Check for updates

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY Sudhir K. Upadhyay, Veer Bahadur Singh Purvanchal University, India

#### REVIEWED BY

Dilfuza Jabborova, Academy of Sciences Republic of Uzbekistan (UzAS), Uzbekistan Salma Mukhtar, Connecticut Agricultural Experiment Station, United States

\*CORRESPONDENCE Joseph Ezra John ⊠ ezrajohn4@gmail.com Chidamparam Poornachandhra ⊠ poorna155c@gmail.com

SPECIALTY SECTION This article was submitted to Extreme Microbiology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Microbiology

RECEIVED 31 October 2022 ACCEPTED 16 January 2023 PUBLISHED 14 February 2023

#### CITATION

John JE, Maheswari M, Kalaiselvi T, Prasanthrajan M, Poornachandhra C, Rakesh SS, Gopalakrishnan B, Davamani V, Kokiladevi E and Ranjith S (2023) Biomining *Sesuvium portulacastrum* for halotolerant PGPR and endophytes for promotion of salt tolerance in *Vigna mungo* L. *Front. Microbiol.* 14:1085787. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2023.1085787

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2023 John, Maheswari, Kalaiselvi, Prasanthrajan, Poornachandhra, Rakesh, Gopalakrishnan, Davamani, Kokiladevi and Ranjith. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

### Biomining Sesuvium portulacastrum for halotolerant PGPR and endophytes for promotion of salt tolerance in Vigna mungo L.

Joseph Ezra John<sup>1\*</sup>, Muthunalliappan Maheswari<sup>1</sup>, Thangavel Kalaiselvi<sup>2</sup>, Mohan Prasanthrajan<sup>1</sup>, Chidamparam Poornachandhra<sup>1\*</sup>, Srirangarayan Subramanian Rakesh<sup>1</sup>, Boopathi Gopalakrishnan<sup>3</sup>, Veeraswamy Davamani<sup>1</sup>, Eswaran Kokiladevi<sup>4</sup> and Sellappan Ranjith<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Environmental Sciences, AC&RI, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore, India, <sup>2</sup>Department of Agricultural Microbiology, AC&RI, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore, India, <sup>3</sup>ICAR-National Institute of Abiotic Stress Management, Baramati, India, <sup>4</sup>Department of Biotechnology, Agricultural College and Research Institute, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore, India

Halophytic plants can tolerate a high level of salinity through several morphological and physiological adaptations along with the presence of salt tolerant rhizomicrobiome. These microbes release phytohormones which aid in alleviating salinity stress and improve nutrient availability. The isolation and identification of such halophilic PGPRs can be useful in developing bio-inoculants for improving the salt tolerance and productivity of non-halophytic plants under saline conditions. In this study, salt-tolerant bacteria with multiple plant growth promoting characteristics were isolated from the rhizosphere of a predominant halophyte, Sesuvium portulacastrum grown in the coastal and paper mill effluent irrigated soils. Among the isolates, nine halotolerant rhizobacterial strains that were able to grow profusely at a salinity level of 5% NaCl were screened. These isolates were found to have multiple plant growth promoting (PGP) traits, especially 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid deaminase activity (0.32–1.18  $\mu$ M of  $\alpha$ -ketobutyrate released mg<sup>-1</sup> of protein h<sup>-1</sup>) and indole acetic acid (9.4–22.8 µgmL<sup>-1</sup>). The halotolerant PGPR inoculation had the potential to improve salt tolerance in Vigna mungo L. which was reflected in significantly (p<0.05) higher germination percentage (89%) compared to un-inoculated seeds (65%) under 2% NaCl. Similarly, shoot length (8.9-14.6cm) and vigor index (792-1785) were also higher in inoculated seeds. The strains compatible with each other were used for the preparation of two bioformulations and these microbial consortia were tested for their efficacy in salt stress alleviation of Vigna mungo L. under pot study. The inoculation improved the photosynthetic rate (12%), chlorophyll content (22%), shoot length (5.7%) and grain yield (33%) in Vigna mungo L. The enzymatic activity of catalase and superoxide dismutase were found to be lower (7.0 and 1.5%, respectively) in inoculated plants. These results revealed that halotolerant PGPR isolated from S. portulacastrum can be a cost-effective and ecologically sustainable method to improve crop productivity under high saline conditions.

#### KEYWORDS

Halophyte, rhizobacteria, endophytes, salt tolerance, crop improvement, ACC deaminase

#### 1. Introduction

Agricultural productivity is very important to ensure food security in future with the ensuing population rise. As per the Global Agricultural Productivity (GAP) Index, the current growth rate of agricultural production is not enough to meet the projected food demand of 10 billion people in 2050 (Zeigler and Steensland, 2022). In addition to this, plants are confronted with various kinds of stresses such as drought, flooding, salinity, heat, cold, nutrient deficiency and exposure to heavy metals, phytopathogen, pest attack, etc. Among various environmental stressors, excessive presence of salts in soil (soil salinity) is one of the major problems responsible for the reduction of crop growth and productivity across the globe. It is reported that more than 1,000 million hectares of land are affected by salinity throughout the world (Negacz et al., 2022). Globally, about 10% of agricultural soils are under the threat of salinization due to continuous usage of fertilizer and poor-quality water for irrigation (Borsato et al., 2020; Kumar and Sharma, 2020). Salinity adversely affects crop productivity in arid and semi-arid areas around the world where it causes an annual loss of 1-2% of arable land (Shrivastava and Kumar, 2015). Soil salinity also induces biochemical changes in the salt sensitive crop owing to disturbance in osmotic potential, imbalance in ion concentration, and increased Reactive Oxygen Species (ROS) production (Singh et al., 2022). This leads to the break in electron transport chain and cleavage of hydrogen bond between the amino acids in the genetic material which has deleterious effect on crop (Ermakova et al., 2019). Furthermore, high concentration of salts in the plant cells were reported to induce oxidative stress and enhanced production of stress ethylene, which in turn affects the physiological processes like respiration, photosynthesis, nitrogen fixation, etc. (Gupta and Huang, 2014; Paul and Lade, 2014; Acosta-Motos et al., 2017).

Removal of salt from saline soil is an intensive process which is time consuming and requires financial investment (Qadir et al., 2014). However, for a long time, the reclamation of saline soils was carried out mainly by physical and chemical processes. In physical process, soluble salts in the root zone are removed by scraping, flushing and leaching methods, while the use of gypsum and lime as neutralizing agents in saline soils is employed in chemical method (Ayyam et al., 2019). But these methods are not sustainable when the salt concentration is too high in soils continuously irrigated with saline water. Unless these salts are leached from the soil, they accumulate to levels that are inhibitory to plant growth and may lead to soil salinity. In the long run, salinity causes the degradation of soil structure affecting water and root penetration along with other problems (Gul et al., 2014). In these cases, phytoremediation comes as a viable alternative to ensure soil productivity, preferably halophytes that have evolved to grow in saline soils and uptake salt (Flowers and Colmer, 2015). With considerable progress in understanding physiological and molecular mechanisms in salt tolerance of halophytes, some have been found to possess genes suitable for improving salt tolerance and phytoremediation potential (Shabala, 2013; Gul et al., 2014; Diray-Arce et al., 2015). Besides this, microbes associated with rhizosphere are known to promote the desired effect like, growth regulation, remediation potential, biotic and abiotic stress tolerance, etc. (Upadhyay et al., 2022a). Microbes found in the rhizosphere (rhizobacteria) or within plant tissues including roots (endophytes) have the potential to contribute significantly to the ability of plants to adapt to adverse conditions (Numan et al., 2018; Chauhan et al., 2022). However, the potential contribution of microorganisms associated with these plants in the soil, on plant surfaces, or within plant tissues is underutilized.

Exogenous compounds produced by microorganisms in the rhizosphere region promote nutrient uptake, control pathogens, and lessen the effects of salinity and sodicity (Damodaran et al., 2013). These include Indole Acetic Acid (IAA) production (Ahemad and Kibret, 2014), Hydrogen Cyanide (HCN) production, siderophore production, 1-Aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate (ACC) deaminase production, nutrient solubilization and suppression of soil borne pathogens (Dimkpa et al., 2009). Furthermore, certain microorganisms promote the activity of plant anti-oxidants and osmolytes production (Etesami and Beattie, 2018). The direct and indirect mechanisms, metabolism and chemotaxis in promotion of growth in plants are interceded by gene cluster that activates host-PGPR interactions. In Bacillus subtilis-GB03, out of 38 genes, 30 genes responded to the change in the root structure of the host (Arabidopsis sp.) in addition to growth promotion (Ryu et al., 2003). The upsurge in nutrient availability and production of plant growth regulators (IAA, ACC deaminase, ethylene and gibberellic acid) are direct mechanisms through which microbes improve crop growth (Upadhyay et al., 2022b). The siderophore production is known to promote iron availability that has direct impact on crop growth. Siderophores also exert antimicrobial activity by limiting iron availability to the pathogens. The EPS, HCN and hydrolytic enzyme production has various indirect benefits to the crop such as antipathogenic potential, disease resistance and abiotic stress tolerance (Upadhyay et al., 2011, 2022b). Microbial isolates with PGP characteristics from rhizosphere regions of halophytes like A. nummularia (Da Silva et al., 2016) and Salicornia sp. (Mapelli et al., 2013) could provide an alternative option for chemical amendments. Characterizing these bacteria from saline environments may lead to the identification of beneficial microorganisms for use as inoculants to stimulate the growth of non-host plants under saline conditions.

In an earlier study with the halophyte Sesuvium portulacastrum collected from the coastal soils of Tamil Nadu, India, it was observed that S. portulacastrum had the potential to mitigate salination of soil irrigated with paper and pulp mill effluent containing high salt (John et al., 2022). This experiment was conducted in paper and pulp mill effluent irrigated area near Tamil Nadu Newsprint and Papers Limited (TNPL), Karur, Tamil Nadu, India and it is known as the Treated Effluent Water Lift Irrigation System area (TEWLIS). The current practice for managing saline soils among the farming community is flooding and leaching of salts with good quality water. However, the lack of good quality water, expertise in soil drainage and high-cost requirements discourage them from practicing it especially, where wastewater is the only source for irrigation. In this case, microbial assisted agriculture could improve the crop growth and yield which requires minimum skill and cost. Halophytes harbor salt tolerant microorganisms as endophytes and epiphytes which are also capable of growing in saline environment. These microorganisms have been reported to enhance biotic (Masum et al., 2018) and abiotic stress (Upadhyay et al., 2011) tolerance in many crops. However, endophytes of Sesuvium portulacastrum have not been reported yet. Due to its ability to grow in higher concentration of salt, it is felt that the culturable endo and epiphytic bacteria of Sesuvium portulacastrum could tolerate salinity and also trigger induced systemic tolerance against salinity stress in plant. Keeping this in view, the current study was conceived to isolate epiphytic and endophytic plant growth promoting bacterial flora from halophyte, S. portulacastrum grown in salt affected ecosystem. Further investigation was done to validate the potential of halotolerant plant

growth promoting bacterial effects on salt sensitive crop (*Vigna mungo* L.) grown in saline condition. A total of 31 isolates were obtained from rhizosphere of *S. portulacastrum* of which nine strains were found to be halotolerant. These isolates were evaluated for their PGP characteristics, tested under *in vitro* for their growth promotion in *Vigna mungo* L. at 2% NaCl and were identified through 16 s RNA sequencing. All the nine isolates had at least one PGP capability, hence two consortia with three strains in each were formulated based on the compatibility between the isolates. Microbial consortium I (MC I) had *Metabacillus indicus, Neobacillus niacini* and *Serratia marcescens*; while MC II (Microbial consortium II) was formulated with *Bacillus velezensis, Kocuria rhizophila*, and *Kosakonia radicincitans*. Consequently, their potential in growth promotion of salt sensitive glycophyte, *Vigno mungo* L. in paper and pulp mill effluent irrigated soil under pot culture experiment was confirmed.

#### 2. Materials and methods

#### 2.1. Collection of samples

The soil and plant samples were initially collected from the coastal area of Parangipettai, Tamil Nadu, India. The *S. portulacastrum* was mostly found on the sandy shores of the backwaters (Figure 1). The collected halophyte was successfully established in the soil salinized by paper and pulp mill effluent irrigation at Karur, Tamil Nadu, India. The rhizospheric soil from two soil series, Thulukanur and Vannapatti soil series in the paper mill effluent irrigated area was collected. The samples were collected in sterile plastic bags and transferred to laboratory for further analysis. The organic matter was analyzed by the Walkley-Black



FIGURE 1

Collection of *Sesuvium portulacastrum* from the shores of backwaters at Parangipettai, Tamil Nadu, India.

method while Electrical Conductivity (EC) and pH were analyzed by the saturated paste extract method (Murtaza et al., 2017). The physico chemical properties are tabulated in Supplementary Table S3. Soil samples required for the study were collected from the soils of long-term treated paper and pulp mill effluent irrigated area located at  $11^{\circ} 01'24.9''$ N and  $77^{\circ} 59'59''$  E. Adequate amount of soil was shade dried, large debris was removed and subsequently 10 kg of soil was transferred to perforated pots for secondary evaluation. The pH and EC of the experimental soil were found to be 8.18 and 2.62 dS m<sup>-1</sup>, respectively (Supplementary Table S3). The Exchangeable Sodium Percentage (ESP) of the soil was 13.54 per cent with an organic carbon content of 0.63 per cent.

