Check for updates

#### OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Naveen Kumar, ICAR-National Institute of High Security Animal Diseases (ICAR-NIHSAD), India

#### REVIEWED BY

Collette Bromhead, Massey University, New Zealand Beatriz Escudero Pérez, Bernhard Nocht Institute for Tropical Medicine (BNITM), Germany Anne Balkema-Buschmann, Friedrich-Loeffler-Institut, Germany

#### \*CORRESPONDENCE Nina M. Pollak

npollak@usc.edu.au
 Joanne Macdonald
 jmacdon1@usc.edu.au
 David McMillan
 dmcmill1@usc.edu.au

SPECIALTY SECTION This article was submitted to Virology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Microbiology

RECEIVED 18 November 2022 ACCEPTED 13 December 2022 PUBLISHED 09 February 2023

#### CITATION

Pollak NM, Olsson M, Marsh GA, Macdonald J and McMillan D (2023) Evaluation of three rapid low-resource molecular tests for Nipah virus. *Front. Microbiol.* 13:1101914. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2022.1101914

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Pollak, Olsson, Marsh, Macdonald and McMillan. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

## Evaluation of three rapid low-resource molecular tests for Nipah virus

## Nina M. Pollak<sup>1,2,3</sup>\*, Malin Olsson<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Glenn A. Marsh<sup>4</sup>, Joanne Macdonald<sup>1,2,3,5</sup>\* and David McMillan<sup>1,2,3</sup>\*

<sup>1</sup>Centre for Bioinnovation, University of the Sunshine Coast, Sippy Downs, QLD, Australia, <sup>2</sup>DMTC Limited, Kew, VIC, Australia, <sup>3</sup>School of Science, Technology and Engineering, University of the Sunshine Coast, Sippy Downs, QLD, Australia, <sup>4</sup>Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation Health and Biosecurity, Australian Centre for Disease Preparedness, Geelong, VIC, Australia, <sup>5</sup>BioCifer Pty Ltd., Brisbane, QLD, Australia

Accurate and timely diagnosis of Nipah virus (NiV) requires rapid, inexpensive, and robust diagnostic tests to control spread of disease. Current state of the art technologies are slow and require laboratory infrastructure that may not be available in all endemic settings. Here we report the development and comparison of three rapid NiV molecular diagnostic tests based on reverse transcription recombinase-based isothermal amplification coupled with lateral flow detection. These tests include a simple and fast one-step sample processing step that inactivates the BSL-4 pathogen, enabling safe testing without the need for multi-step RNA purification. The rapid NiV tests targeted the Nucleocapsid protein (N) gene with analytical sensitivity down to 1,000 copies/ $\mu$ L for synthetic NiV RNA and did not cross-react with RNA of other flaviviruses or Chikungunya virus, which can clinically present with similar febrile symptoms. Two tests detected 50,000-100,000 TCID<sub>50</sub>/mL (100-200 RNA copies/reaction) of the two distinct strains of NiV, Bangladesh (NiV<sub>B</sub>) and Malaysia (NiV<sub>M</sub>), and took 30 min from sample to result, suggesting these tests are well suited for rapid diagnosis under resource-limited conditions due to rapidity, simplicity, and low equipment requirements. These Nipah tests represent a first step toward development of near-patient NiV diagnostics that are appropriately sensitive for first-line screening, sufficiently robust for a range of peripheral settings, with potential to be safely performed outside of biohazard containment facilities.

#### KEYWORDS

Nipah virus (NiV), rapid test, isothermal amplification, nucleic acid lateral flow, point of care, recombinase polymerase amplification (RPA), recombinase-aided amplification (RAA), nucleic acid extraction

## 1. Introduction

Nipah virus (NiV) is a zoonotic pathogen of the Henipavirus genus causing encephalitis and respiratory symptoms in humans with fatality rates of up to 75% (Eaton et al., 2006). Together with Hendra virus (HeV), they are the only paramyxoviruses that are classified as biosafety level 4 (BSL-4) pathogens. NiV has an exceptionally broad species tropism (Pernet et al., 2012). Transmission to humans can occur directly from Pteropid fruit bats (the reservoir host) or from contact with infected pigs (Luby et al., 2009; Clayton, 2017; Gurley et al., 2017), but also contaminated food. Interhuman transmission and nosocomial infections also contribute to Nipah dissemination (Weber and Rutala, 2001; Cleri et al., 2006; Sazzad et al., 2013). Crossspecies transmissions of NiV have been reported as the causes of outbreaks in multiple South and Southeast Asia regions including Malaysia (Goh et al., 2000; Parashar et al., 2000), Singapore (Paton et al., 1999), India (Chadha et al., 2006; Arunkumar et al., 2019; Yadav et al., 2022), and Bangladesh (Sazzad et al., 2013). The range of the reservoir hosts has confined henipavirus spillover events to Asia and Australia, but detection of cross-reactive henipavirus antibodies in African bats (including West African fruit bats Eidolon helvum) and humans (Drexler et al., 2009; Pernet et al., 2014) markedly increased the number of people worldwide that live in regions at risk of henipavirus spillover events. There is no specific antiviral treatment for NiV infection, however, immunotherapeutic treatments (monoclonal antibody therapies) are currently under development (Playford et al., 2020). Nipah disease has been identified by WHO as a priority disease that poses a great public health risk due to its epidemic potential (World Health Organization [WHO], 2018).

Diagnostic tests with high sensitivity and specificity that enable early detection of NiV infection in humans are needed both for patient treatment and NiV disease control (Weber and Rutala, 2001; Cleri et al., 2006; Ahmed et al., 2009; Luby et al., 2009). Accurate diagnosis of NiV has traditionally relied on serological, molecular or virological analyses, which include western blotting, ELISA, plaque assay, immunofluorescence staining, genome detection by PCR and quantitative PCR, and virus isolation (Chua et al., 2000; Crameri et al., 2002; Drosten et al., 2003; Guillaume et al., 2004; Kashiwazaki et al., 2004; Wacharapluesadee and Hemachudha, 2007; Chiang et al., 2010; Kaku et al., 2012; Kulkarni et al., 2016; Fischer et al., 2018; Jensen et al., 2018; Schulz et al., 2020). Nucleic acid amplification tests (NAATs), such as reverse-transcriptase PCR (RT-PCR), are preferred for detection of active viral infection as they are highly sensitive and detect virus earlier in the infection cycle. However, the required laboratory infrastructure for NAATs may not be available in all endemic settings. For low-resource settings, isothermal amplification technologies offer highly sensitive and specific diagnosis of infectious diseases (Zhao et al., 2015; Zamani et al., 2021; Zheng et al., 2021). Combined with lateral

flow detection (LFD), isothermal amplification offers a simple to use assay format that uses minimal equipment and is ideal for diagnostic point-of-care (POC) testing in resource-limited settings (James et al., 2018; Sadeghi et al., 2021; Ahmed et al., 2022). Of these, recombinase polymerase amplification (RPA) and recombinase-aided amplification (RAA) are two promising isothermal technologies. In RPA and RAA, double stranded DNA denaturation and strand invasion that is typically achieved by heat cycling in PCR is instead accomplished by a cocktail of recombinase enzymes, single-stranded binding proteins, and DNA polymerases (Piepenburg et al., 2006). Both methods have potential advantages over other technologies, such as loopmediated isothermal amplification (LAMP), as they can be performed at near ambient temperature (37-42°C), are more rapid, require a less complex oligonucleotide design, and have higher tolerance to PCR inhibitors (Li et al., 2018, 2020).

