



OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Alison Buchan,
The University of Tennessee,
Knoxville, United States

Reviewed by:

Valerie Michotey,
Aix-Marseille Université, France
Guifang Dong,
Wuhan Polytechnic University, China
Kathryn Lee Morrissey,
University of Cape Town, South Africa

***Correspondence:**

Pascal Jean Lopez
pascal-jean.lopez@mnhn.fr

†ORCID:

Vincent Hervé
orcid.org/0000-0002-3495-561X
Pascal Jean Lopez
orcid.org/0000-0002-9914-4252

***Present address:**

Damien Alain Devault,
CUFR, Dembeni, Mayotte

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to
Aquatic Microbiology,
a section of the journal
Frontiers in Microbiology

Received: 27 April 2021

Accepted: 01 October 2021

Published: 29 October 2021

Citation:

Hervé V, Lambourdière J,
René-Trouillefou M, Devault DA and
Lopez PJ (2021) *Sargassum*
Differentially Shapes the Microbiota
Composition and Diversity at Coastal
Tide Sites and Inland Storage Sites on
Caribbean Islands.
Front. Microbiol. 12:701155.
doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2021.701155

Sargassum Differentially Shapes the Microbiota Composition and Diversity at Coastal Tide Sites and Inland Storage Sites on Caribbean Islands

Vincent Hervé^{1,2†}, Josie Lambourdière³, Malika René-Trouillefou³,
Damien Alain Devault^{3†} and Pascal Jean Lopez^{3*†}

¹ Max Planck Institute for Terrestrial Microbiology, Marburg, Germany, ² Institut de Recherche sur la Biologie de l'Insecte, UMR 7261, CNRS—Université de Tours, Avenue Monge, Tours, France, ³ Biologie des ORganismes et Ecosystèmes Aquatiques (BOREA), Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle, Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique UMR-8067, Sorbonne Université, Institut de Recherche pour le Développement, Université de Caen Normandie, Université des Antilles, Paris, France

Rafts of drifting pelagic *Sargassum* that are circulating across the Atlantic Ocean are complex ecosystems composed of a large number of associated species. Upon massive stranding, they lead to various socio-environmental issues including the inflow of contaminants and human health concerns. In this study, we used metabarcoding approaches to examine the differences in both the eukaryotic- and prokaryotic-associated communities from *Sargassum* present in two islands of the Lesser Antilles, namely Guadeloupe and Martinique. We detected significant differences in microbial community structure and composition between landing *Sargassum*, the surrounding seawater, and *Sargassum* from inland storage sites. In total we identified 22,214 prokaryotic and 17,679 eukaryotic OTUs. Among them, functional prediction analyses revealed a number of prokaryotes that might contribute to organic matter decomposition, nitrogen cycling and gas production, including sulfate-reducing bacteria at coastal landing sites, and methanogenic archaea at inland storage sites. We also found that Metazoan was the most abundant group in *Sargassum* samples, with nematode clades that presented exclusive or specific richness and abundance patterns depending on their *Sargassum* substrate. Together, these molecular inventories of the micro- and meiofauna communities provide baseline information for further characterization of trophic interactions, algal organic matter decomposition and nutrient transfers at coastal and inland storage sites.

Keywords: macroalgae, methanogenic archaea (MA), sulfate-reducing bacteria (SRB), epibiont, microbial communities, protists, nematodes, *Sargassum*

INTRODUCTION

Numerous seaweeds, including benthic and drifting pelagic *Sargassum* species, are becoming invasive in various regions of the world, creating potential threats to native species and local resources, and causing economic and health concerns (Hu and Fraser, 2016). Over the last decade, the whole Caribbean region and the west coast of Africa have been faced with massive tides of *Sargassum* (Wang et al., 2019). These holopelagic macroalgae shoals contains several morphotypes, with the most common one being *S. fluitans* and *S. natans* (Parr, 1939; Schell et al., 2015; Amaral-Zettler et al., 2017; Martin et al., 2021). The large amounts of seaweed biomass washed up along coastlines has direct and indirect consequences on beaches and on the functioning of nearshore ecosystems (Van Tussenbroek et al., 2017; Cabanillas-Teran et al., 2019; Rodriguez-Martinez et al., 2019), and a massive influence on the tourist industry and local economies (i.e., the cost of removing and disposing of piled-up *Sargassum*, the effect on housing markets, etc.). *Sargassum* tides can also have serious health impacts, not only because of the toxicity of the associated heavy metals and pollutants, but also through their decomposition which can lead to the production of hydrogen sulfide (H₂S) and ammonia (NH₃), which have been shown to cause a real threat to human health (Resiere et al., 2018).

Pelagic *Sargassum* in the Atlantic Ocean constitutes a floating ecosystem serving as a habitat for marine species like sea turtles, seabirds, fish or invertebrates. Additionally, a number of micro- and macro-epiphytes have been described (Parr, 1939; Weis, 1968; Fine, 1970; Casazza and Ross, 1972; Ryland, 1974; Haney, 1986; Jobe and Brooks, 2009; Ballard and Rakocinski, 2012; Witherington et al., 2012; Jacobucci and Leite, 2014; Susilowati et al., 2015; Monroy-Velazquez et al., 2019), and a few of them are endemic species. Endophytic and epiphytic communities of small eukaryotes are likely to be involved in the growth of *Sargassum*, and might contribute to nutrient uptake, macroalgae spore release and germination, the defense against bactericidal pathogens and other competing organisms, reproduction and settlement (Egan et al., 2013; Florez et al., 2017; Van Der Loos et al., 2019). In addition, exchanges between the *Sargassum* holobiont and the surrounding environment might have reciprocal impacts, and micro-organisms initially associated with algae growth might also contribute to their degradation processes (Florez et al., 2017). Indeed, microorganisms are likely to contribute to algae raft formation and maintenance, algae sinking and biodegradation upon beaching (De Fouw et al., 2016). In addition, the microbial communities that are associated with the seaweeds can thrive in new habitats, as postulated for the export of surface-dwelling fauna associated with *Sargassum* from the surface down to the seafloor (Baker et al., 2018).

At the molecular level, bacterial diversity has been investigated for floating *Sargassum* from various locations and for several species. Serebryakova et al. (2018) investigated the 16S rRNA gene sequences associated with the invasive benthic *S. muticum* from various locations in Portugal, and showed that the epibionts were dominated by Proteobacteria, Bacteroidetes, and Actinobacteria. The structure of the bacterial community has


also been analyzed for drifting *S. horneri* from the Yellow Sea (Mei et al., 2019). As with other macroalgae studies, Mei et al. (2019) demonstrated differences at the genus level in the bacterial composition between the surrounding water and the drifting algae, and between drifting and nearshore algae. Torralba et al. (2017) found that *Sargassum* complexes of two main surface drifting species (*S. natans* and *S. fluitans*), collected from the Gulf of Mexico, were associated with microbial communities dominated by *Rhodobacteraceae* and *Saprospiraceae*. Another molecular study on the bacterial community of drifting *Sargassum* has shown that the microbial profile can vary depending on location, abundance of zooplankton and nutrient levels (Michotey et al., 2020). Surprisingly, the composition of protists associated with *Sargassum* have been largely investigated using taxonomic and/or morphological analyses (Maples, 1984; Huffard et al., 2014; Damare, 2015; Coelho-Souza et al., 2017; Baker et al., 2018; Kim H. M. et al., 2019), but to our knowledge, only one study have described the molecular diversity of the eukaryotic plankton and epifauna associated with floating *S. horneri* (Kim H. M. et al., 2019).

It was expected that the physicochemical conditions encountered along the coasts, associated to different microbial communities in both the water column and beaches could induce large modification of the micro- and macrofauna associated with *Sargassum*. Moreover, the significant accumulation (*ca.*, corresponding to a new food source) and decay of seaweed biological materials at landing sites are further factors that could largely influence the local biodiversity and the microbiota associated to *Sargassum*. The objective of the present study was to perform the first investigations of the microbial communities associated with *Sargassum* from coastal landing sites (i.e., surf zone and deposited on beaches), and from inland storage sites. We used metabarcoding approaches to describe both the prokaryotic and eukaryotic diversities from two islands of the Lesser Antilles, Guadeloupe and Martinique. By comparing molecular data from the seaweed-associated fractions, we hoped to identify microorganisms that are more specifically associated with stranding or more dried seaweeds. We also aimed to gain novel information on the diversity of the species that are involved in *Sargassum* aging and biodegradation.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Field Sampling Procedure

Various samples were taken along the Atlantic coasts of Martinique and Guadeloupe during the summer of 2018 (Figure 1). At coastal sites, for each sample, about 500 g of *Sargassum* seaweed were collected and the excess of seawater was gently drained off using a salad spinner, and then about 30 g were put into 50 ml Falcon tubes. At the same coastal landing sites, the surrounding seawater was also collected using sterile 50 ml Falcon tubes, at distances between 1 and 20 m from the shoreline and at about 0.5 m below the surface. In Martinique, we took samples from four different inland sites that were used by the local authorities to store the seaweeds collected on the beaches. For most of the tide sampling sites we



collected at least one seawater marine sample and two *Sargassum* samples, and three samples for the inland storage sites. During the campaigns we placed all sample on ice, and then, upon arrival at the laboratory they frozen and maintained at -20°C until the nucleic acids were extracted.

