Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Md. Motaher Hossain, Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujibur Rahman Agricultural University, Bangladesh

REVIEWED BY

Yijuan Ding, Southwest University, China Ananya Prova, Exim Bank Agricultural University Bangladesh (EBAUB), Bangladesh Beixing Li, Shandong Agricultural University, China Chiheb Boudhrioua, Centre de recherche sur les grains (CÉROM), Canada

*CORRESPONDENCE Yunqing Cheng chengyunqing1977@163.com

SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Microbe and Virus Interactions with Plants, a section of the journal Frontiers in Microbiology

RECEIVED 23 August 2022 ACCEPTED 17 October 2022 PUBLISHED 02 November 2022

CITATION

Liu J, Hu X, He H, Zhang X, Guo J, Bai J and Cheng Y (2022) Digital gene expression profiling of the transcriptional response to *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* and its antagonistic bacterium *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* in soybean. *Front. Microbiol.* 13:1025771. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2022.1025771

COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Liu, Hu, He, Zhang, Guo, Bai and Cheng. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Digital gene expression profiling of the transcriptional response to Sclerotinia sclerotiorum and its antagonistic bacterium Bacillus amyloliquefaciens in soybean

Jianfeng Liu¹, Xianwen Hu¹, Hongli He¹, Xingzheng Zhang¹, Jinhua Guo¹, Jing Bai² and Yunqing Cheng ^(D) ^{1*}

¹Jilin Provincial Key Laboratory of Plant Resource Science and Green Production, Jilin Normal University, Siping, Jilin, China, ²College of Food Science and Biology, Hebei University of Science and Technology, Shijiazhuang, Hebei, China

Soybean Sclerotinia stem rot caused by Sclerotinia sclerotiorum is a common disease in soybean, and effective biological control is urgently needed. We have previously confirmed that Bacillus amyloliquefaciens can effectively antagonize S. sclerotiorum in a plate competition experiment and a soybean seedling inoculation experiment. In this study, the mechanisms underlying plant death caused by S. sclerotiorum and soybean resistance to S. sclerotiorum induced by B. amyloliquefaciens were evaluated. The stems of potted soybean seedlings were inoculated with S. sclerotiorum (Gm-Ss), B. amyloliquefaciens (Gm-Ba), and their combination (Gm-Ba-Ss), using scratch treatments as a control, followed by dual RNA sequencing and bioinformatics analyses. Global gene expression levels in the Gm-Ss treatment were much lower than those in the Gm-Ba, Gm-Ba-Ss, and Gm groups, suggesting that S. sclerotiorum strongly inhibited global gene expression in soybean. In a pairwise comparison of Gm-Ss vs. Gm, 19983 differentially expressed genes (DEGs) were identified. Down-regulated DEGs were involved in various KEGG pathways, including ko01110 (biosynthesis of secondary metabolites), ko01100 (metabolic pathways), ko01120 (microbial metabolism in diverse environments), ko00500 (starch and sucrose metabolism), and ko04075 (plant hormone signal transmission), suggesting that S. sclerotiorum inoculation had a serious negative effect on soybean metabolism. In Gm-Ba vs. Gm, 13091 DEGs were identified, and these DEGs were significantly enriched in ko03010 (ribosome) and ko03008 (ribosome biogenesis in eucaryotes). Our results suggest that B. amyloliquefaciens increases the expression of genes encoding the ribosomal subunit, promotes cell wall biogenesis, and induces systemic resistance. S. sclerotiorum strongly inhibited metabolism in soybean, inhibited the synthesis of the cytoskeleton, and induced the up-regulation of programmed death and senescence-related genes via an ethylene signal transduction pathway. These results improve our understanding of *S. sclerotiorum*-induced plant death and soybean resistance to *S. sclerotiorum* induced by *B. amyloliquefaciens* and may contribute to the improvement of strategies to avoid yield losses.

KEYWORDS

soybean, dual RNA sequencing, Sclerotinia sclerotiorum, Bacillus amyloliquefaciens, antagonism

Introduction

Bacillus amyloliquefaciens is one of the most important biocontrol strains; it secretes proteins, lipopeptides, and secondary metabolites that inhibit the growth of plant pathogens. Two main kinds of antibacterial substances are secreted by B. amyloliquefaciens, i.e., antibacterial substances synthesized by a ribosomal pathway (such as lipopeptides and antibacterial protein β -1,3-glucanase) and fatty substances synthesized by a non-ribosomal pathway (including surfactin, iturin, and fengycin) (Arguelles-Arias et al., 2009; Chen et al., 2009; Arrebola et al., 2010; Salazar et al., 2017). These antibacterial substances can degrade the mycelium of pathogenic fungi (Liao et al., 2016), inhibit mycelial growth and the formation of sclerotia (Chen et al., 2005), and inhibit spore proliferation (Li et al., 2015). Thus, B. amyloliquefaciens can antagonize the growth of many plant pathogens, including but not limited to Fusarium solani (Kim Y. G. et al., 2015), Erwinia amylovora (Xie et al., 2017), Phytophthora capsica (Bhusal and Mmbaga, 2020), Sclerotinia sclerotiorum (Cheng et al., 2022), Xanthomonas oryzae pv. oryzae (Xie et al., 2017), Aeromonas hydrophila (Li et al., 2021, 2016), and Fusarium oxysporum (Kim D. et al., 2015). In addition to inhibiting the growth and reproduction of pathogenic microorganisms, B. amyloliquefaciens can induce and strengthen the systemic resistance of plants, thereby conferring protection against pathogenic microorganisms. B. amyloliquefaciens FZB42 can promote plant growth and enhance the defense response in Arabidopsis (Fan et al., 2012; Chowdhury et al., 2015). This strain can also induce systemic resistance via a jasmonic acid-dependent signaling pathway (Xie et al., 2017). B. amyloliquefaciens is characterized by strong tolerance and broad antimicrobial activity (Li et al., 2016). It exerts an efficient and broad-spectrum inhibitory effect on the growth and development of pathogenic fungi (Kang et al., 2020). Therefore, probiotic B. amyloliquefaciens plays an important role in plant disease control (Zhao, 2021).

Sclerotinia sclerotiorum can survive in soil for up to 5-8 years and causes Soybean Sclerotinia stem rot, a major soil-borne disease, restricting soybean production (Clarkson et al., 2003; Zhao et al., 2006; Zhang et al., 2008; Calla et al., 2009). S. sclerotiorum mainly infects soybean plants

at the seedling, flowering, and pod setting stages, resulting in serious wilting, the decay of leaves and pods, and a sharp decline in yield (Calla et al., 2009). The antagonistic effects of Bacillus subtilis (Zhang et al., 2006), mycoviruses (Zhang et al., 2020), Coniothyrium minitans (Gao et al., 2006; Mcquilken et al., 2010; Zeng et al., 2012), Bacillus thuringiensis (Wang et al., 2020), Trichoderma asperelloides (Sumida et al., 2018; de Rezende et al., 2020), B. amyloliquefaciens (Chen et al., 2005), and S. sclerotiorum hypovirulence-associated DNA virus 1 (Kraberger et al., 2013; Qu et al., 2021) on S. sclerotiorum have been studied with the aim of developing an effective biocontrol agent against S. sclerotiorum. In a plate assay, the extracellular broth of B. amyloliquefaciens could strongly inhibit the growth of S. sclerotiorum. After S. sclerotiorum was inoculated into the stems of soybean seedlings (by wrapping the cut in plastic cling film for retaining moisture at 20-25°C), the aboveground parts withered completely within 3-5 days; B. amyloliquefaciens was not pathogenic to soybean and strongly inhibited the growth of S. sclerotiorum. A transcriptome analysis further showed that B. amyloliquefaciens could strongly inhibit gene expression in S. sclerotiorum inoculated in soybean seedlings. B. amyloliquefaciens inhibits S. sclerotiorum growth by altering the expression of ribosomal genes, resulting in the inhibition of protein synthesis in S. sclerotiorum. These findings provided new insights into the mechanisms by which B. amyloliquefaciens antagonizes pathogenic microorganisms. However, there is no germplasm that can resist S. sclerotiorum completely in soybean. Williams 82 is a soybean variety sensitive to S. sclerotiorum (Calla et al., 2009). In the presence of B. amyloliquefaciens, our previous studies have confirmed that Williams 82 seedlings are completely resistant to S. sclerotiorum. There are two potential explanations for this resistance. It is possible that the antibacterial components of B. amylolyticus can inhibit the growth of S. sclerotiorum. Alternatively, B. amyloliquefaciens can alter the expression of soybean resistance genes and thereby enhance resistance to S. sclerotiorum. A series of pathogenic factors of S. sclerotiorum have been identified, including oxalic acid (Kim et al., 2008), cell wall-degrading enzymes (Pan et al., 2015), and polygalacturonases (Fraissinet-Tachet et al., 1995). However, genes involved in soybean resistance to S. sclerotiorum and the pathogenic mechanism are still largely unresolved.