## 2.2. Isolation and characterization of the halotolerant bacteria

To isolate rhizospheric bacteria, plant roots were gently shaken to remove the clumps of loosely adhering soil to the roots, leaving behind the root-firmly adhering soil particles (rhizospheric soil), which were then suspended and vortexed in 100 mL of sterile 1% NaCl solution. Thereafter, 10 folded serial dilutions (10×, 20×, 30×, 40×, and 50×) were prepared and 1 ml from each diluent was plated on nutrient agar (NA) medium (Supplementary Table S1) supplemented with 2% NaCl. The plates were incubated at 28°C and monitored for colony formation for up to 1 week (Fisher et al., 2007). For the isolation of endophytic bacteria, the roots of the collected samples were washed carefully under running tap water for 10 min to remove adhering soil particles. The roots were disinfected with 70% ethanol for 1 min, then rinsed three times with sterile distilled water. The roots were then surface sterilized with 3% sodium hypochlorite solution containing a few drops of Tween 20 R (Sigma-Aldrich, Steinheim, Germany) for 10 min followed by six rinses with sterile distilled water. To confirm root surface sterilization efficiency, an aliquot (100 mL) from the sixth wash solution was spread on NA medium and incubated at 28°C for 5 days. Thereafter, 1 g of the surface sterilized root tissue was macerated with a sterilized mortar and pestle in 10 mL distilled water and 1 mL from the tissue extracts and the serial diluents (10×, 20×, and 30×) were spread on NA medium supplemented with 2% NaCl. The plates were incubated at 28°C and monitored for up to 1 week for bacterial colony formation (Ramadoss et al., 2013).

The colonies of rhizospheric and endophytic bacterial isolates were examined morphologically for their shape, size, margin, elevation, appearance, texture, and pigmentation. In addition, cellular morphology, shape, Gram staining and biochemical characters were also examined (Sandhya and Ali, 2018; Supplementary Table S2). Colonies with distinct morphological characteristics were selected and purified by subculturing three times on NA media supplemented with 3% NaCl, before their storage in a 40% glycerol solution at  $-20^{\circ}$ C till further use. Each sample was labeled representing the plant source (*Sesuvium portulacastrum*: SP) followed by the abbreviation of collection site (P: Parangipettai coastal soil; TV: TEWLIS area Vannapatti series and TT: TEWLIS area Thulukanur series), E in case of Endophytic, and the isolate number.

#### 2.3. Halotolerant assay

Initially, all bacterial isolates were screened for halotolerance using NA media supplemented with 2 and 3% NaCl (14.7 and 21.6 dS  $m^{-1}$ ,

respectively). The cultures that were found to grow in 3% were selected for further evaluation with growth curve experiment. The 48h old cultures of the isolates from the broth were transferred with an equal quantity of inoculum (Optical density of 660 nm = 0.10) to 100 mL NA broth supplemented with 3, 5 and 7% NaCl (21.6 dS m<sup>-1</sup>, 32.3 dS m<sup>-1</sup> and 41.1 dS m<sup>-1</sup>, respectively). The OD 660 nm values were measured once in 4 h for all the isolates until the stationary phase is achieved. The OD 660 values were plotted against the time to obtain the growth curve under each level of NaCl.

#### 2.4. Plant growth promotion assessment

Plant growth promoting traits of bacterial isolates were assessed for ammonia production, inorganic phosphate solubilization, siderophore production, IAA production and ACC deaminase activity. All assays were carried out in triplicates and the activity was assessed.

#### 2.4.1. Ammonia production

The production of ammonia by rhizobacteria was tested in 10 mL of peptone water. After 48 h of incubation at 30°C, Nessler's reagent (0.5 mL) was added to each tube (Bhavani and Kumari, 2019). The development of brown to yellow color was quantified using spectrophotometer against standard graph (425 nm).

#### 2.4.2. Phosphate solubilization assay

The ability of inorganic phosphate solubilization was conducted by spot inoculation of bacterial isolates on modified Pikovskayas agar plates using tricalcium phosphate as a substrate (Goswami et al., 2014). The formation of transparent halo zones around the bacterial colonies after 7 days of incubation at 28°C was considered an indication of phosphate solubilizing activity. The solubilization index was calculated by Equation 1.

Solubilization index = 
$$\frac{(\text{Colony diameter + Halo zone diameter})}{\text{Colony diameter}}$$
 (1)

#### 2.4.3. Siderophores production assay

The siderophore production was assayed by spot inoculation of selected bacterial isolates on Chrome Azurol S (CAS) blue agar plates as described by Schwyn and Neilands (1987). The cultures were incubated for 7 days at 28°C on CAS blue agar plates. The formation of orange zones around the growing colonies was monitored and bacterial isolates scored as siderophore producers.

$$\frac{\text{(Colony diameter + Orange zone diameter)}}{\text{Colony diameter}}$$
(2)

#### 2.4.4. Indole acetic acid production assay

Bacterial isolates were inoculated into 5 mL Luria-Bertani (LB) broth containing 0.2% L-tryptophan, pH 7.0 and incubated at 28°C with shaking at 125 rpm for 7 days. The cultures were centrifuged at 11,000 rpm for 15 min. One milliliter of the supernatant was mixed with 2 mL of Salkowski reagent and the appearance of a pink color indicated

IAA production. OD was read at 530 nm using spectrophotometer. The level of IAA produced was estimated against a standard IAA (Shahzad et al., 2017).

#### 2.4.5. ACC deaminase assay

The isolates were grown in 5 mL of LB broth at 28°C until they reached the stationary phase. To induce ACC deaminase activity, the cells were collected by centrifugation and washed twice with 0.1 M Tris-HCl (pH 7.5). Then the cells were suspended in 2 mL of modified DF minimal medium supplemented with 3 mM final concentration of ACC and incubated at 28°C with shaking for another 36-72h. ACC deaminase activity was determined by measuring the cleavage of ACC into  $\alpha$ -ketobutyrate and ammonia. The induced bacterial cells were harvested by centrifugation at 7,500 rpm for 5 min, washed twice with 0.1 M Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), and resuspended in 200 µL of 0.1 M Tris-HCl (pH 8.5). The cells were labialized by adding 5% toluene (v/v) and then vortexed at the highest speed for 30 s. 50  $\mu$ L of labialized cell suspension was incubated with 5 µL of 0.3 M ACC in an Eppendorf tube at 28°C for 30 min. The negative control for this assay included  $50 \,\mu\text{L}$  of labialized cell suspension without ACC, while the blank included  $50\,\mu\text{L}$  of  $0.1\,\text{M}$ Tris-HCl (pH 8.5) with 5 µL of 0.3 M ACC. The samples were then mixed thoroughly with  $500\,\mu\text{L}$  of  $0.56\,\text{N}$  HCl by vortexing and the cell debris was removed by centrifugation at 12,000 rpm for 5 min. A 500  $\mu L$ aliquot of the supernatant was transferred to a glass test tube and mixed with 400 µL of 0.56 N HCl and 150 µL of DNF solution (0.1g of 2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazine in 100 mL of 2 N HCl) and the mixture was incubated at 28°C for 30 min. One milliliter of 2 N NaOH was added to the sample before the absorbance at 540 nm was measured. The concentration of  $\alpha$ -ketobutyrate in each sample was determined by comparison with a standard curve generated as follows: 500 µL  $\alpha$ -ketobutyrate solutions of 0, 0.01, 0.05, 0.1, 0.2, 0.5, 0.75, and 1 mM were mixed, respectively, with 400 µL of 0.56 N HCl and 150 µL DNF solution. One milliliter of 2 N NaOH was added and the absorbance at 540 nm was determined as described above. The values for absorbance versus  $\alpha$ -ketobutyrate concentration (mM) were used to construct a standard curve (Glick, 2014; Del Carmen Orozco-Mosqueda et al., 2019).

### 2.5. Identification through 16S rRNA sequencing

The bacterial isolates were confirmed by molecular analysis of the 16S rRNA gene for bacteria. DNA isolation from microbial samples was done using the EXpure Microbial DNA isolation kit developed by Bogar Bio Bee stores Pvt. Ltd. DNA concentrations were measured by Qubit fluorometer 3.0. The PCR amplification was done by adding 5 µL of isolated DNA in  $25 \mu L$  of PCR reaction solution (1.5  $\mu L$  of Forward Primer and Reverse Primer, 5 µL of deionized water, and 12 µL of Taq Master Mix). The DNA template is heated to  $95^\circ\text{C}$  for  $2.5\,\text{min}$  and cooled at 55°C for 30s. Then it is heated to 72°C, the optimal temperature for DNA polymerization. The unincorporated primers and dNTPs from PCR products were removed by using Montage PCR Clean up kit (Millipore). Then the DNA sequencing was performed using an ABI PRISM<sup>®</sup> Big Dye<sup>™</sup> Terminator Cycle Sequencing Kit with AmpliTaq® DNA polymerase (FS enzyme) (Applied Biosystems). Single-pass sequencing was performed using below 16s rRNA universal primers. The samples were resuspended in distilled water and subjected to electrophoresis in an ABI 3730xl sequencer (Applied Biosystems).

The 16 s rRNA sequence was blasted using NCBI blast similarity search tool. The phylogeny analysis with the closely related sequence of blast results was performed by multiple sequence alignment. The MUSCLE 3.7 was used for multiple alignments of sequences (Edgar, 2004). Poorly aligned positions and divergent regions were cured using the program G blocks 0.91b (Talavera and Castresana, 2007). Finally, the program PhyML 3.0 aLRT was used for phylogeny analysis and HKY85 as substitution model. The program Tree Dyn 198.3 was used for tree rendering (Dereeper et al., 2008).

### 2.6. *In vivo* evaluation of the selected isolates on *Vigna mungo* L. under salinity

The salt tolerant isolates were evaluated for their effect on germination and growth attributes of Vigna mungo L. under salinity (2% NaCl). An initial standardization experiment was carried out to fix the level of initial tolerance of Vigna mungo L. Accordingly, germination and growth of Vigna mungo L. under three different salinity was evaluated (0.5, 1, 1.5, 2, 3% NaCl). As per ISTA (International Seed Testing Association), the NaCl concentration at which the germination percentage falls below 65% should be selected to observe the impact of microbial inoculation. Hence 2% NaCl concentration was selected for the in vivo evaluation of isolates. The Vigna mungo L. seeds were surface sterilized in 0.1% sodium hypochlorite for 3 min and repeatedly washed with distilled water. After this, the 28h old inoculum of all the isolates was individually used for seed priming. The NA broth without isolate was used as a control. The microbial inoculum with an OD of 1 at 660 nm was used for seed priming. After which the seeds were grown in germination sheets placed in 2% NaCl solution. The germination percentage, root length, shoot length and total dry weight were measured after 20 days. The growth vigor was calculated using Equation 3.

Vigor index = Germination percentage x Seedling length (mm) (3)

## 2.7. Compatibility evaluation among the isolates for developing consortium

The culture compatibility among the bacterial isolates was assessed by cross streaking method using NA medium. The bacterial strain was streaked at one end of the plate followed by streaking the other bacterial strains perpendicular to it and incubated at 30°C for 24–48 h. The inhibition in growth between the cultures was noted and the compatible microbes were selected for further confirmation. The selected compatible strains were streaked in a triangular pattern so that all the streaks overlap each other as a confirmation test. Any two perpendicular steaks that showed inhibition were incompatible and the absence of inhibition proved that the cultures were compatible (Thomloudi et al., 2019).

The elite compatible microbial isolates were mass multiplied as pure cultures in the NA media for further formulation of the microbial consortium. The mass culturing was carried out in 250 mL Erlenmeyer flask, which serves as seed culture for further multiplication. The culture broth was autoclaved in 15 lbs for 20 min and after cooling the microbial isolates were inoculated as loopful cultures in their respective broths prepared. The inoculated flasks were maintained as shake flask cultures by incubating them at room temperature at 120 rpm for 2 days for mass production. The 48h old cultures were serially diluted and plated to count the population in the broth. To attain a uniform population in the consortium, 5 mL inoculum was centrifuged at 10,000 rpm for 15 min at 10°C and resuspended in 10 mL of deionized water to obtain 4×10°CFU (Jain and Srivastava, 2012). The OD 660 nm value was also recorded for the resuspended water used for consortium development. Talc was used as carrier material for the consortium due to its local availability and high Magnesium and Calcium content. Talc is a fine, light-weight powder that is easily soluble in water and has been shown to retain viable bio-inoculant propagules (Tripathi et al., 2015). A 100 g of talc was taken in autoclavable plastic bags and autoclaved. A 10 mL of the resuspended solution of each selected isolate was mixed to obtain the consortium. A total volume of 30 mL of the bacterial isolates was added to the carrier material and left for drying in shade (1 day), after which it was evaluated as a soil inoculant.

## 2.8. Evaluation of microbial consortium application on growth and yield of *Vigna mungo* L.

The potential of microbial consortium dosage on alleviation of salt stress in Vigna mungo L. was assessed through a pot culture experiment and the details of treatment are given in Table 1. The treated effluent with EC of 2.5 dS  $m^{-1}$  was used for irrigation. The pots were irrigated at an interval of 5-7 days based on the field capacity of the soil (22.5 per cent) which was estimated using pressure plate apparatus (Obi, 1974). After the application of amendments and microbial consortium, five seeds were sown in each 3 kg pot and irrigated with treated effluent. Thinning was carried out after 15 days to maintain a uniform population of 3 plants per pot. The morphological, physiological and biochemical parameters were recorded at three growth stages viz., vegetative (25th day), flowering (45th day) and harvest stage. The height of the plant from the ground level to the tip of the main stem was measured and expressed in centimeters. The physiological and biochemical parameters including chlorophyll content, leaf free proline content and catalase were carried out using standard procedures.

### 2.8.1. Morphological and physiological characterization of plant samples

The morphological and physiological characteristics of the plant were measured during the flowering stage of the crop (45 days after sowing). The Chlorophyll Content Meter (CCM-200+, United States) was used to assess chlorophyll content in the leaves. These measurements

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{TABLE1}}$  Details of treatment for assessing the salinity stress alleviation by microbial consortium.

