Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Maoda Pang, Jiangsu Academy of Agricultural Sciences (JAAS), China

REVIEWED BY

Salih Kumru, Recep Tayyip Erdoğan University, Türkiye Lixing Huang, Jimei University, China Ruojun Wang, Princeton University, United States

*CORRESPONDENCE Yong-An Zhang ☑ yonganzhang@mail.hzau.edu.cn Yang Zhou ☑ zhouyang@mail.hzau.edu.cn

SPECIALTY SECTION This article was submitted to Infectious Agents and Disease, a section of the journal Frontiers in Microbiology

RECEIVED 16 November 2022 ACCEPTED 28 December 2022 PUBLISHED 08 February 2023

CITATION

Li J, Wu Z, Hou Y, Zhang Y-A and Zhou Y (2023) Fur functions as an activator of T6SS-mediated bacterial dominance and virulence in *Aeromonas hydrophila*. *Front. Microbiol.* 13:1099611. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2022.1099611

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Li, Wu, Hou, Zhang and Zhou. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Fur functions as an activator of T6SS-mediated bacterial dominance and virulence in *Aeromonas hydrophila*

Jihong Li^{1,2,3,4}, Zhihao Wu¹, Yuting Hou¹, Yong-An Zhang^{1,2,5,6,7}* and Yang Zhou^{1,2,4,7,8}*

¹State Key Laboratory of Agricultural Microbiology, College of Fisheries, Huazhong Agricultural University, Wuhan, China, ²Guangdong Laboratory for Lingnan Modern Agriculture, Guangzhou, China, ³Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Medical College, China Three Gorges University, Yichang, China, ⁴Shenzhen Institute of Nutrition and Health, Huazhong Agricultural University, Wuhan, China, ⁵Hubei Hongshan Laboratory, Wuhan, China, ⁶Laboratory for Marine Biology and Biotechnology, Qingdao National Laboratory for Marine Science and Technology, Qingdao, China, ⁷Engineering Research Center of Green Development for Conventional Aquatic Biological Industry in the Yangtze River Economic Belt, Ministry of Education, Wuhan, China, ⁸Shenzhen Branch, Guangdong Laboratory for Lingnan Modern Agriculture, Genome Analysis Laboratory of the Ministry of Agriculture, Agricultural Genomics Institute at Shenzhen, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Shenzhen, China

Aeromonas hydrophila, a ubiquitous bacterium in aquatic habitats with broad host ranges, has earned the nickname of a 'Jack-of-all-trades'. However, there is still a limited understanding of the mechanism of how this bacterium fit the competition with other species in dynamic surroundings. The type VI secretion system (T6SS) is macromolecular machinery found in Gram-negative bacteria's cell envelope that is responsible for bacterial killing and/or pathogenicity toward different host cells. In this study, the depression of A. hydrophila T6SS under iron-limiting conditions was detected. The ferric uptake regulator (Fur) was then found to act as an activator of T6SS by directly binding to the Fur box region in vipA promoter in the T6SS gene cluster. The transcription of vipA was repressed in Δfur . Moreover, the inactivation of Fur resulted in considerable defects in the interbacterial competition activity and pathogenicity of A. hydrophila in vitro and in vivo. These findings provide the first direct evidence that Fur positively regulates the expression and functional activity of T6SS in Gram-negative bacteria and will help to understand the fascinating mechanism of competitive advantage for A. hydrophila in different ecological niches.

KEYWORDS

Aeromonas hydrophila, T6SS, fur, pathogenesis, interbacterial competition activity

1. Introduction

Aeromonas' environmental reservoir permits it to exist at the interface of all One Health components (Lamy et al., 2021), which has garnered wide attention. *Aeromonas hydrophila*, as the most well know specie of the genus, could cause infections in a wide variety of aquatic and terrestrial animals, even possibly causing human diseases due to infections by consuming *A. hydrophila*-contaminated animals (Dong et al., 2020). Motile Aeromonas septicemia (MAS) in different fish species caused by *A. hydrophila* is economically devastating to aquaculture industries worldwide (Pridgeon and Klesius, 2011; Hossain et al., 2014). The pathogenesis of *A. hydrophila* is complicated and multifactorial, involving various virulence factors including O antigens, capsules, lipopolysaccharides (LPS), the S-layers, exotoxins, and protein secretion systems (Sha et al., 2001;

Tomás, 2012; Ji et al., 2015). Protein secretion systems are required for virulence and microorganism competition.

The conserved type VI secretion system (T6SS) is a protein secretion machinery found in more than a quarter of Gram-negative pathogens (Galan and Waksman, 2018). It can be a potent virulence factor that targets host cells or a powerful weapon that targets and competes with competing bacteria in polymicrobial settings (Cianfanelli et al., 2016). The T6SS machinery is composed of 13 primary parts, with additional parts participating in effector translocation. The T6SS transenvelope complex is made up of folded Hcp protein that is covered with VgrG protein. In addition, Hcp and VgrG serve as both machine components and secreted effector proteins (Cianfanelli et al., 2016). T6SS is used by pathogens like Vibrio cholerae, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, and pathogenic Escherichia coli to transport multiple, diverse effector proteins into target cells. These effectors have a wide range of functions, targeting both prokaryotic and eukaryotic organisms (Hood et al., 2010; Ma et al., 2014; Unterweger et al., 2014). T6SS was discovered to contribute to A. hydrophila's bactericidal activity and pathogenicity in our previous study (Li et al., 2021). T6SS appears to be tailored to the needs of each bacterium and is thus regulated by a wild range of regulatory mechanisms to ensure bacterial infection success (Leung et al., 2011). A vast range of modulators, such as the quorum-sensing system, alternative sigma factors, two-component systems, histone-like proteins (H-NS), and ferric uptake regulator (Fur), have been reported to directly or indirectly regulate the transcription of T6SSs (Bernard et al., 2011; Leung et al., 2011; Sana et al., 2012).

For most microorganisms, iron is an essential element since it is involved in many reactions in the cell. Commonly, free Fe²⁺ is rarely present in the host as it is sequestratered by several distinct cellular mechanisms (Teixido et al., 2011). Therefore, bacterial pathogens must harbor mechanisms to override iron-inhibiting defense systems in order to successfully colonize the host. Fur is a transcription factor found in many bacteria that regulates genes related to iron homeostasis and pathogenicity (Cassat and Skaar, 2013). Fur modulates gene expression by binding Fe²⁺ dependently to a specific sequence called Fur box, the consensus sequence of which is 5-GATAATGATAATCATTATC-3, situated in the regulated genes' promoter regions (Porcheron and Dozois, 2015). It has been reported the Fur regulon contains genes involved in iron acquisition, flagellar chemotaxis, the tricarboxylic acid cycle, T3SSs, and T6SSs, and it is required for bacterial multiplication and pathogenicity (Porcheron and Dozois, 2015). Previous research shown that Fur directly suppresses T6SS expression in Salmonella enterica Serovar Typhimurium, Edwardsiella tarda, P. aeruginosa, and E. coli (Chakraborty et al., 2011; Sana et al., 2012; Ma et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2019). However, there is still limited knowledge of the function of Fur protein in A. hydrophila.

