Check for updates

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY Xiangyu Guan, China University of Geosciences, Beijing, China

### REVIEWED BY

Weiguo Hou, China University of Geosciences, Beijing, China Meng Li, Chinese Academy of Forestry, China

\*CORRESPONDENCE Hongmei Wang ⊠ wanghmei04@163.com; ⊠ hmwang@cug.edu.cn

#### SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Terrestrial Microbiology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Microbiology

RECEIVED 13 October 2022 ACCEPTED 19 January 2023 PUBLISHED 06 February 2023

#### CITATION

Cheng X, Xiang X, Yun Y, Wang W, Wang H and Bodelier PLE (2023) Archaea and their interactions with bacteria in a karst ecosystem. *Front. Microbiol.* 14:1068595. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2023.1068595

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Cheng, Xiang, Yun, Wang, Wang and Bodelier. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Archaea and their interactions with bacteria in a karst ecosystem

Xiaoyu Cheng<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Xing Xiang<sup>1,4</sup>, Yuan Yun<sup>1,5</sup>, Weiqi Wang<sup>1,2</sup>, Hongmei Wang<sup>1,2</sup>\* and Paul L. E. Bodelier<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>State Key Laboratory of Biogeology and Environmental Geology, China University of Geosciences, Wuhan, China, <sup>2</sup>School of Environmental Studies, China University of Geosciences, Wuhan, China, <sup>3</sup>Department of Microbial Ecology, Netherlands Institute of Ecology (NIOO-KNAW), Wageningen, Netherlands, <sup>4</sup>College of Life Science, Shangrao Normal University, Shangrao, China, <sup>5</sup>College of Life Sciences, Nankai University, Tianjin, China

Karst ecosystems are widely distributed around the world, accounting for 15-20% of the global land area. However, knowledge on microbial ecology of these systems does not match with their global importance. To close this knowledge gap, we sampled three niches including weathered rock, sediment, and drip water inside the Heshang Cave and three types of soils overlying the cave (forest soil, farmland soil, and pristine karst soil). All these samples were subjected to high-throughput sequencing of V4-V5 region of 16S rRNA gene and analyzed with multivariate statistical analysis. Overall, archaeal communities were dominated by Thaumarchaeota, whereas Actinobacteria dominated bacterial communities. Thermoplasmata, Nitrosopumilaceae, Aenigmarchaeales, Crossiella, Acidothermus, and Solirubrobacter were the important predictor groups inside the Heshang Cave, which were correlated to  $NH_4^+$  availability. In contrast, Candidatus Nitrososphaera, Candidatus Nitrocosmicus, Thaumarchaeota Group 1.1c, and Pseudonocardiaceae were the predictors outside the cave, whose distribution was correlated with pH, Ca<sup>2+</sup>, and NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>. Tighter network structures were found in archaeal communities than those of bacteria, whereas the topological properties of bacterial networks were more similar to those of total prokaryotic networks. Both chemolithoautotrophic archaea (Candidatus Methanoperedens and Nitrosopumilaceae) and bacteria (subgroup 7 of Acidobacteria and Rokubacteriales) were the dominant keystone taxa within the co-occurrence networks, potentially playing fundamental roles in obtaining energy under oligotrophic conditions and thus maintaining the stability of the cave ecosystem. To be noted, all the keystone taxa of karst ecosystems were related to nitrogen cycling, which needs further investigation, particularly the role of archaea. The predicted ecological functions in karst soils mainly related to carbohydrate metabolism, biotin metabolism, and synthesis of fatty acid. Our results offer new insights into archaeal ecology, their potential functions, and archaeal interactions with bacteria, which enhance our understanding about the microbial dark matter in the subsurface karst ecosystems.

#### KEYWORDS

karst ecosystem, karst soil, subterranean cave, archaeal community, interaction between archaea and bacteria, niche differentiation

### 1. Introduction

Karst ecosystems largely developed in the exposed area of soluble rocks including limestone, dolomite and gypsum, are widely distributed around the world, accounting for about 15–20% of the global land area (De Waele et al., 2009; Chalikakis et al., 2011; Di Maggio et al., 2012; Kaufmann, 2014). The overlying soil of the karst zone contains abundant organic carbon and high microbial activity in the topsoil layer (0–30 cm) (Ahmed et al., 2012). Next to this, karst forest soils show higher

decomposition, higher respiration rate, but are more carbon-limited compared to non-karst forest soils (Chen et al., 2018). Except organic matter, karst overlying soils also show high nitrogen concentration even up to nitrogen saturation especially in southwestern China (Wen et al., 2016; Chen et al., 2018). Water is the bridge linking the surface karst soils and subterranean karst caves, which transports natural organic matter and trace metals into the underground ecosystem (De Waele et al., 2009; Hartland et al., 2012).  $\delta^{18}$ O of cave drip water is employed to track the processes of speleothem deposits (Bradley et al., 2010), which reflects meteoric precipitation in cool climate (mean annual temperature < 10°C) (Baker et al., 2019). Inside karst caves, the permanent darkness, saturated humidity, and potential evaporation largely differ from those in surface habitats in terms of light, energy, nutrients, weathering degree, and abiotic stability, which provide a unique oligotrophic habitat for biotic communities (Gabriel and Northup, 2013).

Studies have clearly demonstrated the evolutionary differences between macro-biota in subsurface and surface ecosystems. Underground macro-creatures evolve unique characteristics compared with surface creatures, which are solely found in a single cave or adjacent caves due to geographical isolation (Ribera et al., 2019). However, most microorganisms in caves can also be found in other ecosystems, but with different relative abundances. Quantitatively, prokaryotes inside the caves are 2–3 orders of magnitude lower than those in overlying karst soils. 16S rRNA gene copies ranged from  $10^{10}$  to  $10^{12}$  copies·g<sup>-1</sup> soil in karst soils with different land use (croplands and wetland) (Hu et al., 2018; Liao et al., 2018; Wang X. et al., 2021). In contrast, the absolute abundance of 16S rRNA gene inside caves varies from  $10^5$ – $10^9$  copies·g<sup>-1</sup> weathered rock to  $10^8$ – $10^9$  copies·g<sup>-1</sup> sediment (Alonso et al., 2018; Zhao et al., 2018).

The knowledge on bacterial communities in karst ecosystems has increased recently about their involvement in elemental cycles and functional diversity. Actinobacteria, Proteobacteria, and Acidobacteria dominate in karst soils with different land-use (Liao et al., 2018; Cheng et al., 2021). For instance, the carbon-fixing bacterial communities of Thiomonas, Bradyrhizobium, Ferriphaselus and Sulfuricaulis are, respectively, dominant in native wetland soil, degraded wetland soil, and reclaimed farmland wetland soil of karst zone, which closely correlate to edaphic parameters such as organic carbon, dissolved organic carbon, microbial biomass carbon, and labile organic carbon (Wang X. et al., 2021). Inside karst caves, microbial diversity and elemental cycles microbes involved vary with the cave lithology. Sulfur metabolism is mostly reported in plutonic caves rich in hydrogen sulfide, where bacteria can utilize sulfur to provide metabolic energy for other cave biota (Engel et al., 2010). A large proportion of sulfate-reducing bacteria (SRB), such as Desulfovibrio and Desulfomicrobium has also been observed in Altamira Cave, suggesting potential function of sulfur reduction in supergene caves (Portillo and Gonzalez, 2009). Acidithiobacillus, dominated a biofilm in acid Frasassi Cave, is capable of carbon fixation, sulfur oxidation, and nitrogen assimilation, indicating the coupling of carbon and nitrogen cycling (Jones et al., 2012). Cave bacteria living on weathered rock and speleothem surfaces can fix carbon via Calvin-Benson-Bassham cycle, whereas those in sediments prefer the 3-hydroxypropionate/4-hydroxybutyrate (HP/HB) pathway (Ortiz et al., 2014; Yun, 2018). Furthermore, it has been demonstrated that carbon fixation by Bacillus, Actinomycetes, and Burkholderia increase with elevated CO<sub>2</sub> concentration (Ortiz et al., 2014; Yun, 2018). Other than carbon fixation, microbes can also mediate methane cycling in caves. Recently, it has been reported that highaffinity methane-oxidizing bacteria, especially those belonging to upland soil cluster  $\gamma$  (USC $\gamma$ ), are widely distributed in karst caves from southwest and central China. The *pmoA* gene abundance of USC $\gamma$  in weathered rocks is higher than that in sediments (Zhao et al., 2018; Cheng et al., 2021, 2022). USC $\gamma$  is a keystone taxon in co-occurrence networks of both methane functional groups and the total bacterial communities (Cheng et al., 2021). *Nitrosococcaceae* wb1-P19, *Rokubacteriales*, *Gaiellales*, and *Nitrospira* are identified as the keystone members in bacterial networks in the karst caves, which are closely linked to elemental cycles (Zhu et al., 2019; Ma et al., 2021). Fungi dominated by *Ascomycota* played a fundamental role in maintaining the microbial ecosystem on weathered rocks inside the karst cave as indicated by the cross-domain fungi-bacteria network analysis (Wang Y. et al., 2022).

In comparison to bacteria, archaea are far less studied in karst ecosystems. Recently, abundant archaeal taxa have been detected in iron-manganese cave deposits, which harbor functional genes involved in nitrogen cycling such as nitrification, dissimilatory nitrate reduction, assimilatory nitrate reduction, and denitrification (Kimble et al., 2018). The absolute abundance of ammonia-oxidizing archaea (AOA) exceeds that of ammonia-oxidizing bacteria (AOB) up to 2 orders of magnitude in cave sediments (Zhao et al., 2016). *Thaumarchaeota* at least contribute >40% to ammonia oxidation, suggesting archaea play a vital role in cave nitrogen cycle (Zhao et al., 2016). Nitrogen availability is inextricably linked to the presence of archaea and low nitrogen content increases the abundance of *Thaumarchaeota* (> 15%) (Northup et al., 2003; Hershey and Barton, 2018). Nevertheless, we still know little about archaeal distribution in different niches in karst ecosystems and how they correlate with environmental variables.

