



Implications of the Thermodynamic Response of Soil Mineralization, Respiration, and Nitrification on Soil Organic Matter Retention

Anne E. Taylor^{1*}, Camille Ottoman¹ and Frank Chaplen²

¹ Department of Crop and Soil Science, Oregon State University, Corvallis, OR, United States, ² Department of Biological and Ecological Engineering, Oregon State University, Corvallis, OR, United States

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

George Tsiamis, University of Patras, Greece

Reviewed by: Yaving Li.

Institute of Urban Environment (CAS), China Yiqi Luo, Northern Arizona University, United States

*Correspondence: Anne E. Taylor anne.taylor@oregonstate.edu

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Systems Microbiology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Microbiology

Received: 08 January 2021 Accepted: 21 April 2021 Published: 19 May 2021

Citation:

Taylor AE, Ottoman C and Chaplen F (2021) Implications of the Thermodynamic Response of Soil Mineralization, Respiration, and Nitrification on Soil Organic Matter Retention. Front. Microbiol. 12:651210. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2021.651210 Considerable research has shown that modifications in global temperature regimes can lead to changes in the interactions between soil respiration and the sequestration of C and N into soil organic matter (SOM). We hypothesized that despite the interconnected nature of respiration, net N mineralization, and nitrification processes, there would be differences in their thermodynamic responses that would affect the composition of inorganic soil N and the potential for retention of N in SOM. To test this hypothesis, soil respiration, N mineralization and nitrification responses were evaluated during constant temperature incubations at seven temperatures (4-42°C) in tilled and no-till soils from two major agroecological zones in Oregon; Willamette Valley, and Pendleton located in the Columbia River Basin. We observed (1) significant thermodynamic differences between the three processes in all soils, (2) a distinctly different thermodynamic profile in Willamette vs. Pendleton, and (3) a dynamic response of T_{opt} (optimal temperature for activity), and T_{smax} (temperature of greatest rate response to temperature), and temperature sensitivity (ΔC_{D}^{T}) over the incubation time course, resulting in shifts in the thermodynamic profiles that could not be adequately explained by changes in process rates. We found that differences in contributions of ammonia oxidizing archaea and bacteria to nitrification activity across temperature helped to explain the thermodynamic differences of this process between Willamette and Pendleton soils. A two-pool model of SOM utilization demonstrated that the dynamic thermodynamic response of respiration in the soils was due to shifts in utilization of labile and less-labile pools of C; and that the respiration response by Pendleton soils was more dependent upon contributions from the less-labile C pool resulting in higher T_{opt} and T_{smax} than Willamette soils. Interestingly, modeling of N mineralization using the two-pool model suggested that only the less-labile pool of SOM was contributing to N mineralization at most temperatures in all soils. The difference in labile and less-labile SOM pool utilization between respiration and N mineralization may suggest that these processes may not be as interconnected as previously thought.

Keywords: thermodynamics, respiration, N mineralization, nitrification, inorganic soil N

1

INTRODUCTION

Considerable research has shown that modifications in global temperature regimes can lead to changes in the interactions between soil respiration and the sequestration of C and N into soil organic matter (SOM) (Davidson and Janssens, 2006; Sierra, 2012; Sierra et al., 2015; Blagodatskaya et al., 2016) (Figure 1). Warming of soils increases the rate of decomposition of SOM catalyzed by heterotrophic soil microorganisms for energy generation (CO₂ respiration) and growth (C sequestration). A portion of the mineralized soil NH₄⁺ released during respiratory processes is immobilized into microbial biomass, and the remaining NH_4^+ becomes available for plant uptake or nitrification and denitrification processes (De Neve et al., 2003; Miller and Geisseler, 2018). It is well-documented that the activity of SOM degrading microorganisms continues to increase in response to temperature up to $\geq 50^{\circ}$ C, yet microbial growth is generally optimal at $\sim 30^{\circ}$ C (He et al., 2014; Dan et al., 2019); resulting in greater vulnerability of soil C and N loss above 30°C. Mineralization in the absence of microbial growth could lead to both reduced soil C storage, and production of mineral NH_4^+ in excess of plant demand. Under these conditions NH⁺₄ becomes vulnerable to microbial oxidation to water-mobile NO_3^- by soil nitrifying microorganisms which have demonstrated sustained activity at temperatures up to 42°C (Tourna et al., 2011; Taylor et al., 2017, 2019). The activity of nitrifiers controls the portion of N that is potentially vulnerable to leaching from the rooting zone in response to precipitation or irrigation, but also contributes to the efficiency with which mineral N is converted into plant N by controlling the availability of reduced (NH_4^+) or oxidized (NO_3^-) forms of N.

The assumption is generally made that soil microbes are C rather than N limited, and the release of mineralized NH_4^+ is the result of microbes mining the soil for C to fuel respiration (Hobbie and Hobbie, 2013; Farrell et al., 2014). The accumulation of net N is dependent upon both the rate of NH_4^+ released during mineralization, and the rate of immobilization of NH₄⁺ into biomass and soil properties (Barrett and Burke, 2002; Pries et al., 2017). Nitrifier activity in turn is limited by the rate of N mineralization and competition with heterotrophic NH_4^+ immobilization. This study was initiated to determine if the trends observed in the temperature related thermodynamic responses of these three interrelated soil activities (respiration, net N mineralization, and nitrification) were similar, or if they differed in ways that affected mineral N production and speciation across temperatures. Previously we have found that the thermodynamic response of potential rates of nitrification in the absence of NH₄⁺ limitations were similar across ecosystems (Taylor et al., 2017, 2019); but the thermodynamic response of nitrification in response to mineralized NH₄⁺ may not follow the same pattern. Other researchers have found that the temperature response of N mineralization did not vary across regions (Miller and Geisseler, 2018), and that rates of soil respiration in nondesert biomes all had a similar temperature response (Carey et al.,



FIGURE 1 Nitrogen cycling in terrestrial systems. N cycling in terrestrial systems is microbially mediated with heterotrophic microorganisms mineralizing soil organic nitrogen (Step 1) to ammonium/ammonia (NH_4^+/NH_3), oxidation of NH_3 to nitrite (NO_2^-) then nitrate (NO_3^- , Step 2) by nitrifying microorganisms, and denitrification to N oxide gases and dinitrogen gas (Step 3). Inorganic N is recovered through N fixation of dinitrogen through microbial action and natural events (lightning as an example) to form NH_3 (Step 4), and inorganic N returns to the soil organic nitrogen pool through N immobilization by microorganisms (Step 5). Microbial N cycling in soils is a key regulator of N availability to plants and other players in terrestrial system. The addition of acetylene at varying levels affects different steps in the N cycle.

Thermodynamic Response of Soil Processes

2016). We hypothesized that despite the reliance of the rate of N mineralization upon respiration rates, and the reliance of the rate of nitrification upon N mineralization, there would be differences in the temperature response of these processes that would affect the composition of inorganic soil N and the possible retention of N in SOM.