In this work, the expression pattern of T6SS under different iron concentrations in *A. hydrophila* was investigated. According to our findings, Fur binds directly to the *vipA* promoter region in the T6SS gene cluster and positively regulates T6SS production and function. Moreover, we demonstrated that *fur* deletion causes significant impairments in interbacterial competition activity, adhesion, and virulence of *A. hydrophila in vitro* and *in vivo*. These findings suggest that Fur has a positive regulatory effect in T6SS function and increases the interbacterial competitive activity and pathogenicity of *A. hydrophila*.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Plasmids, bacterial strains, cell line, and experimental fish

Aeromonas hydrophila strain GD18 was isolated from the diseased grass carp (*Ctenopharyngodon idella*) in 2017, which led to MAS in Guangdong Province of China (Li et al., 2021). All the bacterial strains, plasmids, and primers used in this study are listed in Tables 1, 2 (Kennedy et al., 1999; Kang et al., 2002; Liu et al., 2016). *Aeromonas hydrophila* strains GD18 and *E. coli* were grown in Luria Broth (LB) (pH 7.4) at 28°C and 37°C, respectively. When necessary, the antibiotic ampicillin (Amp; 100 µg/mL), chloramphenicol (Cm; 30 µg/mL), and kanamycin (Kan; 50 µg/mL) were supplemented in the medium. The Deferoxamine (200 µmol/mL) was added to the LB medium to prepare iron-depleted media.

Healthy grass carp weighing 200 ± 20 g were bought from Xiantao Fish Hatchery, Hubei province, China. Wild-type AB strain zebrafish were obtained from the Institute of Hydrobiology of Chinese Academy of Sciences (Wuhan, China). Before intraperitoneal injection, the fish were acclimatized in the laboratory for 2 weeks. The animal experiment protocol was approved by the Laboratory Animal Monitoring Committee of Huazhong Agricultural University. All efforts were made to minimize suffering.

2.2. DNA and promoter sequence analyses

BLASTN and BLASTX (BLAST, basic local alignment search tool) were used to examine DNA sequences. Promoter identification was carried using the prokaryotic promoter prediction program tools,

TABLE 1	Strains a	and p	lasmids	used	in	this	study
---------	-----------	-------	---------	------	----	------	-------

Strains and plasmids	Description	Source	
Strains			
Aeromonas hydrophila			
GD18	Wild type	Lab collection	
Δfur	<i>fur</i> deletion mutant	This work	
$\Delta hcp1/2$	<i>hcp</i> 1 and <i>hcp</i> 2 double-deletion mutant	13	
CΔfur	Δfur complemented with <i>fur</i> gene located in the plasmid of pMMB207	This work	
Escherichia coli			
χ7213	thr-1 leuB6 fhuA21 lacY1 glnV44 recA1 Δ asdA4 Δ (zhf-2::Tn10) thi-1	23	
Plasmids			
pRE112	Suicide vector, <i>sac</i> B, mob ⁻ (RP4)R6K ori, Cm ^r	24	
pRE112-fur	RE112-fur pRE112 derivative, designed for knockout of fur, Cm ^r		
pMMB-207	Low-copy-number vector, Cm ^r	25	
pMMB-fur	Plasmid pMMB207 carrying the complete ORF of <i>fur</i> , Cm ^r	This work	
pMMB-gfp Green fluorescent protein (GFP) expression, Cm ^r		This work	

Primers	Sequence (5′-3′)	Target gene	
p1	CGGGGTACCCCAACAAGCAGTTCAAGCGACTG	Upstream fragment of <i>fur</i>	
p2	TGCGAATAAATAGAAATGTGATTGGCAGAACC		
p3	CGCGGGTTCTGCCAATCACATTTCTATTTAT	Downstream fragment of <i>fur</i>	
p4	CGGGGTACCTCGAACTCGGGAAAGTGCTTGTCTC		
BamHI-gfp-F	CGGATCCATGAGTAAAGGAGAAGAACTTTTCACTGGAG	gfp	
SacI-gfp-R	CGAGCTCTTATTTGTATAGTTCATCCATGCCATGTGTAATCC		
EcoRI-fur-F	CCCGAATTCATGGCAGACAACAACCAAGCGT	fur	
XhoI-fur-R	CCCCTCGAGTCAATCGTCGTGCTTGCAGTCGC		
q16s-F	TTTAACCTTGCGGCCGTACT	16S	
q16s-R	CCTGGACAAAGACTGACGCT		
qhcp-F	ACAAAGCCGTGCCGCTGATGT	hcp	
qhcp-R	TGCGGCATCTGGCAGTCGAT		
qvgrG-F	TCGTGCGTATCCAGCATACC	vgrG	
qvgrG-R	CAAGTGGTGCTGGCTTTCAC		
qvash-F	iCTGCTCGGCATGCTGGAT vasH		
qvash-R	AAAACCGGCGTGCTCGATGC		
qtle1-F	AAGGCTGGGGCAAAGGCGTT	tle1	
qtle1-R	TGCAGCACCACATCGACGCA		
qvipA-F	ATGATGGTGGTCGGCAACAT	ACAT vipA	
qvipA-R	TCGTCCTGGCTGTTTTCCTC		

TABLE 2 Primers used in this study.

available at.¹ The Fur binding box was searched using the T6SS genes' 500-bp upstream sequence (from position –500 bp to 0 bp relative to the corresponding gene translation start site).

2.3. Construction and characterization of Δfur mutants

Mutants were constructed using a previously described unmarked deletion method (Zhou et al., 2020). The primers were constructed using the gene sequence of fur in A. hydrophila GD18. Primer pairs p1/p2 and p3/ p4 were used to amplify upstream and downstream flanking fur fragments, respectively. The primer pair p1/p4 was used in the fusion PCR. The PCR products amplified by the primer pair p1/p4 were introduced into the suicide plasmid pRE112, obtaining pRE-fur. Single-crossover mutants screened on Luria Agar (LA) media containing chloramphenicol. Allelic exchange was accomplished by culturing the single-crossover mutants on LA plates containing 7% sucrose. PCR using primers p1/p4 and direct DNA sequencing verified the Δfur . The fur fragment was amplified from the wild type (WT) strain for gene complementation. The PCR product was cloned into pMMB207, resulting in pMMB-C Δfur . The pMMB-C Δfur was transconjugated into Δfur strain to obtain the complemented strain $C\Delta fur$. *hcp*1 and *hcp*2 double-deletion mutant Δhcp 1/2 as a T6SS- control strain was generated by our group (Li et al., 2021).

