Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Viswanath Buddolla, Dr. Buddolla's Institute of Life Sciences, India

REVIEWED BY Subramanyam Dasari, Indiana University Bloomington, United States Yudong Li, Capital Medical University, China Giuseppe Zimmitti, Fondazione Poliambulanza Istituto Ospedaliero, Italy

*CORRESPONDENCE Wu Qingming wuhe9224@sina.com

RECEIVED 16 October 2024 ACCEPTED 24 February 2025 PUBLISHED 07 March 2025

CITATION

Ma Z, Wu Q and Wu Q (2025) The predictive value of FAH model for the occurrence of colorectal cancer. *Front. Med.* 12:1512173. doi: 10.3389/fmed.2025.1512173

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Ma, Wu and Wu. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

The predictive value of FAH model for the occurrence of colorectal cancer

Zhixuan Ma^{1,2}, Qing Wu³ and Qingming Wu^{1*}

¹School of Public Health, Wuhan University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, China, ²Department of Gastroenterology, Ziyang People's Hospital, Ziyang, China, ³Department of Critical Care Medicine, Ziyang People's Hospital, Ziyang, China

Background: Fatty liver is characterized by hepatic steatosis and is associated with dyslipidemia and insulin resistance. Carotid atherosclerosis, characterized by plaque formation, may be related to increased lipid deposition. High-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C) plays a role in reverse cholesterol transport. Colorectal cancer (CRC) is significantly associated with lipid metabolism-related diseases. However, there is a paucity of research on the relationship between lipid metabolism disorders and CRC.

Objective: To determine whether fatty liver (F), carotid atherosclerosis (A), and HDL-C (H) models (FAH) have predictive value for the occurrence of CRC and can be used for CRC screening.

Methods: A case–control study was conducted on 166 patients with CRC and 448 patients who underwent physical examinations at Ziyang People's Hospital between September 2018 and August 2023. A 1:3 individual matching strategy was used to establish the independent risk factors for CRC using univariate and multivariate analyses. A model was constructed based on independent risk factors, and its accuracy and sensitivity were verified. The discriminative ability, calibration, and clinical utility of the predictive model were evaluated using the Receiver Operating Characteristic curve, bootstrap resampling method, the Hosmer–Lemeshow goodness-of-fit test, and Decision Curve Analysis (DCA).

Results: Fatty liver (F), carotid atherosclerosis (A), HDL-C (H), and intestinal dysbiosis (D) were identified as independent risk factors for CRC. The odds ratios were 2.885, 11.452, 24.659, and 22.445, respectively, *p* < 0.001. Based on these results, an FAH prediction model was established. The Horser–Lemeshow test for the FAH prediction model yielded *p* = 0.710. The cut-off value was 0.275, with the area under the curve of 0.902 (95% Confidence Interval: 0.875–0.929), *p* < 0.001. The sensitivity was 86.7%, and the specificity was 78.1%. A nomogram was created, and the internal calibration chart showed that the calibration curve closely aligned with the standard curve, indicating good discrimination and predictive ability of the model. DCA demonstrated that the model had a favorable clinical net benefit.

Conclusion: The FAH model has predictive value for CRC occurrence owing to its noninvasive nature and easy availability of data, making it worthy of further clinical research.

KEYWORDS

colorectal cancer, fatty liver, carotid atherosclerosis, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, intestinal dysbiosis

1 Introduction

Colorectal cancer (CRC) is a malignant tumor originating from the mucosal epithelium of the colon and rectum. Globally, CRC ranks first in terms of number of new cases in the United States and China (1). In China, CRC is the leading cause of cancer-related mortality (2). Early detection of CRC is important to improve patient survival and reduce mortality rates. Numerous recent domestic and international studies have indicated that metabolic syndrome (MS), nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD), atherosclerosis, and other metabolic disorders are closely associated with an increased CRC incidence (3-6). MS includes dyslipidemia, NAFLD, diabetes mellitus, and obesity (7). Current early diagnostic methods for CRC, including blood and fecal tumor marker detection (8), have limited sensitivity and specificity. Colonoscopy is considered the gold standard for CRC screening (9); however, its invasive nature and low patient compliance limit its application in large-scale screening. NAFLD is a type of fatty liver disease, and carotid atherosclerosis is a manifestation of carotid artery atherosclerosis. Based on the metabolic characteristics of these diseases, we speculated that fatty liver, carotid atherosclerosis, and high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C) levels may be correlated with the occurrence and progression of CRC. As these indicators can be conveniently and rapidly obtained through ultrasound and blood tests, their potential application in CRC screening deserves further research. Gut microbiota dysbiosis is considered a significant factor affecting CRC development (10). To further explore the correlation between these metabolic indicators and CRC, we selected patients from Ziyang People's Hospital as participants for our study with the aim of assessing the potential value of fatty liver, carotid atherosclerosis, HDL-C, and gut microbiota dysbiosis in CRC screening.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Study participants

This study included patients who visited Ziyang People's Hospital between September 1, 2018, and August 31, 2023, as clinical research participants.