Besides microbial functional groups mediating elemental cycling, microbial interactions also play fundamental roles in maintaining the functional stability of an ecosystem. It has been demonstrated that interactions between microbial groups rather than microbial relative abundance and alpha diversity are crucial to function in the complex engineering ecosystem (Zhao et al., 2022). The well-known interaction between archaea and bacteria is the anaerobic methanotrophic archaea (ANME) with SRB. They cooperated with each other to utilize methane as the main energy source under anaerobic condition in methane seeps (Xin et al., 2022; Yu et al., 2022). Moreover, interactions between bacteria and archaea can help them to adapt the environments with heavy metal contaminations (Li et al., 2017). Other than cooperation between archaea and bacteria, competition also happens. For example, under low NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> and low dissolved O<sub>2</sub> conditions, AOA would compete out their counterpart AOB, and collaborate better with anammox bacteria on treating low strength nitrogen sewage (Pan et al., 2016). However, up to date, there are still knowledge gaps about archaeal functions and how archaea interact with their bacterial counterpart to sustain the karstic ecosystem.

To fill the knowledge gaps in archaeal ecology and interactions between archaea and bacteria in karst ecosystems, we sampled the forest soil, farmland soil, and pristine karst soil overlying the investigated the Heshang Cave, weathered rock, sediment, and drip water inside the Heshang Cave, central China (Yun et al., 2016). Subsequently, all these samples were sequenced for 16S rRNA gene of V4-V5 region *via* high-throughput sequencing. The purposes of this study were to (i) reveal structural differences of archaeal and bacterial communities in karst soils and inside subsurface caves, (ii) explore relationships of archaea and bacteria with environmental factors, and (iii) understand the interaction between archaea and bacteria in karst soils and inside caves.

### 2. Materials and methods

### 2.1. Sampling description and physicochemical analysis

The 250-meter long Heshang Cave (29°40'-30°48' N and 108°30'-111°20' E) is a dolomite karst cave formed in Cambrian, located in Changyang County, Hubei Province, central China. The Heshang Cave is about 30 m above the water surface of the Qingjiang River with a sole entrance (Supplementary Figure 1). The average annual temperature and average precipitation are about 16.5°C and 1,118 mm, respectively, in this region (Yun et al., 2016). The highest temperature in this region is observed in July (27.5°C) and the lowest temperature is in January (4.8°C). Pinus massoniana and Cinnamomum bodinieri are dominant vegetation on the top of the Heshang Cave, whereas part of the land is reclaimed to grow potato, clover, and economical crop oilseed rape (Cheng et al., 2021). Soils overlying the Heshang Cave are divided into forest soil (FS), farmland soil (FLS), and pristine soil (PS) on the basis of land-use patterns. Surface soils were collected at a depth of <10 cm by the standard five-point sampling technique. Samples at one site were collected from the 4 vertexes of a quadrangle with an area around 1 m<sup>2</sup> and the central point. These samples were pooled together and homogenized. Subsequently, three subsamples were collected from the homogenized sample and served as the biological triplicate. Samples inside the cave include drip water (DW), sediments (S), and weathered rocks (W). The weathered rocks and sediments of photic zone (near the entrance), twilight zone, and dark zone were sampled separately inside cave. Surface sediment samples with a depth of 1 cm were collected with five-point sampling technique and homogenized before loading into the 50 mL sterile falcon tubes. The drip water was collected with sterile 10 L buckets and funnels, and immediately filtered with 2 µm membrane after collection. Filters with cells were preserved in sterile falcon tubes on dry ice. In total, 10 samples outside the cave (containing 4 FS, 3 FLS, and 3 PS samples), and 21 samples (containing 3 DW, 9S and 9W samples) inside cave were collected in the Heshang Cave karstic system. All samples were transported to the geomicrobiology lab at China University of Geosciences (Wuhan) on dry ice within 24h and stored at -80°C upon arrival until further use. Environmental factors, such as pH, TOC (total organic carbon), Ca2+, Mg2+, K+, Na+, NH4+, Cl-, NO2-, NO3<sup>-</sup>, and SO4<sup>2-</sup> concentrations were measured as reported in our previous work (Yun et al., 2016).

### 2.2. DNA extraction and sequencing processes

Total DNA of 0.5 g solid samples was extracted with the Power Soil<sup>®</sup> DNA Isolation Kit (MOBIO, United States), and total DNA from drip water was extracted from membrane filters with the Power Water<sup>®</sup> DNA Isolation Kit (MOBIO, United States). DNA quality and purification steps were executed as described previously (Ma et al., 2021). The prokaryotic universal primers 707f (3'-ATTAGATACCCSBGTAG TCC-5') and 1059r (3'-GCCATGCACCWCCTCT-5') were used to amplify V4-V5 region of 16S rRNA (Kim et al., 2018). Raw sequences were obtained from an Illumina MiSeq platform in two separate runs at

Shanghai Personal Biotechnology, Co., Ltd., (Shanghai, China) and deposited in the National Omics Data Encyclopedia (NODE)<sup>1</sup> with the project numbers OER254939.

Raw sequences were processed with the bcl2fastq software (version 1.8.4, Illumina) to cut primers and barcodes. Subsequently, the quality and analysis of processed sequences were controlled with QIIME2 (Quantitative Insight Into Microbial Ecology, version 2019.7) software (Bolyen et al., 2019). The high-quality sequences were clustered at 97% sequence similarity to generate representative OTU (Operational Taxonomic Unit) sequences with the VSEARCH plugin, and the feature taxonomy of the 16S rRNA gene was assigned against modified SILVA database (version 132) to improve the efficiency of archaeal annotation. The sequence numbers of all samples were resampled to 60,000 reads to avoid the influence of sequencing depth on microbial diversity.

### 2.3. Statistical analysis

Shannon index for each sample, beta diversity of archaea and bacteria, permutational multivariate analysis of ANOVA (ADONIS), analysis of similarities (ANOSIM), and multi response permutation procedure (MRPP), mantel test, and principal coordinate analysis (PCoA) were calculated with the vegan<sup>2</sup> package in R software (version 4.0.3). The ADONIS, ANOSIM, and MRPP analysis of microbial community were performed based on Bray-Curtis distance, and the normalized *p* values of these analysis were adjusted by Benjamini and Hochberg method. Mantel test was performed to evaluate the correlation between environmental matrix and microbial matrix via Spearman's rho analysis under 9,999 permutations. To further assess the spatial patterns of beta diversity, total dissimilarity of Sørensen indices ( $\beta_{sor}$ ), their turnover component ( $\beta_{sim}$ ), and their nestedness component ( $\beta_{sne}$ ) were computed via betapart package (Baselga and Orme, 2012). Random forest machine learning was conducted with randomForest<sup>3</sup> and A3<sup>4</sup> package to rank the impacts of microbial genus in cave ecosystem. To further understand functional inference and ecological trait assignment of microbial community in cave ecosystem (Djemiel et al., 2022), we, respectively, performed representative sequences with Tax4Fun2 package (Wemheuer et al., 2020) and Functional Annotation of Prokaryotic Taxa database (FAPROTAX, v1.2.3).<sup>5</sup> The boxplot, pie chart, histogram, heatmap, scatter diagram, density map, and PCoA plot were visualized with ggpubr,6 pheatmap, and ggplot27 package. The analysis of variance (ANOVA), student's t-test (t-test), and Kruskal-Wallis H test were calculated with SPSS statistics (version 26.0).

The archaeal OTUs that had relative abundance above 0.01% and more than 20% occurrence in all samples and bacterial OTUs that had relative abundance above 0.01% and more than 50% occurrence were selected for co-occurrence networks analysis to reduce the network

- 2 https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/vegan/index.html
- 3 https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/randomForest/index.html
- 4 https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/A3/index.html
- 5 http://www.loucalab.com/archive/FAPROTAX/lib/php/index

php?section=Download

- 6 https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/pheatmap/index.htm
- 7 https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/ggplot2/index.html

<sup>1</sup> https://www.biosino.org/node

complexity with Hmisc<sup>8</sup> and igraph<sup>9</sup> packages. The correlations among archaeal OTUs, bacterial OTUs, and archaeal and bacterial OTUs were calculated with correlation coefficient  $\rho$  of  $\geq$ 0.7 and p value of <0.01 (Benjamini and Hochberg method adjusted) based on Spearman's p correlation. Networks were visualized with the layout of Fruchterman-Reingold in Gephi (version 0.9.2) software. Nodes with high betweenness centrality values indicated that a node was capable of sustaining the nodes of community and had high connectivity with other nodes, which were considered keystone taxa in the network (Martín González et al., 2010; Vick-Majors et al., 2014; Jiao et al., 2016).

### 3. Results

### 3.1. Archaeal and bacterial community composition in the cave ecosystem

Totally, a number of 1,860,000 high-quality sequences were recovered after quality control, which were converted into 43,488 OTUs containing 1,539 archaeal OTUs, 41,665 bacterial OTUs, and 283 unassigned OTUs based on 97% similarity.

Significant differences of bacterial Shannon indices between FS and FLS, and between FS and PS were observed in the soils overlying the Heshang Cave, whereas archaeal Shannon indices were significantly different only between SP (sediments in the photic zone) and WT (weathered rocks in the twilight zone) inside the cave (Figures 1A,B).

