Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Yun-Peng Chao, Feng Chia University, Taiwan

REVIEWED BY Mariola J. Edelmann, University of Florida, United States Peter Epeh Kima, University of Florida, United States

*CORRESPONDENCE Haihua Ruan ruanhaihua@tjcu.edu.cn

† These authors have contributed equally to this work

SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Microbiotechnology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Microbiology

RECEIVED 12 September 2022 ACCEPTED 02 November 2022 PUBLISHED 15 December 2022

CITATION

Wu T, Zhang B, Lu J, Huang A, Wu H, Qiao J and Ruan H (2022) Label-free relative quantitative proteomics reveals extracellular vesicles as a vehicle for *Salmonella* effector protein delivery. *Front. Microbiol.* 13:1042111. [doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2022.1042111](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2022.1042111)

COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Wu, Zhang, Lu, Huang, Wu, Qiao and Ruan. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [Commons Attribution License \(CC BY\).](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

[Label-free relative quantitative](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2022.1042111/full) [proteomics reveals extracellular](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2022.1042111/full) [vesicles as a vehicle for](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2022.1042111/full) *Salmonella* [effector protein](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2022.1042111/full) [delivery](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2022.1042111/full)

Tao Wu^{1†}, Biao Zhang^{1†}, Juane Lu¹, Ailin Huang¹, Hao Wu², Jianjun Qiao² and Haihua Ruan^{1*}

1 Tianjin Key Laboratory of Food Science and Biotechnology, College of Biotechnology and Food Science, Tianjin University of Commerce, Tianjin, China, 2Key Laboratory of Systems Bioengineering, Ministry of Education, Department of Pharmaceutical Engineering, School of Chemical Engineering and Technology, Tianjin University, Tianjin, China

Extracellular vesicles are small vesicles with a diameter of 30–150 nm that are actively secreted by eukaryotic cells and play important roles in intercellular communication, immune responses, and tumorigenesis. Previous studies have shown that extracellular vesicles are involved in the process of *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium (*S.* Typhimurium) infection. However, changes in the protein content of extracellular vesicles elicited by *S.* Typhimurium infection have not been determined. Here, we extracted the extracellular vesicles with high purity from *S.* Typhimurium-infected Henle-407 cells, a kind of human intestinal epithelial cells, by ultracentrifugation combined with an extracellular vesicles purification kit, and analyzed their protein composition using label-free relative quantitative proteomics. The extracted extracellular vesicles exhibited an oval vesicular structure under electron microscopy, with a mean diameter of 140.4 ± 32.4 nm. The exosomal marker proteins CD9, CD63, and HSP70 were specifically detected. Compared with the uninfected group, nearly 1,234 specifically loaded proteins were uncovered in *S.* Typhimuriuminfected Henle-407 cells. Among them were 409 *S*. Typhimurium*-*derived specific proteins, indicating a significant alteration in protein composition of extracellular vesicles by *S.* Typhimurium infection. Notably, these proteins included 75 secretory proteins and over 300 non-secretory proteins of *S.* Typhimurium, implicating novel pathways for bacterial protein delivery, although it remains unclear if their loading into extracellular vesicles is active or passive. To investigate the roles of these extracellular proteins, we exemplified the function of SopB, a well-known T3SS effector protein, and showed that the extracellular SopB could be taken up by RAW264.7 macrophages, activating the phosphorylation of Akt. This study provides new insights into the mechanism of *Salmonella* infection through extracellular vesicles that transport virulence proteins to uninfected neighboring cells to facilitate further infection.

KEYWORDS

extracellular vesicles, comparative proteomics, *Salmonella enterica* serovar typhimurium, effector protein, SopB

Introduction

Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium (*S.* Typhimurium) has become a cause for major public health concern worldwide and emerged as an important food-borne pathogen resulting in a considerable public health burden ([Majowicz et al., 2010](#page-13-0); [Ao](#page-13-1) [et al., 2015](#page-13-1)). *S.* Typhimurium invades the gastrointestinal tract through oral ingestion of contaminated food or water [\(Pocsfalvi](#page-13-2) [et al., 2016](#page-13-2)). *Salmonella* secretes proteins through specific secretion systems and these secreted proteins act as virulence factors (also known as effector proteins) to invade and regulate various functions of host cells, including inhibiting host immune responses ([Qaidi et al., 2018](#page-13-3)), promoting the survival of intracellular bacteria ([Haraga et al., 2008](#page-13-4)), and plasma membrane transport of target products [\(Santos et al., 2020\)](#page-14-0).

Salmonella effector proteins mainly enter the host cells through three pathways, including a type III secretion system (T3SS; [Santos et al., 2020](#page-14-0)), type IV secretion system (T4SS; [Gokulan et al., 2013](#page-13-5)) and type VI secretion system (T6SS; [Silverman et al., 2012](#page-14-1); [Brunet et al., 2014;](#page-13-6) [Sana et al., 2016](#page-14-2); [Sibinelli-Sousa et al., 2020\)](#page-14-3). Studies indicate that the supramolecular structure of T3SS resembles a needle, which is

now commonly referred to as the T3SS injection structure. This structure directly delivers *S*. Typhimurium effector proteins into the host cell and aids the bacterial colonization ([Notti and](#page-13-7) [Stebbins, 2016;](#page-13-7) [Santos et al., 2020](#page-14-0)). Different from the T3SS, the T4SS not only transports effector proteins, but also delivers DNA into the host cells [\(Galán and Waksman, 2018](#page-13-8)), which is mainly involved in regulating the adaptation of *Salmonella* to the macrophage intracellular environment and responsible for encoding proteins involved in intestinal mucosal fluid secretion and inflammatory response [\(Jesús et al., 2018\)](#page-13-9). Distinctly, T6SS is a dynamic organelle that bacteria use to target prey cells for inhibition *via* translocation of effector proteins ([Cascales and](#page-13-10) [Cambillau, 2012](#page-13-10)), which inhibit host Th1 and Th2 immune responses to facilitate persistent colonization of the host by *S. enterica* serovar Pullorum [\(Hxa et al., 2020\)](#page-13-11). However, all three pathways require direct contact of the bacteria with host cells for effector proteins to enter the cytoplasm.

Extracellular vesicles (EVs) are lipid bound vesicles secreted by cells into the extracellular space. The three main subtypes of EVs are microvesicles, exosomes, and apoptotic bodies [\(Doyle and Wang,](#page-13-12) [2019](#page-13-12)). Exosomes are small membrane-bound vesicles with diameters of 30 to 150nm that are released by many different cell types,

although they were considered to be only a mechanism of transferrin removal from mature reticulocytes ([Pan et al., 1985;](#page-13-13) [Sook et al.,](#page-14-4) [2014](#page-14-4)). The secretory proteome of human J774 macrophages infected with *M. tuberculosis* demonstrated that some of the proteins are released *via* exosomes. LC–MS/MS proteomic analysis of exosomes released by macrophages infected with *M. tuberculosis* identified a total of 41 mycobacterial proteins, as well as some tuberculosisspecific host membrane proteins and lipoproteins, which stimulate the activation of dendritic cells, promote their antigen presentation to T cells, and elicit acquired immune responses [\(Athman et al.,](#page-13-14) [2017](#page-13-14)). To our knowledge, these pro-inflammatory exosomes could be formed in the early phase of macrophage infection by *S.* Typhimurium, and were through to transfer cargo proteins of pathogenic bacteria to neighboring non-infected cells, thereby leading to their activation by pathogens [\(Hui et al., 2018](#page-13-15)).

Subsequently, it was hypothesized that extracellular vesicles might be a previously overlooked way for invasive pathogens to transport their effector proteins. They are initially generated by inward budding of late endosomes, which results in the formation of multivesicular bodies (MVBs) in the cytosol. These MVBs are subsequently transported to the extracellular space by fusion with the plasma membrane and exocytosis [\(Daniel et al., 2015](#page-13-16)). Extracellular vesicles contain many types of bioactive components such as proteins, lipids, and nucleic acids, among others. They participate in immuno-stimulation and protein secretion [\(Niel](#page-13-17) [et al., 2018\)](#page-13-17). In general, extracellular vesicles act as carriers of intercellular signal molecules [\(Tkach and Théry, 2016](#page-14-5)).