Factor 1:	Microbial consortium
I <sub>1</sub> -	Control
I <sub>2</sub> -	Microbial consortium I ( <i>M. indicus</i> + <i>N. niacini</i> + <i>S. marcescens</i> )
I <sub>3</sub> -	Microbial consortium II (B. velezensis + K. radicincitans + K. rhizophila)
Factor 2:	Dosage
D <sub>1</sub> -	2 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> as soil application
D <sub>2</sub> -	4 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> as soil application

were taken at three different points of young fully expanded leaves of each treatment during bright sunshine (Dhevagi et al., 2022). Similarly, photosynthetic rate and stomatal conductance were measured using a portable photosynthesis system (ADC BioScientific LCpro-SD System, United Kingdom) (Dhevagi et al., 2022).

### 2.8.2. Osmoregulatory metabolite and antioxidant enzymes analysis

The biochemical parameters like proline, catalase, and superoxide dismutase (SOD) were assessed during the flowering stage of the crop (45 days after sowing). For estimation of proline, 0.5 g of fresh leaf was homogenized in chilled 10 mL of 3% sulfosalicylic acid in precooled pestle and mortar. The homogenate was centrifuged at 12,000 rpm for 5 min at 4°C and 2 mL supernatant was transferred to test tube. Then 2mL acid ninhydrin and 2mL of glacial acetic acid were added and incubated in water bath (100°C) for 1 h. Then the test tubes were placed in ice bath to stop the reaction. Four mL of toluene was added and the tube was vortexed for 1 min. The extract was transferred to a cuvette to record the absorbance at 520 nm and plotted against standard graph of proline to express as  $\mu$ mol g<sup>-1</sup> fresh weight (Bates et al., 1973). The catalase activity was estimated by following the methodology of Gopalachari (1963). Fresh plant tissue of 5g was homogenized with ice-cold phosphate buffer (PB) 5 ml and centrifuged at 12,000 rpm for 10 min at 4°C to obtain the supernatant. One mL of supernatant was mixed with 1 mL of PB and 1 mL of 30 mM hydrogen peroxide and the absorbance of the supernatant was read at 240 nm. The decrease in absorbance was recorded every 30s for 5 min. The absorbance was plotted against the standard graph of hydrogen peroxide to assess the catalase activity as the rate of oxidation of hydrogen peroxide per minute per gram. For SOD, one gram of fresh leaf was macerated in 10 ml of chilled 50 mM phosphate buffer in a prechilled mortar and pestle. The mixture was centrifuged at 12,000 rpm for 10 min at 4°C to collect the supernatant. A reaction mixture of 1.3 mL of sodium carbonate buffer,  $500\,\mu\text{L}$  of Nitroblue tetrazolium (NBT) and  $100\,\mu\text{L}$  EDTA was prepared. After which 100 µL of hydroxylamine hydrochloride was added and incubated for 2 min at room temperature to initiate the reaction. 100 µL of supernatant was added and the absorbance at 560 nm was recorded every 30 s for 1-2 min. The absorbance was plotted against the standard graph of NBT at 560 nm to obtain the superoxide dismutase activity per gram of sample (Dhindsa et al., 1981).

#### 2.8.3. Assessing the quantity and quality of yield

The mature pods in *Vigna mungo* L. were harvested after the 65th day and expressed in g pot<sup>-1</sup>. The harvest index used as a measure of reproductive efficiency was worked out by using Equation 4. The protein content in the seeds was assessed through Folin phenol reagent method (Lowry et al., 1951). About 250 mg of *Vigna mungo* L. pod sample was taken and macerated with 10 mL of phosphate buffer solution. Centrifuged the contents at 3,000 rpm for 10 min and the supernatant was collected and made up the volume to 25 mL with distilled water. To 1 mL of the supernatant taken in a test tube, 5 mL of Lowry reagent and 0.5 mL of Folin reagent were added and incubated for 30 min. The color development was measured at 660 nm in a UV-vis spectrophotometer and bovine serum albumin was used as standard.

Harvest index = 
$$\frac{\text{Economic yield}}{\text{Biological yield}}$$
 (4)

#### 2.9. Statistical analysis

The data on various characteristics studied during the investigation were statistically analyzed by the method given by Gomez and Gomez (1984) using SPSS Version 16.0. The critical difference was worked out at 5 per cent (0.05) probability levels.

#### 3. Results and discussion

## 3.1. Isolation of halotolerant bacteria from rhizosphere and root endosphere of *Sesuvium portulacastrum*

A total of eight morphologically different bacterial strains were isolated from rhizospheric soil samples of Sesuvium portulacastrum collected from coastal areas of Parangipettai. Bacterial isolates were labeled as SPP 1 to SPP 8. The rhizosphere soil samples from paper and pulp mill effluent irrigated soil (TEWLIS area) were collected and 16 strains with varying morphology were isolated. Out of 16 isolates, 9 were from Thulukanur soil series (SPTT 1 - SPTT 9) and 7 were from Vannapatti soil series (SPTV 1 - SPTV 7). Similarly, eight endophytic bacterial isolates were obtained from roots of profusely grown S. portulacastrum cultivated in two soil series of paper and pulp mill effluent irrigated areas. Two strains from Thulukanur soil series were named SPTTE 1 and SPTTE 2. Similarly, five strains from Vannapatti soil series were isolated and named SPTVE 1 to SPTVE 5. The isolates were screened for salt tolerance in NA media supplemented with 3% NaCl, since identifying halotolerant PGPR with high tolerance potential is essential. The inoculated plates were observed for colony growth after 48h of incubation. Among 31 bacterial isolates, profuse growth was observed in 9 isolates (SPP 2, SPP 5, SPP 6, SPTT 3, SPTT 7, SPPTT 8, SPTV 3, SPTVE 3, and SPTVE 4), whereas other strains failed to grow in NA media supplemented with 3% NaCl. Among the isolates, two (SPTVE 3 and SPTVE 4) were endophytes.

### 3.2. Morphological and biochemical characteristics of bacterial isolates

The selected 9 strains were characterized for cell morphology, Gram behavior, production motility pigment and (Supplementary Table S2). Out of 9 isolates, 6 tested positive in Gram test and the remaining were Gram negative. The isolate SPTT 8 was coccoid in shape and all others were rod shaped. The isolates SPP 2 and SPTT 8 exhibited orange and light green colonies, respectively, whereas no distinguishable colors were noticed in the other isolates. The orange or red pigmentation, due to carotenoids might help the bacteria against damaging UV radiation (Khaneja et al., 2010). Strains SPTT 3, SPTT 7, SPTVE 3, and SPTVE 4 were motile which has diffused zone of growth extended out from the line of inoculation. Both flagellar motility and citrate utilization are thought to be important in bacterial root colonization and maintenance (Weisskopf et al., 2011). Biochemical characters such as casein, starch hydrolysis, citrate, amylase, protease, urease, catalase and oxidase activities, indole production, nitrate reduction and Methyl Red test - Voges Proskauer (MR-VP) are given in Supplementary Table S2. Among these traits, protease, catalase and amylase were positive for all the isolates. MR-VP test was positive for all isolates except SPP 2, SPP 5 and SPTVE 3. Isolates SPP 6, SPTT 8 and SPTVE 3 tested positive for urease activity. The strains SPP 5, SPTT

3, SPTT 7 and SPTVE 3 had the ability to reduce nitrate to nitrite. All the isolates tested positive for catalase test which indicates all are aerobic bacteria and would neutralize hydrogen peroxide by producing catalase enzyme (Facklam and Elliott, 1995). Protease test was positive for all the isolates which indicates their ability to breakdown protein into amino acids.

### 3.3. Identification of PGPR isolates by 16S rRNA sequence analysis

The nine selected bacterial isolates were identified by 16S rRNA sequencing and blasting in the NCBI database. The isolate SPP 2 has a similarity (99.9%) with that of *Metabacillus indicus* and SPP 5 was 99.7% similar to *Neobacillus niacini*. The SPP 6 was 100% similar to *Staphylococcus warneri* and SPTT 3 was 99.8% similar to *Bacillus velezensis*. Similarly, SPTT 7, SPTT 8 and SPTV 3 were similar to *Bacillus circulans, Kocuria rhizophila* and *Bacillus oleronius*, respectively. The isolate SPTVE 3 had 99.9% similarity with *Serratia marcescens* and

TABLE 2	16S rRNA	identification	of the	halotolerant	plant	growth
promotii	ng bacteria	al isolates.				

Strain	Best match	Accession number	Match index
SPP 2	Metabacillus indicus	OP836561.1	99.6%
SPP 5	Neobacillus niacini	OP836562.1	99.7%
SPP 6	Staphylococcus warneri	OP836563.1	100%
SPTT 3	Bacillus velezensis	OP836557.1	99.8%
SPTT 7	Bacillus circulans	OP836560.1	100%
SPTT 8	Kocuria rhizophila	OP836556.1	100%
SPTV 3	Bacillus acidicola	OP836559.1	99.2%
SPTVE 3	Serratia marcescens	OP836558.1	99.9%
SPTVE 4	Kosakonia radicincitans	OP836555.1	99.8%

SPTVE 4 was 99.8% similar to *Kosakonia radicincitanas*. The phylogenetic tree of isolates used in microbial consortium II was obtained by the Neighbor Joining method with a boot strap value of 1,000 and depicted in Figure 2. The derived nucleotide sequence was submitted to NCBI database and the GenBank accession numbers are given in Table 2.

## 3.4. Assay for *in vitro* plant growth promotion activity

#### 3.4.1. Indole-3-acetic acid (IAA) production

The bacterial isolates were screened for their ability to produce auxin (IAA). IAA is a phytohormone that functions as a natural auxin in plants (Carmen and Roberto, 2011). By using L-tryptophan found in root exudates, several bacteria can produce IAA as a secondary metabolite. IAA-producing bacteria help plants maintain their growth under salt conditions by increasing root length. Except for S. warneri, all other isolates produced red color after the addition of Salkowski's reagent in the culture supernatant since IAA production was found very common in PGPR, as shown by similar studies (Galkovskyi et al., 2012; Zahid et al., 2015; Islam et al., 2016). However, the intensity of red color developed was very low without tryptophan in the isolates N. niacini and B. olerenius. With the addition of tryptophan in the broth, IAA production was enhanced. The isolate SPTVE 3 had the highest IAA production of 23.60  $\mu$ g mL<sup>-1</sup> followed by SPP 2 (22.80  $\mu$ g mL<sup>-1</sup>). The lowest IAA production was recorded in isolate B. olerenius (9.41 µg mL<sup>-1</sup>) in tryptophan supplemented medium (Table 3). Devi et al. (2016) reported that Serratia marcescens AL2-16 isolated from Achyranthes aspera L. produced 83.2 µg mL<sup>-1</sup> of IAA in the presence of 1% L-tryptophan after 24 h incubation. Similarly, S. marcescens isolated in this study also produced the highest IAA (23.60  $\mu$ g mL<sup>-1</sup> in 0.2% L-tryptophan) among other isolates.

#### 3.4.2. Phosphate solubilization

The presence of P-solubilizers in soils may be seen as positive signs of using microorganisms as biofertilizers to enhance crop yield and promote sustainable agriculture development. The production of



#### FIGURE 2

Phylogenetic tree of halotolerant isolates used for developing microbial consortium – II (*Bacillus velezensis; Kocuria rhizophila* and *Kosakonia radicincitans*). The 16S rRNA gene sequences of closely related species were retrieved from NCBI GenBank databases. The Neighbor joining phylogenetic tree was inferred using MEGA-7 software; evolutionary distance was computed using Maximum Composite Likelihood method at bootstrap value of 1,000.

Strains	Consortium	Phosphate	Siderophore	IAA productio	on (µgmL <sup>_1</sup> )	Ammonia	ACC deaminase	
		solubilization index	production index	2mg tryptophan g <sup>-1</sup> broth	No tryptophan	production (µgmL <sup>−1</sup> )	activity (μM of α-ketobutyrate released mg <sup>-1</sup> protein h <sup>-1</sup> )	
M. indicus	MC-I	2.44	_	22.81	4.20	3.86	0.603	
N. niacini		_	2.88	10.40	1.70	_	_	
S. marcescens		2.25	2.13	20.52	3.70	2.83	0.751	
B. velezensis	MC-II	2.29	1.89	14.43	2.15	4.28	1.180	
K. radicincitans	-	2.63	2.50	23.60	5.35	5.19	0.395	
K. rhizophila	-	2.50	2.22	11.23	2.25	3.40	0.983	
S. warneri	Non-compatible	2.00	1.70	-	_	_	_	
B. acidicola		2.22	1.19	9.41	1.60	-	-	
B. circulans		_	2.29	12.69	2.60	2.60	0.324	

TABLE 3 Plant growth promotion capabilities of the bacterial isolates from the rhizosphere and endosphere of S. portulacastrum.

microbial metabolites such as organic acids, which lower the pH of the media, is primarily responsible for phosphate solubilization (Shahid et al., 2012). Phosphate solubilization by the isolates was visualized by the development of clear zones (Halo-zone) around the bacterial colonies after 3 days of incubation in Pikovskayas medium (Supplementary Figure S1). Out of 9, seven isolates were phosphate solubilizers indicated by the clear zones. The highest index was observed in the isolate K. radicincitans (2.63), followed by K. rhizophila at 2.50 (Table 3). The isolates N. niacini and B. circulans tested negative to solubilize phosphate. Shahid et al. (2015) stated that the most commonly exploited phosphate solubilizers are bacteria belonging to Pseudomonas sp., Bacillus sp., Rhizobium sp. and Enterobacter sp. Though N. niacini and B. circulans isolated in this study, belong to the bacillus genera they lack the ability to solubilize phosphate. K. radicincitans of Enterobacteriaceae family had the highest phosphate solubilization index (2.63) than the other isolates. Afridi et al. (2019) observed 16-17 mm halo zone around the colony of Kocuria rhizophila, whereas in the present study, 12 mm halo zone was formed in 48 h. Phosphate solubilizing bacteria have the ability to enhance phosphorus availability in the soil thereby promoting crop growth. In some cases, phosphate solubilization bacteria can promote plant growth both indirectly and directly by reducing phytopathogen growth and synthesizing phytohormones (Bhattacharjee, 2012).