To clarify the interactions between soybean, *B. amyloliquefaciens*, and *S. sclerotiorum*, we used dual RNA-seq technology to analyze gene expression changes in soybean seedling after inoculation with *S. sclerotiorum* alone, *B. amyloliquefaciens* alone, or their mixture. Our results provide insight into the pathogenic mechanism of *S. sclerotiorum* on soybean and genes involved in soybean resistance to *S. sclerotiorum*.

Materials and methods

Experimental microbial strains

The experimental microorganisms used in present study was from China General Microbiological Culture Collection Center (CGMCC), including *S. sclerotiorum* (NO 3.7083) and our patented strain *B. amyloliquefaciens* (NO 20507). Before inoculation in soybean seedling, these strains were cultured and activated in potato dextrose agar (PDA) medium at 28° C.

Inoculation treatments of soybean seedling stems

Seeds were sown in plastic pots and cultured in an artificial climate chamber. Then, 40 seedlings of *Glycine max*

cultivar Williams 82 (w82) with uniform growth were used for inoculation experiments. At the V4 stage described by Fehr and Caviness (1977), the stems of soybean seedlings were inoculated with S. sclerotiorum (referred to as Gm-Ss), B. amyloliquefaciens (Gm-Ba), and a mixture of S. sclerotiorum and B. amyloliquefaciens (Gm-Ba-Ss), and scratch treatments were used as the control (Gm). The site of inoculation or scratch was in the middle of the two lowest nodes. On the second day after inoculation, seedling leaves of Gm-Ss began to wilt and showed obvious symptoms. On days 3 and 5 after inoculation, seedling lodging of Gm-Ss was obvious, and seedlings of the other three treatments showed no obvious symptoms (Figure 1). Based on these results, a 2 cm segment of the stem surrounding the inoculation site was obtained for RNA extraction. There were three biological replicates in each treatment, and three soybean stem segments were included in each replicate. The biological samples used in this study were the same as those used in a previous study (Cheng et al., 2022), and the cultivation of soybean seedlings, preparation of inoculated microorganisms, and inoculation methods have been described in detail (Cheng et al., 2022).

cDNA library preparation and sequencing

To explore the interactions between soybean and *B. amyloliquefaciens* and between soybean and *S. sclerotiorum*,



FIGURE 1

Potted *G. max* seedling inoculated with *B. amyloliquefaciens, S. sclerotiorum*, and their mixture. Ba, Ss, and Ss-Ba indicated that the stem of soybean seedling was inoculated with *B. amyloliquefaciens, S. sclerotiorum*, and both microorganisms, respectively. Ck, scratch treatments. The words of 1, 2, 3, and 5 d on the left and right of the picture indicate the days after inoculation.

12 digital gene expression (DGE) profiling libraries were constructed for Gm-Ss, Gm-Ba, Gm-Ba-Ss, and Gm (three replicates each). The RNA EasySpin Isolation System (Aidlab Biotech, Beijing, China) was used to perform total RNA extraction from 12 biological samples. Electrophoresis on a 1% agarose gel was used to evaluate RNA degradation and contamination of total RNA. A NanoPhotometer (IMPLEN, CA, USA) was used to assess the purity of RNA. A total amount of 2 µg of RNA was pretreated using the RNA Nano 6000 Assay Kit (Agilent Technologies, CA, USA) following the manufacturer's instructions, followed by an assessment of RNA integrity using the Bioanalyzer 2100 system (Agilent Technologies, CA, USA). To obtain sequencing libraries, the RNA samples were pretreated using the NEBNext Ultra RNA Library Prep Kit for Illumina (Illumina, Inc., USA) following the manufacturer's instructions. The main processing flow is as follows. First, the Ribo-Zero rRNA Removal Kit was used to eliminate rRNA to obtain purified mRNA, followed by fragmentation using divalent cations in NEBNext First Strand Synthesis Reaction Buffer under high-temperature conditions. RNase H and random hexamer primers were used to synthesize the first-strand cDNA, followed by secondstrand cDNA synthesis using dNTPs, DNA polymerase I, and RNase H. AMPure XP beads were used to purify the cDNA libraries. The libraries were subjected to elution with EB buffer, terminal repairing, A-tailing, and adapter addition, in sequence, and agarose gel electrophoresis was performed to retrieve the products. Second-strand cDNA was digested with UNG followed by PCR. Then, preliminary quantification of cDNA libraries was carried out using Qubit4.0, and the libraries were diluted to 1.0 ng/ μ L. Finally, the quality of the cDNA library was evaluated using the Bioanalyzer 2100 system (Agilent Technologies, CA, USA). The Illumina NovaSeq6000 platform was used to perform PE150 (double-terminal 150 bp) sequencing of the 12 cDNA libraries.

Bioinformatics analysis of dual RNA-seq data

To obtain clean reads, cutadapt (v2.7)¹ was used to filter reads including adapters, reads with quality value < Q20, reads containing N > 10%, and reads with length < 25 bp. Samples from Gm-Ss, Gm-Ba, and Gm-Ba-Ss treatments included RNA from *S. sclerotiorum* and *B. amyloliquefaciens* in addition to soybean RNA. Reads from *S. sclerotiorum* or *B. amyloliquefaciens* were filtered out to avoid background interference, and only sequences from *G. max* were retained for further bioinformatics analyses. To remove reads from *S. sclerotiorum* or *B. amyloliquefaciens* in the Gm-Ba-Ss treatment, the data were aligned to the reference genome of *B. amyloliquefaciens*² and the matched sequences were removed. Then, the remaining data were aligned to the reference genome of S. sclerotiorum³ and the matched sequences were removed. Finally, the remaining data were aligned to the reference genome of G. max^4 and the matched sequences belonging to G. max were subjected to further bioinformatics analyses. HISAT2 was used to generate sequence alignments and for sequence removal (Kim Y. G. et al., 2015). The remaining mapped reads were assembled using Stringtie (v1.3.3b) (Pertea et al., 2015). For transcript annotation, the soybean coding sequences (CDS) and corresponding amino acid sequences were predicted using TransDecoder (r201311110). Then, transcript CDS and amino acid sequences were aligned to the Pfam (protein family) database using Hmmer (v3.3.2) to obtain protein domain classification information. Finally, the transcripts were searched against NR, string, SwissProt, and KEGG to obtain annotation information using diamond (v2.0.8). The COG and GO annotations were parsed from the string and SwissProt annotations, respectively. According to the alignment results obtained using HISAT2, Stringtie (v1.3.3b) was used to calculate the FPKM (fragments per kilobase of transcript per million fragments mapped) value of each gene (Pertea et al., 2015). Differentially expressed genes (DEGs) were identified using DESeq2 (Love et al., 2014), setting $|\log_2 FoldChange| > 1$ and a false discovery rate (FDR) < 0.05 as thresholds. FoldChange in the formula is the ratio of FPKM values in the pairwise comparison, and FDR is the adjusted p-value. Heatmaps showing DEGs FPKM values were generated using HeatMap Illustrator in TBtools (v1.098726) by normalized scale method. DEGs were evaluated by KEGG pathway and GO enrichment analyses, as previously described (Tan and Lenhard, 2016), setting p < 0.05 and FDR < 0.05 as thresholds for significant enrichment. The position and direction of change (up-regulation or down-regulation) of DEGs in the metabolic pathway were visually analyzed using iPath3.05 (Letunic et al., 2008).

qRT-PCR verification

To verify the reliability of the dual RNA-seq data, six genes were randomly selected for further qRT-PCR, and soybean *Actin* was used as an internal reference gene. Total RNA was extracted from 12 soybean stem samples for qRT-PCR using the RNA EasySpin Isolation System (Aidlab Biotech, Beijing,

¹ https://cutadapt.readthedocs.io/en/v2.7/

² https://ftp.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genomes/all/GCF/000/242/855/GCF_ 000242855.2_ASM24285v2

³ https://ftp.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genomes/all/GCF/000/146/945/GCF_ 000146945.2_ASM14694v2/

⁴ https://www.soybase.org/GlycineBlastPages/blast_descriptions. php#Wm82.a4.v1.genome.nt

⁵ http://pathways.embl.de

China), following the manufacturer's instructions. The samples were the same as those used for the dual RNA-seq analysis. PCR primers were designed using Primer Premier 5. The primer sequence information is provided in **Supplementary Table 1**. The parameters for PCR were set as described previously (Liu et al., 2021). The $2^{-\Delta \Delta Ct}$ method was used to calculate the expression differences (Schmittgen and Livak, 2008).