The inclusion criteria were as follows: (1) Age over 18 years. (2) Availability of results for liver ultrasound, carotid vascular ultrasound, blood lipid levels, fecal rod-to-sphere ratio, and colonoscopy. (3) Diagnosis of fatty liver based on four known criteria (11): liver-kidney echo contrast, liver brightness, deep attenuation, and vessel blurring. Fatty liver is classified into three groups according to severity. Mild fatty liver is defined as a slight increase in liver echogenicity. Moderate fatty liver is defined as mild visual impairment of the hepatic vessels and diaphragm, along with increased liver echogenicity. Severe fatty liver is defined as a significant increase in liver echogenicity, poor penetration in the posterior segment of the right lobe, and poor or absent visualization of hepatic vessels and diaphragm. (4) Diagnosis of carotid atherosclerosis conformed to the Chinese Guidelines for Vascular Ultrasound Examination in Stroke (12): Ultrasound was used to assess carotid plaques and carotid intimamedia thickness (CIMT). Certified sonographers manually traced a 10 mm segment of the carotid artery's intima-media interface using two-dimensional grayscale ultrasound images at the end of diastole and measured the CIMT at three sites: the distal common carotid artery, carotid bulb, and proximal internal carotid artery. CIMT was considered abnormal if the maximum value at the three measurement points was ≥ 1.0 mm. The presence of plaque was defined as CIMT >1.5 mm, a focal structure encroaching >0.5 mm into the arterial lumen, or > 50% of the adjacent CIMT. (5) CRC must meet the pathological diagnostic criteria (13). (6) The diagnostic criteria for gut microbiota dysbiosis based on the Chinese Expert Consensus on Clinical Application of Microecological Agents (2020 Edition) (14): medical history indicating a primary disease causing an imbalance in the gut microbiota; clinical manifestations of gut microbiota imbalance, such as diarrhea, bloating, abdominal pain, and abdominal discomfort; laboratory evidence of gut microbiota imbalance: fecal smear examination showing a cocci/bacilli ratio (the reference value for adults is 1:3).

The exclusion criteria were as follows: (1) Incomplete medical history. (2) History of previous malignant tumors and inflammatory bowel diseases, such as ulcerative colitis or Crohn's disease. (3) Previous colectomy for any reason. (4) Incomplete colonoscopy results. This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Ziyang People's Hospital (Ethics Committee Approval No. 20230901), and informed consent was obtained from all patients.

2.2 Research methods

According to the research design, individual matching was conducted at a 1:3 ratio, followed by sex matching. A total of 614 patients were included in the study, with 166 patients with CRC in the study group and 448 patients without CRC in the control group.

2.3 Clinical data collection

Data on age, sex, height, weight, smoking, alcohol consumption, aspirin use history, family history of CRC (first-degree relatives), history of hypertension, and diabetes were collected. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated as BMI = body mass (kg) / height² (m²).

2.4 Platelet count, serum biochemistry, lipid, and carcinoembryonic antigen testing

After fasting for 12 h, peripheral venous blood was collected to test for platelet count (Plt), alanine transaminase (ALT), aspartate aminotransferase (AST), gamma-glutamyltransferase (GGT), total bilirubin (TBil), indirect bilirubin (IBiL), direct bilirubin (DBiL), total bile acid (TBA), total cholesterol (TC), HDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C), triglycerides (TG), creatinine (Cre), and carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA).

2.5 Fecal rod-to-sphere ratio

Fecal rod-to-sphere ratio analysis was performed using an Olympus CX22LED microscope, manufactured in Japan.

2.6 Liver and carotid vascular ultrasound examination

After fasting for 8–12 h, in the supine position, a Siemens ACUSON S2000 color ultrasound diagnostic system was used, and liver and carotid vascular ultrasound examinations were uniformly conducted by sonographers.

2.7 Colonoscopy

All patients completed a full colonoscopy.

2.8 Pathology report materials

Pathologists wrote complete pathology reports based on the characteristics of the CRC tissue sections under a microscope, which were reviewed by senior physicians.

