### Check for updates

### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY Paola Grenni, National Research Council, Italy

### REVIEWED BY

Marcela Claudia Pagano, Federal University of Minas Gerais, Brazil Hongjun Liu, Nanjing Agricultural University, China

### \*CORRESPONDENCE

Xingdong Yao ⊠ xingdongyao@syau.edu.cn Futi Xie ⊠ xft299@syau.edu.cn

### SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Terrestrial Microbiology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Microbiology

RECEIVED 19 September 2022 ACCEPTED 07 December 2022 PUBLISHED 04 January 2023

#### CITATION

Liu W, Wang N, Yao X, He D, Sun H, Ao X, Wang H, Zhang H, St. Martin S, Xie F and Wang J (2023) Continuous-croppingtolerant soybean cultivars alleviate continuous cropping obstacles by improving structure and function of rhizosphere microorganisms. *Front. Microbiol.* 13:1048747. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2022.1048747

### COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Liu, Wang, Yao, He, Sun, Ao, Wang, Zhang, St. Martin, Xie and Wang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

### Continuous-cropping-tolerant soybean cultivars alleviate continuous cropping obstacles by improving structure and function of rhizosphere microorganisms

Wenbo Liu<sup>1</sup>, Nan Wang<sup>1</sup>, Xingdong Yao<sup>1,2,3</sup>\*, Dexin He<sup>1</sup>, Hexiang Sun<sup>1</sup>, Xue Ao<sup>1</sup>, Haiying Wang<sup>1</sup>, Huijun Zhang<sup>1</sup>, Steven St. Martin<sup>4</sup>, Futi Xie<sup>1\*</sup>and Jingkuan Wang<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Soybean Research Institute, Shenyang Agricultural University, Shenyang, China, <sup>2</sup>Postdoctoral Station of Agricultural Resources and Environment, Land and Environment College, Shenyang Agricultural University, Shenyang, China, <sup>3</sup>Key Laboratory of Soybean Biology of Chinese Education Ministry, Soybean Research Institute, Northeast Agricultural University, Harbin, China, <sup>4</sup>Department of Horticulture and Crop Science, The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH, United States

**Introduction:** Soybean continuous cropping will change soil microorganisms and cause continuous cropping obstacles, resulting in a significant yield decline. Different soybean cultivars have different tolerances to continuous cropping, but the relationship between continuous cropping tolerance and soil microorganisms is not clear.

**Methods:** Two soybean cultivars with different tolerances to continuous cropping were used to study the effects of continuous cropping on soil physical and chemical properties, nitrogen and phosphorus cyclic enzyme activities, rhizosphere soil microbial community and function.

**Results:** The results showed that the yield reduction rate of a continuouscropping-tolerant cultivar (L14) was lower than that of a continuous-croppingsensitive cultivar (L10) under continuous cropping. At R1 and R6 growth stages, soil nutrient content (NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-N, NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N, AP, DOM, TK, and pH), nitrogen cycling enzyme (URE, NAG, LAP) activities, phosphorus cycling enzyme (ALP, NPA, ACP) activities, copy numbers of nitrogen functional genes (*AOA*, *AOB*, *nirK*, *nirK*) and phosphorus functional genes (*phoA*, *phoB*) in L14 were higher than those in L10. Soybean cultivar was an important factor affecting the structure and functional structure of bacterial community under continuous cropping. The relative abundances of *Proteobacteria*, *Bacteroidota*, *Acidobacteriota* and *Verrucomicrobiota* with L14 were significantly higher than those of L10. The complexity of the soil bacterial community co-occurrence network in L14 was higher than that in L10.

**Discussion:** The continuous-cropping-tolerant soybean cultivar recruited more beneficial bacteria, changed the structure and function of microbial community, improved soil nitrogen and phosphorus cycling, and reduced the impact of continuous cropping obstacles on grain yield.

#### KEYWORDS

soybean, continuous cropping, soil nutrient content, enzyme activity, soil bacterial community, soil bacterial function

### 1. Introduction

In recent years, continuous cropping has become the most common practice for soybean production in China. Especially in northeast China, the phenomenon of continuous cropping is widespread due to the limited cultivated land area. Continuous cropping will lead to the change of soil physical and chemical properties, the increase of pathogenic bacteria, the decrease of soil enzyme activities and the destruction of soil microbial community (Seifert et al., 2017), which is further manifested as a continuous cropping obstacle (Wu et al., 2015).

Soil microorganisms are an important part of terrestrial ecosystems (Schloss and Handelsman, 2006). The soil bacterial community plays a key role in promoting organic matter decomposition, nutrient cycling, inhibiting soil-borne diseases and promoting plant growth (Bardgett and van der Putten, 2014), which is beneficial to maintaining soil quality, agricultural sustainability and ecosystem multifunctionality. The composition and function of soil bacterial communities influence soil properties. Proper management of agricultural fields enables soil microorganisms to better perform their diversified ecological functions (Amorim et al., 2020; Kelly et al., 2020). Cropping system is the main factor that changes microbial community structure (Meriles et al., 2009; Zhou et al., 2018; Liu et al., 2019). The response of microbial species to soybean cropping system was different in different studies. In one case, continuous cropping reduced the total amount of bacteria and actinomycetes and increased the number of fungi (Yu et al., 2014). In another study, the bacterial community in soybean rhizosphere soil changed little (Li et al., 2010). In several experiments the abundance and diversity of microorganisms were reduced under continuous cropping (Wardle et al., 2004; Tang et al., 2009; Zhu et al., 2010). Soil sterilization significantly improved soybean plant growth compared with unsterilized continuous cropping soil, proving that soil microorganisms were the main cause of soybean continuous cropping obstacles (Dias et al., 2015).

There were significant differences in soil microorganisms among different genotypes of the same crop. Research showed that different genotypes of crop had significant differences in soil microorganisms (Luo et al., 2005; Zhao et al., 2006; Ma et al., 2007; Zhu et al., 2010). It has also been reported that rhizosphere microorganisms differed significantly among different soybean genotypes (Qian et al., 2010), which affected crop productivity, soil nutrient cycling (Schmidt et al., 2019; Priyanka et al., 2020; Benitez et al., 2021) and plant disease resistance (Thaddeus et al., 2017). There were interaction effects between crops with different genotypes and soil microorganisms, which affected the productivity of crops with different genotypes. Under continuous cropping, the yield reduction rate of different soybean cultivars was different, which indicated that different cultivars had different tolerance to continuous cropping. However, the relationship between the tolerance of soybean cultivars and soil microorganisms has not been reported. Therefore, this study on the effect of continuous cropping on rhizosphere microbial community of soybean cultivars with different tolerances to continuous cropping can provide theoretical basis for field management of continuous cropping soybean.

In this study, two soybean cultivars with different tolerances to continuous cropping were used, and three treatments of crop rotation (CR), continuous cropping (CC) and continuous cropping + compound fertilizer (CF) were applied, the soil bacterial community and functional structures of soybean cultivars with different continuous cropping tolerance were compared by high-throughput sequencing technology, and the relationship between soybean cultivars with different continuous cropping tolerance and soil microorganisms was discussed.

### 2. Materials and methods

### 2.1. Experimental design

The experiment was conducted in 2021 at the Liaozhong Positioning Test Base (41°52'N, 122°72'W, elevation 5.5–23.5 m) of Shenyang Agricultural University, Liaoning Province. Before this experiment, the field was used under soybean continuous cropping and corn-corn-soybean rotation for 5 years. The climate in this site belongs to subhumid continental climate in south temperate zone, with the mean annual precipitation of 640 mm, mean annual temperature of 8°C and mean annual sunshine is 2,527 h. The basic fertility of soil was 1.26 g kg<sup>-1</sup> of total nitrogen (TN), 11.15 g kg<sup>-1</sup> of organic matter (DOM), 37.30 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> of available phosphorus (AP) and 161.78 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> of available potassium (AK). Our previous screening experiments showed that Liaodou 14 was a continuous-cropping-tolerant soybean cultivar and Liaodou 10 was a continuous-cropping-sensitive soybean cultivar.

The experiment was a two-factor randomized block design, and the two factors were different cropping systems treatments [crop rotation (no fertilizer), continuous cropping (no fertilizer), continuous cropping + compound fertilizer (pure nitrogen: 90 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>; pure phosphorus: 90 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>; pure potassium: 90 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>)] and different soybean cultivars [continuous-croppingtolerant soybean cultivar Liaodou 14 (L14) and continuouscropping-sensitive soybean cultivar Liaodou 10 (L10)] with 3 replicates, 18 plots with 5 rows per plot, and the soybean seedling density was 150,000 plants ha<sup>-1</sup>. Seeds were selected before sowing, 4 seeds per hole, sowing depth 3 cm, thinning at seedling stage, leaving 2 plants per hole, fertilizer was applied once at sowing time, as in conventional field management.