- 8 https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/Hmisc/index.html
- 9 https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/igraph/index.html

Shannon index showed an opposite pattern between archaea and bacteria. For instance, archaeal Shannon index was highest  $(3.48 \pm 0.99)$ in FS, whereas bacterial Shannon values was lowest ( $6.26\pm0.99$ ) in overlying soils (Figures 1A,B). This phenomenon was also found inside the Heshang Cave. DW showed higher archaeal Shannon index  $(3.73 \pm 0.49)$  and lower bacterial Shannon value  $(5.80 \pm 0.59)$  compared with other cave niches (Figures 1A,B). Shannon indices of sediment samples were generally higher than those in weathered rock, especially in the photic zone (Figures 1A,B). Notably, no significant difference between archaeal and bacterial beta diversity was observed, whereas archaeal beta diversity was higher than that of bacteria (Figure 1C). Both archaeal and bacterial community were significantly dissimilar between overlying soils and samples inside the cave as verified by ADONIS, ANOSIM, and MRPP based on Bray-Curtis distances (Supplementary Table 1). The principal coordinate analysis (PCoA) showed that both bacterial and archaeal communities exhibit niche specificity (Supplementary Figure 2). The PCo1 and PCo2 axis explained 20 and 16% of the variance in the bacterial community, and 28 and 14% of the variance in the archaeal community (Supplementary Figure 2). The relative abundance of archaea in SD (sediments in the dark zone), DW, SP, and FS niches was higher than those in other niches. In contrast, bacterial relative abundance was higher than those of archaea in cave ecosystem (Figure 1D).

*Thaumarchaeota* dominated in all archaeal communities at the phylum level, especially in FS ( $18.21 \pm 11.82\%$ ) and SD ( $21.69 \pm 8.88\%$ ) niches. *Actinobacteria*, ranging from  $17.79 \pm 5.39\%$  to  $90.18 \pm 2.31\%$ , and *Rokubacteria*, ranging from  $0.25 \pm 0.13\%$  to  $24.22 \pm 5.99\%$ , were dominant in bacterial communities inside the cave (Figure 1D; Supplementary Table 2). Significant difference was observed in the relative abundance of phylum *Thaumarchaeota*, *Euryarchaeota*,



#### FIGURE 1

Alpha, beta diversity distribution and community composition of archaea and bacteria in cave ecosystem of the Heshang Cave, central China. Panel (A) and (B), respectively, showed Shannon indices of archaea and bacteria in different niches inside or outside the Heshang Cave. Panel (C) indicated the result of beta diversity between archaea and bacteria. Panel (D) showed the relative abundance of archaeal phylum and bacterial phylum from different niches. Pie charts showed the relative abundance of total bacteria and archaea in an individual niche and histogram shows the relative abundances of bacterial or archaeal phyla in each sample. The relative abundance of archaea refers to the left axis scale, whereas bacterial abundance refers to the right axis scale. Histograms for archaea are located in the area highlighted in light purple, whereas those of bacteria in gift green. FS, forest soil; PS, pristine soil; FLS, farmland soil; WD, weathered rocks in the dark zone; WT, weathered rocks in the twilight zone; ST, sediments in the twilight zone; SP, sediments in the photic zone; DW, drip waters.

Nanoarchaeaeota, Rokubacteria, Firmicutes, Acidobacteria, GAL15, Proteobacteria, Chloroflexi, BRC1, Planctomycetes, Omnitrophicaeota, and Patescibacteria between overlying soils and niches inside the cave (Supplementary Table 2). Thaumarchaeota and BRC1 were significantly different in their relative abundances in overlying soils with different land use. Inside the cave Thaumarchaeota, Nanoarchaeaeota, Actinobacteria, Rokubacteria, Acidobacteria, GAL15, Proteobacteria, Chloroflexi, BRC1, Planctomycetes, Omnitrophicaeota, and Patescibacteria also showed significant differences in their relative abundances (Supplementary Table 2).

To infer mechanisms underlying the observed biodiversity patterns, beta diversity based on the Sørensen index were conducted to separate the turnover and nestedness components, which, respectively, indicated the processes of species replacement and species loss (or gain) in the environment (Baselga and Orme, 2012). Overall turnover components  $(\beta_{sim})$  were much higher than nestedness-resultant dissimilarity  $(\beta_{sne})$ (Figures 2A,B), which suggested the noteworthy substitution of microbial community in these niches and thus contributed to their community differences (Baselga and Orme, 2012). Random forest algorithm analysis was employed to understand the important rank of microbial genus under β<sub>sor</sub> values (Figures 2C-F). Archaeal and bacterial genera with high percentage of increase of mean square error (MSE) were defined as the most important predictors of total microbial composition. Thermoplasmata (affiliated to phylum Euryarchaeota), Nitrosopumilaceae (Thaumarchaeota), Aenigmarchaeales (Nanoarchaeaeota), Crossiella (Actinobacteria), Acidothermus (Actinobacteria), and Solirubrobacter (Actinobacteria) were the important genera closely correlated to  $\beta_{sor}$  values inside the Heshang Cave (Figures 2C,D). Candidatus Nitrososphaera (Thaumarchaeota), Candidatus Nitrocosmicus (Thaumarchaeota), Thaumarchaeota Group 1.1c (Thaumarchaeota), MB-A2-108 (Actinobacteria), and Pseudonocardiaceae (Actinobacteria) were the important genera in the overlying soils (Figures 2E,F).

### 3.2. Correlations between microbial communities and environmental variables

Correlations between environmental parameters and microbial community structure were analyzed via Mantel test. In overlying soils, both archaeal and bacterial communities were closely correlated with pH, Ca<sup>2+</sup>, and NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> concentrations, whereas bacterial communities were also correlated with NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> concentration (Supplementary Table 3; Figures 3C,D). The important predictor groups identified above were found to be significantly correlated with environmental variables. For instance, relative abundance of Thaumarchaeota Group 1.1c and Pseudonocardiaceae showed a negative correlation with pH, whereas the relative abundance of Candidatus Nitrososphaera and MB-A2-108 positively correlated with pH (Figures 3C,D). NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> concentration positively correlated with the relative abundance of Candidatus Nitrocosmicus and MB-A2-108, whereas negatively with the relative abundance of Thaumarchaeota Group 1.1c (Figures 3C,D). Within the cave, NH4+ concentration negatively correlated to archaeal (Woesearchaeia, Thermoplasmata, Nitrosopumilaceae, and Aenigmarchaeales) and positively correlated to bacterial communities (Acidothermus, Crossiella, Pseudonocardiaceae, and Solirubrobacter) (Figures 3A,B). Besides NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> concentration, the relative abundance of Woesearchaeia and Aenigmarchaeales negatively correlated with TOC content, and the relative abundance of Nitrosopumilaceae and

### 3.3. Microbial interactions in the cave ecosystem

To investigate underlying interactions among archaea, bacteria, and environmental variables, co-occurrence networks were conducted in the cave ecosystem. The inside-cave networks of archaea, bacteria, and total prokaryotes had 250, 181, and 194 nodes and 1,405, 521, and 523 edges, respectively (Figures 4A-C,E-G; Supplementary Table 4). In contrast, the outside networks of archaea, bacteria, and total prokaryotes had 36, 145, and 152 nodes and 80, 128, and 132 edges, respectively (Supplementary Table 4). Positive links dominated the edges in all co-occurrence networks (Figure 4). The modularity values of all networks were higher than 0.4, showing good modular structures (Supplementary Table 4). Higher average clustering coefficient, higher density, higher average degree, lower diameter, and lower average path length were observed in archaeal topological properties compared to bacterial and total networks inside the cave. Similar patterns were also observed in topological properties of outside archaeal network with exceptions in average path length and diameter values (Supplementary Table 4). Bacterial networks and total prokaryotic networks were more similar in the topological properties of (Supplementary Table 4). Concentrations of NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> and Ca<sup>2+</sup> were tightly correlated with nodes in the outside archaeal network (Figure 4E).

The relevance between the relative abundance of nodes and betweenness centrality revealed that keystone taxa in low relative abundance sustain the function in the co-occurrence networks (Figures 4D,H). The taxonomy of keystone taxa was significantly different between networks of total prokaryotes inside and outside the cave. Inside the cave, keystone taxa consisted of subgroup 6 (*Acidobacteria*), *Candidatus* Methanoperedens (*Euryarchaeota*), *Rokubacteriales* (*Rokubacteria*), MB-A2-108 (*Actinobacteria*), and *Nitrosopumilaceae* (*Thaumarchaeota*) (Figure 4D). In contrast, keystone taxa of the prokaryotic network outside the cave were constituted by *Solirubrobacterales, Ilumatobacter*, and *Gaiellales* (Figure 4H, Supplementary Table 5).

# 3.4. Microbial functional inference and ecological trait assignment in cave ecosystem

Microbial functional prediction consists of microbial functional inference and ecological trait assignment in general (Djemiel et al., 2022). The results of functional prediction were mainly clustered by niches (Figure 5). In the weathered rocks, chemo-heterotrophy was dominant, and WP (weathered rocks in the photic zone) particularly exhibited functional inference of cyanobacteria, oxygenic photoautotrophy, and phototrophy (Figure 5A). In sediments, SP (sediments in the photic zone) mainly showed methanogenesis, and ST (sediments in the twilight zone) and SD (sediments in the dark zone) were dominated by nitrification and aerobic ammonia oxidation, which were similar to the functions in PS and FS niches (Figure 5A). The functional inference of DW consisted of chloroplasts, fermentation, and multiple degradation of organic compounds (Figure 5A).



For the details of microbial functional inference, inside and outside niches were predominated by different metabolic pathways (Figure 5B). For specific enzymes in cave ecosystem, adenylate cyclase [EC: 4.6.1.1], 8-oxo-dGTP diphosphatase [EC: 3.6.1.55], and ABC-2 type transport system permease protein were dominant in inside the cave (Figure 5C). The proteins related to ATP binding, such as iron (III) transport system ATP-binding protein [EC: 3.6.3.30], branched-chain amino acid transport system ATP-binding protein, and putative spermidine/putrescine

transport system ATP-binding protein, were dominant in DW samples (Figure 5C). Furthermore, dehydrogenase (long-chain acyl-CoA synthetase [EC: 6.2.1.3], aldehyde dehydrogenase (NAD+) [EC: 1.2.1.3], succinate-semialdehyde dehydrogenase/glutarate-semialdehyde dehydrogenase [EC: 1.2.1.16, 1.2.1.79, 1.2.1.20], and 3-hydroxybutyrate dehydrogenase [EC: 1.1.1.30]), reductase (3-oxoacyl-[acyl-carrier protein] reductase [EC: 1.1.1.100]), and synthase (Fatty-acyl-CoA synthase [EC: 6.2.1.-]) enzymes were dominant in outside samples (Figure 5C).