#### 3.4.3. Siderophore production

Siderophores are chemical compounds with iron-specific ligands that have low molecular weight (<10,000 Da). Various microorganisms synthesize it as an iron scavenging agent to maintain minimal iron stress. They are infinitesimal iron chelating compounds with a strong affinity for transporting ions across cell membranes and disease control (Pandey et al., 2017). Several studies have shown that the rhizosphere micro flora produces siderophores, which improve plant iron uptake (Kotasthane et al., 2017; Priyanka et al., 2020). Siderophore activity was absent in strain *M. indicus*, while all other isolates had production index in the range between 2.88 and 1.19 (Table 3). *Bacillus velezensis* NRRL B-41580 and *Bacillus siamensis* KCTC 13613 isolated from the rhizosphere of rice, respectively produced 69 and 55 per cent siderophore, according to Masum et al. (2018). Navarro-Torre et al. (2016) observed in their study that *S. warneri* isolated from the halophyte, Arthrocnemum macrostachyum had shown no siderophore activity. Contrastingly, in our study, the isolate S. warneri had a siderophore production index of 1.70. Pantoea dispersa isolated from mung bean rhizosphere had a 6-9 mm halo zone on Pikovskaya's agar medium indicating their ability to produce siderophore (Panwar et al., 2016; Supplementary Figure S2). The environmental conditions influence the production of bacterial siderophores and their efficacy in transferring iron (Singh et al., 2022). The siderophore increases the rate of phytoextraction of metals from rhizosphere. The production and transport of siderophore vary between Gram positive and Gram negative bacteria, since the outer membrane transporters are broadly absent in Gram positive bacteria. These transporters play a vital role in the transport of Fe-siderophore. RS-I (Reduction strategy) and CS-II (Chelation strategy) are two types of mechanism for Fe transport into the plant system. While, RS-I strategy is predominantly found in iron deficit soils, CS-II strategy is found in alkaline soil where bacteria are important agents for improving iron availability. This strategy (CS-II) is based on biosynthesis of siderophore that chelates Fe and transport it through TOM1 (Translocase of Outer Membrane) to the root (Dai et al., 2018).

#### 3.4.4. Ammonia production

Ammonia production plays an important role in increasing plant growth by accumulating nitrogen, as well as assisting in root, shoot and biomass development (Dutta and Thakur, 2017). It also plays a vital role in remediation of polluted environment (Raklami et al., 2021), carbon sequestration (John and Lakshmanan, 2018) and various ecosystem services (Razzaghi Komaresofla et al., 2019). The highest value of 5.19 $\mu$ g mL<sup>-1</sup> was recorded by isolate K. radicincitans, followed by B. velezensis (4.28 µg mL<sup>-1</sup>). Ammonia production was absent in the isolates N. niacini, S. warneri, and B. olernius. Ammonia production in K. rhizophila isolated from Oxalis corniculata was reported to be  $36 \mu g m L^{-1}$  by Afridi et al. (2019), whereas in this study it was only 2.60 µg mL<sup>-1</sup> which is the lowest ammonia production among other isolates. The isolate K. radicincitans produced the highest ammonia  $(5.79 \,\mu\text{g mL}^{-1})$ , followed by *B. velezensis*  $(4.8 \,\mu\text{g mL}^{-1})$  (Table 3). The accumulation of ammonia in soil disrupts the microbial community's homeostasis and prevents the germination of many fungal spores thereby adding many beneficial attributes (Gupta and Pandey, 2019).

#### 3.4.5. ACC deaminase activity

Ethylene is produced in plant systems under stress conditions which have detrimental effects on crop growth. ACC deaminase produced by the bacterial isolates degrades ACC, a precursor of ethylene into  $\alpha$ -ketobutyrate. Hence, they help plants to assuage the ethylene-induced effect on growth and development, particularly under salt stress (Arora, 2020). The highest activity was recorded in the isolate B. velezensis  $(1.180 \,\mu M \,mg^{-1} \text{ protein } h^{-1})$  and followed the order of K. rhizophila > S. marcescens > M. indicus > K. radicincitans > B. circulans (Table 3). The ACC deaminase activity was not recorded in the isolates N. niacini, S. warneri and B. oleronius. The breakdown products of ACC, notably  $\alpha$ -ketobutyrate and ammonia, provide nitrogen and energy to the microorganisms that are involved in the degradation. The ACC deaminase activity of 853-2,107 nmol ketobutyrate mg protein<sup>-1</sup> h<sup>-1</sup> was observed in PGPR strains belonging to Pseudomonas sp. and Bacillus sp. isolated from halophyte Atriplex sp. (Leontidou et al., 2020). They also suggested that plants thriving in extreme conditions like saline or drought stress environment might be the primary selectors of bacterial ACC deaminase activity.

## 3.5. Salinity tolerance of selected bacterial isolates under different salinity levels (0, 5 and 7% NaCl)

The salinity levels had a profound influence on the growth of isolates tested for tolerance (Figure 3). All the isolates had shown profound growth in control. The highest growth rate was observed in isolate SPTT 7. Including control, this isolate had grown well at both concentrations of NaCl (5 and 7%). In 7% NaCl, the stationary phase was attained at 52 h after inoculating. The isolates SPP 5 and SPTT 8 were unable to grow at 7% NaCl concentration and the growth rate slackened at 5% NaCl. M. indicus and B. oleronius had very slow growth rate at both the NaCl concentrations (5 and 7%) than the control. But the growth rate declined after 64 h in 5 and 7% NaCl. At 5% NaCl, S. marcescens had a slow and steady growth rate, while at 7% no growth was observed. In control, the lowest OD 660 nm value of 0.35 was recorded in the isolate B. velezensis at 60 h. The growth rate declined after 64 h at both NaCl concentrations (5 and 7%). The isolate S. warneri attained stationary phase after 40 and 60 h in control and 5% NaCl, respectively. High concentration of NaCl (7%) restricted the growth of the isolate. Isolates S. warneri, K. rhizophila and S. marcescens failed to grow at 7% NaCl, while they had a steady growth rate at 5%. Singh and Jha (2016b) also reported that S. marcescens grew well up to 6% NaCl. Similarly, K. rhizophila isolates had been reported to grow substantially in 5% salt medium, however, when salt concentration increased, the isolates showed a decreasing trend (Shi et al., 2021). B. circulans and B. oleronius had a shorter lag phase of 8 h than the other isolates, which indicated the potential of the microbe to grow under saline conditions (Finkel and Kolter, 1999). All the Bacillus genera (B. oleronius, B. circulans, N. niacini, and M. indicus) had long stationary phase that could be due to the synthesis of protective factors and adaptation of current environmental conditions at higher NaCl concentrations. In a study conducted by Duc et al. (2006), B. indicus tolerated higher levels of NaCl (8%), similarly Metabacillus indicus isolated in this study also tolerated 7% NaCl. Identifying halotolerant PGPR that could tolerate high levels of salinity is imperative for improving crop productivity under severe stress.

## 3.6. The modulation of metabolites and enzymes in plants under saline stress

High salt concentration in the rhizospheric zone leads to osmotic stress and disrupts cell ion homeostasis due to the accumulation of Na<sup>+</sup> and Cl<sup>-</sup> ions (Paranychianakis and Chartzoulakis, 2005). This interferes with the uptake of essential nutrients and water thereby inhibiting plant growth. It affects shoot growth as well as xylem and root architecture. The root architecture in sense, the biomass, root network length and root length density are significantly altered, amplifying the impacts of salinity in the plants (Machado and Serralheiro, 2017). Plants cope with this by secretion of metabolites like proline and upregulation of potassium uptake that regulate homeostasis. The proline is synthesized from L-glutamate which requires ATP and NADH. Additionally, oxidative stress due to generation of ROS alters various biochemical pathways by inhibiting the binding of enzymes with substrate (Singh et al., 2022). Both osmotic stress and ionic stress result in oxidative damage to membrane components and cell organelles especially, chloroplasts. Owing to this the chlorophyll content in the plants under saline stress is reduced (Fatma et al., 2021). This inhibits photosynthetic activity and protein production, followed by inactivation of critical enzymes in the ATP synthesis (Singh et al., 2022). Nucleic acids, the structural component of proteins and DNA are impaired, leading to seizure of replication or transcription process (Hasanuzzaman et al., 2021). The chlorophyll degradation due to salinity is very high with the prolongation of stress (Hossain et al., 2017). In general, about 80% of the growth reduction at high salinity could be attributed to ethylene build up, resulting in reduction of leaf area, loss of chlorophyll content and decline in photosynthesis. The remaining 20% could be likely explained by a decrease in stomatal conductance and nutrient non-availability (Isayenkov and Maathuis, 2019). Ethylene is a plant hormone that regulates root hair, root growth, fruit ripening, leaf abscission, seed germination and ROS in the plant system (Fatma et al., 2021). Consequently, these changes in the plant's metabolism could reduce the growth and yield of crops. Hence, the alleviation of above impacts with the aid of microbiome could help the crop to tolerate the salinity and increase yield even under high saline environments.

# 3.7. Effect of isolated saline tolerant PGP bacterial strains on germination of *Vigna mungo* L. seeds under *in vitro* conditions (with 2% NaCl)

The results of *in vitro* experiment also revealed that when inoculated with the microbial isolates, the test plant's growth improved significantly even under salt stress (Table 4). Significant difference in germination percentage, root length, shoot length and vigor index of *Vigna mungo* L. seeds was noted. Each isolate showed varying degrees of enhancement in crop growth attributes. Among the treatments, the highest germination percentage (88.5%) of *Vigna mungo* L. seeds was reported in *S. marcescens* inoculated treatment ( $T_{10}$ ) and the lowest was in ( $T_2$ ) uninoculated control (2% NaCl) with 65.3%. Treatments inoculated with *N. niacini* ( $T_4$ ) and *B. velezensis* ( $T_6$ ) recorded 83.4 and 85.2% germination, respectively. Though germination percentage was high when inoculated with *S. marcescens* ( $T_{10}$ ), root length was observed to be high (6.8 cm) when inoculated with *B. velezensis* ( $T_6$ ). The highest vigor index of 1819 was recorded with *B. velezensis* ( $T_6$ ), followed by *N. niacini* ( $T_4$ ) inoculation (1785).



The uninoculated control (2% NaCl) had the lowest vigor index of 780 followed by S. warneri (T<sub>5</sub>) inoculation (792). In an experiment conducted by Singh and Jha (2016b), S. marcescens  $(T_{10})$  inoculation improved wheat plant growth under salinity stress (150-200 mM) and effectively reduced the suppression of plant growth due to salt stresses. Under salt stress (2% NaCl), the lengths of shoots and roots in uninoculated plants were severely reduced, whereas their lengths increased significantly in the presence of PGPR. The availability of higher auxin concentrations as IAA by these inoculants is likely to be the cause of plants' increased root length (Rajkumar et al., 2005; Chakraborty et al., 2006). Shukla et al. (2012) also revealed that inoculation of halotolerant PGPR strains isolated from Salicornia brachiata improved the groundnut crop growth in hydroponics experiment with 100 mM NaCl than the control. The shoot length (14.6 cm) was observed to be higher in S. marcescens and B. velezensis inoculated plants, than in the uninoculated control (8.2 cm). Similarly, tomato seeds coated with B. velezensis strain had higher plant height and stem diameter at 100 mmol L<sup>-1</sup> NaCl than the control (Medeiros and Bettiol, 2021). In this study, K. radicincitans inoculated treatment recorded high shoot and root growth of 62.2 and 79%, respectively, than the uninoculated control. Similarly, Berger et al. (2013) also reported 80 and 50% increase in root and shoot growth of 5 weeks old tomato plants, emphasizing K. radicincitans significance as a strong PGPR for variety of crops.

## 3.8. Compatibility and antagonistic activity among the bacterial strains

The bacterial isolate in the microbial consortium must be able to proliferate in the presence of each other without hindering the growth and development of other microorganisms. The phytohormone develops a signaling network which mutually regulates several metabolic systems between the microorganisms (Patel et al., 2016). When isolates in a consortium have antagonistic relationships with each other, it makes the consortium unstable, and the intended function is not attained (Sarkar and Chourasia, 2017). Compatibility analysis of different PGPR cultures with each other in line streak assay revealed the isolates antagonistic activity against each other. The absence of an inhibition zone indicated that the isolates were compatible with each other. A zone of inhibition was observed when isolates B. circulans and B. oleronius were streaked indicating their high antagonistic activity. Isolates M. indicus, N. niacini, and S. marcescens were compatible with each other, since there was no inhibition zone observed between these three isolates. Similarly, B. velezensis, K. rhizophila, B. oleronius, and K. radicincitans had compatibility with each other. In secondary confirmation study, B. oleronius had shown inhibition zone with B. velezensis and K. radicincitans. In this study, B. circulans had antagonistic effect with all the other isolates. Abada et al. (2014) noted the presence of Permetin A, an antibiotic chemical in *B. circulans* culture filtrate that had activity

against Gram negative bacteria. Hence, this could be the reason for incompatibility with other isolates. *M. indicus, N. niacin* and *S. marcescens* had synergism between them and were combined as Microbial consortium I. Similarly, Microbial consortium II was formed with *B. velezensis, K. rhizophila*, and *K. radicincitans*. These isolates were tested again for their compatibility by streaking them in triangular shape

TABLE 4	In vivo	evaluation	of isolates	for salinity	stress	alleviation in	Vigna
mungo L	. under	2% NaCl.					

