Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Svetlana Khaiboullina, University of Nevada, United States

REVIEWED BY Nadim Sharif, Jahangirnagar University, Bangladesh Junhua Huang, Wuhan Polytechnic University, China

*CORRESPONDENCE Ping Yang yp_apple@yeah.net Zhurong Tang 102898@cqmu.edu.cn Zhi Zhou

zhouzhi2300@126.com

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work

SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Infectious Agents and Disease, a section of the journal Frontiers in Microbiology

RECEIVED 08 September 2022 ACCEPTED 13 October 2022 PUBLISHED 02 November 2022

CITATION

Cai C, Xiao A, Luo X, Zheng E, Li Y, Lei Y, Zhong S, Chen Y, Yang P, Tang Z and Zhou Z (2022) Circulating soluble CD36 as a novel biomarker for progression and prognosis of HBV-related liver diseases. *Front. Microbiol.* 13:1039614. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2022.1039614

COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Cai, Xiao, Luo, Zheng, Li, Lei, Zhong, Chen, Yang, Tang and Zhou. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Circulating soluble CD36 as a novel biomarker for progression and prognosis of HBV-related liver diseases

Chunxian Cai^{1†}, Anhua Xiao^{2†}, Xiaoqing Luo², Enze Zheng², Yiyu Li², Yu Lei¹, Shan Zhong¹, Yaxi Chen², Ping Yang²*, Zhurong Tang³* and Zhi Zhou¹*

¹Department of Infectious Diseases, The Second Affiliated Hospital, Chongqing Medical University, Chongqing, China, ²Centre for Lipid Research, Key Laboratory of Molecular Biology for Infectious Diseases, Ministry of Education, Chongqing Medical University, Chongqing, China, ³Institute of Life Sciences, Chongqing Medical University, Chongqing, China

Background: Our previous study suggested CD36 may be a positive regulator of hepatitis B virus (HBV) replication *in vitro*. Therefore, the present study aimed to investigate whether circulating soluble CD36 (sCD36) could serve as a diagnostic and prognostic biomarker for HBV-related liver diseases based on the clinic collected data.

Methods: A total of 282 subjects were divided into healthy controls (HC, n=47), chronic hepatitis B (CHB, n=68), HBV-related liver cirrhosis (HBV-LC, n=167). Soluble CD36 in plasma was measured by ELISA, and monocyte or platelet CD36 expression was determined by flow cytometry.

Results: There was a step-wise increase of sCD36 with the progression of chronic HBV infection, and it was the highest in the HBV- LC group with liver failure (1.50, IQR:1.04–2.00) as compared with HC (0.38, IQR:0.27–0.38), CHB (0.75, IQR:0.40–1.13), and HBV-LC without liver failure (1.02, IQR,0.61–1.35) group. Circulating sCD36 was not correlated with serum HBV DNA levels, but correlated with liver function parameters. Additionally, ROC analysis confirmed sCD36 could be used to predict liver failure for HBV-LC patients, which yielded an AUC of 0.775 with 71.0% sensitivity and 72.2% specificity. Multivariate logistic regression analysis revealed sCD36 is an independent risk factor in predicting liver failure. Moreover, plasma sCD36 in HBV-LC patients was significantly correlated with prognostic indices, including MELD, MELD-Na and CHILD-PUGH scores. On the other hand, CD36 expression on monocytes or platelets was positively correlated with plasma sCD36 levels, whereas they were not strongly associated with the disease severity.

Conclusion: Circulating sCD36 could be used as a novel noninvasive biomarker for predicting liver failure and prognosis in chronic HBV infected patients.

KEYWORDS

sCD36, biomarker, HBV-related liver diseases, cirrhosis, liver failure

Introduction

Hepatitis B virus (HBV) infection is a growing global public health problem with high morbidity and mortality. HBV belongs to a small DNA virus that predominately infects hepatocytes, thus leading to hepatitis, liver cirrhosis and liver cancer (Fattovich et al., 2008). Liver failure can occur in any stage of HBV infection, and is clinically manifested as hepatic encephalopathy, ascites, hepatorenal syndrome, coagulation dysfunction and jaundice, which finally results in multiple organ failure and high mortality (Seto et al., 2012). To control HBV-related diseases, diagnosis the progression of chronic HBV infection with noninvasive biomarkers would be helpful and low-cost. While discovering these biomarkers often benefit from clarifying mechanisms involved in progression of HBV infection, which, however, remain incompletely revealed yet.

Chronic HBV infection is a dynamic process which reflects the interaction between virus replication and the host immune response. Noteworthy, monocytes and macrophages, which play important roles in progression of HBV infection, are involved in liver fibrosis and development of cirrhosis including liver failure (Zimmermann et al., 2010; Tacke, 2012; Kazankov et al., 2014; Tacke and Zimmermann, 2014; Gronbaek et al., 2016). Besides, systemic inflammation is also a striking feature of acute-onchronic liver failure. For example, proinflammatory molecules such as IL-6, TNF- α , and IL-8 were elevated in HBV patients with acute-on-chronic liver failure (Claria et al., 2016). Additionally, HBV is recognized as a metabolovirus for its close connection with the host metabolism (Shlomai and Shaul, 2008). Studies have found that the serum lipid profile is altered in patients with HBV infection (Buechler and Aslanidis, 1865; Chen et al., 2013), and there is an increasing level of fatty acid in serum along with the progression of HBV infection (Schoeman et al., 2016). Similar studies have found that total fatty acids were substantially increased in the HBV-replicating cell lines compared with the original cell lines, which indicates the fatty acid biosynthesis is enhanced by HBV infection (Zhang et al., 2013; Li H. et al., 2015).

Fatty acid translocase (FAT/CD36) is a multifunctional receptor expressed in various tissues and has a variety of tissuespecific functions. CD36 on the surface of muscle and fat tissue is associated with uptake of long-chain fatty acids, and contributes to obesity-induced insulin resistance (Su and Abumrad, 2009); CD36 on the surface of macrophage cells mediates sterile inflammation caused by production of endogenous molecules, and phagocytosis of pathogens (Baranova et al., 2008; Stewart et al., 2010); CD36 overexpression on the surface of hepatocytes is linked to development of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD), including fatty liver, steatohepatitis, and cirrhosis (Zhao et al., 2018); and increased CD36 expression on the surface of platelets, monocytes and macrophages is involved in the pathogenesis of type 2 diabetes (Sampson et al., 2003; Liani et al., 2012). Previous studies have identified a soluble form of CD36 (sCD36) in circulation as a novel biomarker for NAFLD, type 2 diabetes mellitus and atherosclerosis (Handberg et al., 2012; Liani et al., 2012; Heeboll et al., 2017). Whether sCD36 could be a biomarker of HBV-related diseases is still unknown to our knowledge.