Here, we systematically analyzed the extracellular vesicles secreted by host cells after *S.* Typhimurium infection through labelfree relative quantitative proteomics and found that they contain 409*Salmonella* proteins, including 75 secretory proteins and over 300 non-secretory proteins of *S.* Typhimurium. Here, we exemplified the role of the *Salmonella* effector protein SopB, a well-known effector protein that plays important roles in protecting host cells from apoptosis by inducing sustained Akt activation ([Cooper et al., 2011\)](#page-13-18), which can be transported into macrophages *via* extracellular vesicles from intestinal epithelial cells infected with *S*. Typhimurium, and cause the phosphorylation of Akt protein. These findings suggest that extracellular vesicles secreted by host cells may serve as a previously overlooked pathway for the transport of virulence proteins to other recipient cells during infection.

Materials and methods

Bacterial strains, cells, growth conditions, and reagents

Wild-type (WT) *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium LT2, described by [Li et al. \(2007\)](#page-13-19), was used in this study. The Δ*sopB* mutant strain was constructed using the λ-Red recombination system ([Datsenko and Wanner, 2000\)](#page-13-20). The Henle-407 human intestinal epithelial cells and RAW 264.7 macrophages were purchased from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC). All cells were cultured in antibiotic-free Dulbecco's modified Eagle medium (DMEM; Gibco) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) at 37°C under a 5% CO₂ atmosphere.

Rabbit anti-phospho-Akt and rabbit anti-Akt antibodies were purchased from Cell Signaling Technology (Beverly, CA, United States). Rabbit anti-CD9, anti-CD63, anti-HSP70 and a secondary anti-rabbit antibody were purchased from System Biosciences (Bay Area, CA, United States). The ExoRNeasy Serum/Plasma Maxi Kit was purchased from Qiagen (Valencia, CA, United States).

Bacterial infection

The Henle-407 human intestinal epithelial cells were cultured in high sugar DMEM medium containing 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and the cells were incubated in an incubator at 37 $\mathrm{^{\circ}C}$ with 5% CO_{2} saturated humidity and digested with 0.25% trypsin for passaging. Cells at 80% confluence were starved in FBS-deficient DMEM for 2 h. *S.* Typhimurium was cultured in liquid LB medium after activation until logarithmic growth and the organisms were collected during this period. Then, the starved cells were infected with *S.* Typhimurium at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 30 for 30 min. The infected cells were subsequently incubated with DMEM containing 100 μg/ml gentamicin for 30 min. Finally, the infected cells were washed twice with pre-warmed phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and then incubated with DMEM containing 10 μg/ml gentamicin for 8h until harvesting cell culture media supernatant ([Ruan et al., 2017\)](#page-14-6).

Extracellular vesicles isolation, transmission electron microscopy, nanoparticle tracking and Western blot analysis

In order to acquire high purity extracellular vesicles, extracellular vesicles were prepared by classical ultracentrifugation combined with the exoRNeasy Serum/ Plasma Maxi kit. Briefly, 15 dishes (10×10 cm) of cell cultures after infection or without infection were incubated for the indicated times, collected and filtered through a 0.8 μm poresize filter. Then, the 150 ml of collected cell culture supernatants were subject to differential centrifugation at $300 \times g$ for 10 min, $2000 \times g$ for 10 min, and $10,000 \times g$ for 60 min, respectively. Next, the supernatant was subject to ultracentrifugation at $120,000 \times g$ for 70 min, and the sediment was resuspended to a volume of 10 ml in PBS. Finally, the extracellular vesicles were further prepared by extraction according to the exoRNeasy Maxi Kit's instructions.

To visualize extracellular vesicles *via* transmission electron microscopy (TEM), the extracellular vesicle pellets were placed

in a droplet containing 2.5% glutaraldehyde in PBS buffer at pH 7.2 and fixed overnight at 4°C for conventional TEM. The samples were rinsed in PBS buffer (3 times, 10 min each) and then fixed in 1% osmium tetroxide for 60 min at room temperature. The samples were embedded in 10% gelatin, fixed in glutaraldehyde at 4°C, and cut into several blocks (smaller than 1 mm³). The samples were dehydrated for 10 min per step in increasing concentrations of ethanol (30, 50, 70, 90, 95, and 100%, three times each). Next, the pure ethanol was exchanged with propylene oxide, and the specimens were infiltrated with increasing concentrations (25, 50, 75, and 100%) of Quetol 812 epoxy mixed with propylene oxide for a minimum of 3 h per step. The samples were embedded in pure, fresh Quetol 812 epoxy and polymerized at 35°C for 12 h, 45°C for 12 h, and 60°C for 24 h. Ultrathin sections (100 nm) were cut using a Leica UC6 ultramicrotome and post-stained with uranyl acetate for 10 min and lead citrate for 5 min at room temperature, prior to observation using a FEI Hitachi HT-7700 transmission electron microscope operated at 120 kV.

The size of the extracellular vesicle was measured by suspending exosomes in PBS buffer prior to analysis on a Zeta VIEW nanoparticle tracking analyzer (Particle Metrix, Germany).

Extracellular protein detection was performed by western blot analysis using a previously described chemiluminescence method ([Ruan et al., 2014\)](#page-13-21). A 50μl sample of extracellular vehicles was prepared, transferred to a membrane after SDS-PAGE electrophoresis, and closed with 5% nonfat milk. The primary antibody was diluted 1:1000 by volume and incubated overnight at 4°C. The samples were washed 3 times with TBST solution and secondary antibody diluted 1:2,000, incubated for 2h at room temperature, washed 3 times with TBST solution, then ECL was added for color development, and imaged using Tanon 5,200 Automatic Chemiluminescence Image Analysis System. The abundance of protein bands in images acquired from western blots was quantified using Image J software.

Label-free relative quantitative proteomic analysis of the extracellular vesicles

Quantitative proteomic analysis of the extracellular vesicles mainly included protein extraction, enzymatic hydrolysis to generate peptides, and HPLC–MS/MS Data collection.

Extracellular proteins were extracted by SDT (4% (W/V) SDS, 100mM Tris/HCl pH 7.6, 0.1mol/l DTT), and then quantified using the BCA method. An appropriate amount of protein was taken from each sample for trypsin enzymolysis using the Filter Aided Proteome preparation (FASP) method ([Wiśniewski et al.,](#page-14-7) [2009\)](#page-14-7). A C18 cartridge was used to desalt the peptides, which were then lyophilized and re-dissolved in 40μl 0.1% formic acid solution for peptide quantification.

For LC–MS/MS data collection, each sample was first separated by HPLC on an Easy-nLC system at a nanoliter flow rate. Buffer A was 0.1% formic acid aqueous solution, and buffer B was 0.1% formic acid in 84% acetonitrile aqueous solution. The chromatographic column was balanced with 95% liquid A, and the samples were loaded to the loading column (Thermo Scientific Acclaim PepMap100, $100 \mu m \times 2$ cm, nano Viper C18) by the automatic sampler. The separation was performed on an analytical column (Thermo Scientific EASY Column 10 cm, ID75 μm, 3 μm, C18-A2) at a flow rate of 300 nl/min.

After chromatographic separation, the samples were analyzed using a Q-Exactive mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher) in positive ion mode. The scanning range of parent ions was 300–1800 m/z, the resolution of first-level mass spectrometry was 70,000 at 200 m/z, the Automatic Gain control (AGC) target was $1e^6$, and the Maximum IT was 50 ms. The dynamic exclusion time was 60.0 s. The mass charge ratios of peptides and peptide fragments were collected as follows: 20 fragment profiles (MS2 Scan) were collected after each full scan; MS2 activation type was HCD, and the isolation window was 2 m/z. The secondary mass spectrometry resolution was 17,500 at 200 m/z. Normalized collision energy was 30 eV, and underfill was 0.1%.

