Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Bernardo González, Adolfo Ibáñez University, Chile

REVIEWED BY

Delong Meng, Central South University, China Ratna Prabha, Indian Agricultural Statistics Research Institute (ICAR), India

*CORRESPONDENCE Weimin Wu Swm@163.com

SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Microbe and Virus Interactions with Plants, a section of the journal Frontiers in Microbiology

RECEIVED 19 November 2022 ACCEPTED 31 January 2023 PUBLISHED 20 February 2023

CITATION

Wang B, Wang X, Wang Z, Zhu K and Wu W (2023) Comparative metagenomic analysis reveals rhizosphere microbial community composition and functions help protect grapevines against salt stress. *Front. Microbiol.* 14:1102547. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2023.1102547

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Wang, Wang, Wang, Zhu and Wu. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Comparative metagenomic analysis reveals rhizosphere microbial community composition and functions help protect grapevines against salt stress

Bo Wang¹, Xicheng Wang¹, Zhuangwei Wang¹, Kefeng Zhu^{2,3} and Weimin Wu^{1*}

¹Institute of Pomology, Jiangsu Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Jiangsu Key Laboratory for Horticultural Crop Genetic Improvement, Nanjing City, Jiangsu Province, China, ²Department of Technology Commercialization, Jiangsu Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Nanjing City, Jiangsu Province, China, ³Huaian Herong Ecological Agriculture Co., Ltd, Huaian City, Jiangsu Province, China

Introduction: Soil salinization is a serious abiotic stress for grapevines. The rhizosphere microbiota of plants can help counter the negative effects caused by salt stress, but the distinction between rhizosphere microbes of salt-tolerant and salt-sensitive varieties remains unclear.

Methods: This study employed metagenomic sequencing to explore the rhizosphere microbial community of grapevine rootstocks 101-14 (salt tolerant) and 5BB (salt sensitive) with or without salt stress.

Results and Discussion: Compared to the control (treated with ddH₂O), salt stress induced greater changes in the rhizosphere microbiota of 101-14 than in that of 5BB. The relative abundances of more plant growth-promoting bacteria, includina Planctomycetes, Bacteroidetes, Verrucomicrobia, Cyanobacteria, Gemmatimonadetes, Chloroflexi, and Firmicutes, were increased in 101-14 under salt stress, whereas only the relative abundances of four phyla (Actinobacteria, Gemmatimonadetes, Chloroflexi, and Cyanobacteria) were increased in 5BB under salt stress while those of three phyla (Acidobacteria, Verrucomicrobia, and Firmicutes) were depleted. The differentially enriched functions (KEGG level 2) in 101-14 were mainly associated with pathways related to cell motility; folding, sorting, and degradation functions; glycan biosynthesis and metabolism; xenobiotics biodegradation and metabolism; and metabolism of cofactors and vitamins, whereas only the translation function was differentially enriched in 5BB. Under salt stress, the rhizosphere microbiota functions of 101-14 and 5BB differed greatly, especially pathways related to metabolism. Further analysis revealed that pathways associated with sulfur and glutathione metabolism as well as bacterial chemotaxis were uniquely enriched in 101-14 under salt stress and therefore might play vital roles in the mitigation of salt stress on grapevines. In addition, the abundance of various sulfur cycle-related genes, including genes involved in assimilatory sulfate reduction (cysNC, cysQ, sat, and sir), sulfur reduction (fsr), SOX systems (soxB), sulfur oxidation (sqr), organic sulfur transformation (tpa, mdh, gdh, and betC), increased significantly in 101-14 after treatment with NaCl; these genes might mitigate the harmful effects of salt on grapevine. In short, the study findings indicate that both the composition and functions of the rhizosphere microbial community contribute to the enhanced tolerance of some grapevines to salt stress.

KEYWORDS

grapevine, rhizosphere, rootstock, microbial variation, metagenomics, sulfur metabolism

1. Introduction

Soil salinization can be regarded as one of the most vital limiting factors of agricultural productivity and food security (Singh, 2021). High soil salinity often negatively affects plant growth and productivity by inducing oxidative stress, nutritional disorders, organ senescence, etc. (Yang and Guo, 2018). It is estimated that approximately 50% of the world's agricultural soil will be salinized by the year of 2050 (Bharti et al., 2016). To address this issue, researchers have developed salt-tolerant plant varieties that can survive in high salinity soil using conventional breeding, transgenics, and CRISPR/Cas9 technology (Ashraf and Akram, 2009; İbrahimova et al., 2021; Tran et al., 2021). Meanwhile, some researchers have attempted to improve soil salinity *via* organic amendment (Rekaby et al., 2020; Kong et al., 2021). However, the above-mentioned methods are both labor and time-consuming.

An increasing number of studies have demonstrated that plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) can improve plant growth and productivity under salt stress (Numan et al., 2018). For example, colonizing the tomato rhizosphere with the maize rhizosphere bacteria Bacillus sp. MT7 improved the tolerance of tomatoes to 10% salt stress (Pathania et al., 2020). Additionally, combined application of rhizobia and Pseudomonas improved the growth performance of liquorice under salt stress (Egamberdieva et al., 2017). Moreover, fungi can regulate plant salt tolerance. Liu et al. (2015) reported that the indigenous arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal community promoted preferential plant uptake of K⁺ over Na⁺. There is no doubt that plant rhizosphereassociated microbes can help alleviate plant salt stress. However, the relationship between the salt tolerance of different plant genotypes with their rhizosphere microbiota remains unclear. A previous study reported that the rice SST (seedling salt tolerant) gene affected the assembly of the soil microbiome and its metabolites, thereby alleviating salt stress in rice (Lian et al., 2020). Thus, we hypothesized that the rhizosphere microbiota of genotypes with varying salt tolerances would affect plant performance to a different extent under salt stress.

Previous studies have reported a link between host genotype and rhizosphere bacteria (Briones et al., 2002). Aira et al. (2010) demonstrated that maize genotype strongly affected the rhizosphere microbial community, while Liechty et al. (2020) reported that rice genotype influenced methane-cycling microbes. Meanwhile, the rhizosphere microbial community can affect the abiotic stress tolerance of different plant genotypes. For example, the rhizosphere microbial communities of different soybean genotypes shaped distinct C decomposition and N transformation processes, which affected Al-stress tolerance (Li et al., 2020). Moreover, the water stress response of wheat was not only controlled by plant genotype, but was also associated with specific soil microbes (Azarbad et al., 2018). In addition, the rhizosphere microbial communities of different willow genotypes affected the Cd-stress response (Wang et al., 2021). To date, the impact of the rhizosphere microbiota on the salt stress tolerance of different plant genotypes has been ignored. However, plant roots directly face salinity challenges in the soil and acclimate the plant to salt stress. Therefore, research should focus on the rhizosphere microbial communities of different salt-tolerant genotypes in response to salt stress.

Grapes are one of the most cultivated fruits in the world, consumed both as fresh and processed products. As an important commercial fruit crop, the physiological and molecular mechanisms related to salt stress have been extensively investigated in grapevines, including the regulation of osmosis, ion responses, and reactive oxygen species (ROS), as well as mediation of transcriptional and noncoding RNAs (Galbiati et al., 2011; Ismail et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2016; Jin et al., 2020). Previous research has mostly focused on the impacts of salt stress on grapevine leaves and fruit (Lu et al., 2022; Liu, M. et al., 2022), while less attention has been paid to the root system. Several reports have adopted metagenomic strategies to characterize the grapevine microbiome under natural or biological stress conditions (Zhao et al., 2018; Azevedo-Silva et al., 2021). Therefore, the present work aimed to: (1) compare the rhizosphere microbiota of grapevine varieties with different salt tolerances; (2) clarify the regulatory mechanisms of rhizosphere microbes involved in enhancing grapevine adaptability to salt stress. Metagenomic analysis was performed on the rhizosphere microbiota of rootstocks from two grapevine varieties, including 101-14, which is known to have strong salt tolerance, and 5BB, which is known to have weak salt tolerance (Yuan et al., 2019).

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Plant materials, salt treatment, and rhizosphere soil sample collection

Strongly salt-resistant grapevine rootstock, 101-14 (*V. riparia* × *V. rupestis*), and weakly salt-resistant grapevine rootstock, 5BB (*V. berlandieri* × *V. riparia*), were cultivated under rain shelter in the vineyard of Jiangsu Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Nanjing, Jiangsu Province, China (32°02' N, 118°52' E). One cutting was planted per pot. One-year-old eight-leaf grapevine cuttings with consistent growth were used in the study. The experiment was performed in 2021 with average daytime and nighttime temperatures of 25°C and 10°C, separately, and 12h light/12h dark.

Potted grapevine cuttings (n=18) were treated with 0.5 L 100 mM NaCl at 0, 48, 96, 144, and 192h. An equal volume of ddH₂O (0 mM NaCl) was used as the control treatment. The four treatment groups included: group G1, 101-14 treated with 100 mM NaCl (ST); group G2, 101-14 treated with 0 mM NaCl (SCK); group G3, 5BB treated with 100 mM NaCl (WT); and group G4, 5BB treated with 0 mM NaCl (WCK). Three grapevine cuttings were randomly selected and mixed together for a total of three replicates.

At 240 h (48 h after the last treatment), the rhizosphere soil was collected from the grapevine cuttings. The rhizosphere soil was sampled as previously described (Wang et al., 2015). The soil was passed through a 40-mesh sieve and kept at -70° C for DNA extraction.

2.2. DNA extraction and sequencing

Total DNA was extracted from the rhizosphere soil with a Soil DNA Kit (MP Biomedicals, Solon, OH, United States). DNA quality and concentration were then determined using 1% agarose gel and the Qubit dsDNA Assay Kit (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, United States), respectively. Metagenomic sequencing of the rhizosphere soil total DNA was performed by Metware (Wuhan, China). The sequencing libraries were generated with the use of the NEBNext Ultra DNA Library Prep Kit for Illumina (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA, United States) following the instructions of the manufacturer. In brief, the DNA sample was fragmented by sonication to the size of 350 bp, and the DNA fragments were end-polished, A-tailed, and ligated with full-length adapters for Illumina sequencing with PCR amplification. After purification, the libraries were explored for size distribution using the Agilent 2,100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, United States) and also quantified by real-time PCR. Index-coded samples were clustered using the cBot Cluster Generation System based on the guidance of the manufacturer (Illumina Inc., San Diego, CA, United States). Library preparations were sequenced on the Illumina NovaSeq platform (Illumina Inc.).

2.3. Pretreatment of sequencing results

Clean data were acquired by removing: (1) low quality reads (default quality threshold value <38) above a certain portion (default length of 40 bp); (2) reads with N base smaller than 10 bp; (3) reads above 15 bp overlapping with adapters. The clean data were aligned to the reference grape genome (*Vitis vinifera*, wine grape, BioProject: PRJEA18785) using Bowtie2.2.4. According to a previous description, the parameters were set (Karlsson et al., 2012, 2013).