### 2.2. Field sampling of soil

At the beginning bloom growth stage (R1) and the full seed growth stage (R6), five spots were selected in the S-shaped manner, and the soil attached to the roots of soybean plants (i. e. rhizosphere soil) was brushed with a sterile brush and mixed fully to form a composite sample, which was stored at  $-80^{\circ}$ C and used for sequencing of soil microorganisms.

In each plot, 5 spots (5 cm diameter  $\times$  20 cm depth) were taken in an S-shape and mixed thoroughly to form a composite sample. The soil sample was sifted through a 2 mm sieve to remove crop residues such as leaves and roots. Each sample was then divided into two parts: One part was stored at  $-80^{\circ}$ C for the determination of functional genes for nitrogen and phosphorus cycling enzymes and soil enzyme activities, and the other part was used for the soil property analysis.

### 2.3. Test methods

### 2.3.1. Determination of soil physical and chemical indexes

Soil water content (WC) in 0–20 cm soil layer was determined by drying method. The soil pH was measured by pH-meter method, and the soil-water mass volume ratio was 1:5. Nitrate  $(NO_3^--N)$  was determined by UV spectrophotometry method, ammonium  $(NH_4^+-N)$  was determined by indophenol blue method, AP was determined by flame atomic absorption spectrophotometer method, and DOM was determined by dichromate oxidation and ferrous sulfate titrimetric method (Jangid et al., 2011; McDaniel and Grandy, 2016). TN was determined by automated Kjeldahl apparatus (KJELTECTM8400). The total phosphorus (TP) was determined by molybdenumantimony anti-colorimetric method. The total potassium (TK) was determined by flame atomic absorption spectrophotometer method.

### 2.3.2. Determination of soil enzyme activities

Soil urease (URE) activity was measured by indophenol blue method (Liao and Raines, 1985). Soil N-acetyl-β-glucosaminidase (NAG), leucine aminopeptidase (LAP), alkaline phosphatase (ALP), neutral phosphatase (NPA) and acid phosphatase (ACP) activities were measured by microplate fluorescence detection technology (Saiya-Cork et al., 2002).

### 2.3.3. Extraction of soil total DNA

Genomic DNA was extracted from the samples by CTAB or SDS according to the manufacturer's recommendations, and then the purity and concentration of DNA was determined by agarose gel electrophoresis, and the sample DNA was diluted to 1 ng  $\mu L^{\text{-1}}$  using sterile water.

### 2.3.4. Quantitative fluorescence qPCR assay

The Platinum<sup>®</sup> Taq DNA Polymerase (Thermo Fisher) enzyme and specific primers were used to quantify the target genes. Standard curves were constructed by 10-fold dilution of plasmids containing target genes, and real-time fluorescence quantification was performed on a PCR instrument (7,500, ABI, United States). A 15  $\mu$ l reaction system was used for RT-qPCR analysis (Zhou et al., 2019), including 3  $\mu$ l 100 ng DNA, 1.2  $\mu$ l 10  $\mu$ M PCR forward primer, 1.2  $\mu$ l 10  $\mu$ M reverse primer, 7.5  $\mu$ l SYBR qPCR mix and 2.1  $\mu$ l ddH<sub>2</sub>O. Primer sequences are shown in Supplementary Table S1.

### 2.3.5. PCR amplification and 16S rDNA sequencing

Using diluted genomic DNA as template, specific primers with Barcode, Phusion® High-Fidelity PCR Master Mix with GC Buffer from New England Biolabs company, were used according to the selection of sequencing region, and high efficient, high fidelity enzyme for PCR, to ensure amplification efficiency and accuracy. 515F (5'-CCTAYGGGRBGCASCAG-3') and 806R (5'-GGACTAC NNGGGTATCTAAT-3') were selected as sequencing primers to amplify the V4 region of 16S rRNA gene (Walters et al., 2016). The PCR products were detected by 2% agarose gel electrophoresis, purified by magnetic beads, and quantified by enzyme-labeled. The PCR products were mixed in equal amounts according to the concentration of PCR products, and the fully mixed PCR products were detected by 2% agarose gel electrophoresis again. The target band was recovered by using the gel recovery kit provided by qiagen. TruSeq® DNA PCR-Free Sample Preparation Kit was used for library construction. The constructed library was quantified by Qubit and Q-PCR, and the qualified libraries were sequenced using the Illumina NovaSeq sequencing platform at MetWare.1

### 2.4. Data analysis

The sample data to Barcode and primer sequences, using FLASH (V1.2.7,<sup>2</sup>) (Magoč and Steven, 2011) reads from samples of each for strict filtering processing (Bokulich et al., 2013) get high quality data Tags. Reference Qiime (V1.9.1,<sup>3</sup>) (Caporaso et al., 2010) Tags quality control process, remove the processing of chimeric sequences, Tags sequence through annotations<sup>4</sup> (Rognes et al., 2016) and species database for matching detection chimeric sequences, get the Effective Tags. The Effective Tags of all samples were clustered into OTUs (Operational Taxonomic Units) with

3 http://qiime.org/scripts/split\_libraries\_fastq.html

<sup>1</sup> http://www.metware.cn

<sup>2</sup> http://ccb.jhu.edu/software/FLASH/

<sup>4</sup> https://github.com/torognes/vsearch/

97% Identity, and the sequence with the highest frequency was screened as the representative sequence of OTUs. The least amount of data was used as the standard for homogenization. Alpha Diversity was used to analyze microbial community diversity in samples (Li et al., 2013), and Qiime software (Version 1.9.1) was used to calculate Observed\_species, Shannon, Simpson, Chao1 and ACE indices. Beta Diversity was used to compare and analyze the microbial community composition of different samples, and the differences among different samples were found by Principal Co-ordinate Analysis (PCoA). FAPROTAX was a prokaryotic environmental function database for the analysis of bacterial function. Based on species abundance, Spearman correlation coefficient (SCC) between each genus of bacteria was calculated, and the correlation coefficient matrix was obtained to filter out the connection node self-connection with cutoff value (<0.6) weakly correlated and the connection node abundance (<0.005%) (Barberán et al., 2012). According to the filtered correlation values, with bacteria as nodes and values as edges, graphviz-2.38.0 was used to draw the network diagram (Bastian et al., 2009).

Data were processed by Excel 2010, and the difference in significance was analyzed by using the statistical software SPSS version 22.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, United States). The redundancy analysis (RDA) was performed using CANOCO software 4.5. LDA Effect Size (LEfSe) software was used for LEfSe, and the default screening value of LDA Score is set to 4.

### 3. Results

## 3.1. Effects of continuous cropping on soil nutrients and yield of soybean cultivars with different tolerances

Yield and biomass were significantly different among cultivars and cropping systems, but there was no significant cultivars  $\times$ cropping systems interaction. Continuous cropping induced significantly decreased soybean yield and biomass, and fertilization increased yield and biomass under continuous cropping. In addition, L14 had higher yield (27.17%), biomass (19.42%), and lower yield reduction rates (13.15%) than L10 under CC treatment (Table 1). At the R1 growth stage,  $NH_4^+-N$ ,  $NO_3^--N$ , AP, DOM, TK, TP, WC content, and pH value in soil were significantly different among cultivars and cropping systems, and  $NO_3^--N$ , AP, WC content and pH value showed significant cultivars × cropping systems interactions. Continuous cropping induced significantly increased pH value and significantly decreased  $NH_4^+-N$ ,  $NO_3^--N$ , DOM, TK, TP, TN, and WC content. Fertilization increased  $NH_4^+-N$ ,  $NO_3^--N$ , AP, DOM, TK, TP, and TN content in soybean soil under continuous cropping. L14 had significantly higher contents of  $NH_4^+-N$ ,  $NO_3^--N$ , AP, DOM, TK, TP, TN, WC, and pH value than L10 under CC treatment (Supplementary Table S2).

At the R6 growth stage,  $NH_4^+$ -N,  $NO_3^-$ -N, AP, DOM, TK content, and pH value in soil were significantly different among cultivars and cropping systems, while TK and WC content displayed cultivars×cropping systems interactions. Continuous cropping induced significantly increased pH value and WC content, and significantly decreased  $NH_4^+$ -N,  $NO_3^-$ -N, DOM, TK and TN content. Fertilization increased  $NH_4^+$ -N,  $NO_3^-$ -N, AP, DOM, TK, TP, TN and WC content in soybean soil under continuous cropping. L14 had significantly higher contents of  $NH_4^+$ -N,  $NO_3^-$ -N, AP, DOM, TK and pH value than L10 under CC treatment (Supplementary Table S3).