Our previous studies have found a novel link between CD36 and HBV replication *in vitro*, which was mediated by Src kinase pathway (Huang et al., 2016, 2017). Moreover, CD36 is a co-receptor of hepatitis C virus (HCV) E1 protein, mediating enhanced HCV entry and replication in hepatic cells (Cheng et al., 2016). These studies suggested there might exist a close link between CD36 and hepatic viruses. Therefore, this study aimed to evaluated the potential of sCD36 in diagnosing the progression and prognosis of HBV-related liver diseases.

Materials and methods

Patients and study design

A total of 235 patients, including 68 cases of chronic hepatitis B (CHB), 94 cases of HBV-related cirrhosis without liver failure (HBV-LC, NO LF) and 73 cases of HBV-LC with liver failure (HBV-LC, LF) were collected from the Second Affiliated Hospital of Chongqing Medical University. CHB was diagnosed based on patients with hepatitis B surface antigen and (or) HBV-DNA positive for more than 6 months. LC was diagnosed based on ultrasound and CT examination. LF was diagnosed by International Normalized Ratio (INR) >1.5 or prothrombin activity (PTA) <40%. Healthy individuals (n = 47) examined in the physical examination center of the same hospital were included as the healthy control (HC).

In detail, hospitalized patients were screened for chronic HBV infection as defined by hepatitis B surface antigen and (or) HBV-DNA positive for at least 6 months with impaired liver function (Chinese Society of Infectious Diseases, C.M.A., and Chinese Society of Hepatology, C.M.A, 2019). The following exclusion criteria for the hospitalized patients were applied: patients who received immunomodulatory therapy in the past

Abbreviations: HBV, Hepatitis B virus; HC, Health control; CHB, Chronic hepatitis B; HBV-LC, Hepatitis B virus related liver cirrhosis; sCD36, Soluble CD36; APRI, Aspartate transaminase -platelet ratio index; FIB-4, Fibrosis 4 score; MELD, Model of end-stage liver disease; IL-6, Interleukin-6; TNF-α, tumor necrosis factor α; IL-8, Interleukin-8; NAFLD, Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease; HCV, Hepatitis C virus; HE, hepatic encephalopathy; GI, gastrointestinal; INR, international normalized ratio; PTA, Prothrombin activity; ACLF, acute-on-chronic liver failure; CLF, Chronic liver failure; HBV-LC (LF), Hepatitis B virus related liver cirrhosis with liver failure; HBV-LC (NO LF), Hepatitis B virus related liver cirrhosis without liver failure; ELISA, enzyme linked immunosorbent assay; APC, Allophycocyanin; PE, Allophycocyanin; ROC curve, Receiver operating characteristic curve; BMI, Body mass index; TBil, Total bilirubin; ALT, Alanine transaminase; AST, Aspartic transaminase; PLT, Platelet; Cr, creatinine; IQR, Interquartile range; OR, odds ratio; CI, Confidence interval.

Parameter	HC (N=47)	CHB (N=68)	HBV-related cir	thosis (HBV-LC) LF (N = 73) 22.2 (20.3–24.0) 48 (42–54) * 55 (75.3) * 4 (5.5) 48 (65.8) 51 (69.9) 7 (9.6) 54 (36–85)* 5.2 (1.6–13.8)* 41 (21–109)* 57 (36–131)* 30.1 (21.7–31.7)* 3.1 (0–5.1) 1.9 (1.7–2.2) 42 (35–49) 0.7 (0.6–0.8)	<i>p</i> value
			NO LF (N=94)	LF $(N = 73)$	
BMI	22.19 (19.2–23.7)	22.8 (19.8-25.5)	23.1 (20.8–25.1)	22.2 (20.3-24.0)	0.439
Age (years)	32 (28–36)	34 (26–38)	49 (43-55) *	48 (42-54) *	< 0.001
Male (N, %)	21 (44.7)	55 (80.9) *	67 (71.3) *	55 (75.3) *	0.376
HE (N, %)	0	0	1 (1.1)	4 (5.5)	
Ascites (N,%)	0	3 (4.4)	35 (37.2)	48 (65.8)	< 0.001
Bacterial infection (N, %)	0	9 (13.2)	39 (41.5)	51 (69.9)	< 0.001
GI bleeding (N, %)	0	0	8 (8.5)	7 (9.6)	
Laboratory data					
PLT (*10^9/L)	205 (160-227)	179 (129–216)*	77 (50-108)*	54 (36-85)*	< 0.001
TBil (mg/dl)	0.5 (0.2-0.7)	1.2 (0.7-3.3)*	1.3 (0.7–2.6)*	5.2 (1.6-13.8)*	< 0.001
ALT (U/L)	19 (12–24)	136 (58–289)*	32 (21–78)*	41 (21–109)*	< 0.001
AST (U/L)	18 (14–22)	57 (36-107)*	41 (28-81)*	57 (36-131)*	0.08
Albumin (g/L)	47.1 (44.7-49.1)	38.9 (35.2-42.1)*	34.2 (30.5-38.3)*	30.1 (21.7-31.7)*	< 0.001
HBV-DNA (log IU/ml)		2.0 (0-5.5)	2.0 (0.0-5.5)	3.1 (0-5.1)	< 0.001
INR		1.1 (1.0–1.1)	1.3 (1.1–1.4)	1.9 (1.7–2.2)	< 0.001
PTA		89 (79–101)	71 (61–81)	42 (35–49)	< 0.001
Cr (mg/dl)	0.7 (0.6–0.9)	0.8 (0.6–0.8)	0.8 (0.7–0.9)	0.7 (0.6–0.8)	0.026
Serum sodium (mmol/L)		138.6 (137.1–139.7)	137.9 (135.6–139.2)	135.3 (132.5–137.9)	< 0.001

TABLE 1 Baseline characteristics of all enrolled subjects.

Data are expressed as median (interquartile range) or count (percentage), Statistical analysis was calculated using the man-whitney U test between two groups and the Kruskal-Wallis test among three groups. p value shows the difference among the HC group, HBV-LC (NO LF) group and HBV-LC (LF) group. p < 0.05 is considered significantly statistical difference. HC: healthy controls; CHB: chronic hepatitis B; HBV-LC (NO LF): HBV-related cirrhosis without liver failure; HBV-LC (LF): HBV-related cirrhosis with liver failure; BMI: body mass index; HE: hepatic encephalopathy; GI bleeding: gastrointestinal bleeding; PLT: platelets; ALT: alanine aminotransferase; AST: aspartate aminotransferase; TBil: total bilirubin; INR: international normalized ratio; PTA: prothrombin activity; Cr: creatinine. *p < 0.05 compared with HC group.