2.4. Metagenome assembly, gene prediction, and abundance analysis

According to the previously described parameters, the clean data was assembled using MEGAHIT software (v1.0.4-beta; Qin et al., 2014). Mixed assembly was performed using SOAPdenovo (V2.04)/ MEGAHIT (v1.0.4-beta). In addition, fragments shorter than 500 bp in all scaftigs generated from both single and mixed assembly were filtered for performing the statistical analysis (Qin et al., 2014; Zeller et al., 2014).

Open reading frames (ORFs) were predicted from the assembled scaftigs (>500 bp) using MetaGeneMark (V2.10, http://topaz.gatech. edu/GeneMark/) software, and lengths shorter than 100 nt were filtered. CD-HIT (v4.5.8, http://www.bioinformatics.org/cd-hit) was adopted for omitting redundancies and obtaining the unique initial gene catalogue with the following parameter settings: -c 0.95, -M 0, -G 0 -aS 0.9, -g 1, -r 1, -d 0 (Zeller et al., 2014; Sunagawa et al., 2015).

The clean data of each sample were mapped to the initial gene catalogue by Bowtie2.2.4 software and the unigenes were acquired. The parameters were set in line with a previous description (Li et al., 2014). Based on the number of mapped reads and gene length, the abundance of each gene in each sample was calculated as follows:

 $G_k = \frac{r_k}{L_k} \cdot \frac{1}{\sum_{i=0}^n \frac{r_i}{L_i}}, \text{ where } r \text{ represents the number of reads mapped to}$

genes and *L* represents the gene length. The summary statistics, core-pan gene analysis, and Venn diagram of gene counts were based on the abundance of each gene in each sample. Sulfur cycle genes were screened according to Yu et al. (2021).

2.5. Taxonomic predictions and functional annotations

The unigenes were aligned to sequences of the kingdoms Bacteria, Fungi, Archaea, and Viruses by DIAMOND software (Buchfink et al., 2015). Then, we extracted the sequences from the Non-Redundant database of NCBI (version 2018-01-02, https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih. gov/). For the final aligned results for each sequence, the alignment with the smallest e-value (Oh et al., 2014) was applied to the lowest common ancestor (LCA) algorithm for system classification using MEGAN (Huson et al., 2011) to verify the species annotation information. The number of genes and the abundance information for each sample in each taxonomy hierarchy were obtained. The abundance of a species in each sample was defined as the sum of the gene abundances for the species. The gene number for a species in a sample was the number of genes with a nonzero abundance. DIAMOND software (v0.9.9) with the settings -blastp and -e 1e-5 was used to align the unigenes to the KEGG (Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes) and eggNOG (Evolutionary Genealogy of Genes: Non-supervised Orthologous Groups, v4.5) databases. The relative abundance of each annotated functional hierarchy was determined. The functional annotation results and gene abundance data showed the number of genes associated with each taxonomic hierarchy was obtained for each sample. The relative abundance of each functional hierarchy was equal to the sum of relative abundances of genes annotated to the particular functional level. Based on the functional annotation results and gene abundance table, a gene number table for each sample in each taxonomy hierarchy was obtained. The gene number for a function in a sample was equal to the number of genes annotated to the function with a nonzero abundance.

2.6. Identification of core and representative features

The most abundant (>0.01%) and ubiquitous (prevalence = 1) taxa among all samples were selected as the core taxonomic and functional metagenomes. Screening was performed based on the "core_members" function in R version 4.2.1. Next, the differential abundance of taxonomic metagenomes was evaluated statistically based on linear discriminant analysis (LDA) effect size (LEfSe) with LEfSe software (Segata et al., 2011). The differential functional metagenomes were analyzed using two methods: (1) LEfSe analysis and (2) reporter score. The reporter scores were based on the Z-scores of individual KEGG orthologs (KOs). In addition, differentially enriched KEGG pathways were detected based on the Z-scores. Reporter scores >1.96 or <-1.96(95% confidence), >2.58 or <-2.58 (99% confidence) were used as the detection threshold (Patil and Nielsen, 2005).

2.7. Analysis of microbial co-occurrence networks

The co-occurrence network was constructed as described by Khoiri et al. (2021). Briefly, the microbial abundance matrix was prepared and data were divided into four subsets (G1, G2, G3, and G4). Microbial species present at least 3 of 4 subsets with abundances of more than 11 were included in analyses. Next, 500 features of each data set were randomly selected for network construction to avoid contrast deviation caused by unequal numbers of features. Then, empirical sparse correlations for compositional data (SparCC) coefficients were calculated, and the network was constructed based on SparCC (Friedman and Alm, 2012) using FastSpar version 0.0.10 (Watts et al., 2019). Visualization of the network was performed using Gephi 0.9.6 software with the following conditions: $|\mathbf{r}| > 0.6$ and p value < 0.05. Topological indices (i.e., node number, edge number, density, average degree, centrality, and modularity) were calculated. Within-module connectivity (Z_i) and among-module connectivity (P_i) were calculated for the module hubs (nodes with $Z_i \ge 2.5$ and $P_i < 0.62$), connectors

(nodes with $Z_i < 2.5$ and $P_i \ge 0.62$), and network hubs ($Z_i \ge 2.5$ and $P_i \ge 0.62$; Sun et al., 2022).

2.8. Statistical analyses

Independent-samples *t*-tests were conducted using IBM SPSS 20.0. An unconstrained principal coordinate analysis (PCoA) based on Bray– Curtis distances was used to evaluate the differences in microbial composition and functions among samples.

3. Results

3.1. Metagenome sequencing results

In total, 520,524,840 raw sequence reads from 12 shotgun metagenome libraries were obtained, ranging from 39,656,770 to 45,766,780 reads per sample. After quality-filtering, >99% of reads were retained and the percentage of reads with a quality score \geq 30 was >90%, indicating the data were sufficient for downstream analyses (Supplementary Table 1). Obviously, the rarefaction curves of core and pan genes tended to plateau, indicating that the samples covered the majority of the microbiota in the rhizosphere (Supplementary Figure 1). In addition, the average number of genes in each sample ranged from 1,019,332 to 1,375,565 in the four groups (Supplementary Figure 2).

3.2. Variations in rhizosphere microbiota after NaCl treatment

To explore the role of the rhizosphere microbiota in assisting grapevine adaptability to salt stress, the rootstocks of two different salttolerant grapevine varieties were subjected to salt stress or ddH₂O (Figure 1A). The results indicated a significant overlap between the different groups. A total of 482,503 OTUs were enriched among the four groups, accounting for 28.70% in G1, 39.44% in G2, 28.75% in G3, and 29.01% in G4 (Figure 1B). The rhizosphere microbiota differed considerably between G1 and G2 at the kingdom and phylum levels, but the distribution of the rhizosphere microbiota was similar between G3 and G4 at the kingdom and phylum levels (Figures 1C,D). At the kingdom level, Bacteria was present in the four groups at higher relative abundance than Archaea, Eukaryota, and Viruses (Figure 1C). At the phylum level, Proteobacteria and Actinobacteria were discovered to be comparatively more abundant in the four groups than other phyla. The phyla Planctomycetes, Bacteroidetes, Verrucomicrobia, Cyanobacteria, Gemmatimonadetes, Chloroflexi, and Firmicutes were significantly more abundant in G1 than in G2, whereas the relative abundance of Actinobacteria was higher in G2 than in G1 (Figure 1D; Supplementary Table 2). Actinobacteria, Cyanobacteria, and Gemmatimonadetes were relatively more abundant in G3 than in G4, whereas Acidobacteria, Verrucomicrobia, Chloroflexi, and Firmicutes were relatively less abundant (Figure 1D; Supplementary Table 2).

Principal coordinate analysis (PCoA) on the basis of Bray–Curtis distances revealed that the rhizosphere microbiota of the four groups formed three distinct clusters alongside the first coordinate axis (Figure 1E), and the cluster analysis on the basis of Bray–Curtis distances at the genus level also exhibited the same clustering effect. G3 and G4 grouped into one cluster, which were separated from G1 and G2 (Figure 1F). These data suggested that the rhizosphere microbiota of

101-14 and 5BB differed considerably. In 101-14, the rhizosphere microbiota composition showed notable differences between the ddH_2O - (G2) and NaCl-treated (G1) groups. In contrast, the rhizosphere microbiota composition of 5BB was similar between the ddH_2O - (G4) and NaCl-treated (G3) groups.

3.3. NaCl treatment induces distinct changes in microbiota

Differences in the rhizosphere microbiota of 101-14 and 5BB under salt stress were explored at the OTU level. A total of 619,211 enriched OTUs (36.84% in G1, 50.62% in G2) overlapped in the ddH₂O- and NaCl-treated groups of 101-14 (Figure 2A). In comparison, 1,560,654 enriched OTUs (92.98% in G3, 93.84% in G4) overlapped in the ddH2Oand NaCl-treated groups of 5BB (Figure 2B). The OTUs were arranged according to their taxonomy and enrichment level in Manhattan plots (Figures 2E,F; Supplementary Table 3). The results revealed no taxonomic alterations between the four groups at the phylum level. Proteobacteria, Actinobacteria, Bacteroidetes, Acidobacteria, and Planctomycetes were the main enriched phyla in all groups. However, significant alterations were detected at the genus level. In 101-14, 145 OTUs were enriched, 149 OTUs were depleted, and 25 OTUs were not significantly changed at the genus level under salt stress (G1 vs. G2, Figure 2A). In 5BB, 58 OTUs were enriched, 60 OTUs were depleted, and 201 OTUs were unchanged at the genus level under salt stress (G3 vs. G4, Figure 2B). The contrast in microbiota enrichment between the two grapevine varieties under salt stress was notable. Among Acidobacteria, 15 genera were detected and their enrichment differed between 101-14 and 5BB under salt stress. Among Actinobacteria, 63 genera were detected and only 5 genera were enriched to the same extent in 101-14 and 5BB under salt stress. Among Proteobacteria, 169 genera were detected and only 30 genera were enriched to the same extent in 101-14 and 5BB under salt stress (Supplementary Table 3E). The results revealed that the rhizosphere microbiota of 101-14 and 5BB had different responses to salt stress.

Subsequently, microbiota enrichment was compared among NaCland ddH₂O-treated groups. A total of 570,512 enriched OTUs (46.64% in G2, 34.30% in G4) overlapped in 101-14 and 5BB after treatment with ddH₂O (G4 vs. G2, Figure 2C). However, 1,553,412 enriched OTUs (92.41% in G1, 92.55% in G3) overlapped in 101-14 and 5BB after treatment with NaCl (G1 vs. G3, Figure 2D). Strikingly, a similar trend was observed confirming that the rhizosphere microbiota of 101-14 and 5BB behaved differently under salt stress (Supplementary Table 3F). The results indicated that microbiota enrichment differed between grapevine varieties and after NaCl treatment.