## 3.2. Effects of continuous cropping on soil enzyme activities of soybean cultivars with different tolerances

At the R1 growth stage, URE, NAG, LAP, ALP, NPA, and ACP activities were significantly different among cultivars and cropping systems, and URE activity was showed a significant cultivars × cropping systems interaction. Continuous cropping induced significantly decreased NAG, LAP, ALP, NPA, and ACP activities, and fertilization increased URE, NAG, LAP, ALP, NPA, and ACP activities under continuous cropping. L14 showed significantly higher URE, NAG, LAP, ALP, NPA, and ACP activities than L10 under CC treatment (Table 2).

At the R6 growth stage, URE, NAG, LAP, ALP, and ACP activities were significantly different among cultivars and cropping systems, and URE activity showed a significant cultivars  $\times$ 

Yield and biomass	Cultivar	CR	СС	CF	Mean	Cultivar	Cropping system	Cultivar × Cropping system
Yield (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	L10	2,636	916	1,638	1730(864)b	**	**	ns
	L14	3,130	1,328	2,145	2,201(902)a			
	Mean	2,883(349)a	1,124(292)c	1891(359)b				
Biomass	L10	11,521	5,954	7,380	8,285(2892)b	*	**	ns
(kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	L14	12,952	6,803	9,926	9,894(3074)a			
	Mean	12,237(1102)a	6,379(600)c	8,653(1800)b				

TABLE 1 Effects of crop rotation and continuous cropping on soybean productivity.

Different lowercase letters indicate significant difference among treatments (p < 0.05). \*p < 0.05; \*\*p < 0.01.

NPA

ACP

 $(\mu mol \ h^{\scriptscriptstyle -1} \ g^{\scriptscriptstyle -1})$ 

 $(\mu mol \ h^{\scriptscriptstyle -1} \ g^{\scriptscriptstyle -1})$ 

R6 growth stage

L10

L14

Mean

L10

L14

Mean

13.91

19.33

16.62 (3.83)a

124.65

138.89

131.77 (8.33)a

\*\*

\*\*

\*\*

\*\*

9.81 (3.35)b

12.42 (5.75)a

102.11 (32.47)b

115.78 (31.41)a

Cultivar ×

Cropping

system

\*

ns

ns

ns

ns

ns

Soil enzyme activity	Cultivar	CR	сс	CF	Mean	Cultivar	Cropping system
R1 growth stage							
URE (mg $d^{-1} g^{-1}$ )	L10	1.12	1.16	1.40	1.23 (0.16)b	**	**
	L14	1.42	1.31	1.73	1.48 (0.20)a		
	Mean	1.27 (0.17)b	1.23 (0.14)b	1.56 (0.18)a			
NAG (nM $h^{-1} g^{-1}$ )	L10	14.81	10.14	14.15	13.03 (2.31)b	**	**
	L14	17.13	12.13	15.12	14.79 (2.35)a		
	Mean	15.97 (1.50)a	11.13 (1.37)c	14.63 (1.04)b			
LAP (nM $h^{-1} g^{-1}$ )	L10	21.03	18.08	15.95	18.35 (2.37)b	**	**
	L14	24.07	20.00	18.50	20.86 (2.81)a		
	Mean	22.55 (2.22)a	19.04 (1.28)b	17.22 (1.76)c			
ALP	L10	4.18	1.04	2.81	2.68 (1.58)b	**	**
$(\mu mol \ h^{-1} \ g^{-1})$	L14	6.30	1.58	4.11	4.00 (2.16)a		
	Mean	5.24 (1.54)a	1.31 (0.62)c	3.46 (0.97)b			

6.68

7.19

6.93 (0.98)c

58.96

74.01

66.48 (8.34)c

TABLE 2 Effects of crop rotation and continuous cropping on soil enzyme activity parameters.

URE (mg $d^{-1} g^{-1}$ )	L10	1.80	1.56	2.07	1.81 (0.23)b	**	**	*
	L14	2.11	1.85	2.56	2.17 (0.31)a			
	Mean	1.95 (0.18)b	1.71 (0.16)c	2.32 (0.27)a				
NAG (nM $h^{-1} g^{-1}$ )	L10	24.13	17.47	23.15	21.58 (3.21)b	**	**	ns
	L14	28.40	22.64	29.14	26.73 (3.18)a			
	Mean	26.26 (2.52)a	20.05 (2.88)b	26.15 (3.42)a				
LAP (nM $h^{-1} g^{-1}$ )	L10	32.73	27.90	25.65	28.76 (3.37)b	**	**	ns
	L14	35.29	30.49	27.52	31.10 (3.66)a			
	Mean	34.01 (2.29)a	29.19 (1.81)b	26.58 (1.39)c				
ALP	L10	8.61	1.68	6.90	5.73 (3.25)b	**	**	ns
$(\mu mol \ h^{-1} \ g^{-1})$	L14	11.45	2.12	9.36	7.64 (4.33)a			
	Mean	10.03 (1.76)a	1.90 (0.61)c	8.13 (1.84)b				
NPA	L10	26.26	8.38	10.07	14.90 (9.04)a	ns	**	ns
$(\mu mol \ h^{-1} g^{-1})$	L14	30.03	8.42	13.87	17.44 (9.96)a			
	Mean	28.15 (4.68)a	8.40 (1.45)b	11.97 (2.34)b				
$ACP~(\mu molh^{-1}g^{-1})$	L10	132.19	92.63	126.90	117.24 (18.88)b	**	**	ns
	L14	150.91	117.34	147.68	138.64 (16.25)a			
	Mean	141.55 (10.53)a	104.98 (13.57)b	137.29 (12.27)a				

8.85

10.74

9.79 (1.39)b

122.73

134.44

128.59 (6.55)b

Data are means (n=3), values in parentheses represent standard deviation of the mean (n=3). Different lowercase letters indicate significant difference among treatments (p < 0.05). \*p < 0.05; \*\*p < 0.01.

cropping systems interaction. Continuous cropping induced significantly decreased URE, NAG, LAP, ALP, NPA, and ACP activities, and fertilization increased URE, NAG, LAP, ALP, and

ACP activities under continuous cropping. L14 showed significantly higher URE, NAG, LAP, ALP, and ACP activities than L10 under CC treatment (Table 2).

### 3.3. Effects of continuous cropping on the copy numbers of nitrogen and phosphorus functional genes in soil of soybean cultivars with different tolerances

At the R1 growth stage, continuous cropping induced significantly decreased copy numbers of *AOA*, *AOB*, *nirK*, *nirK*, *phoA*, and *phoB* in soybean soil, and fertilization increased copy numbers of *AOA*, *AOB*, *nirK*, *nirK*, *phoA*, and *phoB* in soybean soil under continuous cropping. L14 had significantly higher copy numbers of *AOA*, *AOB*, *nirK*, *nirK*, *phoA*, and *phoB* than L10 under CC treatment. The copy numbers of soil nitrogen and phosphorus functional genes were in consistent trends between R1 and R6 growth stage, but the R6 growth stage showed higher copy numbers of soil nitrogen and phosphorus functional genes than the R1 growth stage (Table 3).

# 3.4. Effects of continuous cropping on soil bacterial community structure of soybean cultivars with different tolerances

## 3.4.1. Effects of continuous cropping on soil bacterial alpha diversity of soybean cultivars with different tolerances

The effects of CR and CC treatments on soil bacterial alpha diversity of soybean are shown in Supplementary Table S4. At the R1 growth stage, CC treatment decreased Observed\_ species index, Shannon index, Chao1 index and ACE index. L14 had higher Observed\_species index, Shannon index, Chao1 index and ACE index than L10 under CC treatment. At the R6 growth stage, CC treatment increased Observed\_ species index, Chao1 index and ACE index and ACE index and ACE index. L14 had higher Observed\_species index, but decreased Shannon index. L14 had higher Observed\_species index, but decreased Shannon index. L14 had higher Observed\_species index, back and acce index.