Most isothermal approaches still require multiple nucleic acid extraction steps and/or two amplification steps to achieve high specificity (Zamani et al., 2021; Zheng et al., 2021). These additional steps increase workflow complexity, removing many of the benefits of the isothermal amplification for low-resource detection. Here we present a simple one-step method for NiV sample processing that requires only a single subsequent dilution step to enable processed samples to be directly used for nucleic acid amplification. The method uses a novel sample preparation reagent, TNA-Cifer Reagent E (BioCifer, Brisbane, QLD, Australia), which has been shown to process and inactivate dengue virus samples (Pollak et al., 2023). In this study, we trialed TNA-Cifer Reagent E for inactivation of NiV, and also trialed sample preparation testing in conjunction with three Nipah NAAT tests that were developed and characterized as part of our study. The three tests included RAA (Qitian, Jiangsu, China) and two formats of RPA (TwistDX, Cambridge, United Kingdom): the TwistAmp® nfo kit and the TwistAmp® exo kit (Li et al., 2018, 2020). Each test was trialed with LFD to provide a simple, low-resource results read-out. Our simple test format could improve the speed and ease of NiV point-of-care detection, providing vastly simplified workflows and improved laboratory safety that are greatly compatible with operation in low-resource settings.

## 2. Materials and methods

# 2.1. Plasmids, RNA transcripts and oligonucleotides

### 2.1.1. Plasmids

Plasmids (pBIC-A) containing a NiV Nucleocapsid protein (N) gene fragment (JN808863.1, 694-993 nt) and a HeV N gene fragment (MN062017.1, 694-993 nt) were obtained from Bioneer Pacific (Victoria, Australia).

#### 2.1.2. RNA transcripts

Plasmids were linearized by restriction with Xho1 [New England Biolabs (Australia) Pty Ltd., Victoria, Australia], electrophoresed and purified (NucleoSpin® Gel and PCR Cleanup, Macherey-Nagel, Düren, Germany). RNA transcripts were generated by *in vitro* transcription according to manufacturer's instructions (MEGAscript® T7 transcription kit, Invitrogen by Thermo Fisher Scientific Australia Pty Ltd., Victoria, Australia) and RNA concentration was determined with a Qubit 4 Fluorometer (Invitrogen by Thermo Fisher Scientific Australia).

#### 2.1.3. Oligonucleotides

Primers and probes for the recombinase-based isothermal amplification tests were designed from a consensus sequence of the highly conserved Nucleocapsid protein (N) gene coding region. A total of 100 published N gene sequences were first aligned to identify conserved regions within the gene. Primers and probes targeting these conserved regions were designed according to criteria described by the manufacturer (TwistDX). Primer-BLAST of NCBI was used to confirm the specificity of the primers and probes. The online OligoEvaluator software<sup>1</sup> was used to analyze the potential for primer dimers and hairpins. The primers (5' biotin labeled reverse) and probes [5' 6-FAM (fluorescein) labeled] were synthesized by Bioneer Pacific (Victoria, Australia) using HPLC and PAGE purification, respectively. A total of three forward and three reverse primers, as well as two probes were synthesized, and tested in various combinations using reverse transcribed RNA as template and the optimized combination used for testing. Optimized sequences are in Table 1.

### 2.2. Viruses

### 2.2.1. Virus strains

NiV strains were originally derived from two human isolates, Nipah/Bangladesh/Human/2004/Rajbari, R1 (GenBank

1 http://www.oligoevaluator.com

TABLE 1 Primer and probe sequences for the rapid Nipah virus (NiV) tests.

Name	Sequence
NiV F6	ATTCTTCGCAACCATCAGATTYGGGTTGGAG
NiV P2	[5′ Biotin] ATTCCAGAGTGACCTCAACACCATCAARAGC [Internal dS spacer] TGATGCTACTCTACAG [3′ C3 spacer]
NiV R5ª	[5' FAM] TCAAGAAGCACCATATAAGGGGGCTCTTGGG
NiV R6 <sup>b</sup>	[5' FAM] TTAGTCTGAATTGATTCTTCAAGAAGCACC

<sup>a</sup>RT-RPA<sub>NFO</sub> and RT-RPA<sub>EXO</sub>.

<sup>b</sup>RT-RAA.

accession no. AY988601; NiVB) and Nipah virus/Malaysia/ Human/99 (GenBank accession no. AF212302; NiV<sub>M</sub>). Hendra virus was originally isolated from the lung of a horse (Hendra virus/Australia/Horse/2008/Redlands; GenBank accession no. HM044317; HeV). For inactivation, virus stocks were sent to a commercial gamma-irradiator where they were treated with 50 kGy gamma-irradiation. Flavivirus strains were originally derived from clinical isolates included Dengue virus serotypes 1-4 (DENV1 ET00.243 GenBank accession no. JN415499, DENV-2 ET00.300 GenBank accession no. JN568254, DENV-3 East Timor 2000 GenBank accession no. JN575566, and DENV-4 ET00.288 GenBank accession no. JN575585), Japanese encephalitis virus (JEV Nakayama strain GenBank accession no. EF571853), West Nile virus Kunjin strain (WNVKUNV NSW2011 strain GenBank accession no. JN887352), Murray Valley encephalitis virus (MVEV 1-51 strain GenBank accession no. L48972), and Zika virus (ZIKV MR766 GenBank accession no. MW143022). Alphavirus strain originally derived from clinical isolate Chikungunya virus Mauritius 2006 (CHIKV GenBank accession no. MH229986).

#### 2.2.2. Cell culture

Vero cells (Vero C1008) were obtained from ATCC. Vero cells were grown in Minimal Essential Medium (Gibco by Thermo Fisher Scientific Australia Pty Ltd., Victoria, Australia) containing 1× Antibiotic/Antimycotic solution (Gibco by Thermo Fisher Scientific Australia Pty Ltd., Victoria, Australia), and 10% fetal calf serum (Gibco by Thermo Fisher Scientific Australia Pty Ltd., Victoria, Australia), designated MEM-10), at 37°C and 5% CO2. Aedes albopictus clone C6/36 (ATCC CRL-1660) were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection. C6/36 cells were cultured in RPMI 1640 (Thermo Fisher Scientific Australia Pty Ltd., Victoria, Australia) with 5% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (Sigma-Aldrich, New South Wales, Australia), 2 mmol/L L-glutamine (Gibco by Thermo Fisher Scientific Australia Pty Ltd., Victoria, Australia) and 100 U/mL Penicillin, 100 µg/mL Streptomycin and 0.25 µg/mL Amphotericin B (Sigma-Aldrich, New South Wales, Australia), at 28°C and 5% CO<sub>2</sub>. Before reaching confluency, Vero and C6/36 cells were trypsinized with 0.25% trypsin solution (Gibco by Thermo Fisher Scientific Australia Pty Ltd., Victoria, Australia), and resuspended in fresh growth media before plating onto a new growth surface.