### 4. Discussion

### 4.1. Differences in prokaryotic communities within and outside the Heshang Cave

Overall, *Thaumarchaeota* and *Crenarchaeota* were reported to be dominant in karst niches, such as weathered rocks, sediments within the cave and soils outside the cave (Ai et al., 2022), which were consistent with our results mainly dominated by *Thaumarchaeota*, with a relative abundance ranging from  $0.23 \pm 0.14\%$  to  $21.69 \pm 8.88\%$  (Figure 1D;

Supplementary Table 2). *Thaumarchaeota* might associate with the acidic environment due to their dominance in the acidic cave with a pH value ranging from 4.97 to 6.88 (Barton et al., 2014). However, wide occurrence of *Thaumarchaeota* was also observed in the biofilm on weathered rocks and stalactites in southwestern karst caves (Anda et al., 2017; Dong et al., 2020). High abundance of *Thaumarchaeota* was observed in karst farmland soils outside the cave (Figure 1D), and other farmland soils with low salinity and with heavy metal pollutions (Zheng et al., 2017; Chang et al., 2021; Hu et al., 2021). The bacterial community was dominated by *Actinobacteria* (Figure 1D; Supplementary Table 2).



*Actinobacteria* are widely distributed in karst ecosystems (Fan et al., 2019; Zhu et al., 2019; Buresova-Faitova et al., 2022), and the Actinobacterial communities were significantly different between human-influenced and pristine karst caves, which were, respectively, predominated by *Nocardia, Mycobacterium*, and *Gaiellales* (Buresova-Faitova et al., 2022).

It should be pointed out that significant differences between microbial communities inside and outside the karst cave may result from their unique habitat characteristics. *Euryarchaeota* was predominant in DW3, located in the twilight zone, and in sediment samples across the cave, especially in the dark zone (Figure 1D). *Euryarchaeota* closely correlated with the functions of sulfur reducers, sulfate reducers, and thermophilic heterotrophs, and had been found on the surface of bat guano pile (Chroňáková et al., 2009). The sediments in the dark zone of the Heshang Cave were covered with bat guano, the

dominance of *Euryarchaeota* in our cave was consistent with previous studies. Simultaneously, high Shannon indices of archaea and bacteria were observed in the Heshang Cave ecosystem (Figures 1A,B) compared with the previous reports (Ai et al., 2022; Xiao et al., 2022). SD was dominant by *Rokubacteria* (24.22 $\pm$ 5.99%) and GAL15 (13.03 $\pm$ 4.29%) (Figure 1D, Supplementary Table 2). The potential anaerobic conditions in SD samples might account for the dominance of the phylum *Rokubacteria*, which might be capable of anaerobic methane oxidation coupling with nitrite reduction (Lomakina et al., 2019; Gonzalez-Pimentel et al., 2021). GAL15 was previously proposed as rare cave biosphere due to their rare occurrence in caves (Gonzalez-Pimentel et al., 2021). However, the relative high abundance of GAL15 (13.03 $\pm$ 4.29%) in sediments in the dark zone in the Heshang Cave potentially indicated their important role in cave ecosystem, which merits further investigation.



The results of beta diversity showed that microbial community at one site may substitute by different microbes from other sites (Baselga and Orme, 2012), which may diversify microbial community structures in karst cave ecosystems (Figures 2A,B). Inside the cave, archaeal groups such as Thermoplasmata, Nitrosopumilaceae, and Aenigmarchaeales (Figure 2C), and bacterial groups such as Crossiella, Acidothermus, and Solirubrobacter (Figure 2D) were the important taxa closely correlated to  $\beta_{sor}$  values, revealing their vital roles in these ecosystems. Thermoplasmata, one of acidophilic archaea, is reported to be present in the snottiest from the sulfide-rich Frasassi Cave (Macalady et al., 2007). Members of this group are considered only living in the aphotic environment, and played a role on obligate heterotrophs of fermenting the breakdown products of cellular decomposition with SO42- as an electron acceptor (Barton and Luiszer, 2005). Our detection of Thermoplasmata with high relative abundance of  $10.50 \pm 2.78\%$  in the alkaline Heshang Cave, especially in sediments of dark zone indicated their wider ecological niches in natural environments than previously thought. Their ecological functions in alkaline caves merits further investigation. Nitrosopumilaceae were reported to live in the active and fossil parts of the Dupnisa Cave System with the relative abundance >5% (Doğruöz-Güngör, 2020). Nitrosopumilaceae preferred aquatic niches (DW) in the Heshang Cave, which might process ammonia-oxidation in water samples (Toumi et al., 2021). Aenigmarchaeales, found in ice-capped lake and wheat-maize rotation soils, has never been reported in karst ecosystem (Wan et al., 2021; Vigneron et al., 2022). Nevertheless, low abundance of Aenigmarchaeales was found in DW samples  $(0.31 \pm 0.33\%)$ , especially in DW1 with high metabolic potential in summer (Yun et al., 2018). The functions of Aenigmarchaeales affiliated to anaerobic DPANN archaea contained not only degradation and

fermentation of cellular compounds, and sulfide and polysulfide reduction, but also coded genes of pore-forming toxins, peptidoglycan degradation, and RNA scavenging (Vigneron et al., 2022). Four Actinobacteria taxa were closely correlated with beta diversity inside the cave (Figure 2D). Higher abundance of Crossiella was previously reported in weathered rocks than in sediments from the Heshang Cave, which were also identified as the key genera and keystone taxa in weathered rocks as indicated by the analysis of least discriminant analysis effect size and network (Yun et al., 2016; Ma et al., 2021). Recent study showed that syntrophic relationship existed between Crossiella and nitrifying bacteria during the processes of CO<sub>2</sub> fixation, heterotrophic ammonification, and the moonmilk formation (Martin-Pozas et al., 2022). Acidothermus, detected in acidophilic and thermal environments, was found in weathered rock from a thermal karst cave, speleothems and mineral surface from an orthoquartzite cave (Mohagheghi et al., 1986; Borsodi et al., 2012; Ghezzi et al., 2021). Solirubrobacter was abundant in weathered rock in the twilight and dark zones, ranging from  $6.98 \pm 1.05\%$  to  $7.51 \pm 2.28\%$ , which preferred aerobic, neutral, and mesophilic (28–30°C) conditions (Singleton et al., 2003).

Outside the cave, substitution of microbial communities might be weak in comparison with those in caves as no microbial groups significantly contributed to  $\beta_{sor}$  (Figures 2E,F). *Candidatus* Nitrososphaera, *Candidatus* Nitrocosmicus, *Thaumarchaeota* Group 1.1c, MB-A2-108, and *Pseudonocardiaceae* were the important taxa (Figures 2E,F). *Candidatus* Nitrososphaera and *Candidatus* Nitrocosmicus are ammonia-oxidizing archaea (Wu et al., 2021), and *Candidatus* Nitrososphaera have been reported to be dominant in karst soils (Wang et al., 2019). The relative higher abundance of *Candidatus* Nitrocosmicus (1.26±1.40%) in farmland soil than that in FS and PS samples may result from the N-fertilization (Tanunchai et al., 2021). High relative abundance of *Thaumarchaeota* Group 1.1c (0.08%) was observed in FS samples with a pH ranging from 4.05–5.69 (Yun et al., 2016), which coincided with their dominance in acidic soils (Tripathi et al., 2013). MB-A2-108, affiliated to phylum *Actinobacteria*, was more commonly found in farmland soils compared with other karst niches, which also lived in stony meteorites (Tait et al., 2017).

# 4.2. Different response of prokaryotic communities to environmental factors in the karst ecosystem

Microbial communities inside and outside the cave response differently to environmental factors (Supplementary Table 3). Inside the cave, pH and NH4+ significantly impacted on archaeal relative abundance, whereas multiple edaphic variables such as NH4+, TOC,  $SO_4^{2-}$ , pH, and  $NO_2^{-}$  significantly correlated with the relative abundances of bacterial groups. pH positively impacted on the relative abundance of Nitrosopumilaceae and Thermoplasmata, which dominated in DW and sediment samples with a pH of 7.76-8.35 (Figure 3A). The relationship between Nitrosopumilaceae and pH was consistent with those observed in farmland soils and saline soils (Wan et al., 2021; Wang B. et al., 2022). The relative abundance of Thermoplasmata was reported negatively correlated with pH in acidic tropic soil, acidic springs, solfataras, and volcanic soils (Tripathi et al., 2013), whereas an opposite correlation was observed in our alkaline cave. The detection of Thermoplasmata in anthropogenic reservoir of salino-alkaline lime (Kalwasinska et al., 2019) supported their occurrence in alkaline environments. Besides pH, TOC and  $\mathrm{NH_4^+}$  concentration also significantly impact the relative abundances of archaeal groups inside the cave. The relative abundance of Woesearchaeia and Aenigmarchaeales negatively correlated to TOC (Figure 3A), which dominated in DW samples with a relative abundance of  $1.31 \pm 1.09\%$  and  $0.31 \pm 0.33\%$ , respectively (Supplementary Table 2). These anaerobes might be brought into the cave by drip water from the anoxic overlying rocks, which performed nutrient complementation with other microbes due to their prominent metabolic shortages (Castelle et al., 2015; Liu et al., 2018; Vigneron et al., 2022; Xiang et al., 2022). NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> concentration significantly impacted on the relative abundances of many archaeal and bacterial groups in the Heshang Cave (Figures 3A,B), such as the important taxa of Thermoplasmata, Nitrosopumilaceae, Aenigmarchaeales, Crossiella, Acidothermus, and Solirubrobacter, indicating the significance of NH4+ in cave ecosystems. Pivotal chemolithotrophy of sulfur- and ammonium-microbes, dominated by Nitrospira and Candidatus 'Nitrotoga', was reported in Movile Cave (Chen et al., 2009). Except hypogene caves, active nitrification has also be detected from cave sediments dominated by ammonia-oxidizing archaea in supergene karst cave (Zhao et al., 2016).