12 months; with metabolic diseases except obesity (including diabetes, non-alcoholic fatty liver disease, hyperlipemia and atherosclerosis); with evidence of carcinoma; with human immunodeficiency virus infection; with evidence of contaminant liver diseases; or with evidence of systemic sclerosis.

HBV-related liver diseases included chronic hepatitis B (CHB), cirrhosis and liver failure. CHB patients could be divided into mild group and moderate-to-severe group as referred to the Guideline on prevention and treatment of chronic hepatitis B in China (2021). In detail, the mild group included the CHB patients who had no obvious symptoms, or those had certain symptoms or signs but with only 1-2 mild abnormal biochemical index. The severe group contained the CHB patients who had obvious or continued hepatitis symptoms, such as fatigue, anorexia, abdominal distension, loose stool and others symptoms. And they may be accompanied with hepatic face, liver palms, spider angioma or liver splenomegaly that portal hypertension or other origin have been rule out. Their laboratory tests showed repeated or continuous increase in serum ALT, decreased albumin or abnormal A/G ratio, and significantly increased gamma globulin. A severe diagnosis can be made if one of the three tests meets the criteria: albumin \leq 32 g/ L, bilirubin >85.5 µmol/l and prothrombin activity was between 60 and 40%. The CHB patients whose symptoms, signs, and laboratory tests range from mild to severe were included in the moderate group. Cirrhosis could be divided into compensated cirrhosis and

decompensated cirrhosis (usually leading to liver failure) which was defined by the acute development of large ascites, hepatic encephalopathy (HE), gastrointestinal bleeding (GI bleeding), bacterial infection, or any combination of these symptoms. Liver failure occurred in cirrhosis can be divided into acute-onchronic liver failure (ACLF) and chronic liver failure (CLF) according to the course of the disease. ACLF was characterized by acute deterioration of liver function which caused the failure of the liver and other extrahepatic organs (Bernal et al., 2015). However, CLF was characterized by slow progressive deterioration of liver function, causing chronic decompensation and mainly manifested by recurrent ascites and/or hepatic encephalopathy.

Blood samples were obtained from all study individuals at enrollment and we collected data including laboratory measurements by the automatic blood or biochemical analyzers in the hospital. The HBV-DNA was determined *via* quantitative real-time PCR, and the hepatitis B surface antigen was detected by ELISA. Events that may be potential precipitating factors of liver failure (including ascites, bacterial infection, GI bleeding and HE) were also recorded. Patient characteristics and platelet and monocyte sample data were summarized in Table 1.

The study complied with the World Medical Association Declaration of Helsinki. Written informed consents were obtained from patients or their legal surrogates before enrollment. The study was approved by the Committee on Ethics of the Second Affiliated Hospital of Chongqing Medical University.

Detection of plasma sCD36

The plasma concentrations of sCD36 were determined in samples that had been frozen at -80° C using a sandwich enzymelinked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) kit (E0674h, EIAab Science Inc., Wuhan, China) and detected by a HIMFD microplate reader. Control samples and CD36 standard serum with concentrations that ranged from 0.156 to 10 ng/ml were included in each run. The inter-assay coefficient of variation was less than 7.1% and intraassay coefficient of variation was less than 3.2%. The limit of detection (lowest standard) was 0.156 ng/ml.

Detection of CD36 expression on monocytes and platelets

Quantification of CD36 expression on monocytes or platelets was done by flow cytometry. At first whole-blood samples with heparin were immediately moved to the lab and processed. Both peripheral blood mononuclear cells and platelets were isolated using a mouse peripheral blood mononuclear cell isolation kit (P5230, Solarbio Science & Technology Co., Beijing, China). Allophycocyanin (APC) conjugated CD14 antibody (BD Biosciences, New Jersey, United States) was used to identify monocytes and phycoerythrin (PE) conjugated CD36 antibody (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Massachusetts, United States) was used to identify CD36 on the monocytes and platelets surface, with CD36 single dye tube, CD14 single dye tube and blank tube served as controls. The surface intensity of antigens on peripheral blood monocytes or platelets was determined using the BD FACS Aria II cytometer (BD Biosciences, United States) and the dot plot (the two-dimensional histogram that show two parameters) was used for evaluating the FACS data.

Statistical analysis

Quantitative variables were reported as mean and SD if normally contributed, or median and interquartile range if not. Categorical variables were reported as counts or percentages in each category. Correlations of the sCD36 with every other variable were analyzed using the Pearson's correlation coefficient if normally distributed, and the Spearman's rank test if not. Kruskal-Wallis test was used for non-normal distribution to compare quantitative variables across multiple groups in the study. Comparison between two groups was assessed by means of t test if normally distributed or Man-Whitney U test if not. Categorical variables were analyzed by chi-square test. Univariate and multivariate logistic regression analysis were performed to identify the main effects associated with HBV-related cirrhosis with liver failure. Receiver-operating characteristic (ROC) curves were plotted to measure the performance of sCD36 for diagnosis of HBV-related liver diseases. In addition, ROC curves were used to determine the cut-off value of sCD36 expression levels with the

best sensitivity and specificity in discriminating different stages of HBV-related liver diseases. If the available sample data in one of the groups were very small or incomparable, no statistical comparison was carried out. The significance level for all tests was set at 5%. Statistical analyses were conducted using SPSS software version 21 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, United States).

Results

Basic clinical and biochemical data

All patients were divided into three groups at enrollment according to disease status, including CHB group, HBV-related cirrhosis without liver failure named HBV-LC (NO LF) group, and HBV-related cirrhosis with liver failure named HBV-LC (LF) group, and the HC group was used as control, as described in the methods. Body mass index (BMI) was similar among the four groups. The platelet, serum albumin, PTA, and serum sodium levels in HBV-infected patients were lower than those in healthy adults, and gradually decreased with the progression of chronic HBV infection (Table 1, p < 0.001). On the contrary, levels of serum total bilirubin (TBil), INR, alanine transaminase (ALT) and aspartic transaminase (AST) were significantly higher in HBV-infected patients than those in healthy adults, and TBil and INR were gradually increased with the progression of chronic HBV infection (Table 1, p < 0.001). HBV-DNA were significantly different among the three HBV-infected groups (Table 1, p < 0.001). With the progression of chronic HBV infection, the proportion of ascites and bacterial infection gradually increased (Table 1, p < 0.001). The distribution of serum creatinine in HBV-infected patients was similar with healthy adults, as shown in Table 1.