#### 2.2.3. Virus culture

Hendra virus and NiV were propagated in Vero cells with a low MOI infection of T175 cm flask. Virus containing supernatant was harvested at approximately 72 h, when significant cytopathic effect (CPE) was visible, stock clarified by centrifugation at 5,000 g for 10 min and then stored at  $-80^{\circ}$ C until needed. NiV<sub>B</sub>, NiV<sub>M</sub>, and HeV stock titers were  $5.54 \times 10^7$  tissue culture infectious dose (TCID)<sub>50</sub>/mL,  $1.89 \times 10^7$  TCID<sub>50</sub>/mL, and 9.5  $\times 10^7$  TCID<sub>50</sub>/mL. Flavivirus

strains and CHIKV were propagated to a concentration of  $10^{5}-10^{7}$  TCID<sub>50</sub>/mL in T25 culture flasks seeded with C6/36 cells in RPMI 1,640 growth media as described above, with the exception that 2% FBS was used. Seven days post infection, 2 mL of TRI Reagent (Sigma-Aldrich, New South Wales, Australia) was added to the flask preparation and swirled over the cell area for 1–2 min. To prepare the inactivated viruses for extraction the inoculum was separated into a tube and centrifuged at 3,000 rpm for 10 min at 4°C to separate supernatant from cell pellet. The total RNA for all flavivirus strains and CHIKV was extracted from the infected culture cell lysate stocks using the TRI Reagent extraction protocol, resuspended in nuclease-free water, quantified using a NanoDrop 2000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific Australia Pty Ltd., Victoria, Australia) and stored at  $-80^{\circ}$ C.

## 2.3. NiV and HeV RNA isolation and TaqMan PCR

RNA was extracted from NiV and HeV stocks using a MagMAX<sup>TM</sup> Viral RNA Isolation Kit (ThermoFisher) following manufacturer's instructions. RNA was eluted in a final volume of 60  $\mu$ L. Samples were stored at  $-80^{\circ}$ C prior to Taqman PCR analyses. TaqMan qPCR was performed using the AgPath-ID one-step reverse transcription-PCR kit (ThermoFisher), targeting the N gene of HeV or NiV as previously described (Feldman et al., 2009). Copy numbers were calculated using a previously derived formula from a standard curve.

### 2.4. Rapid NiV tests

#### 2.4.1. NiV assay design

The rapid, low-resource NiV tests targeted the Nucleocapsid protein (N) gene of NiV (nt 910-1056 for RT-RPA<sub>NFO</sub> and RT-RPA<sub>EXO</sub>, nt 910-1047 for RT-RAA), as this region has previously been used for development of qPCR assays (Jensen et al., 2018). By analyzing multiple sequence alignments of NiV strains of the Malaysian and Bangladesh genotypes, a highly conserved region was chosen for primer and probe design suitable for use in recombinase-based isothermal amplification reactions adhering to the general rules for RPA primer and probe design provided by TwistDx (2018). Different combinations of forward and reverse primers, and probes were tested using reverse transcribed RNA as template to identify an optimized combination used for all further testing.

#### 2.4.2. Sample processing

Gamma-irradiated NiV<sub>B</sub>, NiV<sub>M</sub>, and HeV isolates diluted in viral transport medium (VTM; Minimal Essential Medium containing 0.1% bovine serum albumin (Thermo Fisher Scientific Australia Pty Ltd., Victoria, Australia), 500 U/mL Penicillin (Gibco by Thermo Fisher Scientific Australia Pty Ltd., VIC, Australia), 500  $\mu$ g/mL Streptomycin (Gibco by Thermo Fisher Scientific Australia Pty Ltd., Victoria, Australia) and 2,500  $\mu$ g/mL Fungizone (Gibco by Thermo Fisher Scientific Australia Pty Ltd., Victoria, Australia) were mixed with TNA-Cifer Reagent E (BioCifer, Brisbane, QLD, Australia) at a ratio of 1:1 (4  $\mu$ L sample to 4  $\mu$ L TNA-Cifer Reagent E) and incubated for 2 min on ice. Processed samples were then diluted 1:6 (5  $\mu$ L processed sample to 25  $\mu$ L nuclease-free water) and immediately used as a template for either RT-nfoRPA (section "2.4.3 NiV RT-nfoRPA or RT-exoRPA tests"), RT-exoRPA (section "2.4.4 NiV RT-RAA tests") reaction without further RNA purification.

#### 2.4.3. NiV RT-nfoRPA or RT-exoRPA tests

Each test reaction was prepared using our developed primers and probe combined with either the TwistAmp<sup>TM</sup> nfo kit (TwistDX, Cambridge, United Kingdom) or the TwistAmp<sup>TM</sup> exo kit (TwistDX, Cambridge, United Kingdom), with final reaction conditions of  $1 \times$  rehydration buffer and 1/5 rehydrated lyophilized pellet, forward primer (420 nM), reverse primer (420 nM), probe (120 nM), Ribolock (10 U), and Moloney Murine Leukemia virus reverse transcriptase (mMLV, 40 U) including 1 µL template (from section "2.4.2 Sample processing") and magnesium acetate (14 mM) to a final reaction volume of 10 µL. Reactions using the TwistAmp<sup>TM</sup> exo kit also contained Endonuclease IV (2 U; New England Biolabs, Victoria, Australia). Reactions were incubated at 39°C for 20 min before lateral flow detection.

#### 2.4.4. NiV RT-RAA tests

Each test reaction was prepared using our developed primers and probe combined with the RAA kit (Qitian, Jiangsu, China), with final reaction conditions of  $1.224 \times$  rehydration buffer and 1/5 rehydrated lyophilized pellet, forward primer (420 nM), reverse primer (420 nM), probe (240 nM), Moloney Murine Leukemia virus reverse transcriptase (mMLV, 40 U; Promega, New South Wales, Australia), SuperScriptIV (40 U; Invitrogen, Victoria, Australia), RNase H (0.4 U; Invitrogen, Victoria, Australia) and Endonuclease IV (2 U; New England Biolabs, Victoria, Australia) including 1 µL template (from section "2.4.2 Sample processing") and magnesium acetate (14 mM) to a final reaction volume of 10 µL. Reactions were incubated at 39°C for 20 min before lateral flow detection.

#### 2.4.5. Lateral flow detection and analysis

Two microliters of the amplified test reaction mix (from sections "2.4.3 NiV RT-nfoRPA or RT-exoRPA tests or 2.4.4 NiV RT-RAA tests") was added to pre-activated HybriDetect lateral flow strips (Milenia Biotec, Giessen, Germany) (Rames and Macdonald, 2019), a universal dipstick for the detection of biotin- and fluorescein (FITC or FAM)-labeled analytes based

on lateral flow technology using gold particles. The strips were then placed for 5 min in 100  $\mu$ L running buffer (Li et al., 2019), analyzed by eye and scanned with an Epson Perfection V39 Flatbed Scanner (Epson, NSouth Wales, Australia). On visual analysis, a single control line depicted the absence of NiV and the appearance of two lines i.e., a test line along with the control line indicated the presence of NiV. Lateral flow strips were analyzed as previously described (James et al., 2018; Li et al., 2019) using ImageJ software (National Institutes of Health, MD, USA).

### 2.5. Statistical data analyses

Diagnostic test evaluation and comparison was determined using the online MedCalc statistical software.<sup>2</sup>

## 3. Results

## 3.1. NiV isothermal assay analytical sensitivity

To test the analytical sensitivity of the three NiV assays (NiV RT-nfoRPA-LFD, RT-exoRPA-LFD, and RT-RAA-LFD), serial dilutions of a synthetic template RNA with known copy number were assessed. The analytical sensitivity ranged from the highest concentration tested ( $1 \times 10^6$  copies/µL) to as little as 1,000 copies/µL for all three tests (**Figures 1A–C**).