3.4. Changes in core and specific microbes after NaCl treatment

Core microbes were selected based on their relative abundance (>0.01%) and ubiquitousness (prevalence = 1) across all samples. A total of 81 bacteria were determined to be core species in the grapevine rhizosphere, accounting for 0.55% of total observed taxa (Supplementary Figure 3). Species within the phyla Proteobacteria, Actinobacteria, and Acidobacteria were predominant taxa. *Pseudolabrys* sp. Root 1,462, *Alphaproteobacteria* bacterium 62-8, *Alphaproteobacteria* bacterium 64-11, *Solirubrobacterales* bacterium 70-9, *Gammaproteobacteria* bacterium 13_2_20CM_66_19, and *Actinobacteria*



bacterium 13_1_20CM_4_69_9 (Supplementary Figure 3) were among the top core species.

In addition, representative core microbes of each group were determined by LEfSe analysis (LDA score > 3.0, p < 0.05). As shown in Figure 3, G1, G2, G3, and G4 had 15, 22, 2, and 12 enriched taxa, respectively. The unique species in the ddH₂O-treated group of 5BB (G4) belonged to the phyla Proteobacteria, Verrucomicrobia, Acidobacteria, and Firmicutes. Treatment with NaCl decreased the number of unique species in 5BB (G3) to two, including *Dongia* sp. URHE0060 and *Chloroflexi* bacterium CSP1-4, which belonged to Proteobacteria and Chloroflexi, respectively. The ddH₂O-treated group of 101-14 (G2) exhibited the highest number of enriched taxa with species belonging to the phyla Actinobacteria, Acidobacteria,

Proteobacteria, Verrucomicrobia, Gemmatimonadetes, and Candidatus Saccharibacteria. However, treatment with NaCl reduced the number of unique species in 101-14 (G1), with species belonging to Proteobacteria, Gemmatimonadetes, and Actinobacteria. Furthermore, unique species belonging to Actinobacteria were only observed in the ddH_2O - and NaCl-treated groups of 101-14 and not in those of 5BB.

3.5. Microbial co-occurrence networks

In a co-occurrence network analysis, network connectivity was highest for 101-14 treated with NaCl (G1) (Figure 4). The total nodes and edges in G1 were 229 and 423, compared with 134 nodes and 208



edges in G2. The same trend was observed in G3 and G4. There were 177 nodes and 246 edges in G3 and 143 nodes and 198 edges in G4. In addition, the average degree increased after treatment with NaCl both in 101-14 and 5BB. However, the density decreased after treatment with NaCl in both 101-14 and 5BB. Centrality and modularity differed between 101-14 and 5BB. Network centrality and modularity decreased

after treatment with NaCl in 101-14 but increased in 5BB. These results collectively indicated that NaCl treatment altered the microbial network, with different effects on salt-resistant and salt-sensitive rootstock.

Next, the roles of individual members were analyzed by a Z_i - P_i plot. Based on the Z_i and P^i values, seven connectors (*Aquimonas voraii*, *Bradyrhizobium* sp. ORS 375, *Microcella alkaliphile*, *Thioflavicoccus*



treated with ddH₂O.

mobilis, [Polyangium] brachysporum, alpha proteobacterium U9-1i, and
Gammaproteobacteria bacterium RIFCSPLOWO2_02_FULL_52_10),
eight module hubs (Humibacter albus, Kitasatospora mediocidica,
psychrotol
Magnetospirillum sp. 64-120, Mucilaginibacter paludism, Quadrisphaera
sp. DSM 44207, Acidobacteria bacterium RIFCSPHIGHO2_12_
and Elusin
FULL_67_30, Alphaproteobacteria bacterium 65-7, and
detected i
Deltaproteobacteria bacterium GWA2_45_12) and two network hubs
(Methylocapsa aurea and Bacteroidetes bacterium 37-13) were observed
URHA00
in 101-14 with NaCl treatment (Figure 4E), while four connectors
(Beggiatoa alba, Haliangium sp. TSM_HC, Micromonospora
mirobrigensis, and Alphaproteobacteria bacterium PA2) and one module(Figure 4E)
GWF2_50

hub (Sphingomonadales bacterium 63-6) were in detected in 101-14

(Figure 4F). In contrast, only one connector (*Opitutaceae* bacterium BACL24 MAG-120322-bin51) and six module hubs (*Cryobacterium psychrotolerans, Pedobacter heparinus, Sphingomonas* sp. 28-66-16, *Thermomonospora curvata, Desulfuromonadales* bacterium C00003093, and *Elusimicrobia* bacterium RIFCSPLOWO2_01_FULL_60_11) were detected in 5BB with salt treatment (Figure 4G) while there were three connectors (*Candidatus Blastococcus massiliensis, Caulobacter* sp. URHA0033, and *Mycobacterium tusciae*) and five module hubs (*Humibacter albus, Mastigocladus laminosus, Nocardioides insulae, Rhodanobacter denitrificans*, and *Planctomycetes* bacterium GWF2_50_10) in 5BB (Figure 4H). Overall, NaCl treatment resulted in greater network complexity in salt-resistant grapevine rootstock.



3.6. Functional composition of rhizosphere microbiota

A total of 6,683 KOs attributed to 397 KEGG pathways were identified among all samples. The gene functions covered six categories,

with metabolism-associated pathways accounting for the greatest number of KOs (18.6–19.4%). Pathways in association with the metabolism of carbohydrates, amino acids, energy, cofactors, and vitamins were regarded as the top functional categories at KEGG level 2 (Supplementary Figures 4D,E). Further analysis revealed that purine

metabolism (ko00230) was the predominant pathway at KEGG level 3, followed by ABC transporters (ko0210), two-component systems (ko02020), quorum sensing (ko02024), oxidative phosphorylation (ko00190), pyrimidine metabolism (ko00240), ribosomes (ko03010), pyruvate metabolism (ko00620), carbon fixation pathways in prokaryotes (ko00720), and glyoxylate and dicarboxylate metabolism (ko00630; Supplementary Figure 4F). PCoA based on Bray–Curtis distances demonstrated that G1+G2 or G2+G4 were separated from each other, but G3+G4 or G1+G3 clustered together (Supplementary Figure 4A–C).

Gene functions were further analyzed using the eggNOG database. At level 1, "function unknown" was more prevalent than other categories, followed by amino acid transport/metabolism and energy production/conversion functions (Supplementary Figure 5D). At level 2, (ABC) transporter, histidine kinase, and dehydrogenase functions were predominant (Supplementary Figure 5E). Additionally, ENOG410XNMH histidine kinase, serine threonine protein kinase (COG 0515), acriflavin resistance protein (COG0841), acyl-CoA dehydrogenase (COG1960), dehydrogenase (COG1012), two-component, sigma54 specific, transcriptional regulator, Fis family (COG2204), dehydrogenase reductase (COG1028), AMP-dependent synthetase/ligase (COG0318), aldehyde oxidase/xanthine dehydrogenase, molybdopterin binding (COG1529), and (ABC) transporter (COG1131) were identified (Supplementary Figure 5F). PCoA based on Bray-Curtis distances of function annotation abundance indicated that G3+G4 or G1+G3 clustered together at levels 1 and 2 and orthologous groups (OGs) (Supplementary Figures 5A-C). However, G2 was clearly separated from G4, and G1 and G2 were also separated. These trends were similar to those observed in the KEGG analysis (Supplementary Figures 5A-C).

3.7. Core and representative microbial functions after NaCl treatment

Core microbial functions across the four groups were determined by relative abundance (> 0.1%) and ubiquitousness (prevalence = 1). A total of 5 categories and 126 pathways were attributed to the core functions of the grapevine rhizosphere, and 89 metabolism-related pathways accounted for 70.6% of the total core microbial functions (Supplementary Figure 6). Pathways associated with the metabolism of amino acids (14), carbohydrates (15), and cofactors and vitamins (10), as well as to xenobiotics biodegradation and metabolism (11) were dominant (Supplementary Figure 6). No core microbial functions were attributed to KEGG pathways associated with human diseases.

Apart from the common core functions, representative core functions to each group were determined by LEfSe analysis (LDA score > 2.5, p < 0.05). Among KEGG functional pathways, those associated with bacterial chemotaxis, glutathione metabolism, and sulfur metabolism were uniquely enriched in G1, while 15 specific pathways were enriched in G2, including those associated with pyrimidine, arginine/ proline, galactose, phenylalanine, and biotin metabolism; benzoate degradation; and folate, streptomycin, and lysine biosynthesis (Figure 5). Similarly, eight pathways (88.89%) were differentially enriched in G3, including those associated with carbon fixation in prokaryotes; pyruvate, alanine/alanine/aspartate/ glutamate, methane, propanoate, butanoate, and starch/sucrose metabolism; and glycolysis/gluconeogenesis. However, only four pathways, including those associated with two-component systems,

the citrate cycle, cell cycle, and RNA degradation, were enriched in G4 (Figure 5).

Reporter score analysis based on KEGG pathways was also used to explore similarities and differences in the rhizosphere microbiota functions of the two grapevine varieties under salt stress. As shown in Figure 6, 17 and 1 discrepant pathways were enriched in the ddH₂O- and NaCl-treated groups of 101-14, respectively. In contrast, only six discrepant pathways were enriched in the ddH2O-treated group of 5BB. Comparing the enriched pathways in 101-14 and 5BB, pathways associated with arabinogalactan biosynthesis-mycobacterium, biotin metabolism, flagellar assembly, folate biosynthesis, furfural degradation, lipopolysaccharide biosynthesis, metabolism, microbial metabolism in diverse environments, proteasomes, protein export, steroid degradation, thermogenesis, and xylene degradation were only depleted in 101-14 after NaCl treatment. In addition, enriched pathways in the ddH2O- and NaCl-treated groups were compared to determine whether the different functions were related to grapevine variety (Figure 7). Among the NaCltreated groups, 27 and 4 discrepant pathways were enriched in 101-14 and 5BB, respectively. Among the ddH2O-treated groups, only six discrepant pathways were enriched in 101-14. Notably, the enriched pathways differed considerably between the NaCl- and ddH2O-treated groups of each grapevine variety.

3.8. Sulfur cycle genes expression pattern

A total of 30 genes (KEGG Orthologues) related to the sulfur cycle were detected in the present study. These genes were involved in assimilatory sulfate reduction, sulfur reduction, SOX systems, linkages between inorganic and organic sulfur transformation, and organic sulfur transformation. The distribution of genes related to the sulfur cycle differed between 101-14 and 5BB. As shown in Figure 8, various genes showed significant differences between 101-14 with NaCl treatment and 101-14 with ddH₂O treatment. Genes involved in assimilatory sulfate reduction (cysNC, cysQ, sat, and sir), sulfur reduction (fsr), SOX systems (soxB), sulfur oxidation (sqr), organic sulfur transformation (tpa, mdh, gdh, and betC) were enriched in 101-14 with NaCl treatment. Additional genes related to assimilatory sulfate reduction (cysC and nrnA), sulfur reduction (mccA), SOX system (soxB), other processed (tusA and sbp), linkages between inorganic and organic sulfur transformation (tauD and *mccB*), and organic sulfur transformation (*tmm*, *sfnG*, *mddA*, *gdh*, and acuI) were depleted after treatment with NaCl in 101-14. However, two genes, a SOX system gene (soxB) and organic sulfur transformation gene (toa), were depleted and one gene related to linkages between inorganic and organic sulfur transformation (mccB) was enriched in 5BB after treatment with NaCl (Figure 8). Overall, these results indicated that the abundance of sulfur cycle-related genes showed obvious differences in 101-14 between NaCl treatment and the control.

