Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Wei Qin, University of Oklahoma, United States

REVIEWED BY Willm Martens-Habbena, University of Florida, United States Tao Liu, The University of Queensland, Australia

*CORRESPONDENCE Simon K.-M. R. Rittmann, Privatdoz. Simon.rittmann@univie.ac.at

SPECIALTY SECTION This article was submitted to Biology of Archaea, a section of the journal Frontiers in Microbiology

RECEIVED 21 October 2022 ACCEPTED 17 January 2023 PUBLISHED 16 February 2023

CITATION

Melcher M, Hodgskiss LH, Mardini MA, Schleper C and Rittmann SK-MR (2023) Analysis of biomass productivity and physiology of *Nitrososphaera viennensis* grown in continuous culture. *Front. Microbiol.* 14:1076342. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2023.1076342

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Melcher, Hodgskiss, Mardini, Schleper and Rittmann. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Analysis of biomass productivity and physiology of *Nitrososphaera viennensis* grown in continuous culture

Michael Melcher¹, Logan H. Hodgskiss¹, Mohammad Anas Mardini¹, Christa Schleper¹ and Simon K.-M. R. Rittmann^{1,2,3*}

¹Archaea Biology and Ecogenomics Division, Department of Functional and Evolutionary Ecology, University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria, ²Arkeon GmbH, Tulln a.d. Donau, Austria, ³Archaea Physiology & Biotechnology Group, Department of Functional and Evolutionary Ecology, University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria

Microbial ammonia oxidation is the first and usually rate limiting step in nitrification and is therefore an important step in the global nitrogen cycle. Ammonia-oxidizing archaea (AOA) play an important role in nitrification. Here, we report a comprehensive analysis of biomass productivity and the physiological response of Nitrososphaera viennensis to different ammonium and carbon dioxide (CO₂) concentrations aiming to understand the interplay between ammonia oxidation and CO_2 fixation of N. viennensis. The experiments were performed in closed batch in serum bottles as well as in batch, fed-batch, and continuous culture in bioreactors. A reduced specific growth rate (μ) of *N. viennensis* was observed in batch systems in bioreactors. By increasing CO_2 gassing μ could be increased to rates comparable to that of closed batch systems. Furthermore, at a high dilution rate (D) in continuous culture (≥ 0.7 of μ_{max}) the biomass to ammonium yield (Y_(X/NH3)) increased up to 81.7% compared to batch cultures. In continuous culture, biofilm formation at higher D prevented the determination of D_{crit} . Due to changes in $Y_{(X/NH3)}$ and due to biofilm, nitrite concentration becomes an unreliable proxy for the cell number in continuous cultures at D towards μ_{max} . Furthermore, the obscure nature of the archaeal ammonia oxidation prevents an interpretation in the context of Monod kinetics and thus the determination of K_s. Our findings indicate that the physiological response of N. viennensis might be regulated with different enzymatic make-ups, according to the ammonium catalysis rate. We reveal novel insights into the physiology of N. viennensis that are important for biomass production and the biomass yield of AOA. Moreover, our study has implications to the field of archaea biology and microbial ecology by showing that bioprocess technology and quantitative analysis can be applied to decipher environmental factors affecting the physiology and productivity of AOA.

KEYWORDS

archaea, bioreactor, closed batch, batch, fed-batch, chemostat, carbon dioxide, biofilm

Introduction

Nitrification is the oxidation of ammonia (NH₃) to nitrate (NO₃⁻) *via* the intermediate nitrite (NO₂⁻). Ammonia oxidation is the first and usually rate limiting step in nitrification and is therefore important for the global nitrogen cycle. For over a century ammonia oxidation was thought to be performed by the few bacterial genera *Nitrosomonas*, *Nitrosococcus*, and *Nitrosopira*, until 20 years ago when evidence started to accumulate that archaea might play an important role in this process as well (Venter et al., 2004; Könneke et al., 2005; Treusch et al., 2005). *Nitrosopumilus*

maritimus was the first isolate from a marine aquarium (Könneke et al., 2005; Qin et al., 2017) followed by Nitrososphaera viennensis (Tourna et al., 2011; Stieglmeier et al., 2014) from garden soil. Since then multiple isolates and enrichments of ammonia oxidizing archaea (AOA) have been established and characterized to further improve our understanding of these ubiquitously abundant organisms (De La Torre et al., 2008; Blainey et al., 2011; Lehtovirta-Morley et al., 2011, 2016; Lebedeva et al., 2013; Jung et al., 2014; Zhalnina et al., 2014; Santoro et al., 2015; Qin et al., 2017; Sauder et al., 2017, 2018; Abby et al., 2018; Daebeler et al., 2018; Alves et al., 2019; Bayer et al., 2019; Nakagawa et al., 2021). Viable habitats include oceanic crust (Nunoura et al., 2013; Jørgensen and Zhao, 2016; Zhao et al., 2020), deep sea sediments (Francis et al., 2005; Park et al., 2008; Nunoura et al., 2018; Vuillemin et al., 2019; Zhao et al., 2019; Kerou et al., 2021), marine water column (Qin et al., 2017; Bayer et al., 2019; Santoro, 2019), oxygen minimum zones (Bristow et al., 2016), various kinds of soils (Lehtovirta-Morley et al., 2011, 2016; Tourna et al., 2011; Jung et al., 2014; Zhalnina et al., 2014; Alves et al., 2019), fresh water ecosystems (French et al., 2012, 2021; Sauder et al., 2018), waste water treatment plants (Mußmann et al., 2011; Sauder et al., 2017), terrestrial hot springs (De La Torre et al., 2008; Reigstad et al., 2008; Dodsworth et al., 2011; Abby et al., 2018; Daebeler et al., 2018; Luo et al., 2020), and human skin (Probst et al., 2013; Moissl-Eichinger et al., 2017). AOA outnumber their bacterial counterparts, ammonia oxidizing bacteria (AOB), by orders of magnitude in many habitats (Karner et al., 2001; Leininger et al., 2006; Adair and Schwartz, 2008; Nicol et al., 2008; Hollibaugh et al., 2011), but their contribution to the nitrification process is still not completely resolved. While all known AOA and AOB are confined to oxidize NH₃ to NO₂⁻, another group of bacteria was recently identified which are able to perform the complete oxidation of NH₃ to NO₃⁻, thus given the name Comammox (Daims et al., 2015; van Kessel et al., 2015).

In bacteria, NH₃ is oxidized to hydroxylamine (NH₂OH) by the enzyme ammonia monooxygenase (AMO) (Hollocher et al., 1981; Hyman and Wood, 1985) which is then further oxidized to nitric oxide (NO) by the hydroxylamine oxidoreductase (HAO) (Hooper and Terry, 1979; Caranto and Lancaster, 2017). The enzyme responsible for the oxidation of NO to NO2⁻ is still unknown. Unlike the bacterial NH3 oxidation pathway, the archaeal one is still very poorly characterized. Only the oxidation of NH₃ to NH₂OH is inferred to be catalyzed by an AMO (Vajrala et al., 2013) which is very distantly related to bacterial AMO and all other enzymes of the copper membrane monooxygenase superfamily (CuMMO) (Alves et al., 2018). However, a counterpart to the bacterial HAO is still missing in archaea. Two models are currently proposed, one that mimics the bacterial pathway (Lancaster et al., 2018) and another one where ammonia is oxidized to hydroxylamine which would then be further oxidized to NO_2^- with NO as a co-substrate (Kozlowski et al., 2016). NO would be produced by the reduction of NO₂⁻ by a proposed nitrite reductase (NirK), which is highly expressed in most AOA, but whose role is still ambiguous as AOA do not perform nitrifier denitrification, unlike AOB (Wrage-Mönnig et al., 2018).

One important factor in niche differentiation of organisms is their substrate affinity, which is described either as reaction rate (ν) based on the K_m value (Eq. 1) or as specific growth rate (μ) based on the K_S value (Eq. 2). In a steady state the residual substrate concentration (S) remains constant over time.

$$v = \frac{v_{\text{max}}[S]}{K_m + [S]}$$
 Eq. 1

$$\mu = \frac{\mu_{\max}[S]}{K_S + [S]}$$
 Eq. 2

AOA are notoriously difficult to grow and produce only very little biomass and therefore most information about AOA is provided in the form of the apparent substrate affinity $(K_{m(app)})$, which is based on whole cell activity measurements of molecular oxygen (O₂) consumption in micro-respiratory chambers (Kits et al., 2017; Jung et al., 2022). Most AOA are considered oligotrophs and their $K_{m(app)}$ values range from $0.1-1 \,\mu\text{mol}\,L^{-1}\,NH_3$ and NH_4^+ for marine strains, $1-10 \,\mu\text{mol}\,L^{-1}$ for soil or thermophilic strains to $0.1-10\,\text{mmol}\,\text{L}^{-1}$ for the soil clade Nitrosocosmicus. Comammox have $K_{m(app)}$ in the range of $0.1-10\,\mu\text{mol}\,L^{-1}\,NH_3$ and NH_4^+ and are thus considered also oligotrophs while AOB are rather considered eutrophs with $K_{m(app)}$ between 0.1 and 100 mmol L⁻¹ NH₃ and NH₄⁺ (Jung et al., 2022). In an attempt to measure the K_s value of a fresh water AOA enrichment in batch cultures, no effect of the initial substrate concentration on µ was observed, suggesting that the K_s is much lower than the lowest tested concentration of about $15 \mu mol L^{-1} NH_4^+$ (French et al., 2021). In a chemostat experiment, N. maritimus was grown with $150 \mu mol L^{-1} NH_4^+$ and different dilution rates (D) of 0.010, 0.023 and 0.032 h^{-1} (μ_{max} is 0.036 h^{-1}) to investigate the influence of $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ on the lipid composition of the organism. NO₂⁻ concentration only varied by a maximum of 7% (Hurley et al., 2016) but no information was given about the residual substrate concentration.

Nitrososphaera viennensis was isolated from garden soil and grows optimal at 42°C (Stieglmeier et al., 2014) with the addition of pyruvate to scavenge reactive oxygen species (ROS) that are endogenously produced (Kim et al., 2016). Cell concentrations and μ are usually approximated by NO₂⁻ concentrations, as they have been shown to correlate well (Tourna et al., 2011; Stieglmeier et al., 2014). However, *N. viennensis* produces far too little biomass to measure optical density or dry cell weight.

As the different forms of cultivation systems are rarely discussed in the field of nitrification (except for waste water treatment plants), a short overview of the most commonly used systems shall be given here. In general, systems can be distinguished by the level of which they allow energy and matter to be transferred through them. Closed systems (transfer of energy but not matter) and open systems (transfer of energy and matter) are the extreme cases of reality and the isolated system (no transfer of energy or matter) serves as an important theoretical construct that can only be asymptotically approached by closed systems. Cultivation systems are characterized by the level of transfer of matter and in analogy, closed batch (e.g., serum flask with rubber stopper) is a closed system (Taubner and Rittmann, 2016; Mauerhofer et al., 2019), continuous culture (e.g., bioreactor with gassing and in- and outflow of medium) is an open system, with the openness of the system depending on the transfer rates. Open batch (e.g., Erlenmeyer flask with cotton plug, bioreactor with gassing) and fed-batch (e.g., bioreactor with gassing and inflow of medium) are open system intermediates in between the two extremes (Mauerhofer et al., 2019).