## 3.2. NiV isothermal assay analytical specificity

Since symptoms of NiV infection are similar to other febrile diseases, specific diagnosis is critical for containment of an outbreak and to facilitate appropriate patient care. To confirm our NiV assays were specific for NiV, we next trialed our three tests against synthetic HeV RNA transcripts and RNA extracts from Chikungunya virus (CHIKV), Dengue virus serotypes 1-4 (DENV 1-4), Japanese encephalitis virus (JEV), Murray Valley encephalitis virus (MVEV), West Nile virus Kunjin strain (WNV<sub>KUNJ</sub>), Yellow fever virus (YFV), and Zika virus (ZIKV). Our Nipah tests did not detect CHIKV or any flaviviruses, however, HeV was detected at very high concentrations (10<sup>6</sup> copies/ $\mu$ L) showing faint positive test bands (Figures 2A–C). Testing HeV at lower concentration (10<sup>5</sup> copies/ $\mu$ L) revealed no positive test result with the RT-nfoRPA-LFD and RT-RAA-LFD. The RT-exoRPA-LFD resulted in a positive test result in one out of two replicates, suggesting more pronounced nonspecific detection of synthetic HeV RNA compared to the other two test formats.

## 3.3. Inactivation of NiV using TNA-Cifer Reagent E

To develop a truly low-resource test, we wanted to trial the NAAT tests in conjunction with sample preparation using TNA-Cifer Reagent E. However, since NiV is a BSL-4 agent, we first had to determine the conditions in which TNA-Cifer Reagent E would inactivate NiV, before any processed samples could be used for NAAT detection. TNA-Cifer Reagent E was found to completely inactivate NiV<sub>B</sub> ( $5.54 \times 10^7$  TCID<sub>50</sub>/mL) in 2 min after mixing the sample and reagent in a 1:1 ratio, and this was confirmed by serial passaging of inactivated virus for a further two serial passages at 2, 5, and 10 min (Figure 3A). However, sample to reagent ratios of 5:1 and 9:1 failed to inactivate NiV<sub>B</sub> during any of the tested incubation periods (2, 5, and 10 min, Figure 3B), and subsequent testing with NiV<sub>B</sub> and NiV<sub>M</sub> demonstrated that sample to reagent ratios of 2:1 also failed after both 5 and 10 min incubation (Figure 3C). These results suggested that equal parts of sample and TNA-Cifer Reagent E were required for successful NiV inactivation. Further investigation confirmed complete inactivation of both NiV<sub>B</sub>  $(5.54 \times 10^7 \text{ TCID}_{50}/\text{mL})$  and NiV<sub>M</sub>  $(1.89 \times 10^7 \text{ TCID}_{50}/\text{mL})$ at a 1:1 ratio after 2 min incubation, and this was confirmed by serially passaging culture supernatant through a further two serial passages (Figure 3D).

## 3.4. Sensitivity and specificity of rapid NiV tests using rapidly processed gamma-irradiated henipavirus isolate samples

By combining the rapid sample preparation method with our three isothermal NiV assays, we were able to develop three rapid NiV tests able to provide results in 30 min from sample to results. The rapid NiV<sub>NFO</sub>, rapid NiV<sub>EXO</sub>, and rapid NiV<sub>RAA</sub> tests combined rapid sample preparation followed by RT-nfoRPA-LFD, RT-exoRPA-LFD, or RT-RAA-LFD, respectively. To assess strain-specific sensitivities, two distinct strains of gamma-irradiated NiV isolates, Bangladesh (NiV<sub>B</sub>), and Malaysia (NiV<sub>M</sub>), were serially diluted in viral transport medium and tested with each rapid NiV test. Using this approach, we detected both NiV<sub>B</sub> and NiV<sub>M</sub> with two of the three rapid NiV tests (NiV<sub>NFO</sub> and NiV<sub>EXO</sub>) in the range of 50,000-100,000 TCID<sub>50</sub>/mL, the equivalent of approximately 50-100 infectious particles per microliter (Figures 4A, B). However, the rapid NiV<sub>RAA</sub> test was shown to detect virus at only 1,000,000 TCID<sub>50</sub>/mL (Figure 4C). All three NiV tests

<sup>2</sup> https://www.medcalc.org/calc/diagnostic\_test.php



did not detect HeV at very high concentrations (95,000,000  $\mathrm{TCID}_{50}/\mathrm{mL}).$ 

Combining all gamma-irradiated virus testing results from **Figure 4** together, we assessed the diagnostic sensitivity and specificity of the test, for detection of henipavirus isolate samples at 100,000 TCID<sub>50</sub>/mL or higher (n = 25, **Table 2**). The best performing test, the rapid NiV<sub>NFO</sub> test, had 100.0% diagnostic sensitivity (95% CI: 79.4–100.0%) and 100.0% diagnostic specificity (95% CI: 29.24–100.0%). The other two Rapid NiV tests (NiV<sub>EXO</sub> and NiV<sub>RAA</sub>) demonstrated diagnostic sensitivities of 93.8% (95% CI: 69.8–99.8%) and 62.5% (95% CI: 35.4–84.8%), respectively, with both showing 100% diagnostic specificity (95% CI: 29.2–100.0%) (n = 25, **Table 2**).

## 4. Discussion

Given the often rural and remote NiV outbreak settings, NiV diagnostics should ideally be deployable for use in decentralized laboratories or field-based settings, and at the same time, still fulfill the need for sensitive and accurate detection of early NiV infection. Isothermal NAAT platforms have lower infrastructure requirements than laboratory-based diagnostics. If these could be combined with safe and simple sample preparation methods, they could be deployable for lowresource use, with fewer training requirements for healthcare workers. In this study, we developed and evaluated three rapid NiV tests in a simple low-resource format. These tests use a simple and rapid sample preparation protocol, a singletemperature isothermal amplification technology (RT-nfoRPA, RT-exoRPA, or RT-RAA), and are coupled with LFD for easy result interpretation within 30 min. The tests were shown to be specific for detection of NiV, and did not detect other viruses which can clinically present with similar febrile symptoms including flaviviruses, CHIKV. For the closely related HeV we note that synthetic HeV transcript was detected at very high concentrations (10<sup>6</sup> copies/µL), but gamma-irradiated HeV could not be detected at very high titers (9.5  $\times$  10<sup>7</sup> TCID<sub>50</sub>/mL) suggesting that the assays were specific for NiV. The tests can be used without expensive laboratory equipment, and could prevent extended waiting periods for NiV testing, by enabling on-site low-resource testing rather than delayed results due to sample shipment to central laboratory testing facilities.

The majority of previous studies have used RT-qPCR for the detection of NiV nucleic acids. Only one study has reported the development of an RT-LAMP assay targeting the N gene capable of detection of 100 pg (estimated approximately 10<sup>7</sup> copies/reaction) of total Nipah pseudovirus RNA, suggesting its detection limit was comparable to conventional RT-qPCR (Ma et al., 2019). The reported assay tested RNA prepared with a viral nucleic acid extraction kit and produced results



in either 45 or 50 min with a Realtime Turbidimeter or a calcein dye detection method with a water bath (Ma et al., 2019). In comparison, two of our three rapid NiV tests (rapid sample processing followed by RT-nfoRPA-LFD or RT-exoRPA-LFD) had a detection limit ranging from 50,000 to 100,000 TCID<sub>50</sub>/mL, equivalent to 20–263 infectious particles per microliter, or 20–250 RNA copies/reaction. The best performing rapid NiV test (rapid sample processing combined with RT-nfoRPA-LFD) showed 100.0% diagnostic sensitivity (95% CI: 79.4–100.0%) and 100.0% diagnostic specificity (95% CI: 29.24–100.0%) for detection of >100,000 TCID<sub>50</sub>/mL virus

(100–200 RNA copies/reaction). The rapid NiV test based on the exo product which required the addition of the enzyme endonucleases IV showed reduced diagnostic sensitivity (93.8, 95% CI: 69.8–99.8%; 200–250 RNA copies/reaction), but may represent a suitable alternative to the currently unavailable nfo product. In comparison, our rapid NiV test utilizing RAA kits only showed a diagnostic sensitivity of 62.5% (95% CI: 35.4– 84.8%; 4,000–6,000 RNA copies/reaction), despite optimization which included slightly more rehydration buffer (1.224×) which slightly improved results. However, RT-RAA tests have previously been reported to reach high sensitivity (>95%) for