To test this hypothesis, soil respiration, N mineralization and nitrification responses were evaluated during constant temperature incubations at seven temperatures (4-42°C) in soils from two major agroecological zones in Oregon with different temperature and rainfall regimes. To evaluate the effect that differences in SOM content exerted on C and N processes in response to temperature, we identified soils of the same soil type under conventional tillage (yearly cultivation) and conservative tillage (no or infrequent cultivation) management practices that have resulted in differences in soil C content. The temperature response of the rates of CO₂ respiration, net N mineralization, and nitrification in each soil were modeled to obtain thermodynamic parameters to compare the processes. Additional modeling with multiple pool models were applied to gain a greater understanding of the dynamics in the thermodynamic response.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Soils from two major Oregon agroecological zones were collected in June and July of 2019. Soils from OSU's Columbia Basin Agricultural Research Center (CBARC) in Pendleton Oregon are representative of the intermountain cereal cropping region of the Pacific Northwest that has a mean annual temperature (MAT) of 11.2°C (temperatures range -7.2-38.3°C), and receives ≤ 18 inches of precipitation annually. Pendleton soil is a Typic Haploxeroll (Walla Walla silt loam) in a winter wheat/fallow rotation in a long-term tillage fertility study since 1940. Three replicates of Till (annual tillage, pH 5.5-6.6) and No-till (established in 1982, pH 4.9-5.1) soils were sampled from the randomized block, split plot treatments receiving 80 lbs. N acre⁻¹ during cropping years. Four to five soil samples were taken to a depth of 10 cm from each replicate plot via a random walk process. A composited sample was prepared for each replicate plot and brought to the laboratory.

The Willamette Valley sites have a MAT that is not significantly different from the Pendleton site (11.5°C); however, Willamette Valley soils rarely freeze and experience a lower maximum temperature (temperature range $3.3-33.9^{\circ}$ C). The Willamette Valley receives an average of 42 inches precipitation yearly, and soils on the valley floor are often water saturated during winter and spring, and commonly used for crops tolerant to wet soil conditions such as spring grains, grass seed and pasture. Soils for this study were sampled from annual rye grass production fields belonging to a private grower under either Till (annual tillage and residue removal, pH 4.7–5.8) and No-till (infrequent tillage, mostly recently in 2016, 2013 and 2008; full residue load retained following harvest; pH 6.5–7.3) management, and receive 140 lbs. N acre⁻¹ year⁻¹. Both fields were on Dayton series silt loam soils (Vertic Albaqualfs) which

Soil	Total C (%)	Total N (%)	C:N	рН	
Willamette tilled	2.31 (0.28)b	0.19 (0.02)c	12.02 (0.33)a	5.15 (0.65)b	
Willamette no-till	3.46 (0.30)c	0.29 (0.02)d	11.96 (0.53)a	6.89 (0.39)c	
Pendleton tilled	1.13 (0.09)a	0.09 (0.01)a	12.30 (1.48)a	6.04 (0.55)b	
Pendleton no-till	1.90 (0.17)b	0.15 (0.01)b	12.80 (0.47)a	4.96 (0.10)a	

Values indicate the average of three field replicates with standard deviation in parentheses. Lower case letters indicate significant differences in parameters ($p \le 0.05$).

are widely distributed in the Willamette Valley. The fields were large (70–80 acres each) and were sampled to yield three distinct field replicates. Soil microbial activity is greatest in surface soils, therefore four to five soil samples were taken to a depth of 10 cm from each replicate site via a random walk process, and a composited sample was prepared for each replicate site and brought to the laboratory.

In the laboratory all soils were sieved to <4.75 mm and stored at 4°C prior to experimentation. Total C and N were determined by standard methods of the Soil Health Lab at Oregon State University (**Table 1**). Ratios of C:N did not differ amongst the soils; however, WNT soils had total C and N contents significantly higher than the other soils, while values in PT soils were significantly lower ($p \le 0.05$).

Mineralization Study

To evaluate the temperature response of soil respiration, N mineralization and nitrification, sampled soils were incubated moist, \sim 60% of field capacity, over a range of temperatures (4, 10, 16, 23, 30, 37, and 42°C) for 28 d and sampled weekly. Portions of moist soil (3 g) were weighed into glass tubes (one for each week) and placed within jars (500 ml volume) and sealed with a lid containing a septum. One set of samples was incubated in the presence of acetylene $(10 \,\mu M$ in soil water) to evaluate the effect of temperature on NO_3^- consumption, or acetylene-resistant heterotrophic nitrification, and another set of samples was incubated in the absence of acetylene to evaluate the response of autotrophic nitrification on inorganic N accumulation. At sampling times jars were opened and a tube of soil removed; the jar was left open for 10 min to allow for air exchange before being resealed. Acetylene treatments were reapplied and jars returned to temperature-controlled incubators. Soil portions removed from jars were decanted into 155 ml bottles with 15 ml dH_2O and shaken ${\sim}250$ rpm for 15 min at room temperature. Soil slurry aliquots (1.8 ml) were removed into microcentrifuge tubes, centrifuged for 3 min at 13.4×10^3 g, and $NO_2^- + NO_3^-$ concentrations were determined immediately on the supernatants as described previously and expressed on an oven-dried weight of soil basis (Giguere et al., 2015). Initial concentrations of $NO_2^- + NO_3^-$ were subtracted from all time points. There was no significant change in background NO₂⁻ $+ NO_3^-$ concentrations in plus acetylene controls in any of the soils (data not shown), indicating there was no significant $NO_2^ + NO_3^-$ sink, and no significant contribution to nitrification by

heterotrophic organisms. The accumulation of NO₂⁻ + NO₃⁻ over time was considered to be the rate of soil nitrification. KCl was added to the soil slurry bottles (final concentration 2M) and the slurries shaken for 1 h. Soil slurry aliquots (1.8 ml) were removed into microcentrifuge tubes, centrifuged for 3 min at 13.4 $\times 10^3$ g, and extractable NH₄⁺ concentrations were determined immediately on the supernatants as described previously and expressed on an oven-dried weight of soil basis (Giguere et al., 2015). Initial concentrations of NH₄⁺ were subtracted from all time points. The accumulation of NO₂⁻ + NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺ over time was considered to be the rate of soil N mineralization.

To determine the soil respiration response to temperature, 10 g of moist soil were placed into 500 ml jar and sealed with a lid with a septum to allow for gas sampling, and incubated at the temperatures described above. Headspace samples in jars were evaluated for CO_2 accumulation at each sampling time using a Picarro G2101-i Analyzer equipped with a multiportvalve sampler (Picarro Inc., Santa Clara, CA, USA). After CO_2 measurement, jars were opened for 10 min to allow for air exchange before being resealed and CO_2 again measured. CO_2 that accumulated between one sampling and the next was expressed on an oven-dried weight of soil basis. The accumulation of CO_2 over time was considered to be the rate of soil respiration. Total C and N were determined at the OSU Central Analytical Laboratory on pre- and post-incubation soil samples.

Potential Assays

To evaluate the contributions of NH₃-oxidizing archaea (AOA) and bacteria (AOB) to soil nitrification, the NH₃ oxidation potential (AOP) response was determined on field soil samples as described previously (Taylor et al., 2017). Some AOP treatments included octyne $(4 \mu M)$ to distinguish between AOA- and AOB-activities, using a procedure described by Taylor et al. (2013). AOA are octyne resistant, and AOB are octyne sensitive (total nitrification - nitrification by octyne-resistant AOA). AOP controls were comprised of soil suspensions to which acetylene was added $(10\,\mu M\ C_{aq})$ to evaluate the possibility of acetylene-resistant heterotrophic nitrification, and also to assess the significance of NO₃⁻ consumption due to assimilation or denitrification. Each treatment was imposed on the individual soil replicates at each temperature. Samples were taken over the 48-h (16-42°C) or 72-h (4 and 10°C) incubations and accumulations of $NO_2^- + NO_3^-$ were determined colorimetrically as previously described (Giguere et al., 2017). The accumulation of $NO_2^- + NO_3^-$ was considered to be the rate of AOP. There was no significant NO_2^- or NO_3^- consumption or production in plus acetylene controls (data not shown), indicating all $NO_2^- + NO_3^$ accumulation was likely due to NH₄⁺-dependent nitrification, and that alternate sinks for NO₂⁻ or NO₃⁻ were not significant under AOP conditions.