Batch systems are probably the most common cultivation systems used in microbiology, because they are very easy to set up and require little technological infrastructure. Closed batch is usually only used if an atmosphere different from air is required (Mauerhofer et al., 2019; Hanišáková et al., 2022). A major disadvantage of batch systems are the changing substrate concentrations that lead to a very heterogeneous biomass which can complicate analysis. Fed-batch systems consist of a shorter batch phase followed by a feed phase, where usually a concentrated feed medium is used to increase the biomass concentration but at the same time avoid substrate inhibition. By using an exponential feeding strategy μ can be kept constant and a relatively homogeneous biomass can be produced, as long as no product inhibition or other limitations hamper growth. In continuous culture systems a stable flow of medium is maintained after an initial batch phase and by changing the dilution rate (*D*, Eq. 3), different steady states can be established by changing the flow rate (*Q*) or the volume of the culture (*V*).

$$D = \frac{Q}{V}$$
 Eq. 3

A system is usually considered to be in a steady state after five volume exchanges (99.3% of medium exchanged) while all parameters are kept constant. As a result, the produced biomass is very homogeneous, because in a steady state μ is equal to *D*. Technically speaking, productivities of organisms that are grown in continuous culture are up to tenfold higher compared to batch systems (Herbert et al., 1956), because the system can be stably operated near μ_{max} and downtime for disassembly, sterilization and reassembly of the bioreactor becomes increasingly negligible with longer operation times. Continuous cultures are also excellent tools to study the physiology of microorganisms due to the high level of control and the extended periods of time a steady state can be maintained. A typical application is the determination of the K_s value by varying D and measuring the residual substrate concentration (S), thereby relating μ to S and thus allowing to calculate K_s when assuming Monod kinetics. However, biofilm formation, genetic adaptation or other factors might flaw the determination of such values with extended process runtimes.

In stirred tank reactors gas is usually supplied by a fumigation tube at the bottom of the reactor. The gas transfer rate, which is often a limiting factor for fast growing organisms, can be increased by, e.g., the gassing rate, stirrer speed and operating pressure (Rittmann et al., 2018; Pappenreiter et al., 2019). Due to the low μ_{max} and biomass concentration (*X*) of AOA the gas transfer rate is not a limiting factor but rather needs to be considered because of the possibility to strip important metabolic intermediates (like NO) from the system.

All cultivated AOA are chemolithoautotrophs and fix CO₂ by a modified version of the hydroxypropionate/hydroxybutyrate cycle (Könneke et al., 2014). For the cultivation of AOA and AOB in bioreactors CO₂ is usually supplied by air and NaHCO₃ that is used to titrate the pH and act as C-source (Hurley et al., 2016; Sedlacek et al., 2020; French et al., 2021). Given the fast reaction kinetics of the aqueous carbonate system, the liquid phase in a gassed reactor will tend to be in equilibrium with the supplied gas mix independently of NaHCO₃ titration (Wang et al., 2010).

The aim of this study was to investigate the growth behavior of *N. viennensis* in continuous culture systems to develop a biomass production process that would enable biochemical studies of the organism to eventually elucidate the energy metabolism of AOA. Growth conditions were optimized to ensure that only NH₃ acts as a limiting substrate while characterizing process parameters such as K_s , biomass to substrate yield (Y_(X/NH3)) and the critical dilution rate (D_{crit}) at which the organism will be washed out, for the bioprocess development. To further increase the biomass productivity per reactor volume we also established a continuous culture at a higher substrate concentration.

Materials and methods

Cultivation of Nitrososphaera viennensis

Nitrososphaera viennensis was routinely grown as batch cultures in 30 mL Quickstart universal containers (STERILIN) at 42°C in freshwater medium (FWM) (De La Torre et al., 2008; Tourna et al., 2011) amended with non-chelated trace element solution (MTE) (Könneke et al., 2005), 7.5 µmol L⁻¹ FeNaEDTA, $2 \text{ mmol } L^{-1}$ NH₄Cl, $2 \text{ mmol } L^{-1}$ NaHCO₃, 1 mmol L⁻¹ sodium pyruvate, and 10 mmol L⁻¹ HEPES buffer (final pH 7.5). Cultures used as inoculum for bio reactors were inoculated with 1% (v/v), but for regular culture maintenance 1:10⁶ (v/v) inoculum was used. Long term storage works best by aliquoting late exponential cultures without any additives and storing them at -70° C. For reviving the culture 5% (v/v) inoculum should be used and a lag phase of 1-2 days need to be expected. Growth was followed by measuring NO₂⁻ production and NH₃ consumption as described before (Tourna et al., 2011).

Batch

To elucidate the effect of gassing on µ, N. viennensis was grown in 2 L all-glass bioreactors (Eppendorf AG, Hamburg, Germany) filled with 1.5 L. The medium composition and conditions were the same as for batch cultures, except for omitting HEPES buffer, and the inoculum was 5% (v/v). The pH was controlled at pH 7.50 (\pm 0.05 deadband) using 0.5 mol L⁻¹ HCl and 0.5 mol L⁻¹ NaOH for titration. Dissolved molecular oxygen concentration (dO₂) was measured with optodes (Hamilton) and recorded but not controlled. Cultures were continuously stirred at a rate of 400 rpm and depending on the experiment not gassed (in- and off-gas clamped off), gassed with 2 sL L⁻¹ h⁻¹ air, gassed with 1 sL L⁻¹ h⁻¹ air or 1 sL L^{-1} h⁻¹ air/N₂ mix (12.6 Vol.-% O₂). In the last batch experiment cultures were gassed with 2 sL $L^{-1}\ h^{-1}$ air for 63 h, in- and off-gas clamped off and NO-donor 2,2'-(2-Hydroxy-2-nitrosohydrazinylidene) bis-ethanamine (DETA NONOate) added via a septum in different concentrations (0.15, 0.6 and 2.4 μ mol L⁻¹, sterile H₂O as control). After 25 h one reactor was gassed with 2 sL L^{-1} h^{-1} air, one with 2 sL L^{-1} h^{-1} 99% air 1% CO2 mixture, one was kept clamped off and one clamped off and 2 mmol L⁻¹ NaHCO₃ were added via a septum.

Chemostat

Chemostat cultures were grown like batch cultures but without NaHCO₃ in the medium, instead the cultures were gassed with an air/ CO₂ mix of 0.5, 1 or 2 Vol.-% CO₂ with 2 sL L⁻¹ h⁻¹ gassing rate. The culture volume was 1.5 L but had to be reduced to 1.1 L at the highest *D* of 0.07 h⁻¹ to not exceed the maximum pump rate of 100 mL h⁻¹. The chemostats were started in late exponential growth phase of the batch and due to the relatively low μ of the organism, the minimum volume exchanges for steady states, after every applied or observed change, were reduced from the usual five (99.3% (v/v) exchanged) to three exchanges (95.0% (v/v) exchanged) to speed up the experiment.

Peristaltic pumps were calibrated by weighing feed- and harvest bottles every day to avoid drifting over time and the reactor volume was corrected with every sampling. Deviations of the reactor volume were usually between 1 and 3%. Outliers of BR1 and BR2 at 1,271 h and 1,295 h were caused by technical issues of the outflow pumps after the reduction of the working volume to 1.1 L, causing a culture volume increase to about 2 L over night and thus a decrease in residual $\rm NH_4^+$. Outliers of BR2 at 1,343 h and 1,346 h were due to a ripped inflow pump tube, causing a temporary reduction of culture volume to about 0.9 L, a decrease in residual $\rm NH_4^+$ and a contamination with small rod-shaped microorganisms that was treated with kanamycin.

Washing experiment

To estimate the activity of the biofilm on the reactor walls, the planktonic cells were removed by draining the reactors and refilling them with fresh and sterile medium twice. At a working volume of 1.5 L and 7.5 mL remaining in the bioreactors, a dilution of the planktonic culture of 1:40,000 was achieved (43 nmol L⁻¹ NO₂⁻ remaining). After that the continuous cultures were started again with a *D* of 0.065 h⁻¹. During the washing procedure, precipitated carbonate detached from the acid/base/medium inlet in BR2 and started to scrape off the biofilm from the reactor wall, thereby creating a constant loss of biofilm to the system.

Fed-batch

The fed-batch experiment started with two batch cultures of 1.1 L gassed with an air/0.5 Vol.-% CO₂ mix of 2 sL L⁻¹ h⁻¹. The 400 mL feed medium contained 32 mmolL⁻¹ NH₄Cl (10 mmolL⁻¹ final), 4.75 mmolL⁻¹ pyruvate (2 mmolL⁻¹ final), 4.75x MTE (2x final), 4.75x FeNaEDTA (2x final) and was exponentially fed in the beginning to maintain a μ of 0.02 h⁻¹. Due to unreliable pump rates the feed mode was changed to linear with a feed rate of 2 mL h⁻¹. The pyruvate concentration in the feed medium for the continuous culture was increased to 5 mmol L⁻¹ to avoid pyruvate depletion which resulted in stagnating cell concentration during the fed-batch.

Sampling

Samples were taken from the bioreactors after the first 2 mL were discarded to remove old culture from the tubing. One micro liter aliquots were pipetted into 1.5 mL reaction tubes and centrifuged at 4°C for 30 min at 23,000 g in a benchtop centrifuge (Eppendorf Centrifuge 5424 R). Supernatant was removed and used directly for NO_2^- and NH_3 measurements while the cell pellets were stored at $-20^{\circ}C$ for DNA extraction.

Exchanging feed and harvest bottles

Basic FWM was autoclaved in 5 L Schott bottles and supplements were added in a laminar flow hood after room temperature was reached. The GL45 caps with tubing and $0.2 \,\mu$ m filter were always transferred from one bottle to the next, while keeping the inlet and outlet tubes clamped off. After attaching the bottles back to the reactor tubes *via* luer locks, the connections were put into beakers with boiling water for at least 10 min to reduce the contamination risk, before opening the clamps and restarting the pumps. While BR2 was slightly contaminated due to ripped feed pump tubing, BR1 remained clean over the whole run (103 days with 36 bottle exchanges). The contamination of BR2 occurred at 1,343 h and lasted at most up to 2,041 h.

DNA-extraction

DNA from cell pellets was extracted by bead beating using SDS-buffer, phenol/chloroform/isoamylalcohol [25:24:1 (v/v/v), Fisher BioReagents] and chloroform/isoamylalcohol [24:1 (v/v)] as already described elsewhere (Zhou et al., 1996; Griffiths et al., 2000; Rittmann and Holubar, 2014; Abby et al., 2018).

PCR

DNA samples were checked for bacterial contamination using the 16S rRNA primers Eubac27F 5'-AGA GTT TGA TCC TGG CTC AG-3' and Eubac1492R 5'-GGT TAC CTT GTT ACG ACT T-3'. PCR conditions were 95°C for 5 min as initialization, followed by 35 cycles of 30 s denaturing at 94°C, 30 s primer annealing at 55°C, 2 min elongation at 72°C, and finishing with final elongation at 72°C for 10 min. Reactions were done in 25 μ L containing 2 μ L sample, 0.15 μ L GoTaq Polymerase, 5 μ L 5x Flexi Buffer, 2 μ L MgCl₂ 25 mmol L⁻¹, 0.5 μ L dNTP 10 mmol L⁻¹, 0.25 μ L BSA 20 mg mL⁻¹, 1.25 μ L Primer F 10 μ mol L⁻¹, 1.25 μ L Primer R 10 μ mol L⁻¹, 1.26 μ L nuclease free water.