Plasma sCD36 increased with the severity of HBV-infected liver diseases

To examine the role of CD36 in HBV-infected liver diseases, firstly, we compared plasma sCD36 level in the above four groups. There was a step-wise increase of sCD36 with the progression of chronic HBV infection. The sCD36 level in the three HBV-infected groups were significantly higher than that of the healthy control group (0.38, IQR, 0.27–0.38). Compared with the sCD36 level in chronic hepatitis B patients (0.75, IQR, 0.40–1.13), it was higher in HBV-related cirrhosis patients with (1.50, IQR, 1.04–2.00) or without liver failure (1.02, IQR, 0.61–1.35). And the cirrhosis patients with liver failure also had significant higher sCD36 level in comparison with those without.

The CD36 mean fluorescence intensity (MFI) on monocytes in CHB group was 3,362 (IQR:1871–5,709), which was similar with that of the HC group [2,764 (IQR: 2235–3,220), p > 0.05], while it was significantly lower than that of the HBV-LC (NO LF) group [7,450 (IQR: 3757–10,441), p < 0.05] and HBV-LC (LF)



Comparison of circulating CD36 expression among different groups with HBV-related liver diseases. All subjects were divided into four groups at enrollment according to the diseases status, including healthy control (HC) group, chronic hepatitis B (CHB) group, HBV-related cirrhosis without liver failure (HBV-LC, NO LF) group, and HBV-related cirrhosis with liver failure (HBV-LC, LF) group. (A) Plasma sCD36 level in HC (n=38), CHB (n=61), HBV-LC (*Continued*)

FIGURE 1 Continued

(NO LF; *n*=77), and HBV-LC (LF; *n*=67) groups. **(B)** CD36 expression on monocytes in HC (*n*=9), CHB (*n*=19), HBV-LC (NO LF; *n*=41), and HBV-LC (LF; *n*=29) groups. **(C)** CD36 expression on platelets in HC (*n*=9), CHB (*n*=19), HBV-LC (NO LF; *n*=41), and HBV-LC (LF; *n*=29) groups. Each point represents one participant. Values are described by median (interquartile range). The differences between two groups were analyzed by Man - Whitney U test. **p<0.01, ***p<0.001, ns, no statistical difference.

group [6,303 (IQR: 4738–8,322), p < 0.05] (Figure 1A). Whereas, no significant differences were found between the HBV-LC (NO LF) and HBV-LC (LF) group (p > 0.05, Figure 1B). Similarly, the CD36 MFI on platelets in the CHB group was 1,408 (IQR: 1108–2,576), which was similar with that in the HC group [1,430 (IQR: 1111–1707), p > 0.05], while it was lower than that of the HBV-LC (NO LF) [5,512 (IQR: 2584–9,208), p < 0.001] and HBV-LC (LF) group [4,216 (IQR: 2545–7,043), p < 0.001]. And no difference between the last two groups were found (p > 0.05, Figure 1C).

To further confirm circulating sCD36 increased with the severity of HBV-infected liver diseases, 68 patients with CHB were divided into mild group and moderate-to-severe group, 94 patients with HBV-LC (NO LF) were divided into compensated group and decompensated group, and 73 patients with HBV-LC (LF) were divided into ACLF group and CLF group. The sCD36 levels was significantly higher in moderate-to-severe subgroup than mild subgroup in CHB patients [0.80 (IQR: 0.52-1.21) vs. 0.45 (IQR: 0.39-0.85), p<0.05], and it was also higher in decompensated subgroup than compensated subgroup in HBV-LC (NO LF) patients (0.89±0.31 vs. 1.10±0.58 ng/ml, p < 0.05). And higher sCD36 levels was revealed in CLF subgroup than that of ACLF subgroup in HBV-LC (LF) patients [1.37 (IQR: 0.94–1.83) vs. 1.75 (IQR: 1.13–2.52), p < 0.05] (Figure 2A). However, monocyte CD36 MFI was similar between mild and moderate-to-severe subgroup (3,461±1,440 vs. 3,937±2,590, p > 0.05), as well as that between compensated and decompensated subgroup (5,424±4,248 vs. 7,725±4,770, *p*>0.05), and so was the ACLF and CLF subgroup [6,172 (IQR: 3653-8,349) vs. 6,439 (IQR: 5380–9,451), *p* > 0.05] (Figure 2B). Similarly, no significant differences were found for the expression of CD36 on platelets between the above subgroups (Figure 2C). Thus, these data indicated that plasma sCD36 is better than monocyte or platelet CD36 in identifying the severity of HBV-infected liver diseases.

No correlation between plasma sCD36 and serum HBV DNA load

Then we explored whether sCD36 correlates with HBV replication in HBV-infected patients. According to serum HBV DNA levels, HBV-infected patients were divided into three subgroups, including the high viral load group ($\geq 7 \log_{10} IU/mL$), moderate viral load group ($\geq 5-7 \log_{10} IU/mL$), and low viral load group (< $5 \log_{10} IU/mL$). We found that plasma sCD36 levels were similar between these three groups (p > 0.05; Figure 3A). The



results of CD36 expression on platelets and monocytes were similar with that of sCD36 (Figures 3B,C). In addition, in HBV-infected patients, there was no correlation between HBV DNA levels and sCD36 levels (r = -0.1147, p > 0.05, Figure 3D), nor between HBV DNA and CD36 expression on monocytes (r = -0.1479, p > 0.05, Figure 3E) or platelets (r = -0.1524, p > 0.05, Figure 3F).

Correlation between plasma sCD36 levels and liver function parameters

To evaluate the association between sCD36 and the clinic parameters of HBV-infected patients, we used chi-square test. No obvious correlation was found between sCD36 and age, sex, AST, and ALT (Supplementary Table 1). Whereas, we found sCD36 was positively correlated with serum TBil, and it was negatively correlated with serum albumin, indicating sCD36 was in association with liver function (Supplementary Table 1). In accordance with this, sCD36 was positively correlated with INR, and it was negatively correlated with PTA, indicating sCD36 was in association with coagulation function (Supplementary Table 1). Additionally, increased sCD36 levels were significantly correlated with platelets, ascites and bacterial infection (p < 0.05, Supplementary Table 1).

We then analyzed the correlation between plasma sCD36 and aspartate transaminase-to-platelet ratio index (APRI), and fibrosis-4 score (FIB-4; N = 61), both factors are recognized as noninvasive tests predicting liver fibrosis in CHB patients. Plasma sCD36 level was positively related to APRI (p < 0.05,

Cai et al.



R=0.284) and FIB-4 (p < 0.05, R=0.259) in CHB patients, though the correlation was weak (Supplementary Figure 1A). We also analyzed the correlation between monocyte/platelet CD36 expression and APRI, FIB-4 in CHB patients (N=19). However, there was no significant correlation between monocyte CD36 expression and APRI (r=0.243, p>0.05) or FIB-4 (r=0.277, p>0.05), and no significant correlation was found between platelet CD36 expression and APRI (r=0.037, p>0.05) or FIB-4 (r=0.436, p>0.05) in CHB patients (Supplementary Figures 1B,C).