			CPE	oositive w	/ells/total	number o	10 <sup>8</sup> 1						
NiV <sub>B</sub> to TNA-Cifer Reagent E	2 minutes			5 minutes			10 minutes			੍ਰੇ <sup>107</sup> 🦉 🐧		Sa TN	
	P1	P2	P3	P1	P2	P3	P1	P2	P3			Reagent	
1:1	0/3	0/3	0/3	0/3	0/3	0/3	0/3	0/3	0/3	<u>D</u> 10 <sup>4</sup> -		•	● 9:1 ■ 5:1
5:1	3/3	-	-	3/3	-	-	3/3	-	-	± 10 <sup>3</sup> - ∞ 10 <sup>2</sup> -		٠.	▲ 1:1 ▼ PBS
9:1	3/3	-	-	3/3	-	-	3/3	-	-	10 <sup>1</sup>			
1:1 PBS control	3/3	-	-	3/3	-	-	3/3	-	-	Time (min)	789	10	
		CPE positive wells/total number of wells									CPE p	ositive w	ells/total
Sample to TNA Cifer Descent E	NiV <sub>B</sub>			NiV <sub>B</sub>			NiV <sub>M</sub>			Sample to TNA-Cifer Reagent E	2 minutos		
Sample to TNA-Citer Reagent E	5 minutes		10 minutes		5 minutes		5	1:1		2 111110			
	P1	P2	P3	P1	P2	P3	P1	P2	P3		P1	P2	P3
1.1	0/3	0/3	0/3	0/3	0/3	0/3	0/3	0/3	0/3	NIV <sub>B</sub>	0/3	0/3	0/3
1.1							1/2*	1/2*	1/2*	NiV <sub>P</sub> PBS control	3/3	-	
2:1	3/3	-	-	3/3	-	-				B			
2:1	3/3 3/3	-	-	3/3 3/3	-	-	3/3	-	-	NiV <sub>M</sub>	0/3	0/3	0/3

#### FIGURE 3

Rapid sample processing inactivates Nipah virus. (A) NiV<sub>B</sub> recovery from samples (5.54 × 10<sup>7</sup> TCID<sub>50</sub>/mL) incubated with and without TNA-Cifer Reagent E at a 1:1, 5:1 and 9:1 ratio, for 2, 5 or 10 min at room temperature. (B) NiV<sub>B</sub> was incubated with TNA-Cifer Reagent E at 1:1, 5:1 and 9:1 ratio or PBS at 9:1 for the indicated times at room temperature and the virus titer determined by TCID<sub>50</sub> assays using Vero E6 cells. The dotted line indicates limit of detection of assays based on a starting 1/10 dilution of samples. This experiment was performed one time, with three samples taken per time point. (C) NiV recovery from samples (NiV<sub>B</sub>  $5.54 \times 10^7$  TCID<sub>50</sub>/mL; NiV<sub>M</sub>  $1.89 \times 10^7$  TCID<sub>50</sub>/mL) incubated with and without TNA-Cifer Reagent E at a 1:1, 2:1 and 5:1 ratio for 5 and/or 10 min at room temperature. (D) NiV recovery from samples (NiV<sub>B</sub>  $5.54 \times 10^7$ TCID<sub>50</sub>/mL; NiV<sub>M</sub> 1.89 × 10<sup>7</sup> TCID<sub>50</sub>/mL) incubated with and without TNA-Cifer Reagent E at a 1:1 ratio and 2 min at room temperature. (A, C, D) Mixtures (100 µL) were added to individual wells of Vero E6 cells (approximately 70% confluent) on 6-well plate and incubated for 7 days. Individual wells were scored as either positive or negative for the presence of cytopathic effect (CPE) typical of Nipah virus (syncytia). Wells showing no evidence of NiV CPE had 200 µL supernatant removed and added to a new 6-well plate with fresh Vero cells (blind passage), again incubated for 7 days before scoring for NiV CPE. This blind passaging of negative samples was done for two additional passages (three 7 days incubations on Vero cells: P1, P2, and P3). Number of CPE positive wells compared to total number of wells for each condition. Green highlighted boxes show samples that were inactivated by TNA-Cifer Reagent E and showed no CPE. Orange highlighted boxes indicate samples that were not inactivated and showed CPE. Gray highlighted boxes mark where samples were not passaged due to the presence of CPE in the previous passage. Each experiment was performed in triplicate, except were indicated by \* the experiment was performed in duplicate due to human error.

the detection of SARS-CoV-2 (Qian et al., 2020; Subsoontorn et al., 2020).

Preparing samples for nucleic acid testing is a critical step for any field-based diagnostic POC method, particularly for NAATs that traditionally require high purity of samples. Diagnosis of suspected Nipah cases could greatly benefit from the elimination of traditional RNA extraction kit use, particularly where a lack of infrastructure exists and excludes the use of automated costly robotics systems. Previously reported in house NAATs employed for NiV detection used commercial RNA extraction reagents and kits [reviewed in Mazzola and Kelly-Cirino (2019)], which require time consuming procedures or costly automated robotic systems, and cannot be performed if access to centralized well-equipped laboratories including robots, centrifuges and/or vacuum manifolds, is limited. One key advantage of our rapid NiV tests was the unique sample processing method that enabled rapid virus detection in NiV isolates, while inactivating the BSL-4 pathogen in the first step of the procedure. Our sample processing method reduced sample preparation time to only 2 min, involving the direct addition of a single reagent to the sample followed by dilution in nuclease-free water before subsequent isothermal amplification and did not require time-consuming multistep RNA extraction with a kit. Our simple sample processing method can provide a pathway for safer POC testing, or safer near-patient POC testing in low-resource environments that do not have full biohazard facilities. Additional work is required to clinically validate the performance and operational utility of our rapid NiV tests. Validation efforts for NiV tests have been limited due to the lack of NiV-positive human samples. This study limitation could be addressed by spiking gamma-irradiated NiV into blood, plasma, and serum, in an effort to show operational suitability with clinical samples. In addition, further analysis of NiV inactivation should consider the minimal TNA-Cifer Reagent E concentration, as well as the effect of serum, sub-optimal sample matrices, or other potential inhibitors of inactivation before field trialing tests.