Quantitative PCR

The archaeal 16S rRNA gene was quantified to estimate the cell number using the primers Arch931F 5'-AGG AAT TGG CGG GGG AGC A-3' (Jackson et al., 2001) and Arch1100R 5'-BGG GTC TCG CTC GTT RCC-3' (Øvreås et al., 1997) in triplicate 20 µL reactions containing 10 µL qPCR Master Mix 2x (Luna Universal qPCR Master Mix, NEW ENGLAND BioLabs Inc.), $1 \,\mu$ mol L⁻¹ of each primer, nuclease free water and 4 µL DNA sample (diluted to have approximately 10 ng per reaction) or standard. Reactions were performed in a qPCR (BIO-RAD CFX Connect Real-Time System) with the following conditions: initialization at 95°C for 2 min, 40 cycles of 30s denaturing at 95°C, 30s joint annealing-extension at 60°C, and extension with fluorescence measurement at 60°C for 30s. The specificity of qPCR products was confirmed by melting curve analysis. Standards were prepared by amplifying the 16S rRNA gene of N. viennensis under the conditions as described above in a 50 μL reaction using 2 μL DNA template and the archaeal 16S rRNA primers A109F 5'-ACK GCT CAG TAA CAC GT-3' (Großkopf et al., 1998) and A1492R GYY ACC TTG TTA CGA CTT-3' (Nicol et al., 2008). PCR product was cleaned up using the Machery-Nagel DNA cleanup kit and DNA concentration was measured with Qubit[™] dsDNA BR Assay Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific) before preparing serial dilutions with nuclease free water. The standard curve had an efficiency of 93.38% and an R² of 0.999.

Extrapolating cell number and biomass from DNA concentration

DNA concentration of extracted samples was measured with QubitTM dsDNA HS Assay Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and several samples with concentrations ranging from 3.58 to

35.5 ng μ L⁻¹ were quantified by qPCR as described above. Using a linear regression model the mass of DNA was correlated to the cell number, assuming that each cell contained a single copy of the 16S rRNA gene. Using this model one copy of 16S rRNA correlates to 3.42 fg which is 25.6% more than the calculated mass of a *N. viennensis* genome of 2.72 fg (2.52 Mbp genome size). Assuming a cell diameter of 0.75 μ m (0.6–0.9 μ m cell diameter) and a density of 1.1 g cm⁻³ a theoretical *N. viennensis* cell would weight 2.43 \cdot 10⁻¹³ g or 243 fg.

Harvesting of biomass

The outflow of the continuous cultures was collected in sterile 5L bottles and usually harvested once a week by concentrating the biomass using a tubular centrifuge (CEPA) at 40,000 rpm and subsequent centrifugation of the cell concentrate in a bucket centrifuge (Thermo SCIENTIFIC Sorvall LYNX 4000 Centrifuge) at 4°C, 24,470 g for 40 min. The pellets were resuspended in a small amount of supernatant and split up into pre-weighted 2 mL reaction tubes which were centrifuged in a benchtop centrifuge (Eppendorf Centrifuge 5424 R) at 4°C for 30 min at 23,000 g. Supernatant was removed, reaction tubes weighed and stored at -70° C.

Equations

Active biofilm estimation

To estimate the amount of biofilm biomass contributing to nitrification, biofilm BR1 was modeled as a continuously stirred tank reactor (CSTR) at the final time point taken. BR1 was chosen based off of its relative stability compared to BR2. The change of substrate with relation to time can be described using the following equation:

$$V\frac{dS}{dt} = QS_i - QS + r_f A_f L_f + r_S V$$
 Eq. 4

Where *V* is the volume of the reactor, *Q* is the flow rate, S_i is the concentration of ammonium in the inflow, *S* is the concentration of ammonium in the outflow, r_s represents the reaction of ammonium to nitrite by the planktonic cells, r_f represents the reaction of ammonium to nitrite by biofilm cells, A_f is the surface area of the reactor covered by biofilm, and L_f is the height of the biofilm. The volume of the biofilm is assumed to be negligible when compared to the planktonic volume (and therefore total volume) of the reactor system.

The reaction of ammonium to nitrite $(mmol\,L^{-1}\,\,h^{-1})$ can be represented by:

$$r = \frac{-1}{Y_{XS}} \mu X$$
 Eq. 5

Where $Y_{(X/NH3)}$ represents the yield of *N. viennensis* biomass from ammonia oxidation (mg biomass/mmol ammonium), μ is the specific growth rate (h⁻¹), and *X* represents the respective biomass concentration (mg/L; planktonic or biofilm).

Substituting the reaction rate into the substrate mass balance:

$$V\frac{dS}{dt} = Q(S_i - S) + \left(\frac{-1}{Y_{XS}}\mu_f X_f A_f L_f\right) + \left(\frac{-1}{Y_{XS}}\mu XV\right) \qquad \text{Eq. 6}$$

Assuming steady state (dS/dt=0), a μ that is the same for both biofilm and planktonic cells $(\mu_f = \mu)$, and the volume of the biofilm to be surface area multiplied by height $(A_fL_f = V_f)$, Equation 6 simplifies to:

$$0 = Q(S_i - S) + \left(\frac{-1}{Y_{XS}}\mu X_f V_f\right) + \left(\frac{-1}{Y_{XS}}\mu XV\right)$$
 Eq. 7

The exact volume of the biofilm is unknown due to the unknown height. Assuming a homogenous biofilm and equilibrium between the biofilm and planktonic biomass, the total amount of biomass (mg) contributing to nitrification from the biofilm can be determined by solving Equation 7 for $X_f V_f$:

$$X_f V_f = \frac{-Y_{XS}}{\mu} Q(S - S_i) - XV$$
 Eq. 8

The right side of the equation is now represented by known variables when using BR1: $Y_{(X/NH3)} = 15 \text{ mg}$ biomass/mmol ammonium (calculated from batch cultures (assumption for calculations)); $\mu = 0.048 \text{ h}^{-1}$; $Q = 0.0975 \text{ Lh}^{-1}$ (set parameter); $S_i = 2 \text{ mmol}$ ammonium/L (set parameter); S = 0.3295 mmol ammonium/L (measured in effluent); X = 13.3 mg biomass/L (measured in effluent); V = 1.5 L (set parameter).

Results

Effect of gassing rate and in-gas flow composition in batch and closed batch experiments

Initial experiments of N. viennensis grown as batch cultures in 2L bioreactors showed that gassing with air had a detrimental effect on μ even at low gassing rates such as 2 sL L⁻¹ h⁻¹ (0.0276 h⁻¹) when compared to cultures without gassing (0.0445 h⁻¹, Supplementary Figure S1). In non-gassed cultures dO2 decreased to about 50% of its initial concentration. Therefore, growth under decreased gassing rate and reduced dO₂ was tested. As shown in Supplementary Figure S2 reducing the gassing rate to 1 sL $L^{-1}\ h^{-1}$ did not increase μ (0.0267 $h^{-1})$ and reducing dO_2 to about 60% did decrease μ to $0.0205\,h^{-1}\!,$ which contradicted the results of the first experiment. Due to the hypothesized importance of NO for the archaeal ammonia oxidation pathway (Kozlowski et al., 2016) we decided to test if the reduction of μ is a result of stripping NO from the system by gassing. As shown in Figure 1, addition of the NO-donor 2,2'-(2-Hydroxy-2-nitrosohydrazinylidene) bis-ethanamine (DETA NONOate) to early exponential phase cultures $(300 \,\mu\text{mol}\,\text{L}^{-1}\,\text{NO}_2^{-}\text{ produced})$ did not show any effect on recovering μ . However, adding 1 Vol.-% CO₂ to the in-gas or 2 mmol L⁻¹ NaHCO₃ to the culture medium, and keeping the bioreactor closed did increase µ from $0.0259 \pm 0.0002 \,h^{-1}$ to $0.0338 \,h^{-1}$ and $0.0395 \,h^{-1}$, respectively. Gassing with air or keeping the reactor closed did not change µ $(0.0260 h^{-1})$ or even reduced it $(0.0234 h^{-1})$, respectively. Hence, the above results showed that the organism was carbon limited likely due to the stripping of CO₂ from the supplied gassing.



FIGURE 1

Effect of NO-donor and CO₂ on μ . Four 1.5 L batch cultures grown in 2 L bioreactors gassed with 2 sL L⁻¹ h⁻¹ air (*n*=1). The upward arrow indicates the addition of different amounts of the NO-donor 2,2'-(2-Hydroxy-2-nitrosohydrazinylidene)bis-ethanamine (DETA NONOate) to early exponential cultures, after which gassing was stopped and reactors clamped off to prevent gas exchange. As no effect on the nitrite production was observed, cultures were tested for CO₂ limitation indicated by the downward arrow. One culture was gassed again with air, one with air plus 1% CO₂, one was kept closed and one was kept closed but with the addition of 2mmol L⁻¹ NaHCO₃. Addition of CO₂ to the in-gas or NaHCO₃ to the culture medium increased μ , while gassing with air or keeping the reactor closed did not change or reduce μ , respectively.

To determine μ_{max} in closed batch systems, *N. viennensis* was grown in serum flasks with 0.5 Vol.-% CO₂ and different dO₂ concentrations (Supplementary Figure S3). A slight increase of μ was observed with decreasing dO₂ from 0.0484 ± 0.0004 h⁻¹ to 0.0508 ± 0.0004 h⁻¹at 21 Vol.-% to 5 Vol.-% O₂, respectively (224.7 to 53.5 μ mol L⁻¹ dO₂ considering a pressure of 1,106 hPa and an incubation temperature of 42°C). Due to the minor effect of dO₂ on μ , 21 Vol.-% O₂ was used to gas the following cultures and a μ_{max} of 0.0484 h⁻¹ is therefore considered. Moreover, by using an inoculum of 1:10⁶ (v/v), μ was increased from 0.024 h⁻¹ (Stieglmeier et al., 2014) to 0.048 h⁻¹ (data not shown).