Identification and quantitation of proteins

The MS raw data for each sample were searched using the MaxQuant (Max Planck Institute of Biochemistry, Munich, Germany, version 1.5.3.17) for identification and quantitation analysis. The protein FDR≤0.01, peptide FDR≤0.01, MS/MS Tolerance was 20ppm. Database used in the research was uniport_ *Salmonella_enterica*_serovar_Typhimuriun_99287. LFQ/iBAQ was used for Protein Quantification. Related parameters and instructions are in details as [Table 1](#page-3-0) shown.

Wu et al. [10.3389/fmicb.2022.1042111](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2022.1042111)

Bioinformatics analysis

Gene ontology (GO) term annotations of differentially abundant proteins in extracellular vesicles isolated from Henle-407 cells after *S.* Typhimurium infection compared to uninfected cells was performed using Blast2GO (version $2.6.4$)^{[1](#page-4-0)} with the default annotation parameters (BLAST e-value threshold of 1e-06, Gene Ontology annotation threshold of 55). Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathway annotation was performed using the KEGG Automatic Annotation Server (KAAS) with the bi-directional best-hit method. Functional enrichment analysis for statistical significance of GO terms and KEGG pathways between the differential protein sets in extracellular vesicles isolated from Henle-407 cells after *S.* Typhimurium infection compared to uninfected cells was performed using Fisher's exact test with default parameters.

Extracellular vesicles uptake assay

Firstly, an appropriate amount of was collected and re-suspended in 500μl BSA (1,000μg/ml), which was named tube A. At the same time, 500μl BSA (1,000μg/ml) and 4μl PKH67 were added to a sterile 1.5ml tube, and fully mixed to form tube B. Next, tubes A and B were mixed and incubated at room temperature for 5min, after which 1% BSA in PBS was added to stop dyeing for 1min, and the volume of the mixture was increased to 20ml by adding 1% BSA. After that, the sample was centrifuged at 120,000g for 60min and the supernatant was carefully discarded, after which the precipitate was dissolved in sterile PBS and named PKH67-EVs. Secondly, RAW264.7 cells in good condition were seeded onto a Millicell EZ Slide (5,000 cells/well) and cultured overnight with 500μl medium, after which the RAW264.7 cell culture medium was removed and cells were rinsed with pre-warmed DMEM 3 times, after which 200μl DMEM was added. The freshly prepared PKH67-EVs was collected, added to Raw264.7 cells and incubated at 37°C with 5% CO₂ for 4 and 8h. After incubation, the culture medium was discarded and the cells were rinsed with PBS 3 times. The cells were then fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 10min. After the paraformaldehyde was removed, the cells were rinsed with sterile PBS 3 times, the slides were stained with DAPI and fixed with resin. Finally, the uptake of extracellular vesicles was observed by confocal microscopy.

Statistical analysis

Unless otherwise noted, the results were presented as the means ± standard deviations for three independent replicates. Statistical significance was calculated using one-tailed paired Student *t*-test or two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) in Prism software (GraphPad Software, Inc., United States). *p* values of <0.05 were considered to indicate significant differences.

Results

Characterization of the extracellular vesicles isolated from *S.* typhimurium-infected human intestinal epithelial Henle-407 cells

To confirm the quality of extracellular vesicles obtained through ultracentrifugation combined with a commercial purification kit, the exosome-marker proteins HSP70, CD9 and CD63 [\(Théry et al.,](#page-14-8) [2018](#page-14-8)) were validated by western blot analysis. As shown in [Figure 1A,](#page-5-0) there was low or non-detectable presence of marker proteins in the whole cell lysate (C) and cell culture medium supernatant (M). By contrast, all three specific markers were successfully detected in the extracellular vesicles isolated from both *S.* Typhimurium-infected (I-EVs) and uninfected Henle-407 cells (C-EVs), showing that the extracellular vesicles were successfully isolated.

For further characterization, the isolated extracellular vesicles were subjected to transmission electron microscopy (TEM). The images showed homogenous membranous vesicles ranging from 30 to 150nm in diameter, with a characteristic spherical or oval appearance [\(Figure 1B](#page-5-0)), which was consistent with the previous results ([Sook et al., 2014\)](#page-14-4). There were no significant differences in the morphology/size of extracellular vesicles prepared from *S.* Typhimurium-infected and uninfected Henle-407 cells [\(Figure 1B](#page-5-0)). Detection for tracking the size distribution and diameter of nanoparticles indicated that the peak diameter of extracellular vesicles from the C-EVs group was 132.9±33nm, and the diameter of extracellular vesicles from the I-EVs group was 140.4 ± 32.4 nm [\(Figure 1C](#page-5-0)), which confirmed the successful isolation and purification of extracellular vesicles suitable for subsequent quantitative proteomic analysis.

Label-free quantitative proteomic analysis of the extracellular vesicles revealed the presence of *S.* typhimurium virulence proteins

The extracellular vesicles isolated from *S.* Typhimuriuminfected and uninfected Henle-407 cells were analyzed by labelfree quantitative proteomics. In this study, we simultaneously analyzed human and bacterial proteins within extracellular vesicles, focusing on the composition and function of the extracellular bacterial proteins. As shown in [Supplementary Table S1,](#page-12-0) a total of 1,280 proteins were identified in the *S.* Typhimurium infected and control group when searching the mass spectra of the identified peptides against the *S*. Typhimurium LT2 database. After excluding the 31 proteins that appeared only once in each of the three parallel repeats in

¹ <http://www.blast2go.de>

each group, we found that 272 (22% of the total) proteins were found in the extracellular vesicles from both the *S.* Typhimurium infected and uninfected groups as shown in [Figure 2A](#page-6-0). These 272 overlapping proteins were not *S.* Typhimurium derived proteins due to their presence in the uninfected control group. In fact, they were human proteins that exist within extracellular vesicles but were unavoidably detected by peptide fraction searches in the *S.* Typhimurium LT2 protein database due to their high conservation between *Salmonella* and human cells, they were simultaneously detected in both groups [\(Figure 2A\)](#page-6-0). These proteins included structural proteins, ribosomal proteins, dihydrolipoyl dehydrogenase, and outer membrane porins. Among the overlapping proteins, differentially expressed proteins were screened with multiple changes of more than 2.0 times (upregulated by more than 2-fold or down-regulated to less than 0.5 fold) and $p < 0.05$. There were 22 differentially expressed proteins, including 21 up-regulated proteins and 1 down-regulated protein in extracellular vesicles between the infected and uninfected groups [\(Figure 2B\)](#page-6-0).

In order to better describe the role of the specific *S*. Typhimurium proteins present in the extracellular vesicles secreted by Henle-407 cells during infection, we obtained 409*S*. Typhimurium proteins [\(Supplementary Table S2](#page-12-0)) from 962

proteins according to a screening standard that the proteins were not expressed in the three replicates of the control group but at least simultaneously identified in two of three replicates from the *S.* Typhimurium infected group.

Subsequently, Blast2go [\(Stefan et al., 2008\)](#page-14-9) was used for Gene Ontology (GO) analysis of these 409 proteins, and Fisher's exact test was used to screen significantly enriched proteins. The traditional GO analysis is based on enrichment analysis in the three categories of cellular component, biological process and molecular function. As the source of these 409 proteins was *S.* Typhimurium, we only analyzed the biological process and molecular function of these *S.* Typhimurium specific proteins. As shown in [Figure 2C](#page-6-0), the biological process annotations uncovered that these 409 proteins were mainly involved in metabolic processes, cellular processes, biological regulation, regulation of biological processes, response to stimuli, multicellular organismal processes, localization, cellular component organization and negative regulation. The total counts of proteins in each of these biological processes were 43, 38, 12, 10, 10, 7, 6, 5, and 4, respectively. The molecular function diagrams of the above proteins were also drawn based on GO analysis ([Figure 2D\)](#page-6-0), including catalytic activity, binding activity, antioxidant activity, transcriptional regulatory activity and

counts stratified by biological process and molecular function. The *S.* Typhimurium protein database was used as a reference protein list for the fold enrichment analysis and a Bonferroni correction for multiple testing was used in each case. The top GO terms were chosen based on the statistical significance (the smallest *p* value), and the fold enrichment for each term is shown. (E) Enrichment map of KEGG pathways of the differentially abundant proteins.

molecular function regulatory activity. The total counts of proteins in each functional classification were 30, 27, 1, 1, and 1, respectively. GO analysis showed that these 409 *S.* Typhimurium proteins were mainly involved in metabolic and cellular processes, mostly exerting molecular functions such as catalysis and binding activities.