Treatments	Germination percentage (%)	Shoot length (cm)	Root length (cm)	Vigor index
T <sub>1</sub> – Absolute control	98.3 <sup>a</sup> *	14.80 °*	7.90 <sup>a</sup> *	2,270 <sup>a</sup> *
T <sub>2</sub> – (2% NaCl)	65.3 <sup>j</sup> *	8.20 h*	3.80 f*	780 <sup>h</sup> *
T <sub>3</sub> – ( <i>M</i> . <i>indicus</i> + 2% NaCl)	70.1 <sup>g*</sup>	12.60 °*	7.40 <sup>a</sup> *	1,225 °*
$T_4$ – (N. niacini + 2% NaCl)	83.4 <sup>d</sup> *	14.10 <sup>b</sup> *	4.90 °*	1785 <sup>c</sup> *
T <sub>5</sub> – (S. warneri+2% NaCl)	66.0 <sup>i</sup> *	8.50 <sup>g</sup> *	3.50 <sup>g*</sup>	792 <sup>h</sup> *
T <sub>6</sub> – (B. velezensis + 2% NaCl)	85.2 <sup>c</sup> *	14.60 <sup>a</sup> *	6.80 <sup>b</sup> *	1819 <sup>b</sup> *
$T_7 - (B.$ circulans + 2% NaCl)	78.0 <sup>c</sup> *	13.80 <sup>c</sup> *	3.20 <sup>h</sup> *	1,537 <sup>d</sup> *
T <sub>8</sub> – (K. rhizophila + 2% NaCl)	71.6 <sup>f</sup> *	11.08 f*	5.10 <sup>d</sup> *	1,158 <sup>f</sup> *
T <sub>9</sub> – (B. acidicola + 2% NaCl)	68.0 <sup>h</sup> *	8.90 <sup>g</sup> *	5.90 <sup>c</sup> *	823 <sup>g</sup> *
T <sub>10</sub> – (S. marcescens + 2% NaCl)	88.5 <sup>b</sup> *	14.60 <sup>a</sup> *	5.30 <sup>d</sup> *	1751 <sup>c</sup> *
T <sub>11</sub> – ( <i>K.</i> <i>radicincitans</i> + 2% NaCl)	75.1 °*	13.30 <sup>d</sup> *	6.80 <sup>b</sup> *	1,508 <sup>d</sup> *
Mean	77.2	12.23	5.51	1,404

Values that do not share similar letters denote statistical significance; \* denote significance at  $p\!<\!0.05.$ 

and no inhibition zone was observed between the isolates in both the consortia (Figure 4).

## 3.9. Effect of inoculation of microbial consortium on *Vigna mungo* L. under saline conditions

## 3.9.1. Inoculation of halotolerant microbial consortium on osmolytes and antioxidant enzymes in *Vigna mungo* L. leaves

The osmolyte, proline and stress enzymes such as catalase and SOD were lower in the microbial consortia inoculated treatments than the control. The lowest proline content of  $10.45 \,\mu mol g^{-1}$  FW (I<sub>3</sub>D<sub>1</sub>) was recorded in the treatments inoculated with microbial consortium II (B. velezensis, K. radicincitans and K. rhizophila) at 2 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (Table 5). Concurrently, the control  $I_1D_1$  followed by  $I_1D_2$  had the highest proline contents with 13.86 and 12.87 µmolg<sup>-1</sup> FW, respectively. The highest proline accumulation was observed in the uninoculated Vigna mungo L. seedlings, which was negated in treatments inoculated with the microbial consortium. The mean values ranged from 10.55 (I<sub>3</sub>) to  $13.36 \mu molg^{-1}$  FW (I<sub>1</sub>) which indicates a significant reduction in stress in crops inoculated with microbial consortium. Microbial consortia and dosage level were found to have a significant impact on the proline content of Vigna mungo L. Especially, the inoculation of MC-II at 2 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (24.6%) had the highest reduction in proline content than the MC-II at 4 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (17.2%). Plants generally accumulate osmoprotectants such as proline in response to salt stress, which aids in osmotic adjustment and prevents cellular oxidative damage (Shukla et al., 2012; Ilangumaran and Smith, 2017). The disruption in homeostasis under saline conditions could be caused by accumulation of sodium ions in large quantities. The siderophore producing potential of the MC-II is slightly higher than MC-I, which could have sequestered the sodium ions in the root surfaces similar to metals. In addition to that B. velezensis in MC-II was noted with high tendency to form mats of growth in the laboratory assays. This could have adsorbed the sodium ions there by reducing their availability around the root zone.

The control (I<sub>1</sub>D<sub>1</sub>) had higher catalase activity with 0.28 mM H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> oxidized min<sup>-1</sup> g<sup>-1</sup> followed by I<sub>1</sub>D<sub>2</sub> at 0.27 mM H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> oxidized min<sup>-1</sup> g<sup>-1</sup>, compared to that of the other treatments (Table 5). This increase in the antioxidant enzymes could be due to the oxidative damage caused by ROS (Kang et al., 2014). The lower catalase activity of 0.25 mM H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> oxidized min<sup>-1</sup> g<sup>-1</sup> was recorded in I<sub>3</sub>D<sub>1</sub> and I<sub>3</sub>D<sub>2</sub> (inoculated with MC-II). There was no statistically significant difference in catalase activity due to the dosage of the microbial consortium. Remarkably, there is significant difference in the accumulation of SOD between

TABLE 5 Effect of halotolerant microbial consortia dosage on stress alleviation in <i>Vigna mungo</i> L. under salinit	ity.
--	------

Treatments	Proline (µmolg⁻¹ FW)			Catalase (mM $H_2O_2$ oxidized min <sup>-1</sup> g <sup>-1</sup> )			Superoxide dismutase (Ug <sup>_1</sup> FW)		
	D1	D <sub>2</sub>	Mean	D1	D <sub>2</sub>	Mean	D1	D <sub>2</sub>	Mean
I <sub>1</sub> (Control)	13.86 <sup>d</sup> *	12.87 <sup>d</sup> *	13.36	0.28 **	0.27 **	0.28	3.24 <sup>b</sup> *	3.25 b*	3.25
I <sub>2</sub> (MC-I)	12.48 °*	12.16 <sup>b</sup> *	12.32	0.26 <sup>b</sup> *	0.26 <sup>b</sup> *	0.26	3.22 <sup>b</sup> *	3.19 ª*	3.21
I <sub>3</sub> (MC-II)	10.45 **	10.65 **	10.55	0.25 ª*	0.25 <sup>a</sup> *	0.25	3.20 <sup>a</sup> *	3.17 **	3.19
Mean	12.26	11.89	12.08	0.26	0.26	0.26	3.22	3.20	3.21

I<sub>1</sub> – Control; I<sub>2</sub> – Microbial consortium I; I<sub>3</sub> – Microbial consortium II and D1–2kg of bioformulation per ha; D2–4kg bioformulation per ha (Values that do not share similar letters denote statistical significance; \* denote significance at *p* <0.05).



#### FIGURE 4

Compatibility assay between the bacterial isolates in the halotolerant microbial consortia. The lack of inhibition zone between two streaks indicate compatibility.



inoculated and uninoculated treatments. The lowest SOD content of  $3.17 \text{ Ug}^{-1} \text{ FW}$  was recorded in crops inoculated with MC-II (I<sub>3</sub>D<sub>2</sub>). The control I1D2 followed by I1D1 had higher SOD content with 3.25 and 3.24 Ug<sup>-1</sup> FW, respectively. Similar investigation by Chanratana et al. (2019) on salt stress alleviation in tomato using Methylobacterium oryzae recorded higher catalase and SOD activity in untreated plants than the inoculated. These antioxidants are either up-regulated or downregulated to reduce abiotic stress depending on the plant and bacteria species. ROS (O2<sup>-</sup>, <sup>1</sup>O2, OH<sup>-</sup> and H2O2) production was reported to be generated in plants under different types of environmental stresses, such as high or low temperature, salinity, drought, nutritional inadequacy, and pathogen attack (Singh et al., 2019). The ethylene signaling modulates salinity responses largely via regulation of ROS-generation in plant system (Zhang et al., 2016). The ACC deaminase activity by the microbial consortia reduces the percussor of ethylene leading to less ROS production. Owing to this the antioxidant enzymes, catalase and SOD activity have been reduced in crops inoculated with microbial consortia. Due to high ACC deaminase activity potential of MC-II (Table 2), the reduction of antioxidants in crops inoculated with MC-II is significantly lower than MC-I inoculated.

## 3.9.2. Effect on growth attributes of *Vigna mungo* L. due to inoculation of halotolerant microbial consortium under saline condition

Legume crops are sensitive to soil salinity, as the external NaCl salinity rises, their ability to prevent Na from entering the photosynthetically active leaves decreases rapidly (Arora et al., 2020). In the present study, all the growth traits increased significantly in the microbial consortium applied treatments than the control. The root biomass and shoot biomass of Vigna mungo L. were found to be the highest in the treatment  $I_3D_2$  with the values of 9.09 and 23.39 g pot<sup>-1</sup>, respectively (Figure 5). The least values for both the root (8.14g pot<sup>-1</sup>) and shoot biomass (20.92 g pot<sup>-1</sup>) were recorded in  $I_1D_1$ . The presence of NaCl leads to specific ion toxicity that reduces the fresh and dry weight of control plants and is linked to reduced photosynthetic rate (Ambede et al., 2012). The plant height (29.10 cm) and dry matter production (7.80 g pot<sup>-1</sup>) were the highest in  $I_2D_2$  and I<sub>3</sub>D<sub>2</sub>, respectively. The mean values of the plant height in the treatments I1, I2, and I3 were noted as 27.1, 28.9, and 28.4 cm, respectively. Growth attributes were significantly increased by the inoculation of microbial consortia. This increased shoot and root growth of inoculated plants could be attributed to phytohormone synthesis and bacterial N2 fixation, which led to increased water and nutrient uptake (Shukla et al., 2012). The promotion of shoot length, root length and germination percentage under salinity were evident in the in vivo studies, where, B. velezensis, S. marcescens, K. radicincitans, B. niacini, M. indicus, and K. rhizophila had significantly higher potential compared to other strains. Owing to this, the microbial consortia with these strains could have resulted in better growth attributes than uninoculated control. Similarly, halotolerant PGPR consortia with Pseudomonas fluorescens and Acinetobacter sp. exhibited a substantial increase in plant height by 15.98 and 26.82 per cent, respectively, than uninoculated control (Yasin et al., 2018). The MC-II (B. velezensis, K. radicincitans and

*K. rhizophila*) inoculated at high dosage (4 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) had significantly higher dry matter production (11.3%) and mean plant height (4%) than the control (Figure 5). The high ammonia and IAA synthesis by MC-II have resulted in increment of the growth rate of *Vigna mungo* L. even under saline conditions. Significant reduction in shoot biomass of control plants due to retardation of plant growth in uninoculated treatments was also observed in earlier studies (Rahman et al., 2017; Borlu et al., 2018; Hassan et al., 2018). The ability *of B. velezensis* and *K. rhizophila* with high ACC deaminase activity may have supported root growth by lowering the ethylene level in plants under saline conditions.

#### 3.9.3. Physiological parameters of Vigna mungo L.

The physiological parameters such as chlorophyll content, photosynthetic rate and stomatal conductance were found to be significantly higher in the MC inoculated treatments than the control (Figure 6). Treatment  $I_3D_2$  followed by  $I_3D_1$  had higher chlorophyll content of 26.95 and 26.10%, respectively compared to that of the control  $(I_1D_1 \text{ and } I_1D_2)$ . Subsequently, the lowest chlorophyll content of 19.88% was recorded in I1D2. Our findings are consistent with studies of Vimal and Singh (2019), who reported that PGPR inoculation increased chlorophyll content even under salinity stress. The prevention of nucleic acid damage in the chloroplast through reduction in ROS production by the inoculation of microbial consortium has led to increase in chlorophyll content in the active leaves. This in turn reflected in higher photosynthetic rate, since the amount of chlorophyll in a plant is directly proportional to its photosynthetic activity (Sapre et al., 2022). Treatment  $I_3D_1$  followed by  $I_3D_2$  had higher photosynthetic rate of 15.69 and  $15.45 \,\mu\text{mol}$  CO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>, respectively, compared to that of the control (I<sub>1</sub>D<sub>1</sub> and I<sub>1</sub>D<sub>2</sub>). The least photosynthetic rate of 10.51  $\mu mol~CO_2~m^{-2}~s^{-1}$ was recorded in I1D2. PGPR isolates with ACC deaminase activity (M. indicus, S. marcescens, B. velezensis, K. radicincitans, and K. rhizophila) has been found to prevent reduction in chlorophyll content, and there by maintaining the photosynthetic rate of plants under saline stress (Habib et al., 2016). However, treatment I<sub>3</sub>D<sub>1</sub> followed by I<sub>3</sub>D<sub>2</sub> had higher stomatal conductance with 0.85 and 0.74 µmol H<sub>2</sub>O  $m^{-2} s^{-1}$ , respectively, compared to that of the control (I<sub>1</sub>D<sub>1</sub> and I<sub>1</sub>D<sub>2</sub>). The higher stomatal conductance in active leaves is an adaptive mechanism of plants to overcome the salt stress. This could be made possible by maintaining the turgor pressure in the guard cells. The siderophore production by the halotolerant PGPR increases the iron and potassium availability which are key nutrients in photosynthetic activity (Chauhan et al., 2022). Owing to this the sodium and potassium balance is attained in the plants. The inoculation of MC- II at 2kgha-1 (B. velezensis, K. radicincitans, and K. rhizophila) increased photosynthetic rate and stomatal conductance by 0.3 and 1.3 times, respectively, as compared to the control. This was supported by the research conducted by Bayuelo-Jimenez et al. (2012), who found that neither stomatal conductance nor photosynthetic activity was affected by salt stress due to high IAA production when inoculated with salt tolerant PGPRs.

#### 3.9.4. Yield attributes of Vigna mungo L.

The negative effects of salinity due to EC in soil (2.62 dS m<sup>-1</sup>) and irrigation water (2.5 dS m<sup>-1</sup>) have resulted in reduction of yield and protein quality in *Vigna mungo* L. The plant yield (3.87 g pot<sup>-1</sup>) and pods per plant (11.55) were highest in  $I_3D_2$  and  $I_3D_1$ , respectively. The treatments applied with MC-II had a significantly higher number of pods per plant (25.5%) and grain yield (45%) than the control (Figure 7). Similar results were obtained by Saravanakumar and



attributes of Vigna mungo L. under salinity.  $I_1 - Control; I_2 - Microbial consortium I; I_3 - Microbial consortium II and D_1-2kg of bioformulation per ha; D_2-4kg bioformulation per ha. Bars that do not share similar letters denote statistical significance. (* and ** denote significance at <math>p$ <0.05 and p<0.01, respectively).