Plasma sCD36 could be used as a strong predictor for liver failure in HBV-LC patients

We evaluated the potential of plasma sCD36 in distinguishing different progression stage of HBV-associated liver disease. The ROC curve analysis indicated plasma sCD36 can discriminate CHB from HC with an area under the ROC curve (AUROC) of 0.701 (95%CI 0.592–0.810, cutoff = 0.73 ng/ ml), but the discriminate validity was significantly lower than ALT with AUROC of 0.960 (95%CI 0.922–0.998, cutoff = 44 U/l,

Figure 4A). Additionally, plasma sCD36 can be used to distinguish HBV-LC (NO LF) from CHB in some extent, and the AUROC was 0.634 (95%CI 0.540–0.728, cutoff=0.93 ng/ml) with 58.4% sensitivity and 73.8% specificity (Figure 4B). We then explored the potential of sCD36 in predicting liver failure in HBV-LC patients. ROC analysis showed sCD36 exhibited favorable predictive value for liver failure in HBV-LC patients, as it yielded an AUROC of 0.777 (95%CI 0.700–0.855, cutoff=1.24 ng/ml) with 71.0% sensitivity and 72.2% specificity (Figure 4C). In addition, the combination of sCD36 and MELD exhibited a significantly higher AUROC compared with sCD36 or MELD alone.

Univariate and multivariate regression analyses also revealed sCD36 could be used as a strong predictor for liver failure (Table 2). In accordance with previous studies, univariate analyses showed serum AST, serum albumin, serum TBil, serum cholinesterase, platelets, serum sodium, ascites and bacterial infection were significant risk factors of liver failure (Table 2). Upon multivariate analysis, elevated sCD36 was proved to be an effective predictor for liver failure (odds ratio [95% CI], 3.166 [1.617–6.199], p < 0.05), which was independent of platelets, TBil, and ascites (Table 2). Altogether, these results suggest a high potential of sCD36 in predicting liver failure.



TABLE 2 Univariate and multivariate logistic regression analyses of liver failure in patients with HBV-LC patients.

	unuryono	Multivariate analysis		
OR (95% CI)	p	OR (95% CI)	p	
0.759 (0.485-	0.228			
1.188)				
1.332 (0.641–	0.443			
2.768)				
2.905 (1.672-	< 0.001	3.166 (1.617-	0.001	
5.049)		6.199)		
1.147 (0.787–	0.474			
1.616)				
1.532 (1.038-	0.032			
2.262)				
2.915 (1.705-	< 0.001			
4.985)				
2.505 (1.676-	< 0.001	3.285 (1.933-	< 0.001	
3.746)		5.584)		
1.555 (1.017–	0.041			
2.378)				
2.288 (1.425-	0.001	3.353 (1.800-	< 0.001	
3.672)		6.244)		
3.757 (1.744–	0.001			
8.094)				
1.070 (0.708–	0.748			
1.616)				
2.282 (1.456-	< 0.001	2.375 (1.344-	0.003	
3.578)		4.197)		
4.113 (2.043–	< 0.001			
8.278)				
	OR (95% CI) 0.759 (0.485- 1.188) 1.332 (0.641- 2.768) 2.905 (1.672- 5.049) 1.147 (0.787- 1.616) 1.532 (1.038- 2.262) 2.915 (1.705- 4.985) 2.505 (1.676- 3.746) 1.555 (1.017- 2.378) 2.288 (1.425- 3.672) 3.757 (1.744- 8.094) 1.070 (0.708- 1.616) 2.282 (1.456- 3.578) 4.113 (2.043- 8.278)	OR (95% CI) p 0.759 (0.485- 0.228 1.188) 0.332 (0.641- 1.332 (0.641- 0.443 2.768) 2.905 (1.672- 2.905 (1.672- <0.001	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c } \hline P & \hline OR (95\% \\ CI) & P & \hline OR (95\% \\ CI) & OR (95\% \\ CI) $	

p < 0.05 was considered significant.

diseases, the correlation between plasma sCD36 and these markers (N = 205) were therefore analyzed. We found there was a significant negative correlation between sCD36 and PTA (r = -0.465, p < 0.05), and there exist positive correlation between sCD36 and serum TBil (r=0.200, p<0.05) or INR (r=0.460, p < 0.05; Figures 5A–C). We then analyzed the correlation between plasma sCD36 and prognosis scores in HBV-LC patients. The results showed plasma sCD36 level was significantly associated with the prognostic scores, including CHILD-PUGH (r=0.272, p < 0.05), MELD-Na (r = 0.238, p < 0.05) and MELD (r = 0.253, p < 0.05) scores in these patients (Figures 5D–F).

Correlation between plasma sCD36 levels and monocyte or platelet CD36 expression

We also attempted to explain the source of plasma sCD36 in this study. In HBV-infected patients (N=205), the correlation between plasma sCD36 and BMI was analyzed. The mean BMI

plasma sCD36, MELD and their combination for discriminating HBV-LC (NO LF) from HBV-LC (NO LF): The Youden index was used to maximize the potential effectiveness of the biomarkers. AUC: area under the curve

Plasma sCD36 was correlated with the prognosis of HBV-related liver diseases

Then, we explored whether CD36 could serve as a prognostic indicator for HBV-infected patients. As PTA, INR and TBil were common markers for assessing prognosis in HBV-related liver



and sCD36 levels were $22.8 \pm 3.2 \text{ kg/m2}$, $1.1 \pm 0.7 \text{ ng/ml}$, respectively, and no significant correlation between plasma sCD36 and BMI in these patients was found (r = -0.045, p > 0.05; Figure 6A). We then analyzed the correlation between plasma sCD36 and monocyte or platelet CD36 expression in HBV-infected patients (N=59). We found there was a moderate correlation between plasma sCD36 and monocyte CD36 expression (r = 0.333, p < 0.05), and a weak correlation between sCD36 and platelet CD36 expression (r = 0.368, p < 0.05; Figures 6B,C). These results suggested that sCD36 may be partially derived from the shedding of CD36 protein on the surface of platelets and monocytes.

Discussion

CD36, identified as a class B scavenger receptor, also acts as a fatty acid transporter, therefore, it participated in both lipid metabolism and inflammation. The role of CD36 in liver disease has been recognized recently. CD36 is a central regulator in the development of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (Zhao et al., 2018), and meanwhile, it plays an important role in the progression of liver cancer (Li et al., 2018). Our previous *in vitro* study has suggested CD36 was a positive regulator of HBV replication (Huang et al., 2017). Then, in this study we further investigated the clinical significance of CD36 in the progress of HBV-related liver diseases. We found a step-wise increase of sCD36 with the

progression of chronic HBV infection from chronic hepatitis to cirrhosis and ultimately to liver failure, and we demonstrated sCD36 could serve as a noninvasive biomarker for predicting liver failure and prognosis in patients with HBV infection.