Continuous culture experiments at high dilution rates

To determine the K_s and D_{crit} of N. viennensis eight different D (0.035, 0.038, 0.042, 0.046, 0.050, 0.060, 0.065, and $0.070 h^{-1}$) were applied in two bioreactors. As shown in Figure 2, at D 0.035 h^{-1} S stabilized at an unexpectedly high level around 750 µmol L⁻¹ NH₄⁺ and increasing D further only had a marginal effect on S. In section A (D 0.035 to $0.050 h^{-1}$, 382 to 990 h) S stabilized at $801.9 \pm 43.2 \mu mol L^{-1}$ NH₄⁺. Activity of both cultures spontaneously increased 58 h after D was set to $0.050 h^{-1}$ (94.5% of medium exchanged) and S decreased to $575.2 \pm 21.2 \mu mol L^{-1}$ NH₄⁺. The increase of D to $0.060 h^{-1}$ was responsible for the abrupt increase of S to $690.1 \pm 29.9 \mu mol L^{-1}$ NH₄⁺ which gradually decreased, despite negative outliers, to

 $456.2 \pm 7.9 \,\mu\text{mol } \text{L}^{-1} \text{ NH}_{4}^{+}$. Overall S stabilized at $541.6 \pm 68.6 \,\mu\text{mol } \text{L}^{-1}$ $NH_{4^{+}}$ in section B (D = 0.050 to 0.070 h⁻¹, 1,032 to 1,654 h) and negative outliers at 1,271, 1,295, 1,343, and 1,346 h were due to technical issues (see Materials and Methods). Another spontaneous increase of activity occurred in both cultures 11 days after D was set to 0.070 h⁻¹ (18 working volume exchanges), which first led to a complete consumption of NH₄⁺ in both cultures but subsequently two different S stabilized. As shown in section C of Figure 2 (D = 0.070 and $0.065 h^{-1}$, 1,796 to 2,035 h), S of the BR1 culture stabilized at $262.2 \pm 19.9 \,\mu\text{mol}\,L^{-1}$ NH₄⁺ and at $7.4\pm11.1\,\mu mol\,L^{-1}\,NH_4{}^+$ for the BR2 culture. From section C to section D ($D 0.065 h^{-1}$, 2,062 to 2,402 h) the reactor volume was increased to minimize the effect of sampling larger volumes which caused S of BR1 to increase from 258 to $356 \mu mol L^{-1} NH_4^+$ (ratio of concentrations matches the ratio of volumes). S of BR2 gradually increased from $4.1 \,\mu\text{mol}\,L^{-1}\,NH_4^+$ to $375.0 \,\mu\text{mol}\,L^{-1}\,NH_4^+$ probably as a result of the disturbances caused by the repeated sampling process.

The cell concentrations declined gradually with *D* from $7.67 \cdot 10^7 \pm 2.11 \cdot 10^7$ cells mL⁻¹ at D = 0.035 h⁻¹ to $4.36 \cdot 10^7 \pm 2.18 \cdot 10^6$ cells mL⁻¹ at D = 0.046 h⁻¹ (549 to 932 h). μ calculated from measured cell concentrations as shown in Supplementary Figure S4 gradually increased with increasing *D* from 0.0362 ± 0.0011 h⁻¹ at D = 0.035 h⁻¹ to 0.0437 ± 0.0007 h⁻¹ at D = 0.046 and after the activity increase at *D* 0.050 h⁻¹ μ increased further to 0.0505 ± 0.0003 h⁻¹ (1,107 h). Cell concentrations remained surprisingly stable from D = 0.050 to 0.060 h⁻¹ at $4.13 \cdot 10^7 \pm 5.30 \cdot 10^6$ cells mL⁻¹ (1,032 to 1,419 h) and declined to $3.08 \cdot 10^7 \pm 7.06 \cdot 10^5$ cells mL⁻¹ at D = 0.070 h⁻¹ (1,654 h) before the second activity increase, after which cell concentrations of sections A to *D* are shown in Supplementary Figure S5. K_S and D_{crit} could not be determined due to the unusual growth behavior and biofilm formation, respectively.

Ammonia oxidizing activity of biofilm

Over the course of the experiment a biofilm had formed gradually on the reactor walls. To estimate its contribution to the gross activity, the medium was aseptically removed from the reactors, the reactors were washed once with sterile medium and then refilled with fresh medium to restart the continuous culture at $D = 0.065 \,h^{-1}$. Only a residual NO₂⁻ concentration of 43 nmol L⁻¹ should have been present at the restart of the continuous culture but instead a starting NO₂⁻ concentration of about 100 µmol L⁻¹ was measured in both reactors. As shown in Figure 3 within 2 days the same steady state as before the washing step was recovered in both reactors.

Almost no change in planktonic cell concentration of BR1 was observed after the washing step and the concentration remained stable afterwards between $4.91 \cdot 10^7$ and $6.19 \cdot 10^7$ cells mL⁻¹. In BR2 the cell concentration increased after the washing step from $3.31 \cdot 10^7 \pm 1.09 \cdot 10^6$ to $1.43 \cdot 10^8 \pm 2.35 \cdot 10^7$ cells mL⁻¹ and increased further to $2.43 \cdot 10^8 \pm 6.40 \cdot 10^7$ cells mL⁻¹ within the next 3 h as a result of precipitate being washed off of the inlet and subsequently scraping off biofilm from the reactor wall. The cell concentration remained rather stable from there for 36 h up to a concentration of $2.80 \cdot 10^8 \pm 6.88 \cdot 10^7$ cells mL⁻¹ and decreased to $1.69 \cdot 10^8 \pm 1.73 \cdot 10^7$ cells mL⁻¹ within the following 27 h.

Thus the biofilm was not only highly active but also contributed considerably to the planktonic cell concentration in the bioreactors by seeding cells. Even though BR2 lost substantial biofilm biomass it still had comparable $\rm NH_3$ oxidizing activity to BR1 in which the biofilm was retained.



(CN), NO2⁻ derived µ, NH4⁺ and NO2⁻ concentrations of the cultures grown in the corresponding reactors BR1 and BR2. Cultures were gassed with 1% CO2 enriched air at 2 sL L⁻¹ h⁻¹ gassing rate, except for the period marked in dark grey where the gassing rate was temporarily increased to 10 sL L⁻¹ h⁻¹. The black dashed line indicates the increase to 2% CO₂ in the in-gas. Sections A to D signify regions where NH_4^+ concentrations stabilized, even though D was increased. Spontaneous increases of activity happened between section A and B and section B and C without external influences. Increase of NH₄⁺ of BR1 from section C to D was due to an increase of reactor working volume and the increase of NH₄⁺ of BR2 in section D was probably induced by taking larger sampling volumes. The asterisk indicates the point of contamination of BR2 due to a ripped pump tube and the plus sign indicates the point at which the contamination was no longer detectable by PCR of the bacterial 16S rRNA gene. NH4⁺ and NO2⁻ curves show mean values of technical triplicates and error bars represent the standard deviation of the mean. Points with small standard deviation may not have visivle error bars.

Estimation of active biofilm biomass in BR1

Based on a substrate mass balance and assuming a steady state at time point 2,402 h (Figure 2), 30.9 mg of N. viennensis biomass is contributing to nitrification in the biofilm (see Materials and Methods). This represents approximately 1.55 times as much biomass as would be found in the planktonic phase of the reactor. While this number represents the amount of actively nitrifying biomass, it is plausible that the biofilm itself is much more in terms of weight that could be represented by extrapolymer substances (EPS), precipitated bicarbonate, and cells that are potentially inactive due to substrate limitation. While these calculations demonstrate a significant portion (over half) of the nitrification activity is coming from the biofilm, this number could change if it is determined that certain growth parameters, such as the $Y_{(X/NH3)}$ and μ , are differing between the planktonic and biofilm phases. However, within the presented model, the biofilm is affecting the amount of NH4⁺ oxidized to NO2⁻ and could help explain the NO₂⁻ productivity within these bioreactors. A large portion of activity from the biofilm is also expected due to NO₂⁻ being produced even though D is higher than the predicted μ_{max} . The combined ammonium oxidation of planktonic cells and biofilm, and planktonic cells being seeded from the biofilm, could explain the absence of an expected cellular washout of the reactor.

Estimation of biofilm biomass in BR2

An estimate for the total biofilm biomass was obtained from BR2 under the assumption that all biofilm from the bioreactor wall had been scraped off during the biofilm activity experiment. By summing up all cells washed out and subtracting the cells produced during the time of the experiment, the biofilm biomass at the start can be estimated. To assess the amount of cells produced, the total amount of substrate consumed was calculated and then multiplied by the estimated Y_(X/NH3). Total cells washed out was calculated as the area under the curve from 2,402 h to 2,471 h (Figure 3) after converting time to volume using the set flow rate. Total ammonia consumed was calculated by subtracting residual ammonia (area under the curve) from total supplied ammonia during the given time span. Using a $Y_{(X/\rm NH3)}$ of 15 mg mmol $^{-1}$ $\rm NH_4^+$ and an estimated mass per cell of $2.3{\cdot}10^{-13}~g~cell^{-1}$ (see Materials and Methods) the amount of cells produced during the time span was calculated to be 167.77 mg. The mass of total cells washed out was calculated to be 378.16 mg. Subtracting produced biomass from total washed out biomass gives an estimate biofilm mass of 210.49 mg. Assuming the amount of biofilm in BR2 from the washout experiment to be representative of the amount of biofilm in BR1 at the end of the chemostat experiment (Figure 2), this data can be combined with the active biofilm estimation (30.9 mg, BR1) calculation to determine the percentage of active biomass in the biofilm. According to these estimations and under the assumption that BR1 and BR2 produced



FIGURE 3

Ammonia oxidizing activity of biofilm. Bioreactors of continuous cultures grown at high *D* were washed, refilled with sterile medium and operated at *D* 0.065 h⁻¹ to estimate the activity of the biofilm which had formed on the reactor walls due to the prolonged cultivation time. NH_3 oxidation started right away and within 2 days the same steady state as before the washing step was recovered in both reactors. Cell number in BR1 was almost unaffected by the washing step, indicating that most planktonic cells were seeded by the biofilm. The steep increase of the cell number in BR2 was due to biomass being scraped off from the reactor wall by precipitate that had formed at the inlet and was washed off during the washing step. Both reactors exhibited very comparable NH_3 oxidizing activities despite the fact that BR2 lost a considerable amount of its biofilm biomass as it was washed out with the effluent. NH_4^+ , NO_2^- , and cell number of BR2 curves show mean values of technical triplicates and error bars represent the standard deviation of the mean. Points with small standard deviation may not have visible error bars.

similar amounts of biofilm, only about 14.67% of the cells in the biofilm would have been active.

This percentage should be taken as a conservative estimate as it would be lower if not all biofilm was removed from the reactor during this time period. Biofilm that was observed during takedown or BR2 would indicate this to be a possibility barring the production of new biofilm during the washout experiment.

Continuous culture experiments at low dilution rates

To get the full picture of how D effects S, a new continuous culture run was set up with 0.5 Vol.-% CO₂ enriched air to reduce the precipitation of CO₃²⁻ both at the inlets and in the medium. Initial D was set at 0.01 h⁻¹ and all substrate was consumed. As shown in Figure 4 an increase in D to 0.020 h⁻¹ resulted in a temporary increase of S to 11 and 44 µmol L⁻¹ for BR3 and BR4 respectively, after which S returned to 0 µmol L⁻¹. Then CO₂ was increased to 2 Vol.-% at 96 h after D was increased, which surprisingly induced an increase of S in BR3 with a 55.5 h delay. S in BR3 slowly started to increase from 17 to finally 192 µmol L⁻¹ whereas in BR4 S concentrations increased up to 95 µmol L⁻¹ but only 216 h after the CO₂ was increased. The reduction of CO₂ to 0.5 Vol.-% caused an immediate consumption of all NH₄⁺ in both reactors (553.5 to 577 h). Increasing D to 0.030 h⁻¹ increased S in both reactors, but at this time BR4 showed higher S than BR3.

further increase of *D* to 0.040 h^{-1} induced an extended increase of *S* similar to the behavior seen at *D* 0.035 h^{-1} .