Samiyappan (2007), where pods count and protein content in peanut crop was increased upon inoculation with *Pseudomonas fluorescens* under saline stress. The mean values of the yield in the treatments  $I_1$ ,  $I_2$ , and  $I_3$  were 2.60, 3.11, and 3.77 g pot<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. This indicates a significant improvement in crop yield due to microbial consortium inoculation that alters the nutritional environment through production of plant growth regulators (Patel et al., 2021). Among the treatments inoculated with microbial consortium, MC-II inoculated (*B. velezensis*,



K. radicincitans, and K. rhizophila) had higher yield than MC-I

(*M. indicus*, *S. marcescens*, and *N. Niacini*) inoculated. This is a direct indicator of high PGP potential of MC-II in comparison to MC-I. Similarly, high protein content was recorded in I<sub>3</sub>D<sub>1</sub> and I<sub>3</sub>D<sub>2</sub> (MC-II

inoculated treatments) with the corresponding values of 20.20 and 19.99%, respectively. Protein content in the seeds was significantly higher when inoculated with MC-II. The presence of more growthpromoting biochemicals in PGPR aids in the reduction of impacts caused by salt stress (Nadeem et al., 2016). Kavita and Alka (2010) stated that saline stress reduces N partitioning and fixation leading to lower protein accumulation in the seeds of legume plants. In line with this, seeds from the control treatment had low protein than microbial consortium inoculated treatments. The microbial consortia application improves nitrogen use efficiency, which in turn favored the accumulation of protein in seeds. Similarly, compared to the un-inoculated control, co-inoculation of Bacillus sp. and Arthrobacter sp. significantly increased protein content in the maize even under salt stress (100 mM NaCl; Hassan and Bano, 2015). The inoculation of PGPR with ACC deaminase activity on maize showed 3.3 folds increase in root length at EC 9 dS m<sup>-1</sup> and shoot lengths increased by 2.3 folds over uninoculated control (Kausar and Shahzad, 2006). Inoculation of maize with salt tolerant PGPR and addition of organic amendments like poultry manure, cow dung and spent mushroom substrate has improved crop growth and fertility status of the soil in saline ecosystem. Further, the inoculation also reduced the sodium uptake by the crop thereby reducing the effect of salinity (Upadhyay and Chauhan, 2022).

## 3.10. Mechanism behind the improvement in crop growth through halotolerant PGPR inoculation and way forward

Several reports have shown that halotolerant PGPRs effectively improve the growth of various agricultural crops under salinity stress conditions (Qin et al., 2016; Singh and Jha, 2016a; Etesami and Noori, 2019; Orhan and Demirci, 2020). Mechanisms by which they impact growth are (i) triggering plant antioxidant defense by upregulating vital enzymes that scavenge excess ROS, (ii) alleviating nutrient deficiency by fixing atmospheric nitrogen and producing ammonia, solubilizing P, producing siderophores for Fe, Zn and Ln uptake, (iii) increasing the uptake of selected ions for maintaining a high K<sup>+</sup>/Na<sup>+</sup> ratio (John and Lakshmanan, 2018), (iv) decreasing plant Na<sup>+</sup> accumulation by excreting exopolysaccharide to bind cations (especially Na<sup>+</sup>) in roots and prevent their translocation to leaves, and (v) reduction in ROS production by counteracting ethylene synthesis (Figure 8). Probably, different mechanisms are involved in each interaction that leads to plant growth stimulation under saline conditions. The prevention of nucleic acid and chlorophyll damage by quenching the ROS plays a major role in improving the salt tolerance in the crops. Nevertheless, the variation in chloroplast structure and SOD activity among the different plants interferes with the PGPR ability in stress alleviation. Hence, a bacterial strain that stimulates the growth of a particular plant species in the presence of salt may not cause similar effect in



other plants. Furthermore, many intricate mechanisms are involved when endophytes come into the picture (Santoyo et al., 2016; Khan et al., 2017). The endophyte, *Burkholderia phytofirmans* enhanced the growth of six switchgrass cultivars out of the eight that were tested (Khan et al., 2017). Inoculation with this strain was found to induce wide-spread changes in gene expression in the host plant, including transcription factors that are known to regulate the expression of some plant stress factor genes (Lara-Chavez et al., 2015). It is likely that changes in plant gene expression could also be induced by the halotolerant consortium used in this study to inoculate *Vigna mungo* L. Hence further investigation of the genomic interaction with the plants is in process. This would have a significant influence in identifying microbial inoculums that guarantees the successful cultivation of crops under variety of stressful environments.

#### 4. Conclusion

The current study revealed the plant growth-promoting attributes of halotolerant PGPR on *Vigna mungo* L., grown under saline conditions. Among the nine isolates, *S. marcescens, B. velezensis, K. rhizophila,* and *K. radicincitans* improved germination percentage, vigor index, shoot and root length of *Vigna mungo* L. In the pot study, *Vigna mungo* L. inoculated with microbial consortia (*K. rhizophila, B. velezensis,* and *K. radicincitanas* – MC-II) @ 4 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> exhibited higher concentration of chlorophyll, photosynthetic rate and stomatal conductance. The poor leaf osmolyte (proline) content and antioxidant enzymes (catalase and superoxide dismutase) activities indicated lesser salt stress in inoculated plants over uninoculated control. Consequently, significant increase in growth and yield of *Vigna mungo* L. in soils

salinized by long term irrigation of paper and pulp mill effluent was observed. Therefore, halotolerant microbial consortia, MC-II having the potential to induce salt stress tolerance can enhance crop growth. However, further research must be oriented towards improving the host plant-microbial compatibility to increase the salt tolerance and productivity of wide variety of crops. Utilization of biostimulants, nano-particles and bio-amendments along with PGPR is another emerging area of research which has the potential to improve the crop microbe association under saline conditions.

#### Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding authors.

#### Author contributions

JJ has conducted all the experiments under the guidance of MM and TK. JJ and CP completed the formal analysis and the first draft of the manuscript. BG, SSR, and SR participated in the analysis of experimental results. MP, EK, and VD directed the manuscript writing and revision of the manuscript. All authors have read and agreed on the final version of the text.

#### Funding

The financial assistance to carry out the research was provided by Tamil Nadu Newsprint and Papers Limited, Karur, India.

#### Acknowledgments

The authors are thankful to Tamil Nadu Newsprint and Papers Limited (TNPL), Karur, Tamil Nadu for providing financial assistance to carry out the research project. The authors are grateful to Dr. V. Prasath and Dr. R. Seenivasan for their technical assistance. The authors also thank the Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore for providing infrastructural support.

#### **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

#### References

Abada, E. A., El-Hendawy, H. H., Osman, M. E., and Hafez, M. A. (2014). Antimicrobial activity of *Bacillus circulans* isolated from rhizosphere of *Medicago sativa*. *Life Sci. J.* 8, 711–719.

Acosta-Motos, J. R., Ortuño, M. F., Bernal-Vicente, A., Diaz-Vivancos, P., Sanchez-Blanco, M. J., and Hernandez, J. A. (2017). Plant responses to salt stress: adaptive mechanisms. *Agronomy* 7:18. doi: 10.3390/AGRONOMY7010018

Afridi, M. S., Mahmood, T., Salam, A., Mukhtar, T., Mehmood, S., Ali, J., et al. (2019). Induction of tolerance to salinity in wheat genotypes by plant growth promoting endophytes: involvement of ACC deaminase and antioxidant enzymes. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* 139, 569–577. doi: 10.1016/j.plaphy.2019.03.041

Ahemad, M., and Kibret, M. (2014). Mechanisms and applications of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria: current perspective. *J. King Saud. Univ. Sci.* 26, 1–20. doi: 10.1016/J.JKSUS.2013.05.001

Ambede, J. G., Netondo, G. W., Mwai, G. N., and Musyimi, D. M. (2012). NaCl salinity affects germination, growth, physiology, and biochemistry of bambara groundnut. *Brazilian J. Plant Physiol.* 24, 151–160. doi: 10.1016/j.plaphy.2019.03.041

Arora, S. (2020). "Halotolerant microbes for amelioration of salt-affected soils for sustainable agriculture" in *Phyto-Microbiome in Stress Regulation*. eds. M. Kumar, V. Kumar and R. Prasad (Singapore: Springer), 323–343.

Arora, N. K., Fatima, T., Mishra, J., Mishra, I., Verma, S., Verma, R., et al. (2020). Halotolerant plant growth promoting rhizobacteria for improving productivity and remediation of saline soils. *J. Adv. Res.* 26, 69–82. doi: 10.1016/j.jare.2020.07.003

Ayyam, V., Palanivel, S., and Chandrakasan, S. (2019). "Biosaline agriculture" in *Coastal Ecosystems of the Tropics-Adaptive Management.* eds. V. Ayyam, S. Palanivel and S. Chandrakasan (Singapore: Springer), 493–510.

Bates, L. S., Waldren, R. P., and Teare, I. D. (1973). Rapid determination of free proline for water-stress studies. *Plant Soil* 39, 205–207. doi: 10.1007/BF00018060

Bayuelo-Jimenez, J. S., Jasso-Plata, N., and Ochoa, I. (2012). Growth and physiological responses of *Phaseolus* species to salinity stress. *Int. J. Agron.* 2012, 1–13. doi: 10.1155/2012/527673

Berger, B., Brock, A. K., and Ruppel, S. (2013). Nitrogen supply influences plant growth and transcriptional responses induced by *Enterobacter radicincitans* in *Solanum lycopersicum*. *Plant Soil* 370, 641–652. doi: 10.1007/s11104-013-1633-0

Bhattacharjee, S. (2012). The language of reactive oxygen species signaling in plants. J. Bot. 2012, 1–22. doi: 10.1155/2012/985298

Bhavani, D. G., and Kumari, A. M. (2019). Chick pea root endophytic bacteria and their plant growth promoting traits. *Indian J. Ecol.* 46, 72–76.

Borlu, H. O., Celiktas, V., Duzenli, S., Hossain, A., and El Sabagh, A. (2018). Germination and early seedling growth of five durum wheat cultivars (*Triticum durum* desf.) is affected by different levels of salinity. *Fresenius Environ. Bull.* 27, 7746–7757.

Borsato, E., Rosa, L., Marinello, F., Tarolli, P., and D'Odorico, P. (2020). Weak and strong sustainability of irrigation: A framework for irrigation practices under limited water availability. *Front. Sustain. Food Syst.* 4:17. doi: 10.3389/fsufs.2020.00017

Carmen, B., and Roberto, D. (2011). "Soil bacteria support and protect plants against abiotic stresses" in *Abiotic Stress in Plants: Mechanisms and Adaptations*. eds. A. K. Shanker and B. Venkateswarlu (London: InTech), 143–170.

Chakraborty, U., Chakraborty, B., and Basnet, M. (2006). Plant growth promotion and induction of resistance in *Camellia sinensis* by *Bacillus megaterium. J. Basic Microbiol.* 46, 186–195. doi: 10.1002/jobm.200510050

Chanratana, M., Joe, M. M., Roy Choudhury, A., Anandham, R., Krishnamoorthy, R., Kim, K., et al. (2019). Physiological response of tomato plant to chitosan-immobilized

#### Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

#### Supplementary material

The Supplementary material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2023.1085787/full#supplementary-material

aggregated *Methylobacterium oryzae* CBMB20 inoculation under salinity stress. *3 Biotech* 9, 397–313. doi: 10.1007/s13205-019-1923-1

Chauhan, P. K., Upadhyay, S. K., Tripathi, M., Singh, R., Krishna, D., Singh, S. K., et al. (2022). Understanding the salinity stress on plant and developing sustainable management strategies mediated salt-tolerant plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria and CRISPR/Cas9. *Biotechnol. Genet. Eng. Rev.*, 1–37. doi: 10.1080/02648725.2022.2131958

Da Silva, F. G., Dos Santos, I. B., De Sousa, A. J., Raquel, A., Farias, B., Patrícia Da, W., et al. (2016). Bioprospecting and plant growth-promoting bacteria tolerant to salinity associated with *Atriplex nummularia* L. in saline soils. *African J. Microbiol. Res.* 10, 1203–1214. doi: 10.5897/AJMR2016.8202

Dai, J., Wang, N., Xiong, H., Qiu, W., Nakanishi, H., Kobayashi, T., et al. (2018). The Yellow Stripe-Like (YSL) gene functions in internal copper transport in Peanut. *Genes* 9:635. doi: 10.3390/genes9120635

Damodaran, T., Mishra, V. K., Sharma, D. K., Jha, S. K., Verma, C. L., Rai, R. B., et al. (2013). Management of sub-soil sodicity for sustainable banana production in sodic soil-an approach. *Int. J. Curr. Res.* 5, 1930–1934.