DNA Extraction and Library Preparation

The *Sargassum* samples were thawed at 4°C , suspended in 50 ml RNase-free water, vortexed and then mechanically sonicated in a water bath (35 kHz) for 3 min. The latter procedure was repeated three times. Then the microbial fractions present in the supernatant and extracted from the seaweed were filtered through a $0.2\ \mu\text{m}$ GTTP Biofilm Type Filter kit (Millipore). About 50 ml of marine water samples were filtered using the same filter type that was mentioned above. The environmental DNA was extracted from the filters

using the DNeasy PowerBiofilm Kit (Qiagen), in accordance with the manufacturer's recommendations. Total DNA was then quantified using Quant-it PicoGreen (Invitrogen, Thermo Fischer Scientific, Carlsbad, CA, United States) and a CFX96 Real-Time System, C1000 Touch Thermal Cycler (Biorad, California, United States).

The DNA libraries were prepared using universal primers to target both prokaryotes and eukaryotes. To amplify the V4-V5 of the 16S rRNA, we used the 16S-515F-Y (5'-GTGYCAGCMGCCGCGGTAA-3'), and 16S-926R (5'-CCGYCAATTYMTTTRAGTTT-3') primers that cover both bacteria and archaea (Walters et al., 2016). To amplify the V4 region of the 18S rRNA gene, we used the primer pair TAREuk454FWD1 (5'-CCAGCASCYCGCGTAATTCC-3') and TAREukREV3 (5'-ACTTTCGTTCTTGATYRA-3') (Stoeck et al., 2010). For each sample, we duplicated the initial DNA

amplification in a final volume of 25 μ l following the Taq Q5 Hot Start High Fidelity DNA Polymerase recommendations (New England Biolabs). For the 16S rRNA amplification, we also added to the amplification reactions 0.4 μ l of a 10 μ M of peptide nucleic acid (PNA) clamps (Fitzpatrick et al., 2018), that we designed to match 16S rRNA genes from *Sargassum* plastid (pPNA: 5'-AGCTCAACTTCAAAACT-3') and mitochondria (mPNA: 5'-GGCTAGCCTTATTCGTC-3'). For each sample, the PCR products were checked on agarose gels, purified using Agencourt AMPure XP beads (Beckman Coulter), and quantified using a Qubit dsDNA HS assay kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific). We then quantified and pooled the technical replicates per sample. To create unique library per amplicon type (16S or 18S), we pooled same quantity of all samples. These libraries were prepared using 1 μ g pooled DNA and using the TruSeq Nano Library Preparation Kit (Illumina). The supplier's protocol was followed, with the exception of the use of a modified End-Repair mix to avoid production of chimeric constructs, and no PCR cycle was done to finalize the libraries. The resulting libraries (16S or 18S) were quantified by qPCR and the paired-end (2 \times 300 bp) sequencing were done using a HiSeq 2500 by Fasteris SA (Plan-les-Ouates, Switzerland).

Sequence Processing

Amplicons of the 16S and 18S rRNA gene sequences were analyzed independently with the *mothur* software, version 1.41.3 (Schloss et al., 2009), following a standard operating procedure for Illumina MiSeq data (Kozich et al., 2013). First, contigs were assembled between the read pairs. Then the barcodes, primer sequences and low-quality sequences were removed (minimum length of 350 bp and maximum length of 420 bp, removing any sequences with ambiguous bases and any sequences with homopolymers longer than 8 bp). The sequences were then aligned with the SILVA reference database release 132 (Quast et al., 2013) and preclustered (*pre.cluster*, *diffs* = 1). Singletons were excluded, and chimeras were removed with *vsearch* (Rognes et al., 2016) implemented in *mothur*. The sequences were then classified using the *k*-nearest neighbor (*knn*) algorithm implemented in *mothur* and the BLASTN search method (cut-off of 80%) with the SILVA reference database, release 132, and the PR2 database, v4.11.1 (Guillou et al., 2013) for the 16S and 18S rRNA gene amplicons, respectively. After classification, non-prokaryotic, chloroplast, mitochondria (for the 16S rRNA gene dataset), non-eukaryotic, *Sargassum* (for the 18S rRNA gene dataset) and unknown (for both 16S and 18S rRNA amplicons) sequences were excluded. To account for differences in sampling efforts, 28,491 and 53,263 sequences from the 16S and 18S rRNA gene datasets, respectively, were then randomly subsampled from each sample using *mothur*. Finally, operational taxonomic units (OTUs) were generated using the *vsearch* distance-based greedy clustering algorithm, with an OTU being defined at the 97 and 99% sequence similarity level for the 16S and 18S rRNA gene reads, respectively. The raw datasets generated and analyzed during the current study were submitted to the NCBI Sequence Read Archive (SRA) under the BioProjects PRJNA630532 (16S rRNA genes) and PRJNA630533 (18S rRNA genes).

Diversity and Statistical Analyses

Alpha diversity indices (observed OTU richness, Shannon and inverse of Simpson indexes) as well as Good's coverage estimates and rarefaction curves were computed with *mothur*. All the statistical analyses were computed using R software version 3.6.3. To compare the *alpha* diversity indices between compartments, we used Kruskal-Wallis tests and corrected our *P*-values for multiple comparisons with the Benjamini-Hochberg method. Principal coordinates analyses (PCoA) and constrained analysis of principal coordinates (CAP) were computed based on Bray-Curtis dissimilarity matrices with the *phyloseq* package (McMurdie and Holmes, 2013). The effect of compartments on prokaryotic and eukaryotic community composition was tested by non-parametric permutational multivariate analysis of variance (PERMANOVA) on Bray-Curtis dissimilarity matrices, as implemented in the *vegan* function *adonis* (permutations = 9,999), after checking for homogeneity of group dispersions with the *vegan* function *betadisper* (Oksanen et al., 2015). We also used permutation tests for CAP, as implemented in the *vegan* function *anova.cca* (permutations = 9,999). To test the relationship between the prokaryotic and eukaryotic community matrices, a Mantel test was performed using the *ecodist* package (Goslee and Urban, 2007), with Pearson correlation coefficient and 10^6 random permutations. To identify OTUs with differential abundances between compartments, we used the ALDEx2 algorithm on the prokaryotic and eukaryotic community matrices after filtering out the OTUs with less than 0.01% abundance (Fernandes et al., 2014). In this analysis, statistical significance was assessed based on the Wilcoxon rank test with Benjamini and Hochberg's correction to maintain a 5% false discovery rate. Potential function among microbiota was predicted by using Functional Annotation of Prokaryotic Taxa (FAPROTAX v1.1), which was initially designed for marine samples (Louca et al., 2016). The predicted functional roles were visualized with the *heatmap* R package, using Euclidean distances, and the weighted pair group method centroid (WPGMC) as a clustering method.

Phylogenetic Analyses

Phylogenetic relationships between the abundant OTUs (>1% relative abundance) of Nematoda were investigated. We first collected nearly full-length 18S rRNA gene sequences from recent studies (Meldal et al., 2007) as reference sequences. These sequences were aligned with the Silva SSU database using SINA v1.2.11 (Pruesse et al., 2012) and the subsequent alignment was filtered using *trimAl* v1.2.rev59 with the *gappyout* method (Capella-Gutierrez et al., 2009). OTU sequences were added to the reference alignment using the *-addfragments* option of MAFFT v7.310 (Kato and Standley, 2013). The alignment was trimmed and Smart Model Selection (Lefort et al., 2017) was used to determine the best-fit evolutionary model based on the Akaike Information criterion. Subsequently, a maximum-likelihood phylogenetic tree was built with PhyML 3.0 (Guindon et al., 2010). Branch

supports were calculated using a Chi2-based parametric approximate likelihood-ratio test (aLRT) (Anisimova and Gascuel, 2006). Finally, the tree was visualized and edited with iTOL (Letunic and Bork, 2019).