4. Discussion

The rhizosphere can be referred to as the narrow zone of soil regulated by root secretions, which forms the habitat of up to 10¹¹ microbial cells/g root and over 30,000 prokaryotic species (Egamberdieva et al., 2008; Mendes et al., 2011). As previously noted, rhizosphere microbes exert a vital role in increasing plant tolerance to salt stress (Huang et al., 2014). However, rhizosphere microbes are varied and abundant. Therefore, a suitable approach is needed to uncover the





specific roles of rhizosphere microbes in alleviating salt stress in plants. Shotgun metagenome sequencing employs whole genomic sequences to obtain more accurate taxonomic and functional categorization (Jovel et al., 2016). In the current work, shotgun metagenomic sequencing was adopted for investigating differences in the rhizosphere metagenomes between salt-sensitive (5BB) and salt-tolerant (101-14) grapevine varieties.

The taxonomic profiling results demonstrated that the rhizosphere microbiota of the two grapevine varieties shared the same 10 dominant phyla (Figure 1D). All of the identified phyla have been previously reported and are known to predominate grapevine rhizospheres (Zhang et al., 2019), as well as in those of peanut (Xu et al., 2020), pomegranate (Ravinath et al., 2022), barley (Bulgarelli et al., 2015), and *Arabidopsis*

thaliana (Kielak et al., 2016). Nevertheless, the relative abundances of these phyla were distinct between 101-14 and 5BB (Figures 1E,F; Supplementary Table 2). Differences in rhizosphere microbiomes have been associated with different genotypes in some recent studies. For example, Chrysanthemum cultivars showed a strong selection for distinct rhizosphere microbes (Rotoni et al., 2022). Additionally, different rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) cultivars have been shown to recruit different rhizosphere microbes (Xiong et al., 2021). In the current work, the distinct effect of NaCl treatment was observed on the rhizosphere microbiota composition of the grapevine cultivars (Figures 1E,F, 2). These findings concurred with those of a previous study in which the relative abundances of beneficial bacteria, especially Cyanobacteria and Proteobacteria, were increased in salt-resistant peanut cultivars and

-8.00 🦇	•	Flagellar assembly	Cell motility	
• -6.00		Cell cycle	Cell growth and death	
-4.00		Cell cycle - yeast	-	Cellular Processes
		Oocyte meiosis		
• -2.00		Autophagy - animal	Transport and catabolism	
• 2.00		Lysosome		
• 4.00		Endocytosis		
• 6.00 ·		Tight junction	Cellular community - eukaryotes	
8 00	•	MAPK signaling pathway	Signal transduction	
		cGMP-PKG signaling pathway		
		AMPK signaling pathway		Environmental information
		Wnt signaling pathway		Processing
	:	PI3K-Akt signaling pathway	Cinneling melecules and interaction	
		Neuroactive ligand-receptor interaction	Signaling molecules and interaction	
*	*	Aminoacyl-tRNA biosynthesis	Translation	
1		Ribosome biogenesis in eukaryotes	Hundhaldh	
**	7 10 44	Ribosome RNA notimerano		
-	**	DNA replication	Replication and repair	Genetic Information Processing
		Base excision repair		
*	•	Protein export	Folding, sorting and degradation	
*		Proteasome		-
		Sulfur relay system		
:	•	Protein processing in endoplasmic reticulum		
*	*	Oxidative phosphorylation	Energy metabolism	
**	:	Sultur metabolism Arabinogalactan biosynthesis - Mycobacterium	Chron biogenthesis and metabolism	
*	•	Lipopolysaccharide biosynthesis	Giycan biosynthesis and metabolism	
•		O-Antigen nucleotide sugar biosynthesis		
•		Furfural degradation	Xenobiotics biodegradation and metabolism	Metabolism
	•	Benzoate degradation		Wetabolishi
**		Steroid degradation		
:		Biotin metabolism	Metabolism of cofactors and vitamins	
**		Motabolic pathways	Global and overview mans	
*		Microbial metabolism in diverse environments	Clobal and Overview maps	
•		Degradation of aromatic compounds		
	*	Biosynthesis of cofactors		
		Cardiac muscle contraction	Circulatory overtem	
		Adrenergic signaling in cardiomyocytes	Circulatory system	Organiamal Systems
•		NOD-like receptor signaling pathway	Immune system	Organismai Systems
*		Thermogenesis	Environmental adaptation	
		Retrograde endocannabinoid signaling	Nervous system	
, Na	(B ^D			
10,	·			
E 6				
() F(1.4.4 and EDD. Datherman and all accuracy itle a	in the second second second second second

green, enriched in rhizosphere microbiota of 101-14 treated with NaCl and ddH₂O; orange, enriched in rhizosphere microbiota of 5BB in treated with NaCl and ddH₂O. *denotes reporter score<–1.96, or>1.96. **represent reporter score<–2.58, or>2.58. G1, 101-14 treated with NaCl; G2, 101-14 treated with ddH₂O; G3, 5BB treated with NaCl; G4, 5BB treated with ddH₂O.

decreased in salt-sensitive cultivar (Xu et al., 2022). In the present study, the relative abundances of seven major phyla that play positive roles in plant growth were increased in 101-14 under salt stress, but only the relative abundances of four phyla increased in 5BB under salt stress while the relative abundances of four phyla decreased.

The core microbiome is thought to be a critical component of the basic function of the holobiont, which shows a close relationship to the growth, health, and physiology of the host plant (Lemanceau et al., 2017; Zhou et al., 2020). According to Supplementary Figure 3, the core species belonging to nine phyla were the same as the dominant phyla in 101-14 and 5BB, except Cyanobacteria was not found among the core species (Figure 1D), and Candidatus Saccharibacteria was identified as a core species in 101-14. Candidatus Saccharibacteria was previously associated with partial denitrification in wastewater treatment and enriched in complex organic substrates (Remmas et al., 2017). Pseudolabrys sp. Root 1,462 was previously isolated from A. thaliana. Previous studies reported that Pseudolabrys has the ability to use nitrogen by converting NH4+-N fixation to microbial nitrogen, thus reducing nitrification and denitrification processes in soil (Li et al., 2022; Yu et al., 2022) Alphaproteobacteria is a dominant player in rootassociated soil (Wu et al., 2009) and acts as a nitrogen fixer in coastalsaline soil ecosystems (Yousuf et al., 2014a). Gammaproteobacteria represent both nitrogen fixers and denitrifiers (Baskaran and Prabavathy, 2022). The presence of these core species suggested a significant contribution to the nitrogen cycle. Otherwise, some core species may play other vital roles in the response to soil salinity. For example, the relative abundance of Solirubrobacterales was significantly reduced by soil salinization (Yang et al., 2022). Gammaproteobacteria have been shown to participate in sulphur oxidation and inorganic carbon fixation in saline soil (Lenk et al., 2011; Yousuf et al., 2012, 2014b). Additionally, the presence of actinobacteria in the rhizosphere enabled plants to adapt to salt marsh environments (Rodriguez et al., 2008; Gong et al., 2018) by producing aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate deaminase (ACCD) or exopolysaccharides (Vinothini et al., 2019; Mathew et al., 2020). ACCD can protect plants from salinity stress by decreasing the synthesis of the stress hormone ethylene via degradation of the precursor molecule, ACC, into α -ketobutyrate and ammonia (Mathew et al., 2020). Exopolysaccharides can enhance plant growth under salt stress through regulating stress-response genes (Vinothini et al., 2019). Indeed, a natural halotolerant actinobacterium Glutamicibacter halophytocola KLBMP 5180, isolated from a coastal halophyte, increased the salt tolerance of tomato, likely through the expression of genes associated with Na⁺/H⁺ exchange, K⁺ transport, etc. (Xue et al., 2017). Further, the results of the LDA analysis in the present study indicated that representative species belonging to the phylum Actinobacteria were only found in the rhizosphere of 101-14 (Figure 3). In general, the enrichment of PGPRs in the salt-tolerant grapevine rhizosphere might be the reason for the plant's increased resistance to salt stress.

Co-occurrence networks were constructed in the present study to analyze the structure and complexity of the rhizosphere microbial communities (Xue et al., 2017) of grapevine varieties with different salt tolerances. Distinct keystone species were found in the four groups,

•• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Quorum sensing Two-component system Phosphotransferase system (PTS) Cytokine-cytokine receptor interaction Neuroactive ligand-receptor interaction	Cellular community - prokaryotes Signal transduction Membrane transport Signaling molecules and interaction	Cellular Processes
* * * * * * * *	Two-component system Phosphotransferase system (PTS) Cytokine-cytokine receptor interaction Neuroactive ligand-receptor interaction	Signal transduction Membrane transport Signaling molecules and interaction	Environmental Information Processin
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Phosphotransferase system (PTS) Cytokine-cytokine receptor interaction Neuroactive ligand-receptor interaction	Membrane transport Signaling molecules and interaction	Environmental Information Processin
* * * *	Cytokine-cytokine receptor interaction Neuroactive ligand-receptor interaction	Signaling molecules and interaction	Environmental Information Processin
•• • • •	Neuroactive ligand-receptor interaction		Environmental information i recebbing
· · ·			
•	Nucleotide excision repair	Replication and repair	
	RNA polymerase	Transcription	Genetic Information Processing
•	Aminoacyl-tRNA biosynthesis		
• •	Arginine biosynthesis	Amino acid metabolism	
•	Alanine, aspartate and glutamate metabolism		
	Valine, leucine and isoleucine degradation		
	Valine, leucine and isoleucine biosynthesis		
•	Lysine degradation		
	Tryptophan metabolism		
	Glycolysis / Gluconeogenesis	Carbohydrate metabolism	
•	Citrate cycle (TCA cycle)		
• •	Pentose and glucuronate interconversions		
•	Fructose and mannose metabolism		
	Starch and sucrose metabolism		
*	Amino sugar and nucleotide sugar metabolism		
	Pyruvate metabolism		
	Givoxylate and dicarboxylate metabolism		
	Propanoate metabolism		
•	Butanoate metabolism		
•	C5-Branched dibasic acid metabolism		
• •	Methane metabolism	Energy metabolism	
• •	Carbon fixation pathways in prokaryotes		
	Other glycan degradation	Glycan biosynthesis and metabolism	
•	Fatty acid biosynthesis	Linid metabolism	Metabolism
•	Sphingolipid metabolism	Elpid metabolism	inclusioneni
*	Nicotinate and nicotinamide metabolism	Metabolism of cofactors and vitamins	
	beta-Alanine metabolism	Metabolism of other amino acids	
	Type I polyketide structures	Metabolism of terpenoids and polyketides	
•	Biosynthesis of siderophore group nonribosomal peptides		
	Biosynthesis of vancomycin group antibiotics		
	Biosynthesis of enedivne antibiotics		
•	Pvrimidine metabolism	Nucleotide metabolism	n
	Chlorocyclohexane and chlorobenzene degradation	Xenobiotics biodegradation and metabolism	
*	Benzoate degradation	interest and biological and metabolism	
•	Nitrotoluene degradation		
*	Atrazine degradation		
•	Metabolic pathways	Global and overview maps	
9	Biosynthesis of secondary metabolites		
•	Microbial metabolism in diverse environments		
•	Carbon metabolism		
• •	2-Oxocarboxylic acid metabolism		
• •	Fatty acid metabolism		
**	Biosynthesis of amino acids		
•	Biosynthesis of nucleotide sugars		
、 .			
, atroi			
	control	Glycolysis / Gluconeogenesis Citrate cycle (TCA cycle) Pentose and glucuronate interconversions Fructose and mannose metabolism Amino sugar and nucleotide sugar metabolism Pyruvate metabolism Glycxylate and dicarboxylate metabolism Bropanote metabolism Glycxylate and dicarboxylate metabolism Bropanote metabolism Glycxylate and dicarboxylate metabolism Glycxylate and dicarboxylate metabolism Glycxylate and dicarboxylate metabolism Glycxylate and dicarboxylate metabolism Bropanote metabolism Bropanote metabolism Bropanote metabolism Bropanote metabolism Bropanote metabolism Bropanote metabolism Bropanote metabolism Bropanote metabolism Detarback and nicolumanide metabolism Methane metabolism Fatty acid biosynthesis Sphingolipid metabolism Type I polyketide structures Biosynthesis of siderophore group nonribosomal peptides Biosynthesis of siderophore group nonribosomal peptides Biosynthesis of siderophore group nonribosomal peptides Biosynthesis of ancomyclin group antibiotics Biosynthesis of ancomy	Glycolysis / Gluconeogenesis Citrate cycle (TCA cycle) Citrate cycle (TCA cycle) Pentose and glucuronate interconversions Fructose and mannose metabolism Starch and sucrose metabolism Olyosylate and dicarboxylate metabolism Pyruvate metabolism Glycosylate and dicarboxylate metabolism Propanoate metabolism BC-Branched dibastic acid metabolism Carbon fixation pathways in prokaryotes Other glycan degradation Fatty acid biosynthesis Sphingolipid metabolism Nicotinate and nicotinamide metabolism Nicotinate and nicotinamide metabolism Metabolism of cafactors and witamins beta-Alanine metabolism Nicotinate and nicotinamide metabolism Metabolism of other amino acids Biosynthesis of vancomycin group antibiotics Biosynthesis of vancomycin group antibiotics Pyrimidine metabolism Chorocyclohexane and chlorobenzene degradation Nitrotoluene degradation Atrazine degradation Atrazine degradation Nitrotoluene degradation Atrazine degradation Nitrotoluene degradation Atrazine degradation Biosynthesis of sincomy metabolism 2-Oxocarboxylic acid metabolism Biosynthesis of sincomy metabolism Biosynthesis of sincomy metabolism Biosynthesis of sincomy metabolism Biosynthesis of sincomy metabolism Biosynthesis of nucleotide sugars

treated with ddH₂O; G3, 5BB treated with NaCl; G4, 5BB treated with ddH₂O.

indicating that both the plant cultivar and salt treatment caused shifts in the central taxa of the microbial community. These results aligned with those previously reported for other plants. For example, rice cultivars demonstrated different co-occurrence networks for their soil bacterial microbiomes (Xu et al., 2019). Plant cultivars are known to have a strong influence on rhizobacterial networks and keystone species (Jiang et al., 2017). Moreover, a previous study reported that water deficit changed the stability of plant rhizosphere networks (Bazany et al., 2022). In the current study, the microbial co-occurrence network of 101-14 was more complex than that of 5BB under salt stress. Zhao et al. (2022) found that high salt stress increased network connectivity in saline agricultural soils. However, Menendez-Serra et al. (2022) found that the microbial co-occurrence network was stable in ephemeral saline lakes under severe salinity fluctuations. Additionally, another study reported that the connectivity and complexity of the rhizosphere bacterial co-occurrence network were lowered in response to salt stress in Nitraria tangutorum (Pan et al., 2022). The conflicting results suggest that besides salt, plant root exudates should not be ignored in the construction of co-occurrence networks. Pan et al. (2022) reported that salt stress caused significant differences in the root exudates of N. tangutorum, especially organic acids, growth hormones, and sugars. In general, root exudate composition and quantity can change under abiotic stresses including drought (Vives-Peris et al., 2018) and salinization (Zhou et al., 2018). The present study did not analyze the root exudates of the two grapevine varieties, which should be involved in future studies.

In addition to the composition of the rhizosphere microbiota, this study also analyzed their functions. In a recent report, Zheng et al. (2021) suggested that microbial functions play key roles in enhancing plant resistance to salt stress and reported that genes related to cell motility, Na⁺ transport, and plant growth promotion were enriched in the rhizosphere of three different salt-tolerant plants. In the present study, metabolism was the predominant function in the grapevine matter the cultivar rhizosphere no or salt stress (Supplementary Figures 4D,E). The ABC transporter pathway was the core metabolism-related pathway in all groups (Supplementary Figure 6), as well as a uniquely enriched pathway in 101-14 (Figure 5). ABC transporters constitute transmembrane proteins and membraneassociated ATPases that help transfer carbohydrates, amino acids, inorganic ions, proteins, etc. (Finkenwirth and Eitinger, 2019). ABC transporter proteins are crucial for bacterial adaption to salt stress due to reduced molecule absorption, energy consumption, and regulation of osmotic pressure under high salinity conditions (Liu, J. T. et al., 2022). Further, a previous study found that ABC transporter genes were related to the bacterial response to osmotic stress, containing one specific locus that could mediate betaine accumulation to eliminate osmotic stress (Guo et al., 2022).

The functional analysis of the rhizosphere microbiota of 101-14 in response to salt stress indicated that sulfur and glutathione metabolism were uniquely enriched in 101-14 under salt stress. Plant growth processes can be significantly influenced by salt stress, especially photosynthesis. Photosynthesis is regulated by the availability of sulfur,



G4, 5BB treated with ddH₂O

which can be lowered by the harmful impacts of salt-induced oxidative stress (Nazar et al., 2015; Chan et al., 2019). Coordination of sulfur metabolism-related pathways between microorganisms and host plants is necessary to achieve salt stress tolerance in Arabidopsis. Enterobacter sp. SA187 was shown to promote sulfur metabolism in Arabidopsis, thereby increasing glutathione levels to mitigate ROS-induced damage (Andres-Barrao et al., 2021). Glutathione, a sulfur derivate, enhanced the antioxidant defense by maintaining a reduced cellular-redox level and scavenging supernumerary ROS under salt stress (Ruiz and Blumwald, 2002; Gill and Tuteja, 2010; Hasanuzzaman et al., 2018). Further studies have demonstrated that sulfur supplementation can improve the photosynthetic efficiency of plants under salt stress by increasing the production of glutathione (Fatma et al., 2014; Nazar et al., 2015). Sulfur cycle genes could be regulated by salinity. The abundances of some genes related to dissimilatory sulfur reduction and oxidation, the link between inorganic and organic sulfur transformation, and sulfur disproportionation and reduction decreased with increasing salinity, while genes involved in assimilatory sulfate reduction and sulfur oxidation tended to increase (Liu, Q. et al., 2022). In the present study, some genes related to the sulfur cycle increased in 101-14 after treatment with NaCl. For instance, cysNC encodes an enzyme that participates in converting sulfate into phosphoadenosine 5'-phosphosulfate (PAPS), sat can activate sulfate into adenosine 5'-phosphosulfate (APS), and sir is responsible for the reduction of sulfite to sulfide (Yu et al., 2021). Additionally, fsr is a coenzyme F420dependent sulfite reductase gene (Susanti and Mukhopadhyay, 2012). The tpa gene is related to the conversion of C2 sulfonate (taurine, isethionate) into sulfoacetaldehyde (Durham et al., 2019; Landa et al., 2019). In this study indicated that genes involved in the sulfur cycle showed acute responses to salt stress in 101-14, and these genes might help mitigate the negative effect of salt on grapevine. In addition, bacterial chemotaxis was uniquely enriched in 101-14 under salt stress. Previous studies have shown that chemotaxis is a significant microbial function in which bacteria respond to (i.e., move towards or away from) chemotactic signals like root exudates or microbial metabolites (Neal et al., 2012; Lu et al., 2016; Sun et al., 2021). Chemotaxis towards root exudates is thought to be the first step of bacterial colonization (Sood, 2003). Some components of root exudates reportedly have a direct relationship with the chemotaxis reaction (Zhang et al., 2014). Notably, variations in root exudate composition under salt stress have also been demonstrated. Vives-Peris et al. (2018) reported that the proline and salicylate content of root exudates from a salt-tolerant genotype of Citrus macrophylla was higher than that from a saltsensitive genotype. Bacterial growth was greater for the root exudate obtained from the salt-tolerant genotype than for the salt-sensitive genotype. These results indicated that bacterial chemotaxis is helpful to establish symbiosis with plant roots by the recruitment and colonization of microbes to salt-resistant rootstock rhizosphere. Taken together, the regulation of pathways associated with sulfur and glutathione metabolism and bacterial chemotaxis might be key mechanisms used by rhizosphere microbes in order to mitigate the harmful effects of salt stress on grapevines.

Taken together, our results clearly indicated that rootstock rhizosphere diversity and biological function, especially sulfur metabolism, contribute to relieving the harmful impacts of salt stress on grapevines. This work revealed great potential for further studies using microbiological or metabolic methods on salt-resistant cultivation technologies. Moreover, the results showed a correlation between the plant genotype and the influence of microbes on salt tolerance, and thus, the association between the soil microbiome and plant genotype should be further explored.

5. Conclusion

In this study, two grapevine rootstock genotypes showed significantly different salt tolerance responses. There was a more acute response and greater network complexity in the microbial community in the salt-tolerant vines, while in the salt-sensitive, a staler response was observed. When subjected to salt stress, the rhizosphere associated with the salt-tolerant vines exhibited a greater number and richness of growth-promoting bacteria than the salt-sensitive group. In addition, the abundance of genes related to the sulfur cycle increase significantly in salt-tolerant vines after treatment with NaCl. The microbial metabolism also showed more significant activity in salt-tolerant rootstocks. Particularly sulfur metabolism, glutathione metabolism, and bacterial chemotaxis pathways might have critical functions in enhancing grapevine tolerance to salt stress. In general, the obtained findings indicated that the effect of microbe-mediated tolerance to salt stress in grapevines is correlated to the grapevine genotype.