Similarly, Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) enrichment analysis of 409*S.* Typhimurium proteins within the extracellular vesicles was also carried out. As shown in Figure 2E, there were 20 proteins associated with 3 KEGG pathways, including protein export, lipopolysaccharide biosynthesis and tuberculosis. The total counts of specific *S*. Typhimurium proteins involved in the three pathways were 9, 8 and 3, while the corresponding *p* values were 0.009, 0.036 and 0.038, respectively. Our results showed that the protein secretion pathway was significantly different from other two pathways and

was the most enriched among the specific *Salmonella* proteins. However, the prediction and analysis of the functions of these extracellular *S.* Typhimurium proteins in regulating adjacent cells or long-distance host cells has been technically limited until now.

Prediction of secretion and functional analysis of extracellular *S*. typhimurium proteins

Extracellular Vesicles are important carriers of signals for intercellular communication, and all their content is derived from the source cells, including the bacterial proteins identified in this study. However, pathways that might be employed by the 409*S*. Typhimurium proteins to enter the host cells that produced the extracellular vehicles were unclear. In this study, Signal-5.0 and SecretomeP 2.0software was used to predict the possible secretion signals of these 409*Salmonella* proteins. It was observed that more than 18% of the proteins in this subset were predicted to be secreted from the bacterial cell [\(Figure 3A\)](#page-7-0). An N-terminal signal peptide was found in 16.4% of the proteins, which included Trk system potassium uptake protein TrkA, tail-specific protease Prc, thioredoxin reductase TrxB, and the chaperone SurA ([Supplementary Table S3](#page-12-0)). There were also 12.5% predicted targets of non-canonical secretion according to SecretomeP 2.0 ([Bendtsen](#page-13-22) [et al., 2004\)](#page-13-22), which included the 30S ribosomal protein S14 RpsN, inositol phosphate phosphatase SopB, RNA-binding protein Hfq, and cold shock-like protein CspC ([Supplementary Table S4](#page-12-0)). However, the remaining 334 proteins were not predicted as secreted [\(Figure 3A](#page-7-0); [Supplementary Table S2](#page-12-0)), including acetylcoenzyme A carboxylase carboxyl transferase subunit alpha accA,

esterase FrsA, catabolite repressor/activator Cra, and UDP-3-Oacyl-N-acetylglucosamine deacetylase lpxC. Subsequently, the molecular functions of 75 secretory proteins were classified based on GO analysis to clarify their effects on the regulation of host cell physiology. It was demonstrated that the molecular functions of secretory proteins were mainly related to catalytic activity, binding, transporter activity, antioxidant activity, molecular transducer activity, structural roles and regulation of molecular function [\(Figure 3B](#page-7-0)). The total counts of the *S.* Typhimurium proteins in each molecular functional classification were 30, 29, 11, 5, 2, 2, and 1, respectively. The molecular function of these secretory proteins was basically the same as that of the 409 proteins in terms of classification and quantity ([Figure 2D](#page-6-0)). Thus, we concluded that the secretory proteins within extracellular vesicles produced by *S.* Typhimurium infected host cells play important roles in regulating the physiological functions of adjacent cells or long-distance host cells, implicating possible roles during bacterial infection.

Finally, we analyzed the functions of these 75 secretory proteins to reveal the main aspects of their role in the extracellular vesicles. Ten typical virulence proteins related to the infectivity of *S.* Typhimurium ([Table 2\)](#page-8-0) were identified, 7 of which were related to outer membrane assembly of Gramnegative bacteria and 3 to T3SS effector proteins of *S.* Typhimurium, including SopB, SipD and SctC. It is known that *S.* Typhimurium mainly secrets effector proteins through its secretion system, thereby regulating the physiological functions of host cells to facilitate invasion and intracellular propagation. As one of the most important effector proteins secreted by *S.* Typhimurium, SopB is an inositol phosphatase that hydrolyzes a variety of phosphoinositides into

TABLE 2 Summary of 10 notable proteins identified in extracellular vesicles, including outer membrane structural proteins and *Salmonella* effector proteins.

1-phosphatidyl-1D-myo-inositol 5-phosphates and orthophosphates on the host cell membrane during *S.* Typhimurium invasion ([Khoo et al., 2015](#page-13-23)). SopB was reported to affect multiple cellular pathways during infection, including membrane ruffling, as well as inhibiting lysosome fusion with *Salmonella*-containing vacuoles (SCVs; [Bakowski et al., 2010](#page-13-24)). Consequently, we were also interested in the function of SopB within the extracellular vesicles obtained from *S.* Typhimuriuminfected Henle-407 cells. We hypothesized that SopB might facilitate the infection and the spread of *S.* Typhimurium to adjacent cells or long-distance host cells by changing their susceptibility to infection.

Extracellular vesicles were successfully taken up by macrophages

Extracellular Vesicles secreted by different types of cells preferentially interact with specific target cells [\(Fitzner et al.,](#page-13-25) [2011\)](#page-13-25), and they exert their influence through two modes of action. Firstly, proteins on the surface of extracellular vesicles bind to and activate associated receptors on the membrane of the recipient cells, which do not take up extracellular vesicles ([Cossetti et al.,](#page-13-26) [2014](#page-13-26); [Gabrielli et al., 2015](#page-13-27)). In the second mode, extracellular vesicles can transfer their cargo into the cytoplasm of recipient cells *via* direct fusion with the plasma membrane or *via* endocytosis by recipient cells [\(Skokos et al., 2003\)](#page-14-10). To investigate the mode of action of the extracellular vesicles produced during *Salmonella* infection, we incubated RAW 264.7 macrophages with the extracellular vesicles that were isolated from Henle-407 cells post-infection. Firstly, we stained the extracted extracellular vesicles using the lipid dye PKH67 [\(Wu et al., 2019\)](#page-14-11), then incubated them with RAW264.7 macrophages for 4 and 8h, and finally performed laser confocal microscopy observation after staining the macrophages using the fluorescent dye DAPI. The aggregation of extracellular vesicles (green fluorescence) around the nuclei of RAW264.7 macrophages (blue fluorescence) could be observed after extracellular vesicles were incubated with the macrophages for 4h, and the intensity of green fluorescence obviously rose with prolonged incubation for 8h [\(Figure 4A](#page-9-0); [Supplementary Figure S1\)](#page-12-0). These results clearly demonstrated that macrophages could successfully take up extracellular vesicles released by *S.* Typhimurium-infected Henle-407 epithelial cells, and the numbers of the internalized extracellular vesicles

Akt at position 473 in RAW264.7 macrophages incubated with various concentrations of extracellular vesicles for different lengths of time. (C) Comparison of phosphorylation of Akt at position 473 in RAW264.7 macrophages incubated with extracellular vesicles prepared from Henle-407 cells infected with wild-type or Δ*sopB* mutant *S*. Typhimurium. (D) Densitometric quantification of the P-Akt 473/Akt ratio using c-Image. **P* < 0.05.

increased with the incubation time. Therefore, the extracellular vesicles of *Salmonella*-infected cells appear to exert their effect through the second mode of action, i.e., by directly fusing with recipient cells.