NiV has both epidemic and pandemic potential (Gomez Roman et al., 2020). The virus has been shown to transmit

						B NiV <sub>EXC</sub>	o test				
	Isolates (TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL)	Normalized	Pos. samples No. samples	% Positiv	eTaqman PCR (copies/		Isolates (TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL)	Normalized	Pos. samples	s% Positiv	eTaqman PCR (copies/
Control Test	+	20 50 75	tested		reaction)	Control Test		20 50 /5	tested		reaction)
	NTC -		0/12	0%	0		NTC _	_	0/12	0%	0
	PTC		3/3	100%	-		PTC		3/3	100%	-
	1 × 10 <sup>4</sup> NiV <sub>B</sub>	_	0/3	0%	8		1 × 10 <sup>4</sup> NiV <sub>B</sub>		1/3	33.3%	14
	5 × 10 <sup>4</sup> NiV <sub>B</sub>		3/3	100%	20		5 × 10 <sup>4</sup> NiV <sub>B</sub>		1/3	33.3%	22
	1 × 10 <sup>5</sup> NiV <sub>B</sub>		4/4	100%	212		1 × 10 <sup>5</sup> NiV <sub>B</sub>		4/4	100%	263
	1 × 10 <sup>6</sup> NiV <sub>B</sub>		3/3	100%	3,677		1 × 10 <sup>6</sup> NiV <sub>B</sub>		3/3	100%	4,079
1	× 10 <sup>4</sup> NiV <sub>M</sub>	_	0/6	0%	4		1 × 104 NiV <sub>M</sub>	_	0/6	0%	5
5	5 × 10 <sup>4</sup> NiV <sub>M</sub>		2/3	33.3%	6		5 × 10 <sup>4</sup> NiV <sub>M</sub>		1/3	33.3%	8
1	× 10 <sup>5</sup> NiV <sub>M</sub>		5/5	100%	99		1 × 10 <sup>5</sup> NiV <sub>M</sub>		4/5	80%	154
1	× 10 <sup>6</sup> NiV <sub>M</sub>		4/4	100%	7,097		1 × 10 <sup>6</sup> NiV <sub>M</sub>		4/4	100%	5,582
9.	5 × 10 <sup>7</sup> HeV		0/3	0%	11,330,897	9	0.5 × 10 <sup>7</sup> HeV		0/3	0%	11,322,298
Control Test	(TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL) 0 +-	black values 25 50 75	No. samples		(copies/ reaction)						
	NTC			0%	0						
	PTC		3/3	100%	-						
	1 × 10 <sup>4</sup> NiV <sub>B</sub>		0/3	0%	10						
	- 4 104 NBV		0/3	0%	27						
	5 × 10' INIVB		0/0	0.10	21						
	$1 \times 10^5 \text{ NiV}_B$		0/3	0%	238						
	$1 \times 10^5 \text{ NiV}_B$ $1 \times 10^5 \text{ NiV}_B$		0/3 4/4	0% 100%	238 4,638						
	$1 \times 10^{5} \text{ NiV}_{B}$ $1 \times 10^{5} \text{ NiV}_{B}$ $1 \times 10^{6} \text{ NiV}_{B}$ $1 \times 10^{4} \text{ NiV}_{M}$		0/3 4/4 0/6	0% 100% 0%	238 4,638 4						
	$1 \times 10^{6} \text{ NiV}_{B}$ $1 \times 10^{6} \text{ NiV}_{B}$ $1 \times 10^{6} \text{ NiV}_{B}$ $1 \times 10^{4} \text{ NiV}_{M}$ $5 \times 10^{4} \text{ NiV}_{M}$		0/3 4/4 0/6 0/3	0% 100% 0% 0%	27 238 4,638 4 12						
	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \times 10^{6} \text{ NiV}_{B} \\ 1 \times 10^{6} \text{ NiV}_{B} \\ 1 \times 10^{6} \text{ NiV}_{B} \end{bmatrix}$ $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \times 10^{6} \text{ NiV}_{M} \\ 1 \times 10^{4} \text{ NiV}_{M} \\ 1 \times 10^{5} \text{ NiV}_{M} \end{bmatrix}$		0/3 4/4 0/6 0/3 2/5	0% 100% 0% 0% 40%	27 238 4,638 4 12 178						
	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \times 10^{5} \text{ NiV}_{B} \\ 1 \times 10^{5} \text{ NiV}_{B} \\ 1 \times 10^{6} \text{ NiV}_{B} \\ 1 \times 10^{4} \text{ NiV}_{M} \\ 5 \times 10^{4} \text{ NiV}_{M} \\ 1 \times 10^{5} \text{ NiV}_{M} \\ 1 \times 10^{5} \text{ NiV}_{M} \end{bmatrix}$		0/3 4/4 0/6 0/3 2/5 4/4	0% 100% 0% 40% 100%	238 4,638 4 12 178 5,615						

through contaminated food, as well as via direct contact with animal excretions or infected humans. Human NiV incubation periods after exposure are believed to range from 4 to 14 days, with reports as long as 45 days (Chong et al., 2002). It is unclear if transmission can occur during this time, but likely begins during the incubation period, which has been demonstrated in pigs (Middleton et al., 2002). Pigs and experimentally infected cats can shed NiV in respiratory secretions and urine (Middleton et al., 2002; Mungall et al., 2007). Many other viruses in the Paramyxoviridae family (like measles virus) transmit well between people, so there is concern that a novel Nipah variant with increased transmission could arise. Our rapid NiV test could be used to rapidly detect disease at or near-POC, to enable early interventions that can reduce morbidity and mortality. It would also be interesting to consider extending this rapid test format to detect all henipaviruses (NiVB/NiVM, HeV, and Cedar virus) or all paramyxoviruses to further improve detection of these pathogens at the POC.

In conclusion, we developed three rapid Nipah tests and evaluated their potential as near-patient POC or POC diagnostic suitable for resource-limited settings. We determined the

analytical sensitivity of the three recombinase-based isothermal amplification LFD tests to be at least 1,000 copies/µL, and confirmed the tests did not detect any other viruses displaying similar febrile symptoms. The rapid NiV tests demonstrated excellent diagnostic specificity and varying degrees of sensitivity (62.5-100.0%) for detection of >100,000 TCID<sub>50</sub>/mL virus. The tests are advantageous compared to conventional methods such as RT-PCR, with improved procedural simplicity, rapid sample processing and turnaround time (30 min from sample preparation to result), minimal equipment requirements, and improved safety as the BSL-4 pathogen is inactivated in the very first step of the procedure. Our three Nipah tests represent a first step toward development of near-patient POC or POC NiV diagnostics that are affordable, appropriately sensitive for first-line screening, and sufficiently robust for adequate testing at the community level. Future research could focus on establishing operational suitability of the selected rapid NiV test, by integration of the whole testing process into a microfluidic system to facilitate a rapid, accurate, and safe testing procedure for testing at the POC level.

Rapid NiV test	#True Pos.	True Neg.	False Pos.	False Neg.	Total tested	Sensitivity (95% CI)	Specificity (95% CI)
RT-nfoRPA-LFD	16	3	0	0	19	100.0% (79.4–100.0%)	100.0% (29.2–100.0%)
RT-exoRPA-LFD	15	3	0	1	19	93.8% (69.8–99.8%)	100.0% (29.2–100.0%)
RT-RAA-LFD	10	3	0	6	19	62.5% (35.4–84.8%)	100.0% (29.2–100.0%)

TABLE 2 Diagnostic test evolution of three rapid Nipah virus (NiV) tests.

Conservative analysis included all tested henipavirus isolate samples  ${\geq}100{,}000~{\rm TCID}_{50}/{\rm mL}.$ 

## Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in this study are included in this article/supplementary material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding authors.

## Author contributions

NP: conceptualization, data curation, formal analysis, investigation, methodology, project administration, resources, supervision, validation, visualization, writing-original draft preparation, and writing-review and editing. MO: data curation, formal analysis, investigation, methodology, validation, visualization, and writing-review and editing. GM: data curation, formal analysis, investigation, methodology, resources, validation, visualization, and writing-review and editing. JM: conceptualization, formal analysis, funding acquisition, methodology, project administration, resources, supervision, and writing-review and editing; DM: conceptualization, formal analysis, funding acquisition, methodology, project administration, resources, supervision, and writing-review and editing. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

## Funding

This project was funded by the DMTC Limited (Australia), Medical Countermeasures Program [Project 10.75], and BioCifer Pty Ltd. This work was also supported, in part, by the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation [OPP1140133]. Under the grant conditions of the Foundation, a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 Generic License has already been assigned to the author Accepted Manuscript version that might arise from this submission. The authors declare that this study received funding from DMTC Limited and BioCifer Pty Ltd. The funders had no involvement in the study design, collection, analysis, interpretation of data, the writing of this article, or the decision to submit it for publication.