Del Carmen Orozco-Mosqueda, M., Duan, J., DiBernardo, M., Zetter, E., Campos-García, J., Glick, B. R., et al. (2019). The production of ACC deaminase and trehalose by the plant growth promoting bacterium *Pseudomonas* sp. UW4 synergistically protect tomato plants against salt stress. *Front. Microbiol.* 10:1392. doi: 10.3389/ FMICB.2019.01392/FULL

Dereeper, A., Guignon, V., Blanc, G., Audic, S., Buffet, S., Chevenet, F., et al. (2008). Phylogeny.fr: robust phylogenetic analysis for the non-specialist. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 36, W465–W469. doi: 10.1093/NAR/GKN180

Devi, K. A., Pandey, P., and Sharma, G. D. (2016). Plant growth-promoting endophyte Serratia marcescens AL2-16 enhances the growth of Achyranthes aspera L., a medicinal plant. HAYATI J. Biosci. 23, 173–180. doi: 10.1016/j.hjb.2016.12.006

Dhevagi, P., Ramya, A., Priyatharshini, S., and Poornima, R. (2022). Effect of elevated tropospheric ozone on *Vigna Mungo* L. varieties. *Ozone Sci. Eng.* 44, 566–586. doi: 10.1080/01919512.2021.2009332

Dhindsa, R. S., Plumb-Dhindsa, P., and Thorpe, T. A. (1981). Leaf senescence: correlated with increased levels of membrane permeability and lipid peroxidation, and decreased levels of superoxide dismutase and catalase. *J. Exp. Bot.* 32, 93–101. doi: 10.1093/jxb/32.1.93

Dimkpa, C., Weinand, T., and Asch, F. (2009). Plant-rhizobacteria interactions alleviate abiotic stress conditions. *Plant Cell Environ.* 32, 1682–1694. doi: 10.1111/J.1365-3040.2009.02028.X

Diray-Arce, J., Clement, M., Gul, B., Khan, M. A., and Nielsen, B. L. (2015). Transcriptome assembly, profiling and differential gene expression analysis of the halophyte *Suaeda fruticosa* provides insights into salt tolerance. *BMC Genomics* 16, 353–324. doi: 10.1186/s12864-015-1553-x

Duc, L. H., Fraser, P. D., Tam, N. K. M., and Cutting, S. M. (2006). Carotenoids present in halotolerant *Bacillus* spore formers. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* 255, 215–224. doi: 10.1111/j. 1574-6968.2005.00091.x

Dutta, J., and Thakur, D. (2017). Evaluation of multifarious plant growth promoting traits, antagonistic potential and phylogenetic affiliation of rhizobacteria associated with commercial tea plants grown in Darjeeling, India. *PLoS One* 12:e0182302. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0182302

Edgar, R. C. (2004). MUSCLE: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high throughput. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 32, 1792–1797. doi: 10.1093/NAR/GKH340

Ermakova, M., Lopez-Calcagno, P. E., Raines, C. A., Furbank, R. T., and von Caemmerer, S. (2019). Overexpression of the Rieske FeS protein of the cytochrome b6f complex increases C4 photosynthesis in Setaria viridis. *Commun. Biol.* 2:314. doi: 10.1038/ s42003-019-0561-9

Etesami, H., and Beattie, G. A. (2018). Mining halophytes for plant growth-promoting halotolerant bacteria to enhance the salinity tolerance of non-halophytic crops. *Front. Microbiol.* 9:148. doi: 10.3389/FMICB.2018.00148/BIBTEX

Etesami, H., and Noori, F. (2019). "Soil salinity as a challenge for sustainable agriculture and bacterial-mediated alleviation of salinity stress in crop plants" in *Saline Soil-Based Agriculture by Halotolerant Microorganisms*. eds. M. Kumar, H. Etesami and V. Kumar (Singapore: Springer), 1–22.

Facklam, R., and Elliott, J. A. (1995). Identification, classification, and clinical relevance of catalase-negative, gram-positive cocci, excluding the *Streptococci* and *Enterococci*. *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.* 8, 479–495. doi: 10.1128/cmr.8.4.479

Fatma, M., Iqbal, N., Gautam, H., Sehar, Z., Sofo, A., D'Ippolito, I., et al. (2021). Ethylene and sulfur coordinately modulate the antioxidant system and ABA accumulation in mustard plants under salt stress. *Plan. Theory* 10:180. doi: 10.1128/cmr.8.4.479

Finkel, S. E., and Kolter, R. (1999). Evolution of microbial diversity during prolonged starvation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 96, 4023–4027. doi: 10.1073/PNAS.96.7.4023

Fisher, T. S., Surdo, P. L., Pandit, S., Mattu, M., Santoro, J. C., Wisniewski, D., et al. (2007). Effects of pH and low density lipoprotein (LDL) on PCSK9-dependent LDL receptor regulation. J. Biol. Chem. 282, 20502-20512. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M701634200

Flowers, T. J., and Colmer, T. D. (2015). Plant salt tolerance: adaptations in halophytes. *Ann. Bot.* 115, 327–331. doi: 10.1093/aob/mcu267

Galkovskyi, T., Mileyko, Y., Bucksch, A., Moore, B., Symonova, O., Price, C. A., et al. (2012). GiA roots: software for the high throughput analysis of plant root system architecture. *BMC Plant Biol.* 12, 1–12. doi: 10.1186/1471-2229-12-116

Glick, B. R. (2014). Bacteria with ACC deaminase can promote plant growth and help to feed the world. *Microbiol. Res.* 169, 30–39. doi: 10.1016/j.micres.2013.09.009

Gomez, K. A., and Gomez, A. A. (1984). Statistical Procedures for Agricultural Research. NewYork: John Wiley and Sons.

Gopalachari, N. C. (1963). Changes in the activities of certain oxidizing enzymes during germination and seedling development of *Phaseolus mungo* and *Sorghum vulgare*. *Indian J. Exp. Biol.* 1, 98–100.

Goswami, D., Dhandhukia, P., Patel, P., and Thakker, J. N. (2014). Screening of PGPR from saline desert of Kutch: growth promotion in *Arachis hypogea* by *Bacillus licheniformis* A2. *Microbiol. Res.* 169, 66–75. doi: 10.1016/j.micres.2013.07.004

Gul, B., Ansari, R., Ali, H., Adnan, M. Y., Weber, D. J., Nielsen, B. L., et al. (2014). The sustainable utilization of saline resources for livestock feed production in arid and semiarid regions: A model from Pakistan. *Emirates J. Food Agric.* 26, 1032–1045. doi: 10.9755/ ejfa.xxx.xxx

Gupta, B., and Huang, B. (2014). Mechanism of salinity tolerance in plants: physiological, biochemical, and molecular characterization. *Int. J. Genomics* 2014, 1–18. doi: 10.1155/2014/701596

Gupta, S., and Pandey, S. (2019). ACC deaminase producing bacteria with multifarious plant growth promoting traits alleviates salinity stress in French Bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris*) plants. *Front. Microbiol.* 10:1506. doi: 10.3389/FMICB.2019.01506/BIBTEX

Habib, S. H., Kausar, H., and Saud, H. M. (2016). Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria enhance salinity stress tolerance in okra through ROS-scavenging enzymes. *Biomed. Res. Int.* 2016, 1–10. doi: 10.1155/2016/6284547

Hasanuzzaman, M., Raihan, M. R. H., Masud, A. A. C., Rahman, K., Nowroz, F., Rahman, M., et al. (2021). Regulation of reactive oxygen species and antioxidant defense in plants under salinity. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 22:9326. doi: 10.3390/ijms22179326

Hassan, T. U., and Bano, A. (2015). Role of carrier-based biofertilizer in reclamation of saline soil and wheat growth. *Arch. Agron. Soil Sci.* 61, 1719–1731. doi: 10.1080/03650340.2015.1036045

Hassan, N., Hasan, M. K., Shaddam, M. O., Islam, M. S., Barutçular, C., Sabagh, E. L., et al. (2018). Responses of maize varieties to salt stress in relation to germination and seedling growth. *Int. Lett. Nat. Sci.* 69, 1–11. doi: 10.18052/www.scipress.com/ilns.69.1

Hossain, M. S., Alam, M. U., Rahman, A., Hasanuzzaman, M., Nahar, K., Al Mahmud, J., et al. (2017). Use of iso-osmotic solution to understand salt stress responses in lentil (*Lens culinaris* Medik.). *South African J. Bot.* 113, 346–354. doi: 10.1016/j.sajb.2017.09.007

Ilangumaran, G., and Smith, D. L. (2017). Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria in amelioration of salinity stress: a systems biology perspective. *Front. Plant Sci.* 8:1768. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2017.01768

Isayenkov, S. V., and Maathuis, F. J. M. (2019). Plant salinity stress: many unanswered questions remain. *Front. Plant Sci.* 10:80. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2019.00080

Islam, S., Akanda, A. M., Prova, A., Islam, M. T., and Hossain, M. M. (2016). Isolation and identification of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria from cucumber rhizosphere and their effect on plant growth promotion and disease suppression. *Front. Microbiol.* 6:1360. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2015.01360

Jain, R., and Srivastava, S. (2012). Nutrient composition of spent wash and its impact on sugarcane growth and biochemical attributes. *Physiol. Mol. Biol. Plants* 18, 95–99. doi: 10.1007/s12298-011-0087-1

John, J. E., and Lakshmanan, A. (2018). Carbon sequestration as biomass carbon and mineral carbonates by cyanobacterial systems in rice soil. *Trends Biosci*. 11, 3478–3484.

John, J. E., Thangavel, P., Maheswari, M., Balasubramanian, G., Kalaiselvi, T., Kokiladevi, E., et al. (2022). *Sesuvium portulacastrum* mitigates salinity induced by irrigation with paper and pulp mill effluent. *Int. J. Environ. Stud.* 1-13, 1–13. doi: 10.1080/00207233.2022.2055346

Kang, G., Li, G., and Guo, T. (2014). Molecular mechanism of salicylic acid-induced abiotic stress tolerance in higher plants. *Acta Physiol. Plant.* 36, 2287–2297.

Kausar, R., and Shahzad, S. M. (2006). Effect of ACC-deaminase containing rhizobacteria on growth promotion of maize under salinity stress. *J. Agric. Soc. Sci.* 2, 216–218.

Kavita, K., and Alka, S. (2010). Assessment of salinity tolerance of *Vigna mungo* var. Pu-19 using ex vitro and in vitro methods. *Asian J. Biotechnol.* 2, 73–85. doi: 10.3923/ajbkr.2010.73.85

Khan, A. L., Waqas, M., Asaf, S., Kamran, M., Shahzad, R., Bilal, S., et al. (2017). Plant growth-promoting endophyte *Sphingomonas* sp. LK11 alleviates salinity stress in *Solanum pimpinellifolium. Environ. Exp. Bot.* 133, 58–69. doi: 10.1016/j.envexpbot.2016.09.009

Khaneja, R., Perez-Fons, L., Fakhry, S., Baccigalupi, L., Steiger, S., To, E., et al. (2010). Carotenoids found in *Bacillus. J. Appl. Microbiol.* 108, 1889–1902. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2672.2009.04590.x

Kotasthane, A. S., Agrawal, T., Zaidi, N. W., and Singh, U. S. (2017). Identification of siderophore producing and cynogenic fluorescent *Pseudomonas* and a simple confrontation assay to identify potential bio-control agent for collar rot of chickpea. *3 Biotech* 7, 1–8. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2672.2009.04590.x

Kumar, P., and Sharma, P. K. (2020). Soil salinity and food security in India. Front. Sustain. Food Syst. 4:174. doi: 10.3389/FSUFS.2020.533781/BIBTEX

Lara-Chavez, A., Lowman, S., Kim, S., Tang, Y., Zhang, J., Udvardi, M., et al. (2015). Global gene expression profiling of two switchgrass cultivars following inoculation with *Burkholderia phytofirmans* strain PsJN. *J. Exp. Bot.* 66, 4337–4350. doi: 10.1093/jxb/erv096

Leontidou, K., Genitsaris, S., Papadopoulou, A., Kamou, N., Bosmali, I., Matsi, T., et al. (2020). Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria isolated from halophytes and drought-tolerant plants: genomic characterisation and exploration of phyto-beneficial traits. *Sci. Rep.* 10, 1–15. doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-71652-0

Lowry, O. H., Rosenbrough, N. J., Farr, A. L., and Randall, R. J. (1951). Protein measurment with Folin phenol reagent. J. Biol. Chem. 193, 265-275.

Machado, R. M. A., and Serralheiro, R. P. (2017). Soil salinity: effect on vegetable crop growth. Management practices to prevent and mitigate soil salinization. *Horticulturae* 3:30. doi: 10.3390/horticulturae3020030

Mapelli, F., Marasco, R., Rolli, E., Barbato, M., Cherif, H., Guesmi, A., et al. (2013). Potential for plant growth promotion of rhizobacteria associated with *Salicornia* growing in Tunisian hypersaline soils. *Biomed. Res. Int.* 2013, 1–13. doi: 10.1155/2013/248078

Masum, M. M. I., Liu, L., Yang, M., Hossain, M. M., Siddiqa, M. M., Supty, M. E., et al. (2018). Halotolerant bacteria belonging to operational group *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* in biocontrol of the rice brown stripe pathogen *Acidovorax oryzae. J. Appl. Microbiol.* 125, 1852–1867. doi: 10.1111/jam.14088

Medeiros, C. A. A., and Bettiol, W. (2021). Multifaceted intervention of *Bacillus* spp. against salinity stress and Fusarium wilt in tomato. *J. Appl. Microbiol.* 131, 2387–2401. doi: 10.1111/jam.15095

Murtaza, B., Murtaza, G., Sabir, M., Owens, G., Abbas, G., Imran, M., et al. (2017). Amelioration of saline-sodic soil with gypsum can increase yield and nitrogen use efficiency in rice-wheat cropping system. *Arch. Agron. Soil Sci.* 63, 1267–1280. doi: 10.1080/03650340.2016.1276285

Nadeem, S. M., Ahmad, M., Naveed, M., Imran, M., Zahir, Z. A., and Crowley, D. E. (2016). Relationship between in vitro characterization and comparative efficacy of plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria for improving cucumber salt tolerance. *Arch. Microbiol.* 198, 379–387. doi: 10.1007/S00203-016-1197-5

Navarro-Torre, S., Mateos-Naranjo, E., Caviedes, M. A., Pajuelo, E., and Rodríguez-Llorente, I. D. (2016). Isolation of plant-growth-promoting and metal-resistant cultivable bacteria from *Arthrocnemum macrostachyum* in the Odiel marshes with potential use in phytoremediation. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* 110, 133–142. doi: 10.1016/j. marpolbul.2016.06.070