Extracellular SopB moderately induced Akt phosphorylation in RAW264.7 macrophages

As shown in [Table 2](#page-8-0), the proteins within extracellular vesicles released by Henle-407 epithelial cells upon *S.* Typhimurium infection included SopB. As an example of *S.* Typhimurium specific proteins within extracellular vesicles that may exert different roles after entering into recipient RAW264.7 macrophages, the role of SopB was validated. It is well known that SopB specifically induces Akt phosphorylation at position 473 during *S.* Typhimurium infection, which in turn activates Akt/ mTOR signaling in the host cell to inhibit apoptosis and facilitate the proliferation of intracellular bacteria ([Cooper et al., 2011](#page-13-18)). Therefore, we verified whether the extracellular vesicles containing SopB effector protein could induce Akt phosphorylation at position 473 in RAW264.7 macrophages. To test this, we incubated the RAW264.7 macrophages with 0.1, 1, or 10mg/l of extracellular vesicles released from *S.* Typhimurium-infected Henle-407 cells for 0, 4, 8, 12, or 24h. As a negative control, macrophages were incubated with the same amounts of extracellular vesicles acquired from uninfected Henle-407 cells. The results showed that the extracellular vesicles from the uninfected group were unable to induce Akt phosphorylation ([Figure 4B\)](#page-9-0), while the extracellular vesicles from infected cells induced Akt phosphorylation in RAW264.7 cells at all tested concentrations. Notably, the phosphorylation level of Akt showed a trend of first rising and then falling, with a peak at 8h at all tested extracellular vesicle concentration. Higher phosphorylation of Akt was induced by extracellular vesicles at the dose of 1 and 10μg/ml than at 0.1μg/ ml. However, the effect of 1 and 10μg/ml extracellular vesicles was comparable. We therefore speculated that the amount of extracellular vesicles that could be taken up by the fixed amount of cells might be limited even if a high concentration of extracellular vesicles was used.

It has been previously established that exosomes serve as vehicles for intercellular signal transmission, and they contain a wide array of diverse molecules [\(Jeppesen et al., 2019\)](#page-13-28). As shown in [Figure 4B,](#page-9-0) although the phosphorylation of Akt at position 473 in RAW264.7 macrophages could be elicited by the extracellular vesicles of infected Henle-407 cells, this experiment did not directly confirm the role of SopB within extracellular vesicles. To further test the hypothesis that SopB is the main functional protein in the extracellular vesicles responsible for Akt phosphorylation, we compared the phosphorylation of Akt at position 473 in RAW264.7 macrophages incubated with extracellular vesicles prepared from Henle-407 cells infected with wild-type or *ΔsopB* mutant *S.* Typhimurium ([Figure 4C](#page-9-0)).

Consistent with [Figure 4B](#page-9-0), the level of Akt phosphorylation was activated since 4h post co-incubation, peaked at 8h and significantly declined after that as shown in [Figure 4C](#page-9-0). This tendency was accorded with the characteristics of P-Akt acting as an activator of signaling transduction pathway. Distinct with the equally activated in RAW264.7 cells after incubation with wild type or Δ*sopB* mutant extracellular vesicles after 4h of incubation, it was seen that the peak of Akt phosphorylation were obviously observed by extracellular vesicles acquired from wild-type *S.* Typhimurium infected Henle-407 cells compared with those from cells infected with the Δ*sopB* mutant after 8h of incubation. Densitometric quantification indicated that the phospho-Akt 473 was 20 percent higher in the wild type than in the Δ*sopB* group (*p*<0.05; [Figure 4D\)](#page-9-0). However, this activation of Akt phosphorylation disappeared with the prolong of incubation time after 8h. As expected, these results indicated that the *Salmonella* effector SopB contributed to the activation of Akt phosphorylation when the *S*. Typhimurium infection acquired extracellular vesicles co-incubated with RAW264.7 macrophages in comparison with Δ*sopB* group, due to the reason that SopB is the only known effector protein of *S.* Typhimurium that induces Akt phosphorylation ([Cooper et al., 2011\)](#page-13-18). Based on it, we could conclude that besides SopB, there also existed other unknown components within extracellular vesicles also engaged in the activation of Akt phosphorylation which might be derived from Henle-407 cells during the interaction between pathogenic bacteria and host cells owing to the equally activated Akt phorylation at 4h post extracellular vesicles incubation [\(Figures 4C,D\)](#page-9-0). In summary, our results distinctly indicated the role of SopB in Akt phosphorylation during *S*. Typhimurium infection that delivered by extracellular vesicles secretion pathway.

Discussion

Salmonella infection modulated the composition of proteins within extracellular vesicles of host cells

Extracellular Vesicles are secreted vesicles with diameters ranging from 30 to 200nm. They are derived from the endosomal membrane system and have important roles in cellular biological processes ([Pegtel and Gould, 2019](#page-13-29)). Previous studies of the extracellular proteome of human macrophages infected with *S.* Typhimurium indicated that some of the proteins secreted by macrophages upon *S.* Typhimurium infection are released *via* exosomes, which trigger proinflammatory effects in the early phase of infection ([Bhatnagar et al., 2007](#page-13-30); [Hui et al., 2018\)](#page-13-15). This stimulation of proinflammatory effects by exosomes was thought to be partially attributed to the encapsulated lipopolysaccharide within extracellular vesicles ([Ria et al., 2009](#page-13-31)). In addition, it was believed that extracellular vesicles could activate innate and acquired immune responses due to the presence of multiple components of the bacterial cells of *Salmonella*. However, few

systematic analysis of bacterial components contained within extracellular vesicles secreted by host cells upon *Salmonella* infection has been performed in previous studies except a recent research about host extracellular vesicles derived from *Salmonella*-infected cells stimulate pathogen-specific responses ([Hui et al., 2021\)](#page-13-32). Until now, the role of extracellular vesicles in immune responses against bacterial pathogens were mainly analyzed in both Hui and our research. But differently, in Hui's research, extracellular vesicles were secreted by *Salmonella*infected macrophages, while in our research, extracellular vesicles were isolated from *Salmonella*-infected epithelial cells. It is well known that human intestinal epithelium is the first target of *Salmonella* invasion and colonization after ingestion of contaminated food or water ([Jones et al., 2008](#page-13-33); [Hendriksen et al.,](#page-13-34) [2011](#page-13-34); [Kulkarni Devesha et al., 2018\)](#page-13-35). However, macrophage is indeed executor responsible for cell defense by immune systems, which implicates that the above two studies are both important and complementary in understanding how the host responding to *S.* Typhimurium infection by means of extracellular vesicle's pathway within various types of host cells. Hui et al. identified 373 and 325 species of *Salmonella* antigens at 24 hpi and 48 hpi respectively, while our results showed that 409 *Salmonella* proteins ([Supplementary Table S2](#page-12-0)) were identified within the extracellular vesicles secreted by *Salmonella* infected Henle-407 cells at 8 hpi. In our opinion, this distinction between the number of proteins was normal based on the different types of cell lines which exert distinct functions during *S*. Typhimurium infection and the time of infection was different meanwhile, which might also be a reason for this distinction. Besides, we found that some of the *Salmonella* proteins analyzed by Hui *et al*, were similar to ours results, such as SopB, SlyB, nlpB, OmpA, OmpC, IroN, FepA, tolC, tolB, traT, yajG *et al* ([Supplementary Table S2](#page-12-0); [Table 2](#page-8-0)), from which we could conclude that some of the *Salmonella* proteins from extracellular vesicles should be general between different types *Salmonella*infected cells, strengthening our conclusion that label free relative quantitative proteomics reveals extracellular vesicles as a vehicle for *Salmonella* effector protein delivery. Furthermore, we focused on the role of extracellular vesicles in effector protein delivery while Hui et al. found the extracellular vesicles could induce Th1-type immunity to Gram-negative bacteria in mice, which provides wider insights for our further research on the role of extracellular vesicles.

In our present study, extracellular vesicles were successfully isolated from cultured human epithelial cells by a combination of two classical methods [\(Figure 1](#page-5-0)), which laid a solid foundation to assure the purity of isolated extracellular vesicles, which was a key factor for further proteomic analysis [\(Chen et al., 2017\)](#page-13-36). After extracellular vesicle isolation, label-free relative quantitative proteomic analysis was used to identify the bacterial proteins present in extracellular vesicles released from *Salmonella*-infected Henle-407 cells. A total of 409*Salmonella*-specific proteins were identified, which were specifically present in extracellular vesicles released by the host cells after *Salmonella* infection.