To detect the *A. hydrophila* strains growth kinetics, overnight cultures were diluted 1:500 and place in LB medium at 28°C with

200 rpm shaking. Hourly samples were taken, and optical densities were evaluated at $600\,\rm{nm}~(OD_{600}).$

2.4. Lactate dehydrogenase cytotoxicity and adhesion assays

The LDH Cytotoxicity Assay Kit (Promega, USA) was used to quantify LDH release. *Ctenopharyngodon idella* kidney (CIK) cell line monolayers were infected with *A. hydrophila* at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 5 for 2h, and supernatants were collected to evaluate LDH release. The cytotoxicity % was estimated using the manufacturer's recommendations. LDH release from uninfected cells into the culture supernatant was represented by OD₄₉₀ spontaneous, while LDH release acquired by lysis of uninfected cells was represented by OD₄₉₀ maximal release.

The adhesion experiments were carried out in triplicate as described (Dou et al., 2018). The monolayers of CIK were grown for 24h in a 12-well cell culture plate, and then infected with *A. hydrophila* at a MOI of 5. Non-adherent bacteria were eliminated by washing three times with PBS after two hours of incubation at 28°C and 5% CO₂, and adhering bacteria were enumerated by plating on LA medium. Un-washed wells bacteria were enumerated as the total CFU after incubation. The adhesion rate was calculated by dividing the adhesion bacteria's colony forming units (CFU) by the total CFU after incubation.

2.5. Bacterial infection

To assess the pathogenicity of the *A. hydrophila* strains, the median lethal dosage (LD₅₀) was carried out in zebrafish as previously described

Frontiers in Microbiology

03

(Dong et al., 2021). Briefly, each *A. hydrophila* strain was cultured overnight with LB medium, then overnight cultures were seeded into new medium at a rate of 1:100. After 5 h culture, the mid-logarithmic bacterial culture was washed and resuspended in sterile PBS and serially diluted tenfold from 10^2 to 10^7 CFU/ml. Six groups of 10 zebrafish per group were injected intraperitoneally (*i.p.*) with $10 \,\mu$ l of the bacterial solution in PBS for each *A. hydrophila* strain. Furthermore, as a negative control group, 10 zebrafish were injected just with PBS. The fish were euthanized. LD₅₀ values were calculated according to *Karber's* methods.

Bacterial loads in the blood, spleen, kidney, and liver were assessed for grass carp as described previously (Hu et al., 2020), with some modifications. At 24h post-infection, grass carp *i.p.* infected with 2.73×10^3 CFU/fish by *A. hydrophila* were euthanized and dissected. The target organs were collected, weighed, and homogenized with PBS to obtain supernatant. Using a 10-fold dilution method, blood and supernatant were plated on LB plates for number of bacterial.

2.6. Bacterial competition assays

Bacterial competition experiments were conducted between *A. hydrophila* and *E. coli* in accordance with previously described methods with minor modifications (MacIntyre et al., 2010). *Aeromonas hydrophila* GD18 and its derived strains were ampicillin resistant, and kanamycin resistance was conferred in *E. coli* DH5 α after it was transformed with pET-28a (Kan⁺). The bacterial strains were cultured to OD₆₀₀ of 0.5, and then the fresh attacker (*A. hydrophila*) and prey (*E. coli* DH5 α) were combined at a 1:5 ratio in LB plates with nitrocellulose membranes for 3 h at 28°C. To select for prey bacteria, bacteria were harvested, diluted, and placed onto LB plates containing kanamycin. The prey strain's survival rate was then determined. The experiment was carried out in triplicate.

2.7. Electrophoretic mobility shift assay and promoter activity analysis

To construct pET-23b-*fur*, the open reading frame of *fur* of *A. hydrophila* GD18 was amplified by PCR with the primer pair *fur* F1/R1, and the PCR products were ligated into pET-23b (see Table 2). The recombinant plasmid was transformed into *E. coli* BL21 (DE3). Protein expression in *E. coli* BL21(DE3) cells was induced with 0.5 mM isopropyl- β -D-thiogalactoside (IPTG) at 37°C for 6h. Fur protein was affinity-purified using Ni-NTA resin column (BBI, China) as described previously (Cui et al., 2019). The purified protein was measured with Pierce BCA protein assay reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

EMSA was performed using the EMSA kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA) in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions. Purified Fur protein $(5.0 \,\mu g)$ was incubated in a $20 \,\mu L$ reaction with the biotin-labeled and purified oligonucleotide. The DNA/protein complexes were placed onto a 2% agarose gel, electrophoresed, and transported to a nylon membrane. A chemiluminescent substrate was used to detect the biotin-labeled DNA. Only DNA probes and only Fur protein were set as control.

We amplified the *vip*A gene promoter (from position bp -500 to +100 bp relative to the transcriptional start site) and cloned into the pMMB-207 vector harboring a GFP reporter to generate the

transcriptional GFP fusion plasmid. The recombinant plasmid was transformed into the WT and Δfur strains, respectively. Strains transformed with recombinant plasmids were harvested at the OD₆₀₀ of about 1.0. The GFP activity was measured using a SpectraMax i3X (Tecan, Austria). The GFP activity was calculated as light units/OD₄₇₀.

2.8. Real-time quantitative PCR

T6SS genes expression levels were examined using RT-qPCR as described in previous research (Wang et al., 2019). *A. hydrophila* were cultured for 12h in LB medium or LB medium with Deferoxamine and the bacteria were harvested for RNA-extraction. TRizol reagent (Invitrogen, USA) was used to extract total RNA in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions. Reverse transcription was conducted using the random primers within M-MLV reverse system (Promega, USA). A RT-qPCR reaction was performed with Fast SYBR Green PCR Master Mix (Bio-Rad, USA). The CFX96 Real-Time System (Bio-Rad, USA) was used to perform all reactions. Table 2 shows all the primers that were utilized. The $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$ method was used to calculate gene expression. 16sRNA was employed as a reference gene. Relative expression level was shown as fold change relative to the level of the wild-type strain GD18 grown in LB medium. All of the qPCR experiments were conducted for at least three times.

2.9. Hcp protein secretion assay

Bacteria were cultured in LB medium or LB medium with Deferoxamine. After 12 h, a 0.22-µm filter (Millipore, USA) was used to collect and filter supernatants of LB bacterial culture. The bacteria were rinsed with PBS buffer and centrifuged at 10000 g for 10 min. Anti-Hcp and anti-GADPH (Abclonal, China), were used as primary antibodies. An HRP-goat anti-mouse or HRP-goat anti-rabbit IgG polyclonal antibody (Abclonal, China) was used as the secondary antibody. Blot images were captured in an Image Quant LAS 4000 (GE Healthcare, USA).