RESULTS

Sampling

In the present study, we investigated both the prokaryotic and eukaryotic communities associated with *Sargassum* at landing sites along the Atlantic coastlines of the Guadeloupe archipelago and the island of Martinique (Figure 1). We analyzed seawater surrounding *Sargassum* from different tide sites ($n = 52$; 34 sites). Marine *Sargassum* samples were collected either in water (30 samples; 27 sites) or stranded on beaches (9 samples; 6 sites). Unfortunately, we could not obtain any specific information on the arrival dates of the *Sargassum* and/or on landing duration. Such data are in fact very difficult to obtain also because the local parameters (i.e., currents, wind, tide, etc.) and sinking processes can vary from place to place. Nevertheless, measurements on 87% of the collected samples revealed that the dry matter content was $16.2\% \pm 1.8\%$, showing that all samples had a similar hydration level (not shown). In Martinique, we also collected samples from inland storage sites (9 samples; 4 sites). Since there was no available information on the deposition period, we took samples from the middle and the top of the piles; partial measurements indicated that the *Sargassum* dry matter (2 sites) was 49.9% (not shown). As mentioned above because we analyzed seaweed samples that were fresh, partially or dried we did not and often could not separate the morphotypes that composed *Sargassum* samples. Hereafter, the three types of delineated biotic entities, hereafter named compartments, correspond to seawater from tide sites (TS-sw), *Sargassum* from tide sites (TS-sarg), and *Sargassum* from inland storage sites (ISS-sarg).

Overall Diversity and Community Structure

After sequencing of the 100 samples and following bioinformatic procedures, we obtained a total of 22,214 prokaryotic and 17,679 eukaryotic operational taxonomic units (OTUs). For both datasets, the rarefaction curves (Supplementary Figure 1) as well as the high Good's coverage estimates (median value 0.977 for the prokaryotes, Supplementary Figure 2; median value 0.997 for the eukaryotes Supplementary Figure 3) indicated that the sequencing depths were sufficient to estimate and compare the microbial diversity of our samples.

Regarding the *alpha* diversity of the prokaryotes, we found no significant differences in OTU richness nor diversity between the three compartments ($P > 0.05$) (Supplementary Figure 2). For the eukaryotes, we found significantly more OTUs in the seawater (TS-sw) than in the terrestrial and marine *Sargassum* samples ($P < 0.001$) (Supplementary Figure 3). For both the Shannon index and the inverse of Simpson index, eukaryotic diversity was significantly higher in TS-sw than in TS-sarg ($P < 0.001$) but no differences were found between TS-sarg and ISS-sarg ($P > 0.05$) (Supplementary Figure 3).



Beta diversity of the *Sargassum* microbiota was first visualized using unconstrained ordinations, namely principal coordinates analyses (PCoA) (Supplementary Figure 4) focusing on the three compartments (TS-sw, TS-sarg, and ISS-sarg). PERMANOVAs indicated that both the prokaryotic ($R^2 = 0.08$, $P < 0.001$) and the eukaryotic ($R^2 = 0.09$, $P < 0.001$) communities were significantly different across the three compartments TS-sw, TS-sarg, and ISS-sarg. Differences between compartments were further investigated using constrained ordinations, namely canonical analysis of principal coordinates (CAP) (Figure 2), and confirmed by permutation tests for CAP ($P < 0.001$). For both the prokaryotic and the eukaryotic communities, the first axis discriminated between TS-sarg and TS-sw, while the second axis discriminated between ISS-sarg and both the TS-sarg and TS-sw samples (Figure 2). Among the TS-sarg samples, we found no differences between samples collected in the water or on the beaches (ANOSIM, $R = -0.07$, $P = 0.78$ and $R = 0.01$, $P = 0.42$, for the prokaryotic and eukaryotic communities, respectively). We also examined the relationship between the prokaryotic and eukaryotic dissimilarity matrices. A Mantel test revealed that the community structures of prokaryotes and eukaryotes were strongly correlated ($r_M = 0.43$, $P < 0.0001$), indicating potential biotic associations between microbiota.

Diversity of the *Sargassum*-Associated Prokaryotic Communities

The overall prokaryotic diversity corresponded to 658 bacterial and archaeal families distributed across 350 orders, and 57 phyla. For the TS-sarg samples we found that the most diverse phyla were Proteobacteria (34.3% of the richness in this compartment), Bacteroidetes (22.9%), and Planctomycetes (9.0%) (Figure 3A, also see Supplementary Figure 5A). At the phylum taxonomic rank, the diversity pattern present similarities with the TS-sw compartment, but differences were observed for the ISS-sarg samples (Figure 3A, and Supplementary Figure 5). Differences in diversity pattern of the three compartments were visible when analyzed at lower taxonomic ranks, with differences in the ten most rich orders (Supplementary Figure 6) or families (Supplementary Figure 7).

In the samples from coastal tide sites (TS-sw and TS-sarg), the most abundant phyla were the Proteobacteria, followed by Bacteroidetes, Cyanobacteria, Spirochaetes and Firmicutes. For the inland storage sites (ISS-sarg), the most abundant phyla corresponded to Bacteroidetes, Proteobacteria, Planctomycetes, Firmicutes and Acidobacteria (Figure 3, also see Supplementary Figure 5B). In fact, differences in relative abundances between the three compartments and specially the terrestrial one, were discernible at all taxonomic levels analyzed, as shown at the order (Supplementary Figure 6) and family (Supplementary Figure 7) levels.

Even though there were differences in the number of samples per compartment, we analyzed the number of OTUs shared between them. The Venn diagram showed that 9.3% of the richness was shared between the three compartments



(Supplementary Figure 8), corresponding to members of 4 archaeal and 41 bacterial phyla.

Diversity of the *Sargassum*-Associated Eukaryotic Communities

The eukaryotic diversity spread across 278 orders, with an overall dominance of Opisthokonta in terms of both richness (24.9%) and read abundance (48.9%), followed by the Alveolata (22.3% of richness and 21.4% of relative abundance), and the Stramenopiles (20.7% of richness and 9% of relative abundance). Independent analyses of the three compartments revealed

differences in both OTU richness and relative abundance (Figure 3B, and Supplementary Figure 5). We found that 2.2% of the eukaryotic OTUs were present in all three compartments, a result which was lower than the one obtained for the prokaryotes (Supplementary Figure 8).

Specially in TS-sw samples we found that the phototrophs were among the most abundant organisms with key groups corresponding to Bacilliophyta, Florideophyceae, Ulvophyceae and Dinophyceae (Supplementary Figures 9, 10). In fact, in both kind of tide site samples (TS-sw and TS-sarg), the phylum that presented the highest richness were the Bacilliophyta (Supplementary Figure 9), with highest abundance of polar




FIGURE 4 | Phylogenetic relationships between the most abundant nematode OTUs associated with *Sargassum*. The maximum likelihood phylogenetic tree was constructed with PhyML v3.0 using the GTR + G + I model. Branch supports were calculated using a Chi2-based parametric approximate likelihood-ratio test and are represented by black circles for values above 0.90 and gray circles for values between 0.7 and 0.89. OTU sequences are in bold. The horizontal bar plots represent the normalized total abundance of each OTU in the different compartments: TS-sw in blue, TS-sarg in brown, and ISS-sarg in green. Putative trophic groups were assigned following recommendations by Meldal et al. (2007): algivore-omnivore-predator (AOP), bacterivore (B), entomopathogen (E), and vertebrate parasite (VP).

centric and raphid pennate diatoms in TS-sw and TS-sarg, respectively (**Supplementary Figure 10**). Compared to the tide site samples, differences in both richness and relative abundance were visible at all taxonomic ranks for the ISS-sarg ones (**Figure 3B** and **Supplementary Figures 5–10**).


Focusing on the Metazoa, we found strong differences between the compartments, with the Bryozoa dominating the TS-sarg samples (**Supplementary Figure 11**). A large abundance of Bryozoans associated with *Sargassum* (42% of average relative abundance for the TS-sarg samples) was expected because of the large number of them visible on axe, blade, receptacle and vesicle surfaces (see **Supplementary Figure 12**). Because the Nematoda were the most dominant group in terrestrial samples (**Supplementary Figure 11**), the taxonomy of the most abundant OTUs was refined by phylogenetic analysis and their putative membership to trophic groups were inferred following Meldal et al.'s (2007) classification (**Figure 4**). We identified 24 OTUs belonging to five orders, namely Enoplida, Chromadorida, Monhysterida, Tylenchida, and Rhabditida. Among the Monhysterida, ten OTUs including seven potentially bacterivorous were particularly abundant in TS-sarg. Conversely, seven Tylenchida OTUs, all assigned to the genus *Halicephalobus*, and the four Rhabditida OTUs were mainly present in the ISS-sarg samples. Besides bacterivores, we identified nematodes that

are related to species known to be algivores-omnivores-predators, entomopathogens and vertebrate parasites (**Figure 4**).