Functional genes	Cultivar	CR	CC	CF		
R1 growth stage						
AOA (10 <sup>7</sup> copies g <sup>-1</sup> dry soil)	L10	1.72±0.10bAB	0.98±0.16dE	1.39±0.16cCD		
	L14	$1.95\pm0.08aA$	$1.15 \pm 0.09 dDE$	1.51±0.03cBC		
AOB (10 <sup>6</sup> copies g <sup>-1</sup> dry soil)	L10	3.24±0.17abA	2.52±0.16cB	2.99±0.11bA		
	L14	3.32±0.18aA	2.63±0.10cB	3.17±0.09abA		
nirK (10 <sup>5</sup> copies g <sup>-1</sup> dry soil)	L10	$8.70\pm0.14 bB$	7.46±0.10eD	8.34±0.09cC		
	L14	9.03±0.12aA	7.72±0.15dD	8.53±0.12bcBC		
<i>nifH</i> (10 <sup>6</sup> copies $g^{-1}$ dry soil)	L10	2.43±0.10bA	1.50±0.07dC	1.29±0.06eD		
	L14	$2.59\pm0.06aA$	$1.68 \pm 0.07 \mathrm{cB}$	1.42±0.04dCD		
<i>phoA</i> (10 <sup>9</sup> copies g <sup>-1</sup> dry soil)	L10	0.23±0.01bAB	0.10±0.01eE	0.17±0.01dCD		
	L14	0.27±0.03aA	0.14±0.01dDE	0.20±0.01cBC		
<i>phoB</i> (10 <sup>9</sup> copies g <sup>-1</sup> dry soil)	L10	0.82±0.01aAB	0.63±0.03dC	0.72±0.06bcBC		
	L14	$0.86 \pm 0.04 aA$	0.67±0.05cdC	0.79±0.03abAB		
R6 growth stage						
AOA ( $10^7$ copies g <sup>-1</sup> dry soil)	L10	$1.96 \pm 0.17 \text{bAB}$	$1.19\pm0.15 dD$	$1.44 \pm 0.10$ cdCD		
	L14	$2.24\pm0.20aA$	$1.29\pm0.16dCD$	1.63±0.11cBC		
AOB (10 <sup>6</sup> copies g <sup>-1</sup> dry soil)	L10	$3.45\pm0.17aA$	$2.67\pm0.18\text{bB}$	$3.32\pm0.06aA$		
	L14	$3.58\pm0.06aA$	$2.72\pm0.25bB$	3.48±0.31aA		
nirK (10 <sup>5</sup> copies g <sup>-1</sup> dry soil)	L10	$9.41\pm0.04bB$	$8.26\pm0.20eD$	9.05±0.10cC		
	L14	$9.69\pm0.05aA$	$8.49\pm0.03 dD$	$9.38\pm0.12bB$		
<i>nifH</i> (10 <sup>6</sup> copies $g^{-1}$ dry soil)	L10	$2.55\pm0.10 bA$	$1.74\pm0.10$ cdBC	$1.43\pm0.07eD$		
	L14	2.74±0.11aA	$1.85\pm0.10\mathrm{cB}$	$1.61 \pm 0.06 dCD$		
<i>phoA</i> (10 <sup>9</sup> copies g <sup>-1</sup> dry soil)	L10	$0.28 \pm 0.02 abAB$	0.17±0.02dC	$0.23 \pm 0.03 cdBC$		
	L14	$0.33 \pm 0.04 aA$	0.20±0.02cdC	0.25±0.05bcABC		
<i>phoB</i> (10 <sup>9</sup> copies g <sup>-1</sup> dry soil)	L10	$0.89 \pm 0.06 abA$	0.74±0.13bA	$0.83 \pm 0.12 abA$		
	L14	$0.97 \pm 0.05 aA$	$0.79\pm0.08 bA$	$0.88\pm0.05abA$		

TABLE 3 Effects of crop rotation and continuous cropping on copy numbers of soil nitrogen and phosphorus functional genes.

Lowercase letters represent significant difference (p < 0.05), uppercase letters represent extremely significant difference (p < 0.01).

Shannon index, Chao1 index and ACE index than L10 under CC treatment.

## 3.4.2. Effects of continuous cropping on the number of soil bacteria at different taxonomic levels of soybean with different tolerances

At the R1 growth stage, the relative abundance of the top 10 bacterial phyla at the phylum level were *Proteobacteria*, *unidentified\_Bacteria*, *Acidobacteriota*, *Actinobacteria*, *Bacteroidota*, *Gemmatimonadetes*, *Myxococcota*, *Chloroflexi*, *Verrucomicrobiota*, *Cyanobacteria*, which accounted for 86–89% of all bacterial sequences (Supplementary Figure S1). The relative abundance of each phylum was not significantly different between L14 and L10 under CC treatment.

At the R6 growth stage, the relative abundance of the top 10 bacterial phyla at the phylum level were *Proteobacteria*, *unidentified\_Bacteria*, *Actinobacteria*, *Bacteroidota*, *Cyanobacteria*, *Acidobacteriota*, *Chloroflexi*, *Myxococcota*, *Verrucomicrobiota*, *Gemmatimonadetes*, which accounted for 87–89% of all bacterial sequences (Supplementary Figure S1). Under CC treatment, L14 had significantly higher relative abundance of *Proteobacteria*, *unidentified\_Bacteria*, *Bacteroidota*, *Acidobacteriota*, and *Verrucomicrobiota* than L10 at the phylum level.

## 3.4.3. Effects of continuous cropping on soil bacterial $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ diversity of different soybean cultivars

Principal co-ordinates analysis (PCoA) clearly showed that the first two components explained 12 and 7% of the total variability, respectively. The sample distance between R1 and R6 growth stage was relatively long, indicating that the bacterial community structure was significantly different between R1 and R6 growth stage. At the R1 growth stage, the distance of L14 and L10 on the first principal component axis (PC1) was significantly separated, indicating that cultivar was the main factor affecting the bacterial community structure. On the second principal component axis (PC2), the distance between CC and CR treatment was relatively great, indicating that continuous cropping was the second major factor affecting the bacterial community structure. At the R6 growth stage, the distance between cultivars and cropping systems was close, indicating that continuous cropping and cultivars had no significant effect on bacterial community structure at the R6 growth stage (Supplementary Figure S2).

# 3.4.4. LEfSe analysis of soil bacterial communities of soybean cultivars with different tolerances under continuous cropping

Under CC treatment, the difference analysis results among LEfSe species are shown in Figure 1. At the R1 growth stage, L10 had higher relative abundance of *Burkholderiaceae* than L14 at the family level (Figure 1A). At the R6 growth stage, L10 had higher relative abundance of *unidentified\_Actinobacteria* and *Cyanobacteria* than L14 at the class level. L10 had higher relative abundance of

*Chloroplast* than L14 at the order level. L10 had higher relative abundance of *unidentified\_Chloroplast* than L14 at the family level. L14 had higher relative abundance of *Bacteroidia* than L10 at the class level. L14 had higher relative abundance of *Sphingomonadales* than L10 at the order level. L14 had higher relative abundance of *Sphingomonadaceae* than L10 at the family level (Figure 1B).

## 3.4.5. Effects of soil properties on soil bacterial community structure of soybean cultivars with different tolerances

The effect of soil properties on bacterial community structure was assessed by redundancy analysis (RDA) (Figure 2). At the R1 growth stage, for soybean soil bacterial community structure, the first two axes explained 67% of the variation of bacterial community structure. The values of NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-N (F=8.93, p=0.001) and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N (F=5.70, p=0.014) were positively correlated with the bacterial community structure. These results indicated that NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-N and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N value had a significant effect on the soil bacterial community structure (Figure 2A). At the R6 growth stage, for soybean soil bacterial community structure, the first two axes explained 64% of the variation of bacterial community structure. These results indicated that bacterial community structure. The value of AP (F=3.10, p=0.044) was positively correlated with the bacterial community structure. These results indicated that AP had a significant effect on the soil bacterial community structure (Figure 2B).

# 3.5. Effects of continuous cropping on soil bacterial community function of soybean cultivars with different tolerances

## 3.5.1. Functional composition of soil bacterial community of soybean cultivars with different tolerances

At the R1 growth stage in the soybean soil bacterial community under CR and CC treatments, the relative abundance of the top 10 functional groups was chemoheterotrophy, aerobic chemoheterotrophy, chloroplasts, nitrate reduction, nitrogen respiration, nitrate respiration, nitrite respiration, nitrogen fixation, denitrification, nitrite denitrification, which accounted for 34–39% of the total bacterial functional abundance (Figure 3). L14 showed higher relative abundance of aerobic chemoheterotrophy than L10 under CC treatment. The relative abundance of the top 10 functional groups was consistent between R1 and R6 growth stage, which accounted for 37–54% of the total bacterial functional abundance (Figure 3). L14 had higher relative abundance of chemoheterotrophy and aerobic chemoheterotrophy than L10 under CC treatment.