Chronic HBV infection is one of the most common chronic liver diseases with high morbidity and mortality. Accurate prediction for progression and prognosis of patients with chronic HBV infection is very important to choose appropriate management strategies, and detecting novel serum biomarkers associated with HBV-related liver diseases is critical to develop new diagnostic strategies. In this study, it was found that plasma sCD36 gradually increased with the severity of HBV-infected liver disease, and it could be used to predict liver failure in patients with liver cirrhosis. Firstly, we compared the differences of plasma sCD36 levels among different chronic HBV infected stages. It showed that the sCD36 levels were increased with the development of CHB to cirrhosis, and ultimately to liver failure. Secondly, correlation analysis demonstrated sCD36 levels were not related to serum HBV DNA load, but correlated with liver function parameters. Specifically, plasma sCD36 level was negatively correlated with serum albumin, platelets, and PTA, and positively related to total TBil. Thirdly, the ROC curve analysis indicated plasma sCD36 can be used to distinguish liver failure in patients with liver cirrhosis. And upon multivariate analysis, it was found that elevated sCD36 could serve as an effective independent predictor for liver failure in HBV-LC patients. Finally, we confirmed a correlation between



sCD36 and MELD, MELD-Na, CHILD-PUGH scores in patients with HBV-LC. Altogether, we discovered there was a correlation between circulating sCD36 and the severity of HBV-related liver diseases, and sCD36 could be used to predict a poor prognosis for patients with HBV-LC.

As CD36 expression on platelets and monocytes contribute to a significant increase of sCD36 in many diseases and are involved in disease progression (Ockenhouse et al., 1989; Sampson et al., 2003; Liani et al., 2012), we also examined the expression of CD36 on platelets or monocytes. We found CD36 expression on platelets and monocytes in patients with HBV-LC were increased in comparison with that in CHB and healthy patients. However, platelets and monocyte CD36 expression were lower in HBV-LC patients with liver failure compared with those without. Although CD36 expression on platelets or monocytes exhibited significant correlations with some laboratory indices, such as albumin, serum cholinesterase, platelets and PTA, no significant correlations were found between platelets or monocyte CD36 expression and MELD, MELD-Na, CHILD-PUGH scores (data not shown). These results suggested that CD36 on platelets or monocytes may be partly involved in the development of HBV infection, but they are not ideal indicators for liver failure or prognosis. However, our results may be limited by sample size, and it is necessary to be verified in further studies.

Available knowledge suggests that sCD36 circulates in microvesicles in blood (Alkhatatbeh et al., 2011) and mainly sheds from platelets in healthy subjects (Gustafson et al., 2015). However, the correlation of sCD36 with CD36 expression on platelets was relatively weak. Notably, while the platelet counts in the HBV-LC (LF) group was the lowest, the sCD36 concentration was the highest among all groups, which implies the platelet CD36 is not the main source of plasma sCD36, especially in the HBV-LC (LF) group. Although a moderate correlation was observed between sCD36 and monocyte CD36 expression, CD36 expression on monocytes was not remarkably related to liver disease severity. Therefore, other cells may also contribute to the increase of sCD36 in circulation under pathological conditions. Several lines of evidence also demonstrated a significant positive correlation between sCD36 levels and hepatic CD36 mRNA and protein expression in NAFLD (Heeboll et al., 2017), suggesting circulating sCD36 may be derived from hepatocytes. In fact, it was found HBX protein can induce gene expression of CD36 in liver cells (Kim et al., 2007), and CD36 expression in HBV-replicating liver cell line is higher compared with original cell lines (Huang et al., 2016). On the other hand, there is evidence verifying that sCD36 levels are associated with CD36 expression on Kupffer cells in hepatitis C virus-related chronic liver diseases (Himoto et al., 2013). The Kupffer cells were gradually activated with progression of chronic HBV infection (Kazankov et al., 2014; Gronbaek et al., 2016). And activation of macrophage was shown to upregulate CD36 expression in vitro (Chavez-Sanchez et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2015; Liang et al., 2016). Furthermore, in hyperlipidemia, it was demonstrated that multiple cell types produced sCD36, particularly with a strong contribution from endothelial cells (Biswas et al., 2021). Therefore, we speculated that a considerable source of plasma sCD36 might be derived from hepatocytes, Kupffer cells and endothelial cells. Altogether, it could be speculated that circulating sCD36 might be derived from

multiple cell types in HBV-infected patients in this study. Further studies are required to clarify the sources of sCD36, as well as to elucidate the mechanisms of CD36 involved in HBV-related liver diseases.

Recently, noninvasive liver disease assessments in CHB patients have significantly progressed as several indices, scores or models derived from certain serum biomarkers or their combinations were brought up (Wu and Mao, 2020). These noninvasive indicators, including APRI, FIB-4, homocysteine (Hcy) and others, were proposed to be used in HBV-related liver diseases, such as CHB, HBV-ACLF and HBV-LC. For instance, APRI and FIB-4 were reported to predict liver fibrosis with a high accuracy in CHB patients (Li J. et al., 2015). Different from APRI or FIB-4, sCD36 was proved to be able to predict the liver failure and prognosis for patients with HBV-LC. Therefore, sCD36 as a biomarker had enriched the noninvasive liver disease assessments. On the other hand, as the results revealed sCD36 level did not corrected with serum HBV DNA load, but corrected with some liver function parameters, sCD36 probably work resemble Hcy, which might alter with liver damage since liver played an important role in its synthesis and metabolism (Ventura et al., 2005). And this could also explain why sCD36 level did not corrected with serum HBV DNA load. In addition, the weak correlation between sCD36 level with monocyte/ platelet CD36 expression implied a considerable source of plasma sCD36 might be derived from other cell types, such as hepatocytes, Kupffer cells and endothelial cells. Thus, it was speculated that the plasma sCD36 level may represent the faulty of liver rather than the infection degree of HBV. Whereas, this speculation required to be further confirmed by elaborated investigation, which would be our next step after this work. Afterall, there are several advantages of sCD36 as a biomarker for HBV-related disease, which include: firstly, it is noninvasive, which makes the diagnosis easier and low-cost; secondly, the plasma level of sCD36 would help diagnosing different stage of HBV-related liver diseases, especially for predicting liver failure in HBV-related liver cirrhosis patients; thirdly, plasma sCD36 level could be used to predict the prognosis for HBV-infected patients.