Results

RNA-seq, data quality control, and read alignment

Dual RNA-seq of 12 biological samples from four treatments generated 1,197.74 million raw reads. In total, 1,197.02 million clean reads were obtained after data filtering, covering a length of 169,513,862,836 bp with an average read length of 141.61 bp. The average Q20 value of all reads was 97.73% (Supplementary Table 2). The clean data were aligned to the soybean genome for transcript annotation. In four treatments, and the mapped read ratios for Gm-Ba, Gm-Ba-Ss, and Gm ranged from 93.21 to 96.39%, while those of Gm-Ss ranged from 24.43 to 25.70%, suggesting that rapid S. sclerotiorum reproduction resulted in a dramatic decrease in soybean RNA levels in Gm-Ss treatments. For Gm-Ba, Gm-Ba-Ss, and Gm, 89.63-93.76% clean reads could be uniquely mapped to the soybean reference genome, which was much higher than values of 21.52-22.66% of uniquely mapped clean reads obtained in the Gm-Ss treatments (Supplementary Table 3). We annotated all genes using six databases, namely NR, SwissProt, Pfam, STRING, GO, and



inoculated with *B. amyloliquefaciens*; Gm-Ss, *G. max* seedling inoculated with *S. sclerotiorum*; Gm-Ba-Ss, *G. max* seedling inoculated with *B. amyloliquefaciens* and *S. sclerotiorum*: Gm. control. scratch treatment.

KEGG and obtained annotation information for 52,872 genes (Supplementary Table 4).

Comparison of global gene expression levels

For the Gm, Gm-Ba, and Gm-Ba-Ss treatments, similar numbers of genes were expressed (i.e., 43694, 44446, and 44574 genes, respectively) (**Supplementary Table 5**). In Gm-Ss, 38556 genes were expressed, which was fewer than estimates in the other three treatments (**Supplementary Table 5**). To compare gene expression differences at the global level, we drew a violin map representing the transcript levels in each sample (**Figure 1**). The global gene expression levels of the three biological samples from the Gm-Ss treatment were much lower than those of the Gm-Ba, Gm-Ba-Ss, and Gm treatments (**Figure 2**), suggesting that *S. sclerotiorum* inhibits global gene expression in soybean.

Differentially expressed genes in paired comparisons

We identified all DEGs in five pairwise comparisons (listed in Supplementary Tables 6-10). In Gm-Ba vs. Gm, Gm-Ba-Ss vs. Gm, and Gm-Ss vs. Gm, 13091, 17199, and 19983 DEGs were identified, respectively (Figure 3A). The most DEGs were identified in Gm-Ss vs. Gm, consistent with the obvious morphological changes caused by inoculation with S. sclerotiorum alone. In addition, 11732 down-regulated genes and 8251 up-regulated genes were identified in Gm-Ss vs. Gm, and the number of down-regulated genes was 1.42 times the number of up-regulated genes, indicating that S. sclerotiorum inoculation significantly inhibited the expression of soybean genes, consistent with the results shown in Figure 2. In Gm-Ba-Ss vs. Gm-Ba and Gm-Ba-Ss vs. Gm-Ss, 6675 and 9831 DEGs were identified, respectively (Figure 3A). The number of up-regulated genes was much higher than the number of down-regulated genes. This showed that compared with B. amyloliquefaciens and S. sclerotiorum inoculation alone, mixed inoculation with B. amyloliquefaciens and S. sclerotiorum was more conducive to promoting the expression of soybean genes.

Differentially expressed genes in the five pairwise comparisons were evaluated to identify unique and common DEGs, as visualized using Venn diagrams. In total, 741, 774, 2256, 146, and 656 unique DEGs were identified in Gm-Ba vs. Gm, Gm-Ba-Ss vs. Gm, Gm-Ss vs. Gm, Gm-Ba-Ss vs. Gm-Ba, and Gm-Ba-Ss vs. Gm-Ss, respectively (Figure 3B). There were far more unique DEGs in Gm-Ss vs. Gm than in the other four pairwise comparisons. Furthermore, 1335 common DEGs in the five paired comparisons were identified (Figure 3B).



Gene ontology enrichment of differentially expressed genes from different paired comparisons

To explore the possible functions of DEGs in different paired comparisons, a GO enrichment analysis was performed. The significantly enriched GO terms in the five comparisons are listed in **Supplementary Tables 11–15**. All DEGs were assigned to terms in three GO categories (i.e., cellular components, biological processes, and molecular functions).

For Gm-Ss vs. Gm, the most significantly enriched GO terms in the biological process category were GO:0010033 (response to organic substance) and GO:0042221 (response to chemical), followed by GO:0050896 (response to stimulus), GO:0015979 (photosynthesis), and GO:0010200 (response to chitin) (Figure 4A). The most significantly enriched GO terms in the cellular components category were GO:0005886 (plasma membrane), GO:0016021 (integral component of membrane), and GO:0031224 (intrinsic component of membrane) (Figure 4A); the most significantly enriched GO terms in the molecular functions category were GO:0140096 (catalytic activity, on a protein) GO:0003824 acting and (catalytic activity), followed by GO:0004672 (protein kinase activity), GO:0016301 (kinase activity), and GO:0016773 (phosphotransferase activity, alcohol group as acceptor) (Figure 4A).

For Gm-Ba vs. Gm, the most significantly enriched GO terms in the biological process category were GO:1901566 (organonitrogen compound biosynthetic process) and

GO:0006412 (translation), followed by GO:0043043 (peptide biosynthetic process), GO:0006518 (peptide metabolic process), and GO:0043604 (amide biosynthetic process) (**Figure 4B**). The most significantly enriched GO terms in the cellular components category were GO:0009507 (chloroplast), GO:0009536 (plastid), GO:0043228 (non-membrane-bounded organelle), GO:0043232 (intracellular non-membrane-bounded organelle), and GO:0005840 (ribosome) (**Figure 4B**). The most significantly enriched GO terms in the molecular functions category were GO:0016491 (oxidoreductase activity), GO:0003735 (structural constituent of ribosome), GO:0005198 (structural molecule activity), GO:0019843 (rRNA binding), and GO:0030515 (snoRNA binding) (**Figure 4B**).

For Gm-Ba-Ss vs. Gm-Ss, the most significantly enriched GO terms in the biological process category were GO:0009832 (plant-type cell wall biogenesis), GO:0010200 (response to chitin), GO:0042546 (cell wall biogenesis), GO:0071669 (planttype cell wall organization or biogenesis), and GO:0009834 (plant-type secondary cell wall biogenesis) (Figure 4C). The most significantly enriched GO terms in the cellular components category were GO:0031225 (anchored component of membrane), GO:0071944 (cell periphery), GO:0005886 (plasma membrane), GO:0009505 (plant-type cell wall), and GO:0030686 (90S preribosome) (Figure 4C). The most significantly enriched GO terms in the molecular functions category were GO:0004672 (protein kinase activity), GO:0004888 (transmembrane signaling receptor activity), GO:0043168 (anion binding), GO:0038023 (signaling receptor activity), and GO:0016773 (phosphotransferase activity, alcohol group as acceptor) (Figure 4C).