Negacz, K., Malek, Ž., de Vos, A., and Vellinga, P. (2022). Saline soils worldwide: identifying the most promising areas for saline agriculture. *J. Arid Environ.* 203:104775. doi: 10.1016/j.jaridenv.2022.104775

Numan, M., Bashir, S., Khan, Y., Mumtaz, R., Shinwari, Z. K., Khan, A. L., et al. (2018). Plant growth promoting bacteria as an alternative strategy for salt tolerance in plants: A review. *Microbiol. Res.* 209, 21–32. doi: 10.1016/J.MICRES.2018.02.003

Obi, A. O. (1974). The wilting point and available moisture in tropical forest soils of Nigeria. *Exp. Agric.* 10, 305–312. doi: 10.1017/S0014479700006098

Orhan, F., and Demirci, A. (2020). Salt stress mitigating potential of halotolerant/halophilic plant growth promoting. *Geomicrobiol J.* 37, 663–669. doi: 10.1080/01490451.2020.1761911

Pandey, S. S., Patnana, P. K., Rai, R., and Chatterjee, S. (2017). Xanthoferrin, the  $\alpha$ -hydroxycarboxylate-type siderophore of *Xanthomonas campestris* pv. campestris, is required for optimum virulence and growth inside cabbage. *Mol. Plant Pathol.* 18, 949–962. doi: 10.1111/mpp.12451

Panwar, M., Tewari, R., Gulati, A., and Nayyar, H. (2016). Indigenous salt-tolerant rhizobacterium *Pantoea dispersa* (PSB3) reduces sodium uptake and mitigates the effects of salt stress on growth and yield of chickpea. *Acta Physiol. Plant.* 38, 1–12. doi: 10.1007/s11738-016-2284-6

Paranychianakis, N. V., and Chartzoulakis, K. S. (2005). Irrigation of mediterranean crops with saline water: from physiology to management practices. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* 106, 171–187. doi: 10.1016/j.agee.2004.10.006

Patel, R. R., Patel, D. D., Bhatt, J., Thakor, P., Triplett, L. R., and Thakkar, V. R. (2021). Induction of pre-chorismate, jasmonate and salicylate pathways by *Burkholderia* sp. RR18 in peanut seedlings. *J. Appl. Microbiol.* 131, 1417–1430. doi: 10.1111/JAM.15019

Patel, R. R., Thakkar, V. R., and Subramanian, R. B. (2016). Simultaneous detection and quantification of phytohormones by a sensitive method of separation in culture of *Pseudomonas* sp. *Curr. Microbiol.* 72, 744–751. doi: 10.1007/S00284-016-1012-1/TABLES/3

Paul, D., and Lade, H. (2014). Plant-growth-promoting rhizobacteria to improve crop growth in saline soils: a review. *Agron. Sustain. Dev.* 34, 737–752. doi: 10.1007/s13593-014-0233-6

Priyanka, A., Akshatha, K., Deekshit, V. K., Prarthana, J., and Akhila, D. S. (2020). "Klebsiella pneumoniae infections and antimicrobial drug resistance" in *Model Organisms* for *Microbial Pathogenesis, Biofilm Formation and Antimicrobial Drug Discovery*. eds. B. Siddhardha, M. Dyavaiah and A. Syed (Singapore: Springer), 195–225.

Qadir, M., Quillérou, E., Nangia, V., Murtaza, G., Singh, M., Thomas, R. J., et al. (2014). Economics of salt-induced land degradation and restoration. *Nat. Resour. Forum* 38, 282–295. doi: 10.1111/1477-8947.12054

Qin, Y., Druzhinina, I. S., Pan, X., and Yuan, Z. (2016). Microbially mediated plant salt tolerance and microbiome-based solutions for saline agriculture. *Biotechnol. Adv.* 34, 1245–1259. doi: 10.1016/j.biotechadv.2016.08.005

Rahman, S. S., Siddique, R., and Tabassum, N. (2017). Isolation and identification of halotolerant soil bacteria from coastal Patenga area. *BMC. Res. Notes* 10, 531–536. doi: 10.1186/s13104-017-2855-7

Rajkumar, M., Lee, K. J., Lee, W. H., and Banu, J. R. (2005). Growth of *Brassica juncea* under chromium stress: influence of siderophores and indole 3 acetic acid producing rhizosphere bacteria. *J. Environ. Biol.* 26, 693–699.

Raklami, A., Tahiri, A. I., Bechtaoui, N., Abdelhay, E. G., Pajuelo, E., Baslam, M., et al. (2021). Restoring the plant productivity of heavy metal-contaminated soil using phosphate sludge, marble waste, and beneficial microorganisms. *J. Environ. Sci.* 99, 210–221. doi: 10.1016/J.JES.2020.06.032

Ramadoss, D., Lakkineni, V. K., Bose, P., Ali, S., and Annapurna, K. (2013). Mitigation of salt stress in wheat seedlings by halotolerant bacteria isolated from saline habitats. *Springerplus* 2, 1–7. doi: 10.1186/2193-1801-2-6

Razzaghi Komaresofla, B., Alikhani, H. A., Etesami, H., and Khoshkholgh-Sima, N. A. (2019). Improved growth and salinity tolerance of the halophyte *Salicornia* sp. by coinoculation with endophytic and rhizosphere bacteria. *Appl. Soil Ecol.* 138, 160–170. doi: 10.1016/J.APSOIL.2019.02.022

Ryu, C.-M., Farag, M. A., Hu, C.-H., Reddy, M. S., Wei, H.-X., Paré, P. W., et al. (2003). Bacterial volatiles promote growth in Arabidopsis. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 100, 4927–4932. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0730845100

Sandhya, V., and Ali, S. Z. (2018). Quantitative mRNA analysis of induced genes in maize inoculated with *Acinetobacter baumannii* strain MZ30V92. *Curr. Biotechnol.* 7, 438–452. doi: 10.2174/2211550108666190125114821

Santoyo, G., Moreno-Hagelsieb, G., del Carmen Orozco-Mosqueda, M., and Glick, B. R. (2016). Plant growth-promoting bacterial endophytes. *Microbiol. Res.* 183, 92–99. doi: 10.1016/j.micres.2015.11.008

Sapre, S., Gontia-Mishra, I., and Tiwari, S. (2022). Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria ameliorates salinity stress in pea (*Pisum sativum*). J. Plant Growth Regul. 41, 647–656. doi: 10.1007/s00344-021-10329-y

Saravanakumar, D., and Samiyappan, R. (2007). ACC deaminase from *Pseudomonas* fluorescens mediated saline resistance in groundnut (*Arachis hypogea*) plants. J. Appl. Microbiol. 102, 1283–1292. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2672.2006.03179.x

Sarkar, P., and Chourasia, R. (2017). Bioconversion of organic solid wastes into biofortified compost using a microbial consortium. *Int. J. Recycl. Org. Waste Agric.* 6, 321–334. doi: 10.1007/s40093-017-0180-8

Schwyn, B., and Neilands, J. B. (1987). Universal chemical assay for the detection and determination of siderophores. *Anal. Biochem.* 160, 47–56. doi: 10.1016/0003-2697(87)90612-9

Shabala, S. (2013). Learning from halophytes: physiological basis and strategies to improve abiotic stress tolerance in crops. *Ann. Bot.* 112, 1209–1221. doi: 10.1093/aob/mct205

Shahid, M., Hameed, S., Imran, A., Ali, S., and van Elsas, J. D. (2012). Root colonization and growth promotion of sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.) by phosphate solubilizing *Enterobacter* sp. Fs-11. *World J. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 28, 2749–2758. doi: 10.1007/s11274-012-1086-2

Shahid, M., Hameed, S., Tariq, M., Zafar, M., Ali, A., and Ahmad, N. (2015). Characterization of mineral phosphate-solubilizing bacteria for enhanced sunflower growth and yield-attributing traits. *Ann. Microbiol.* 65, 1525–1536. doi: 10.1007/s13213-014-0991-z

Shahzad, R., Waqas, M., Latif Khan, A., Al-Hosni, K., Kang, S.-M., Seo, C.-W., et al. (2017). Indoleacetic acid production and plant growth promoting potential of bacterial endophytes isolated from rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) seeds. *Acta Biol. Hung.* 68, 175–186. doi: 10.1556/018.68.2017.2.5

Shi, Q., Wang, X., Ju, Z., Liu, B., Lei, C., Wang, H., et al. (2021). Technological and safety characterization of *Kocuria rhizophila* isolates from traditional ethnic dry-cured ham of Nuodeng, Southwest China. *Front. Microbiol.* 12:761019. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2021.761019

Shrivastava, P., and Kumar, R. (2015). Soil salinity: a serious environmental issue and plant growth promoting bacteria as one of the tools for its alleviation. *Saudi J. Biol. Sci.* 22, 123–131. doi: 10.1016/j.sjbs.2014.12.001

Shukla, P. S., Agarwal, P. K., and Jha, B. (2012). Improved salinity tolerance of *Arachis hypogaea* (L.) by the interaction of halotolerant plant-growth-promoting rhizobacteria. *J. Plant Growth Regul.* 31, 195–206. doi: 10.1007/s00344-011-9231-y

Singh, P., Chauhan, P. K., Upadhyay, S. K., Singh, R. K., Dwivedi, P., Wang, J., et al. (2022). Mechanistic insights and potential use of siderophores producing microbes in rhizosphere for mitigation of stress in plants grown in degraded land. *Front. Microbiol.* 13:898979. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2022.898979

Singh, R. P., and Jha, P. N. (2016a). A halotolerant bacterium *Bacillus licheniformis* HSW-16 augments induced systemic tolerance to salt stress in wheat plant (*Triticum aestivum*). *Front. Plant Sci.* 7:1890. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2016.01890

Singh, R. P., and Jha, P. N. (2016b). The multifarious PGPR Serratia marcescens CDP-13 augments induced systemic resistance and enhanced salinity tolerance of wheat (*Triticum aestivum L.*). *PLoS One* 11:e0155026. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0155026

Singh, A., Kumar, A., Yadav, S., and Singh, I. K. (2019). Reactive oxygen speciesmediated signaling during abiotic stress. *Plant Gene* 18:100173. doi: 10.1007/ s00344-011-9231-y

Talavera, G., and Castresana, J. (2007). Improvement of phylogenies after removing divergent and ambiguously aligned blocks from protein sequence alignments. *Syst. Biol.* 56, 564–577. doi: 10.1080/10635150701472164

Thomloudi, E.-E., Tsalgatidou, P. C., Douka, D., Spantidos, T.-N., Dimou, M., Venieraki, A., et al. (2019). Multistrain versus single-strain plant growth promoting microbial inoculants-the compatibility issue. *Hell. Plant Prot. J.* 12, 61–77. doi: 10.2478/hppj-2019-0007

Tripathi, S., Das, A., Chandra, A., and Varma, A. (2015). Development of carrierbased formulation of root endophyte *Piriformospora indica* and its evaluation on *Phaseolus vulgaris* L. *World J. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 31, 337–344. doi: 10.1007/ s11274-014-1785-y

Upadhyay, S. K., and Chauhan, P. K. (2022). Optimization of eco-friendly amendments as sustainable asset for salt-tolerant plant growth-promoting bacteria mediated maize (*Zea Mays* L.) plant growth, Na uptake reduction and saline soil restoration. *Environ. Res.* 211:113081. doi: 10.1016/j.envres.2022.113081

Upadhyay, S. K., Rajput, V. D., Kumari, A., Espinosa-Saiz, D., Menendez, E., Minkina, T., et al. (2022a). Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria: a potential bio-asset for restoration of degraded soil and crop productivity with sustainable emerging techniques. *Environ. Geochem. Health* 1–24. doi: 10.1007/s10653-022-01433-3

Upadhyay, S. K., Singh, J. S., and Singh, D. P. (2011). Exopolysaccharide-producing plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria under salinity condition. *Pedosphere* 21, 214–222. doi: 10.1016/S1002-0160(11)60120-3

Upadhyay, S. K., Srivastava, A. K., Rajput, V. D., Chauhan, P. K., Bhojiya, A. A., Jain, D., et al. (2022b). Root exudates: mechanistic insight of plant growth promoting Rhizobacteria for sustainable crop production. *Front. Microbiol.* 13:916488. doi: 10.3389/ FMICB.2022.916488

Vimal, S. R., and Singh, J. S. (2019). Salt tolerant PGPR and FYM application in saline soil paddy agriculture sustainability. *Clim. Chang. Environ. Sustain.* 7, 61–71. doi: 10.5958/2320-642X.2019.00008.5

Weisskopf, L., Heller, S., and Eberl, L. (2011). *Burkholderia* species are major inhabitants of white lupin cluster roots. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 77, 7715–7720. doi: 10.1128/AEM.05845-11

Yasin, N. A., Khan, W. U., Ahmad, S. R., Ali, A., Ahmad, A., and Akram, W. (2018). Imperative roles of halotolerant plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria and kinetin in improving salt tolerance and growth of black gram (*Phaseolus mungo*). *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* 25, 4491–4505. doi: 10.1007/s11356-017-0761-0

Zahid, M., Abbasi, M. K., Hameed, S., and Rahim, N. (2015). Isolation and identification of indigenous plant growth promoting rhizobacteria from Himalayan region of Kashmir and their effect on improving growth and nutrient contents of maize (*Zea mays* L.). *Front. Microbiol.* 6:207. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2015.00207

Zeigler, M. M., and Steensland, A. (2022). Participatory farmer research and exploring the phytobiome: next steps for agricultural productivity growth. *Russ. J. Econ.* 8, 16–28. doi: 10.32609/j.ruje.8.80597

Zhang, M., Smith, J. A. C., Harberd, N. P., and Jiang, C. (2016). The regulatory roles of ethylene and reactive oxygen species (ROS) in plant salt stress responses. *Plant Mol. Biol.* 91, 651–659. doi: 10.1007/s11103-016-0488-1