Microbial communities outside the cave closely correlated to pH and  $NO_2^-$  concentration (Figures 3C,D). pH has been reported to shape microbial communities in soils. However, different microbial groups show different response to pH variation. The relative abundance of Group 1.1c was positively correlated to pH (Figure 3C), which has been found in acidic soils across a pH gradient from 4.5 to 7.5 (Lehtovirta et al., 2009; Tripathi et al., 2013). The relative abundance of *Pseudonocardiaceae* negatively correlated with pH, whereas MB-A2-108 positively correlated with pH (Figures 3C,D) (Seuradge et al., 2017), which was consistent with our results. *Pseudonocardiaceae* has been

isolated within a pH range of 5.0–11.0 (Basilio et al., 2003), but they grow better with neutral pH (Ningsih et al., 2019). *Candidatus* Nitrososphaera, positively correlated to pH, was isolated from arable soil with a pH of 7.5 (Ningsih et al., 2019; Nan et al., 2020), and potentially capable of ammonia oxidation as indicated by their genome information (Spang et al., 2012). NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> concentration positively impacted on the relative abundances of MB-A2-108 and *Candidatus* Nitrocosmicus (Figures 3C,D), of which *Candidatus* Nitrocosmicus could oxidize ammonia in high concentration (Lehtovirta-Morley et al., 2016; St. Clair et al., 2020).

## 4.3. Interactions between bacteria and archaea and potential microbial functions in the karst ecosystem

Strong interactions between archaea and bacteria were observed inside the cave (Figure 4C) as indicated by the visibly direct links between microbial groups in these two domains in the co-occurrence network. For instance, archaeal OTU\_42617 (affiliated to Nitrososphaeraceae) showed positive correlations with bacterial groups such as Rokubacteriales, GAL15, Gaiella, Acidobacterial subgroup 6, and subgroup 9 (Figure 4C). All these taxa are reported to be able to participate in nitrogen cycle (Becraft et al., 2017; de Chaves et al., 2019; Obermeier et al., 2020; Longepierre et al., 2021). Rokubacteriale participated in nitrogen respiration (Becraft et al., 2017) and Gaiella contributed to the conversion of nitrate to nitrite (Albuquerque et al., 2011; Lv et al., 2022). Moreover, GAL15, acidobacterial subgroup 6, and subgroup 9 had been reported to be sensitive to nutrient availability (Hester et al., 2017; Wang J. et al., 2021). Therefore, nitrogen cycle might serve as the vital point in the interaction of archaea and bacteria inside cave, which merits further investigation.

In the prokaryotic networks putative ammonia oxidizer *Nitrososphaeraceae* and nitrate reducer *Gaiella* were found positively correlated both inside and outside the cave (Figures 4C,G), which is also reported in the urban and agricultural ditches with nitrogen-removal (Tatariw et al., 2021). The association between *Nitrososphaeraceae* and *Solirubrobacterales* might be correlated to nutrient flow and soil function recovery in karst soils (Figure 4G) since these taxa are found in high abundance in the highly damaged soils (Wei et al., 2020; Qiu et al., 2021).

Significant different keystone taxa were identified in the inside and outside archaea-bacteria co-occurrence networks based on the top five of betweenness centrality values, which putatively played fundamental ecological functions to sustain the ecosystems. Despite that, the topological properties of bacterial networks were similar to that of the total microbial networks (Supplementary Table 4), the potential ecological functions of archaea could not be overlooked in the karst ecosystems (Supplementary Table 4).

Inside the cave, keystone taxa consisted of archaeal groups of *Candidatus* Methanoperedens (*Euryarchaeota*), *Nitrosopumilaceae* (*Thaumarchaeota*) and bacterial groups of subgroup 6 (*Acidobacteria*), *Rokubacteriales* (*Rokubacteria*) and MB-A2-108 (*Actinobacteria*) (Figure 4D; Supplementary Table 5). Archaeal *Candidatus* Methanoperedens, mainly observed in SP samples, could anaerobically oxidize methane with nitrate as the electron acceptor *via* the reverse methanogenesis pathway and was enriched from an Italian paddy field (Vaksmaa et al., 2017). The ecological trait assignment analysis in SP further confirmed methanogenesis in SP samples with the water-flooded anaerobic conditions due to the convergence of water system at the

entrance of the Heshang Cave (Figure 5A). Chemolithoautotrophic *Nitrosopumilaceae*, predominant in sediment, is able to oxidize ammonia in cave ecosystems (Hathaway et al., 2021). Acidobaterial subgroup 6 can be only enriched in the presence of *Alphaproteobacteria* and has been observed in a chemolithoautotrophically based cave ecosystem (Spring et al., 2000; Meisinger et al., 2007), suggesting that mutually beneficial trophic relationships among subgroup 6 and other taxa in caves. *Rokubacteriales*, dominated in sediment samples especially in the SD samples (11.93 ± 3.85%), may be capable of nitrogen respiration as indicated by their genomic information (Becraft et al., 2017), which is coincident with the results of ecological trait assignment dominated by nitrification and aerobic ammonia oxidation (Figure 5A).

Group 1.1c, Nitrosophaeraceae, and Euryarchaeota were the keystone taxa in the archaeal network outside the cave. Group 1.1c, dominant in acid FS soils (pH of 4.05 to 5.69), prefer acidic condition and have metabolic potential of fatty acid oxidation in deep anoxic peat (Lin et al., 2015). Nitrosophaeraceae, enriched in FS (15.74±9.89%), is known as nitrifiers in aerobic processes (Longepierre et al., 2021), which might play roles in nitrification and aerobic ammonia oxidation in FS niches (Figure 5A). Microbial functional inference further confirmed functional niche differentiation in cave ecosystems except ecological trait assignments (Figures 5B,C). Microbial functional inferences inside the cave was dominated by energy metabolism, such as adenylate cyclase and 8-oxo-dGTP diphosphates, and ATP binding functions, which were closely correlated to DW niches (Figure 5C). In contrast, microbial functional inferences in overlying soils were related to carbohydrate metabolism such as dehydrogenase of aldehyde, long-chain acyl-CoA synthetase, succinate-semialdehyde/glutarate-semialdehyde, and 3-hydroxybutyrate, biotin metabolism and valine, leucine and isoleucine degradation (Figure 5C). It has been reported that most microbes exhibit energy metabolism due to oligotrophic conditions inside caves (Ortiz et al., 2014; Wiseschart et al., 2019), whereas carbohydrate metabolism, biotin metabolism, and degradation were found to be the pivotal functional inferences in outside soil ecosystems in our study.

### 5. Conclusion

Our results demonstrated differences in community structure, correlation with environmental factors, keystone taxa and potential ecological functions, and interactions between archaea and bacteria, providing new knowledge on archaeal ecology in subterranean biosphere.

- Both archaeal and bacterial communities showed significant differences in their composition and correlations with environmental factors inside the cave and outside the cave. *Thermoplasmata*, *Nitrosopumilaceae*, *Aenigmarchaeales*, *Crossiella*, *Acidothermus*, and *Solirubrobacter* inside the cave were significantly distinguished from those in overlying soils outside the cave and these members were closely correlated to NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> concentration. In contrast, *Candidatus* Nitrososphaera, *Candidatus* Nitrocosmicus, *Thaumarchaeota* Group 1.1c, MB-A2-108, and *Pseudonocardiaceae* served as the predictors for microbial communities in the overlying karst soil ecosystems, which correlated to pH, Ca<sup>2+</sup>, and NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> concentrations.
- Archaea were more connected than bacteria as indicated by the network analysis. The topological properties of bacterial networks were similar to those of the total prokaryotic networks.

3. Chemolithoautotrophic keystone taxa in the subsurface networks such as subgroup 6, *Candidatus* Methanoperedens, *Rokubacteriales*, and *Nitrosopumilaceae*, might maintain the stability of the cave ecosystem *via* obtaining energy under oligotrophic conditions. On the contrary, microbial functions in karst soils mainly involved in carbohydrate metabolism, biotin metabolism, and synthesis of fatty acid. Notably, all the keystone taxa were correlated to nitrogen cycle in karst ecosystems, indicating the fundamental role of nitrogen in the subsurface biosphere.

### Data availability statement

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession nusmber(s) can be found at: https://www.biosino.org/node, OER254939.

### Author contributions

XC analyzed the data and wrote the manuscript draft. XX assisted in data analysis. YY completed the sample collection and DNA extraction. WW assisted with the partial data analysis. HW organized and conducted the sampling campaigns, obtained funded projects and supervised manuscript. PB assisted in reviewing the manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

### Funding

This work was jointly supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant numbers 91951208 and 41130207) and China Scholarship Council (grant no. 202106410099).

### **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

### Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

### Supplementary material

The Supplementary material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2023.1068595/full# supplementary-material

### References

Ahmed, Y. A.-R., Pichler, V., Homolák, M., Gömöryová, E., Nagy, D., Pichlerová, M., et al. (2012). High organic carbon stock in a karstic soil of the middle-European Forest Province persists after centuries-long agroforestry management. *Eur. J. Forest Res.* 131, 1669–1680. doi: 10.1007/s10342-012-0608-7

Ai, J., Guo, J., Li, Y., Zhong, X., Lv, Y., Li, J., et al. (2022). The diversity of microbes and prediction of their functions in karst caves under the influence of human tourism activities-a case study of Zhijin cave in Southwest China. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. Int.* 29, 25858–25868. doi: 10.1007/s11356-021-17783-x

Albuquerque, L., Franca, L., Rainey, F. A., Schumann, P., Nobre, M. F., and da Costa, M. S. (2011). *Gaiella occulta* gen. Nov, sp. nov, a novel representative of a deep branching phylogenetic lineage within the class Actinobacteria and proposal of *Gaiellaceae* fam. Nov. and *Gaiellales* Ord. nov. *Syst. Appl. Microbiol.* 34, 595–599. doi: 10.1016/j. syapm.2011.07.001

Alonso, L., Creuze-des-Chatelliers, C., Trabac, T., Dubost, A., Moenne-Loccoz, Y., and Pommier, T. (2018). Rock substrate rather than black stain alterations drives microbial community structure in the passage of Lascaux cave. *Microbiome* 6:216. doi: 10.1186/ s40168-018-0599-9

Anda, D., Krett, G., Makk, J., Márialigeti, K., and Mádl-Szőnyi, J. (2017). Comparison of bacterial and archaeal communities from different habitats of the hypogenic Molnár János cave of the Buda thermal karst system (Hungary). *J. Cave Karst Stud.* 79, 113–121. doi: 10.4311/2015mb0134

Baker, A., Hartmann, A., Duan, W., Hankin, S., Comas-Bru, L., Cuthbert, M. O., et al. (2019). Global analysis reveals climatic controls on the oxygen isotope composition of cave drip water. *Nat. Commun.* 10:2984. doi: 10.1038/s41467-019-11027-w

Barton, H. A., Giarrizzo, J. G., Suarez, P., Robertson, C. E., Broering, M. J., Banks, E. D., et al. (2014). Microbial diversity in a Venezuelan orthoquartzite cave is dominated by the *Chloroflexi* (class *Ktedonobacterales*) and *Thaumarchaeota* group I.1c. *Front. Microbiol.* 5:615. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2014.00615

Barton, H., and Luiszer, F. (2005). Microbial metabolic structure in a sulfidic cave hot spring: potential mechanisms of biospeleogenesis. J. Cave Karst Stud. 67, 28–38.