Modeling of the Temperature Response of Soil Processes

The rates of respiration, inorganic N mineralization and NH_3 oxidation were determined as described above at each temperature (4–42°C) and the thermodynamic constants

obtained as described previously (Taylor et al., 2017). The temperature response data of each soil replicate was modeled using the macromolecular rate theory (MMRT) utilized by Schipper et al. (2014), ourselves and others to model the temperature response of a variety of microbial activities, including nitrification and respiration (Alster et al., 2016). The MMRT model is described by the equation

$$\ln\left(k\right) = \ln\left(\frac{k_BT}{h}\right) - \frac{\Delta H^{\ddagger}_{To} + \Delta C_P^{\ddagger}(T - T_o)}{RT} + \frac{\Delta S^{\ddagger}_{To} + \Delta C_P^{\ddagger}(lnT - lnT_o)}{R}$$
(1)

where k is the rate constant, T_o is the reference temperature at which the fitting process is initiated, k_B is Boltzmann's constant, h is Planck's constant, and R is the universal gas constant (8.314 J K⁻¹ mol⁻¹). The experimental data were fit to the MMRT model using SigmaPlot (Systat Software, San Jose, CA) to find three unknown parameters: (i) the change of enthalpy $(\Delta H_{To}^{\dagger})$, (ii) the change of entropy $(\Delta S_{To}^{\dagger})$, and (iii) the change in heat capacity that is a measure of temperature sensitivity (ΔC_P^{\ddagger}) and determines the degree of curvature of the ln(k) vs. T plot (Hobbs et al., 2013). The temperature for optimum activity T_{opt} and the temperature where the activity rate shows maximum sensitivity to changes in temperature (T_{s_max}) are calculated using the fitted parameters from Equation (1).

$$T_{opt} = \frac{\Delta H_{To}^{\ddagger} + \Delta C_P^{\ddagger} T_o}{-\Delta C_P^{\ddagger} - R}$$
(2)

$$T_{s_max} \sim \frac{T_{opt}}{1 + \sqrt{\frac{k}{\Delta C_p^{\frac{1}{2}}}}}$$
 (3)

Two-Pool Model Parameter Estimation

The two-pool model was utilized as a starting point for data analysis (Benbi and Richter, 2002; Alster et al., 2016). The twopool conceptual model posits that the available carbon and nitrogen in the soil consists of a labile pool that can be quickly consumed and a less labile pool that is consumed with a much longer time constant. The mathematical form of the model is shown in Equations (4) and (5)

Respiration Rate(t) =
$$L_{0c}e^{-kct} + R_c$$
 (4)

$$Mineralization Rate(t) = L_{0N}e^{-kNt} + R_N$$
(5)

where L_{oc} and L_{oN} are the respective initial sizes of the labile carbon and nitrogen pools, k_C and k_N are the first order rate constants for CO₂ production and N mineralization. R_c and R_N are the asymptotic respiration and nitrogen mineralization rates, respectively; and have units of μ mol C or N gram soil⁻¹ day⁻¹.

Parameter estimation was done using the integrated form of the model with the respiration and nitrogen data generated as described herein. This is equivalent to the First order plus Linear (FLIN) equation from Gillis and Price (2011), which describes the cumulative carbon dioxide emission and nitrogen consumption in soils over time. The integrated forms of Equations (4) and (5) are shown in Equations (6) and (7), respectively; with units of μ mol C or N gram soil⁻¹. The variation associated with the data fits were reduced using this approach because it avoided the approximations associated with generating the rate of CO₂ or mineral N accumulation curves from the original respiration and N mineralization data.

$$Respiration(t) = (L_{0c}/k_c)(1 - e^{-kct}) + R_c t$$
(6)

$$Mineralization(t) = (L_{0N}/k_N)(1 - e^{-kNt}) + R_N t$$
(7)

Parameter values were estimated using Matlab R2020b (Mathworks, Inc.) and the lsqcurve fit function with a Step Tolerance of 10^{-1} . Order of magnitude initial guesses for parameter values were provided for determining parameters. Lower bounds for parameter values were set to 0 to preserve the physical meaning of determined parameters and upper bounds were set to infinity (unbounded). The resulting parameters were subjected to Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) to determine whether parameter differences observed were statistically significant. The data at 4°C for all soil types was not amenable to Matlab data analysis and lsqcurve fit with the pool model approach because of the relatively small changes observed experimentally.

Statistical Analysis

Analysis of variance (ANOVA) analysis using the Holm-Sidak method in SigmaPlot (Systat Software, San Jose, CA) was used to evaluate pairwise comparisons among temperatures within each soil to determine if there were significant differences in CO2, or inorganic N accumulations in the 28 d incubations at each temperature (4-42°C). ANOVA analysis was also utilized to determine if there were differences between soils (Willamette and Pendleton) and management practices (Tilled or No-till) at each temperature. Repeated measures analysis of variance (RM ANOVA) analysis using the Holm-Sidak method in SigmaPlot was used to determine if there were significant changes in CO₂, and inorganic N accumulations of the three field replicates over the course of the 28 d incubations at each temperature. To determine the significant differences in AOA or AOB AOP activities over the 4-42°C range, ANOVA tests were performed in SigmaPlot on the three field replicates of each soil. Significant differences of pairwise comparisons were obtained using twotailed Student's test.

RESULTS

Dynamic Response of Soil Processes Respiration

Both Willamette and PNT soils maintained rates of CO_2 production \sim 2-fold greater than their respective Tilled soils over the entire time course and at all temperatures. Respiration rates in Willamette soils at all temperatures

were always ~2-fold greater than Pendleton soils of the same tillage treatment (Figures 2, 3). Repeated measures ANOVA indicated that there were significant increases in concentrations of CO₂ in all soils and all temperatures over the entire time course ($p \le 0.05$, Supplementary Figure 1). However, beginning at 14 d rates of CO₂ production slowed over time in all soils, declining significantly at some temperatures (Supplementary Figures 2, 3); with the exception of Pendleton soils incubated at 42°C in which respiration rates increased significantly after 7 d. Because initial respiration rates (0-7 d) differed from the secondary rates (7-28 d), further analysis compared these two time periods (Figures 2, 3). Highest rates of initial respiration were observed at 37°C in all four soils, as were the secondary rates of respiration in No-till soils and the PT soil. In WT soils, however, the temperature optimum of the secondary rates spanned 23, to 37°C.

N Mineralization

Willamette and PNT soils maintained rates of net NH_4^+ + NO_3^- accumulation ~2-fold greater than their Tilled soil counterparts over the entire time course at temperatures $>30^{\circ}$ C. N mineralization rates in Willamette soils at temperatures \geq 30°C were ~3-fold greater than Pendleton soils of the same management type (Figures 2, 3). Repeated measures ANOVA indicated there were significant accumulations of NH_4^+ + NO_3^- over the 28 d incubations in all but a few instances of the four soils ($p \leq 0.05$, Supplementary Figure 1). For example, in the 4°C incubations of both Tilled soils there was no significant difference between inorganic N levels at the beginning and end of the incubation, despite CO2 accumulating at this temperature in both soils over 28 d. Also, in the 37 and 42°C incubations of PT soils, $NH_4^+ + NO_3^$ accumulated over the first 7 d of incubation and did not increase significantly afterwards.