Cell concentrations remained rather stable over the whole run from D of $0.010 h^{-1}$ to $0.030 h^{-1}$ at $6.94 \cdot 10^7 \pm 1.02 \cdot 10^7$ cells mL⁻¹. Only one strong increase from $7.24 \cdot 10^7$ cells mL⁻¹ to $1.23 \cdot 10^8$ cells mL⁻¹ was observed in BR4 directly after decreasing the CO₂ concentration of the in-gas from 2 Vol.-% to 0.5 Vol.-%. However, the cell concentration decreased again to $7.77 \cdot 10^7$ cells mL⁻¹ before increasing D from $0.020 h^{-1}$ to $0.030 h^{-1}$ (Figure 4). The amount of CO₂ in the gas phase seems to affect *S*. Depending on the CO₂ concentration of 0.5 Vol.-% and 2 Vol.-% in the gas phase *S* starts to accumulate at D of $0.030 h^{-1}$ or $0.020 h^{-1}$, respectively.

Continuous cultures at high ammonia concentrations

Before starting a continuous culture with higher substrate concentrations, the inhibitory effect of NO_2^- was determined by batch cultures in 30 mL polystyrene tubes with varying NO_2^- starting concentrations of 0 to 19 mmol L⁻¹ and 1 mmol L⁻¹ NH₄⁺. NO₂⁻ showed only a weak linear inhibitory effect on μ with 0.0342 to 0.0411 h⁻¹ for 19 and 0 mmol L⁻¹ NO₂⁻ starting concentrations, respectively (Supplementary Figure S6).

The bioreactor experiment commenced with a $2 \text{ mmol } L^{-1} \text{ NH}_4^+$ batch, followed by a fed-batch to increase NO_2^- up to $10 \text{ mmol } L^{-1}$ and then switching into continuous mode to see the effect of *D* on cell number and *S*. The NH_4^+ to pyruvate ratio was changed in the feed from 2 to 5 to avoid



Continuous cultures of *N. viennensis* at low *D*. Two continuous cultures operated at *D* from 0.010 h⁻¹ to 0.040 h⁻¹ and the development of cell number (CN), NO₂⁻ derived μ , NH₄⁺ and NO₂⁻ concentrations of the cultures grown in the corresponding reactors BR3 and BR4. Cultures were gassed with 2 sL L⁻¹ h⁻¹ 0.5% CO₂ enriched air, except for the gray area where CO₂ was increased to 2%. The elevated CO₂ concentration induced an increase of NH₄⁺ with a delay of 55.5 h and 216 h in BR3 and BR4, respectively. NH₄⁺ was consumed again right after decreasing CO₂ back to 0.5% which coincided with a temporary increase of the cell number in BR4. NH₄⁺ and NO₂⁻ curves show mean values of technical triplicates and error bars represent the standard deviation of the mean. Points with small standard deviation may not have visible error bars.

excessive pyruvate concentrations that would promote bacterial growth in case of a contamination. For up to 6mmolL⁻¹ NO₂⁻ the cell number correlated very well with the NO2⁻ concentration but then the cell number stagnated in both reactors even though NH3 was still oxidized. Pyruvate was added to the reactors at the end of the fed-batch to increase the concentration from 2 mmol L⁻¹ to 5 mmol L⁻¹ and the feed media for the continuous cultures were also adjusted to $10 \,\mathrm{mmol}\,\mathrm{L}^{-1}\,\mathrm{NH}_4\mathrm{Cl}$ and $5 \,\mathrm{mmol}\,\mathrm{L}^{-1}$ pyruvate. Initial D was 0.006 h⁻¹ to allow the cultures to recover but was further decreased to 0.005 h⁻¹ to reduce the increase of S. In both cultures S appeared to be drifting, which means that μ (based on NO₂⁻ concentration) was always lower than D but still increased with increasing D (Figure 5). With such low D the residence time would have been far too long to wait for three volume exchanges to establish steady states (25 days at $D = 0.005 h^{-1}$). D was increased incrementally after at least 200h from 0.005 to 0.006, 0.008, 0.010 and $0.012 h^{-1}$. S eventually stabilized around $5 \text{ mmol } L^{-1}$ at $D = 0.01 h^{-1}$ and an increase of D to 0.012h⁻¹ did not further increase S. The cell number increased in both reactors from $1.76\cdot 10^8$ cells mL^{-1} and $1.88\cdot 10^8$ cells mL^{-1} at the beginning of the continuous phase up to $3.22 \cdot 10^8$ cells mL⁻¹ and $2.62 \cdot 10^8$ cells mL⁻¹ for BR5 and BR6, respectively, after D was increased to 0.06 h⁻¹ and from there gradually decreased to about $2.00 \cdot 10^8$ at $D = 0.01 \text{ h}^{-1}$ (Figure 5).

Even though very low D were used in this continuous culture experiments, surprisingly high NH₄⁺ concentrations were measured. Once *S* stabilized, increases of D did not result in an increase of *S* as observed before with 2 mmol L⁻¹ cultures. The NH₄Cl to pyruvate ratio should remain 2:1 to ensure ROS detoxification.

Quantitative physiological analysis of growth parameters

For the following analysis only steady states below $D = 0.050 \text{ h}^{-1}$ were considered, as we expect the results at and beyond this D to be heavily influenced by biofilm formation. Data points at $D = 0.0124 \,h^{-1}$ and $0.0146 \,h^{-1}$ are from three different bioreactors that were used for lab scale biomass production (data not shown).

As shown in Figure 6A the cell number of *N. viennensis* increased slightly with *D* from $5.84 \cdot 10^7 \pm 1.19 \cdot 10^7$ cells mL⁻¹ at D = 0.010 h⁻¹ up to $7.67 \cdot 10^7 \pm 2.11 \cdot 10^7$ cells mL⁻¹ at D = 0.035 h⁻¹ from where it decreased linearly with *D* to $4.36 \cdot 10^7 \pm 2.18 \cdot 10^6$ cells mL⁻¹ at D = 0.046 h⁻¹.

NH₄⁺ was completely consumed up to D = 0.020 h⁻¹ by cultures gassed with 0.5 Vol.-% CO₂. For 2 Vol.-% CO₂ gassed cultures *S* started to accumulate at D = 0.020 h⁻¹ to $138 \pm 58.9 \,\mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$ and increased steeply up to $745.5 \pm 27.6 \,\mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$ NH₄⁺ at D = 0.035 h⁻¹ where it began to stagnate and reach a maximum of $850.0 \pm 11.6 \,\mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$ at D = 0.046 h⁻¹. Despite the early onset of increasing *S*, the cell concentration remained surprisingly stable up to D = 0.035 h⁻¹. Conversely, while the cell number was decreasing with *D* from 0.035 to 0.046 h⁻¹, *S* increased only marginally, thus changes in *S* appeared to be not reflected in the cell number and vice versa.

NO₂⁻ and cell productivities (P_{NO2}, P_C) are shown in Figure 6B. P_{NO2} increased logarithmically from *D* of 0.010 to 0.020 h⁻¹ and from there on in a linear way up to 49.6±0.3 μmol L⁻¹ h⁻¹ at *D* = 0.046 h⁻¹. P_C increases linearly from 5.84·10⁵ ±1.19·10⁵ cells mL⁻¹ h⁻¹ at *D* = 0.010 h⁻¹ up to 2.69·10⁶ ±7.39·10⁵ cells mL⁻¹ h⁻¹ at *D* = 0.035 h⁻¹ from which point it started to decline to 2.00·10⁶ ±1.00·10⁵ cells mL⁻¹ h⁻¹ at *D* = 0.046 h⁻¹. As a result of a variable Y_(X/NH3), P_{NO2} and P_C do not correlate in a fixed 1:1 ratio as would be expected. For comparison P_{NO2} and P_C of 10 mmol L⁻¹ cultures at *D* = 0.012 h⁻¹ are also shown in Figure 6C with 53.6±0.9 μmol L⁻¹ h⁻¹ and 2.61·10⁶ ±2.30·10⁵ cells mL⁻¹ h⁻¹, respectively. The highest P_C was reached at *D* = 0.035 h⁻¹ which is therefore the optimal *D* for biomass production for *N. viennensis*.

As shown in Figure 6C an average $Y_{(X/NH3)}$ of $3.36 \cdot 10^7 \pm 5.77 \cdot 10^6$ cells μ mol⁻¹ L⁻¹ was determined from the batch cultures before changing into continuous mode. $Y_{(X/NH3)}$ from steady states at *D* of 0.010 to 0.030 h⁻¹



Continuous cultures of *N. viennensis* at high ammonia concentrations. Two continuous cultures gassed with 2 sL L⁻¹ h⁻¹ 0.5% CO₂ enriched air, operated at D = 0.005 h⁻¹ to 0.012 h⁻¹ and the development of cell number (CN), NO₂⁻ derived μ , NH₄⁺ and NO₂⁻ concentrations of the cultures grown in the corresponding reactors BR5 and BR6. Batch cultures were grown with 2 mmol L⁻¹ NH₄Cl and 1 mmol L⁻¹ pyruvate and then fed with medium containing 32 mmol L⁻¹ NH₄Cl and 4.75 mmol L⁻¹ pyruvate to reach a final concentrations of 10 mmol L⁻¹ NH₄Cl and 2 mmol L⁻¹ pyruvate. The relative reduction of pyruvate to NH₄Cl caused a stagnation of the cell number, for which reason pyruvate was added to the cultures at the end of the feed phase (indicated by the arrow) to increase the concentration to 5 mmol L⁻¹. Cell number increased as a result of ROS detoxification by pyruvate, but started to decrease again as NH₄⁺ increased. Once NH₄⁺ stabilized at D = 0.010 h⁻¹ increasing D further did not result in an increase of NH₄⁺ and NO₂⁻ curves show mean values of technical triplicates and error bars represent the standard deviation of the mean. Points with small standard deviation may not have visible error bars.

or 10 mmol L⁻¹ NH₄Cl cultures (*D* from 0.005 to 0.012 h⁻¹) were well within this range, but from D = 0.035 h⁻¹, $Y_{(X/NH3)}$ were highly elevated with $6.12 \cdot 10^7 \pm 1.68 \cdot 10^7$ cells μ mol⁻¹ L⁻¹ and decreased to $3.79 \cdot 10^7 \pm 1.90 \cdot 10^6$ cells μ mol⁻¹ L⁻¹ at D = 0.046 h⁻¹. Cultures at *D* of 0.020 h⁻¹ gassed with 0.5 Vol.-% and 2 Vol.-% CO₂ had very much the same $Y_{(X/NH3)}$ with $3.82 \cdot 10^7 \pm 1.96 \cdot 10^6$ cells μ mol⁻¹ L⁻¹ and $3.86 \cdot 10^7 \pm 3.34 \cdot 10^5$ cells μ mol⁻¹ L⁻¹, respectively. While $Y_{(X/NH3)}$ of steady states at low *D* are comparable to batch cultures, at higher *D*, $Y_{(X/NH3)}$ increased up to 81.7% (D = 0.035 h⁻¹) compared to batch cultures.