## 3.5.2. Effects of continuous cropping on functional $\beta$ diversity of soil bacteria of soybean cultivars with different tolerances

Principal component analyses (PCA) clearly showed that the first two components explained 23 and 12% of the total variability,



R6 growth stage, CL10T1, at the R1 growth stage, L10 under CC treatment; CL10T2, at the R6 growth stage, L10 under CC treatment; CL14T2, at the R6 growth stage, L14 under CC treatment.



respectively. The sample distance between R1 and R6 growth stage was relatively long, indicating that the bacterial functional structure was significantly different between R1 and R6 growth stage. At the R1 growth stage, the distances between cultivars and cropping systems were close, indicating that and cultivars did not significantly effect of the bacteria functional structure at the R1 growth stage. At the R6 growth stage, the projections of CC and CR treatments on the first principal component axis (PC1) were significantly separated, indicating that continuous cropping was the main factor affecting the bacterial functional structure. On the second principal component axis (PC2), the distance between L14 and L10 was relatively great, especially under CC treatment, indicating that cultivar was the second major factor affecting the bacterial functional structure, especially under CC treatment (Figure 4).

## 3.5.3. Effects of soil properties on soil bacterial functional structure of soybean cultivars with different tolerances

The effect of soil properties on bacterial functional structure was assessed by RDA (Figure 5). At the R1 growth stage, for soybean soil bacterial functional structure, the first two axes explained 60% of the variation of bacterial functional structure. The value of  $NH_4^+$ -N (F=4.82, p=0.012) and  $NO_3^-$ -N (F=4.59, p=0.028) were positively correlated with the bacterial functional structure. These results indicated that  $NH_4^+$ -N and  $NO_3^-$ -N value had a significant effect on the soil bacterial functional structure (Figure 5A). At the R6 growth stage, the first two axes explained 79% of the variation of bacterial functional structure. The value of AP (F=4.98, p=0.009) and



Relative abundance of functional bacteria in soil of soybean cultivars under crop rotation and continuous cropping. RL10T1, at the R1 growth stage, L10 under CR treatment; RL14T1, at the R1 growth stage, L14 under CR treatment; CL14T1, at the R1 growth stage, L14 under CC treatment; FL10T1, at the R1 growth stage, L10 under CF treatment; FL14T1, at the R1 growth stage, L14 under CF treatment; RL10T2, at the R6 growth stage, L10 under CF treatment; FL14T1, at the R1 growth stage, L10 under CF treatment; RL14T2, at the R6 growth stage, L14 under CR treatment; FL10T2, at the R6 growth stage, L10 under CF treatment; FL14T2, at the R6 growth stage, L14 under CR treatment; FL10T2, at the R6 growth stage, L14 under CF treatment; FL14T2, at the R6 growth stage, L14 under CF treatment; FL14T2, at the R6 growth stage, L14 under CF treatment; FL14T2, at the R6 growth stage, L14 under CF treatment; FL14T2, at the R6 growth stage, L14 under CF treatment; FL14T2, at the R6 growth stage, L14 under CF treatment; FL14T2, at the R6 growth stage, L14 under CF treatment; FL14T2, at the R6 growth stage, L14 under CF treatment; FL14T2, at the R6 growth stage, L14 under CF treatment; FL14T2, at the R6 growth stage, L14 under CF treatment; FL14T2, at the R6 growth stage, L14 under CF treatment; FL14T2, at the R6 growth stage, L14 under CF treatment; FL14T2, at the R6 growth stage, L14 under CF treatment; FL14T2, at the R6 growth stage, L14 under CF treatment; FL14T2, at the R6 growth stage, L14 under CF treatment; FL14T2, at the R6 growth stage, L14 under CF treatment; FL14T2, R14T2, R14T



 $NO_3^{-}-N$  (F=3.46, p=0.028) were positively correlated with the bacterial functional structure. These results indicated that AP and  $NO_3^{-}-N$  had significant effects on the soil bacterial functional structure (Figure 5B).

## 3.5.4. Co-occurrence network analysis of soil bacterial communities of soybean cultivars with different tolerances

The co-occurrence networks of soil bacterial community in L14 and L10 were constructed using Spearman coefficients among

OTUs (Figure 6). At the R1 growth stage, L14 had a significantly higher number of nodes and edges of soil bacterial community than L10. The connectivity among soil bacterial communities in L10 was lower than L14, indicating the network associated with L10 was simpler. And the average degree of soil bacterial community in L10 was also lower than that in L14 (Figures 6A,B). The co-occurrence network of soil bacterial communities showed consistent trends between the R1 and R6 growth stages (Figures 6C,D).

### 4. Discussion

It was found that continuous cropping caused unbalanced changes in soil nutrients, which seriously affected the available nutrient content of soil and reduced soil productivity (Muhammad et al., 2020). The results of this study also showed that continuous cropping significantly decreased the contents of NH4+-N, NO3--N, DOM, TK, TP, TN, and WC in soybean soil, and also significantly decreased soybean biomass and yield. The soil NH4+-N, NO3--N, AP, DOM, TK, TP, TN, WC content, and pH value of L14 were higher than those of L10. The biomass and yield of L14 were higher than those of L10, while the yield reduction rate of L14 was lower than that of L10. The biochemical processes in soil are controlled by the activities of soil enzymes, which were mainly derived from the activities of soil microorganisms, and were an important biological index to characterize the vigorous degree of soil material, energy metabolism and soil quality level (Frankenberger and Dick, 1983; Pagliai and De Nobili, 1993).





Different cropping systems would cause changes in soil pH, AP and other active components, leading to changes in soil microbial activity, and thus affecting soil nutrient cycling (Ehrenfeld et al., 1997). Soil nitrogen and phosphorus cycling enzymes (URE, NAG, LAP, ALP, NPA, and ACP) play an important role in soil nitrogen and phosphorus cycling. URE can convert organic nitrogen into inorganic nitrogen in soil; NAG can catalyze the terminal reaction of chitin degradation; LAP can hydrolyze leucine and other hydrophobic amino acids from the N-terminus of polypeptides; ALP, NPA and ACP can hydrolyze phosphate esters and they are usually associated with microbial metabolism (Schimel and Weintraub, 2003; Moorhead and Sinsabaugh, 2006; Wang et al., 2020). Different genotypes had significantly different effects on soil nitrogen and phosphorus cycling enzyme activities, which could affect nitrogen and phosphorus cycling (Márton et al., 2015). The results of this study showed that continuous cropping significantly decreased nitrogen and phosphorus cycling enzyme (URE, NAG, LAP, ALP, NPA, and ACP) activities and copy numbers of soil nitrogen and phosphorus cycling functional genes (*AOA*, *AOB*, *nirK*, *nirK*, *phoA*, *phoB*). The nitrogen and phosphorus enzyme activities and copy numbers of nitrogen and phosphorus functional genes of L10. These results indicated that the continuous-cropping-tolerant soybean cultivar was more beneficial to the soil nitrogen and phosphorus cycles, improved soil nutrient utilization and nutrient supply capacity, and improved soybean plant material production capacity.

The different genotypes had significantly different effects on soil microorganisms, and the different genotypes would also affect

soil microbial diversity and community structure (Huang et al., 2022; Xiao et al., 2022). In this study, it was found that root microorganisms were significantly different among different soybean cultivars. L14 showed higher Observed\_species index, Shannon index, Chao1 index and ACE index than L10 under continuous cropping. These results indicated that the diversity of the soil bacterial community of the continuous-cropping-tolerant soybean cultivar was higher than that of the continuous-cropping-sensitive soybean cultivar under continuous cropping. This may be related to the differences in rhizosphere exudate composition and microbial activity habits among different cultivars (Liu et al., 2013).

Plant species diversity is a strong driver of soil microbial community structure (Fox et al., 2020). Different species of plants also affect soil microenvironment and organic matter input, thus changing soil biochemical activities and microbial community structure (Gsewell and Freeman, 2003; Zuber and Villamil, 2016). Different kinds and contents of organic matter secreted and released by roots of different cultivars change the activity and ecological niche of soil microorganisms (Lauren et al., 2021; Cui et al., 2022). In this study, PCoA showed that the projections of L14 and L10 on the principal component axis were significantly separated, indicating that cultivar was the main factor affecting the structure of soil bacterial community structure, and different cultivars had significant differences in soil bacterial community structure. The two cultivars had different responses to continuous cropping, which resulted in differences in the composition of root exudates and recruited different rhizosphere soil microorganisms (Kishore et al., 2013; Vicente et al., 2020). Based on the RDA of soil bacterial community and soil properties, it was found that NH4+-N, NO3-N and AP had the most significant effects on soil bacterial community structure, indicating that the formation of different soil bacterial community structure was closely related to soil nitrogen and phosphorus sources under continuous cropping.