FIGURE 4

GO functional and KEGG pathway enrichment analysis of differentially expressed genes (DEGs). DEGs GO functional and KEGG pathway enrichment analysis in paired comparison of Gm-Ss vs. Gm (**A**,**D**), Gm-Ba vs. Gm (**B**,**E**), and Gm-Ba-Ss vs. Gm-Ss (**C**,**F**). The abscissa GeneRatio represents the proportion of DEGs belonging to a GO term and KEGG pathways in all DEGs; The larger the bubble, the more DEGs; Color indicates *P*-value, representing the significance of enrichment. The darker the color, the more significantly enriched the GO term and KEGG pathways. The right color gradient indicates *P*-value.

Kyoto encyclopedia of genes and genomes enrichment of differentially expressed genes from different paired comparisons

All DEGs in the five comparisons were evaluated by a KEGG pathway enrichment analysis. The significantly enriched KEGG pathways are listed in **Supplementary Tables 16–20**. *S. sclerotiorum* had an important impact on soybean metabolism. In Gm-Ss vs. Gm, the most significantly enriched KEGG pathway was ko01110 (Biosynthesis of secondary metabolites), followed by ko01120 (Microbial metabolism in diverse environments), ko01100 (Metabolic pathways), ko01130 (Biosynthesis of antibiotics), ko01200 (Carbon

metabolism), and ko00010 (Glycolysis/Gluconeogenesis). *B. amyloliquefaciens* had an important impact on Genetic Information Processing and metabolism in soybean (Figure 4D). In Gm-Ba vs. Gm, the most significantly enriched KEGG pathway was ko03010 (Ribosome), followed by ko01110 (Biosynthesis of secondary metabolites), ko01200 (Carbon metabolism), ko01120 (Microbial metabolism in diverse environments), and ko03008 (Ribosome biogenesis in eukaryotes) (Figure 4E). *S. sclerotiorum* inoculation alone caused the quick death of soybean seedlings, and its mixture with *B. amyloliquefaciens* did not produce obvious symptoms. In Gm-Ba-Ss vs. Gm-Ss, the most significantly enriched KEGG pathway was ko01110 (Biosynthesis of secondary metabolites), followed by ko00500 (Starch and sucrose metabolism),



Venn diagrams of significantly enriched KEGG pathway. (A) Venn diagrams of significantly enriched KEGG pathway from three pairwise comparisons of Gm-Ba vs. Gm, Gm-Ba-Ss vs. Gm, Gm-Ss vs. Gm. (B) Venn diagrams of significantly enriched KEGG pathway from two pairwise comparisons of Gm-Ba-Ss vs. Gm-Ss and Gm-Ba-Ss vs. Gm-Ba.

08



ko04075 (Plant hormone signal transduction), ko00010 (Glycolysis/Gluconeogenesis), and ko01130 (Biosynthesis of antibiotics) (Figure 4F).

Unique and common significantly enriched KEGG pathways in the five comparisons were evaluated based on Venn diagrams. For Gm-Ba vs. Gm, Gm-Ba-Ss vs. Gm, and Gm-Ss vs. Gm, there were 26 significantly enriched KEGG pathways (Figure 5A). There were eight unique significantly enriched KEGG pathways for DEGs in Gm-Ss vs. Gm, more than two for Gm-Ba vs. Gm and one for Gm-Ba-Ss vs. Gm. DEGs in these three paired comparisons were involved in 11 common pathways, accounting for 42.31% of all significantly enriched KEGG pathways. For DEGs in Gm-Ba-Ss vs. Gm-Ss and Gm-Ba-Ss vs. Gm-Ba, there were 17 significantly enriched KEGG pathways (Figure 5B). Gm-Ba-Ss vs. Gm-Ss and Gm-Ba-Ss vs. Gm-Ba shared 10 common significantly enriched

KEGG pathways. For Gm-Ba-Ss vs. Gm-Ss, there were no unique significantly enriched KEGG pathways. For Gm-Ba-Ss vs. Gm-Ss, there were 17 unique significantly enriched KEGG pathways. Collectively, the above results showed that the effect of *S. sclerotiorum* on soybean gene expression was offset by *B. amyloliquefaciens*.

Important differentially expressed genes involved in plant-microbiome interactions and signaling pathways

Ethylene and salicylic acid (SA) are closely related to the formation of systemic acquired resistance (SAR) in plants (Chen et al., 2020). In this study, a number of DEGs encoding important members of the ethylene and SA signal

transduction pathways were identified (Figure 6A). DEGs encoding members of SA signaling pathways included TGA (e.g., Glyma.19G130200, Glyma.03G127600) and PRs (e.g., Glyma.15G062400, Glyma.09G040400, Glyma.15G079100, Glyma.07G243500, Glyma.17G030000, Glyma.13G233900). The DEGs encoding members of ethylene signaling pathways included ETR (Glyma.20G087000 and Glyma.20G202200), CTR1 (Glyma.19G191600), and EBF1 (Glyma.13G166200, Glyma.17G113900, Glyma.03G162500, Glyma.10G007000, and Glyma.02G006200). In addition, a set of DEGs encoding SAR-related genes including PAL and PPO were expected to be involved in plant-microbiome interactions (see Figure 6A for the most highly expressed genes). Finally, DEGs encoding CSLE1, CESA2, XTH2, XTH23, CCoAOMT, GATL2, and GATL9 are known to be involved in the biosynthesis of cell well components, such as cellulose, lignin, and pectin. Among these, TGA, DRRG49-C, PTI5, CTR1, PAL, SOD, CSLE1, XTH23, and CCoAOMT had much higher FPKM values in the Gm-Ss than those in the Gm-Ba-Ss, Gm-Ba, and Gm treatment groups, suggesting that their expression was promoted by Gm-Ss treatment.

In Gm-Ba vs. Gm, DEGs were significantly enriched in ko03010 (ribosome) and ko03008 (ribosome biogenesis in eucaryotes), and most genes involved in these pathways were up-regulated (Figure 6A; Supplementary Table 17). These DEGs had much higher FPKM values in the Gm-Ba and Gm-Ba-Ss than those in the Gm-Ss and Gm treatment groups. In ko03010, the up-regulated genes encoded small subunit ribosomal proteins S20e/S18e/SAe/S13e and large subunit ribosomal proteins L3e/L34e/L14e/L10e, etc. (Figure 7). Many important members of the ko03008 pathway were up-regulated, including the rRNA gene for 2'-O-methyltransferase fibrillarin, nucleolar protein 56, U3 small nucleolar RNA-associated protein 24, 60S ribosomal export protein NMD3, and ribosome assembly protein 1 (Figure 8). Finally, in Gm-Ba-Ss vs. Gm-Ss, more metabolic pathways tended to be activated in an iPath analysis (Figure 9). The results suggest that B. amyloliquefaciens could activate ribosome and ribosome biogenesis pathways and soybean metabolism, which may promote soybean resistance against S. sclerotiorum.

The FPKM value of DEGs which were possibly related to soybean seedling senescence by *S. sclerotiorum* were showed in **Figure 6B**. Three DEGs encoding oxalyl-CoA synthetase were identified, two of which were highly up-regulated in response to Gm-Ss. In addition, four DEGs encoding PUMP4/5, which regulates dicarboxylic acid transmembrane transporter activity, were identified and were most highly expressed in the Gm-Ss treatment (**Figure 6B**). In Gm-Ss vs. Gm, ethylene and SA signal transduction tended to be activated by the up-regulation of vital DEGs encoding TGA, PR1, ETR, CTR1, and EBF1 (**Figure 6A**), while jasmonic acid (JA) signal transduction tended to be inactivated by the down-regulation of JAR1, COI1, and MYC2 (**Figure 6B**). In our study, 117 up-regulated

DEGs related to senescence and 43 up-regulated DEGs related to programmed cell death were identified. Among these, the most highly up-regulated DEGs involved in the regulation of senescence included DLO1, NHL10, SRG1, WRKY22, and MAPKKK18, and the most highly up-regulated DEGs involved in the regulation of programmed cell death included HXK1 and AMC1. The activation of important components of ethylene signal transduction pathways by *S. sclerotiorum* may further activate genes related to senescence and programmed death, leading to cell collapse and disintegration.