Discussion

The substrate affinity, together with μ , are very important parameters for understanding the ecological strategy of an organism (r- and *k*-strategists). Due to their very high substrate affinity and low μ , AOA are regarded as typical k-strategists but the growth behavior of N. viennensis observed in this study can not sufficiently be described with Monod kinetics or more sophisticated models like the Briggs-Haldane model. The strong increase of *S* at $D = 0.035 h^{-1}$ would indicate that *D* was already close to μ_{max} , but then *S* should have increased right after the start of the continuous culture and further increases of D should have resulted in even stronger increases of S. Instead S plateaued around $800 \,\mu\text{mol}\,\text{L}^{-1}\,\text{NH}_4^+$ while the cell number decreased with D increasing up to 0.046 h⁻¹. An explanation for this behavior would be the formation of a biofilm that would retain cells in the reactor, but at the same time decrease planktonic cell concentrations. Thus, the activity of the whole system would increase while at the same time the measured cell concentrations would decrease, which describes the results. The stable S concentrations and sposntaneous but isochronal activity increases are difficult to integrate into this explanation. Only very strong increases of D like from $0.050 \,h^{-1}$ to $0.060 \,h^{-1}$ and further to $0.070 \,h^{-1}$ caused S to increase temporarily while cell concentrations remained surprisingly stable – considering a μ_{max} of 0.048 h⁻¹ for *N. viennensis*. At $D > \mu_{max}$ cells should usually be washed out over time, but due to the seeding of cells by the biofilm this phenomenon was not observed. The linear decrease of *S* at $D = 0.060 \text{ h}^{-1}$ might be the result of an increase in active biofilm biomass, that reaches its limits at $D = 0.070 \,\mathrm{h^{-1}}$. This would explain the very stable concentrations of S after the spontaneous activity increase. These increases of activity might be induced by quorum sensing and be dependent on cell density in the biofilm, which should gradually increase with time until a maximum is reached. This would provide a robust principle, which could explain the synchronistic nature of this very unusual physiological phenomenon. The mechanistic principle of how the cells are able to increase their substrate affinity abruptly could be explained by the expression of multiple amoC genes, of which the genome of N. viennensis contains six, which is likely to be the subunit that contains the catalytic center of the AMO protein complex. This assumption is based on recent findings of a bacterial particulate methane monooxygenase (Ross et al., 2019).

In addition, there seems to be another regulatory element in the growth behavior of *N. viennensis* that was observed with $2 \text{ mmol } \text{L}^{-1}$ and $10 \text{ mmol } \text{L}^{-1}$ NH₄⁺ continuous cultures. At a certain *D* the organism consumes NH₃ at a slightly lower rate than provided, thus slowly increasing *S* until it finally stabilizes at roughly 50% of *S_i*. Once stabilized, *S* only marginally increases with *D* unless very strong changes are induced. This phenomenon appears to be linked to an increase in Y_(X/NH3), which seems to be highly elevated at *D* > 0.030 h⁻¹. However,



FIGURE 6

Quantitative analysis of physiological growth parameters. (A) Cell number and *S* in response to different *D* and CO₂ concentrations. The dashed line shows the theoretical development of *S* with increasing *D* assuming Monod kinetics, K_s equal to K_{mlappl} of 5.4 µmol L⁻¹ and μ_{max} of 0.048 h⁻¹, illustrating the difference to the observed growth behavior of *N*. *viennensis*. NH₄⁺ and cell number curves show the mean values of biological duplicates, of which each NH₄⁺ value consists of technical triplicates (samples taken at different time points), and error bars represent the standard deviation of the mean. (B) Nitrite (P_{NO2}) and cell productivity (P_C) of 2 and 10 mmol L⁻¹ NH₄Cl continuous cultures at different *D* and CO₂ concentrations. P_{NO2} and P_C neither correlate in a fixed 1:1 ratio as would be expected for 0.5% nor 2% CO₂ gassed cultures, indicating a variable Y_{0XINH3} . P_{NO2} and P_C points show mean values of biological duplicates and CO₂ concentrations. P_{NO2} and P_C neither correlate in a fixed 1:1 ratio as would be expected for 0.5% nor 2% CO₂ gassed cultures, indicating a variable Y_{0XINH3} . P_{NO2} and P_C points show mean values of biological duplicates, triplicates for *D* of 0.0124 h⁻¹ and 0.0146 h⁻¹, and error bars represent the standard deviation of the mean. (C) Y_{0XINH3} in response to different *D* and CO₂ concentrations. Y_{0XINH3} points show mean values of biological duplicates, triplicates for *D* = 0.0124 h⁻¹ and 0.0146 h⁻¹, and error bars represent the standard deviation of the mean. C) Y_{0XINH3} points show mean values of biological duplicates, triplicates for *D* = 0.0124 h⁻¹ and 0.0146 h⁻¹, and error bars represent the standard deviation of the mean. Points with small standard deviation may not have visible error bars.

we must state that we analyze the biomass productivity in relation to the energy metabolism, assuming that energy is limiting the biomass formation and not carbon, which was provided in excess to *N. viennensis* during chemostat and biofilm formation experiments.

In a steady state $Y_{(X/NH3)}$ might be increased because the enzymatic machinery and metabolic networks can be fine tuned to a stable environmental condition. A batch culture needs to adapt constantly to the changing environment which requires energy. However, this

10.3389/fmicb.2023.1076342

phenomenon occurs in every organism and can not describe the vast increase of $Y_{(X/NH3)}$ in continuous cultures of up to 81.7% compared to batch cultures. This might only be explained by taking into account the unique nature of archaeal ammonia oxidation, which produces significant amounts of ROS that can destroy the cells if not taken care of by the environment (e.g., by catalases, alpha keto-acids). Thus, there is a strong selection pressure on the organism to regulate its activity in accordance to its environment. Given the wide distribution of AOA, it seems that these organisms might have evolved elaborate metabolic regulations to enable them to thrive. The production of ROS by AOA might thus be key to understanding their ecological success, because it could also be used by the organisms to generate substrates from the environment by oxidative decarboxylation (Kim et al., 2016) or oxidative deamination (Akagawa et al., 2002) of organic matter. This would supply the organism with both CO₂ and NH₃ and could explain why some AOA, like the members of the Nitrosocosmicus clade, are highly abundant in very organic rich soils. However, the conditions in soil, the primary habitat of Nitrososphaera spp., are not close to the conditions inside a bioreactor that operates in continuous culture mode. Hence, biofilm formation might be a preferred form of life for soil AOA, or could confer some resistance to selection via µ. In addition, this kind of metabolism, however, requires a high degree of metabolic regulation which might be enabled by the transcription apparatus of archaea, which is usually summarized as a simplified version of the eukaryotic machinery, even though this observed simplicity is under constant revision as new insights are accumulated (Gehring et al., 2016). The unique nature of archaea and their gene regulation could therefore be responsible for this form of NH₃ oxidation. It appears that the guiding principle behind the growth dynamics of N. viennensis, and probably other AOA, is not substrate affinity but the maximization of $Y_{(X/NH3)}$ and therefore optimal utilization of a usually very limited substrate.

These insights into the regulation of the energy metabolism of N. viennensis have important implications for further bioprocess development to optimize biomass productivity. Due to the increase of $Y_{(X/NH3)}$ with higher D, biomass productivity also increases despite high S concentrations. CO₂ concentration in the in-gas plays a crucial role in the way that it does not effect $Y_{(X/NH3)}$ but μ_{max} and S. An optimal CO_2 concentration should not reduce μ_{max} but at the same time minimize S and therefore maximize the cell concentration at a given D and S_{i} . For higher concentrated feed medium it is probably worth in terms of biomass productivity to accept higher S concentrations as long as D positively affects Y_(X/NH3) and biomass productivity. It is important to note that the NH₄Cl to pyruvate ratio should always be at least in a 2:1 to prevent self toxification by endogenously produced ROS. Depending on the scientific question, higher NH4+ concentrations might not be desirable as it certainty influences biomass composition and gene expression. For compounds of interest that cannot be recombinantly produced, higher substrate concentrations might very well be the method of choice as it looks promising to obtain good biomass productivities if further improved.

For the production of biomass, biofilm formation is not favorable as it reduces the amount of cells that can be harvested and thus the effective $Y_{(X/NH3)}$. Elevated temperatures are known to increase biofilm formation (Qureshi et al., 2005), therefore lowering the temperature might be a solution but at the cost of reducing μ_{max} . Reducing the CO₂ concentration will also likely reduce biofilm formation, because carbonate precipitate will be reduced and therefore also available surface that can induce biofilm formation. On the other hand, to understand the ecological function and behavior of *N. viennensis*, it would be very important to study the organism in biofilms, as this is more likely to be its prevalent

form in soils. Gene abundances based on 16S rRNA or amoA are often used to infer NH3 oxidizing activity of AOA in soils, but discrepancies with activity measurements of soil incubations are known. Estimates from this study show that only 14.67% of the cells in the biofilm would have been active at their maximum capacity. These results were obtained from two calculations with different assumptions in two different reactors in two different experiments. However, independent of the discrepancy of the results, this high ratio of inactive cells could also explain earlier findings of inactive cells that started the hypothesis of mixotrophic AOA together with the growth enhancing effect of alpha keto acids (Mußmann et al., 2011; Tourna et al., 2011). As biofilms have the potential for very complex cell interactions, it might also be that "inactive" cells simply perform different tasks in the biofilm beside NH3 oxidation. Regardless, careful validation and/or correction of these results with future experiments would be needed to have a more accurate picture of the behavior of AOA biofilms.

Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

Author contributions

MM, CS, and SK-MRR: conceived and designed study. MM, MAM, and SK-MRR: performed research. MM and LH: analyzed the data. MM, LH, and SK-MRR: contributed new methods or models and wrote the paper. All authors read and approved the manuscript.

Funding

Open access funding by the University of Vienna.

Conflict of interest

SK-MRR was employed by the company Arkeon GmbH.