2.9 Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 19.0 and R 4.4.1 software. Normally distributed quantitative data were expressed as mean \pm standard deviation (x \pm s) and analyzed using *t*-tests, while non-normally distributed quantitative data were expressed as median and interquartile range M (Q1, Q3), and comparisons between groups were made using the Mann-Whitney U test. Categorical data were expressed as numbers (%). Chi-square tests were used for unordered categorical data, and Mann-Whitney U tests were used for ordered categorical data. Pearson and Spearman correlation tests were used for correlation analysis of normally and non-normally distributed data, respectively. Binary Logistic regression analysis was used to identify independent risk factors affecting CRC occurrence and progression, and a predictive model formula was constructed. R language was used to construct the nomogram models. The area under the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve (AUC) was calculated to assess the predictive ability of the model. Internal validation of the model was performed using the bootstrap resampling method, with the construction of bootstrap-AUC and calibration curves. A p-value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

3 Results

3.1 Clinical characteristics of CRC and control groups

In this study, no statistically significant differences were observed between the CRC group and the control group in terms of gender, family history of CRC, heart failure, chronic kidney disease, cerebral infarction, fatty liver grade, AST, DBiL, GGT, Cre, TG, and LDL-C (p > 0.05). However, age, BMI, smoking, alcohol consumption, aspirin use, intestinal polyps, diabetes, hypertension, carotid atherosclerosis, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), gut microbiota dysbiosis, fatty liver, Plt, ALT, AST/ALT ratio, TBil, IBiL, TBA, CEA, TC, and HDL-C showed statistically significant differences between the CRC group and control group (p < 0.05) (Table 1).

3.2 Analysis of CRC risk factors

Correlation analysis of variables with p < 0.05 revealed that ALT, TBil, IBiL, TC, BMI, and intestinal polyps were negatively correlated with CRC, with correlation coefficients of -0.161, -0.097, -0.130, -1.71, -0.129, and -0.164, respectively. Age, diabetes mellitus, hypertension, carotid atherosclerosis, fatty liver, COPD, smoking, alcohol consumption, aspirin use, gut microbiota dysbiosis, Plt, AST/ ALT ratio, TBA, HDL-C, and CEA were positively correlated with CRC. Multivariate regression analysis, which incorporated the positively correlated variables, identified age, diabetes mellitus, carotid atherosclerosis, fatty liver, COPD, gut microbiota dysbiosis, Plt, HDL-C, and CEA as risk factors for CRC (Table 2). The diagnoses of fatty liver, carotid atherosclerosis, HDL-C, and intestinal microbiota dysbiosis were based on variance inflation factor (VIF) less than 5, indicating no multicollinearity among the variables. The inclusion of variables was determined by considering the current research, clinical theory, data collection feasibility, cost-effectiveness, and the efficacy of computation and prediction. Binary Logistic regression analysis demonstrated that fatty liver, carotid atherosclerosis, HDL-C, and gut microbiota dysbiosis were independent risk factors for CRC, with odds ratios (OR) of 2.885, 11.452, 24.659, and 22.445, respectively (p < 0.001, Table 3). By streamlining variable selection, the aim was to develop a predictive model that was both cost-effective and clinically practical, providing an accurate tool for the risk assessment of CRC.

3.3 Establishment of the FAH model

The optimal cutoff value for HDL-C was 1.615 mmol/L, as determined by ROC analysis. Based on the results of the binary logistic regression analysis, predictive models with different combinations of four risk factors-fatty liver (F), carotid atherosclerosis (A), high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (H), and gut microbiota dysbiosis (D)-were constructed. Cutoff values, AUC, sensitivity, specificity, and 95% confidence intervals (CI) of the models were calculated (Table 4). After a multidimensional assessment of model stability, AUC, ease of operation, and cost-effectiveness, both the FAHD and FAH models demonstrated high AUC, sensitivity, specificity, and 95% CI. Considering that the FAH model is more economical, convenient, and rapid than the FAHD model and given that many primary hospitals in China have not yet implemented fecal microbiota ratio testing, the optimal FAH model, which includes fatty liver, carotid atherosclerosis, and HDL-C, was ultimately selected. The Hosmer-Lemeshow goodness-of-fit test for the FAH model yielded a p-value of 0.710, indicating a good model fit. The formula for the model is: CRC risk = $-3.803 + 1.082 \times \text{fatty liver} + 2.443 \times \text{carotid}$ atherosclerosis $+3.165 \times HDL-C$ (Table 5).