2.10. Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were conducted using GraphPad Prism v 8.0 (GraphPad Software Inc., USA). Data are presented as mean ± standard deviation. A two tailed unpaired t test was used to compare independent group, and a *value of p* of <0.05 was considered statistically significant (*p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.005, ***p < 0.001).

3. Results

3.1. Fur positively regulates the expression of T6SS in *Aeromonas hydrophila*

Iron is one of the essential nutrients consumed by vertebrates as a defense mechanism against bacterial infection. This shortage is an environmental signal sensed by some pathogenic bacteria to modulate the expression of virulence genes. To investigate the response of theT6SS under iron-limiting conditions in *A. hydrophila*, Hcp expressed in the supernatant, as the indicator of functional T6SS was examined by western blot. The results showed that the secretion of Hcp decreased under

iron-depleted conditions (Figure 1A). Consistent with this observation, RT-qPCR results showed varying degrees of down-regulation of T6SS core genes *hcp*, *vgrG*, *vipA*, and *vas*H (Figure 1B), indicating the depression of T6SS genes of *A. hydrophila* GD18 under iron-limiting conditions.

Given that Fur has been implicated in the modulation of bacterial genes associated with environmental tolerance and virulence by binding to the Fur box (Porcheron and Dozois, 2015), we constructed the *fur* mutant and complemented strains to determine whether T6SS genes are potentially regulated by Fur in *A. hydrophila* GD18. Then, western blot was performed to further detect the production levels of Hcp in different strains. The secretion of Hcp protein in Δfur was totally abolished, while complementation of the *fur* gene restored Hcp secretion (Figure 2A). The transcripts of the T6SS core genes were also measured by RT-qPCR. Consistent with the western blot results, inactivation of *fur* led to different degrees of down-regulation of T6SS core genes, including *tle1* (2.6-fold), *hcp* (3.5-fold), *vgrG* (3-fold), *vipA* (3.6-fold), and *vas*H (8.4-fold; Figure 2B). Based on these findings, Fur appears to be a positive regulator of T6SS expression in *A. hydrophila*.

3.2. Fur protein binds directly to the promoter region of *vip*A in the T6SS gene cluster

To investigate the mechanism of Fur regulation of T6SS expression, we then examined the potential Fur binding boxes in the promoter regions of the whole T6SS gene cluster in *A. hydrophila* strain GD18 (Figure 3A). Three putative Fur-binding sites were predicted to locate upstream of *vip*A open reading frame. The three putative Fur binding boxes, named Fur1, Fur2, and Fur3, showed 63 to 84% homology to the consensus Fur binding site (Figure 3B). Fur1, Fur2, and Fur3 were present at positions -341 to -322 bp, -239 to -221 bp, and -64 to -45 bp upstream of the *vip*A transcriptional start site, respectively (Figure 3A). No Fur binding box was found in other promoters of the T6SS gene cluster.

To validate whether Fur binds these Fur-binding sites upstream of *vip*A, EMSA was conducted using recombinant protein and the biotinlabeled PCR fragments encompassing the potential Fur boxes in the *vip*A promoter. Fur protein interacted with the Fur1and Fur2 probe but not with the *Fur3* probe (Figure 3C), suggesting that Fur binds straight



FIGURE 1

T6SS is repressed under iron-depleted conditions in *Aeromonas hydrophila*. (A) The expression and secretion of Hcp were analyzed by western blot. An anti-GAPDH antibody was used as control. (B) RT-qPCR analysis of the transcripts of the T6SS core genes in iron-depleted medium, presented relative to the expression in LB medium. The error bars represent mean \pm standard deviations from three independent experiments (***p<0.0001; ****p< 0.0001).



FIGURE 2

Fur positively regulates the expression of T6SS genes in *A. hydrophila*. (A) The expression and secretion of Hcp in different *A. hydrophila* strains grown in iron-replete medium were determined by western blot. An anti-GAPDH antibody was used as control. (B) RT-qPCR analysis of the transcripts of the T6SS core genes *tle1*, *hcp*, *vgrG*, *vipA*, and *vas*H in Δfur , presented relative to the expression in wild-type strain. *A. hydrophila* strains grown in iron-replete medium. The error bars represent mean±standard deviations from three independent experiments (****p< 0.0001).



to the promoter region of *vip*A in the T6SS gene cluster to activate the transcription of the genes in *A. hydrophila*.

To further verify this assumption, we constructed a GFP transcriptional reporter fusion plasmid in which GFP is governed by the *vipA* promoter, and then transferred it into the *A. hydrophila* strains to examine the regulatory effect of Fur on the promoter activities. The results indicated that the expression of GFP under the control of *vipA* promoter in Δfur was reduced (56%) and complementation of fur restored expression of GFP to the WT strain level (Figure 3D). Overall, these results indicate that Fur positively regulates the expression of T6SS by binding straight to the *vipA* promoter in *A. hydrophila*.

3.3. Fur facilitates the interbacterial competition of *Aeromonas hydrophila in vitro*

Previous studies by our group and others supported *A. hydrophila* T6SS contributes to the interbacterial competition (Cui et al., 2019; Li et al., 2021). Thus, we further analyzed whether the antibacterial activity was influenced in the Δfur strain. *E. coli* DH5 α strain was taken as prey and *A. hydrophila* strains were taken as predators (Figure 4). As expected, after 3 h co-incubation with *A. hydrophila* WT strain, the surviving *E. coli* exhibited 7log₁₀ reduction in comparison to *E. coli* cultured alone. The bactericidal capacity of

 Δfur strain was markedly reduced (p < 0.01) and complementation of fur restored bactericidal activity to the WT strain level. $\Delta hcp1/2$ was set as a T6SS-negative control, suggesting that the deletion of T6SS resulted in abolished bactericidal effect. In conclusion, the activation of Fur promotes the interbacterial competition of A. hydrophila.

3.4. Fur contributes to bacterial adherence and cytotoxicity *in vitro*

The effect of Fur on bacterial adhesion and cytotoxicity to CIK cells was investigated. CIK cells were infected with the WT, $\Delta hcp1/2$, Δfur , and the complementation strain $C\Delta fur$ at 5 MOI. Firstly, the numbers of bacteria adhering to CIK cells were determined. The mutant strain Δfur presented a 3.4-fold decreased adherence ability in comparison with that of the WT strain (p < 0.001; Figure 5A). Adhesion capacity was restored in the complementation strain $C\Delta fur$. Interestingly, $\Delta hcp1/2$, the T6SS-negative mutant strain exhibited stronger (1.4-fold) adherence capacity compared with Δfur (p < 0.001).

