.4142/jvs.22023

Zhang, W., Li, L., Deng, X., Kapusinszky, B., and Delwart, E. (2014). What is for dinner? Viral metagenomics of US store bought beef, pork, and chicken. *Virology* 468-470, 303–310. doi: 10.1016/j.virol.2014.08.025

Zhang, X., Liu, Y., Wu, B., Sun, B., Chen, F., Ji, J., et al. (2013). Phylogenetic and molecular characterization of chicken anemia virus in southern China from 2011 to 2012. *Sci. Rep.* 3, 1–7. doi: 10.1038/srep03519

Zhou, W., Shen, B., Yang, B., Han, S., Wei, L., Xiao, B., et al. (1997). Isolation and identification of chicken infectious anemia virus in China. *Avian Dis.* 41:361. doi: 10.2307/1592190

Zhuang, S.-M., Shvarts, A., van Ormondt, H., Jochemsen, A. G., van der Eb, A. J., and Noteborn, M. H. (1995). Apoptin, a protein derived from chicken anemia virus, induces p 53-independent apoptosis in human osteosarcoma cells. *Cancer Res.* 55, 486–489. PMID: 7834613