A consistent feature of the temperature response of net N mineralization was that in all four soils the rate of N mineralization declined significantly over the 28 d incubation at 37 and 42°C (statistics shown in **Supplementary Figures 2, 3**). However, at temperatures $\leq 30^{\circ}$ C, with only one exception (PT soil at 30°C), rates of NO₃⁻ + NH₄⁺ accumulation either did not significantly change over the course of the incubation, or increased significantly with time.

Nitrification

There were significant increases in NO₂⁻ + NO₃⁻ in all soils over the time course ($p \le 0.05$, **Supplementary Figure 1**). In acetylene treated controls there were no significant changes in background NO₂⁻ + NO₃⁻ concentrations in any of the soils (RM ANOVA p >0.05), indicating that acetylene effectively inhibited nitrification and that there was no significant NO₃⁻ sink (immobilization or denitrification) over the time course of the incubation (data not shown).

In WT soil there was no significant difference in the initial (0–7 d) and secondary (7–28 d) rates of nitrification at any temperature (**Figure 2C**). In contrast, in WNT soil the rate of nitrification declined significantly over 28 d at 30, 37, and 42° C; but, at temperatures $\leq 23^{\circ}$ C rates of NO₃⁻ accumulation



FIGURE 2 The rate response of respiration (A,D), N mineralization (B,E), and nitrification (C,F) in Willamette Valley soils across temperatures. Figure data are the average of three field replicates and the error bars represent the standard deviation. Lower case letters indicate where RM ANOVA analysis found significant differences in rates over the temperatures within a time period. Asterisks indicate where rates at 0–7 d differ significantly from 7 to 28 d ($p \le 0.05$).



FIGURE 3 | The rate response of respiration (A,D), N mineralization (B,E), and nitrification (C,F) in Pendleton soils across temperatures. Figure data are the average of three field replicates and the error bars represent the standard deviation. Lower case letters indicate where RM ANOVA analysis found significant differences in rates over the temperatures within a time period. Asterisks indicate where rates at 0–7 d differ significantly from 7 to 28 d ($p \le 0.05$).

either did not significantly change over the course of the incubation, or increased significantly with time (Figure 2F). In Willamette soils there was a significant difference in the rates

of nitrification between Till and No-till soils only at 37° C, where Tilled soil had a \sim 2.2-fold greater rate than the No-till soil.





In both PT and No-till soils the rate of nitrification declined significantly over the 28 d incubation at 37 and 42°C; but in PT soil at temperatures $\leq 23^{\circ}$ C rates of NO₂⁻ + NO₃⁻ accumulation did not change significantly over the course of the incubation, and in PNT soils rates of nitrification increased significantly with time at 10, 17, 23, and 30°C (**Figures 3C,F**). Rates of nitrification only differed between Pendleton Till and No-till soils over 0–7 d at 17°C (4.3-fold greater rates in Tilled soil), and over 7–28 d at 17 and 30°C (~2.7-fold higher in No-till).

Thermodynamic Profiles

One of the motivations for this study was to compare the thermodynamic response of respiration, N mineralization and nitrification to evaluate the interactions between these processes. The dynamic response of the rates of respiration, N mineralization and nitrification often coincided with changes in T_{opt} (optimal temperature for activity), and T_{smax} (temperature of greatest temperature increase), and temperature sensitivity (ΔC_P^{\ddagger}) over the time course in all four soils, sometimes changing the thermodynamic profiles (**Figure 4**; **Table 2**).

In both Willamette soils at 0-7 d, T_{smax} and T_{opt} of nitrification occurred at significantly lower temperatures than that of N mineralization, while the thermodynamic response of respiration was intermediate (**Figure 4**; **Table 2**). In WT soil

TABLE 2 | Thermodynamic parameters describing respiration (Resp), N mineralization (N min), and nitrification (Nit).

 T_{smax} and T_{opt} of N mineralization declined significantly at 7–28 d resulting in thermodynamic responses of respiration, N mineralization and nitrification that were not significantly different. However, in WNT soils the offset between the thermodynamic responses strengthened over time so that there were significant differences between the three processes at 7–28 d, with the temperature response of nitrification < respiration < N mineralization. In WT soil there were no changes in temperature sensitivity, ΔC_p^{\ddagger} , over the course of the incubation, and no significant differences in temperature sensitivity between the processes (Table 2). In contrast, in WNT soil temperature sensitivity of nitrification was greater than that of N mineralization over the entire time course.

The Pendleton soils had distinctly different thermodynamic profiles than the Willamette soils (**Figure 4**). In both Pendleton soils over the 0–7 d period, T_{smax} and T_{opt} of N mineralization and nitrification were not significantly different, but were much higher than that of respiration. As the incubation progressed T_{smax} and T_{opt} of respiration in both Pendleton soils increased significantly at 7–28 d, while T_{smax} and T_{opt} of N mineralization and nitrification declined. In both Pendleton soils the temperature sensitivity of respiration declined over time (**Table 2**). However, in PT soil the sensitivity of N mineralization and nitrification increased significantly 7–28 d.

Soil	Process	T _{smax} (° C)		T _{opt} (°C)		ΔC_{p}^{\ddagger} (kJ mol ⁻¹ K ⁻¹)	
		(0–7 d)	(7–28 d)	(0–7 d)	(7–28 d)	(0–7 d)	(7–28 d)
WT	Resp	30 (10) AB	19 (3)	49 (17) AB	33 (5)	-2971 (1967)	-3892 (1417)
	N min	38 (2) bB	24 (6) a b -	60 (5) bB	42 (9) a bc	—1889 (907) b-	–2375 (616) b-
	Nit	22 (6) A	23 (5)	33 (5) A	38 (11)	5816 (2292)	-5044 (5104) b-
WNT	Resp	25 (5)	21 (3) B	42 (8)	36 (5) B	-2673 (792)AB	-3130 (947)B
	N min	41 (13)	29 (2) C b	61 (17)	48 (5) C c ⁄	-2255 (885)B b -	-2262 (874)B b-
	Nit	21 (5)	15 (2) A	34 (5) a	25 (2) bA	-4821 (495)aA	-6722 (280)bA b -
PT	Resp	19 (1) A	23 (4) B	29 (3) aA	44 (6) bB	—7599 (2365)a	-1681 (341)bA
	N min	30 (8) bAB	15 (3) aA a ⁄	42 (7) bB	21 (2) aAa	-5085 (1537)aæ	−19599 (5158)bB a ∕
	Nit	33 (7) bB	16 (3) aAB	51 (11) bB	22 (2) aA	-2443 (845)a	–21570 (6076)bB a∕
PNT	Resp	21 (1) A	23 (2) B	33 (1) aA	39 (5) bB	-5436 (741)a	-2732 (878)b
	N min	29 (8) AB	21 (1) AB ab -	42 (7) B	33 (3) B ab	-4699 (1028) a	-6140 (3861) b -
	Nit	31 (2) bB	17 (2) aA	41 (3) bB	26 (3) aA	7993 (1994)	-8615 (2718) b-

Values are the average of three field replicates with standard deviation in parentheses. Lower case letters indicate a significant difference in parameters between time intervals, and upper case letters indicate a significant differences in the thermodynamic response across sampling sites are indicated by bold lower case script ($p \le 0.05$).