The release of LDH in CIK cells was measured to analyze the cytotoxicity of *A. hydrophila* strains. Compared with the WT strain, Δfur infection led to a significant decreased (90%) of LDH release after 2 h of infection (Figure 5B) and complementation of $C\Delta fur$ restored cytotoxicity to the WT level. Similarly, $\Delta hcp1/2$ was also strongly outcompeted by the WT strain. The analysis revealed that bacterial adhesion and cytotoxicity of the mutant strain Δfur were



FIGURE 4

Fur facilitates the interbacterial competition of *A. hydrophila in vitro*. The survival of *Escherichia coli* was determined by detecting CFUs post incubation with different *A. hydrophila* strains as indicated. The fresh attacker (*A. hydrophila*) and prey (*E. coli* DH5 α) were combined at a 1:5 ratio in LB plates with nitrocellulose membranes for 3h at 28°C. (**A**) The surviving *E. coli* cells were serially diluted and determined on the LB plate containing kanamycin. Control (LB) indicates incubation of *E. coli* with sterile LB medium alone. $\Delta hcp1/2$ mutant group was set as the T6SS defective control group. (**B**) The prey strain's survival rate was then determined. The error bars represent mean±standard deviations from three independent experiments (**p<0.01; ****p<0.0001).



significantly decreased in comparison with those of the WT strain GD18.

3.5. Fur is essential for both the systematic infection and virulence of *Aeromonas hydrophila in vivo*

Fur family members are significant virulence determinants of many bacterial pathogens (Troxell and Hassan, 2013). Therefore, we determined the roles of Fur during systemic infection of *A. hydrophila*. The LD₅₀ values of the WT strain GD18, Δfur , and $\Delta hcp1/2$ strains were 2.73×10^2 CFU/fish, 1.00×10^4 CFU/fish, and

 5.62×10^3 CFU/fish, respectively (Table 3). The Δfur was attenuated in virulence with a 37-fold increase of LD₅₀, while $\Delta hcp1/2$ with a 21-fold increase.

To determine whether the reduced virulence was linked with failure in systemic spread, we assessed the bacterial loads of *A. hydrophila* strains in the blood, spleen, liver, and kidney of grass carp at 24h postinfection. The results showed bacterial loads in the above organs of the Δfur infected group were decreased by 95, 99, 94, and 93% respectively, compared to those of WT strain infected group (p < 0.05 or p < 0.01; Figure 6). The complementation strain $C\Delta fur$ displayed a similar capacity of systemic infection with the WT strain. These results indicated that Fur potentiates the systemic infection and virulence of *A. hydrophila in vivo*.



TABLE 3 Calculations of LD₅₀s of the *A. hydrophila* GD18 and mutant strains in zebrafish.

Dose of challenge CFU	Number of death/Total			Survival rate (%)			
	GD18	∆fur	∆hcp1/2	GD18	∆fur	∆hcp1/2	
105	10/10	10/10	10/10	0	0	0	
10 ⁴	10/10	5/10	5/10	0	50	50	
10 ³	9/10	0/10	2/10	10	100	80	
10 ²	0/10	0/10	0/10	100	100	100	
LD ₅₀ ^a	2.73×10^{2}	1.00×10^4	5.62×10^{3}				

^aThe LD50 was calculated according to Karber's method.

4. Discussion

Virulence gene expression need to be precisely modulated in order for bacteria to adapt and survive in various surroundings or host cells. T6SSs are widespread among gram-negative pathogens, and they are critical virulence determinants that participated in virulence and interbacterial competition (Cianfanelli et al., 2016). In *A. hydrophila* strains, the integrity of T6SS varied geographically, but all strains possess a complete type VI secretion system or remnants of the T6SS (Tekedar et al., 2019). Mutation of T6SS core genes lead to attenuated virulence and bactericidal activity (Wang et al., 2018; Tekedar et al., 2019; Li et al., 2021). T6SSs were demonstrated to be tightly regulated and induced by external conditions in many bacteria. As there are two oxidation states for iron, redox catalysis of iron is important for a variety of cellular processes, including respiration and DNA replication (Cassat and Skaar, 2013). Given that practically all pathogens demand iron, free Fe²⁺ is limited inside the host due to its usual sequestration by a variety of cellular processes (Cassat and Skaar, 2013). In this study, the supplementation of Deferoxamine led to significantly down-regulated expression and secretion of T6SS core protein Hcp in A. hydrophila. Hcp secretion is regarded to be a reliable indicator of T6SS function (Wang et al., 2018). In addition, decreased transcripts of other T6SS core genes were also detected. However, according to previous studies, facing the iron limit in the host, pathogens usually co-regulated iron uptake and virulence systems including T6SS synergistically to improve iron availability. For instance, iron-limiting circumstances increase transcription of the H2-T6SS gene cluster in P. aeruginosa (Sana et al., 2012). In S. enterica Serovar Typhimurium, the T6SS core gene clpV was significantly up-regulated in response to the iron-depleted condition (Wang et al., 2019). Therefore, our finding that T6SS in A. hydrophila was more activated under the iron-replete condition was contrary to the previous understanding from other pathogens.

Fur is a general transcription factor in bacteria that regulates intracellular iron homeostasis. Fur complexes with iron binds to the Fur box, high content in A/T rich region, and it subsequently participates in the transcriptional regulation of various genes (Fontenot et al., 2020). However, there is limited study revealing the regulatory mechanism of Fur on T6SS, compared to other regulatory networks. Here, we discovered that Fur positively regulates the expression of T6SS genes in A. hydrophila. As the secretion of Hcp protein was totally abolished in fur mutant strain, while complementation of the fur gene restored Hcp secretion. Extensive evidence suggests that Fur functions as a transcriptional regulator by binding to homologous locations on the promoter region of the targeted gene (Escolar et al., 1999). Indeed, we predicted three putative Fur binding boxes in the whole T6SS gene cluster, which all locate upstream of vipA gene. Among these binding sites, only Fur1 and Fur2 directly bound to Fur protein while Fur3 displayed no affinity to the Fur protein. Fur3 neighbors nearest to vipA transcription start sites. Using a P_{vipA}-gfp reporter fusion, we confirmed that Fur positively regulates the transcription of vipA promoter. The T6SS components VipA/VipB form tube-shaped structures. VipA/VipB tubule contraction can stimulate the injection of putative Hcp tubules into target cells with the VgrG tip on top (Wang et al., 2017). A previous study showed that Hcp secretion in V. cholerae O1 strain requires the presence of VipA (Bröms et al., 2013). Consequently, the affected transcripts of *vip*A in Δfur make the failure of Hcp secretion reasonable. Although Fur's role as a repressor is well-established, new research suggests that it can also operate as an activator via three distinct mechanisms: (1) indirect activation through small RNAs, (2) binding at cis regulatory regions that promote the recruitment of the RNA polymerase holoenzym, and (3) removing or blocking DNA binding of a repressor of transcription, as an antirepressor (Wang et al., 2018). Our finding indicated the regulatory mechanism of Fur on T6SS in A. hydrophila belongs to the second category. So far, Fur binding boxes have been characterized in the promoter regions of the T6SSs in P. aeruginosa, S. Typhimurium, enteroaggregative E. coli (EAEC), and E. tarda, and the Fur proteins all act as negative regulators (Brunet et al., 2011; Chakraborty et al., 2011; Sana et al., 2012; Ma et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2019; Brunet et al., 2020). Therefore, to our knowledge, this was the first time that Fur protein was proved to work as an activator on T6SS by direct binding to the Fur boxes.