Verification of gene expression changes using qRT-PCR

Six DEGs in the Gm-Ba vs. Gm, Gm-Ss vs. Gm, and Gm-Ba-Ss vs. Gm comparisons were randomly chosen for validation by qRT-PCR. The log₂(Fold Change) values measured by qRT-PCR and dual RNA-seq are shown in Figure 10. In general, the expression differences obtained by qRT-PCR were consistent with those acquired by dual RNA-seq, indicating that the results of dual RNA-seq were generally reliable.

Discussion

Bacillus amyloliquefaciens induced systemic acquired resistance of soybean seedlings to Sclerotinia sclerotiorum via the activation of ribosome biogenesis

Plants are infected by many pathogenic microorganisms during growth and development. They have evolved two immune defense mechanisms: pathogen-associated molecular pattern (PAMP)-triggered immunity (PTI) (Chisholm et al., 2006) and effector-triggered immunity (ETI) (Jones and Dangl, 2006). Both PTI and ETI can trigger hypersensitive responses in local tissues and SAR in distal tissues (Thomma et al., 2011). SAR can be activated by an elevated concentration of SA and beneficial microbes, such as Bacillus spp. (termed induced systemic resistance, ISR) (Lugtenberg and Kamilova, 2009; Chen et al., 2020). The metabolites of B. amyloliquefaciens can be used to control root, leaf, and post-harvest diseases of major cash crops, such as sugarcane, tobacco, and tomato (Liu et al., 2020; Tian et al., 2021; Zhao, 2021). B. amyloliquefaciens can promote crop growth or inhibit pathogens by producing and secreting secondary metabolites (Salazar et al., 2017). Various products of *B. amyloliquefaciens* have established antagonistic effects, including polyenes, lipopeptides, amino acids, nucleic acids, polyketides, and antibacterial proteins (Arguelles-Arias et al., 2009; Chen et al., 2009; Salazar et al., 2017). Disease



FIGURE 7

Up- and down-regulated genes of ko03010 KEGG pathway in paired comparison of Gm-Ba vs. Gm. ko03010, ribosome KEGG pathway. The genes with red or blue border in the figure were differentially expressed genes, where red and blue represents up- and down-regulated genes, respectively.

resistance mainly involves cell structure destruction of the pathogenic bacteria, triggering SAR and inhibiting the growth of pathogenic bacteria (Liao et al., 2016). Surfactin and fengycin secreted by B. amyloliquefaciens can induce SAR in lettuce (Chowdhury et al., 2015). Seedling roots of Oryza sativa 9311 were soaked in a B. amyloliquefaciens FZB42 suspension for 4 h, and 379 DEGs in roots and 719 in leaves were identified by RNA-seq (Xie et al., 2017), suggesting that FZB42 improves plant pathogen resistance by regulating the expression of pathogenesis-related genes and other defense genes as well as by influencing fundamental metabolic pathways (Xie et al., 2017). B. amyloliquefaciens can activate SAR to pathogenic microorganisms causing bacterial pustule through increasing phenols, peroxidase, and 1,3-b-glucanase in soybean plants (Buensanteai et al., 2007; Prathuangwong and Buensanteai, 2007). B. amyloliquefaciens FZB42 inoculation suppresses miR846 expression to induce Arabidopsis ISR via a JAdependent signaling pathway (Xie et al., 2018). Ethylene plays an

important role in the regulation of broad-spectrum resistance of plants to pathogens (Helliwell et al., 2013, 2016). In *Arabidopsis thaliana*, ISR depends on the phytohormones SA and ethylene (Pozo et al., 2008). However, the signaling factors used by *B. amyloliquefaciens* to initiate ISR are unclear.

Salicylic acid is an essential mediator in the SAR signal transduction pathway. Many SAR genes are encoded by pathogenesis-related proteins (PRs) (Zhou et al., 2021). PRs often exert antifungal activity and are thought to be related to the induction of resistance. In this study, the KEGG pathway ko04075 was a significantly enriched in Gm-Ba vs. Gm, and TGA and PR1, important members of the SA signal transduction pathway, were up-regulated, which may lead to the activation this pathway. Consistent with this, various DEGs encoding PRs were also up-regulated, including *DRRG49-C*, *SAM22, STH-2*, and *PTI5* (Figure 6A). In addition, the Gm-Ba treatment activated the ethylene signaling pathway by the up-regulation of *ETR*, *CTR1*, and *ERF1* (Figure 6A). PAL can cause



FIGURE 8

Up- and down-regulated genes of ko03008 KEGG pathway of in paired comparison of Gm-Ba vs. Gm. ko03008, ribosome biogenesis in eucaryotes. The genes with red or blue border in the figure were differentially expressed genes, where red and blue represents up- and down-regulated genes, respectively.



FIGURE 9

Distribution of DEGs on iPath integration metabolic pathway in paired comparison of Gm-Ba-Ss vs. Gm. The red line represents the pathway affected by up-regulated genes, the blue line represents the pathway affected by down-regulated genes, and the yellow line represents the pathway affected by both up-regulated and down-regulated genes.



the production of plant antitoxins and phenolic compounds, and PPO is positively related to plant resistance. In this study, we found a set of up-regulated genes encoding PAL and PPO (see **Figure 6A** for the most highly expressed genes). However, in the Gm-Ss treatment, most DEGs related to the SA signal transduction pathway, ethylene signaling pathway, and plant antitoxins and phenolic compounds biosynthesis had much higher FPKM values than those in the Gm-Ba-Ss, Gm-Ba, and Gm treatments, indicating that the increase of FPKM values relative to SAR in the soybean may be only a stress response to injury. *S. sclerotiorum* led to the rapid death of soybean seedlings after inoculation. The greater the damage, the higher the FPKM value. Therefore, we believe that the biocontrol mechanism of *B. amyloliquefaciens* is relatively complex. For low virulent pathogenic microorganisms, improving the systemic resistance of plants *via* the activation of SA and ethylene signaling transduction pathways by *B. amyloliquefaciens* may be sufficient. For highly virulent pathogenic microorganisms, such as *S. sclerotiorum*, the antibacterial components produced by *B. amyloliquefaciens* should play a major role in resistance, while the improvement of plant SAR by *B. amyloliquefaciens* may play a less important role.

The inhibition of ribosomal gene biosynthesis by *B. amyloliquefaciens* represents a new mechanism underlying

B. amyloliquefaciens biocontrol (Cheng et al., 2022). Interestingly, B. amyloliquefaciens also has an important impact on ribosome biosynthesis in the soybean. The DEGs in ko03010 (ribosome) and ko03008 (ribosome biogenesis in eucaryotes) were involved in rRNA modification, pre-rRNA cleavage in the nucleoplasm, the export of pre-ribosome from the nucleoplasm to cytoplasm, and pre-ribosome cleavage and maturation in the nucleoplasm, and they had higher FPKM values in the Gm-Ba and Gm-Ba-Ss than those in the Gm-Ss and Gm treatment. The DEGs relative to metabolic pathways showed similar tendencies in Gm, Gm-Ba and Gm-Ba-Ss and Gm-Ss. The results suggest that B. amyloliquefaciens enhances soybean metabolic processes upon infection with S. sclerotiorum. Collectively, B. amyloliquefaciens could enhance the resistance and metabolism of the soybean for protection against S. sclerotiorum via the activation of ribosome and ribosome biogenesis pathways and promote the synthesis of PAL and PPO simultaneously, all processes that are closely related to plant disease resistance.