Factors That Influence Differences Between Thermodynamic Profiles

Declines in values of T_{smax} and T_{opt} of nitrification over the time course in both Pendleton soils and in WNT soil may be explained by a greater contribution of AOB to nitrification activity as the incubation progressed. Previous studies have shown that soil AOB have lower T_{smax} and T_{opt} than AOA (Taylor et al., 2017; Duan et al., 2018). In nitrification potential assays of PT, PNT and WNT soil slurries, AOB nitrification activity dominated rates of potential nitrification $\leq 30^{\circ}$ C (**Supplementary Figure 4**); whereas, in WT soil AOA made equal or greater contributions to nitrification activity at all temperatures. And in contrast to WT soil, in PT, PNT and WNT soils, rates of nitrification in response to N mineralization decreased significantly at 37 and 42°C (Figures 1, 2), temperatures at which AOA make up the largest share of potential activity. Together these data suggest that in PT and PNT soils that AOB increased contribution to the oxidation of mineralized NH_4^+ over the incubation leading to the significant declines in T_{smax} and T_{opt} of nitrification.

Changes in rates of N mineralization and respiration could not adequately explain shifts observed in the thermodynamic profiles of those processes. For example, although the significant decrease in T_{opt} of N mineralization of Tilled soils (Table 2) coincided with significant declines in rates of N mineralization at 37 and 42°C (Figures 2B, 3B); in No-till soils there were also significant declines in rates of N mineralization at 37 and 42°C (Figures 2E, 3E), yet there were no corresponding significant changes in T_{opt} of N mineralization in those soils. Thermodynamic parameters are properties of enzyme systems, not of substrates being utilized; however, we reasoned that a change in substrate utilization could over time influence the nature of the enzyme systems, actively turning over substrate, leading to changes in thermodynamic parameters. Therefore, in an effort to explain observed differences in thermodynamic parameters over time, a two-pool model of SOM utilization was utilized that discriminates between labile and less-labile pools of SOM (Supplementary Table 1). The analysis of CO₂ accumulation over the incubations revealed that the size of the initial labile substrate pool (L_0) increased significantly (p \leq 0.05) with temperature in both Willamette soils, peaking at 37 or 42°C with 10.1-14.1 µmol C g soil⁻¹; whereas PT soil had the largest L₀ at 37°C (0.2 \pm 0.2 μ mol C g





soil⁻¹), and PNT at 23–30°C (9.9–10.6 μ mol C g soil⁻¹). There were also significant differences across temperature in the rate of utilization from the less-labile (R) SOM pool in all but WNT soil; with R contributing most to CO₂ accumulation at 37°C in WT and PNT soil, and 30°C in PT soil.

Using the two-pool model results, the fraction of labile SOC pool contributions to CO₂ production over the course of the incubations were estimated (Figure 5). Model predictions did not differ significantly than the experimental data at most temperatures in all soils (Supplementary Figure 5; Supplementary Tables 3, 5), and **Supplementary Figure 6** provides additional support for the two-pool assumption because the data approaches an asymptotic value (R_c) within the timeframe of the experiment. Initially in both Willamette soils the labile pool of SOM at 10°C contributed less to respiration than at any other temperature. This could indicate that the labile pool at 10°C was too small to contribute much to respiration activity, or that the less-labile pool was readily available at 10°C and used to a greater extent. At all temperatures the labile SOM pool of the Willamette soils contributed to CO₂ production over the entire 28 d incubations; however, as expected, the share of CO₂ production from the labile pool decreased over time at most temperatures. The exceptions were WT soil at 23°C and WNT soil at 17 and 23°C where the labile SOM contributed nearly all of the respiration for the entirety of the incubation. Because the Willamette soils had sustained contributions of the labile SOM pool to CO_2 production, there were no significant changes observed in thermodynamic parameters of respiration across the 28 d incubation (Figure 4; Table 2).

In PT soil the labile SOM pool contributed to CO₂ production for <14 d at any temperature (**Figure 5**). Likewise, the labile SOM pool of PNT soil contributed to CO₂ production for <14 d at 42 and 37°C, and at other temperatures the share of CO₂ production from the labile pool decreased over time. The greater utilization of the less-labile R pool in Pendleton soils may have required enzyme systems that function at higher temperatures. And indeed, we found that these changes in substrate pool utilization in both Pendleton soils coincided with significant increases in T_{smax} and T_{opt} of respiration, and significant declines in temperature sensitivity (**Table 2; Figure 4**).

The two-pool model of the $NO_3^- + NH_4^+$ accumulation data differed significantly from the two-pool model of CO₂ accumulation (Supplementary Table 2). The extremely small size of the labile pool, coupled with its lack of response to temperature, and lack of significant difference in labile N availability between the four soils suggested that the labile pool did not contribute to biologically-mediated N mineralization. In contrast, the rate at which the less-labile pool of SOM was contributing to N mineralization did respond to temperature, and utilization of soil N from this pool peaked at 37 or 42°C in all soils. This could suggest that mineralized N comes from a different pool, or at least behaves differently than the C pool(s) contributing to respiration. While there were significant differences in the R pool size with temperature in each soil, and also significant differences between soils, this analysis did not yield insights into what caused the significant decreases in T_{smax}

and T_{opt} of N mineralization in Willamette and PT soil. Because the two-pool model only showed that the R pool was contributing to inorganic N accumulation, it might be expected that there were more significant differences in the model and experimental data for N (**Supplementary Tables 4, 6**); and most of those were concentrated in PT soil which had the lowest soil N contents.

DISCUSSION

Response of Respiration to Temperature

Regardless of differences in climatic regimes, or soil C contents, there were no significant differences in the thermodynamic parameters describing respiration of the four soils used in this study. This result might make intuitive sense because of the wide range, distribution, and niche redundancy of heterotrophic microorganisms that respire. Indeed, in an analysis of 27 temperature manipulation experiments, the respiration rates of all non-desert biomes followed the same Gaussian response (Carey et al., 2016). In addition, Alster et al. (2016) observed that microbial makeup only explained 30% of the variability in soil respiration response between soils, while soil type and size of soil C pool described the rest. In this study the Topt determined using the MMRT model ranged from 28 to 49°C (Table 2), and were somewhat higher than the MMRT T_{opt} of 20-37°C of the labile C pool utilization determined in soils collected from sites on the Great Plains and incubated at a more limited number of temperatures (10, 20, and 30°C) (Alster et al., 2016). While rates of respiration were dynamic for all soils over the course of the incubation, in Willamette soils T_{smax}, T_{opt} and temperature sensitivity of respiration (ΔC_p^{\ddagger}) did not change; however, in Pendleton soils Topt increased significantly and there were significant increases in temperature sensitivity. This may indicate that there was a shift in substrate utilization in Pendleton soil from a labile to a less available C pool requiring a higher activation temperature. The decomposition response of organic matter in soil to temperature is considered to be an ecosystem property involving chemical, physical and biological factors and processes (Schmidt et al., 2011) with both "slow and fast" pools of soil organic matter contributing to soil respiration (Conant et al., 2011), and that the different pools have different properties of degradation (Capek et al., 2019). For instance, labile SOM utilization responds less to temperature increase than lesslabile pools (Conant et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2020). A shift in substrate utilization in this study was confirmed by modeling CO₂ accumulation using a two-pool model (Alster et al., 2016) which demonstrated that the initially available, or labile, substrate pool of Willamette soils did not become limiting over the course of the incubation; but that the PT soil labile C pool did not contribute to CO₂ accumulation at any temperature after about 14 days, and that in PNT soils contributions to CO₂ by the labile pool fell below 50% by the end of the incubation at 30-42°C (Figure 5).