Data availability statement

The datasets for this study can be found in the NCBI SRA [PRJNA900419; http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sra/PRJNA900419].

Author contributions

BW and WW designed the research. BW performed experiments and wrote the first manuscript. XW and KZ managed the field experiment. BW, XW, ZW, and KZ contributed to the evaluate the data and interpretation of the data. WW, ZW, and XW assisted with editing the paper. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Funding

This research was supported by Jiangsu Province Breeding Project of Agricultural Leading New Varieties (PZCZ201722), China Agriculture Research System of MOF and MARA and Science and Technology Special Project for Subei of Jiangsu Province (XZ-SZ202101).

References

Aira, M., Gomez-Brandon, M., Lazcano, C., Baath, E., and Dominguez, J. (2010). Plant genotype strongly modifies the structure and growth of maize rhizosphere microbial communities. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 42, 2276–2281. doi: 10.1016/j. soilbio.2010.08.029 We would like to thank Editage (www.editage.cn) for English language editing.

Conflict of interest

KZ was affiliated with Huaian Herong Ecological Agriculture Co., Ltd.

The remaining authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2023.1102547/ full#supplementary-material

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 1

Dilution curves of bacterial pan and core genes in the rhizosphere microbiota. (A) Core gene dilution curve. (B) Pan-gene dilution curve.

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 2

Gene boxplot between different groups. G1, 101-14 treated with NaCl; G2, 101-14 treated with ddH₂O; G3, 5BB treated with NaCl; G4, 5BB treated with ddH₂O.

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 3

Core microbial species among all samples. G1, 101-14 treated with NaCl; G2, 101-14 treated with ddH₂O; G3, 5BB treated with NaCl; G4, 5BB treated with ddH₂O.

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 4

KEGG functions among all samples. Principle co-ordinates analysis based on Bray–Curtis distances of microbiota descriptions in different groups at (A) level 1, (B) level 2, and (C) level 3. Relative abundances at (D) level 1, (E) level 2, and (F) level 3. G1, 101-14 treated with NaCl; G2, 101-14 treated with ddH₂O; G3, 5BB treated with NaCl; G4, 5BB treated with ddH₂O.

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 5

Functions identified using the eggNOG database among all samples. Principle co-ordinates analysis based on Bray–Curtis distances of microbiota descriptions in different groups at (A) level 1, (B) level 2, and (C) level 3. Relative abundances at (D) level 1, (E) level 2, and (F) level 3. G1, 101-14 treated with NaCl; G2, 101-14 treated with ddH₂O; G3, 5BB treated with NaCl; G4, 5BB treated with ddH₂O.

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 6

Core microbial functions at KEGG level 3 among all samples. G1, 101-14 treated with NaCl; G2, 101-14 treated with ddH₂O; G3, 5BB treated with NaCl; G4, 5BB treated with ddH₂O.

Andres-Barrao, C., Alzubaidy, H., Jalal, R., Mariappan, K. G., de Zelicourt, A., Bokhari, A., et al. (2021). Coordinated bacterial and plant sulfur metabolism in *Enterobacter* sp. SA187-induced plant salt stress tolerance. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 118:e2107417118. doi: 10.1073/pnas.2107417118

Ashraf, M., and Akram, N. A. (2009). Improving salinity tolerance of plants through conventional breeding and genetic engineering: an analytical comparison. *Biotechnol. Adv.* 27, 744–752. doi: 10.1016/j.biotechadv.2009.05.026

Azarbad, H., Constant, P., Giard-Laliberte, C., Bainard, L. D., and Yergeau, E. (2018). Water stress history and wheat genotype modulate rhizosphere microbial response to drought. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 126, 228–236. doi: 10.1016/j. soilbio.2018.08.017

Azevedo-Silva, D., Rasmussen, J. A., Carneiro, M., Gilbert, M. T. P., and Azevedo, H. (2021). Feasibility of applying shotgun metagenomic analyses to grapevine leaf, rhizosphere and soil microbiome characterisation. *Aust. J. Grape Wine R.* 27, 519–526. doi: 10.1111/ ajgw.12508

Bazany, K. E., Wang, J. T., Delgado-Baquerizo, M., Singh, B. K., and Trivedi, P. (2022). Water deficit affects inter-kingdom microbial connections in plant rhizosphere. *Environ. Microbiol.* 24, 3722–3734. doi: 10.1111/1462-2920.16031

Baskaran, V., and Prabavathy, V. R. (2022). Diverse key nitrogen cycling genes nifH, nirS and nosZ associated with Pichavaram mangrove rhizospheres as revealed by culture-dependent and culture-independent analyses. *Arch Microbiol.* 204:109. doi: 10.1007/s00203-021-02661-4

Bharti, N., Pandey, S. S., Barnawal, D., Patel, V. K., and Kalra, A. (2016). Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria *Dietzia natronolimnaea* modulates the expression of stress responsive genes providing protection of wheat from salinity stress. *Sci. Rep.* 6:34768. doi: 10.1038/srep34768

Briones, A. M., Okabe, S., Umemiya, Y., Ramsing, N. B., Reichardt, W., and Okuyama, H. (2002). Influence of different cultivars on populations of ammonia-oxidizing bacteria in the root environment of rice. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 68, 3067–3075. doi: 10.1128/ aem.68.6.3067-3075.2002

Buchfink, B., Xie, C., and Huson, D. H. (2015). Fast and sensitive protein alignment using DIAMOND. *Nat. Methods* 12, 59–60. doi: 10.1038/nmeth.3176

Bulgarelli, D., Garrido-Oter, R., Munch, P. C., Weiman, A., Droge, J., Pan, Y., et al. (2015). Structure and function of the bacterial root microbiota in wild and domesticated barley. *Cell Host Microbe* 17, 392–403. doi: 10.1016/j.chom.2015.01.011

Chan, K. X., Phua, S. Y., and Van Breusegem, F. (2019). Secondary sulfur metabolism in cellular signalling and oxidative stress responses. *J. Exp. Bot.* 70, 4237–4250. doi: 10.1093/jxb/erz119

Durham, B. P., Boysen, A. K., Carlson, L. T., Groussman, R. D., Heal, K. R., Cain, K. R., et al. (2019). Sulfonate-based networks between eukaryotic phytoplankton and heterotrophic bacteria in the surface ocean. *Nat. Microbiol.* 4, 1706–1715. doi: 10.1038/s41564-019-0507-5

Egamberdieva, D., Kamilova, F., Validov, S., Gafurova, L., Kucharova, Z., and Lugtenberg, B. (2008). High incidence of plant growth-stimulating bacteria associated with the rhizosphere of wheat grown on salinated soil in Uzbekistan. *Environ. Microbiol.* 10, 1–9. doi: 10.1111/j.1462-2920.2007.01424.x

Egamberdieva, D., Wirth, S., Li, L., Abd-Allah, E. F., and Lindstrom, K. (2017). Microbial cooperation in the rhizosphere improves liquorice growth under salt stress. *Bioengineered* 8, 433–438. doi: 10.1080/21655979.2016.1250983

Fatma, M., Asgher, M., Masood, A., and Khan, N. A. (2014). Excess sulfur supplementation improves photosynthesis and growth in mustard under salt stress through increased production of glutathione. *Environ. Exp. Bot.* 107, 55–63. doi: 10.1016/j. envexpbot.2014.05.008

Finkenwirth, F., and Eitinger, T. (2019). ECF-type ABC transporters for uptake of vitamins and transition metal ions into prokaryotic cells. *Res. Microbiol.* 170, 358–365. doi: 10.1016/j.resmic.2019.06.007

Friedman, J., and Alm, E. J. (2012). Inferring correlation networks from genomic survey data. *PLoS Comp. Biol.* 8:e1002687. doi: 10.1371/journal.pcbi.1002687

Galbiati, M., Matus, J. T., Francia, P., Rusconi, F., Cañón, P., Medina, C., et al. (2011). The grapevine guard cell-related VvMYB60 transcription factor is involved in the regulation of stomatal activity and is differentially expressed in response to ABA and osmotic stress. *BMC Plant Biol.* 11:142. doi: 10.1186/1471-2229-11-142

Gill, S. S., and Tuteja, N. (2010). Reactive oxygen species and antioxidant machinery in abiotic stress tolerance in crop plants. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* 48, 909–930. doi: 10.1016/j. plaphy.2010.08.016

Gong, Y., Bai, J. L., Yang, H. T., Zhang, W. D., Xiong, Y. W., Ding, P., et al. (2018). Phylogenetic diversity and investigation of plant growth-promoting traits of actinobacteria in coastal salt marsh plant rhizospheres from Jiangsu, China. *Syst. Appl. Microbiol.* 41, 516–527. doi: 10.1016/j.syapm.2018.06.003

Guo, J., Zhu, J. L., Zhao, T. Y., Sun, Z. H., Song, S. N., Zhang, Y., et al. (2022). Survival characteristics and transcriptome profiling reveal the adaptive response of the *Brucella melitensis* 16M biofilm to osmotic stress. *Front. Microbiol.* 13:968592. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2022.968592

Hasanuzzaman, M., Bhuyan, M., Mahmud, J. A., Nahar, K., Mohsin, S. M., Parvin, K., et al. (2018). Interaction of sulfur with phytohormones and signaling molecules in conferring abiotic stress tolerance to plants. *Plant Signal. Behav.* 13:e1477905. doi: 10.1080/15592324.2018.1477905

Huang, X. F., Chaparro, J. M., Reardon, K. F., Zhang, R. F., Shen, Q. R., and Vivanco, J. M. (2014). Rhizosphere interactions: root exudates, microbes, and microbial communities. *Botany* 92, 267–275. doi: 10.1139/cjb-2013-0225

Huson, D. H., Mitra, S., Ruscheweyh, H. J., Weber, N., and Schuster, S. C. (2011). Integrative analysis of environmental sequences using MEGAN4. *Genome Res.* 21, 1552–1560. doi: 10.1101/gr.120618.111

İbrahimova, U., Kumari, P., Yadav, S., Rastogi, A., Antala, M., Suleymanova, Z., et al. (2021). Progress in understanding salt stress response in plants using biotechnological tools. *J. Biotechnol.* 329, 180–191. doi: 10.1016/j.jbiotec.2021.02.007

Ismail, A., Seo, M., Takebayashi, Y., Kamiya, Y., Eiche, E., and Nick, P. (2014). Salt adaptation requires efficient fine-tuning of jasmonate signalling. *Protoplasma* 251, 881–898. doi: 10.1007/s00709-013-0591-y