Sclerotinia sclerotiorum impaired metabolism in soybean and induced senescence *via* the ethylene signal transduction pathway

Sclerotinia sclerotiorum can secrete oxalic acid (OA), considered an important toxin in the pathogenic process by inducing host programmed cell death (Kim et al., 2008). OA accumulates at the infection site of S. sclerotiorum, causing the pH at the infection site to decrease, thereby improving the activity of cell wall-degrading enzymes, which contributes to S. sclerotiorum infection (Guimarães and Stotz, 2004; Hegedus and Rimmer, 2005; Dong et al., 2008; Kim et al., 2008). OA can chelate Ca^{2+} in the plant cell wall at the front of the hypha, which is conducive to the hydrolysis of pectin by polygalacturonase, thus destroying the integrity of the cell wall. The synergistic effect of OA and endogenous polygalacturonase is considered necessary for the complete toxicity of S. sclerotiorum (Dong et al., 2008; Kim et al., 2008). Mutations in oxalate synthesis genes in S. sclerotiorum significantly decrease its infectivity (Guimarães and Stotz, 2004). In Arabidopsis, AAE3 encodes oxalyl-CoA synthetase and is involved in the oxalate degradation process. Thus, AAE3 is expected to contribute to defense against oxalate-producing fungal pathogens (Foster et al., 2012). In our study, three DEGs encoded oxalyl-CoA synthetase and four DEGs encoding PUMP4/5 were identified. These DEGs may be important candidate genes involved in defense against S. sclerotiorum in soybean. In Gm-Ss vs. Gm, 19983 DEGs were involved in 21 KEGG pathways, with 19 of these being metabolic pathways. In Gm-Ss vs. Gm, most DEGs were down-regulated, and the most significantly enriched KEGG pathways included biosynthesis of secondary metabolites, metabolic pathways, microbial metabolism in diverse environments, starch and sucrose metabolism, and plant hormone signal transmission, suggesting that S. sclerotiorum inoculation had a serious negative effect on the metabolism of soybean seedlings. We identified a set of DEGs encoding SPS, pckA, and pgm, key enzymes in sucrose biosynthesis and glycolysis/gluconeogenesis; their down-regulation in Gm-Ss may have impaired sugar, protein, and nucleic acid metabolism. Based on GO enrichment results, the down-regulated DEGs were mainly associated with molecular functions, such as cytoskeletal protein binding, microtubule binding, tubulin binding, microtubule motor activity, motor activity, and chlorophyll binding, suggesting that S. sclerotiorum significantly inhibits the metabolism of soybeans and damages the cytoskeleton and chlorophyll. In Gm-Ss vs. Gm, ethylene and SA signal transduction tended to be activated by the up-regulation of vital DEGs encoding TGA, PR1, ETR, CTR1, and EBF1 (Figure 6A), while jasmonic acid (JA) signal transduction tended to be inactivated by the down-regulation of JAR1, COI1, and MYC2 (Figure 6B). We also identified 117 up-regulated DEGs related to senescence and 43 up-regulated DEGs related to programmed cell death. Moellers et al. (2017) studied the genes related to soybean resistance to S. sclerotiorum by genome-wide association and epigenetic studies, and identified candidate genes related to the cell wall structure, sugar allocation, and plant hormone signal transduction as important factors. We analyzed the gene expression patterns of these candidate genes in the four treatments (Gm, Gm-Ba, Gm-Ba-Ss, and Gm-Ss) and found that among the 58 candidate genes proposed, 31 genes were not expressed in all four treatments. The top 20 candidate genes with the highest FPKM values are listed in Supplementary Table 21. If genes with low FPKM values and genes with no significant difference in FPKM values between treatments are filtered out, three up-regulated genes in Gm-Ss vs. Gm are retained, including glyma.11g12900, glyma.07g135400, and glyma.14g188400, which encode aspartyl protease, leucinerich repeat receptor-like protein kinases (LRR-RLK), and PRs, respectively. We speculated that LRR-RLK and PRs play roles in promoting soybean resistance to S. sclerotiorum. Taken together, when S. sclerotiorum infects soybean seedlings, the toxin produced by S. sclerotiorum destroys the plant cell wall and cytoskeleton and activates the ethylene and salicylic acid signal transduction pathways. The activation of the SA pathway induces the up-regulation of a series of PR genes to resist S. sclerotiorum infection. The activation of important components of ethylene signal transduction pathways further activates OA degradation and transport pathways, causing OA accumulation and the disruption of carbohydrate metabolism and secondary metabolism, as well as the activation of genes related to senescence and programmed death, leading to stem necrosis and decay.

In summary, through the analysis of dual transcriptomic sequence data, soybean stem necrosis and decay were found to be induced by S. sclerotiorum via the ethylene signal transduction pathway, as well as through activation of genes related to senescence and programmed death. SAR of soybean seedlings to S. sclerotiorum can be induced by B. amyloliquefaciens. These insights improve our understanding of the antifungal mechanism of soybean and B. amyloliquefaciens. Large-scale data obtained from dual RNA-seq have shed some light on the major roles played by the members of the microbiota, with is highly relevant with respect to soybean immune responses. However, the specific mechanisms and key elements of respective interaction networks require further verification using other high-throughput techniques such as yeast two-hybrid, ChIP-Seq, and Chip-chip assays but also small-scale experiments such as construction of knockout models, in situ hybridization, and immunohistochemical localization.

Data availability statement

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found below: BioProject, accession number: PRJNA824616.

Author contributions

YC contributed to study conception and design, collection and/or assembly of data, and data analysis and interpretation. JL contributed to writing the manuscript. XH, HH, XZ, JG, and JB prepared samples. All authors have read and approved the manuscript.

Funding

This work was financially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 32172079),

References

Arguelles-Arias, A., Ongena, M., Halimi, B., Lara, Y., Brans, A., Joris, B., et al. (2009). *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* GA1 as a source of potent antibiotics and other secondary metabolites for biocontrol of plant pathogens. *Microb. Cell Fact.* 8:63. doi: 10.1186/1475-2859-8-63

Arrebola, E., Jacobs, R., and Korsten, L. (2010). Iturin A is the principal inhibitor in the biocontrol activity of *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* PPCB004 against postharvest fungal pathogens. *J. Appl. Microbiol.* 108, 386–395. doi: 10.1111/j. 1365-2672.2009.04438.x

Bhusal, B., and Mmbaga, M. T. (2020). Biological control of *Phytophthora* blight and growth promotion in sweet pepper by *Bacillus*

the Department of Science and Technology of Jilin Province (No. 20200201113JC), and the Natural Science Foundation of Hebei Province (No. C2019208174). The funding bodies had no role in the design of the study and collection, analysis, and interpretation of data and in writing the manuscript.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Shanghai Origingene Bio-pharm Technology Co. Ltd. (Shanghai, China) for their contribution in library construction, sequencing, and data analysis.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb. 2022.1025771/full#supplementary-material

species. *Biol. Control* 150:104373. doi: 10.1016/j.biocontrol.2020.10 4373

Buensanteai, N., Athinuwat, D., and Prathuangwong, S. (2007). Bacillus amyloliquefaciens induced systemic resistance against Xanthomonas axonopodis pv. glycines caused agent soybean bacterial pustule with increased phenolic compounds and phenylalanine ammonia lyase. Acta Phytopathol. Entomol. Hung. 42, 321–330. doi: 10.1556/APhyt.42.2007. 2.14

Calla, B., Vuong, T., Radwan, O., Hartman, G. L., and Clough, S. J. (2009). Gene expression profiling soybean stem tissue early response to *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum*

and in silico mapping in relation to resistance markers. *Plant Genome* 2, 149–166. doi: 10.3835/plantgenome2008.02.0008

Chen, S., Yang, B., Gao, M., and Dai, M. Y. (2005). Inhibition of sclerotia formation of *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* by *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens*. *Chin. J. Appl. Environ. Biol.* 11, 373–376.

Chen, X. H., Koumoutsi, A., Scholz, R., Schneider, K., Vater, J., Sussmuth, R., et al. (2009). Genome analysis of *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* FZB42 reveals its potential for biocontrol of plant pathogens. *J. Biotechnol.* 140, 27–37. doi: 10.1016/j.jbiotec.2008.10.011

Chen, Y., Sun, J., Lin, H., Lin, M., Lin, Y., Wang, H., et al. (2020). Salicylic acid reduces the incidence of *Phomopsis longanae* Chi infection in harvested longan fruit by affecting the energy status and respiratory metabolism. *Postharvest Biol. Technol.* 160:111035. doi: 10.1016/j.scienta.2021.110473

Cheng, Y., Gao, X., He, H., Zhang, X., Wang, R., and Liu, J. (2022). Dual RNA sequencing analysis of *Bacillus anyloliquefaciens* and *Sclerotirias sclerotirum* during infection of soybean seedlings by S. sclerotiorum unveils antagonistic interactions. *Front. Microbiol.* 13:924313. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2022.924313

Chisholm, S. T., Coaker, G., Day, B., and Staskawicz, B. J. (2006). Host-microbe interactions: Shaping the evolution of the plant immune response. *Cell* 124, 803–814. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2006.02.008