Baselga, A., and Orme, C. D. L. (2012). Betapart: an R package for the study of beta diversity. *Methods Ecol. Evol.* 3, 808–812. doi: 10.1111/j.2041-210X.2012.00224.x

Basilio, A., Gonzalez, I., Vicente, M. F., Gorrochategui, J., Cabello, A., Gonzalez, A., et al. (2003). Patterns of antimicrobial activities from soil actinomycetes isolated under different conditions of pH and salinity. *J. Appl. Microbiol.* 95, 814–823. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2672.2003.02049.x

Becraft, E. D., Woyke, T., Jarett, J., Ivanova, N., Godoy-Vitorino, F., Poulton, N., et al. (2017). Rokubacteria: genomic giants among the uncultured bacterial phyla. *Front. Microbiol.* 8:2264. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2017.02264

Bolyen, E., Rideout, J. R., Dillon, M. R., Bokulich, N. A., Abnet, C. C., Al-Ghalith, G. A., et al. (2019). Reproducible, interactive, scalable and extensible microbiome data science using QIIME 2. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 37, 852–857. doi: 10.1038/s41587-019-0209-9

Borsodi, A. K., Knáb, M., Krett, G., Makk, J., Márialigeti, K., Erőss, A., et al. (2012). Biofilm bacterial communities inhabiting the cave walls of the Buda thermal karst system, Hungary. *Geomicrobiol J.* 29, 611–627. doi: 10.1080/01490451.2011.602801

Bradley, C., Baker, A., Jex, C. N., and Leng, M. J. (2010). Hydrological uncertainties in the modelling of cave drip-water  $\delta^{18}$ O and the implications for stalagmite palaeoclimate reconstructions. *Quaternary Sci. Rev.* 29, 2201–2214. doi: 10.1016/j.quascirev.2010.05.017

Buresova-Faitova, A., Kopecky, J., Sagova-Mareckova, M., Alonso, L., Vautrin, F., Moenne-Loccoz, Y., et al. (2022). Comparison of *Actinobacteria* communities from human-impacted and pristine karst caves. *Microbiol. Open* 11:e1276. doi: 10.1002/ mbo3.1276

Castelle, C. J., Wrighton, K. C., Thomas, B. C., Hug, L. A., Brown, C. T., Wilkins, M. J., et al. (2015). Genomic expansion of domain archaea highlights roles for organisms from new phyla in anaerobic carbon cycling. *Curr. Biol.* 25, 690–701. doi: 10.1016/j. cub.2015.01.014

Chalikakis, K., Plagnes, V., Guerin, R., Valois, R., and Bosch, F. P. (2011). Contribution of geophysical methods to karst-system exploration: an overview. *Hydrogeol. J.* 19, 1169–1180. doi: 10.1007/s10040-011-0746-x

Chang, F., Jia, F., Lv, R., Li, Y., Wang, Y., Jia, Q., et al. (2021). Soil bacterial communities reflect changes in soil properties during the tillage years of newly created farmland on the loess plateau. *Appl. Soil Ecol.* 161:103853. doi: 10.1016/j.apsoil.2020.103853

Chen, H., Li, D., Xiao, K., Wang, K., and Treseder, K. (2018). Soil microbial processes and resource limitation in karst and non-karst forests. *Funct. Ecol.* 32, 1400–1409. doi: 10.1111/1365-2435.13069

Chen, Y., Wu, L., Boden, R., Hillebrand, A., Kumaresan, D., Moussard, H., et al. (2009). Life without light: microbial diversity and evidence of sulfur- and ammonium-based chemolithotrophy in Movile cave. *ISME J.* 3, 1093–1104. doi: 10.1038/ismej.2009.57

Cheng, X., Liu, X., Wang, H., Su, C., Zhao, R., Bodelier, P. L. E., et al. (2021). USC $\gamma$  dominated community composition and Cooccurrence network of Methanotrophs and bacteria in subterranean karst caves. *Microbiol. Spectr.* 9:e0082021. doi: 10.1128/Spectrum.00820-21

Cheng, X., Wang, H., Zeng, Z., Li, L., Zhao, R., Bodelier, P. L. E., et al. (2022). Niche differentiation of atmospheric methane-oxidizing bacteria and their community assembly in subsurface karst caves. *Environ. Microbiol. Rep.* doi: 10.1111/1758-2229.13112

Cheng, X., Yun, Y., Wang, H., Ma, L., Tian, W., Man, B., et al. (2021). Contrasting bacterial communities and their assembly processes in karst soils under different land use. *Sci. Total Environ.* 751:142263. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.142263

Chroňáková, A., Horák, A., Elhottová, D., and Krištůfek, V. (2009). Diverse archaeal community of a bat guano pile in Domica cave (Slovak karst, Slovakia). *Folia Microbiol.* 54, 436–446. doi: 10.1007/s12223-009-0061-2

de Chaves, M. G., Silva, G. G. Z., Rossetto, R., Edwards, R. A., Tsai, S. M., and Navarrete, A. A. (2019). Acidobacteria subgroups and their metabolic potential for carbon degradation in sugarcane soil amended with vinasse and nitrogen fertilizers. *Front. Microbiol.* 10:1680. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2019.01680

De Waele, J., Plan, L., and Audra, P. (2009). Recent developments in surface and subsurface karst geomorphology: an introduction. *Geomorphology* 106, 1–8. doi: 10.1016/j. geomorph.2008.09.023

Di Maggio, C., Madonia, G., Parise, M., and Vattano, M. (2012). Karst of Sicily and its conservation. J. Cave Karst Stud. 74, 157–172. doi: 10.4311/2011jcks0209

Djemiel, C., Maron, P. A., Terrat, S., Dequiedt, S., Cottin, A., and Ranjard, L. (2022). Inferring microbiota functions from taxonomic genes: a review. *Gigascience* 11, 1–30. doi: 10.1093/gigascience/giab090

Doğruöz-Güngör, N. (2020). The microbial community structure of the Dupnisa cave in Kırklareli, Turkey. *Acta Carsologica* 49, 281–295. doi: 10.3986/ac.v49i2-3.8575

Dong, Y., Gao, J., Wu, Q., Ai, Y., Huang, Y., Wei, W., et al. (2020). Co-occurrence pattern and function prediction of bacterial community in karst cave. *BMC Microbiol.* 20:137. doi: 10.1186/s12866-020-01806-7

Engel, A. S., Meisinger, D. B., Porter, M. L., Payn, R. A., Schmid, M., Stern, L. A., et al. (2010). Linking phylogenetic and functional diversity to nutrient spiraling in microbial mats from lower Kane cave (USA). *ISME J.* 4, 98–110. doi: 10.1038/ismej.2009.91

Fan, Z., Lu, S., Liu, S., Guo, H., Wang, T., Zhou, J., et al. (2019). Changes in plant rhizosphere microbial communities under different vegetation restoration patterns in karst and non-karst ecosystems. *Sci. Rep.* 9:8761. doi: 10.1038/s41598-019-44985-8

Gabriel, C. R., and Northup, D. E. (2013). Chapter 5: Microbial Ecology: Caves as an Extreme Habitat London: Springer.

Ghezzi, D., Sauro, F., Columbu, A., Carbone, C., Hong, P. Y., Vergara, F., et al. (2021). Transition from unclassified *Ktedonobacterales* to *Actinobacteria* during amorphous silica precipitation in a quartzite cave environment. *Sci. Rep.* 11:3921. doi: 10.1038/ s41598-021-83416-5

Gonzalez-Pimentel, J. L., Martin-Pozas, T., Jurado, V., Miller, A. Z., Caldeira, A. T., et al. (2021). Prokaryotic communities from a lava tube cave in La Palma Island (Spain) are involved in the biogeochemical cycle of major elements. *PeerJ* 9:e11386. doi: 10.7717/ peerj.11386

Hartland, A., Fairchild, I. J., Lead, J. R., Borsato, A., Baker, A., Frisia, S., et al. (2012). From soil to cave: transport of trace metals by natural organic matter in karst dripwaters. *Chem. Geol.* 304-305, 68–82. doi: 10.1016/j.chemgeo.2012.01.032

Hathaway, J. J. M., Moser, D. P., Blank, J. G., and Northup, D. E. (2021). A comparison of primers in 16S rRNA gene surveys of bacteria and archaea from volcanic caves. *Geomicrobiol J.* 38, 741–754. doi: 10.1080/01490451.2021.1943727

Hershey, O. S., and Barton, H. A. (2018). The Microbial Diversity of Caves Cham: Springer.