Identification of Discriminant Operational Taxonomic Units for Each Compartment

Because we demonstrated significant differences in community structure between the three compartment (**Figure 2**), we then investigated the OTUs that present differential abundance using ALDEx2 algorithm. We first made comparisons between the surrounding seawater and *Sargassum* at tide sites. We identified 68 prokaryotic and 30 eukaryotic OTUs with differential abundance (**Figures 5A,B** and **Supplementary Table 2**). For the prokaryotes, the TS-sw samples presented 46 OTUs that were significantly more abundant than in the TS-sarg samples (**Figure 5A**, blue bars). On the other hand, we found 22 OTUs preferentially associated with the TS-sarg samples compared to the seawater (**Figure 5A**, brown bars). In the case of the eukaryotes, the 20 OTUs were significantly more abundant with the TS-sw seawater compartment, and 10 OTUs for the TS-sarg one (**Figure 5B**).

When comparing the communities associated to *Sargassum* collected at tide sites compared to *Sargassum* from inland



storage sites, we identified 146 prokaryotic and 32 eukaryotic OTUs with differential abundance (Figures 5C,D and Supplementary Table 2). Coastal *Sargassum* samples showed a significant enrichment of 93 OTUs prokaryotic OTUs, including members of the *Saprospiraceae*, *Flavobacteriaceae*, *Cyanobacteria*, *Alphaproteobacteria*, and *Gammaproteobacteria* (Figure 5C). The prokaryotes found to be enriched in terrestrial samples represented 53 OTUs distributed over 13 classes (Figure 5C). For the eukaryotes, the marine *Sargassum* showed a significant enrichment of 24 OTUs, among them we found *Dynophyceae*, *Bryozoans*, *Hydrozoans*, or *Bacillariophyceae* (Figure 5D). The *Sargassum* samples from storage sites showed a significant enrichment of 8 OTUs, including one nematode (Figure 5D, green bars).

Functional Inference of Prokaryotic Diversity

To investigate the putative roles of the prokaryotic taxa associated with the *Sargassum* samples, we used FAPROTAX and obtained putative functional assignment for 2,831 prokaryotic OTUs (12.7% of the global dataset) distributed into a minimum set of 22 functional groups (Figure 6 and Supplementary Table 3). The five most abundant ones corresponded to chemoheterotrophy (1,260 OTUs; 23.19% of the relative abundance), fermentation (314 OTUs; 13.04% of the relative abundance), nitrate reduction (71 OTUs; 9.32% of the relative abundance), phototrophy (388 OTUs; 6.98% of the relative abundance), and respiration of sulfur compounds (404 OTUs; 3.91% of the relative abundance) (Figure 6). Intracellular parasites, predators or exoparasites, and animal parasites or symbionts, corresponded to a relatively large number of organisms with 491, 263 and 189 OTUs, respectively. Interestingly, other functional groups specifically abundant in terrestrial samples corresponded to methanogenesis (32 OTUs; 0.21%), hydrocarbon degradation (21 OTUs; 0.31%), and dark oxidation of sulfur compounds (20 OTUs; 0.09%).

DISCUSSION


Sargassum washout in the coast of the Caribbean region, including the Gulf of Mexico, and in the coasts of Africa are causing many environmental and health concerns. Part of these concerns are probably the results of the load of new microbes associated with the seaweed, and the putative transient or persistent modifications of the microbial communities at tide sites. Here we investigated samples from three different compartments, namely from seawater and *Sargassum* from tide sites (TS-sw and TS-sarg samples, respectively) and *Sargassum* from inland storage sites (ISS-sarg samples). We found that the *alpha* diversity was similar for prokaryotes in the three compartments, and that the richness was higher for eukaryotes in seawater compared to the *Sargassum*-related samples. *Beta* diversity analyses revealed clear distinctions between the three compartments and proved a correlation between eukaryotic and prokaryotic communities. When analyzed at the high taxonomic ranks, compositional analyses revealed some similarities between the *Sargassum* and the surrounding water, even if differences exist

at lower taxonomic ranks, also see below. This result somehow contrasted with previous reports on *Sargassum* (Torralba et al., 2017; Michotey et al., 2020), but those corresponded to analyses of *Sargassum* that were collected in offshore regions. In fact, beside the well documented technical biases when comparing samples across studies (DNA extraction, primer choice, PCR program, sequencing platform, etc.), we believe that differences between published data on the *Sargassum* microbiota could be due to a number of ecological reasons including spatial and temporal variability in *Sargassum*-associated communities (Huffard et al., 2014; Torralba et al., 2017; Serebryakova et al., 2018; Mei et al., 2019; Michotey et al., 2020), biogeochemical factors at tide sites compared to offshore, or aging and drowning of the seaweeds (Baker et al., 2018; Sanders-Smith et al., 2020).

Sargassum-Associated Prokaryotic Communities, and Their Putative Environmental Roles

The most abundant prokaryotic family associated with the *Sargassum* samples from tide sites (TS-sarg) was the *Vibrionaceae* (Proteobacteria) (*ca.* 18% of the relative abundance, see Supplementary Figure 7), a family of ubiquitous marine bacteria. The second most abundant family was the *Flavobacteriaceae* (Bacteroidetes). Interestingly, utilization of macromolecules such as polysaccharides is a common feature of many members of this family (McBride, 2014; Thomas et al., 2021), and more generally of the Bacteroidetes (McKee et al., 2021). In fact, several genera of the *Flavobacteriaceae* that were found to possess conserved polysaccharide utilization loci, as defined by Kruger et al. (2019), were abundant at tide sites, with the NS5_marine_group, *Polaribacter* spp., *Formosa* spp., *Dokdonia* spp., *Gramella* spp., *Cellulophaga* spp., *Lutibacter* spp., *Winogradskyella* spp., *Cryomorphaceae*, *Crocinitomicaceae*, and *Cyclobacteriaceae* together making up to 12.9 and 4.3% of the relative abundance in TS-sw and TS-sarg samples, respectively. These results suggest that these bacteria might be involved in the degradation of polysaccharides either exudate or release by the seaweeds and the associated epifauna, and probably contribute to *Sargassum* degradation. The third most abundant family was the *Saprospiraceae* (Bacteroidetes), another bacterial clade harboring species capable of degrading complex macromolecules produced by seaweeds and their associated algae (McIlroy and Nielsen, 2014). The *Flavobacteriaceae* (Bacteroidetes) family was the most abundant for terrestrial storage sites (Supplementary Figure 7), again suggesting a possible contribution of some of these bacteria to organic matter decay. Further studies should address the shift in microbial communities in the water column of coastal areas, depending on the *Sargassum* morphotypes, algal biomass and aging.

Using FAPROTAX, we obtained functional prediction for a number of prokaryotic OTUs. We found that the most abundant ones corresponded to chemoheterotrophy, nitrate reduction, phototrophy, and respiration of sulfur compounds. Interestingly, putative intracellular parasites, predators or exoparasites, and animal parasites or symbionts, corresponded to a relatively large number of organisms. Within terrestrial



samples, these functional predictions identified abundant OTUs (2.0% of the relative abundance in ISS-sarg) potentially involved in methanogenesis, and corresponding to euryarchaeota assigned to *Methanomicrobiaceae*, *Methanosarcinaceae*, and *Methanococcaceae*. Members of these families have been reported to be involved in methane production from algal biomass (Baptiste et al., 2005; Adam et al., 2017; Klassen et al., 2017). Our results suggest that at inland storage sites, a portion of the carbohydrates from the seaweed alga biomass (for *Sargassum* see Devault et al., 2021, and references therein) is probably degraded anaerobically by these archaea, and used as potential energy source (Smetacek and Zingone, 2013; Helbert, 2017; Milledge et al., 2020).

When washed ashore, *Sargassum* decomposition processes can lead to hydrogen sulfide (H_2S) and ammonia (NH_3) gas emissions, which can result in significant environmental issues (Van Tussenbroek et al., 2017; Cabanillas-Teran et al., 2019; Rodriguez-Martinez et al., 2019) and health concerns (Resiere et al., 2018, 2020). As mentioned above, functional prediction identified OTUs involved in the respiration of sulfur compounds (Figure 6), which represent 3.8, 4.7, and 0.9% of the relative abundance in TS-sarg, TS-sarg and ISS-sarg compartment, respectively. These OTUs were assigned to several orders including *Desulfobacterales* (257 OTUs), *Desulfovibrionales* (87 OTUs), *Clostridiales* (51 OTUs), *Desulfarculales* (35 OTUs), *Desulfuromonadales* (3 OTUs), *Syntrophobacterales* (3 OTUs), and *Thermococcales* (1 OTU). Member of these orders, except the *Thermococcales* were present in the three compartments. In addition to the FAPROTAX predictions, we found in the three compartments additional OTUs assigned to *Sulfurovum* and *Sulfurimonas* (*Campylobacterales*) which are genera known to be involved in sulfur oxidation, and thus probably also contribute to the sulfur cycle in our samples (Han and Perner, 2015).