The remaining authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2023.1076342/full# supplementary-material

References

Abby, S. S., Melcher, M., Kerou, M., Krupovic, M., Stieglmeier, M., Rossel, C., et al. (2018). Candidatus Nitrosocaldus cavascurensis, an ammonia oxidizing, extremely Thermophilic Archaeon with a highly Mobile genome. *Front. Microbiol.* 9:28. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2018.00028

Adair, K. L., and Schwartz, E. (2008). Evidence that ammonia-oxidizing archaea are more abundant than ammonia-oxidizing bacteria in semiarid soils of northern Arizona, USA. *Microb. Ecol.* 56, 420–426. doi: 10.1007/s00248-007-9360-9

Akagawa, M., Sasaki, T., and Suyama, K. (2002). Oxidative deamination of lysine residue in plasma protein of diabetic rats. Novel mechanism via the Maillard reaction. *Eur. J. Biochem.* 269, 5451–5458. doi: 10.1046/j.1432-1033.2002.03243.x

Alves, R. J. E., Kerou, M., Zappe, A., Bittner, R., Abby, S. S., Schmidt, H. A., et al. (2019). Ammonia oxidation by the Arctic terrestrial Thaumarchaeote Candidatus Nitrosocosmicus arcticus is stimulated by increasing temperatures. *Front. Microbiol.* 10:1571. doi: 10.3389/ fmicb.2019.01571

Alves, R. J. E., Minh, B. Q., Urich, T., Haeseler, A., and von Schleper, C. (2018). Unifying the global phylogeny and environmental distribution of ammonia-oxidising archaea based on amoA genes. *Nat. Commun.* 9:1517. doi: 10.1038/s41467-018-03861-1

Bayer, B., Vojvoda, J., Reinthaler, T., Reyes, C., Pinto, M., and Herndl, G. J. (2019). Nitrosopumilus adriaticus sp. nov. and Nitrosopumilus piranensis sp. nov., two ammonia-oxidizing archaea from the Adriatic Sea and members of the class Nitrososphaeria. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 69, 1892–1902. doi: 10.1099/ijsem.0.003360

Blainey, P. C., Mosier, A. C., Potanina, A., Francis, C. A., and Quake, S. R. (2011). Genome of a low-salinity ammonia-oxidizing archaeon determined by single-cell and metagenomic analysis. *PLoS One* 6:e16626. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0016626

Bristow, L. A., Dalsgaard, T., Tiano, L., Mills, D. B., Bertagnolli, A. D., Wright, J. J., et al. (2016). Ammonium and nitrite oxidation at nanomolar oxygen concentrations in oxygen minimum zone waters. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 113, 10601–10606. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1600359113

Caranto, J. D., and Lancaster, K. M. (2017). Nitric oxide is an obligate bacterial nitrification intermediate produced by hydroxylamine oxidoreductase. *PNAS* 114, 8217–8222. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1704504114

Daebeler, A., Herbold, C. W., Vierheilig, J., Sedlacek, C. J., Pjevac, P., Albertsen, M., et al. (2018). Cultivation and genomic analysis of "Candidatus Nitrosocaldus islandicus," an Obligately Thermophilic, ammonia-oxidizing Thaumarchaeon from a hot spring biofilm in Graendalur Valley, Iceland. *Front. Microbiol.* 9:193. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2018.00193

Daims, H., Lebedeva, E. V., Pjevac, P., Han, P., Herbold, C., Albertsen, M., et al. (2015). Complete nitrification by Nitrospira bacteria. *Nature* 528, 504–509. doi: 10.1038/nature16461

De La Torre, J. R., Walker, C. B., Ingalls, A. E., Könneke, M., and Stahl, D. A. (2008). Cultivation of a thermophilic ammonia oxidizing archaeon synthesizing crenarchaeol. *Environ. Microbiol.* 10, 810–818. doi: 10.1111/j.1462-2920.2007.01506.x

Dodsworth, J. A., Hungate, B., de la Torre, J. R., Jiang, H., and Hedlund, B. P. (2011). Measuring nitrification, denitrification, and related biomarkers in terrestrial geothermal ecosystems. *Methods Enzymol.* 486, 171–203. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-12-381294-0.0008-0

Francis, C. A., Roberts, K. J., Beman, J. M., Santoro, A. E., and Oakley, B. B. (2005). Ubiquity and diversity of ammonia-oxidizing archaea in water columns and sediments of the ocean. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 102, 14683–14688. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0506625102

French, E., Kozlowski, J. A., and Bollmann, A. (2021). Competition between ammoniaoxidizing Archaea and bacteria from freshwater environments. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 87:e0103821. doi: 10.1128/AEM.01038-21

French, E., Kozlowski, J. A., Mukherjee, M., Bullerjahn, G., and Bollmann, A. (2012). Ecophysiological characterization of ammonia-oxidizing archaea and bacteria from freshwater. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 78, 5773–5780. doi: 10.1128/AEM.00432-12

Gehring, A. M., Walker, J. E., and Santangelo, T. J. (2016). Transcription regulation in Archaea. J. Bacteriol. 198, 1906–1917. doi: 10.1128/JB.00255-16

Griffiths, R. I., Whiteley, A. S., O'Donnell, A. G., and Bailey, M. J. (2000). Rapid method for coextraction of DNA and RNA from natural environments for analysis of ribosomal DNA- and rRNA-based microbial community composition. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 66, 5488–5491. doi: 10.1128/AEM.66.12.5488-5491.2000

Großkopf, R., Stubner, S., and Liesack, W. (1998). Novel Euryarchaeotal lineages detected on Rice roots and in the anoxic bulk soil of flooded Rice microcosms. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 64, 4983–4989. doi: 10.1128/AEM.64.12.4983-4989.1998

Hanišáková, N., Vítězová, M., and Rittmann, S. K.-M. R. (2022). The historical development of cultivation techniques for methanogens and other strict anaerobes and their application in modern microbiology. *Microorganisms* 10:412. doi: 10.3390/microorganisms10020412

Herbert, D., Elsworth, R., and Telling, R. C. (1956). The continuous culture of bacteria; a theoretical and experimental study. *J. Gen. Microbiol.* 14, 601–622. doi: 10.1099/00221287-14-3-601

Hollibaugh, J. T., Gifford, S., Sharma, S., Bano, N., and Moran, M. A. (2011). Metatranscriptomic analysis of ammonia-oxidizing organisms in an estuarine bacterioplankton assemblage. *ISME J.* 5, 866–878. doi: 10.1038/ismej.2010.172

Hollocher, T. C., Tate, M. E., and Nicholas, D. J. (1981). Oxidation of ammonia by Nitrosomonas europaea. Definite 18O-tracer evidence that hydroxylamine formation involves a monooxygenase. *J. Biol. Chem.* 256, 10834–10836. doi: 10.1016/S0021-9258 (19)68518-2

Hooper, A. B., and Terry, K. R. (1979). Hydroxylamine oxidoreductase of Nitrosomonas. Production of nitric oxide from hydroxylamine. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 571, 12–20. doi: 10.1016/0005-2744(79)90220-1

Hurley, S. J., Elling, F. J., Könneke, M., Buchwald, C., Wankel, S. D., Santoro, A. E., et al. (2016). Influence of ammonia oxidation rate on thaumarchaeal lipid composition and the TEX86 temperature proxy. *PNAS* 113, 7762–7767. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1518534113

Hyman, M. R., and Wood, P. M. (1985). Suicidal inactivation and labelling of ammonia mono-oxygenase by acetylene. *Biochem. J.* 227, 719–725. doi: 10.1042/bj2270719

Jackson, C. R., Langner, H. W., Donahoe-Christiansen, J., Inskeep, W. P., and McDermott, T. R. (2001). Molecular analysis of microbial community structure in an arsenite-oxidizing acidic thermal spring. *Environ. Microbiol.* 3, 532–542. doi: 10.1046/j.1462-2920.2001.00221.x

Jørgensen, S. L., and Zhao, R. (2016). Microbial inventory of deeply buried oceanic crust from a young ridge flank. *Front. Microbiol.* 7:820. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2016.00820

Jung, M.-Y., Park, S.-J., Kim, S.-J., Kim, J.-G., Sinninghe Damsté, J. S., Jeon, C. O., et al. (2014). A mesophilic, autotrophic, ammonia-oxidizing archaeon of thaumarchaeal group I.1a cultivated from a deep oligotrophic soil horizon. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 80, 3645–3655. doi: 10.1128/AEM.03730-13

Jung, M.-Y., Sedlacek, C. J., Kits, K. D., Mueller, A. J., Rhee, S.-K., Hink, L., et al. (2022). Ammonia-oxidizing archaea possess a wide range of cellular ammonia affinities. *ISME J.* 16, 272–283. doi: 10.1038/s41396-021-01064-z

Karner, M. B., DeLong, E. F., and Karl, D. M. (2001). Archaeal dominance in the mesopelagic zone of the Pacific Ocean. *Nature* 409, 507–510. doi: 10.1038/35054051

Kerou, M., Ponce-Toledo, R. I., Zhao, R., Abby, S. S., Hirai, M., Nomaki, H., et al. (2021). Genomes of Thaumarchaeota from deep sea sediments reveal specific adaptations of three independently evolved lineages. *ISME J.* 15, 2792–2808. doi: 10.1038/s41396-021-00962-6

Kim, J.-G., Park, S.-J., Damsté, J. S. S., Schouten, S., Rijpstra, W. I. C., Jung, M.-Y., et al. (2016). Hydrogen peroxide detoxification is a key mechanism for growth of ammoniaoxidizing archaea. *PNAS* 113, 7888–7893. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1605501113

Kits, K. D., Sedlacek, C. J., Lebedeva, E. V., Han, P., Bulaev, A., Pjevac, P., et al. (2017). Kinetic analysis of a complete nitrifier reveals an oligotrophic lifestyle. *Nature* 549, 269–272. doi: 10.1038/nature23679

Könneke, M., Bernhard, A. E., de la Torre, J. R., Walker, C. B., Waterbury, J. B., and Stahl, D. A. (2005). Isolation of an autotrophic ammonia-oxidizing marine archaeon. *Nature* 437, 543–546. doi: 10.1038/nature03911

Könneke, M., Schubert, D. M., Brown, P. C., Hügler, M., Standfest, S., Schwander, T., et al. (2014). Ammonia-oxidizing archaea use the most energy-efficient aerobic pathway for CO2 fixation. *PNAS* 111, 8239–8244. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1402028111

Kozlowski, J. A., Stieglmeier, M., Schleper, C., Klotz, M. G., and Stein, L. Y. (2016). Pathways and key intermediates required for obligate aerobic ammonia-dependent chemolithotrophy in bacteria and Thaumarchaeota. *ISME J.* 10, 1836–1845. doi: 10.1038/ ismej.2016.2

Lancaster, K. M., Caranto, J. D., Majer, S. H., and Smith, M. A. (2018). Alternative bioenergy: updates to and challenges in nitrification Metalloenzymology. *Joule* 2, 421–441. doi: 10.1016/j.joule.2018.01.018

Lebedeva, E. V., Hatzenpichler, R., Pelletier, E., Schuster, N., Hauzmayer, S., Bulaev, A., et al. (2013). Enrichment and genome sequence of the group I.1a ammonia-oxidizing Archaeon "Ca. Nitrosotenuis uzonensis" representing a clade globally distributed in thermal habitats. *PLoS One* 8, 8:e80835. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0080835

Lehtovirta-Morley, L. E., Ross, J., Hink, L., Weber, E. B., Gubry-Rangin, C., Thion, C., et al. (2016). Isolation of "Candidatus Nitrosocosmicus franklandus", a novel ureolytic soil archaeal ammonia oxidiser with tolerance to high ammonia concentration. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* 92:fiw057. doi: 10.1093/femsec/fiw057

Lehtovirta-Morley, L. E., Stoecker, K., Vilcinskas, A., Prosser, J. I., and Nicol, G. W. (2011). Cultivation of an obligate acidophilic ammonia oxidizer from a nitrifying acid soil. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 108, 15892–15897. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1107196108

Leininger, S., Urich, T., Schloter, M., Schwark, L., Qi, J., Nicol, G. W., et al. (2006). Archaea predominate among ammonia-oxidizing prokaryotes in soils. *Nature* 442, 806–809. doi: 10.1038/nature04983