Hester, E. R., Harpenslager, S. F., Diggelen, J. M. H. V., Lamers, L. L., Jetten, M. S. M., Lüke, C., et al. (2017). Linking nitrogen load to the structure and function of wetland soil and rhizosphere microbial communities. *mSystems* 3, e00214–e00217. doi: 10.1128/ mSystems.00214-17

Hu, X., Liu, X., Qiao, L., Zhang, S., Su, K., Qiu, Z., et al. (2021). Study on the spatial distribution of ureolytic microorganisms in farmland soil around tailings with different heavy metal pollution. *Sci. Total Environ.* 775:144946. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.144946

Hu, Y., Xia, Y., Sun, Q., Liu, K., Chen, X., Ge, T., et al. (2018). Effects of long-term fertilization on phoD-harboring bacterial community in karst soils. *Sci. Total Environ.* 628-629, 53–63. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.01.314

Jiao, S., Liu, Z., Lin, Y., Yang, J., Chen, W., and Wei, G. (2016). Bacterial communities in oil contaminated soils: biogeography and co-occurrence patterns. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 98, 64–73. doi: 10.1016/j.soilbio.2016.04.005

Jones, D. S., Albrecht, H. L., Dawson, K. S., Schaperdoth, I., Freeman, K. H., Pi, Y., et al. (2012). Community genomic analysis of an extremely acidophilic sulfur-oxidizing biofilm. *ISME J.* 6, 158–170. doi: 10.1038/ismej.2011.75

Kalwasinska, A., Deja-Sikora, E., Szabo, A., Felfoldi, T., Kosobucki, P., Brzezinska, M. S., et al. (2019). Salino-alkaline lime of anthropogenic origin a reservoir of diverse microbial communities. *Sci. Total Environ.* 655, 842–854. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.11.246

Kaufmann, G. (2014). Geophysical mapping of solution and collapse sinkholes. J. Appl. Geophys. 111, 271–288. doi: 10.1016/j.jappgeo.2014.10.011

Kim, E., Lee, J., Han, G., and Hwang, S. (2018). Comprehensive analysis of microbial communities in full-scale mesophilic and thermophilic anaerobic digesters treating food waste-recycling wastewater. *Bioresour. Technol.* 259, 442–450. doi: 10.1016/j. biortech.2018.03.079

Kimble, J. C., Winter, A. S., Spilde, M. N., Sinsabaugh, R. L., and Northup, D. E. (2018). A potential central role of *Thaumarchaeota* in N-cycling in a semi-arid environment, Fort Stanton cave, Snowy River passage, New Mexico, USA. FEMS Microbiol. Ecol. 94, 1–17. doi: 10.1093/femsec/fiy173

Lehtovirta, L. E., Prosser, J. I., and Nicol, G. W. (2009). Soil pH regulates the abundance and diversity of group 1.1c Crenarchaeota. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* 70, 367–376. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6941.2009.00748.x

Lehtovirta-Morley, L. E., Ross, J., Hink, L., Weber, E. B., Gubry-Rangin, C., Thion, C., et al. (2016). Isolation of '*Candidatus* Nitrosocosmicus franklandus', a novel ureolytic soil archaeal ammonia oxidiser with tolerance to high ammonia concentration. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* 92:fiw057. doi: 10.1093/femsec/fiw057

Li, X., Meng, D., Li, J., Yin, H., Liu, H., Liu, X., et al. (2017). Response of soil microbial communities and microbial interactions to long-term heavy metal contamination. *Environ. Pollut.* 231, 908–917. doi: 10.1016/j.envpol.2017.08.057

Liao, H., Zheng, C., Li, J., and Long, J. (2018). Dynamics of soil microbial recovery from cropland to orchard along a 20-year chronosequence in a degraded karst ecosystem. *Sci. Total Environ.* 639, 1051–1059. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.05.246

Lin, X., Handley, K. M., Gilbert, J. A., and Kostka, J. E. (2015). Metabolic potential of fatty acid oxidation and anaerobic respiration by abundant members of Thaumarchaeota and Thermoplasmata in deep anoxic peat. *ISME J.* 9, 2740–2744. doi: 10.1038/ismej.2015.77

Liu, X., Li, M., Castelle, C. J., Probst, A. J., Zhou, Z., Pan, J., et al. (2018). Insights into the ecology, evolution, and metabolism of the widespread Woesearchaeotal lineages. *Microbiome* 6:102. doi: 10.1186/s40168-018-0488-2

Lomakina, A., Pogodaeva, T., Kalmychkov, G., Chernitsyna, S., and Zemskaya, T. (2019). Diversity of NC10 bacteria and ANME-2d archaea in sediments of fault zones at Lake Baikal. *Diversity* 12, 1–19. doi: 10.3390/d12010010

Longepierre, M., Widmer, F., Keller, T., Weisskopf, P., Colombi, T., Six, J., et al. (2021). Limited resilience of the soil microbiome to mechanical compaction within four growing seasons of agricultural management. *ISME Commun.* 1, 1–13. doi: 10.1038/s43705-021-00046-8

Lv, H., Ji, C., Zhang, L., Jiang, C., and Cai, H. (2022). Zinc application promotes nitrogen transformation in rice rhizosphere soil by modifying microbial communities and gene expression levels. *Sci. Total Environ.* 849:157858. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.157858

Ma, L., Huang, X., Wang, H., Yun, Y., Cheng, X., Liu, D., et al. (2021). Microbial interactions drive distinct taxonomic and potential metabolic responses to habitats in karst cave ecosystem. *Microbiol. Spectr.* 9, e0115221–e0101121. doi: 10.1128/Spectrum.01152-21

Macalady, J. L., Jones, D. S., and Lyon, E. H. (2007). Extremely acidic, pendulous cave wall biofilms from the Frasassi cave system, Italy. *Environ. Microbiol.* 9, 1402–1414. doi: 10.1111/j.1462-2920.2007.01256.x

Martín González, A. M., Dalsgaard, B., and Olesen, J. M. (2010). Centrality measures and the importance of generalist species in pollination networks. *Ecol. Complex.* 7, 36–43. doi: 10.1016/j.ecocom.2009.03.008

Martin-Pozas, T., Cuezva, S., Fernandez-Cortes, A., Canaveras, J. C., Benavente, D., Jurado, V., et al. (2022). Role of subterranean microbiota in the carbon cycle and greenhouse gas dynamics. *Sci. Total Environ.* 831:154921. doi: 10.1016/j. scitotenv.2022.154921

Meisinger, D. B., Zimmermann, J., Ludwig, W., Schleifer, K. H., Wanner, G., Schmid, M., et al. (2007). In situ detection of novel Acidobacteria in microbial mats from a chemolithoautotrophically based cave ecosystem (lower Kane cave, WY, USA). *Environ. Microbiol.* 9, 1523–1534. doi: 10.1111/j.1462-2920.2007.01271.x

Mohagheghi, A., Grohmann, K., Himmel, M., Leighton, L., and Updegraff, D. M. (1986). Isolation and characterization of *Acidothermus cellulolyticus* gen. Nov., sp. nov., a new genus of thermophilic, acidophilic, cellulolytic bacteria. *Int. J. Syst. Bacteriol.* 36, 435–443. doi: 10.1099/00207713-36-3-435

Nan, L., Guo, Q., and Cao, S. (2020). Archaeal community diversity in different types of saline-alkali soil in arid regions of Northwest China. *J. Biosci. Bioeng.* 130, 382–389. doi: 10.1016/j.jbiosc.2020.06.001

Ningsih, F., Yokota, A., Sakai, Y., Nanatani, K., Yabe, S., Oetari, A., et al. (2019). *Gandjariella thermophila* gen. Nov., sp. nov., a new member of the family *Pseudonocardiaceae*, isolated from forest soil in a geothermal area. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 69, 3080–3086. doi: 10.1099/ijsem.0.003594

Northup, D. E., Barns, S. M., Yu, L. E., Spilde, M. N., Schelble, R. T., Dano, K. E., et al. (2003). Diverse microbial communities inhabiting ferromanganese deposits in Lechuguilla and spider caves. *Environ. Microbiol.* 5, 1071–1086. doi: 10.1046/j.1462-2920.2003.00500.x

Obermeier, M. M., Gnadinger, F., Durai Raj, A. C., Obermeier, W. A., Schmid, C. A. O., Balazs, H., et al. (2020). Under temperate climate, the conversion of grassland to arable land affects soil nutrient stocks and bacteria in a short term. *Sci. Total Environ.* 703:135494. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.135494

Ortiz, M., Legatzki, A., Neilson, J. W., Fryslie, B., Nelson, W. M., Wing, R. A., et al. (2014). Making a living while starving in the dark: metagenomic insights into the energy dynamics of a carbonate cave. *ISME J.* 8, 478–491. doi: 10.1038/ismej.2013.159

Pan, Y., Ni, B.-J., Liu, Y., and Guo, J. (2016). Modeling of the interaction among aerobic ammonium-oxidizing archaea/bacteria and anaerobic ammonium-oxidizing bacteria. *Chem. Eng. Sci.* 150, 35–40. doi: 10.1016/j.ces.2016.05.002

Portillo, M. C., and Gonzalez, J. M. (2009). Sulfate-reducing bacteria are common members of bacterial communities in Altamira cave (Spain). *Sci. Total Environ.* 407, 1114–1122. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2008.10.045

Qiu, L., Zhang, Q., Zhu, H., Reich, P. B., Banerjee, S., van der Heijden, M. G. A., et al. (2021). Erosion reduces soil microbial diversity, network complexity and multifunctionality. *ISME J.* 15, 2474–2489. doi: 10.1038/s41396-021-00913-1

Ribera, I., Cieslak, A., Faille, A., and Fresneda, J. (2019). *Historical and Ecological Factors Determining Cave Diversity*. Cham: Springer.