For V. cholerae, P. aeruginosa, EAEC, and Salmonella, pathogens use T6SSs as antibacterial weapons to compete efficiently within specific niches. Therefore, we tried to determine whether Fur-mediated T6SS regulation modulates A. hydrophila competition toward other microorganisms. Our results demonstrated that the bactericidal ability of the mutant strain Δfur was significantly weakened, but still stronger than the T6SS-abolished strain $\Delta hcp1/2$. The T6SS delivers effectors into target cells by employing a contractile sheath to propel an inner tube into neighboring target cells, similar to an inverted bacteriophage tail (Dong et al., 2015). Thus, on one hand, the lack of Fur protein as an active regulator on T6SS in Δfur certainly affected the secretion of related bactericidal effectors. On the other hand, we detected 2.6-fold down-regulation of *tle*1 transcripts in Δfur . Tle1^{AH}, identified as an effector protein of T6SS in A. hydrophila NJ-35, mediates interbacterial antagonism (Ma et al., 2020). As tle1 does not locate in the T6SS gene cluster in GD18 strain, the regulatory mechanism of Fur on its transcription needs further study.

The link between Fur and pathogenicity has been the subject of extensive research in recent years. In host-microbe interactions, Fur

regulates the expression of virulence factors, as well as toxin production in free-living and environmental bacteria (Fillat, 2014). In E. tarda, Fur suppresses ethB, encoding the activation/secretion apparatus of the haemolysin system (Chakraborty et al., 2011). In Staphylococcus aureus, mutation of fur lead to increasing of cytotoxic potential to different human epithelial and promyelocytic cells (Torres et al., 2010). Fur has the ability to suppress toxin or haemolysin synthesis in some bacterial species while also being able to stimulate it in others (Porcheron and Dozois, 2015). In this study, Fur was found to contribute to cell adherence and cytotoxicity in vitro and is necessary for the systemic infection and virulence of A. hydrophila in vivo. The mutation of fur reduced the pathogenicity of A. hydrophila by 37-fold. This was consistent with the previous study that the fur knockout mutant shows significantly reduced pathogenicity compared to the wild-type parental strain in A. salmonicida (Ebanks et al., 2013). Moreover, infection studies of catfish (Ictalurus punctatus) and Japanese flounder (Paralichthys olivaceus) using fur deletion mutants of E. ictaluri and P. fluorescens, respectively, show that these genetically engineered pathogens could be useful tools in the development of immersion-oral vaccines for the fish industry (Wang et al., 2009; Santander et al., 2012). Compared to their drastic attenuation (1000-fold), Δfur constructed in this study is still virulent, but has the potential to be genetically modified as a vaccine candidate.

Aeromonads are not only prevalent environmental bacteria, but they can also colonize humans and animals quickly and cause opportunistic infections. Aeromonas spp. has a unique ability to colonize the guts of animals, including leeches, fish, cows, and humans, as well as a variety of other hosts (Lamy et al., 2021). Gastroenteritis is a typical symptom in MAS-affected fish. Free Fe²⁺ is normally limited inside the host since it is required in numerous biological processes as metalloprotein components and as cofactors or structural elements for enzymes (Porcheron et al., 2013). However, there is plentiful free Fe^{2+} in the lumen of the intestine, where the majority of dietary iron is absorbed (Conrad and Umbreit, 2002). Coincidentally, in the nutrientrich environment of intensive fish farming, free iron is most likely available to A. hydrophila (Pajuelo et al., 2016). Along these lines, whether in the natural aquatic habitat or gastrointestinal tract of the host, A. hydrophila always faces the challenge of competing with other bacteria for niche under iron-replete conditions (Figure 7A). According to our study, Fur protein in A. hydrophila could be a proper regulator to sense the iron concentration and work as the activator to regulate T6SS to compete with other microorganisms (Figure 7B). In EAEC, sci1 T6SS gene cluster is under the delicate regulation involving overlapping Fur and DNA methylation in different iron conditions (Brunet et al., 2011). As an opportunistic pathogen, A. hydrophila must have evolved successful survival mechanisms to adapt to changeable habitats. Thereby, more cross-regulatory mechanism of T6SS awaits to be resolved.

In summary, our findings identify a previously unrecognized role of Fur in promoting interbacterial competition and infection of *A. hydrophila* by binding and positively regulating the expression and function of T6SS. Iron is rich in the natural aquatic environment or the gastrointestinal tract of the host. Therefore, the positive regulation of the expression of T6SS by Fur represents an unusual strategy for *A. hydrophila* under iron-rich conditions to facilitate the T6SSmediated bacterial dominance and virulence. Taken together, these results further elucidate the mechanisms by which multiple regulatory



networks corporate, contributing to understanding gene expression for bacterial fitness and virulence.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/supplementary material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding authors.

Ethics statement

The animal study was reviewed and approved by Laboratory Animal Monitoring Committee of Huazhong Agricultural University.

Author contributions

Y-AZ and YZ: conceived and designed the experiments, writing review and editing. JL, ZW, and YH: performed the experiments. JL and ZW: data curation. JL and YZ: writing—original draft. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Funding

The study was supported by the Laboratory of Lingnan Modern Agriculture Project (NT2021008), China Agriculture Research System of MOF and MARA (CARS-46), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (32073022), and HZAU-AGIS Cooperation Fund (SZYJY2021027).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

References

Bernard, C. S., Brunet, Y. R., Gavioli, M., Lloubès, R., and Cascales, E. (2011). Regulation of type VI secretion gene clusters by sigma54 and cognate enhancer binding proteins. *J. Bacteriol.* 193, 2158–2167. doi: 10.1128/JB.00029-11

Bröms, J. E., Ishikawa, T., Wai, S. N., and Sjöstedt, A. (2013). A functional VipA-VipB interaction is required for the type VI secretion system activity of *Vibrio cholerae* O1 strain A1552. *BMC Microbiol.* 13:96. doi: 10.1186/1471-2180-13-96

Brunet, Y. R., Bernard, C. S., and Cascales, E. (2020). Fur-dam regulatory interplay at an internal promoter of the enteroaggregative *Escherichia coli* type VI secretion sci1 gene cluster. *J. Bacteriol.* 202:e00075-20. doi: 10.1128/JB.00075-20

Brunet, Y. R., Bernard, C. S., Gavioli, M., Lloubès, R., and Cascales, E. (2011). An epigenetic switch involving overlapping fur and DNA methylation optimizes expression of a type VI secretion gene cluster. *PLoS Genet.* 7:e1002205. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1002205