Response of N Mineralization to Temperature

The average T_{opt} of N mineralization in this study determined by MMRT was 43.6 \pm 13.2°C. To our knowledge this is the

first N mineralization study to be modeled by MMRT, but this value compares well to T_{opt} of 50°C determined by Beck (1983) and 37°C by DeNeve et al. (1996). In all four soils the rate of mineralization declined significantly over the 28 d incubation at 37 and 42°C, and was accompanied by a decrease in T_{opt} of N mineralization over time that was significant in Tilled soils. It has been suggested that the rate of dissolved organic matter production is the rate-limiting step in soil C and N mineralization (Bengtson and Bengtsson, 2007), and that the enzymatic depolymerization of SOM is the rate-limiting step in N mineralization (Schimel and Bennett, 2004). Temperature affects both production and turnover of extracellular enzymes in soils. Perhaps these changes in the thermodynamic properties of N mineralization indicate that sustained exposure to the higher temperatures had negative effects on the integrity of soil heterotrophic microorganisms, or lead to the denaturing of their extracellular enzymes, leading to a shift in substrate pool utilization at temperatures \geq 37°C. In contrast, at temperatures \leq 30°C, with only one exception (PT soil at 30°C), rates of NO₃⁻ $+ NH_4^+$ accumulation either did not significantly change over the course of the incubation, or increased significantly with time. An increase in the rate of inorganic N accumulation over time might indicate that biosynthetic activity was most active initially, and then as the incubation progressed, and N immobilization decreased, that inorganic N then accumulated in the incubations.

In a previous study conducted at 5, 15, and 25°C, the response of N mineralization to temperature did not vary across regions (Miller and Geisseler, 2018); however, we observed significant differences in T_{smax} and T_{opt} and ΔC_p^{\ddagger} between Willamette and Pendleton soils in response to temperatures ranging from 4 to 42°C. While there were significant site differences (Willamette vs. Pendleton) in N mineralization thermodynamic parameters, differences in soil C contents of the Tilled and No-till soils of each site did not lead to significant differences in the properties of N mineralization within either site. This result is supported by previous comparisons of disturbed soils (lower total C) and undisturbed soils (higher total C) where the net N mineralization response did not differ significantly between the soils (Wang et al., 2006; Keiser et al., 2016). It was hypothesized that in soils with higher total C that heterotrophic microorganisms were immobilizing NH₄⁺, reducing the apparent net NH_4^+ accumulation; and an evaluation of a large number of isotope pool dilution experiments revealed there was a strong positive correlation between the concentration of soil C, and both gross N mineralization and microbial assimilation of NH_4^+ (Booth et al., 2005).

There were significant differences between respiration and N mineralization thermodynamic parameters in WNT, PT and PNT soils (**Table 2**; **Figure 4**). PT soil, which had the lowest total C and N of the four soils in this study, had the greatest number of differences between T_{smax} and T_{opt} of respiration and N mineralization, and was the only soil in the study where temperature sensitivity was significantly different between these processes. It was previously observed that soil C decomposition and N mineralization have different responses to temperature (Shi et al., 2020), and that C and N cycling can be decoupled if microbes preferentially utilize nitrogen-containing

components during the decomposition of soil organic matter (Knowles et al., 2010). In addition, the two-pool modeling of C and N utilization (**Supplementary Tables 1, 2**) indicated that the less-labile N pool was preferentially utilized while the labile C pool was being consumed. This agrees with the observation that labile C triggered a catabolic shift toward mining of the N-rich fraction of soil organic matter (Rousk et al., 2016).

Response of Nitrification to Temperature

With the exception of PT soils where temperature sensitivity was significantly greater than the other soils by the end of the incubation, there were no significant differences in the thermodynamic parameters of nitrification between soils. This agrees with a previous study from our group that found the thermodynamic response of archaeal and bacteria NH⁺₄oxidizers were consistent across sites (Taylor et al., 2017), but contrasts with the finding of Duan et al. (2018) where soil types had a significant effect on AOA Topt, and the study by Gubry-Rangin et al. (2017) which found soil pH influenced the optimal temperature for AOA population increases. In this study we did not use selective inhibitors to discriminate between AOA and AOB activity, therefore the thermodynamic parameters represent the integration of both groups of soil nitrifiers. With the exception of WT, thermodynamic parameters of nitrification were dynamic over time and there were significant decreases in Topt, and Tsmax over the course of the incubations, and in WNT temperature sensitivity increased significantly. These trends may indicate a shift from AOA to AOB dominated activity. Initially (0–7 d), the T_{opt} of nitrification in this study ($40 \pm 8^{\circ}$ C) was more similar to those previously reported for AOA ($33 \pm 4^{\circ}$ C and $34 \pm$ 4°C)(Taylor et al., 2017; Duan et al., 2018), while over 7-28 d the T_{opt} of nitrification in this study (28 \pm 7°C) suggested a larger contribution to soil nitrification activity by AOB (20 \pm 3°C and $29 \pm 1^{\circ}$ C) (Taylor et al., 2017; Duan et al., 2018).

We could find just a handful of studies that have evaluated both net N mineralization and nitrification (Wang et al., 2006; Lauren et al., 2019; Mukai et al., 2020). Mukai et al. (2020) observed negative rates of NH₄⁺ mineralization in the presence of nitrification, and Wang et al. (2006) found no significant difference between N mineralization and nitrification rates; however, in the Lauren et al. (2019) study accumulations of NO₃⁻ were minimal and the contributions by nitrification to N mineralization could be ignored. In this study, we observed significant differences in the thermodynamic characteristics of nitrification and N mineralization in the Willamette soils. Early in the incubations (0-7 d) of WT soils and later in the WNT soils (7–28 d) T_{smax} and T_{opt} of N mineralization were significantly higher than those of nitrification which may suggest some uncoupling between nitrification and N mineralization. Since soil heterotrophs collectively have the ability to turn over SOM, it might be expected that there would be organisms that could respond at the high end of the temperature range. Nitrifiers are more phylogenetically constrained than heterotrophs, and we might expect the reduced phylogenetic diversity could lead to niches where nitrification falters compared to heterotrophic mineralization activity.

Despite dependence of nitrification on net mineralization (Keiser et al., 2016), soil N dynamics and nitrification are linked to C cycling (respiration) due to the competition of NH₃oxidizers and heterotrophs for mineralized NH_4^+ ; especially when the combination of temperature and substrate supply is favorable for microbial growth (Verhagen and Laanbroek, 1991; Booth et al., 2005). Significant differences were observed in this study between nitrification and respiration thermodynamic parameters in all but WT soils. In Pendleton soils T_{smax} and T_{opt} of nitrification were greater than respiration, but by the end of the incubation they were significantly lower; due to both an increase in T_{opt} of respiration in response to a shift to less-labile substrate utilization and a decrease in T_{smax} and T_{opt} of nitrification as NH3-oxidizers with thermodynamic parameters more like AOB contributed more NH₃ oxidation activity. This may suggest that in this soil AOB are able to contribute more to nitrification when competition with heterotrophs for NH_4^+ had decreased once labile C resources were exhausted, or that over time a subpopulation of AOB with higher substrate affinity become more active. A similar decline in the Topt of nitrification was also observed in WNT, although in this soil labile C contributed to respiration for the entire incubation and the thermodynamic parameters of respiration did not change. Previously Hoyle et al. (2006) found that soil nitrification was the principle process controlling NH_4^+ consumption in dry-land soils incubated above 20°C. These observations may support the idea that a group of nitrifiers with T_{opt} more like AOB were able to compete with heterotrophic organisms for NH₄⁺ even when labile C was still abundant and conditions for growth were favorable.