A deeper understanding of the diversity of sulfate-reducing and sulfur-oxidizing microorganisms associated with *Sargassum* decomposition processes will require specific investigations, such as the presence of functional sulfur cycling-related genes (Pelikan et al., 2016). Furthermore, it will be important to further link the sulfur cycle to several others such as carbon, metals and metalloids such as arsenic (As) (Fisher et al., 2008; Edwardson and Hollibaugh, 2017). This issue seems particularly relevant to us given the large amount of arsenic that bioaccumulates in various *Sargassum* species (see Rodriguez-Martinez et al., 2020; Devault et al., 2021, and our unpublished data for Guadeloupe and Martinique).

Nitrate reduction is another predicted functional role that might be played by prokaryotes. The 71 OTUs functionally predicted to contribute to nitrate reduction belong to 16 bacterial families (Supplementary Table 3) with the most abundant OTUs assigned to the genus *Vibrio* (4.6 and 17.4% of the relative abundance in TS-sw and TS-sarg). This genus, was found to present variable and eventually very high abundance in pelagic *Sargassum* samples (Michotey et al., 2020), could contribute to nitrogen cycling (Urdaci et al., 1988; Herbert, 1999) and potentially to the host nitrogen metabolism. *Vibrios* have been shown to also contribute to the marine organic carbon cycle (Martin et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2018), and to potential sanitary risks because many of them are pathogenic to animals or humans (Baker-Austin et al., 2017). Regarding the nitrogen cycle, we also found 12 prokaryotic OTUs potentially involved in nitrogen fixation as well as 19 OTUs potentially involved in nitrification. Recent analyses have shown that %N has increased over the years in *Sargassum* and that this percentage is higher in *Sargassum* from coastal waters (see Lapointe et al., 2021 and references therein) raising the importance to further study N metabolism of landing *Sargassum*.

The Metazoa Are the Most Abundant Eukaryotes Associated to *Sargassum* Samples

The eukaryotic community associated to *Sargassum* has been rarely investigated by molecular approaches (Kim H. M. et al., 2019). Here we demonstrate that the eukaryotic communities of *Sargassum* samples is dominated by Metazoa, with 24.8% of diversity and 69.9% of the relative abundance from tide sites, and 20.3% of diversity and 38.0% of the relative abundance for the inland storage sites. We expected that some of them correspond to the non-motile and motile epifauna species (Stoner and Greening, 1984; Martinsmith, 1994; Gestoso et al., 2012; Martin et al., 2021). Indeed, crustacea were the most abundant clade in the TS-sw and the second most abundant in the TS-sarg samples, and corresponded essentially to the class Maxillopoda. Copepods (Maxillopoda) have already been described as an abundant invertebrate fauna associated with floating *Sargassum* (Ólafsson et al., 2001; Abé et al., 2013). Among the 555 Maxillopoda OTUs found from the tide site samples, 16.4% were shared between the seawater (TS-sw) and *Sargassum* (TS-sarg) samples, and 36.9% were exclusive to TS-sarg. The most abundant copepod that was found to be shared between these two compartments was assigned to the genus *Zaus* (best blast hit), a genus already found to be associated with macroalgae (Kangtia et al., 2015). We hypothesized that colonization of *Sargassum* by copepods could have occurred during rafting, explaining why different communities could be found between *Sargassum* and seawater at tide sites. Alternatively, some of the copepods identified might correspond to genuine coastal species, and that for some of them the arrival of *Sargassum* and macroalgal detritus could have correspond to new ecological niches to colonize.

Association between nematodes and floating *Sargassum* in the Atlantic region was already noted a century ago (Micoletzky, 1922). The colonization of such usually benthic meiofauna could be the result of accidental encounters and dispersal when clumps of algae are carried by wind or currents. Indeed, benthic nematode species were previously found attached to the surface of pelagic or benthic *Sargassum* spp. (Gerlach, 1977; Kito, 1982; Vranken et al., 1986; Kim H. G. et al., 2019). Within our dataset, we specifically investigated the most abundant nematode-related OTUs and, interestingly, found specific patterns depending on the substrate (Figure 4). The nematodes that were found to be associated with *Sargassum* at tide sites belong to the Enoplia and Monhysterida clades that have been described in marine habitats. The trophic behaviors of species from these clades have been shown to correspond to bacterivore and algivore-omnivore-predator (Meldal et al., 2007; Smythe, 2015). Nematodes were largely dominant in terrestrial samples, with 92% of the metazoan's read abundance. OTUs assigned to Tylenchina, which corresponds mostly to plants, invertebrate and vertebrate parasites, and to Rhabditina, which are generally terrestrial free-living nematode species (Meldal et al., 2007), showed exclusive or specific patterns with inland *Sargassum* samples (Figure 4). Regarding the OTUs related to *Halicephalobus*, the best blast hit for Otu00067 and Otu00111 was *Halicephalobus* sp. (accession number GQ918144), a bacterivore nematode that has been found

in the terrestrial deep subsurface in hypoxic conditions (Borgonie et al., 2011). The hypoxic conditions within the piles of *Sargassum* at storage sites are likely to be one of the important factors explaining differences in the distribution of nematode species within compartments.

Identification of *Sargassum* Specific Operational Taxonomic Unit Associations

In the present study we also identified OTUs that presented differential abundance at tide sites, or between coastal and terrestrial *Sargassum* samples. In total, we found 199 prokaryotic and 56 eukaryotic OTUs with differential abundance in our compartments (Supplementary Table 2). At tide sites, the eukaryotic OTUs significantly more abundant in the surrounding water corresponded to Dinophyceae, unclassified Maxillopoda, Bryozoa [probably to the genus *Membranipora*, a hydrozoan of the genus *Zanclaea* that was previously described as being common on a hydroid assemblage on holopelagic *Sargassum* from the Sargasso Sea (Calder, 1995)], and one OTU of flatworm of the Rhabdocoela order. Some of these later species are known to live in association with *Sargassum* (Willems et al., 2004). Among the 24 OTUs that were significantly associated with the TS-sarg samples, we found one OTU assigned to a toxic dinoflagellate of the *Amphidinium carterae* species, another to *Ulvelia* that are endophytic microalgae, one related to the raphid diatom genus *Aneumastus*, one OTU with the best BLASTN results as *Sargassococcus simulans*, an epiphyte on floating *Sargassum thallus*, isolated in the Sargasso Sea, one to the copepod genus *Zaus*, which are organisms living in the phytal zone, and five OTUs belonging to Hydrozoans, which are also frequently encountered as *Sargassum* epibionts (Huffard et al., 2014). The *Sargassum* samples from storage sites showed significant enrichment of 8 OTUs, including a flagellated protist of the Colpodellidae order, a nematode assigned to the Monhysterida order, and a filose amoeba.

Among the prokaryotes differentially abundant in *Sargassum* from tide sites, we identified several *Oxyphotobacteria* and *Saprospiraceae* which have often been reported as associated with macroalgae surface (Florez et al., 2017). Members of these family were also differentially abundant when comparing *Sargassum* samples between tide sites and inland storage sites. In particular, we found at tide sites 3 *Rubinisphaeraceae* OTUs preferentially associated with inland storage sites, and this family of Planctomycetes was also recently found in wastewater treatment plants (Al Ali et al., 2020), a putatively new member of the *Rivularia*-like cyanobacterium, and members of *Rhizobiales*. Altogether, our data revealed a number of potential new molecular markers associated with *Sargassum* racks from the Caribbean. Even if further researches will have to address more specifically the intra and inter-sites variability as well as the variation of the communities depending on *Sargassum* morphotypes, we have identified here a number of potentially new or poorly described species, with some of these taxa performing selective functions for the host and/or involved in *Sargassum* degradation processes.

CONCLUSION

Our study revealed specific micro- and meiofauna depending on the *Sargassum* samples. We also identified prokaryotic (archaea and bacteria) and eukaryotic biomarkers for marine waters at tide sites, near-shore and beach stranded wracks, and at inland storage sites. The description of this biodiversity provides a grounding for further investigations of the functions played by the epiphytes for the host, algal organic matter decomposition or nutrient transfers between trophic levels at both sea to land sites. Among other things, comparative and targeted investigations on biogeography and *Sargassum* holobionte composition, as well as the role of the degradation processes are required to further elucidate the environmental changes induced by *Sargassum* tides and their local consequences on biodiversity.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository and accession numbers can be found in the article/**Supplementary Material**.