Cassat, J. E., and Skaar, E. P. (2013). Iron in infection and immunity. *Cell Host Microbe* 13, 509–519. doi: 10.1016/j.chom.2013.04.010

Chakraborty, S., Sivaraman, J., Leung, K. Y., and Mok, Y. K. (2011). Two-component PhoB-PhoR regulatory system and ferric uptake regulator sense phosphate and iron to control virulence genes in type III and VI secretion Systems of *Edwardsiella tarda. J. Biol. Chem.* 286, 39417–39430. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M111.295188

Cianfanelli, F. R., Monlezun, L., and Coulthurst, S. J. (2016). Aim, load, fire: the type VI secretion system, a bacterial Nanoweapon. *Trends Microbiol.* 24, 51–62. doi: 10.1016/j. tim.2015.10.005

Conrad, M. E., and Umbreit, J. N. (2002). Pathways of iron absorption. *Blood Cells Mol. Dis.* 29, 336–355. doi: 10.1006/bcmd.2002.0564

Cui, Z. W., Zhang, X. Y., Zhang, X. J., Wu, N., Lu, L. F., Li, S., et al. (2019). Molecular and functional characterization of the indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase in grass carp (*Ctenopharyngodon idella*). Fish Shellfish Immunol. 89, 301–308. doi: 10.1016/j. fsi.2019.04.005

Dong, X., Chao, Y., Zhou, Y., Zhou, R., Zhang, W., Fischetti, V. A., et al. (2021). The global emergence of a novel Streptococcus suis clade associated with human infections. *EMBO Mol. Med.* 13, e13810–e13811. doi: 10.15252/emmm.202013810

Dong, T. G., Dong, S., Catalano, C., Moore, R., Liang, X., and Mekalanos, J. J. (2015). Generation of reactive oxygen species by lethal attacks from competing microbes. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 112, 2181–2186. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1425007112

Dong, Y., Li, S., Zhao, D., Liu, J., Ma, S., Geng, J., et al. (2020). IolR, a negative regulator of the myo-inositol metabolic pathway, inhibits cell autoaggregation and biofilm formation by downregulating RpmA in *Aeromonas hydrophila*. *NPJ Biofilms Microbiomes* 6:22. doi: 10.1038/s41522-020-0132-3

Dou, Y., Yu, G., Wang, X., Wang, S., Li, T., Tian, M., et al. (2018). The Riemerella anatipestifer M949_RS01035 gene is involved in bacterial lipopolysaccharide biosynthesis. *Vet. Res.* 49:93. doi: 10.1186/s13567-018-0589-8

Ebanks, R. O., Goguen, M., Knickle, L., Dacanay, A., Leslie, A., Ross, N. W., et al. (2013). Analysis of a ferric uptake regulator (fur) knockout mutant in *Aeromonas* salmonicida subsp. salmonicida. Vet. Microbiol. 162, 831–841. doi: 10.1016/j. vetmic.2012.10.038

Escolar, L., Pérez-Martín, J., and de Lorenzo, V. (1999). Opening the iron box: transcriptional metalloregulation by the fur protein. *J. Bacteriol.* 181, 6223–6229. doi: 10.1128/JB.181.20.6223-6229.1999

Fillat, M. F. (2014). The FUR (ferric uptake regulator) superfamily: diversity and versatility of key transcriptional regulators. *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.* 546, 41–52. doi: 10.1016/j.abb.2014.01.029

Fontenot, C. R., Tasnim, H., Valdes, K. A., Popescu, C. V., and Ding, H. (2020). Ferric uptake regulator (fur) reversibly binds a [2Fe-2S] cluster to sense intracellular iron homeostasis in *Escherichia coli. J. Biol. Chem.* 295, 15454–15463. doi: 10.1074/jbc. RA120.014814

Galan, J. E., and Waksman, G. (2018). Protein-injection machines in Bacteria. *Cells* 172, 1306–1318. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2018.01.034

Hood, R. D., Singh, P., Hsu, F., Güvener, T., Carl, M. A., Trinidad, R. R., et al. (2010). A type VI secretion system of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* targets a toxin to bacteria. *Cell Host Microbe* 7, 25–37. doi: 10.1016/j.chom.2009.12.007

Hossain, M. J., Sun, D., McGarey, D. J., Wrenn, S., Alexander, L. M., Martino, M. E., et al. (2014). An Asian origin of virulent *Aeromonas hydrophila* responsible for disease epidemics in United States-farmed catfish. *MBio* 5, e00848–e00814. doi: 10.1128/mBio.00848-14

Hu, Y., Kurobe, T., Liu, X., Zhang, Y. A., Su, J., and Yuan, G. (2020). Hamp Type-1 promotes antimicrobial defense via direct microbial killing and regulating iron metabolism in grass carp (*Ctenopharyngodon idella*). *Biomolecules* 10:825. doi: 10.3390/biom10060825

Ji, Y., Li, J., Qin, Z., Li, A., Gu, Z., Liu, X., et al. (2015). Contribution of nuclease to the pathogenesis of *Aeromonas hydrophila*. *Virulence* 6, 515–522. doi: 10.1080/21505594.2015. 1049806

Kang, H. Y., Dozois, C. M., Tinge, S. A., Lee, T. H., and Curtiss, R. 3rd (2002). Transductionmediated transfer of unmarked deletion and point mutations through use of counter selectable suicide vectors. J. Bacteriol. 184, 307–312. doi: 10.1128/JB.184.1.307-312.2002

Kennedy, M. J., Yancey, R. J. Jr., Sanchez, M. S., Rzepkowski, R. A., Kelly, S. M., and Curtiss, R. 3rd. (1999). Attenuation and immunogenicity of Deltacya Deltacrp derivatives of salmonella choleraesuis in pigs. Infect. Immun. 67, 4628-4636. doi: 10.1128/ IAI.67.9.4628-4636.1999

Lamy, B., Baron, S., and Barraud, O. (2021). Aeromonas: the multifaceted middleman in the one health world. *Curr. Opin. Microbiol.* 65, 24–32. doi: 10.1016/j. mib.2021.09.012

Leung, K. Y., Siame, B. A., Snowball, H., and Mok, Y. K. (2011). Type VI secretion regulation: crosstalk and intracellular communication. *Curr. Opin. Microbiol.* 14, 9–15. doi: 10.1016/j.mib.2010.09.017

Li, J., Wu, Z., Wu, C., Chen, D. D., Zhou, Y., and Zhang, Y. A. (2021). VasH contributes to virulence of *Aeromonas hydrophila* and is necessary to the T6SS-mediated bactericidal effect. *Front. Vet. Sci.* 8:793458. doi: 10.3389/fvets.2021.793458