Chowdhury, S. P., Uhl, J., Grosch, R., Alquéres, S., Pittroff, S., Dietel, K., et al. (2015). Cyclic lipopeptides of *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* subsp. plantarum colonizing the *Lettuce* rhizosphere enhance plant defense responses toward the bottom rot pathogen *Rhizoctonia solani*. *Mol. Plant Microbe Interact.* 28, 984–995. doi: 10.1094/MPMI-03-15-0066-R

Clarkson, J. P., Staveley, J., Phelps, K., Young, C. S., and Whipps, J. M. (2003). Ascospore release and survival in *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum*. *Mycol. Res.* 107, 213–222. doi: 10.1017/S0953756203007159

de Rezende, L. C., de Andrade Carvalho, A. L., Costa, L. B., de Almeida Halfeld-Vieira, B., Silva, L. G., Pinto, Z. V., et al. (2020). Optimizing mass production of *Trichoderma asperelloides* by submerged liquid fermentation and its antagonism against *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum*. *World J. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 36:112. doi: 10. 1007/s11274-020-02882-7

Dong, X. B., Ji, R. Q., Guo, X. L., Foster, S. J., Chen, H., Dong, C. H., et al. (2008). Expressing a gene encoding wheat oxalate oxidase enhances resistance to *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* in oilseed rape (*Brassica napus*). *Planta* 228, 331–340. doi: 10.1007/s00425-008-0740-2

Fan, B., Borriss, R., Bleiss, W., and Wu, X. (2012). Gram-positive rhizobacterium *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* FZB42 colonizes three types of plants in different patterns. *J. Microbiol.* 50, 38–44. doi: 10.1007/s12275-012-1439-4

Fehr, W. R., and Caviness, C. E. (1977). Stage of soybean development. Special report 80. Ames, IA: Iowa State University of Science and Technology, 1–11.

Foster, J., Kim, H. U., Nakata, P. A., and Browse, J. (2012). A previously unknown oxalyl-CoA synthetase is important for oxalate catabolism in *Arabidopsis. Plant Cell* 24, 1217–1229. doi: 10.1105/tpc.112.096032

Fraissinet-Tachet, L., Reymond-Cotton, P., and Fèvre, M. (1995). Characterization of a multigene family encoding an endopolygalacturonase in *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum. Curr. Genet.* 29, 96–99. doi: 10.1007/BF00313199

Gao, J., Ma, L., Li, X., and Han, J. (2006). Studies on the antagonistic action of *Coniothyrium minitans* against *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum*. *Plant Protect.* 32, 66–70. doi: 10.1360/yc-006-1280

Guimarães, R. L., and Stotz, H. U. (2004). Oxalate production by *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* deregulates guard cells during infection. *Plant Physiol.* 136, 3703-3711. doi: 10.1104/pp.104.049650

Hegedus, D. D., and Rimmer, S. R. (2005). *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum*: When "to be or not to be" a pathogen? *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* 251, 177–184. doi: 10.1016/j. femsle.2005.07.040

Helliwell, E. E., Wang, Q., and Yang, Y. (2013). Transgenic rice with inducible ethylene production exhibits broad-spectrum disease resistance to the fungal pathogens *Magnaporthe oryzae* and *Rhizoctonia solani*. *Plant Biotechnol. J.* 11, 33–42. doi: 10.1111/pbi.12004

Helliwell, E. E., Wang, Q., and Yang, Y. (2016). Ethylene biosynthesis and signaling is required for rice immune response and basal resistance against *Magnaporthe oryzae* infection. *Mol. Plant Microbe Interact.* 29, 831–843. doi: 10.1094/MPMI-06-16-0121-R

Jones, J. D., and Dangl, J. L. (2006). The plant immune system. *Nature* 444, 323-329. doi: 10.1038/nature05286

Kang, B. R., Park, J. S., and Jung, W. J. (2020). Antifungal evaluation of fengycin isoforms isolated from *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* PPL against *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. lycopersici. *Microbial Pathogenesis* 149:104509. doi: 10.1016/j. micpath.2020.104509

Kim, D., Langmead, B., and Salzberg, S. L. (2015). HISAT: A fast spliced aligner with low memory requirements. *Nat. Methods* 12, 357–360. doi: 10.1038/nmeth. 3317

Kim, Y. G., Kang, H. K., Kwon, K. D., Seo, C. H., Lee, H. B., and Park, Y. (2015). Antagonistic activities of novel peptides from *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* PT14 against *Fusarium solani* and *Fusarium oxysporum. J. Agric. Food Chem.* 63, 10380–10387. doi: 10.1021/acs.jafc.5b04068

Kim, K. S., Min, J. Y., and Dickman, M. B. (2008). Oxalic acid is an elicitor of plant programmed cell death during *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* disease development. *Mol. Plant Microbe Interact.* 21, 605–612. doi: 10.1094/MPMI-21-5-0605

Kraberger, S., Stainton, D., Dayaram, A., Zawar-Reza, P., Gomez, C., Harding, J., et al. (2013). Discovery of *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* hypovirulence-associated virus-1 in urban river sediments of Heathcote and Styx rivers in Christchurch city, New Zealand. *Genome Announc.* 1:e00559-13. doi: 10.1128/genomeA.00559-13

Letunic, I., Yamada, T., Kanehisa, M., and Bork, P. (2008). iPath: Interactive exploration of biochemical pathways and networks. *Trends Biochem. Sci.* 33, 101–103. doi: 10.1016/j.tibs.2008.01.001

Li, H., Zhang, D., Lu, C., and Zhao, H. (2016). The latest advances in bio-control *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens. J. Microbiol.* 36, 87–92.

Li, L., Hu, K., Hong, B., Lu, X., Liu, Y., Xie, J., et al. (2021). The inhibitory effect of *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* L1 on *Aeromonas hydrophila* and its mechanism. *Aquaculture* 539:736590. doi: 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2021.736590

Li, Y., Gu, Y., Li, J., Xu, M., Wei, Q., and Wang, Y. (2015). Biocontrol agent *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* LJ02 induces systemic resistance against cucurbits powdery mildew. *Front. Microbiol.* 6:883. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2015.00883

Liao, J. H., Chen, P. Y., Yang, Y. L., Kan, S. C., Hsieh, F. C., and Liu, Y. C. (2016). Clarification of the antagonistic effect of the lipopeptides produced by *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* BPD1 against *Pyricularia oryzae via* in situ MALDI-TOF IMS analysis. *Molecules* 21:1670. doi: 10.3390/molecules21121670

Liu, J. F., Liu, J. Y., Zhang, X. Z., Wei, H., Ren, J. H., Peng, C., et al. (2021). Pollen tube in hazel grows intermittently: Role of Ca2+ and expression of auto-inhibited Ca2+ pump. *Sci. Hortic.* 282:110032. doi: 10.1016/j.scienta.2021.11 0032

Liu, J., Liu, Z., and Wang, X. (2020). Control of tobacco black shank disease with *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* YN48 combined with fungicides. *J. Yunnan Agric. Univ.* 35, 361–365, 370.

Love, M. I., Huber, W., and Anders, S. (2014). Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biol.* 15:550. doi: 10.1186/s13059-014-0550-8

Lugtenberg, B., and Kamilova, F. (2009). Plant-growth-promoting rhizobacteria. *Annu. Rev. Microbiol.* 63, 541–556. doi: 10.1146/annurev.micro.62. 081307.162918

Mcquilken, M. P., Mitchell, S. J., Budge, S. P., Whipps, J. M., and Archer, S. A. (2010). Effect of *Coniothyrium minitans* on sclerotial survival and apothecial production of *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* in field-grown oilseed rape. *Plant Pathol.* 44, 883–896. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-3059.1995.tb02748.x

Moellers, T. C., Singh, A., Zhang, J., Brungardt, J., Kabbage, M., Mueller, D. S., et al. (2017). Main and epistatic loci studies in soybean for *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* resistance reveal multiple modes of resistance in multi-environments. *Sci. Rep.* 7:3554. doi: 10.1038/s41598-017-03695-9

Pan, Y., Xu, Y., Li, X., Yao, C., and Gao, Z. (2015). SsPemG1 encodes an elicitorhomologous protein and regulates pathogenicity in *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum*. *Physiol. Mol. Plant Pathol.* 92, 70–78. doi: 10.1016/j.pmpp.2015.08.010