REFERENCES

- Abé, H., Komatsu, T., Kokubu, Y., Natheer, A., Rothäusler, E. A., Shishido, H., et al. (2013). Invertebrate fauna associated with floating *Sargassum horneri* (Fucales: Sargassaceae) in the east China Sea. *Species Divers.* 18, 75–85. doi: 10.12782/sd.18.1.075
- Adam, P. S., Borrel, G., Brochier-Armanet, C., and Gribaldo, S. (2017). The growing tree of Archaea: new perspectives on their diversity, evolution and ecology. *ISME J.* 11, 2407–2425. doi: 10.1038/ismej.2017.122
- Al Ali, A. A., Naddeo, V., Hasan, S. W., and Yousef, A. F. (2020). Correlation between bacterial community structure and performance efficiency of a full-scale wastewater treatment plant. *J. Water Process Engin.* 37:101472. doi: 10.1016/j.jwpe.2020.101472
- Amaral-Zettler, L. A., Dragone, N. B., Schell, J., Slikas, B., Murphy, L. G., Morrall, C. E., et al. (2017). Comparative mitochondrial and chloroplast genomics of a genetically distinct form of *Sargassum* contributing to recent "Golden Tides" in the Western Atlantic. *Ecol. Evolut.* 7, 516–525. doi: 10.1002/ece3.2630
- Anisimova, M., and Gascuel, O. (2006). Approximate likelihood-ratio test for branches: A fast, accurate, and powerful alternative. *Syst. Biol.* 55, 539–552. doi: 10.1080/10635150600755453
- Baker, P., Minzlaff, U., Schoenle, A., Schwabe, E., Hohlfeld, M., Jeuck, A., et al. (2018). Potential contribution of surface-dwelling *Sargassum* algae to deep-sea ecosystems in the southern North Atlantic. *Deep Sea Res. Part II Topic. Stud. Oceanogr.* 148, 21–34. doi: 10.1016/j.dsr2.2017.10.002
- Baker-Austin, C., Trinanes, J., Gonzalez-Escalona, N., and Martinez-Urtaza, J. (2017). Non-Cholera Vibrios: The Microbial Barometer of Climate Change. *Trends Microbiol.* 25, 76–84. doi: 10.1016/j.tim.2016.09.008
- Ballard, S. E., and Rakocinski, C. F. (2012). Flexible feeding strategies of juvenile gray triggerfish (*Balistes capriscus*) and planehead filefish (*Stephanolepis hispidus*) with *Sargassum* habitat. *Gulf Caribb. Res.* 24, 31–40. doi: 10.18785/gcr.2401.05
- Baptiste, E., Brochier, C., and Boucher, Y. (2005). Higher-level classification of the Archaea: evolution of methanogenesis and methanogens. *Archaea* 1, 353–363. doi: 10.1155/2005/859728
- Borgonie, G., Garcia-Moyano, A., Litthauer, D., Bert, W., Bester, A., Van Heerden, E., et al. (2011). Nematoda from the terrestrial deep subsurface of South Africa. *Nature* 474, 79–82. doi: 10.1038/nature09974
- Cabanillas-Teran, N., Hernandez-Arana, H. A., Ruiz-Zarate, M. A., Vega-Zepeda, A., and Sanchez-Gonzalez, A. (2019). *Sargassum* blooms in the Caribbean alter

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

VH and PJJ: conceptualization and investigations. VH, JL, MR-T, DAD, and PJJ: sampling. VH, JL, and PJJ: methodology and writing—original draft. PJJ: funding acquisition. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

FUNDING

This work was supported by the Labex DRIIHM, the French “Investissements d’Avenir” program (ANR-11-LABX-0010), managed by the ANR and the Human-Environment Observatory Littoral Caraïbe. We also acknowledge the financial support of the Agence de l’Environnement et de la Maitrise de l’Energie (ADEME).

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: <https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2021.701155/full#supplementary-material>

- the trophic structure of the sea urchin *Diadema antillarum*. *PeerJ* 7:e7589. doi: 10.7717/peerj.7589
- Calder, D. R. (1995). Hydroid assemblages on holopelagic *Sargassum* from the Sargasso Sea at Bermuda. *Bull. Mar. Sci.* 56, 537–546.
- Capella-Gutierrez, S., Silla-Martinez, J. M., and Gabaldon, T. (2009). trimAl: a tool for automated alignment trimming in large-scale phylogenetic analyses. *Bioinformatics* 25, 1972–1973. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btp348
- Casazza, T. L., and Ross, S. (1972). Fishes associated with the pelagic *Sargassum* complex with a discussion of *Sargassum* community. *Fishery Bull.* 16:1.
- Coelho-Souza, S. A., Jenkins, S. R., Casarin, A., Baeta-Neves, M. H., Salgado, L. T., Guimaraes, J. R. D., et al. (2017). The effect of light on bacterial activity in a seaweed holobiont. *Microb. Ecol.* 74, 868–876. doi: 10.1007/s00248-017-0995-x
- Damare, V. S. (2015). Diversity of thraustochytrid protists isolated from brown alga, *Sargassum cinereum* using 18S rDNA sequencing and their morphological response to heavy metals. *J. Mar. Biol. Associat. U K.* 95, 265–276. doi: 10.1017/S0025315414001696
- De Fouw, J., Govers, L. L., Van De Koppel, J., Van Belzen, J., Dorigo, W., Sidi Cheikh, M. A., et al. (2016). Drought, mutualism breakdown, and landscape-scale degradation of seagrass beds. *Curr. Biol.* 26, 1051–1056. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2016.02.023
- Devault, D. A., Pierre, R., Marfaing, H., Dolique, F., and Lopez, P. J. (2021). *Sargassum* contamination and consequences for downstream uses: a review. *J. Appl. Phycol.* 33, 567–602. doi: 10.1007/s10811-020-02250-w
- Edwardson, C. F., and Hollibaugh, J. T. (2017). Metatranscriptomic analysis of prokaryotic communities active in sulfur and arsenic cycling in Mono Lake, California, USA. *ISME J.* 11, 2195–2208. doi: 10.1038/ismej.2017.80
- Egan, S., Harder, T., Burke, C., Steinberg, P., Kjelleberg, S., and Thomas, T. (2013). The seaweed holobiont: understanding seaweed-bacteria interactions. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.* 37, 462–476. doi: 10.1111/1574-6976.12011
- Fernandes, A. D., Reid, J. N. S., Macklaim, J. M., Mcmurrough, T. A., Edgell, D. R., and Gloor, G. B. (2014). Unifying the analysis of high-throughput sequencing datasets: characterizing RNA-seq, 16S rRNA gene sequencing and selective growth experiments by compositional data analysis. *Microbiome* 2:15.
- Fine, M. L. (1970). Faunal variation on pelagic *Sargassum*. *Mar. Biol.* 7, 112–122. doi: 10.1007/BF00354914
- Fisher, J. C., Wallschlager, D., Planer-Friedrich, B., and Hollibaugh, J. T. (2008). A new role for sulfur in arsenic cycling. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 42, 81–85. doi: 10.1021/es0713936