Where to Go From Here?

It has been observed previously that the characteristic thermodynamic response of soil nitrification, and N mineralization cannot be adequately described because of the interdependence of these processes on heterotrophic immobilization of N and C (Schutt et al., 2014). However, in this study we observed significant thermodynamic differences between the three processes in all our four soils, that could be explained by decoupling of C and N utilization by soil microbes, and a decoupling of N mineralization and nitrification. The extent of these differences lead to a distinctly different

REFERENCES

- Alster, C. J., Koyama, A., Johnson, N. G., Wallenstein, M. D., and von Fischer, J. C. (2016). Temperature sensitivity of soil microbial communities: an application of macromolecular rate theory to microbial respiration. *J. Geophys. Res. Biogeo*. 121, 1420–1433. doi: 10.1002/2016JG003343
- Barrett, J. E., and Burke, I. C. (2002). Nitrogen retention in semiarid ecosystems across a soil organic-matter gradient. *Ecol. Appl.* 12, 878–890. doi: 10.1890/ 1051-0761(2002)012[0878:NRISEA]2.0.CO;2
- Beck, T. (1983). Die N-Mineralisierung von Böden im Laborbrutversuch. J. Plant Nutr. Soil Sci. 146, 243–252. doi: 10.1002/jpln.19831460213
- Benbi, D. K., and Richter, J. (2002). A critical review of some approaches to modelling nitrogen mineralization. *Biol. Fertil. Soils* 35, 168–183. doi: 10.1007/s00374-002-0456-6

thermodynamic profile for the three process in Willamette vs. Pendleton soils and emphasizes the need to explore the relationship between these three processes in other soils. We also observed examples of a dynamic thermodynamic response of respiration, N mineralization and nitrification over the course of a 28 d incubation in one or more soils. This emphasizes the need for field or site-specific estimates of basic soil processes (respiration, N mineralization and nitrification) in order to support crop yields but also reduce surplus N addition to soils (Heumann et al., 2011).

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

AT was responsible for experimental design, data analysis, and writing of the manuscript. CO was responsible for incubations and data collection. FC was responsible for modeling, writing, and manuscript preparation. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

FUNDING

This research was supported by USDA NIFA award 2012-67019-3028, and an Oregon Agricultural Research Foundation competitive grant.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Amber Moore and Kristen Trippe for help with site selection and access, and the faculty and staff at the Columbia Basin Agricultural Research Station with soil collection.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb. 2021.651210/full#supplementary-material

- Bengtson, P., and Bengtsson, G. (2007). Rapid turnover of DOC in temperate forests accounts for increased CO2 production at elevated temperatures. *Ecol. Lett.* 10, 783–790. doi: 10.1111/j.1461-0248.2007.01072.x
- Blagodatskaya, E., Blagodatsky, S., Khomyakov, N., Myachina, O., and Kuzyakov, Y. (2016). Temperature sensitivity and enzymatic mechanisms of soil organic matter decomposition along an altitudinal gradient on Mount Kilimanjaro. *Sci. Rep.* 29:6. doi: 10.1038/srep22240
- Booth, M. S., Stark, J. M., and Rastetter, E. (2005). Controls on nitrogen cycling in terrestrial ecosystems: a synthetic analysis of literature data. *Ecol. Monogr.*75, 139–157. doi: 10.1890/04-0988
- Capek, P., Starke, R., Hofmockel, K. S., Bond-Lamberty, B., and Hess, N. (2019). Apparent temperature sensitivity of soil respiration can result from temperature driven changes in microbial biomass. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 135, 286–293. doi: 10.1016/j.soilbio.2019.05.016

- Carey, J. C., Tang, J. W., Templer, P. H., Kroeger, K. D., Crowther, T. W., Burton, A. J., et al. (2016). Temperature response of soil respiration largely unaltered with experimental warming. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 113, 13797–13802. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1605365113
- Conant, R. T., Ryan, M. G., Agren, G. I., Birge, H. E., Davidson, E. A., Eliasson, P. E., et al. (2011). Temperature and soil organic matter decomposition ratessynthesis of current knowledge and a way forward. *Glob. Change Biol.* 17, 3392–3404. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2486.2011.02496.x
- Dan, X. Q., Chen, Z. X., Dai, S. Y., He, X. X., Cai, Z. C., Zhang, J. B., et al. (2019). Effects of changing temperature on gross N transformation rates in acidic subtropical forest soils. *Forests*. 10:894. doi: 10.3390/f10100894
- Davidson, E. A., and Janssens, I. A. (2006). Temperature sensitivity of soil carbon decomposition and feedbacks to climate change. *Nature* 440, 165–173. doi: 10.1038/nature04514
- De Neve, S., Hartmann, R., and Hofman, G. (2003). Temperature effects on N mineralization: changes in soil solution composition and determination of temperature coefficients by TDR. *Eur. J. Soil Sci.* 54, 49–61. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2389.2003.00521.x
- DeNeve, S., Pannier, J., and Hofman, G. (1996). Temperature effects on Cand N-mineralization from vegetable crop residues. *Plant Soil*. 181, 25–30. doi: 10.1007/BF00011288
- Duan, P. P., Wu, Z., Zhang, Q. Q., Fan, C. H., and Xiong, Z. Q. (2018). Thermodynamic responses of ammonia-oxidizing archaea and bacteria explain N2O production from greenhouse vegetable soils. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 120, 37–47. doi: 10.1016/j.soilbio.2018.01.027
- Farrell, M., Prendergast-Miller, M., Jones, D. L., Hill, P. W., and Condron, L. M. (2014). Soil microbial organic nitrogen uptake is regulated by carbon availability. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 77, 261–267. doi: 10.1016/j.soilbio.2014.07.003
- Giguere, A. T., Taylor, A. E., Myrold, D. D., and Bottomley, P. J. (2015). Nitrification responses of soil ammonia-oxidizing archaea and bacteria to ammonium concentrations. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 79, 1366–1374. doi: 10.2136/sssaj2015.03.0107
- Giguere, A. T., Taylor, A. E., Suwa, Y., Myrold, D. D., and Bottomley, P. J. (2017). Uncoupling of ammonia oxidation from nitrite oxidation: Impact upon nitrous oxide production in non-cropped Oregon soils. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 104, 30–38. doi: 10.1016/j.soilbio.2016.10.011
- Gillis, J. D., and Price, G. W. (2011). Comparison of a novel model to three conventional models describing carbon mineralization from soil amended with organic residues. *Geoderma* 160, 304–310. doi: 10.1016/j.geoderma.2010.09.025
- Gubry-Rangin, C., Novotnik, B., Mandic-Mulec, I., Nicol, G. W., and Prosser, J. I. (2017). Temperature responses of soil ammonia-oxidising archaea depend on pH. Soil Biol. Biochem. 106, 61–68. doi: 10.1016/j.soilbio.2016.12.007
- He, Y. T., Xu, X. L., Kueffer, C., Zhang, X. Z., and Shi, P. L. (2014). Leaf litter of a dominant cushion plant shifts nitrogen mineralization to immobilization at high but not low temperature in an alpine meadow. *Plant Soil* 383, 415–426. doi: 10.1007/s11104-014-2216-4
- Heumann, S., Ringe, H., and Bottcher, J. (2011). Field-specific simulations of net N mineralization based on digitally available soil and weather data. I. Temperature and soil water dependency of the rate coefficients. *Nutr. Cycl. Agroecosys.* 91, 219–234. doi: 10.1007/s10705-011-9457-x
- Hobbie, J. E., and Hobbie, E. A. (2013). Microbes in nature are limited by carbon and energy: the starving-survival lifestyle in soil and consequences for estimating microbial rates. *Front. Microbiol.* 4:324. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2013.00324
- Hobbs, J. K., Jiao, W. T., Easter, A. D., Parker, E. J., Schipper, L. A., and Arcus, V. L. (2013). Change in heat capacity for enzyme catalysis determines temperature dependence of enzyme catalyzed rates. ACS Chem. Biol. 8, 2388–2393. doi: 10.1021/cb4005029
- Hoyle, F. C., Murphy, D. V., and Fillery, I. R. P. (2006). Temperature and stubble management influence microbial CO2-C evolution and gross N transformation rates. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 38, 71–80. doi: 10.1016/j.soilbio.2005.04.020
- Keiser, A. D., Knoepp, J. D., and Bradford, M. A. (2016). Disturbance decouples biogeochemical cycles across forests of the Southeastern US. *Ecosystems* 19, 50–61. doi: 10.1007/s10021-015-9917-2
- Knowles, T. D. J., Chadwick, D. R., Bol, R., and Evershed, R. P. (2010). Tracing the rate and extent of N and C flow from C-13,N-15-glycine and glutamate into individual *de novo* synthesised soil amino acids. *Organ. Geochem.* 41, 1259–1268. doi: 10.1016/j.orggeochem.2010.09.003