Luo, Z.-H., Narsing Rao, M. P., Chen, H., Hua, Z.-S., Li, Q., Hedlund, B. P., et al. (2020). Genomic insights of "Candidatus Nitrosocaldaceae" based on nine new Metagenome-assembled genomes, including "Candidatus Nitrosothermus" gen Nov. and two new species of "Candidatus Nitrosocaldus.". *Front. Microbiol.* 11:608832. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2020.608832

Mauerhofer, L.-M., Pappenreiter, P., Paulik, C., Seifert, A. H., Bernacchi, S., and Rittmann, S. K.-M. R. (2019). Methods for quantification of growth and productivity in anaerobic microbiology and biotechnology. *Folia Microbiol.* 64, 321–360. doi: 10.1007/s12223-018-0658-4

Moissl-Eichinger, C., Probst, A. J., Birarda, G., Auerbach, A., Koskinen, K., Wolf, P., et al. (2017). Human age and skin physiology shape diversity and abundance of Archaea on skin. *Sci. Rep.* 7:4039. doi: 10.1038/s41598-017-04197-4

Mußmann, M., Brito, I., Pitcher, A., Sinninghe Damsté, J. S., Hatzenpichler, R., Richter, A., et al. (2011). Thaumarchaeotes abundant in refinery nitrifying sludges express amoA but are not obligate autotrophic ammonia oxidizers. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 108, 16771–16776. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1106427108

Nakagawa, T., Koji, M., Hosoyama, A., Yamazoe, A., Tsuchiya, Y., Ueda, S., et al. (2021). Nitrosopumilus zosterae sp. nov., an autotrophic ammonia-oxidizing archaeon of phylum Thaumarchaeota isolated from coastal eelgrass sediments of Japan. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 71. doi: 10.1099/ijsem.0.004961

Nicol, G. W., Leininger, S., Schleper, C., and Prosser, J. I. (2008). The influence of soil pH on the diversity, abundance and transcriptional activity of ammonia oxidizing archaea and bacteria. *Environ. Microbiol.* 10, 2966–2978. doi: 10.1111/j.1462-2920.2008.01701.x

Nunoura, T., Chikaraishi, Y., Izaki, R., Suwa, T., Sato, T., Harada, T., et al. (2018). A primordial and reversible TCA cycle in a facultatively chemolithoautotrophic thermophile. *Science* 359, 559–563. doi: 10.1126/science.aa03407

Nunoura, T., Nishizawa, M., Kikuchi, T., Tsubouchi, T., Hirai, M., Koide, O., et al. (2013). Molecular biological and isotopic biogeochemical prognoses of the nitrification-driven dynamic microbial nitrogen cycle in hadopelagic sediments. *Environ. Microbiol.* 15, 3087–3107. doi: 10.1111/1462-2920.12152

Øvreås, L., Forney, L., Daae, F. L., and Torsvik, V. (1997). Distribution of bacterioplankton in meromictic Lake Saelenvannet, as determined by denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis of PCR-amplified gene fragments coding for 16S rRNA. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 63, 3367–3373. doi: 10.1128/aem.63.9.3367-3373.1997

Pappenreiter, P. A., Zwirtmayr, S., Mauerhofer, L.-M., Rittmann, S. K.-M. R., and Paulik, C. (2019). Development of a simultaneous bioreactor system for characterization of gas production kinetics of methanogenic archaea at high pressure. *Eng. Life Sci.* 19, 537–544. doi: 10.1002/elsc.201900035

Park, S.-J., Park, B.-J., and Rhee, S.-K. (2008). Comparative analysis of archaeal 16S rRNA and amoA genes to estimate the abundance and diversity of ammonia-oxidizing archaea in marine sediments. *Extremophiles* 12, 605–615. doi: 10.1007/s00792-008-0165-7

Probst, A. J., Auerbach, A. K., and Moissl-Eichinger, C. (2013). Archaea on human skin. *PLoS One* 8:e65388. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0065388

Qin, W., Heal, K. R., Ramdasi, R., Kobelt, J. N., Martens-Habbena, W., Bertagnolli, A. D., et al. (2017). Nitrosopumilus maritimus gen. Nov., sp. nov., Nitrosopumilus cobalaminigenes sp. nov., Nitrosopumilus oxyclinae sp. nov., and Nitrosopumilus ureiphilus sp. nov., four marine ammonia-oxidizing archaea of the phylum Thaumarchaeota. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 67, 5067–5079. doi: 10.1099/ijsem.0.002416

Qureshi, N., Annous, B. A., Ezeji, T. C., Karcher, P., and Maddox, I. S. (2005). Biofilm reactors for industrial bioconversion processes: employing potential of enhanced reaction rates. *Microb. Cell Factories* 4:24. doi: 10.1186/1475-2859-4-24

Reigstad, L. J., Richter, A., Daims, H., Urich, T., Schwark, L., and Schleper, C. (2008). Nitrification in terrestrial hot springs of Iceland and Kamchatka. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* 64, 167–174. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6941.2008.00466.x

Rittmann, S., and Holubar, P. (2014). Rapid extraction of total RNA from an anaerobic sludge biocoenosis. *Folia Microbiol.* 59, 127–132. doi: 10.1007/s12223-013-0274-2

Rittmann, S. K.-M. R., Seifert, A. H., and Bernacchi, S. (2018). Kinetics, multivariate statistical modelling, and physiology of CO2-based biological methane production. *Appl. Energy* 216, 751–760. doi: 10.1016/j.apenergy.2018.01.075

Ross, M. O., MacMillan, F., Wang, J., Nisthal, A., Lawton, T. J., Olafson, B. D., et al. (2019). Particulate methane monooxygenase contains only mononuclear copper centers. *Science* 364, 566–570. doi: 10.1126/science.aav2572

Santoro, A. E. (2019). Crystal ball: the microbial map of the ocean. *Environ. Microbiol. Rep.* 11, 35–37. doi: 10.1111/1758-2229.12721

Santoro, A. E., Dupont, C. L., Richter, R. A., Craig, M. T., Carini, P., McIlvin, M. R., et al. (2015). Genomic and proteomic characterization of "Candidatus Nitrosopelagicus brevis": an ammonia-oxidizing archaeon from the open ocean. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 112, 1173–1178. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1416223112

Sauder, L. A., Albertsen, M., Engel, K., Schwarz, J., Nielsen, P. H., Wagner, M., et al. (2017). Cultivation and characterization of Candidatus Nitrosocosmicus exaquare, an

ammonia-oxidizing archaeon from a municipal wastewater treatment system. *ISME J.* 11, 1142–1157. doi: 10.1038/ismej.2016.192

Sauder, L. A., Engel, K., Lo, C.-C., Chain, P., and Neufeld, J. D. (2018). "Candidatus Nitrosotenuis aquarius," an ammonia-oxidizing Archaeon from a freshwater aquarium biofilter. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 84, e01430–e01418. doi: 10.1128/AEM.01430-18

Sedlacek, C. J., Giguere, A. T., Dobie, M. D., Mellbye, B. L., Ferrell, R. V., Woebken, D., et al. (2020). Transcriptomic response of Nitrosomonas europaea transitioned from ammonia- to oxygen-limited steady-state growth. *mSystems* 5, e00562–e00519. doi: 10.1128/mSystems.00562-19

Stieglmeier, M., Klingl, A., Alves, R. J. E., Rittmann, S. K.-M. R., Melcher, M., Leisch, N., et al. (2014). Nitrososphaera viennensis sp. nov., an aerobic and mesophilic ammoniaoxidizing archaeon from soil and member of the archaeal phylum Thaumarchaeota. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 64, 2738–2752. doi: 10.1099/ijs.0.063172-0

Taubner, R.-S., and Rittmann, S. K.-M. R. (2016). Method for indirect quantification of CH4 production via H2O production using Hydrogenotrophic methanogens. *Front. Microbiol.* 7:532. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2016.00532

Tourna, M., Stieglmeier, M., Spang, A., Könneke, M., Schintlmeister, A., Urich, T., et al. (2011). Nitrososphaera viennensis, an ammonia oxidizing archaeon from soil. *PNAS* 108, 8420–8425. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1013488108

Treusch, A. H., Leininger, S., Kletzin, A., Schuster, S. C., Klenk, H.-P., and Schleper, C. (2005). Novel genes for nitrite reductase and Amo-related proteins indicate a role of uncultivated mesophilic crenarchaeota in nitrogen cycling. *Environ. Microbiol.* 7, 1985–1995. doi: 10.1111/j.1462-2920.2005.00906.x

Vajrala, N., Martens-Habbena, W., Sayavedra-Soto, L. A., Schauer, A., Bottomley, P. J., Stahl, D. A., et al. (2013). Hydroxylamine as an intermediate in ammonia oxidation by globally abundant marine archaea. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 110, 1006–1011. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1214272110

van Kessel, M. A. H. J., Speth, D. R., Albertsen, M., Nielsen, P. H., Op den Camp, H. J. M., Kartal, B., et al. (2015). Complete nitrification by a single microorganism. *Nature* 528, 555–559. doi: 10.1038/nature16459

Venter, J. C., Remington, K., Heidelberg, J. F., Halpern, A. L., Rusch, D., Eisen, J. A., et al. (2004). Environmental genome shotgun sequencing of the Sargasso Sea. *Science* 304, 66–74. doi: 10.1126/science.1093857

Vuillemin, A., Wankel, S. D., Coskun, Ö. K., Magritsch, T., Vargas, S., Estes, E. R., et al. (2019). Archaea dominate oxic subseafloor communities over multimillion-year time scales. *Sci. Adv.* 5:eaaw4108. doi: 10.1126/sciadv.aaw4108

Wang, X., Conway, W., Burns, R., McCann, N., and Maeder, M. (2010). Comprehensive study of the hydration and dehydration reactions of carbon dioxide in aqueous solution. *J. Phys. Chem. A* 114, 1734–1740. doi: 10.1021/jp909019u

Wrage-Mönnig, N., Horn, M. A., Well, R., Müller, C., Velthof, G., and Oenema, O. (2018). The role of nitrifier denitrification in the production of nitrous oxide revisited. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 123, A3–A16. doi: 10.1016/j.soilbio.2018.03.020

Zhalnina, K. V., Dias, R., Leonard, M. T., Dorr de Quadros, P., Camargo, F. A. O., Drew, J. C., et al. (2014). Genome sequence of Candidatus Nitrososphaera evergladensis from group I.1b enriched from Everglades soil reveals novel genomic features of the ammonia-oxidizing Archaea. *PLoS One* 9:e101648. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0101648

Zhao, R., Hannisdal, B., Mogollon, J. M., and Jørgensen, S. L. (2019). Nitrifier abundance and diversity peak at deep redox transition zones. *Sci. Rep.* 9:8633. doi: 10.1038/ s41598-019-44585-6

Zhao, R., Mogollón, J. M., Abby, S. S., Schleper, C., Biddle, J. F., Roerdink, D. L., et al. (2020). Geochemical transition zone powering microbial growth in subsurface sediments. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 117, 32617–32626. doi: 10.1073/pnas.2005917117

Zhou, J., Bruns, M. A., and Tiedje, J. M. (1996). DNA recovery from soils of diverse composition. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 62, 316-322. doi: 10.1128/aem.62.2.316-322.1996