Microbial functional diversity is the ability of microorganisms to utilize a variety of substrates and biological processes, and it is an important mechanism for the soil microbial community to respond to soil environmental changes (Maier et al., 2009). In this study, FAPROTAX was used to predict the soil bacterial functional structure. It was found that chemoheterotrophy and aerobic chemoheterotrophy groups of different soybean cultivars were the main predictors of the soil bacterial functional structure under different cropping systems, and they were the dominant functional groups in soil (Figure 3). There was no input of other organic matter in continuous cropping soil, and the utilization of exogenous carbon was limited by nitrogen. Therefore, microorganisms need to strengthen the function of nitrogen acquisition to meet their demand for nitrogen (Kuzyakov, 2010). The soil bacterial functional groups were closely related to aboveground plants, so the different crop cultivars will cause the changes in soil bacterial community functional groups (Schlatter et al., 2015).

This study showed that the projections of L14 and L10 on the principal component axis were significantly separated under continuous cropping, indicating that cultivar was the main factor affecting the soil bacterial functional structure. Based on the RDA of soil bacterial function and soil properties, it was found that  $NH_4^+$ -N,  $NO_3^-$ -N and AP had the most significant effects on soil bacterial functional structure, indicating that the differences of soil bacterial functional structure among soybean cultivars were closely related to soil nitrogen and phosphorus sources.

Microorganisms in the phyla Proteobacteria and Acidobacteria usually accounted for most of the soil bacterial communities (Fierer et al., 2007; Rao et al., 2021). Among the soil bacteria, Proteobacteria, Acidobacteriota, Actinobacteria, Bacteroidota, Gemmatimonadetes were the dominant phyla, and L14 showed significantly higher relative abundance of soil beneficial bacteria (Proteobacteria, Bacteroidota, Acidobacteriota, Verrucomicrobiota) than L10. Proteobacteria can adapt to a variety of plant rhizosphere microenvironments (Fierer et al., 2007; Peiffer et al., 2013), most of which have fix nitrogen and promote soil nitrogen cycle (Delmont et al., 2018); Bacteroidota play an important role in organic matter decomposition and polysaccharide metabolism (Larsbrink et al., 2017a,b; Huang et al., 2020; McKee et al., 2021); Acidobacteriota can degrade complex lignin and cellulose to provide sufficient energy and nutrients for soil microorganisms (Lynd et al., 2002; Pankratov et al., 2011; López-Mondéjar et al., 2015); Verrucomicrobiota can degrade cellulose and has the potential to synthesize antibiotics (Crits-Christoph et al., 2018). These results indicated that the continuous-cropping-tolerant soybean cultivar could recruit more beneficial bacteria and change the variability of soil bacterial community under continuous cropping, which was the main reason for the improvement of soil productivity.

Examination of the microbial co-occurrence network revealed the complex interactions among microorganisms, which reflect ecological linkages and processes (Barberán et al., 2012; Faust and Raes, 2012; Ramirez et al., 2018), and there is a strong positive correlation between crop yield and the diversity of key populations in the ecological network (Fan et al., 2021). The co-occurrence network of L14 had more nodes and edges than that of L10, indicating that the continuous-cropping-tolerant soybean cultivar had more bacterial interactions, larger network scale, and more complex and stable network structure (Liu et al., 2020). The increase of network complexity among microorganisms means the increase of functional connections in the network, and the increase of network complexity improved the efficiency of energy flow and material cycling in the ecosystem (Vries and Wallenstein, 2017). In addition, most of the nodes of L14 co-occurrence network had positive interactions, indicating that soil bacterial community of the continuous-croppingtolerant soybean cultivar promoted each other and collaborative symbiosis, which made the whole soil bacterial ecosystem develop harmoniously.

### 5. Conclusion

In this study, the soil properties, rhizosphere soil microbial diversity, rhizosphere soil bacterial community structure and function among different soybean cultivars with continuous cropping tolerance were compared under continuous cropping. The results showed that biomass, soil nutrient content, soil nitrogen and phosphorus cycling enzyme activities, copy numbers of nitrogen and phosphorus functional genes, soil bacterial community diversity, soil bacterial community co-occurrence network complexity, and relative abundance of soil beneficial bacteria of L14 were significantly higher than those of L10, and the yield reduction rate of L14 was lower than that of L10 under continuous cropping. Soybean cultivars were the main factors affecting the structure and function of soil bacterial community under continuous cropping. Above all, the continuous-croppingtolerant soybean cultivar recruited more beneficial bacteria, changed the structure and function of the microbial community, improved soil nitrogen and phosphorus cycling, and thus improved the productivity of continuous cropping soil to obtain higher yield.

### Data availability statement

The datasets generated of 16S rDNA sequencing for this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/ repositories and accession number(s) can be found at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject/PRJNA892778.

### Author contributions

WL, XY, and FX: conceived and designed the experiments. WL, NW, DH, and HS: performed experiments. WL, XY, XA, HW, HZ, SM, FX, and JW: wrote and revised the manuscript.

### References

Amorim, H. C. S., Ashworth, A. J., Brye, K. R., Wienhold, B. J., Savin, M. C., Owens, P. R., et al. (2020). Soil quality indices as affected by long-term burning, irrigation, tillage, and fertility management. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 85, 379–395. doi: 10.1038/nrmicro283210.1002/saj2.20188

Barberán, A., Bates, S. T., Casamayor, E. O., and Fierer, N. (2012). Using network analysis to explore co-occurrence patterns in soil microbial communities. *ISME J.* 6, 343–351. doi: 10.1038/ismej.2011.119

Bardgett, R. D., and van der Putten, W. H. (2014). Belowground biodiversity and ecosystem functioning. *Nature* 6, 343–351. doi: 10.1038/ismej.2011.119

Bastian, M., Heymann, S., and Jacomy, M. (2009). Gephi: an open source software for exploring and manipulating networks. *Int. AAAI Conf. Webl. Soc. Media* 3, 361–362. doi: 10.1609/icwsm.v3i1.13937

Benitez, M. S., Ewing, P. M., Osborne, S. L., and Lehman, R. M. (2021). Rhizosphere microbial communities explain positive effects of diverse crop rotations on maize and soybean performance. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 159:108309. doi: 10.1016/J.SOILBIO.2021.108309

Bokulich, N. A., Subramanian, S., Faith, J. J., Gevers, D., Gordon, J. I., Knight, R., et al. (2013). Quality-filtering vastly improves diversity estimates from Illumina amplicon sequencing. *Nat. Methods* 10, 57–59. doi: 10.1038/ nmeth.2276 All authors read and approved the final manuscript. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

### Funding

This work was supported by the Project of Scientific Research in Education Department of Liaoning Province, China (Nos. LJKZ0630 and LJKZ0678) and the Opening Project of Key Laboratory of Soybean Biology of Chinese Education Ministry (SBKF12).

### Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

### Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

### Supplementary material

The Supplementary material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2022.1048747/ full#supplementary-material

Caporaso, J. G., Kuczynski, J., Stombaugh, J., Bittinger, K., Bushman, F. D., Costello, E. K., et al. (2010). QIIME allows analysis of high-throughput community sequencing data. *Nat. Methods* 7, 335–336. doi: 10.1038/nmeth.f.303

Crits-Christoph, A., Diamond, S., Butterfield, C. N., and Thomas, B. C. (2018). Novel soil bacteria possess diverse genes for secondary metabolite biosynthesis. *Nature* 558, 440–444. doi: 10.1038/s41586-018-0207-y

Cui, J. J., Zhang, E. H., Zhang, X. H., Wang, Q., and Liu, Q. L. (2022). Effects of 2, 4-di-tert-butylphenol at different concentrations on soil functionality and microbial community structure in the Lanzhou lily rhizosphere. *Appl. Soil Ecol.* 172:104367. doi: 10.1016/J.APSOIL.2021.104367

Delmont, T. O., Quince, C., Shaiber, A., Esen, Ö. C., Lee, S. T., Rappé, M. S., et al. (2018). Nitrogen fixing populations of Planctomycetes and Proteobacteria are abundant in surface ocean metagenomes. *Nat. Microbiol.* 3, 804–813. doi: 10.1038/ s41564-018-0176-9