Jiang, Y. J., Li, S. Z., Li, R. P., Zhang, J., Liu, Y. H., Lv, L. F., et al. (2017). Plant cultivars imprint the rhizosphere bacterial community composition and association networks. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 109, 145–155. doi: 10.1016/j.soilbio.2017.02.010

Jin, Z. X., Gao, S. W., Ma, W. Y., Lyu, X. N., Cao, X. L., and Yao, Y. X. (2020). Identification and functional prediction of salt stress-related long noncoding RNAs in grapevine roots. *Environ. Exp. Bot.* 179:104215. doi: 10.1016/j.envexpbot.2020.104215

Jovel, J., Patterson, J., Wang, W., Hotte, N., O'Keefe, S., Mitchel, T., et al. (2016). Characterization of the gut microbiome using 16S or shotgun metagenomics. *Front. Microbiol.* 7:459. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2016.00459

Karlsson, F. H., Fak, F., Nookaew, I., Tremaroli, V., Fagerberg, B., Petranovic, D., et al. (2012). Symptomatic atherosclerosis is associated with an altered gut metagenome. *Nat. Commun.* 3:1245. doi: 10.1038/ncomms2266

Karlsson, F. H., Tremaroli, V., Nookaew, I., Bergstrom, G., Behre, C. J., Fagerberg, B., et al. (2013). Gut metagenome in European women with normal, impaired and diabetic glucose control. *Nature* 498:99-+. doi: 10.1038/nature12198

Khoiri, A. N., Cheevadhanarak, S., Jirakkakul, J., Dulsawat, S., Prommeenate, P., Tachaleat, A., et al. (2021). Comparative metagenomics reveals microbial signatures of sugarcane phyllosphere in organic management. *Front Microbiol.* 12:623799. doi: 10.3389/ fmicb.2021.623799

Kielak, A. M., Cipriano, M. A. P., and Kuramae, E. E. (2016). Acidobacteria strains from subdivision 1 act as plant growth-promoting bacteria. *Arch. Microbiol.* 198, 987–993. doi: 10.1007/s00203-016-1260-2

Kong, C., Camps-Arbestain, M., Clothier, B., Bishop, P., and Vazquez, F. M. (2021). Use of either pumice or willow-based biochar amendments to decrease soil salinity under arid conditions. *Environ. Technol. Innovation* 24:101849. doi: 10.1016/j.eti.2021.101849

Landa, M., Burns, A. S., Durham, B. P., Esson, K., Nowinski, B., Sharma, S., et al. (2019). Sulfur metabolites that facilitate oceanic phytoplankton-bacteria carbon flux. *ISME J.* 13, 2536–2550. doi: 10.1038/s41396-019-0455-3

Lemanceau, P., Blouin, M., Muller, D., and Moenne-Loccoz, Y. (2017). Let the core microbiota be functional. *Trends Plant Sci.* 22, 583–595. doi: 10.1016/j.tplants.2017. 04.008

Lenk, S., Arnds, J., Zerjatke, K., Musat, N., Amann, R., and Mussmann, M. (2011). Novel groups of Gammaproteobacteria catalyse sulfur oxidation and carbon fixation in a coastal, intertidal sediment. *Environ. Microbiol.* 13, 758–774. doi: 10.1111/j.1462-2920.2010. 02380.x

Li, J. H., Jia, H. J., Cai, X. H., Zhong, H. Z., Feng, Q., Sunagawa, S., et al. (2014). An integrated catalog of reference genes in the human gut microbiome. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 32, 834–841. doi: 10.1038/nbt.2942

Li, Y. C., Li, Y. F., Yang, M. K., Chang, S. X., Qi, J. L., Tang, C. X., et al. (2020). Changes of microbial functional capacities in the rhizosphere contribute to aluminum tolerance by genotype-specific soybeans in acid soils. *Biol. Fertility Soils* 56, 771–783. doi: 10.1007/ s00374-020-01451-2

Li, Z. L., Zhang, K. X., Qiu, L. X., Ding, S. W., Wang, H. L., Liu, Z. G., et al. (2022). Soil microbial co-occurrence patterns under controlled-release urea and fulvic acid applications. *Microorganisms* 10:1823. doi: 10.3390/microorganisms10091823

Lian, T. X., Huang, Y. Y., Xie, X. N., Huo, X., Shahid, M. Q., Tian, L., et al. (2020). Rice SST variation shapes the rhizosphere bacterial community, conferring tolerance to salt stress through regulating soil metabolites. *mSystems* 5:e00721–20. doi: 10.1128/mSystems.00721-20

Liechty, Z., Santos-Medellin, C., Edwards, J., Nguyen, B., Mikhail, D., Eason, S., et al. (2020). Comparative analysis of root microbiomes of rice cultivars with high and low methane emissions reveals differences in abundance of methanogenic archaea and putative upstream fermenters. *mSystems* 5:5. doi: 10.1128/mSystems.00897-19

Liu, J. T., Chu, G. Y., Wang, Q. Z., Zhang, Z. M., Lu, S. L., She, Z. L., et al. (2022). Metagenomic analysis and nitrogen removal performance evaluation of activated sludge from a sequencing batch reactor under different salinities. *J. Environ. Manag.* 323:116213. doi: 10.1016/j.jenvman.2022.116213

Liu, S., Guo, X., Feng, G., Maimaitiaili, B., Fan, J., and He, X. (2015). Indigenous arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi can alleviate salt stress and promote growth of cotton and maize in saline fields. *Plant Soil* 398, 195–206. doi: 10.1007/s11104-015-2656-5

Liu, Q., Yang, J., Wang, B., Liu, W., Hua, Z., and Jiang, H. (2022). Influence of salinity on the diversity and composition of carbohydrate metabolism, nitrogen and sulfur cycling genes in lake surface sediments. *Front. Microbiol.* 13:1019010. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2022.1019010

Liu, M., Yu, M., Yao, Y., Zhai, H., Tang, M., Gao, Z., et al. (2022). Effect of seawater irrigation on the sugars, organic acids, and volatiles in 'Reliance' grape. *Horticulture* 8:8. doi: 10.3390/horticulturae8030248

Lu, H. Y., Chen, J. H., Jia, Y. Y., Cai, M. W., and Lee, P. K. H. (2016). Transcriptomic responses of the interactions between *Clostridium cellulovorans* 743B and *Rhodopseudomonas palustris* CGA009 in a cellulose-grown coculture for enhanced hydrogen production. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 82, 4546–4559. doi: 10.1128/ aem.00789-16

Lu, X., Ma, L., Zhang, C. C., Yan, H. K., Bao, J. Y., Gong, M. S., et al. (2022). Grapevine (*Vitis vinifera*) responses to salt stress and alkali stress: transcriptional and metabolic profiling. *BMC Plant Biol.* 22:528. doi: 10.1186/s12870-022-03907-z

Mathew, B. T., Torky, Y., Amin, A., Mourad, A. H. I., Ayyash, M. M., El-Keblawy, A., et al. (2020). Halotolerant marine rhizosphere-competent Actinobacteria promote *Salicornia bigelovii* growth and seed production using seawater irrigation. *Front. Microbiol.* 11:552. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2020.00552

Mendes, R., Kruijt, M., de Bruijn, I., Dekkers, E., van der Voort, M., Schneider, J. H. M., et al. (2011). Deciphering the rhizosphere microbiome for disease-suppressive bacteria. *Science* 332, 1097–1100. doi: 10.1126/science.1203980

Menendez-Serra, M., Ontiveros, V. J., Barberan, A., and Casamayor, E. O. (2022). Absence of stress-promoted facilitation coupled with a competition decrease in the microbiome of ephemeral saline lakes. *Ecology* 103:e3834. doi: 10.1002/ecy.3834

Nazar, R., Umar, S., and Khan, N. A. (2015). Exogenous salicylic acid improves photosynthesis and growth through increase in ascorbate-glutathione metabolism and S assimilation in mustard under salt stress. *Plant Signal. Behav.* 10:e1003751. doi: 10.1080/15592324.2014.1003751

Neal, A. L., Ahmad, S., Gordon-Weeks, R., and Ton, J. (2012). Benzoxazinoids in root exudates of maize attract *Pseudomonas putida* to the rhizosphere. *PLoS One* 7:e35498. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0035498

Numan, M., Bashir, S., Khan, Y., Mumtaz, R., Shinwari, Z. K., Khan, A. L., et al. (2018). Plant growth promoting bacteria as an alternative strategy for salt tolerance in plants: a review. *Microbiol. Res.* 209, 21–32. doi: 10.1016/j.micres.2018.02.003

Oh, J., Byrd, A. L., Deming, C., Conlan, S., Kong, H. H., Segre, J. A., et al. (2014). Biogeography and individuality shape function in the human skin metagenome. *Nature* 514, 59–64. doi: 10.1038/nature13786

Pan, Y. Q., Kang, P., Tan, M., Hu, J. P., Zhang, Y. Q., Zhang, J. L., et al. (2022). Root exudates and rhizosphere soil bacterial relationships of *Nitraria tangutorum* are linked to k-strategists bacterial community under salt stress. *Front. Plant Sci.* 13:997292. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2022.997292

Pathania, P., Bhatia, R., and Khatri, M. (2020). Cross-competence and affectivity of maize rhizosphere bacteria bacillus sp. MT7 in tomato rhizosphere. *Sci. Hortic.* 272:109480. doi: 10.1016/j.scienta.2020.109480

Patil, K. R., and Nielsen, J. (2005). Uncovering transcriptional regulation of metabolism by using metabolic network topology. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 102, 2685–2689. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0406811102

Qin, N., Yang, F. L., Li, A., Prifti, E., Chen, Y. F., Shao, L., et al. (2014). Alterations of the human gut microbiome in liver cirrhosis. *Nature* 513:59-+. doi: 10.1038/nature13568

Ravinath, R., Das, A. J., Usha, T., Ramesh, N., and Middha, S. K. (2022). Targeted metagenome sequencing reveals the abundance of *Planctomycetes* and *Bacteroidetes* in the rhizosphere of pomegranate. *Arch. Microbiol.* 204:481. doi: 10.1007/s00203-022-03100-8

Rekaby, S. A., Awad, M. Y. M., Hegab, S. A., and Eissa, M. A. (2020). Effect of some organic amendments on barley plants under saline condition. *J. Plant Nutr.* 43, 1840–1851. doi: 10.1080/01904167.2020.1750645

Remmas, N., Melidis, P., Zerva, I., Kristoffersen, J. B., Nikolaki, S., Tsiamis, G., et al. (2017). Dominance of candidate Saccharibacteria in a membrane bioreactor treating medium age landfill leachate: effects of organic load on microbial communities, hydrolytic potential and extracellular polymeric substances. *Bioresour. Technol.* 238, 48–56. doi: 10.1016/j.biortech.2017.04.019

Rodriguez, R. J., Henson, J., Van Volkenburgh, E., Hoy, M., Wright, L., Beckwith, F., et al. (2008). Stress tolerance in plants via habitat-adapted symbiosis. *ISME J.* 2, 404–416. doi: 10.1038/ismej.2007.106