Pertea, M., Pertea, G. M., Antonescu, C. M., Chang, T. C., Mendell, J. T., and Salzberg, S. L. (2015). StringTie enables improved reconstruction of a transcriptome from RNA-seq reads. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 33, 290–295. doi: 10.1038/nbt.3122

Pozo, M. J., Van Der Ent, S., Van Loon, L. C., and Pieterse, C. M. (2008). Transcription factor MYC2 is involved in priming for enhanced defense during rhizobacteria-induced systemic resistance in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *New Phytol.* 180, 511-523. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-8137.2008.02578.x

Prathuangwong, S., and Buensanteai, N. (2007). Bacillus amyloliquefaciens induced systemic resistance against bacterial pustule pathogen with increased phenols, phenylalanine ammonia lyase, peroxidases and 1, 3- β -glucanases in soybean plants. Acta Phytopathol. Entomol. Hung. 42, 321–330. doi: 10.1556/ APhyt.42.2007.2.14

Qu, Z., Fu, Y., Lin, Y., Zhao, Z., and Jiang, D. (2021). Transcriptional Responses of *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* to the infection by SsHADV-1. *J. Fungi* 7:493. doi: 10.3390/jof7070493

Salazar, F., Ortiz, A., and Sansinenea, E. (2017). Characterisation of two novel bacteriocin-like substances produced by *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* ELI149 with

broad-spectrum antimicrobial activity. J. Glob. Antimicrob. Resist. 11, 177-182. doi: 10.1016/j.jgar.2017.08.008

Schmittgen, T. D., and Livak, K. J. (2008). Analyzing real-time PCR data by the comparative CT method. *Protocols* 3, 1101–1108. doi: 10.1038/nprot.2008.73

Sumida, C. H., Daniel, J. F., Araujod, A. P. C., Peitl, D. C., Abreu, L. M., Dekker, R. F., et al. (2018). *Trichoderma asperelloides* antagonism to nine *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* strains and biological control of white mold disease in soybean plants. *Biocontr. Sci. Technol.* 28, 142–156. doi: 10.1080/09583157.2018.143 0743

Tan, G., and Lenhard, B. (2016). TFBSTools: An R/bioconductor package for transcription factor binding site analysis. *Bioinformatics* 32, 1555–1556. doi: 10. 1093/bioinformatics/btw024

Thomma, B. P., Nürnberger, T., and Joosten, M. H. (2011). Of PAMPs and effectors: The blurred PTI-ETI dichotomy. *Plant Cell* 23, 4–15. doi: 10.1105/tpc. 110.082602

Tian, D., Song, X., Li, C., Zhou, W., Qin, L., Wei, L., et al. (2021). Antifungal mechanism of *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* strain GKT04 against *Fusarium* wilt revealed using genomic and transcriptomic analyses. *Microbiologyopen* 10:e1192. doi: 10.1002/mbo3.1192

Wang, M., Geng, L., Sun, X., Shu, C., Song, F., and Zhang, J. (2020). Screening of *Bacillus thuringiensis* strains to identify new potential biocontrol agents against *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* and *Plutella xylostella* in *Brassica campestris* L. *Biol. Control* 145:104262. doi: 10.1016/j.biocontrol.2020.10 4262

Xie, S., Jiang, H., Ding, T., Xu, Q., Chai, W., and Cheng, B. (2018). Bacillus amyloliquefaciens FZB42 represses plant miR846 to induce systemic resistance via

a jasmonic acid-dependent signaling pathway. *Mol. Plant Pathol.* 19, 1612–1623. doi: 10.1111/mpp.12634

Xie, Y., Renato, D., Stefania, M., Fan, J., and Li, Y. (2017). Molecular identification of cellulose-degrading bio-control *Bacillus* strains and their stress-resistance and growth-promoting characteristics. *Microbiol. China* 44, 348–357.

Zeng, W., Wang, D., Kirk, W., and Hao, J. (2012). Use of *Coniothyrium minitans* and other microorganisms for reducing *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum*. *Biol. Control* 60, 225–232. doi: 10.1016/j.biocontrol.2011.10.009

Zhang, H., Xie, J., Fu, Y., Cheng, J., Qu, Z., Zhao, Z., et al. (2020). A 2-kb mycovirus converts a pathogenic fungus into a beneficial endophyte for brassica protection and yield enhancement. *Mol. Plant* 13, 1420–1433. doi: 10.1016/j.molp. 2020.08.016

Zhang, J., Yang, Q., Wei, D., Yang, L., Zhang, X., and Chen, X. (2008). Research on destructive of *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* to host soybean in black soil area of Northeast China. *Soybean Sci.* 27, 633–636.

Zhang, S., Wang, Y., Wang, J., and Li, J. (2006). Biocontrol of soybean Sclerotinia sclerotiorum by Bacillus subtilis. Soybean Bull. 14, 18–19.

Zhao, D., Xu, Y. L., and Li, C. J. (2006). Identification and integrated managements for soybean *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum*. *Soybean Bull*. 14, 15–16.

Zhao, Y. (2021). Research progress on the disease inhibition mechanism of *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens. Subtropical Agric. Res.* 17, 205–210.

Zhou, Z., Zhu, Y., Tian, Y., Yao, J. L., Bian, S., Zhang, H., et al. (2021). MdPR4, a pathogenesis-related protein in apple, is involved in chitin recognition and resistance response to apple replant disease pathogens. *J. Plant Physiol.* 260:153390. doi: 10.1016/j.jplph.2021.153390

Glossary

AAE3, oxalate-CoA ligase; CCoAOMT, caffeoyl-CoA3-O-methyltransferase; CESA2, cellulose synthase A catalytic subunit 2; CGMCC, China General Microbiological Culture Collection Center; COI1, coronatine-insensitive protein 1; CSLE1, cellulose synthase-like protein E1; CTR1, serine/threonine-protein kinase CTR1; DEGs, differentially expressed genes; DGE, digital gene expression; DLO1, DMR6-LIKE OXYGENASE 1; DRRG49-C, disease resistance response protein DRRG49-C; EBF1, EIN3-binding F-box protein; ERF1, ethylene-responsive transcription factor 1; ETI, effector-triggered immunity; ETR, ethylene receptor; FDR, false discovery rate; FPKM, fragments per kilobase of transcript per million fragments mapped; GATL2, galacturonosyltransferase-like 2; GATL9, galacturonosyltransferase-like 9; Gm, scratch treatments were used as the control; Gm-Ss, the stems of potted soybean seedlings were inoculated with S. sclerotiorum; Gm-Ba, the stems of potted soybean seedlings were inoculated with B. amyloliquefaciens; Gm-Ba-Ss, the stems of potted soybean seedlings were inoculated with S. sclerotiorum and B. amyloliquefaciens; GO, gene ontology; HXK1, Hexokinase-1; ISR, induced systemic resistance; JA, jasmonic acid; JAR1, jasmonic acid-amino synthetase; KEGG, Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes; LRR-RLK, leucine-rich repeat receptor-like protein kinases; MAPKKK18, mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase l8; AMC1, metacaspase-1; MYC2, transcription factor MYC2; NHL10, NDR1/HIN1-like protein 10; OA, oxalic acid; PAL, phenylalanine ammonia lyase; PAMP, pathogen-associated molecular pattern; pckA, phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase (ATP); PDA, potato dextrose agar; pgm, phosphoglucomutase; PPO, polyphenol oxidase; PR1, pathogenesis related protein 1; PRs, pathogenesis-related proteins; PTI, pathogen-associated molecular pattern-triggered immunity; PTI5, pathogenesisrelated genes transcriptional activator PTI5; PUMP, mitochondrial uncoupling protein; RPL10, ribosomal protein L10; RPL18A, ribosomal protein L18a; RPL4, ribosomal protein L4; SA, salicylic acid; SAM22, stress-induced protein SAM22; SAR, systemic acquired resistance; SOD, superoxide dismutase; SPS, sucrose-phosphate synthase; SRG1, protein SRG1; STH-2, pathogenesis-related protein STH-2; TGA, transcription factor TGA; UTP22, U3 small nucleolar RNA-associated protein 22; UTP5, U3 small nucleolar RNA-associated protein 5; w82, Glycine max cultivar Williams 82; WRKY22, WRKY transcription factor 22; XTH, Xyloglucan endotransglucosylase/hydrolase protein.