Dias, T., Dukes, A., and Antunes, P. M. (2015). Accounting for soil biotic effects on soil health and crop productivity in the design of crop rotations. *J. Sci. Food Agric.* 95, 447–454. doi: 10.1002/jsfa.6565

Ehrenfeld, J. G., Han, X. G., Parsons, W. F. J., and Zhu, W. X. (1997). On the nature of environmental gradients: temporal and spatial variability of soils and

vegetation in the New Jersey pinelands. J. Ecol. 85, 785–798. doi: 10.2307/2960602

Fan, K. K., Delgado-Baquerizo, M., Guo, X. S., Wang, D. Z., Zhu, Y. G., and Chu, H. Y. (2021). Biodiversity of key-stone phylotypes determines crop production in a 4-decade fertilization experiment. *ISME J.* 15, 550–561. doi: 10.1038/s41396-020-00796-8

Faust, K., and Raes, J. (2012). Microbial interactions: from networks to models. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 10, 538–550. doi: 10.1038/nrmicro2832

Fierer, N., Bradford, M. A., and Jackson, R. B. (2007). Toward an ecological classification of soil bacteria. *Ecology* 88, 1354–1364. doi: 10.1890/05-1839

Fox, A., Lüscher, A., and Widmer, F. (2020). Plant species identity drives soil microbial community structures that persist under a following crop. *Ecol. Evol.* 10, 8652–8668. doi: 10.1002/ece3.6560

Frankenberger, W. T., and Dick, W. A. (1983). Relationship between enzyme activities and microbial growth and activity indices in soil 1. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 47, 945–951. doi: 10.2136/sssaj1983.03615995004700050021x

Gsewell, S., and Freeman, C. (2003). Enzyme activity during N- and P-limited decomposition of wetland plant litter. *Bull. Geob. Inst. ETH* 69, 95–106.

Huang, J., Li, Y. F., Ma, Y. Y., Li, Y. S., Jin, J., and Lian, T. X. (2022). The rhizospheric microbiome becomes more diverse with maize domestication and genetic improvement. *J. Integr. Agric.* 21, 1188–1202. doi: 10.1016/S2095-3119(21)63633-X

Huang, J. J., Ma, K., Xia, X. X., Gao, K. L., and Lu, Y. H. (2020). Biochar and magnetite promote methanogenesis during anaerobic decomposition of rice straw. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 143, 107740–110774. doi: 10.1016/j.soilbio. 2020.107740

Jangid, K., Williams, M. A., Franzluebbers, A. J., Schmidt, T. M., Coleman, D. C., and Whitman, W. B. (2011). Land-use history has a stronger impact on soil microbial community composition than aboveground vegetation and soil properties. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 43, 2184–2193. doi: 10.1016/j.soilbio.2011.06.022

Kelly, C., Schipanski, M., Kondratieff, B., Sherrod, L., Schneekloth, J., and Fonte, S. J. (2020). The effects of dryland cropping system intensity on soil function and associated changes in macrofauna communities. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 84, 1854–1870. doi: 10.1002/saj2.20133

Kishore, S. A., Major, S., and Kumar, D. S. (2013). Changes in Actinomycetes community structure under the influence of Bt transgenic brinjal crop in a tropical agroecosystem. *BMC Microbiol.* 13:122. doi: 10.1186/1471-2180-13-122

Kuzyakov, Y. (2010). Priming effects: interactions between living and dead organic matter. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 42, 1363–1371. doi: 10.1016/j.soilbio.2010.04.003

Larsbrink, J., Tuveng, T. R., Pope, P. B., Bulone, V., Eijsink, V. G. H., Brumer, H., et al. (2017a). Proteomic data on enzyme secretion and activity in the bacterium *Chitinophaga pinensis. Data Brief* 11, 484–490. doi: 10.1016/j.dib.2017.02.032

Larsbrink, J., Tuveng, T. R., Pope, P. B., Bulone, V., Eijsink, V. G. H., Brumer, H., et al. (2017b). Proteomic insights into mannan degradation and protein secretion by the forest floor bacterium *Chitinophaga pinensis*. J. Proteome 156, 63–74. doi: 10.1016/j.jprot.2017.01.003

Lauren, M. G., Stephan, H., Alexandru, M., Janna, W., Ammar, S., and Nathalie, F. (2021). Tree species mixing affects soil microbial functioning indirectly via root and litter traits and soil parameters in European forests. *Funct. Ecol.* 35, 2190–2204. doi: 10.1111/1365-2435.13877

Li, C. G., Li, X. M., Kong, W. D., Wu, Y., and Wang, J. G. (2010). Effect of monoculture soybean on soil microbial community in the Northeast China. *Plant Soil* 330, 423–433. doi: 10.1007/s11104-009-0216-6

Li, B., Zhang, X. X., Guo, F., Wu, W. M., and Zhang, T. (2013). Characterization of tetracycline resistant bacterial community in saline activated sludge using batch stress incubation with high-throughput sequencing analysis. *Water Res.* 47, 4207–4216. doi: 10.1016/j.watres.2013.04.021

Liao, C. F. H., and Raines, S. G. (1985). Inhibition of soil urease activity by amido derivatives of phosphoric and thiophosphoric acids. *Plant Soil* 85, 149–152. doi: 10.1007/BF02197808

Liu, X., Li, Y. J., Ren, X. J., Chen, B. H., Zhang, Y., Shen, C. W., et al. (2020). Longterm greenhouse cucumber production alters soil bacterial community structure. *J. Soil Sci. Plant Nutr.* 20, 306–321. doi: 10.1007/s42729-019-00109-9

Liu, H., Pan, F. J., Han, X. Z., Song, F. B., Zhang, Z. M., Yan, J., et al. (2019). Response of soil fungal community structure to long-term continuous soybean cropping. *Front. Microbiol.* 9:3316. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2018.03316

Liu, Y. X., Shi, J. X., Feng, Y. G., Yang, X. M., Li, X., and Shen, Q. (2013). Tobacco bacterial wilt can be biologically controlled by the application of antagonistic strains in combination with organic fertilizer. *Biol. Fertil. Soils* 49, 447–464. doi: 10.1007/s00374-012-0740-z

López-Mondéjar, R., Voříšková, J., Větrovský, T., and Baldrian, P. (2015). The bacterial community inhabiting temperate deciduous forests is vertically stratified and undergoes seasonal dynamics. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 87, 43–50. doi: 10.1016/j. soilbio.2015.04.008

Luo, J. Y., Cui, J. J., Li, S. H., Wang, C. Y., and Li, C. H. (2005). Effects of different cotton varieties on soil microorganisms. *China Cotton* 32:10.

Lynd, L. R., Weimer, P. J., Zyl, W. H. V., and Pretorius, I. S. (2002). Microbial cellulose utilization: fundamentals and biotechnology. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.* 66, 506–577. doi: 10.1128/MMBR.66.3.506-577.2002

Ma, D. Y., Guo, T. C., Song, X., Wang, C. Y., Zhu, Y. J., Wang, Y. H., et al. (2007). Effects of urea application rate on the quantity of microorganisms and activity of enzymes in wheat rhizosphere. *Acta Ecol. Sin.* 27, 5222–5228.

Magoč, T., and Steven, L. S. (2011). FLASH: fast length adjustment of short reads to improve genome assemblies. *Bioinformatics* 27, 2957–2963. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btr507

Maier, R. M., Pepper, I. L., and Gerba, C. P. (2009). "Introduction to Environmental Microbiology" in *Environmental Microbiology*. Cambridge, MA: Academic press. 3–7.