Seuradge, B. J., Oelbermann, M., and Neufeld, J. D. (2017). Depth-dependent influence of different land-use systems on bacterial biogeography. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* 93, 1–17. doi: 10.1093/femsec/fiw239

Singleton, D. R., Furlong, M. A., Peacock, A. D., White, D. C., Coleman, D. C., and Whitman, W. B. (2003). *Solirubrobacter pauli* gen. Nov., sp. nov., a mesophilic bacterium within the *Rubrobacteridae* related to common soil clones. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 53, 485–490. doi: 10.1099/iis.002438-0

Spang, A., Poehlein, A., Offre, P., Zumbragel, S., Haider, S., Rychlik, N., et al. (2012). The genome of the ammonia-oxidizing *Candidatus* Nitrososphaera gargensis: insights into metabolic versatility and environmental adaptations. *Environ. Microbiol.* 14, 3122–3145. doi: 10.1111/j.1462-2920.2012.02893.x

Spring, S., Schulze, R., Overmann, J., and Schleifer, K.-H. (2000). Identification and characterization of ecologically significant prokaryotes in the sediment of freshwater lakes: molecular and cultivation studies. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.* 24, 573–590. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6976.2000.tb00559.x

St. Clair, S., Saraylou, M., Melendez, D., Senn, N., Reitz, S., Kananipour, D., et al. (2020). Analysis of the soil microbiome of a Los Angeles urban farm. *Appl. Environ. Soil Science* 2020, 1–16. doi: 10.1155/2020/5738237

Tait, A. W., Gagen, E. J., Wilson, S. A., Tomkins, A. G., and Southam, G. (2017). Microbial populations of stony meteorites: substrate controls on first colonizers. *Front. Microbiol.* 8:1227. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2017.01227

Tanunchai, B., Juncheed, K., Wahdan, S. F. M., Guliyev, V., Udovenko, M., Lehnert, A.-S., et al. (2021). Analysis of microbial populations in plastic–soil systems after exposure to high poly(butylene succinate-co-adipate) load using high-resolution molecular technique. *Environ. Sci. Eur.* 33, 1–17. doi: 10.1186/s12302-021-00528-5

Tatariw, C., Mason, O. U., and Mortazavi, B. (2021). Ditching nutrients: roadside drainage networks are hotspots for microbial nitrogen removal. journal of geophysical research. *Biogeosciences* 126, 1–20. doi: 10.1029/2020jg006115

Toumi, M., Abbaszade, G., Sbaoui, Y., Farkas, R., Ács, É., Jurecska, L., et al. (2021). Cultivation and molecular studies to reveal the microbial communities of Groundwaters discharge located in Hungary. *Water* 13, 1–19. doi: 10.3390/w13111533

Tripathi, B. M., Kim, M., Lai-Hoe, A., Shukor, N. A., Rahim, R. A., Go, R., et al. (2013). pH dominates variation in tropical soil archaeal diversity and community structure. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* 86, 303–311. doi: 10.1111/1574-6941.12163

Vaksmaa, A., Guerrero-Cruz, S., van Alen, T. A., Cremers, G., Ettwig, K. F., Luke, C., et al. (2017). Enrichment of anaerobic nitrate-dependent methanotrophic '*Candidatus* Methanoperedens nitroreducens' archaea from an Italian paddy field soil. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 101, 7075–7084. doi: 10.1007/s00253-017-8416-0

Vick-Majors, T. J., Priscu, J. C., and Amaral-Zettler, L. A. (2014). Modular community structure suggests metabolic plasticity during the transition to polar night in ice-covered Antarctic lakes. *ISME J.* 8, 778–789. doi: 10.1038/ismej.2013.190

Vigneron, A., Cruaud, P., Lovejoy, C., and Vincent, W. F. (2022). Genomic evidence of functional diversity in DPANN archaea, from oxic species to anoxic vampiristic consortia. *ISME Commun.* 2, 1–10. doi: 10.1038/s43705-022-00088-6

Wan, S., Liao, X., Zhou, T. T., Wu, Y., Hu, A., Yan, D., et al. (2021). Shift in archaeal community along a soil profile in coastal wheat-maize rotation fields of different reclamation ages. *Land Degrad. Dev.* 32, 4162–4173. doi: 10.1002/ldr.4022

Wang, Y., Cheng, X., Wang, H., Zhou, J., Liu, X., and Tuovinen, O. H. (2022). The characterization of microbiome and interactions on weathered rocks in a subsurface karst cave, Central China. *Front. Microbiol.* 13:909494. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2022.909494

Wang, J., Fu, X., Ghimire, R., Sainju, U. M., Jia, Y., and Zhao, F. (2021). Responses of soil bacterial community and enzyme activity to organic matter components under long-term fertilization on the loess plateau of China. *Appl. Soil Ecol.* 166:103992. doi: 10.1016/j. apsoil.2021.103992

Wang, B., Kuang, S., Shao, H., Cheng, F., and Wang, H. (2022). Improving soil fertility by driving microbial community changes in saline soils of Yellow River Delta under petroleum pollution. *J. Environ. Manag.* 304:114265. doi: 10.1016/j.jenvman.2021.114265

Wang, X., Li, W., Xiao, Y., Cheng, A., Shen, T., Zhu, M., et al. (2021). Abundance and diversity of carbon-fixing bacterial communities in karst wetland soil ecosystems. *Catena* 204:105418. doi: 10.1016/j.catena.2021.105418

Wang, J., Wang, D., and Wang, B. (2019). Soil bacterial diversity and its determinants in the riparian zone of the Lijiang River, China. *Curr. Sci.* 117, 1324–1332. doi: 10.18520/cs/v117/i8/1324-1332

Wei, H., Liu, Y., Chao, Y., Tsang, D. C. W., Zhao, N., Liu, K., et al. (2020). Recovery of the biological function of ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid-washed soils: roles of environmental variations and microbes. *Sci. Total Environ.* 715:137032. doi: 10.1016/j. scitotenv.2020.137032

Wemheuer, F., Taylor, J. A., Daniel, R., Johnston, E., Meinicke, P., Thomas, T., et al. (2020). Tax4Fun2: prediction of habitat-specific functional profiles and functional redundancy based on 16S rRNA gene sequences. *Environ. Microbiome* 15:11. doi: 10.1186/ s40793-020-00358-7

Wen, L., Li, D., Yang, L., Luo, P., Chen, H., Xiao, K., et al. (2016). Rapid recuperation of soil nitrogen following agricultural abandonment in a karst area, Southwest China. *Biogeochemistry* 129, 341–354. doi: 10.1007/s10533-016-0235-3

Wiseschart, A., Mhuantong, W., Tangphatsornruang, S., Chantasingh, D., and Pootanakit, K. (2019). Shotgun metagenomic sequencing from Manao-pee cave, Thailand,

reveals insight into the microbial community structure and its metabolic potential. *BMC Microbiol.* 19:144. doi: 10.1186/s12866-019-1521-8

Wu, F., Zhang, Y., He, D., Gu, J.-D., Guo, Q., Liu, X., et al. (2021). Community structures of bacteria and archaea associated with the biodeterioration of sandstone sculptures at the Beishiku Temple. *Int. Biodeterior. Biodegradation* 164:105290. doi: 10.1016/j.ibiod.2021.105290

Xiang, X., Wang, H., Man, B., Xu, Y., Gong, L., Tian, W., et al. (2022). Diverse Bathyarchaeotal lineages dominate archaeal communities in the acidic Dajiuhu peatland, Central China. *Microb. Ecol.*, 1–15. doi: 10.1007/s00248-022-01990-1

Xiao, D., He, X., Zhang, W., Hu, P., Sun, M., and Wang, K. (2022). Comparison of bacterial and fungal diversity and network connectivity in karst and non-karst forests in Southwest China. *Sci. Total Environ.* 822:153179. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.153179

Xin, Y., Wu, N., Sun, Z., Wang, H., Chen, Y., Xu, C., et al. (2022). Methane seepage intensity distinguish microbial communities in sediments at the mid-Okinawa trough. *Sci. Total Environ.* 851:158213. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.158213

Yu, H., Speth, D. R., Connon, S. A., Goudeau, D., Malmstrom, R. R., Woyke, T., et al. (2022). Community structure and microbial associations in SedimentFree Methanotrophic enrichment cultures from a marine methane seep. *Appl. Environ. Microb.* 88, e0210921–e0210917. doi: 10.1128/aem.02109-21

Yun, Y. (2018). The response of microbial community in karst cave system to environmental changes - a case study of Heshang cave. Doctor degree, China University of Geosciences.

Yun, Y., Cheng, X., Wang, W., and Wang, H. (2018). Seasonal variation of bacterial community and their functional diversity in drip water from a karst cave. *Chin. Sci. Bull.* 63, 3932–3944. doi: 10.1360/N972018-00627

Yun, Y., Wang, H., Man, B., Xiang, X., Zhou, J., Qiu, X., et al. (2016). The relationship between pH and bacterial communities in a single karst ecosystem and its implication for soil acidification. *Front. Microbiol.* 7:1955. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2016.01955

Zhao, R., Wang, H., Cheng, X., Yun, Y., and Qiu, X. (2018). Upland soil cluster gamma dominates the methanotroph communities in the karst Heshang cave. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* 94, 1–13. doi: 10.1093/femsec/fiy192

Zhao, R., Wang, H., Yang, H., Yun, Y., and Barton, H. A. (2016). Ammonia-oxidizing archaea dominate ammonia-oxidizing communities within alkaline cave sediments. *Geomicrobiol. J.* 34, 511–523. doi: 10.1080/01490451.2016.1225861

Zhao, Y., Weng, Q., and Hu, B. (2022). Microbial interaction promote the degradation rate of organic matter in thermophilic period. *Waste Manag.* 144, 11–18. doi: 10.1016/j. wasman.2022.03.006

Zheng, W., Xue, D., Li, X., Deng, Y., Rui, J., Feng, K., et al. (2017). The responses and adaptations of microbial communities to salinity in farmland soils: a molecular ecological network analysis. *Appl. Soil Ecol.* 120, 239–246. doi: 10.1016/j.apsoil.2017.08.019

Zhu, H.-Z., Zhang, Z.-F., Zhou, N., Jiang, C.-Y., Wang, B.-J., Cai, L., et al. (2019). Diversity, distribution and co-occurrence patterns of bacterial communities in a karst cave system. *Front. Microbiol.* 10, 1–12. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2019.01726