## Acknowledgments

We wish to thank Jody Hobson-Peters (Australian Infectious Diseases Research Centre and School of Chemistry and Molecular Biosciences, The University of Queensland, St Lucia, QLD Australia) for providing the dengue stocks and TRIzol samples of flaviviruses and Chikungunya virus. This manuscript includes research that was supported by the DMTC Limited (Australia). The authors have prepared this manuscript in accordance with the intellectual property rights granted to partners from the original DMTC project. DMTC Limited is a research company that runs multiparty collaborative research projects. A project has been conducted with University of Sunshine Coast and BioCifer whereby a license has been issued to BioCifer with regards to Project IP. This publication contains Project IP, and BioCifer and University of Sunshine Coast have been issued with the rights to publish the Project IP.

## **Conflict of interest**

NP is a funded post-doctoral research scientist for DMTC Ltd., Australia. JM is a Project Leader for DMTC Ltd., Australia and co-founder, shareholder, and director of BioCifer Pty. Ltd., who has licensed the technology.

The remaining authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

The reviewer AB-B declared a past co-authorship with one of the author GM to the handling editor.

## Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

## References

Ahmed, J., Bouloy, M., Ergonul, O., Fooks, A., Paweska, J., Chevalier, V., et al. (2009). International network for capacity building for the control of emerging viral vector-borne zoonotic diseases: ARBO-ZOONET. *Euro Surveill*. 14:19160.

Ahmed, M., Pollak, N., Hugo, L., Van Den Hurk, A., Hobson-Peters, J., and Macdonald, J. (2022). Rapid molecular assays for the detection of the four dengue viruses in infected mosquitoes [version 1; peer review: 1 approved]. *Gates Open Res.* 6:81.

Arunkumar, G., Chandni, R., Mourya, D. T., Singh, S. K., Sadanandan, R., Sudan, P., et al. (2019). Outbreak investigation of Nipah virus disease in Kerala, India, 2018. *J. Infect. Dis.* 219, 1867–1878.

Chadha, M. S., Comer, J. A., Lowe, L., Rota, P. A., Rollin, P. E., Bellini, W. J., et al. (2006). Nipah virus-associated encephalitis outbreak, Siliguri, India. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 12, 235–240.

Chiang, C. F., Lo, M. K., Rota, P. A., Spiropoulou, C. F., and Rollin, P. E. (2010). Use of monoclonal antibodies against Hendra and Nipah viruses in an antigen capture ELISA. *Virol. J.* 7:115. doi: 10.1186/1743-422X-7-115

Chong, H. T., Kunjapan, S. R., Thayaparan, T., Tong, J., Petharunam, V., Jusoh, M. R., et al. (2002). Nipah encephalitis outbreak in Malaysia, clinical features in patients from Seremban. *Can. J. Neurol. Sci.* 29, 83–87. doi: 10.1017/s0317167100001785

Chua, K. B., Bellini, W. J., Rota, P. A., Harcourt, B. H., Tamin, A., Lam, S. K., et al. (2000). Nipah virus: A recently emergent deadly paramyxovirus. *Science* 288, 1432–1435.

Clayton, B. A. (2017). Nipah virus: Transmission of a zoonotic paramyxovirus. *Curr. Opin. Virol.* 22, 97–104.

Cleri, D. J., Ricketti, A. J., Porwancher, R. B., Ramos-Bonner, L. S., and Vernaleo, J. R. (2006). Viral hemorrhagic fevers: current status of endemic disease and strategies for control. *Infect. Dis. Clin. North Am.* 20, 359–393, x.

Crameri, G., Wang, L. F., Morrissy, C., White, J., and Eaton, B. T. (2002). A rapid immune plaque assay for the detection of Hendra and Nipah viruses and anti-virus antibodies. *J. Virol. Methods* 99, 41–51. doi: 10.1016/s0166-0934(01)00377-9

Drexler, J. F., Corman, V. M., Gloza-Rausch, F., Seebens, A., Annan, A., Ipsen, A., et al. (2009). Henipavirus RNA in African bats. *PLoS One* 4:e6367. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0006367

Drosten, C., Kummerer, B. M., Schmitz, H., and Gunther, S. (2003). Molecular diagnostics of viral hemorrhagic fevers. *Antiviral Res.* 57, 61–87.

Eaton, B. T., Broder, C. C., Middleton, D., and Wang, L. F. (2006). Hendra and Nipah viruses: Different and dangerous. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 4, 23–35. doi: 10.1038/nrmicro1323

Feldman, K. S., Foord, A., Heine, H. G., Smith, I. L., Boyd, V., Marsh, G. A., et al. (2009). Design and evaluation of consensus PCR assays for henipaviruses. *J. Virol. Methods* 161, 52–57.

Fischer, K., Diederich, S., Smith, G., Reiche, S., Pinho Dos Reis, V., Stroh, E., et al. (2018). Indirect ELISA based on Hendra and Nipah virus proteins for the detection of henipavirus specific antibodies in pigs. *PLoS One* 13:e0194385. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0194385

Goh, K. J., Tan, C. T., Chew, N. K., Tan, P. S., Kamarulzaman, A., Sarji, S. A., et al. (2000). Clinical features of Nipah virus encephalitis among pig farmers in Malaysia. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 342, 1229–1235.

Gomez Roman, R., Wang, L. F., Lee, B., Halpin, K., De Wit, E., Broder, C. C., et al. (2020). Nipah@20: Lessons learned from another virus with pandemic potential. *mSphere* 5:e00602-20.

Guillaume, V., Lefeuvre, A., Faure, C., Marianneau, P., Buckland, R., Lam, S. K., et al. (2004). Specific detection of Nipah virus using real-time RT-PCR (TaqMan). *J. Virol. Methods* 120, 229–237. doi: 10.1016/j.jviromet.2004.05.018

Gurley, E. S., Hegde, S. T., Hossain, K., Sazzad, H. M. S., Hossain, M. J., Rahman, M., et al. (2017). Convergence of humans, bats, trees, and culture in Nipah Virus transmission, Bangladesh. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 23, 1446–1453. doi: 10.3201/eid2309. 161922

James, A. S., Todd, S., Pollak, N. M., Marsh, G. A., and Macdonald, J. (2018). Ebolavirus diagnosis made simple, comparable and faster than molecular detection methods: preparing for the future. *Virol. J.* 15:75. doi: 10.1186/s12985-018-0985-8

Jensen, K. S., Adams, R., Bennett, R. S., Bernbaum, J., Jahrling, P. B., and Holbrook, M. R. (2018). Development of a novel real-time polymerase chain reaction assay for the quantitative detection of Nipah virus replicative viral RNA. *PLoS One* 13:e0199534. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0199534

Kaku, Y., Noguchi, A., Marsh, G. A., Barr, J. A., Okutani, A., Hotta, K., et al. (2012). Antigen capture ELISA system for henipaviruses using polyclonal

antibodies obtained by DNA immunization. Arch. Virol. 157, 1605-1609. doi: 10.1007/s00705-012-1338-3

Kashiwazaki, Y., Na, Y. N., Tanimura, N., and Imada, T. (2004). A solid-phase blocking ELISA for detection of antibodies to Nipah virus. *J. Virol. Methods* 121, 259–261. doi: 10.1016/j.jviromet.2004.06.015

Kulkarni, D. D., Venkatesh, G., Tosh, C., Patel, P., Mashoria, A., Gupta, V., et al. (2016). Development and evaluation of recombinant nucleocapsid protein based diagnostic ELISA for detection of Nipah virus infection in pigs. *J. Immunoassay Immunochem.* 37, 154–166. doi: 10.1080/15321819.2015.1074922

Li, J., Macdonald, J., and Von Stetten, F. (2018). Review: a comprehensive summary of a decade development of the recombinase polymerase amplification. *Analyst* 144, 31–67.