Márton, S., Julie, L., Janet, C., Joseph, V. K., Luke, A. M., and David, H. M. (2015). Comparison of root system architecture and rhizosphere microbial communities of balsas teosinte and domesticated corn cultivars. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 80, 34–44. doi: 10.1016/j.soilbio.2014.09.001

McDaniel, M. D., and Grandy, A. S. (2016). Soil microbial biomass and function are altered by 12 years of crop rotation. *Soil* 2, 583–599. doi: 10.5194/soil-2-583-2016

McKee, L. S., La Rosa, S. L., Westereng, B., Eijsink, V. G., Pope, P. B., and Larsbrink, J. (2021). Polysaccharide degradation by the Bacteroidetes: mechanisms and nomenclature. *Environ. Microbiol. Rep.* 13, 559–581. doi: 10.1111/1758-2229.12980

Meriles, J. M., Gil, S. V., Conforto, C., Figoni, G., Lovera, E., March, G. J., et al. (2009). Soil microbial communities under different soybean cropping systems: characterization of microbial population dynamics, soil microbial activity, microbial biomass, and fatty acid profiles. *Soil Tillage Res.* 103, 271–281. doi: 10.1016/j. still.2008.10.008

Moorhead, D. L., and Sinsabaugh, R. L. (2006). A theoretical model of litter decay and microbial interaction. *Ecol. Monogr.* 76, 151–174. doi: 10.1890/0012-9615(2006)076[0151:ATMOLD]2.0.CO;2

Muhammad, A., Tahira, B., Ghulam, S., Shafaqat, A., Rana, B., Jawad, S. M., et al. (2020). Integrated nutrient management enhances soil quality and crop productivity in maize-based cropping system. *Sustainability* 12, -10214. doi: 10.3390/su122310214

Pagliai, M., and De Nobili, M. (1993). Relationships between soil porosity, root development and soil enzyme activity in cultivated soils. *Geoderma* 56, 243–256. doi: 10.1016/0016-7061(93)90114-Z

Pankratov, T. A., Ivanova, A. O., Dedysh, S. N., and Liesack, W. (2011). Bacterial populations and environmental factors controlling cellulose degradation in an acidic sphagnum peat. *Environ. Microbiol.* 13, 1800–1814. doi: 10.1111/j.1462-2920.2011.02491.x

Peiffer, J. A., Spor, A., Koren, O., Zhao, J., Tringe, S. G., Dangl, J. L., et al. (2013). Diversity and heritability of the maize rhizosphere microbiome under field conditions. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 110, 6548–6553. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1302837110

Priyanka, A., Balendu, S. G., and Radha, R. (2020). Unravelling the role of Rhizospheric plant-microbe synergy in phytoremediation: a genomic perspective. *Curr. Genom.* 21, 334–342. doi: 10.2174/1389202921999200623133240

Qian, Q. P., Yang, T. Y., Cheng, L. R., Li, Y. C., Qi, J. L., and Yang, Y. H. (2010). Differential responses of rhizosphere microbial communities to the planting of different soybean varieties. *J. Nanjing Forest. Univ.* 34, 1–6.

Ramirez, K. S., Geisen, S., Morriën, E., Snoek, B. L., and Van Der Putten, W. H. (2018). Network analyses can advance above-belowground ecology. *Trends Plant Sci.* 23, 759–768. doi: 10.1016/j.tplants.2018.06.009

Rao, D. M., Meng, F. G., Yan, X. Y., Zhang, M. H., Yao, X. D., Kim, K. S., et al. (2021). Changes in soil microbial activity, bacterial community composition and function in a long-term continuous soybean cropping system after corn insertion and fertilization. *Front. Microbiol.* 12:638326. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2021.638326

Rognes, T., Flouri, T., Nichols, B., Quince, C., and Mahé, F. (2016). VSEARCH: a versatile open source tool for metagenomics. *PeerJ.* 4:e2584. doi: 10.7717/peerj.2584

Saiya-Cork, K. R., Sinsabaugh, R. L., and Zak, D. R. (2002). The effects of long term nitrogen deposition on extracellular enzyme activity in an *Acer saccharum* forest soil. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 34, 1309–1315. doi: 10.1016/S0038-0717(02)00074-3

Schimel, J. P., and Weintraub, M. N. (2003). The implications of exoenzyme activity on microbial carbon and nitrogen limitation in soil: a theoretical model. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 35, 549–563. doi: 10.1016/S0038-0717(03)00015-4

Schlatter, D. C., Bakker, M. G., Bradeen, J. M., and Kinkel, L. L. (2015). Plant community richness and microbial interactions structure bacterial communities in soil. *Ecology* 96, 134–142. doi: 10.1890/13-1648.1

Schloss, P. D., and Handelsman, J. (2006). Introducing SONS, a tool for operational taxonomic unit-based comparisons of microbial community

memberships and structures. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 72, 6773–6779. doi: 10.1128/ AEM.00474-06

Schmidt, J. E., Kent, A. D., Brisson, V. L., and Gaudin, A. C. M. (2019). Agricultural management and plant selection interactively affect rhizosphere microbial community structure and nitrogen cycling. *Microbiome* 7:146. doi: 10.1186/s40168-019-0756-9

Seifert, C. A., Roberts, M. J., and Lobell, D. B. (2017). Continuous corn and soybean yield penalties across hundreds of thousands of fields. *Agron. J.* 109, 541–548. doi: 10.2134/agronj2016.03.0134

Tang, H., Xiao, C. H., Ma, J. Z., Yu, M., Li, Y. M., Wang, G. L., et al. (2009). Prokaryotic diversity in continuous cropping and rotational cropping soybean soil. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* 298, 267–273. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6968.2009.01730.x

Thaddeus, J. L., Kari, E. D., and Pedro, M. A. (2017). Isolate identity determines plant tolerance to pathogen attack in assembled mycorrhizal communities. *PLoS One* 8:e61329. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0061329

Vicente, V. P., Carlos, D. O., Aurelio, G. C., and María, P. C. R. (2020). Root exudates: from plant to rhizosphere and beyond. *Plant Cell Rep.* 39, 3–17. doi: 10.1007/s00299-019-02447-5

Vries, F. T. D., and Wallenstein, M. D. (2017). Below-ground connections underlying above-ground food production: a framework for optimising ecological connections in the rhizosphere. *J. Ecol.* 105, 913–920. doi: 10.1111/1365-2745.12783

Walters, W., Hyde, E. R., Berg-Lyons, D., Ackermann, G., Humphrey, G., Parada, A., et al. (2016). Improved bacterial 16S rRNA gene (V4 and V4-5) and fungal internal transcribed spacer marker gene primers for microbial community surveys. *mSystems* 1, 9–15. doi: 10.1128/mSystems.00009-15

Wang, L. X., Pang, X. Y., Li, N., Qi, K. B., Huang, J. S., and Yin, C. Y. (2020). Effects of vegetation type, fine and coarse roots on soil microbial communities and enzyme activities in eastern Tibetan plateau. *Catena* 194:104694. doi: 10.1016/j. catena.2020.104694

Wardle, D. A., Bardgett, R. D., and Klironomos, J. N. (2004). Ecological linkages between aboveground and belowground biota. *Science* 304, 1629–1633. doi: 10.1126/science.1094875

Wu, X., Zhao, Q. Y., Zhao, J., Xun, W. B., Li, R., Zhang, R. F., et al. (2015). Different continuous cropping spans significantly affect microbial community membership and structure in a vanilla-grown soil as revealed by deep pyrosequencing. *Microb. Ecol.* 70, 209–218. doi: 10.1007/s00248-014-0516-0

Xiao, X., Wang, J. L., Li, J. J., Li, X. L., Dai, X. J., Shen, R. F., et al. (2022). Distinct patterns of rhizosphere microbiota associated with Rice genotypes differing in aluminum tolerance in an acid sulfate soil. *Front. Microbiol.* 13:933722. doi: 10.3389/FMICB.2022.933722

Yu, H., Wu, C. S., Wang, Z. M., Chen, X. F., and Gu, Y. (2014). Effects of soybean continuous cropping on rhizosphere culturable microorganisms and physicochemical properties of soil. *J. South China Agric. Univ.* 35, 28–34.

Zhao, H., Gu, Y., and Kong, C. H. (2006). Effects of allelopathic rice (*Oryza salival* L.) varietiy on soil microbial communities. *Acta Ecol. Sin.* 26, 2770–2773.

Zhou, X. G., Wang, Z. L., Jia, H. T., Li, L., and Wu, F. Z. (2018). Continuously Monocropped Jerusalem artichoke changed soil bacterial community composition and ammonia-oxidizing and denitrifying bacteria abundances. *Front. Microbiol.* 9:705. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2018.00705

Zhou, H. L., Yao, X. D., Liu, W. B., Wu, Z. X., Zhao, Q., Zhang, H. J., et al. (2019). Precise nitrogen topdressing upregulates nitrogen metabolism and improves soybean (*Glycine max*) grain yield. *Crop Pasture Sci.* 70, 334–343. doi: 10.1071/ CP18350

Zhu, S. Y., Tang, Q. M., Liu, T. M., Zhou, J. X., and Tang, S. W. (2010). Initial study on microorganism difference in the rhizosphere of different ramie varieties and the relation with yield. *Plant Fiber Sci China* 32, 193–197.

Zuber, S. M., and Villamil, M. B. (2016). Meta-analysis approach to assess effect of tillage on microbial biomass and enzyme activities. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 97, 176–187. doi: 10.1016/j.soilbio.2016.03.011