Why would such a high number of specific bacterial proteins enter into host extracellular vesicles during *Salmonella* infection? Recent studies have deduced two major mechanisms by which proteins are targeted to the intraluminal vesicles of MVBs for subsequent release as part of exosomes. One involves ubiquitination of proteins which is recognized by the ESCRT (endosomal sorting complex required for transport) machinery for subsequent trafficking and retention of proteins in the intraluminal vesicles ([Simons and Raposo,](#page-14-12) [2009](#page-14-12)). The other mechanism involves aggregation of membrane proteins to form microdomains, which may stabilize the exosomal membrane domains [\(Simons and](#page-14-12) [Raposo, 2009](#page-14-12)). It was reported that *Salmonella* can modulate the ubiquitination pathway of host cells by effector proteins secreted through the T3SS, such as E3 ligases SopA, SspH1, SspH2 and SlrP ([Ria et al., 2009](#page-13-31)), as well as the deubiquitinase SseL ([Rytkoenen et al., 2007\)](#page-14-13). Furthermore, the T3SS effectors SopA, SopE, and SopB/SigD are all translocated and can work together with ubiquitin to interfere with the immune responses of host cells ([Wang et al., 2018](#page-14-14)). Here, we identified the *Salmonella* proteins SopA, SopB and SopE in extracellular vesicles from the infected group (although SopA and SopE appeared only once in three replicates). We speculate that these proteins may aggregate within the phagosome by inducing host cell ubiquitination pathways and autoubiquitination, leading to their trafficking into extracellular vesicles *via* the endocytic network. In addition to these known pathways, there is evidence of possible ESCRT-independent transport mechanisms ([Trajkovic et al., 2008](#page-14-15)), but whether some or all of the *Salmonella* proteins use these alternative targeting pathways requires further studies in the future.

Since a number of *Salmonella* proteins within extracellular vesicles were released from *Salmonella*-infected human intestinal epithelial cells, we analyzed the GO and KEGG pathways of these *Salmonella*-specific proteins to explore the main direction of their functions. Interestingly, the molecular functions of these secretory proteins and the number of enriched proteins were basically consistent with the analysis results of all proteins [\(Figures 2D,](#page-6-0) [3B](#page-7-0)). This suggested that there might be effector proteins actively secreted by *Salmonella* that play major regulatory roles among the proteins in extracellular vesicles released by infected host cells. Previous studies have shown that *Salmonella* effector proteins can affect the host immune system and can function to either promote an effective immune response or potentially inhibit aspects of this response ([Herhaus and Dikic, 2018\)](#page-13-37). However, few studies defined the effector protein components present in exosomes post infection by *Salmonella*. To what degree extracellular vesicles promote or inhibit immunity would likely depend on what *Salmonella* effector proteins are present in the exosomes at any given time. Notably, we identified 10 putative effector proteins from 75 actively secreted proteins of *Salmonella*, including SopB, SipD, and SctC, as well as 7 proteins involved in bacterial outer membrane assembly ([Table 2](#page-8-0)). Based on this,

we speculated that the release of extracellular vesicles by host cells after *Salmonella* infection might be used to transfer effector proteins to neighboring cells and may be associated with the spread of *Salmonella* infection and further intracellular replication.

Extracellular vesicles mediate the induction of Akt phosphorylation in neighbor cells by SopB during *Salmonella* infection

Salmonella normally secretes the effector protein SopB through T3SS, which activates the host cell kinase Akt/mTOR signaling pathway during bacterial invasion, thereby inhibiting apoptosis of intestinal epithelial cells and providing an opportunity for intracellular *Salmonella* survival ([Owen et al.,](#page-13-38) [2014](#page-13-38)). In addition, SopB plays multiple functions including the activation of SH3-containing guanine nucleotide exchange factor (SGEF) on the recipient cell membrane to drive activation of the exchange factor RhoG, which in turn drives actin-induced cytoskeletal reorganization of the recipient cell, thereby allowing *Salmonella* to be internalized into the recipient cell ([Galán,](#page-13-39) [2006\)](#page-13-39). It was reported that SopB is recruited to the plasma membrane *via* ubiquitination catalyzed by the host ubiquitin ligase TRAF6 ([Ruan et al., 2014](#page-13-21), [2016](#page-13-40)). Here, we found a novel mode through which SopB may exert its function, since it is transferred into extracellular vesicles after *Salmonella* infects epithelial cells. When cultured macrophages were incubated with extracellular vesicles isolated from the infected group, there was increased phosphorylation of Akt protein in a time- and dose-dependent manner. In addition, the experimental results also showed that the presence of other proteins in addition to SopB within the extracellular vesicles of the infected group could also cause the phosphorylation of Akt protein, even in extracellular vesicles derived from cells infected with a *ΔsopB* knockout mutant, which is in agreement with the view that exosomes contain many bacterial and host-derived proteins. Therefore, we inferred that small extracellular vesicles (exosomes) maybe a previously overlooked route for the transfer of SopB from infected cells to macrophages or neighbor cells during *Salmonella* infection.

Conclusion

The label-free quantitative proteomic analysis of extracellular vesicles released from infected epithelial cells as described in this study was the first venture into dissecting their protein composition, and validating extracellular vesicles as a way for *Salmonella* to deliver virulence proteins to facilitate infection. Future studies may focus on defining how extracellular vesicle composition changes over time, especially during *in vivo* infection, as well as its relationship with the bacterial burden and the stage

of infection, aiming to better understand the roles of extracellular vesicles during the course of *Salmonella* infection.

Data availability statement

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The mass spectrometry proteomic data have been deposited with the ProteomeXchange Consortium ([http://](http://proteomecentral.proteomexchange.org) [proteomecentral.proteomexchange.org\)](http://proteomecentral.proteomexchange.org) via the iProX partner repository ([Ma et al. 2019](#page-13-41)) with the dataset identifier PXD032776.

Author contributions

HR and BZ conceived and designed the experiments. TW and BZ drafted the manuscript. BZ and JL collected the samples. BZ, JL and HW performed the experiments. TW, BZ, JL, and AH collected and analyzed the data. JQ and HR participated in study design, technical guidance, and coordination. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Funding

This work was supported by the General Program of the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant number: 31870122), the Youth Program of the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant number: 21908168), and the Natural Science Foundation of Tianjin (Grant number: 18JCYBJC96000).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary material for this article can be found online at: [https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2022.1042111/](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2022.1042111/full#supplementary-material) [full#supplementary-material](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2022.1042111/full#supplementary-material)

References

Ao, T. T., Feasey, N. A., Gordon, M. A., Keddy, K. H., and Crump, J. A. (2015). Global burden of invasive Nontyphoidal *salmonella* disease, 20101. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 21, 941–949. doi: [10.3201/eid2106.140999](https://doi.org/10.3201/eid2106.140999)

Athman, J. J., Sande, O. J., Groft, S. G., Reba, S. M., Nagy, N., Wearsch, P. A., et al. (2017). Mycobacterium tuberculosis membrane vesicles inhibit T cell activation. *J. Immunol.* 198, 2028–2037. doi: [10.4049/jimmunol.1601199](https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.1601199)

Bakowski, M. A., Braun, V., Lam, G. Y., Yeung, T., Heo, W. D., Meyer, T., et al. (2010). The phosphoinositide phosphatase SopB manipulates membrane surface charge and trafficking of the *salmonella*-containing vacuole. *Cell Host Microbe* 7, 453–462. doi: [10.1016/j.chom.2010.05.011](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2010.05.011)

Bendtsen, J. D., Jensen, L. J., Blom, N., Heijne, G. V., and Brunak, S. (2004). Feature-based prediction of non-classical and leaderless protein secretion. *Protein Eng. Des. Sel.* 17, 349–356. doi: [10.1093/protein/gzh037](https://doi.org/10.1093/protein/gzh037)

Bhatnagar, S., Shinagawa, K., Castellino, F. J., and Schorey, J. S. (2007). Exosomes released from macrophages infected with intracellular pathogens stimulate a proinflammatory response in vitro and in vivo. *Blood* 110, 3 [blood-2007-03-079152](https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2007-03-079152)

Brunet, Y. R., Hénin, J., Celia, H., and Cascales, E. (2014). Type VI secretion and bacteriophage tail tubes share a common assembly pathway. *EMBO Rep.* 15, 315–321. doi: [10.1002/embr.201337936](https://doi.org/10.1002/embr.201337936)

Cascales, E., and Cambillau, C. (2012). Structural biology of type VI secretion systems. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond.* 367, 1102–1111. doi: [10.1098/rstb.2011.0209](https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2011.0209)