- Fitzpatrick, C. R., Lu-Irving, P., Copeland, J., Guttman, D. S., Wang, P. W., Balrus, D. A., et al. (2018). Chloroplast sequence variation and the efficacy of peptide nucleic acids for blocking host amplification in plant microbiome studies. *Microbiome* 6:144. doi: 10.1186/s40168-018-0534-0
- Florez, J. Z., Camus, C., Hengst, M. B., and Buschmann, A. H. (2017). A functional perspective analysis of macroalgae and epiphytic bacterial community interaction. *Front. Microbiol.* 8:2561. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2017.02561
- Gerlach, S. A. (1977). Attraction of decaying organisms as a possible cause for patchy distribution of nematodes in a Bermuda beach. *Ophelia* 16, 151–165. doi: 10.1080/00785326.1977.10425467
- Gestoso, I., Olabarria, C., and Troncoso, J. S. (2012). Effects of macroalgal identity on epifaunal assemblages: native species versus the invasive species *Sargassum muticum*. *Helgoland Mar. Res.* 66, 159–166. doi: 10.1007/s10152-011-0257-0
- Goslee, S. C., and Urban, D. L. (2007). The ecodist package for dissimilarity-based analysis of ecological data. *J. Statist. Softw.* 22, 1–19. doi: 10.18637/jss.v022.i07
- Guillou, L., Bachar, D., Audic, S., Bass, D., Berney, C., Bittner, L., et al. (2013). The Protist Ribosomal Reference database (PR2): a catalog of unicellular eukaryote Small Sub-Unit rRNA sequences with curated taxonomy. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 41, D597–D604. doi: 10.1093/nar/gks1160
- Guindon, S., Dufayard, J. F., Lefort, V., Anisimova, M., Hordijk, W., and Gascuel, O. (2010). New algorithms and methods to estimate maximum-likelihood phylogenies: assessing the performance of PhyML 3.0. *Syst. Biol.* 59, 307–321. doi: 10.1093/sysbio/syq010
- Han, Y., and Perner, M. (2015). The globally widespread genus *Sulfurimonas*: versatile energy metabolisms and adaptations to redox clines. *Front. Microbiol.* 6:989. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2015.00989
- Haney, J. C. (1986). Seabird patchiness in tropical oceanic waters - the influence of *Sargassum* "reefs". *Auk* 103, 141–151. doi: 10.1093/auk/103.1.141
- Helbert, W. (2017). Marine Polysaccharide Sulfatases. *Front. Mar. Sci.* 4:00006. doi: 10.3389/fmars.2017.00006
- Herbert, R. A. (1999). Nitrogen cycling in coastal marine ecosystems. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.* 23, 563–590. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6976.1999.tb00414.x
- Hu, Z.-M., and Fraser, C. (2016). *Seaweed phylogeography. Adaptation and evolution of seaweeds under environmental change*. Berlin: Springer Netherlands. doi: 10.1007/978-94-017-7534-2
- Huffard, C. L., Von Thun, S., Sherman, A. D., Sealey, K., and Smith, K. L. (2014). Pelagic *Sargassum* community change over a 40-year period: temporal and spatial variability. *Mar. Biol.* 161, 2735–2751. doi: 10.1007/s00227-014-2539-y
- Jacobucci, G., and Leite, F. (2014). The role of epiphytic algae and different species of *Sargassum* in the distribution and feeding of herbivorous amphipods. *Latin Am. J. Aquat. Res.* 42, 353–363. doi: 10.3856/vol42-issue2-fulltext-6
- Jobe, C. F., and Brooks, W. R. (2009). Habitat selection and host location by symbiotic shrimps associated with *Sargassum* communities: The role of chemical and visual cues. *Symbiosis* 49, 77–85. doi: 10.1007/s13199-009-0017-y
- Kangtia, P., Dahms, H. U., Song, S. J., Myoung, J. G., Park, J., and Kim, J. S. (2015). On the occurrence of a new species of benthic copepod, *Zaus wonchoellei* (Harpacticoida, Harpacticidae), in a macroalgal habitat from Tongyong, Korea. *Proc. Biol. Soc. Washington* 127, 585–602. doi: 10.2988/0006-324X-127.4.585
- Katoh, K., and Standley, D. M. (2013). MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7: improvements in performance and usability. *Mol. Biol. Evolut.* 30, 772–780. doi: 10.1093/molbev/mst010
- Kim, H. G., Hawkins, L. E., Godbold, J. A., Oh, C. W., Rho, H. S., and Hawkins, S. J. (2019). Comparison of nematode assemblages associated with *Sargassum muticum* in its native range in South Korea and as an invasive species in the English Channel. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* 611, 95–110. doi: 10.3354/meps12846
- Kim, H. M., Jo, J., Park, C., Choi, B. J., Lee, H. G., and Kim, K. Y. (2019). Epibionts associated with floating *Sargassum horneri* in the Korea Strait. *Algae* 34, 303–313. doi: 10.4490/algae.2019.34.12.10
- Kito, K. (1982). Phytal marine nematode assemblage on *Sargassum confusum* Agardh, with reference to the structure and seasonal fluctuations. *J. Facul. Sci. Hokkaido Univers.* 23, 143–161.
- Klassen, V., Blifernez-Klassen, O., Wibberg, D., Winkler, A., Kalinowski, J., Posten, C., et al. (2017). Highly efficient methane generation from untreated microalgal biomass. *Biotechnol. Biofuels* 10:186. doi: 10.1186/s13068-017-0871-4
- Kozich, J. J., Westcott, S. L., Baxter, N. T., Highlander, S. K., and Schloss, P. D. (2013). Development of a dual-index sequencing strategy and curation pipeline for analyzing amplicon sequence data on the MiSeq Illumina sequencing platform. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 79, 5112–5120. doi: 10.1128/AEM.01043-13
- Kruger, K., Chafee, M., Ben Francis, T., Del Rio, T. G., Becher, D., Schweder, T., et al. (2019). In marine Bacteroidetes the bulk of glycan degradation during algae blooms is mediated by few clades using a restricted set of genes. *ISME J.* 13, 2800–2816. doi: 10.1038/s41396-019-0476-y
- Lapointe, B. E., Brewton, R. A., Herren, L. W., Wang, M., Hu, C., McGillicuddy, D. J., et al. (2021). Nutrient content and stoichiometry of pelagic *Sargassum* reflects increasing nitrogen availability in the Atlantic Basin. *Nat. Commun.* 12:3060. doi: 10.1038/s41467-021-23135-7
- Lefort, V., Longueville, J. E., and Gascuel, O. (2017). SMS: Smart Model Selection in PhyML. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 34, 2422–2424. doi: 10.1093/molbev/msx149
- Letunic, I., and Bork, P. (2019). Interactive Tree Of Life (iTOL) v4: recent updates and new developments. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 47, W256–W259. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkz239
- Louca, S., Parfrey, L. W., and Doebeli, M. (2016). Decoupling function and taxonomy in the global ocean microbiome. *Science* 353, 1272–1277. doi: 10.1126/science.aaf4507
- Maples, R. S. (1984). The epiphytic diatom flora of two *Sargassum* species. *Gulf Res. Rep.* 7, 373–375. doi: 10.18785/grr.0704.09
- Martin, L. M., Taylor, M., Huston, G., Goodwin, D. S., Schell, J. M., and Siuda, A. N. S. (2021). Pelagic *Sargassum* morphotypes support different rafting motile epifauna communities. *Mar. Biol.* 168, 3910–3912. doi: 10.1007/s00227-021-03910-2
- Martin, M., Barbeyron, T., Martin, R., Portetelle, D., Michel, G., and Vandenberg, M. (2015). The cultivable surface microbiota of the brown alga *Ascophyllum nodosum* is enriched in Macroalgal-Polysaccharide-Degrading Bacteria. *Front. Microbiol.* 6:1487. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2015.01487
- Martinsmith, K. M. (1994). Short-term dynamics of tropical macroalgal epifauna: patterns and processes in recolonization of *Sargassum fissifolium*. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* 110, 177–185. doi: 10.3354/meps110177
- McBride, M. J. (2014). "The Family Flavobacteriaceae," in *The Prokaryotes*, eds E. Rosenberg, E. F. Delong, S. Lory, E. Stackebrandt, and F. Thompson (Berlin: Springer).
- McIlroy, S. J., and Nielsen, P. H. (2014). "The Family Saprospiraceae," in *The Prokaryotes*, eds E. Rosenberg, E. F. Delong, S. Lory, E. Stackebrandt, and F. Thompson (Berlin: Springer), 863–889. doi: 10.1007/978-3-642-38954-2_138
- McKee, L. S., La Rosa, S. L., Westereng, B., Eijsink, V. G., Pope, P. B., and Larsbrink, J. (2021). Polysaccharide degradation by the Bacteroidetes: mechanisms and nomenclature. *Environ. Microbiol. Rep.* 13, 559–581. doi: 10.1111/1758-2229.12980
- McMurdie, P. J., and Holmes, S. (2013). Phyloseq: an R package for reproducible interactive analysis and graphics of microbiome census data. *PLoS One* 8:e61217. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0061217
- Mei, X., Wu, C., Zhao, J., Yan, T., and Jiang, P. (2019). Community structure of bacteria associated with drifting *Sargassum horneri*, the causative species of golden tide in the Yellow Sea. *Front. Microbiol.* 10:1192. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2019.01192
- Meldal, B. H., Debenham, N. J., De Ley, P., De Ley, I. T., Vanfleteren, J. R., Vierstraete, A. R., et al. (2007). An improved molecular phylogeny of the Nematoda with special emphasis on marine taxa. *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.* 42, 622–636. doi: 10.1016/j.ympev.2006.08.025
- Michotey, V., Blanfuné, A., Chevalier, C., Garel, M., Diaz, F., Berline, L., et al. (2020). *In situ* observations and modelling revealed environmental factors favouring occurrence of *Vibrio* in microbiome of the pelagic *Sargassum* responsible for strandings. *Sci. Total Environ.* 748:141216. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.141216
- Micoletzky, H. (1922). Freilebende Nematoden aus den treibenden Tangen der Sargassosee. *Mitteilungen Hamburger Zoologischen Museums Instit.* 39, 1–11.
- Milledge, J. J., Manein, S., Lopez, E. A., and Bartlett, D. (2020). *Sargassum* inundations in turks and caicos: methane potential and proximate, ultimate, lipid, amino acid, metal and metalloids analyses. *Energies* 13:1523. doi: 10.3390/en13061523
- Monroy-Velazquez, L. V., Rodriguez-Martinez, R. E., Van Tussenbroek, B. I., Aguiar, T., Solis-Weiss, V., and Briones-Fourzan, P. (2019). Motile macrofauna