Rotoni, C., Leite, M. F. A., Pijl, A., and Kuramae, E. E. (2022). Rhizosphere microbiome response to host genetic variability: a trade-off between bacterial and fungal community assembly. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* 98:fiac061. doi: 10.1093/femsec/fiac061

Ruiz, J. M., and Blumwald, E. (2002). Salinity-induced glutathione synthesis in *Brassica* napus. Planta 214, 965–969. doi: 10.1007/s00425-002-0748-y

Segata, N., Izard, J., Waldron, L., Gevers, D., Miropolsky, L., Garrett, W. S., et al. (2011). Metagenomic biomarker discovery and explanation. *Genome Biol.* 12:R60. doi: 10.1186/ gb-2011-12-6-r60

Singh, A. (2021). Soil salinity: a global threat to sustainable development. Soil Use Manag. 38, 39–67. doi: 10.1111/sum.12772

Sood, S. G. (2003). Chemotactic response of plant-growth-promoting bacteria towards roots of vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizal tomato plants. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* 45, 219–227. doi: 10.1016/s0168-6496(03)00155-7

Sun, Y., Li, X., Cao, N., Duan, C., Ding, C., Huang, Y., et al. (2022). Biodegradable microplastics enhance soil microbial network complexity and ecological stochasticity. *J. Hazard. Mater.* 439:129610. doi: 10.1016/j.jhazmat.2022.129610

Sun, Y., Tian, L., Chang, J. J., Shi, S. H., Zhang, J. F., Xie, H. W., et al. (2021). Rice domestication influences the composition and function of the rhizosphere bacterial chemotaxis systems. *Plant Soil* 466, 81–99. doi: 10.1007/s11104-021-05036-2

Sunagawa, S., Coelho, L. P., Chaffron, S., Kultima, J. R., Labadie, K., Salazar, G., et al. (2015). Structure and function of the global ocean microbiome. *Science* 348:1261359. doi: 10.1126/science.1261359

Susanti, D., and Mukhopadhyay, B. (2012). An intertwined evolutionary history of methanogenic archaea and sulfate reduction. *PLoS One* 7:e45313. doi: 10.1371/journal. pone.0045313

Tran, M. T., Doan, D. T. H., Kim, J., Song, Y. J., Sung, Y. W., Das, S., et al. (2021). CRISPR/ Cas9-based precise excision of SlHyPRP1 domain(s) to obtain salt stress-tolerant tomato. *Plant Cell Rep.* 40, 999–1011. doi: 10.1007/s00299-020-02622-z

Vinothini, G., Latha, S., Arulmozhi, M., and Dhanasekaran, D. (2019). Statistical optimization, physio-chemical and bio-functional attributes of a novel exopolysaccharide from probiotic *Streptomyces griseorubens* GD5. *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.* 134, 575–587. doi: 10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2019.05.011

Vives-Peris, V., Molina, L., Segura, A., Gomez-Cadenas, A., and Perez-Clemente, R. M. (2018). Root exudates from citrus plants subjected to abiotic stress conditions have a positive effect on rhizobacteria. *J. Plant Physiol.* 228, 208–217. doi: 10.1016/j. jplph.2018.06.003

Wang, B., Guo, X. W., Li, K., Han, X., Xu, S. J., Liu, Z. D., et al. (2015). Effects of salicylic acid on grape plants and the soil microbial community. *Allelopathy J.* 36, 49–61.

Wang, G. B., Zhang, Q. Q., Du, W. C., Ai, F. X., Yin, Y., Ji, R., et al. (2021). Microbial communities in the rhizosphere of different willow genotypes affect phytoremediation potential in Cd contaminated soil. *Sci. Total Environ.* 769:145224. doi: 10.1016/j. scitotenv.2021.145224

Wang, F. B., Zhu, H., Chen, D. H., Li, Z. J., Peng, R. H., and Yao, Q. H. (2016). A grape bHLH transcription factor gene, *VvbHLH1*, increases the accumulation of flavonoids and enhances salt and drought tolerance in transgenic *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Plant Cell Tissue Organ Cult*. 125, 387–398. doi: 10.1007/s11240-016-0953-1

Watts, S. C., Ritchie, S. C., Inouye, M., and Holt, K. E. (2019). FastSpar: rapid and scalable correlation estimation for compositional data. *Bioinformatics* 35, 1064–1066. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/bty734

Wu, C. H., Bernard, S. M., Andersen, G. L., and Chen, W. (2009). Developing microbeplant interactions for applications in plant-growth promotion and disease control, production of useful compounds, remediation and carbon sequestration. *Microb. Biotechnol.* 2, 428–440. doi: 10.1111/j.1751-7915.2009.00109.x

Xiong, J. B., Lu, J. Q., Li, X. H., Qiu, Q. F., Chen, J., and Yan, C. Q. (2021). Effect of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) genotype on yield: evidence from recruiting spatially consistent rhizosphere microbiome. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 161:108395. doi: 10.1016/j.soilbio.2021.108395

Xu, Y., Zhang, D., Dai, L., Ding, H., Ci, D., Qin, F., et al. (2020). Influence of salt stress on growth of spermosphere bacterial communities in different peanut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) cultivars. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 21:2131. doi: 10.3390/ijms21062131

Xu, Y., Zhang, Z. M., Ding, H., Wen, S. Q., Zhang, G. C., Qin, F. F., et al. (2022). Comprehensive effects of salt stress and peanut cultivars on the rhizosphere bacterial community diversity of peanut. *Arch. Microbiol.* 204:15. doi: 10.1007/ s00203-021-02619-6

Xu, J. B., Zhang, J. W., Zhu, C. W., Zhu, J. G., Lin, X. G., and Fe, Y. Z. (2019). Influence of rice cultivars on soil bacterial microbiome under elevated carbon dioxide. *J. Soils Sed.* 19, 2485–2495. doi: 10.1007/s11368-018-2220-z

Xue, L., Ren, H. D., Li, S., Leng, X. H., and Yao, X. H. (2017). Soil bacterial community structure and co-occurrence pattern during vegetation restoration in karst rocky desertification area. *Front. Microbiol.* 8:2377. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2017.02377

Yang, Y. Q., and Guo, Y. (2018). Elucidating the molecular mechanisms mediating plant salt-stress responses. *New Phytol.* 217, 523–539. doi: 10.1111/nph.14920

Yang, C., Zhao, Y. H., Cao, W., Xing, M. X., Xu, X. Y., Wang, Z. Y., et al. (2022). Metagenomic analysis reveals antibiotic resistance genes and virulence factors in the salinealkali soils from the Yellow River Delta, China. *Environ. Res.* 214:113823. doi: 10.1016/j. envres.2022.113823

Yousuf, B., Kumar, R., Mishra, A., and Jha, B. (2014a). Differential distribution and abundance of diazotrophic bacterial communities across different soil niches using a genetargeted clone library approach. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* 360, 117–125. doi: 10.1111/1574-6968.12593

Yousuf, B., Kumar, R., Mishra, A., and Jha, B. (2014b). Unravelling the carbon and sulphur metabolism in coastal soil ecosystems using comparative cultivation-independent genome-level characterisation of microbial communities. *PLoS One* 9:e107025. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0107025

Yousuf, B., Sanadhya, P., Keshri, J., and Jha, B. (2012). Comparative molecular analysis of chemolithoautotrophic bacterial diversity and community structure from coastal saline soils, Gujarat, India. *BMC Microbiol.* 12:150. doi: 10.1186/1471-2180-12-150

Yu, F. M., Jayawardena, R. S., Thongklang, N., Lv, M. L., Zhu, X. T., and Zhao, Q. (2022). Morel production associated with soil nitrogen-fixing and nitrifying microorganisms. *J. Fungi* 8:299. doi: 10.3390/jof8030299

Yu, X., Zhou, J., Song, W., Xu, M., He, Q., Peng, Y., et al. (2021). SCycDB: a curated functional gene database for metagenomic profiling of Sulphur cycling pathways. *Mol. Ecol. Resour.* 21, 924–940. doi: 10.1111/1755-0998.13306

Yuan, J. W., Li, M. M., Jia, N., Liu, C. J., Han, B., Yin, Y. G., et al. (2019). Evaluation of salt stress tolerace in twenty-one grape rootstock. *Acta Agric. Boreali-occidentalis Sin.* 28, 602–606. doi: 10.7606/j.issn.1004-1389.2019.04.013

Zeller, G., Tap, J., Voigt, A. Y., Sunagawa, S., Kultima, J. R., Costea, P. I., et al. (2014). Potential of fecal microbiota for early-stage detection of colorectal cancer. *Mol. Syst. Biol.* 10:766. doi: 10.15252/msb.20145645

Zhang, R., Chen, L. J., Niu, Z. R., Song, S. Z., and Zhao, Y. (2019). Water stress affects the frequency of Firmicutes, Clostridiales and Lysobacter in rhizosphere soils of greenhouse grape. *Agric. Water Manag.* 226:105776. doi: 10.1016/j.agwat.2019.105776

Zhang, N., Wang, D. D., Liu, Y. P., Li, S. Q., Shen, Q. R., and Zhang, R. F. (2014). Effects of different plant root exudates and their organic acid components on chemotaxis, biofilm formation and colonization by beneficial rhizosphere-associated bacterial strains. *Plant Soil* 374, 689–700. doi: 10.1007/s11104-013-1915-6

Zhao, S., Banerjee, S., White, J. F., Liu, J. J., Zhou, N., and Tian, C. Y. (2022). High salt stress increases archaeal abundance and network connectivity in saline agricultural soils. *Catena* 217:106520. doi: 10.1016/j.catena.2022.106520

Zheng, Y., Xu, Z., Liu, H., Liu, Y., Zhou, Y., Meng, C., et al. (2021). Patterns in the microbial community of salt-tolerant plants and the functional genes associated with salt stress alleviation. *Microbiol Spectr.* 9:e0076721. doi: 10.1128/Spectrum.00767-21

Zhao, P., Xia, W., Wang, J., Zhang, X., Zhuang, Y., Lu, Q., et al. (2018). Fungal diversity of rhizosphere soil from 11 varieties of grapevines at maturity stage from two vineyards in China. *J. Biobased Mater. Bio.* 12:252. 356–361. doi: 10.1166/jbmb.2018.1784

Zhou, Y., Tang, N. Y., Huang, L. J., Zhao, Y. J., Tang, X. Q., and Wang, K. C. (2018). Effects of salt stress on plant growth, antioxidant capacity, glandular trichome density, and volatile exudates of *Schizonepeta tenuifolia* Briq. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 19:252. doi: 10.3390/ijms19010252

Zhou, J. X., Yu, L. F., Zhang, J., Zhang, X. M., Xue, Y., Liu, J., et al. (2020). Characterization of the core microbiome in tobacco leaves during aging. *Microbiology* 9:e984. doi: 10.1002/mbo3.984