In conclusion, we found a step-wise increase of sCD36 with the progression of chronic HBV infection from chronic hepatitis to cirrhosis and ultimately to liver failure, and plasma sCD36 could serve as a noninvasive biomarker for predicting liver failure and poor prognosis in patients with HBV infection. Therefore, plasma sCD36 may be combined with other biomarkers or techniques in clinic for prediction of HBV-related cirrhosis with liver failure in the future.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary material; further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding authors.

Ethics statement

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by Ethical Committee of the second affiliated hospital of Chongqing medical university (certificate no. 202011). The patients/participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study. Written informed consent was obtained from the individual(s) for the publication of any potentially identifiable images or data included in this article.

Author contributions

CC, AX, XL, EZ, YL, YL, and SZ performed the experiments. CC and AX analyzed the data, prepared figures, and contributed to the drafting of the manuscript. YC, PY, and ZT supervised this work and edited and revised manuscript. PY, ZT, and ZZ initiated the project, design the experiment, and approved the final version of manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Funding

This research was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (82202712), the General Program of Chongqing Natural Science Foundation (cstc2021jcyj-msxmX0061), the Chongqing Research Program of Basic Research and Frontier Technology (cstc2020jcyj-msxmX0205).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb. 2022.1039614/full#supplementary-material

References

Alkhatatbeh, M. J., Mhaidat, N. M., Enjeti, A. K., Lincz, L. F., and Thorne, R. F. (2011). The putative diabetic plasma marker, soluble CD36, is non-cleaved, non-soluble and entirely associated with microparticles. *J. Thromb. Haemost.* 9, 844–851. doi: 10.1111/j.1538-7836.2011.04220.x

Baranova, I. N., Kurlander, R., Bocharov, A. V., Vishnyakova, T. G., Chen, Z., Remaley, A. T., et al. (2008). Role of human CD36 in bacterial recognition, phagocytosis, and pathogen-induced JNK-mediated signaling. *J. Immunol.* 181, 7147–7156. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.181.10.7147

Bernal, W., Jalan, R., Quaglia, A., Simpson, K., Wendon, J., and Burroughs, A. (2015). Acute-on-chronic liver failure. *Lancet* 386, 1576–1587. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(15)00309-8

Biswas, S., Gao, D., Altemus, J. B., Rekhi, U. R., and Podrez, E. A. (2021). Circulating CD36 is increased in hyperlipidemic mice: cellular sources and triggers of release. *Free Radic. Biol. Med.* 168, 180–188. doi: 10.1016/j.freeradbiomed. 2021.03.004

Buechler, C., and Aslanidis, C. (1865). Role of lipids in pathophysiology, diagnosis and therapy of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA)* -*Molecular and Cell Biology of Lipids* 1865:158658. doi: 10.1016/j.bbalip.2020.158658

Chavez-Sanchez, L., Garza-Reyes, M. G., Espinosa-Luna, J. E., Chavez-Rueda, K., Legorreta-Haquet, M. V., and Blanco-Favela, F. (2014). The role of TLR2, TLR4 and CD36 in macrophage activation and foam cell formation in response to ox LDL in humans. *Hum. Immunol.* 75, 322–329. doi: 10.1016/j.humimm.2014.01.012

Chen, S., Yin, P., Zhao, X., Xing, W., Hu, C., Zhou, L., et al. (2013). Serum lipid profiling of patients with chronic hepatitis B, cirrhosis, and hepatocellular carcinoma by ultra fast LC/IT-TOF MS. *Electrophoresis* 34, 2848–2856. doi: 10.1002/elps.201200629

Cheng, J. J., Li, J. R., Huang, M. H., Ma, L. L., Wu, Z. Y., Jiang, C. C., et al. (2016). CD36 is a co-receptor for hepatitis C virus E1 protein attachment. *Sci. Rep.* 6:21808. doi: 10.1038/srep21808

Chinese Society of Infectious Diseases, C.M.A., and Chinese Society of Hepatology, C.M.A (2019). The guidelines of prevention and treatment for chronic hepatitis B (2019 version). *Zhonghua Gan Zang Bing Za Zhi* 27, 962–979. doi: 10.3760/cma.j.issn.1007-3418.2019.12.008

Claria, J., Stauber, R. E., Coenraad, M. J., Moreau, R., Jalan, R., Pavesi, M., et al. (2016). Systemic inflammation in decompensated cirrhosis: characterization and role in acute-on-chronic liver failure. *Hepatology* 64, 1249–1264. doi: 10.1002/hep.28740

Fattovich, G., Bortolotti, F., and Donato, F. (2008). Natural history of chronic hepatitis B: special emphasis on disease progression and prognostic factors. *J. Hepatol.* 48, 335–352. doi: 10.1016/j.jhep.2007.11.011

Gronbaek, H., Rodgaard-Hansen, S., Aagaard, N. K., Arroyo, V., Moestrup, S. K., Garcia, E., et al. (2016). Macrophage activation markers predict mortality in patients with liver cirrhosis without or with acute-on-chronic liver failure (ACLF). *J. Hepatol.* 64, 813–822. doi: 10.1016/j.jhep.2015.11.021

Gustafson, C. M., Shepherd, A. J., Miller, V. M., and Jayachandran, M. (2015). Age- and sex-specific differences in blood-borne microvesicles from apparently healthy humans. *Biol. Sex Differ.* 6:10. doi: 10.1186/s13293-015-0028-8

Handberg, A., Hojlund, K., Gastaldelli, A., Flyvbjerg, A., Dekker, J. M., Petrie, J., et al. (2012). Plasma sCD36 is associated with markers of atherosclerosis, insulin resistance and fatty liver in a nondiabetic healthy population. *J. Intern. Med.* 271, 294–304. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2796.2011.02442.x

Heeboll, S., Poulsen, M. K., Ornstrup, M. J., Kjaer, T. N., Pedersen, S. B., Nielsen, S., et al. (2017). Circulating sCD36 levels in patients with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease and controls. *Int. J. Obes.* 41, 262–267. doi: 10.1038/ijo.2016.223

Himoto, T., Tani, J., Miyoshi, H., Morishita, A., Yoneyama, H., Kurokohchi, K., et al. (2013). Investigation of the factors associated with circulating soluble CD36 levels in patients with HCV-related chronic liver disease. *Diabetol. Metab. Syndr.* 5:51. doi: 10.1186/1758-5996-5-51

Huang, J., Zhao, L., Yang, P., Chen, Z., Ruan, X. Z., Huang, A., et al. (2017). Fatty acid translocase promoted hepatitis B virus replication by upregulating the levels of hepatic cytosolic calcium. *Exp. Cell Res.* 358, 360–368. doi: 10.1016/j. yexcr.2017.07.012