Li, J., Macdonald, J., and Von Stetten, F. (2020). Correction: Review: A comprehensive summary of a decade development of the recombinase polymerase amplification. *Analyst* 145, 1950–1960. doi: 10.1039/c9an90127b

Li, J., Pollak, N. M., and Macdonald, J. (2019). Multiplex detection of nucleic acids using recombinase polymerase amplification and a molecular colorimetric 7-segment display. ACS Omega 4, 11388–11396. doi: 10.1021/acsomega.9b01097

Luby, S. P., Gurley, E. S., and Hossain, M. J. (2009). Transmission of human infection with Nipah virus. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 49, 1743–1748.

Ma, L., Chen, Z., Guan, W., Chen, Q., and Liu, D. (2019). Rapid and specific detection of all known Nipah virus strains' sequences with reverse transcription-loop-mediated isothermal amplification. *Front. Microbiol.* 10:418. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2019.00418

Mazzola, L. T., and Kelly-Cirino, C. (2019). Diagnostics for Nipah virus: A zoonotic pathogen endemic to Southeast Asia. *BMJ Glob. Health* 4:e001118.

Middleton, D. J., Westbury, H. A., Morrissy, C. J., Van Der Heide, B. M., Russell, G. M., Braun, M. A., et al. (2002). Experimental Nipah virus infection in pigs and cats. *J. Comp. Pathol.* 126, 124–136.

Mungall, B. A., Middleton, D., Crameri, G., Halpin, K., Bingham, J., Eaton, B. T., et al. (2007). Vertical transmission and fetal replication of Nipah virus in an experimentally infected cat. *J. Infect. Dis.* 196, 812–816. doi: 10.1086/520818

Parashar, U. D., Sunn, L. M., Ong, F., Mounts, A. W., Arif, M. T., Ksiazek, T. G., et al. (2000). Case-control study of risk factors for human infection with a new zoonotic paramyxovirus, Nipah virus, during a 1998-1999 outbreak of severe encephalitis in Malaysia. *J. Infect. Dis.* 181, 1755–1759. doi: 10.1086/31 5457

Paton, N. I., Leo, Y. S., Zaki, S. R., Auchus, A. P., Lee, K. E., Ling, A. E., et al. (1999). Outbreak of Nipah-virus infection among abattoir workers in Singapore. *Lancet* 354, 1253–1256.

Pernet, O., Schneider, B. S., Beaty, S. M., Lebreton, M., Yun, T. E., Park, A., et al. (2014). Evidence for henipavirus spillover into human populations in Africa. *Nat. Commun.* 5:5342.

Pernet, O., Wang, Y. E., and Lee, B. (2012). Henipavirus receptor usage and tropism. *Curr. Top. Microbiol. Immunol.* 359, 59–78.

Piepenburg, O., Williams, C. H., Stemple, D. L., and Armes, N. A. (2006). DNA detection using recombination proteins. *PLoS Biol.* 4:e204. doi: 10.1371/journal. pbio.0040204

Playford, E. G., Munro, T., Mahler, S. M., Elliott, S., Gerometta, M., Hoger, K. L., et al. (2020). Safety, tolerability, pharmacokinetics, and immunogenicity of a human monoclonal antibody targeting the G glycoprotein of henipaviruses in healthy adults: A first-in-human, randomised, controlled, phase 1 study. *Lancet Infect. Dis.* 20, 445–454. doi: 10.1016/S1473-3099(19)30634-6

Pollak, N. M., Olsson, M., Ahmed, M., Tan, J., Lim, G., Setoh, Y. X., et al. (2023). Rapid diagnostic tests for the detection of the four dengue virus serotypes in clinically relevant matrices. *Microbiol. Spectr.* doi: 10.1128/spectrum.02796-22

Qian, J., Boswell, S. A., Chidley, C., Lu, Z. X., Pettit, M. E., Gaudio, B. L., et al. (2020). An enhanced isothermal amplification assay for viral detection. *Nat. Commun.* 11:5920.

Rames, E. K., and Macdonald, J. (2019). Rapid assessment of viral water quality using a novel recombinase polymerase amplification test for human adenovirus. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 103, 8115–8125. doi: 10.1007/s00253-019-10 077-w

Sadeghi, P., Sohrabi, H., Hejazi, M., Jahanban-Esfahlan, A., Baradaran, B., Tohidast, M., et al. (2021). Lateral flow assays (LFA) as an alternative medical diagnosis method for detection of virus species: The intertwine of nanotechnology with sensing strategies. *Trends Analyt. Chem.* 145:116460. doi: 10.1016/j.trac.2021. 116460 Sazzad, H. M., Hossain, M. J., Gurley, E. S., Ameen, K. M., Parveen, S., Islam, M. S., et al. (2013). Nipah virus infection outbreak with nosocomial and corpseto-human transmission, Bangladesh. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 19, 210–217. doi: 10.3201/ eid1902.120971

Schulz, J. E., Seifert, S. N., Thompson, J. T., Avanzato, V., Sterling, S. L., Yan, L., et al. (2020). Serological Evidence for Henipa-like and Filo-like Viruses in Trinidad Bats. *J. Infect. Dis.* 221, S375–S382. doi: 10.1093/infdis/jiz648

Subsoontorn, P., Lohitnavy, M., and Kongkaew, C. (2020). The diagnostic accuracy of isothermal nucleic acid point-of-care tests for human coronaviruses: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Sci. Rep.* 10:22349. doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-79237-7

TwistDx (2018). Assay design manual, TwistAmp  $^{\textcircled{B}}$  DNA amplification kits. Cambridge: TwistDx.

Wacharapluesadee, S., and Hemachudha, T. (2007). Duplex nested RT-PCR for detection of Nipah virus RNA from urine specimens of bats. *J. Virol. Methods* 141, 97–101. doi: 10.1016/j.jviromet.2006.11.023

Weber, D. J., and Rutala, W. A. (2001). Risks and prevention of nosocomial transmission of rare zoonotic diseases. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 32, 446–456.

World Health Organization [WHO] (2018). *Nipah virus*. Available online at: https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/nipah-virus (accessed September 28, 2022).

Yadav, P. D., Sahay, R. R., Balakrishnan, A., Mohandas, S., Radhakrishnan, C., Gokhale, M. D., et al. (2022). Nipah virus outbreak in Kerala state, India amidst of COVID-19 pandemic. *Front. Public Health* 10:818545. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2022. 818545

Zamani, M., Furst, A. L., and Klapperich, C. M. (2021). Strategies for engineering affordable technologies for point-of-care diagnostics of infectious diseases. *Acc. Chem. Res.* 54, 3772–3779. doi: 10.1021/acs.accounts.1c0 0434

Zhao, Y., Chen, F., Li, Q., Wang, L., and Fan, C. (2015). Isothermal amplification of nucleic acids. *Chem. Rev.* 115, 12491–12545. doi: 10.1021/acs.chemrev.5b0 0428

Zheng, C., Wang, K., Zheng, W., Cheng, Y., Li, T., Cao, B., et al. (2021). Rapid developments in lateral flow immunoassay for nucleic acid detection. *Analyst* 146, 1514–1528. doi: 10.1039/D0AN02 150D