Liu, J., Lu, S. Y., Orfe, L. H., Ren, C. H., Hu, C. Q., Call, D. R., et al. (2016). ExsE is a negative regulator for T3SS gene expression in *Vibrio alginolyticus*. *Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol.* 6:177. doi: 10.3389/fcimb.2016.00177

Ma, J., Bao, Y., Sun, M., Dong, W., Pan, Z., Zhang, W., et al. (2014). Two functional type VI secretion systems in avian pathogenic *Escherichia coli* are involved in different pathogenic pathways. *Infect. Immun.* 82, 3867–3879. doi: 10.1128/IAI.01769-14

Ma, S., Dong, Y., Wang, N., Liu, J., Lu, C., and Liu, Y. (2020). Identification of a new effector-immunity pair of *Aeromonas hydrophila* type VI secretion system. *Vet. Res.* 51:71. doi: 10.1186/s13567-020-00794-w

Ma, J. L., Sun, M., Pan, Z. H., Song, W. C., Lu, C. P., and Yao, H. C. (2018). Three hcp homologs with divergent extended loop regions exhibit different functions in avian pathogenic *Escherichia coli. Emerg. Microbes Infect.* 7, 1–13. doi: 10.1038/ s41426-018-0042-0

MacIntyre, D. L., Miyata, S. T., Kitaoka, M., and Pukatzki, S. (2010). The Vibrio cholerae type VI secretion system displays antimicrobial properties. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 107, 19520–19524. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1012931107

Pajuelo, D., Hernandez-Cabanyero, C., Sanjuan, E., Lee, C. T., Silva-Hernandez, F. X., Hor, L. I., et al. (2016). Iron and fur in the life cycle of the zoonotic pathogen *Vibrio vulnificus*. *Environ*. *Microbiol*. 18, 4005–4022. doi: 10.1111/1462-2920.13424

Porcheron, G., and Dozois, C. M. (2015). Interplay between iron homeostasis and virulence: fur and RyhB as major regulators of bacterial pathogenicity. *Vet. Microbiol.* 179, 2–14. doi: 10.1016/j.vetmic.2015.03.024

Porcheron, G., Garenaux, A., Proulx, J., Sabri, M., and Dozois, C. M. (2013). Iron, copper, zinc, and manganese transport and regulation in pathogenic Enterobacteria: correlations between strains, site of infection and the relative importance of the different metal transport systems for virulence. *Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol.* 3:90. doi: 10.3389/fcimb.2013.00090

Pridgeon, J. W., and Klesius, P. H. (2011). Molecular identification and virulence of three Aeromonas hydrophila isolates. Dis. Aquat. Org. 94, 249–253. doi: 10.3354/dao02332

Sana, T. G., Hachani, A., Bucior, I., Soscia, C., Garvis, S., Termine, E., et al. (2012). The second type VI secretion system of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* strain PAO1 is regulated by quorum sensing and fur and modulates internalization in epithelial cells. *J. Biol. Chem.* 287, 27095–27105. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M112.376368

Santander, J., Golden, G., Wanda, S. Y., and Curtiss, R. 3rd. (2012). Fur-regulated iron uptake system of *Edwardsiella ictaluri* and its influence on pathogenesis and immunogenicity in the catfish host. *Infect. Immun.* 80, 2689–2703. doi: 10.1128/ IAI.00013-12

Sha, J., Lu, M., and Chopra, A. K. (2001). Regulation of the cytotoxic enterotoxin gene in *Aeromonas hydrophila*. *Infect. Immun.* 69, 6370–6381. doi: 10.1128/IAI.69.10.6370-6381. 2001

Teixido, L., Carrasco, B., Alonso, J. C., Barbe, J., and Campoy, S. (2011). Fur activates the expression of *Salmonella enterica* pathogenicity island 1 by directly interacting with the hilD operator in vivo and in vitro. *PLoS One* 6:e19711. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0019711

Tekedar, H. C., Abdelhamed, H., Kumru, S., Blom, J., Karsi, A., and Lawrence, M. L. (2019). Comparative genomics of *Aeromonas hydrophila* secretion systems and mutational analysis of *hcp1* and *vgrG1* genes from T6SS. *Front. Microbiol.* 9:3216. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2018.03216

Tomás, J. M. (2012). The main Aeromonas pathogenic factors. ISRN Microbiol. 2012:256261. doi: 10.5402/2012/256261

Torres, V. J., Attia, A. S., Mason, W. J., Hood, M. I., Corbin, B. D., Beasley, F. C., et al. (2010). Staphylococcus aureus fur regulates the expression of virulence factors that contribute to the pathogenesis of pneumonia. *Infect. Immun.* 78, 1618–1628. doi: 10.1128/ IAI.01423-09

Troxell, B., and Hassan, H. M. (2013). Transcriptional regulation by ferric uptake regulator (fur) in pathogenic bacteria. *Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol.* 3:59. doi: 10.3389/ fcimb.2013.00059

Unterweger, D., Miyata, S. T., Bachmann, V., Brooks, T. M., Mullins, T., Kostiuk, B., et al. (2014). The *Vibrio cholerae* type VI secretion system employs diverse effector modules for intraspecific competition. *Nat. Commun.* 5:3549. doi: 10.1038/ncomms4549

Wang, J., Brackmann, M., Castano-Diez, D., Kudryashev, M., Goldie, K. N., Maier, T., et al. (2017). Cryo-EM structure of the extended type VI secretion system sheath-tube complex. *Nat. Microbiol.* 2, 1507–1512. doi: 10.1038/s41564-017-0020-7

Wang, H. R., Hu, Y. H., Zhang, W. W., and Sun, L. (2009). Construction of an attenuated *Pseudomonas fluorescens* strain and evaluation of its potential as a cross-protective vaccine. *Vaccine* 27, 4047–4055. doi: 10.1016/j.vaccine.2009.04.023

Wang, N. N., Liu, J., Pang, M. D., Wu, Y. F., Awan, F. Q., Liles, M., et al. (2018). Diverse roles of hcp family proteins in the environmental fitness and pathogenicity of *Aeromonas hydrophila* Chinese epidemic strain NJ-35. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 102, 7083–7095. doi: 10.1007/s00253-018-9116-0

Wang, S., Yang, D., Wu, X., Yi, Z., Wang, Y., Xin, S., et al. (2019). The ferric uptake regulator represses type VI secretion system function by binding directly to the clpV promoter in *Salmonella enterica* Serovar typhimurium. *Infect. Immun.* 87, e00562–e00519. doi: 10.1128/IAI.00562-19

Zhou, P., Han, X., Ye, X., Zheng, F., Yan, T., Xie, Q., et al. (2020). Phenotype, virulence and immunogenicity of *Edwardsiella piscicida* cyclic AMP receptor protein (Crp) mutants in catfish host. *Microorganisms* 8:517. doi: 10.3390/microorganisms8040517