- Lauren, A., Lappalainen, M., Kieloaho, A. J., Karhu, K., and Palviainen, M. (2019). Temperature sensitivity patterns of carbon and nitrogen processes in decomposition of boreal organic soils-quantification in different compounds and molecule sizes based on a multifactorial experiment. *PLoS ONE* 14:e0223446. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0223446
- Miller, K. S., and Geisseler, D. (2018). Temperature sensitivity of nitrogen mineralization in agricultural soils. *Biol. Fertil. Soils* 54, 853–860. doi: 10.1007/s00374-018-1309-2
- Mukai, M., Mori, T., Aiba, S., and Kitayama, K. (2020). Nitrogen mineralization rates of the soils incubated under different temperatures from different elevations along an environmental gradient on Yakushima Island. *Ecol. Res.* 35, 428–438. doi: 10.1111/1440-1703.12092
- Pries, C. E. H., Bird, J. A., Castanha, C., Hatton, P. J., and Torn, M. S. (2017). Long term decomposition: the influence of litter type and soil horizon on retention of plant carbon and nitrogen in soils. *Biogeochemistry* 134, 5–16. doi: 10.1007/s10533-017-0345-6
- Rousk, K., Michelsen, A., and Rousk, J. (2016). Microbial control of soil organic matter mineralization responses to labile carbon in subarctic climate change treatments. *Glob. Change Biol.* 22, 4150–4161. doi: 10.1111/gcb.13296
- Schimel, J. P., and Bennett, J. (2004). Nitrogen mineralization: challenges of a changing paradigm. *Ecology* 85, 591–602. doi: 10.1890/03-8002
- Schipper, L. A., Hobbs, J. K., Rutledge, S., and Arcus, V. L. (2014). Thermodynamic theory explains the temperature optima of soil microbial processes and high Q₁₀ values at low temperatures. *Glob. Change Biol.* 20, 3578–3586. doi: 10.1111/gcb.12596
- Schmidt, M. W. I., Torn, M. S., Abiven, S., Dittmar, T., Guggenberger, G., Janssens, I. A., et al. (2011). Persistence of soil organic matter as an ecosystem property. *Nature* 478, 49–56. doi: 10.1038/nature10386
- Schutt, M., Borken, W., Spott, O., Stange, C. F., and Matzner, E. (2014). Temperature sensitivity of C and N mineralization in temperate forest soils at low temperatures. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 69, 320–327. doi: 10.1016/j.soilbio.2013.11.014
- Shi, A. D., Zhou, X., Yao, S. H., and Zhang, B. (2020). Effects of intensities and cycles of heating on mineralization of organic matter and microbial community composition of a Mollisol under different land use types. *Geoderma* 357:113941. doi: 10.1016/j.geoderma.2019.113941
- Sierra, C. A. (2012). Temperature sensitivity of organic matter decomposition in the Arrhenius equation: some theoretical considerations. *Biogeochemistry* 108, 1–15. doi: 10.1007/s10533-011-9596-9
- Sierra, C. A., Trumbore, S. E., Davidson, E. A., Vicca, S., and Janssens, I. (2015). Sensitivity of decomposition rates of soil organic matter with respect to simultaneous changes in temperature and moisture. J. Adv. Model. Earth Syst. 7, 335–356. doi: 10.1002/2014MS000358
- Taylor, A. E., Giguere, A. T., Zoebelein, C. M., Myrold, D. D., and Bottomley, P. J. (2017). Modeling of soil nitrification responses to temperature reveals thermodynamic differences between ammonia-oxidizing activity of archaea and bacteria. *ISME J.* 11, 896–908. doi: 10.1038/ismej.2016.179
- Taylor, A. E., Myrold, D. D., and Bottomley, P. J. (2019). Temperature affects the kinetics of nitrite oxidation and nitrification coupling in four agricultural soils. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 136:107523. doi: 10.1016/j.soilbio.2019.107523
- Taylor, A. E., Vajrala, N., Giguere, A. T., Gitelman, A. I., Arp, D. J., Myrold, D. D., et al. (2013). Use of aliphatic n-alkynes to discriminate soil nitrification activities of ammonia-oxidizing Thaumarchaea and Bacteria. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 79, 6544–6551. doi: 10.1128/AEM.01928-13
- Tourna, M., Stieglmeier, M., Spang, A., Konneke, M., Schintlmeister, A., Urich, T., et al. (2011). *Nitrososphaera viennensis*, an ammonia oxidizing archaeon from soil. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 108, 8420–8425. doi: 10.1073/pnas.10134 88108
- Verhagen, F. J. M., and Laanbroek, H. J. (1991). Competition for ammonium between nitrifying and heterotrophic bacteria in dual energy-limited chemostats. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 57, 3255–3263. doi: 10.1128/AEM.57.11.3255-3263.1991
- Wang, C. H., Wan, S. Q., Xing, X. R., Zhang, L., and Han, X. G. (2006). Temperature and soil moisture interactively affected soil net N mineralization in temperate grassland in Northern China. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 38, 1101–1110. doi: 10.1016/j.soilbio.2005.09.009
- Wang, X., Wang, C., Cotrufo, M. F., Sun, L. F., Jiang, P., Liu, Z. P., et al. (2020). Elevated temperature increases the accumulation

of microbial necromass nitrogen in soil via increasing microbial turnover. *Glob. Change Biol.* 26, 5277–5289. doi: 10.1111/gcb. 15206

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2021 Taylor, Ottoman and Chaplen. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.