Chen, Y., Xie, Y., Lai, Z., et al. (2017). Protein content and functional characteristics of serum-purified exosomes from patients with colorectal cancer revealed by quantitative proteomics. *Int. J. Cancer* 140, 900–913. doi: [10.1002/](https://doi.org/10.1002/ijc.30496) [ijc.30496](https://doi.org/10.1002/ijc.30496)

Cooper, K. G., Winfree, S., Malik-Kale, P., Jolly, C., Ireland, R., Knodler, L. A., et al. (2011). Activation of Akt by the bacterial inositol phosphatase, SopB, is Wortmannin insensitive. *PLoS One* 6:e22260. doi: [10.1371/journal.pone.0022260](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0022260)

Cossetti, C., Iraci, N., Mercer, T., Leonardi, T., Alpi, E., Drago, D., et al. (2014). Extracellular vesicles from neural stem cells transfer IFN-γ via Ifngr1 to activate Stat1 signaling in target cells. *Mol. Cell* 56, 193–204. doi: [10.1016/j.molcel.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2014.08.020) [2014.08.020](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2014.08.020)

Daniel, E., Alexandra, S., Coticchia, C. M., Emily, B., Romy, M., Martin, S., et al. (2015). Characterization of RNA from exosomes and other extracellular vesicles isolated by a novel spin column-based method. *PLoS One* 10:e0136133. doi: [10.1371/](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0136133) [journal.pone.0136133](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0136133)

Datsenko, K. A., and Wanner, B. L. (2000). One-step inactivation of chromosomal genes in *Escherichia coli* K-12 using PCR products. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 97, 6640–6645. doi: [10.1073/pnas.120163297](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.120163297)

Doyle, L. M., and Wang, M. Z. (2019). Overview of extracellular vesicles, their origin, composition, purpose, and methods for exosome isolation and analysis. *Cells* 8:727. doi: [10.3390/cells8070727](https://doi.org/10.3390/cells8070727)

Fitzner, D., Schnaars, M., van Rossum, D., Krishnamoorthy, G., Dibaj, P., Bakhti, M., et al. (2011). Selective transfer of exosomes from oligodendrocytes to microglia by macropinocytosis. *J. Cell Sci.* 124, 447–458. doi: [10.1242/](https://doi.org/10.1242/jcs.074088) [jcs.074088](https://doi.org/10.1242/jcs.074088)

Gabrielli, M., Battista, N., Riganti, L., Prada, I., Antonucci, F., Cantone, L., et al. (2015). Active endocannabinoids are secreted on extracellular membrane vesicles. *EMBO Rep.* 16, 213–220. doi: [10.15252/embr.201439668](https://doi.org/10.15252/embr.201439668)

Galán, P. (2006). Differential activation and function of rho GTPases during salmonella-host cell interactions. *J. Cell Biol.* 175, 453–463. doi: [10.2307/4152224](https://doi.org/10.2307/4152224)

Galán, J., and Waksman, G. (2018). Protein-injection Machines in Bacteria. *Cells* 172, 1306–1318. doi: [10.1016/j.cell.2018.01.034](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2018.01.034)

Gokulan, K., Khare, S., Rooney, A. W., Jing, H., Lynne, A. M., and Foley, S. L. (2013). Impact of plasmids, including those EncodingVirB4/D4 type IV secretion systems, on salmonella enterica serovar Heidelberg virulence in macrophages and epithelial cells. *PLoS One* 8:e77866. doi: [10.1371/journal.pone.0077866](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0077866)

Haraga, A., Ohlson, M. B., and Miller, S. I. (2008). *Salmonellae* interplay with host cells. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 6, 53–66. doi: [10.1038/nrmicro1788](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro1788)

Hendriksen, R. S., Vieira, A. R., Karlsmose, S., Lo, F. W., Danilo, M. A., Jensen, A. B., et al. (2011). Global monitoring of *salmonella serovar* distribution
from the World Health Organization global foodborne infections network country
data Bank: results of quality assured laboratories from 20 *Pathog. Dis.* 8, 887–900. doi: [10.1089/fpd.2010.0787](https://doi.org/10.1089/fpd.2010.0787)

Herhaus, L., and Dikic, I. (2018). Regulation of *salmonella*-host cell interactions via the ubiquitin system. *Int. J. Med. Microbiol.* 308, 176–184. doi: [10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijmm.2017.11.003) [ijmm.2017.11.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijmm.2017.11.003)

Hui, W. W., Emerson L. E, Clapp, B., Sheppe, A. E., Sharma, J., del Castillo, J, et al. (2021). Antigen-encapsulating host extracellular vesicles derived from *salmonella*-

infected cells stimulate pathogenspecific Th1-type responses in vivo. *PLoS Pathog.* 17:e1009465, doi: [10.1371/journal.ppat.1009465.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1009465)

Hui, W. W., Hercik, K., Belsare, S., Alugubelly, N., Clapp, B., Rinaldi, C., et al. (2018). *Salmonella enterica* Serovar typhimurium alters the extracellular proteome of macrophages and leads to the production of Proinflammatory exosomes. *Infection & Immunity* 86:IAI.00386-00317. doi: [10.1128/IAI.00386-17](https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.00386-17)

Hxa, B., Yu, Y., Chao, Y., Zwab, C., Rjab, C., Chao, C., et al. (2020). The SPI-19 encoded T6SS is required for salmonella Pullorum survival within avian macrophages and initial colonization in chicken dependent on inhibition of host immune response. *Vet. Microbiol.* 250, 108867–108876. doi: [10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetmic.2020.108867) [vetmic.2020.108867](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetmic.2020.108867)

Jeppesen, D. K., Fenix, A. M., Franklin, J. L., Higginbotham, J. N., Zhang, Q., Zimmerman, L. J., et al. (2019). Reassessment of exosome composition. *Cells* 177, 428–445. doi: [10.1016/j.cell.2019.02.029](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2019.02.029)

Jesús, D., Nelly, S. M., Rocío, O., Gebreyes, W. A., Allard, M. W., Francisco, B. G., et al. (2018). Whole genome sequencing reveals widespread distribution of typhoidal toxin genes and VirB/D4 plasmids in bovine-associated nontyphoidalSalmonella. *Sci. Rep.* 8, 9864–9878. doi: [10.1038/s41598-018-28169-4](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-28169-4)

Jones, T. F., Amanda, I. L., Cieslak, P. R., Vugia, D. J., Melissa, T. D., Sharon, H., et al. (2008). Salmonellosis outcomes differ substantially by serotype. *J. Infect.* 198, 109–114. doi: [10.1086/588823](https://doi.org/10.1086/588823)

Khoo, C. H., Sim, J. H., Salleh, N. A., and Cheah, Y. K. (2015). Pathogenicity and analysis of sopB, sopD and pipD virulence factors in *salmonella enterica* serovar typhimurium and *salmonella enterica* serovar Agona. *Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek* 107, 23–37. doi: [10.1007/s10482-014-0300-7](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10482-014-0300-7)

Kulkarni Devesha, H., McDonald Keely, G., and Knoop Kathryn, A. (2018). Goblet cell associated antigen passages are inhibited during *salmonella typhimur/ cium* infection to prevent pathogen dissemination and limit responses to dietary antigens. *Mucosal Immunol.* 11, 1103–1113. doi: [10.1038/s41385-018-0007-6](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41385-018-0007-6)

Li, H., Xu, H., Zhou, Y., Zhang, J., Long, C., Li, S., et al. (2007). The phosphothreonine lyase activity of a bacterial type III effector family. *Science* 315, 1000–1003. doi: [10.1126/science.1138960](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1138960)

Ma, J., Chen, T., Wu, S., Yang, C., Bai, M., Shu, K., et al. (2019). iProX: an integrated proteome resource. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 47, D1211–d1217. doi: [10.1093/](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gky869) [nar/gky869](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gky869)

Majowicz, S. E., Jennie, M., Elaine, S., Angulo, F. J., Martyn, K., O'Brien, S. J., et al. (2010). The global burden of Nontyphoidal *salmonella* gastroenteritis. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 50, 882–889. doi: [10.1086/650733](https://doi.org/10.1086/650733)

Niel, G. V., D'Angelo, G., and Raposo, G. (2018). Shedding light on the cell biology of extracellular vesicles. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 19, 213–228. doi: [10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrm.2017.125) [nrm.2017.125](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrm.2017.125)

Notti, R. Q., and Stebbins, C. E. (2016). "The Structure and Function of Type III Secretion Systems," in *Virulence Mechanisms of Bacterial Pathogens*. *5th Edn*. 241–264.