- associated with pelagic *Sargassum* in a Mexican reef lagoon. *J. Environ. Manage.* 252:109650. doi: 10.1016/j.jenvman.2019.109650
- Oksanen, J., Blanchet, F. G., Kindt, R., Legendre, P., Minchin, P. R., O'hara, R. B., et al. (2015). *vegan: community ecology package. R package version 2.3-2*. Vienna: R Core Team.
- Ólafsson, E., Ingólfsson, A., and Steinarsdóttir, M. B. (2001). Harpacticoid copepod communities of floating seaweed: Controlling factors and implications for dispersal. *Hydrobiologia* 45, 189–200. doi: 10.1023/A:1013196724039
- Parr, A. E. (1939). *Quantitative observations on the pelagic Sargassum vegetation of the Western North Atlantic*. New Haven: Yale University.
- Pelikan, C., Herbold, C. W., Hausmann, B., Muller, A. L., Pester, M., and Loy, A. (2016). Diversity analysis of sulfite- and sulfate-reducing microorganisms by multiplex *dsrA* and *dsrB* amplicon sequencing using new primers and mock community-optimized bioinformatics. *Environ. Microbiol.* 18, 2994–3009. doi: 10.1111/1462-2920.13139
- Pruesse, E., Peplies, J., and Glockner, F. O. (2012). SINA: accurate high-throughput multiple sequence alignment of ribosomal RNA genes. *Bioinformatics* 28, 1823–1829. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/bts252
- Quast, C., Pruesse, E., Yilmaz, P., Gerken, J., Schweer, T., Yarza, P., et al. (2013). The SILVA ribosomal RNA gene database project: improved data processing and web-based tools. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 41, D590–D596. doi: 10.1093/nar/gks1219
- Resiere, D., Valentino, R., Banydeen, R., Megarbane, B., Nevieri, R., and Mehdaoui, H. (2020). Long-term exposure to *Sargassum*-seaweed pollution in the French Caribbean Islands: clinical consequences and outcome. *Clin. Toxicol.* 58, 550–550.
- Resiere, D., Valentino, R., Nevieri, R., Banydeen, R., Gueye, P., Florentin, J., et al. (2018). *Sargassum* seaweed on Caribbean islands: an international public health concern. *Lancet* 392, 2691–2691. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(18)32777-6
- Rodriguez-Martinez, R. E., Medina-Valmaseda, A. E., Blanchon, P., Monroy-Velazquez, L. V., Almazan-Becerril, A., Delgado-Pech, B., et al. (2019). Faunal mortality associated with massive beaching and decomposition of pelagic *Sargassum*. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* 146, 201–205. doi: 10.1016/j.marpolbul.2019.06.015
- Rodriguez-Martinez, R. E., Roy, P. D., Torrescano-Valle, N., Cabanillas-Teran, N., Carrillo-Dominguez, S., Collado-Vides, L., et al. (2020). Element concentrations in pelagic *Sargassum* along the Mexican Caribbean coast in 2018–2019. *PeerJ* 8:e8667. doi: 10.7717/peerj.8667
- Rognes, T., Flouri, T., Nichols, B., Quince, C., and Mahe, F. (2016). VSEARCH: a versatile open source tool for metagenomics. *PeerJ* 4:e2584.
- Ryland, J. S. (1974). Observations on some epibionts of gulf-weed, *Sargassum natans* (L) Meyen. *J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol.* 14, 17–25. doi: 10.1016/0022-0981(74)90034-3
- Sanders-Smith, R., Segovia, B. T., Forbes, C., Hessing-Lewis, M., Morien, E., Lemay, M. A., et al. (2020). Host-specificity and core taxa of seagrass leaf microbiome identified across tissue age and geographical regions. *Front. Ecol. Evolut.* 8:605304. doi: 10.3389/fevo.2020.605304
- Schell, J. M., Goodwin, D. S., and Siuda, A. N. S. (2015). Recent *Sargassum* inundation events in the Caribbean shipboard observations reveal dominance of a previously rare form. *Oceanography* 28, 8–10. doi: 10.5670/oceanog.2015.70
- Schloss, P. D., Westcott, S. L., Ryabin, T., Hall, J. R., Hartmann, M., Hollister, E. B., et al. (2009). Introducing mothur: open-source, platform-independent, community-supported software for describing and comparing microbial communities. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 75, 7537–7541.
- Serebryakova, A., Aires, T., Viard, F., Serrao, E. A., and Engelen, A. H. (2018). Summer shifts of bacterial communities associated with the invasive brown seaweed *Sargassum muticum* are location and tissue dependent. *PLoS One* 13:e0206734. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0206734
- Smetacek, V., and Zingone, A. (2013). Green and golden seaweed tides on the rise. *Nature* 504, 84–88. doi: 10.1038/nature12860
- Smythe, A. B. (2015). Evolution of feeding structures in the marine nematode order Enopliida. *Integr. Comp. Biol.* 55, 228–240. doi: 10.1093/icb/icv043
- Stoeck, T., Bass, D., Nebel, M., Christen, R., Jones, M. D., Breiner, H. W., et al. (2010). Multiple marker parallel tag environmental DNA sequencing reveals a highly complex eukaryotic community in marine anoxic water. *Mol. Ecol.* 19(Suppl. 1), 21–31. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-294X.2009.04480.x
- Stoner, A. W., and Greening, H. S. (1984). Geographic-variation in the macrofaunal associates of pelagic *Sargassum* and some biogeographic implications. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* 20, 185–192. doi: 10.3354/meps020185
- Susilowati, R., Sabdono, A., and Widowati, I. (2015). Isolation and characterization of bacteria associated with brown algae *Sargassum* spp. from Panjang Island and their antibacterial activities. *Basic Res. Trop. Coast. Reg. Eco Dev.* 23, 240–246. doi: 10.1016/j.proenv.2015.01.036
- Thomas, F., Le Duff, N., Wu, T. D., Cebron, A., Uroz, S., Riera, P., et al. (2021). Isotopic tracing reveals single-cell assimilation of a macroalgal polysaccharide by a few marine Flavobacteria and Gammaproteobacteria. *ISME J.* 15, 3062–3075. doi: 10.1038/s41396-021-00987-x
- Torralba, M. G., Franks, J. S., Gomez, A., Yooshep, S., Nelson, K. E., and Grimes, D. J. (2017). Effect of Macondo prospect 252 oil on microbiota associated with pelagic *Sargassum* in the northern Gulf of Mexico. *Microb. Ecol.* 73, 91–100. doi: 10.1007/s00248-016-0857-y
- Urdaci, M. C., Stal, L. J., and Marchand, M. (1988). Occurrence of nitrogen-fixation among vibrio spp. *Arch. Microbiol.* 150, 224–229. doi: 10.1007/BF00407784
- Van Der Loos, L. M., Eriksson, B. K., and Falcao Salles, J. (2019). The macroalgal holobiont in a changing sea. *Trends Microbiol.* 27, 635–650. doi: 10.1016/j.tim.2019.03.002
- Van Tussenbroek, B. I., Arana, H. A. H., Rodriguez-Martinez, R. E., Espinoza-Avalos, J., Canizales-Flores, H. M., Gonzalez-Godoy, C. E., et al. (2017). Severe impacts of brown tides caused by *Sargassum* spp. on near-shore Caribbean seagrass communities. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* 122, 272–281. doi: 10.1016/j.marpolbul.2017.06.057
- Vranken, G., Herman, P. M. J., Vincx, M., and Heip, C. (1986). A re-evaluation of marine nematode productivity. *Hydrobiologia* 135, 193–196. doi: 10.1007/BF00006530
- Walters, W., Hyde, E. R., Berg-Lyons, D., Ackermann, G., Humphrey, G., Parada, A., et al. (2016). Improved bacterial 16S rRNA gene (V4 and V4-5) and fungal internal transcribed spacer marker gene primers for microbial community surveys. *mSystems* 1, e00009–e00015. doi: 10.1128/mSystems.00009-15
- Wang, M. Q., Hu, C. M., Barnes, B. B., Mitchum, G., Lapointe, B., and Montoya, J. P. (2019). The great Atlantic *Sargassum* belt. *Science* 365, 83–87. doi: 10.1126/science.aaw7912
- Weis, J. S. (1968). Fauna associated with pelagic *Sargassum* in the Gulf Stream. *Am. Natural.* 80, 554–558. doi: 10.2307/2423550
- Willems, W. R., Artois, T., Vermin, W., and Chockaert, E. (2004). Revision of *Trigonostomum* Schmidt, 1852 (Platyhelminthes, Typhloplanoida, Trigonostomidae) with the description of seven new species. *Zool. J. Linnean Soc.* 141, 271–296. doi: 10.1111/j.1096-3642.2004.00124.x
- Witherington, B., Hiram, S., and Hardy, R. (2012). Young sea turtles of the pelagic *Sargassum*-dominated drift community: habitat use, population density, and threats. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* 463, 1–22. doi: 10.3354/meps09970
- Zhang, X. H., Lin, H. Y., Wang, X. L., and Austin, B. (2018). Significance of *Vibrio* species in the marine organic carbon cycle – A review. *Sci. China Earth Sci.* 61, 1357–1368. doi: 10.1007/s11430-017-9229-x

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2021 Hervé, Lambourdière, René-Trouillefou, Devault and Lopez. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.