Huang, J., Zhao, L., Yang, P., Chen, Z., Tang, N., X, Z. R., et al. (2016). Genomewide transcriptome analysis of CD36 overexpression in Hep G2.2.15 cells to explore its regulatory role in metabolism and the hepatitis B virus life cycle. *PLoS One* 11:e0164787. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0164787

Kazankov, K., Barrera, F., Moller, H. J., Bibby, B. M., Vilstrup, H., George, J., et al. (2014). Soluble CD163, a macrophage activation marker, is independently associated with fibrosis in patients with chronic viral hepatitis B and C. *Hepatology* 60, 521–530. doi: 10.1002/hep.27129

Kim, K. H., Shin, H. J., Kim, K., Choi, H. M., Rhee, S. H., Moon, H. B., et al. (2007). Hepatitis B virus X protein induces hepatic steatosis via transcriptional

activation of SREBP1 and PPARgamma. Gastroenterology 132, 1955-1967. doi: 10.1053/j.gastro.2007.03.039

Li, J., Gordon, S. C., Rupp, L. B., Zhang, T., Boscarino, J. A., Vijayadeva, V., et al. (2015). The validity of serum markers for fibrosis staging in chronic hepatitis B and C. *J. Viral Hepat.* 21, 930–937. doi: 10.1111/jvh.12224

Li, Q., Wang, C., Wang, Y., Sun, L., Liu, Z., Wang, L., et al. (2018). HSCs-derived COMP drives hepatocellular carcinoma progression by activating MEK/ERK and PI3K/AKT signaling pathways. *J. Exp. Clin. Cancer Res.* 37:231. doi: 10.1186/s13046-018-0908-y

Li, H., Zhu, W., Zhang, L., Lei, H., Wu, X., Guo, L., et al. (2015). The metabolic responses to hepatitis B virus infection shed new light on pathogenesis and targets for treatment. *Sci. Rep.* 5:8421. doi: 10.1038/srep08421

Liang, D. Y., Liu, F., Chen, J. X., He, X. L., Zhou, Y. L., Ge, B. X., et al. (2016). Porphyromonas gingivalis infected macrophages upregulate CD36 expression via ERK/NF-kappa B pathway. *Cell. Signal.* 28, 1292–1303. doi: 10.1016/j.cellsig. 2016.05.017

Liani, R., Halvorsen, B., Sestili, S., Handberg, A., Santilli, F., Vazzana, N., et al. (2012). Plasma levels of soluble CD36, platelet activation, inflammation, and oxidative stress are increased in type 2 diabetic patients. *Free Radic. Biol. Med.* 52, 1318–1324. doi: 10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2012.02.012

Ockenhouse, C. F., Magowan, C., and Chulay, J. D. (1989). Activation of monocytes and platelets by monoclonal antibodies or Malariainfected erythrocytes binding to the CD36 surface receptor in vitro. *J. Clin. Invest.* 84, 468–475. doi: 10.1172/JCI114188

Sampson, M. J., Davies, I. R., Braschi, S., Ivory, K., and Hughes, D. A. (2003). Increased expression of a scavenger receptor (CD36) in monocytes from subjects with type 2 diabetes. *Atherosclerosis* 167, 129–134. doi: 10.1016/ S0021-9150(02)00421-5

Schoeman, J. C., Hou, J., Harms, A. C., Vreeken, R. J., Berger, R., Hankemeier, T., et al. (2016). Metabolic characterization of the natural progression of chronic hepatitis B. *Genome Med.* 8:64. doi: 10.1186/s13073-016-0318-8

Seto, W. K., Lai, C.vL., and Yuen, M.F. (2012). Acute-on-chronic liver failure in chronic hepatitis B. J. Gastroenterol Hepatol. 27, 662–669. doi: 10.1111/j.1440-17 46.2011.06971.x

Shlomai, A., and Shaul, Y. (2008). The "metabolovirus" model of hepatitis B virus suggests nutritional therapy as an effective anti-viral weapon. *Med. Hypotheses* 71, 53–57. doi: 10.1016/j.mehy.2007.08.032

Stewart, C. R., Stuart, L. M., Wilkinson, K., Van Gils, J. M., Deng, J., Halle, A., et al. (2010). CD36 ligands promote sterile inflammation through assembly of a toll-like receptor 4 and 6 heterodimer. *Nat. Immunol.* 11, 155–161. doi: 10.1038/ni.1836

Su, X., and Abumrad, N. A. (2009). Cellular fatty acid uptake: a pathway under construction. *Trends Endocrinol. Metab.* 20, 72–77. doi: 10.1016/j. tem.2008.11.001

Tacke, F. (2012). Functional role of intrahepatic monocyte subsets for the progression of liver inflammation and liver fibrosis in vivo. *Fibrogenesis Tissue Repair* 5, 1–8. doi: 10.1186/1755-1536-5-S1-S27

Tacke, F., and Zimmermann, H. W. (2014). Macrophage heterogeneity in liver injury and fibrosis. *J. Hepatol.* 60, 1090–1096. doi: 10.1016/j.jhep.2013.12.025

Ventura, P., Rosa, M. C., Abbati, G., Marchini, S., Grandone, E., Vergura, P., et al. (2005). Hyperhomocysteinaemia in chronic liver diseases: role of disease stage, vitamin status and methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase genetics. *Liver International* 25, 49–56. doi: 10.1111/j.1478-3231.2005.01042.x

Wu, J., and Mao, W. (2020). Review of serum biomarkers and models derived from them in HBV-related liver diseases. *Dis. Markers* 2020, 1–12. doi: 10.1155/2020/2471252

Zhang, H., Li, H., Yang, Y., Li, S., Ren, H., Zhang, D., et al. (2013). Differential regulation of host genes including hepatic fatty acid synthase in HBV-transgenic mice. *J. Proteome Res.* 12, 2967–2979. doi: 10.1021/pr400247f

Zhang, H., Zhai, Z., Zhou, H., Li, Y., Li, X., Lin, Y., et al. (2015). Puerarin inhibits ox LDL-induced macrophage activation and foam cell formation in human THP1 macrophage. *Biomed. Res. Int.* 2015:403616. doi: 10.1155/2015/403616

Zhao, L., Zhang, C., Luo, X., Wang, P., Zhou, W., Zhong, S., et al. (2018). CD36 palmitoylation disrupts free fatty acid metabolism and promotes tissue inflammation in non-alcoholic steatohepatitis. *J. Hepatol.* 69, 705–717. doi: 10.1016/j. jhep.2018.04.006

Zimmermann, H. W., Seidler, S., Nattermann, J., Gassler, N., Hellerbrand, C., Zernecke, A., et al. (2010). Functional contribution of elevated circulating and hepatic non-classical CD14CD16 monocytes to inflammation and human liver fibrosis. *PLoS One* 5:e11049. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0011049