Owen, K. A., Meyer, C. B., Bouton, A. H., and Casanova, J. E. (2014). Activation of focal adhesion kinase by *salmonella* suppresses autophagy via an Akt/mTOR signaling pathway and promotes bacterial survival in macrophages. *PLoS Pathog.* 10:e1004159. doi: [10.1371/journal.ppat.1004159](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1004159)

Pan, B. T., Teng, K., Wu, C., and Johnstone, A. R. M. (1985). Electron microscopic evidence for externalization of the transferrin receptor in vesicular form in sheep reticulocytes. *J. Cell Biol.* 101, 942–948. doi: [10.1083/jcb.101.3.942](https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.101.3.942)

Pegtel, D. M., and Gould, S. J. (2019). Exosomes. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* 88, 487–514. doi: [10.1146/annurev-biochem-013118-111902](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-biochem-013118-111902)

Pocsfalvi, G., Stanly, C., Vilasi, A., Fiume, I., Capasso, G., Turiák, L., et al. (2016). Mass spectrometry of extracellular vesicles. *Mass Spectrom. Rev.* 35, 3–21. doi: [10.1002/mas.21457](https://doi.org/10.1002/mas.21457)

Qaidi, S. E., Wu, M., Zhu, C., and Hardwidge, P. R. (2018). *Salmonella, E. coli,* and Citrobacter type III secretion system effector proteins that Alter host innate immunity. *Adv. Exp. Med. Biol.* 1111, 205–218. doi: [10.1007/5584_](https://doi.org/10.1007/5584_2018_289) [2018_289](https://doi.org/10.1007/5584_2018_289)

Ria, R., Todoerti, K., Berardi, S., Coluccia, A., and Vacca, A. (2009). Gene expression profiling of bone marrow endothelial cells in patients with multiple myeloma. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 15, 5369–5378. doi: [10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-09-0040](https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-09-0040)

Ruan, H. H., Li, Y., Zhang, X. X., Liu, Q., Ren, H., Zhang, K. S., et al. (2014). Identification of TRAF6 as a ubiquitin ligase engaged in the ubiquitination of SopB, a virulence effector protein secreted by *salmonella* typhimurium. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 447, 172–177. doi: [10.1016/j.bbrc.2014.03.126](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2014.03.126)

Ruan, H., Zhang, Z., Tian, L., Wang, S., Hu, S., and Qiao, J. J. (2016). The *salmonella* effector SopB prevents ROS-induced apoptosis of epithelial cells by retarding TRAF6 recruitment to mitochondria. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 478, 618–623. doi: [10.1016/j.bbrc.2016.07.116](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2016.07.116)

Ruan, H.-H., Zhang, Z., Wang, S.-Y., Nickels, L. M., Tian, L., Qiao, J.-J., et al. (2017). Tumor necrosis factor receptor-associated factor 6 (TRAF6) mediates ubiquitination dependent STAT3 activation upon *salmonella enterica* serovar typhimurium infection. *Infect. Immun.* 85, e00081–e00017. doi: [10.1128/](https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.00081-17) [IAI.00081-17](https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.00081-17)

Rytkoenen, A., Poh, J., Garmendia, J., Boyle, C., Thompson, A., Mei, L., et al. (2007). SseL, a salmonella deubiquitinase required for macrophage killing and virulence. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 104, 3502–3507. doi: [10.1073/pnas.06100](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0610095104) [95104](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0610095104)

Sana, T. G., Flaugnatti, N., Lugo, K. A., Lam, L. H., Jacobson, A., Baylot, V., et al. (2016). *Salmonella typhimurium* utilizes a T6SS-mediated antibacterial weapon to establish in the host gut. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 113, E5044–E5051. doi: [10.1073/pnas.1608858113](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1608858113)

Santos, A., Ferrari, R. G., and Conte-Junior, C. A. (2020). Type three secretion system in *salmonella typhimurium*: the key to infection. *Genes & Genomics* 42, 495–506. doi: [10.1007/s13258-020-00918-8](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13258-020-00918-8)

Sibinelli-Sousa, S., Hespanhol, J. T., Nicastro, G. G., Matsuyama, B. Y., and Bayer-Santos, E. (2020). A family of T6SS antibacterial effectors related to l,d-Transpeptidases targets the peptidoglycan. *Cell Rep.* 31:107813. doi: [10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2020.107813) [celrep.2020.107813](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2020.107813)

Silverman, J. M., Brunet, Y. R., Cascales, E., and Mougous, J. D. (2012). Structure and regulation of the type VI secretion system. *Annu. Rev. Microbiol.* 66, 453–472. doi: [10.1146/annurev-micro-121809-151619](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-micro-121809-151619)

Simons, M., and Raposo, G. (2009). Exosomes – vesicular carriers for intercellular communication. *Curr. Opin. Cell. Biol.* 21, 575–581. doi: [10.1016/j.ceb.2009.03.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ceb.2009.03.007)

Skokos, D., Botros, H. G., Demeure, C., Morin, J., Peronet, R., Birkenmeier, G., et al. (2003). Mast cell-derived exosomes induce phenotypic and functional maturation of dendritic cells and elicit specific immune responses in vivo. *J. Immunol.* 170, 3037–3045. doi: [10.4049/jimmunol.170.6.3037](https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.170.6.3037)

Sook, H. C., Laurent, M., Michael, B., Whiteside, T. L., and Zhuang, Z. (2014). Isolation and characterization of CD34+ Blast-derived exosomes in acute myeloid leukemia. *PLoS One* 9:e103310. doi: [10.1371/journal.pone.0103310](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0103310)

Stefan, G., Miguel, G.-G. J., Javier, T., Williams, T. D., Nagaraj, S. H., José, N. M., et al. (2008). High-throughput functional annotation and data mining with the Blast2GO suite. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 36, 3420–3435. doi: [10.1093/nar/gkn176](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkn176)

Théry, C., Witwer, K. W., Aikawa, E., Alcaraz, M. J., Anderson, J. D., Andriantsitohaina, R., et al. (2018). Minimal information for studies of extracellular vesicles 2018 (MISEV2018): a position statement of the International Society for Extracellular Vesicles and update of the MISEV2014 guidelines. *Journal of Extracellular Vesicles* 7:1535750. doi: [10.1080/20013078.2018.1535750](https://doi.org/10.1080/20013078.2018.1535750)

Tkach, M., and Théry, C. (2016). Communication by extracellular vesicles: where we are and where we need to go. *Cells* 164, 1226–1232. doi: [10.1016/j.cell.2016.01.043](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2016.01.043)

Trajkovic, K., Hsu, C., Chiantia, S., Rajendran, L., Wenzel, D., Wieland, F., et al. (2008). Ceramide triggers budding of exosome vesicles into multivesicular endosomes. *Science* 319, 1244–1247. doi: [10.1126/science.1153124](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1153124)

Wang, L., Yan, J., Niu, H., Huang, R., and Wu, S. (2018). Autophagy and ubiquitination in *salmonella* infection and the related inflammatory responses. *Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol.* 8:78. doi: [10.3389/fcimb.2018.00078](https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2018.00078)

Wiśniewski, J. R., Zougman, A., Nagaraj, N., and Mann, M. (2009). Universal sample preparation method for proteome analysis. *Nat. Methods* 6, 359–362. doi: [10.1038/nmeth.1322](https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.1322)

Wu, X., Showiheen, S. A. A., Sun, A. R., Crawford, R., Xiao, Y., Mao, X., et al. (2019). Exosomes extraction and identification. *Methods Mol. Biol.* 2054, 81–91. doi: [10.1007/978-1-4939-9769-5_4](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4939-9769-5_4)