TABLE 1 Clinical characteristics of CRC and control groups.

| Clinical characteristics | CRC group (<i>n</i> = 166) | Non-CRC group (<i>n</i> = 448) | χ2/Ζ | p value |
|---|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------|---------|
| Gender, <i>n</i> (%) | | | | |
| Male | 105 (63.3) | 292 (65.2) | 0.197ª | 0.658 |
| Female | 61 (36.7) | 156 (34.8) | | |
| Age [years, M (Q ₁ , Q ₃)] | 70 (61 ~ 76) | 61 (53 ~ 70) | -6.230 ^b | <0.001* |
| BMI (Kg/m ²) | 23.3 (20.9 ~ 25.3) | 24.0 (22.5 ~ 25.9) | -2.887 ^b | 0.004* |
| Smoking, <i>n</i> (%) | 68 (41.0) | 105 (23.4) | -4.472 ^b | <0.001* |
| Alcohol consumption, <i>n</i> (%) | 60 (36.1) | 96 (21.4) | -3.936 ^b | <0.001* |
| Family history of CRC, n (%) | 5 (3.0) | 4 (0.9) | 2.442ª | 0.118 |
| Aspirin use, n (%) | 12 (7.2) | 9 (2.0) | -2.877 ^b | 0.004* |
| Intestinal polyps, n (%) | 97 (58.4) | 337 (75.2) | 16.477ª | <0.001* |
| Diabetes, n (%) | 31 (18.7) | 40 (9.0) | -3.141 ^b | 0.002* |
| Hypertension, <i>n</i> (%) | 56 (33.7) | 73 (16.3) | -4.270 ^b | <0.001* |
| Heart failure, <i>n</i> (%) | 2 (1.2) | 0 (0.0) | - | 0.073 |
| Chronic kidney disease, <i>n</i> (%) | 3 (1.8) | 2 (0.5) | 1.348ª | 0.246 |
| Cerebral infarction, <i>n</i> (%) | 27 (16.3) | 51 (11.4) | 2.602ª | 0.107 |
| Carotid atherosclerosis, <i>n</i> (%) | 98 (59.0) | 61 (13.6) | 130.209ª | <0.001* |
| COPD, n (%) | 16 (9.6) | 16 (3.6) | -3.760 ^b | <0.001* |
| Gut microbiota dysbiosis, <i>n</i> (%) | 23 (13.8) | 3 (0.6) | -7.200 ^b | <0.001* |
| Fatty liver, <i>n</i> (%) | 76 (45.8) | 161 (36.0) | 4.954ª | 0.026* |
| Fatty liver absent, <i>n</i> (%) | 90 (54.2) | 287 (64.1) | _ | - |
| Mild fatty liver, <i>n</i> (%) | 65 (39.2) | 114 (25.5) | _ | - |
| Moderate fatty liver, <i>n</i> (%) | 11 (6.6) | 46 (10.3) | _ | _ |
| Severe fatty liver, <i>n</i> (%) | 0 (0.0) | 1 (0.2) | _ | _ |
| Fatty liver grading | _ | _ | -1.637 ^b | 0.102 |
| Platelet count (×10 ⁹ /L) | 216.5 (173.0 ~ 272.0) | 186.5 (147.0 ~ 226.0) | -5.018 ^b | <0.001* |
| ALT (U/L) | 13.0 (8.5 ~ 20.3) | 18.0 (12.9 ~ 28.1) | -5.683 ^b | <0.001* |
| AST (U/L) | 25.6 (20.4 ~ 32.5) | 26.7 (21.5 ~ 33.4) | -1.499 ^b | 0.134 |
| AST/ALT | 1.80 (1.3 ~ 2.7) | 1.4 (1.0 ~ 2.0) | -5.698 ^b | <0.001* |
| Total bilirubin (umol/L) | 12.0 (9.0 ~ 15.5) | 13.3 (10.3 ~ 16.8) | -2.835 ^b | 0.005* |
| Direct bilirubin (umol/L) | 4.1 (3.2 ~ 5.2) | 4.2 (3.3 ~ 5.2) | -0.323 ^b | 0.747 |
| Indirect bilirubin (umol/L) | 7.6 (5.6 ~ 10.5) | 9.0 (6.8 ~ 11.8) | -3.674 ^b | <0.001* |
| GGT (U/L) | 19.8 (14.3 ~ 34.1) | 21.4 (14.8 ~ 33.5) | -0.587 ^b | 0.557 |
| Total bile acids (umol/L) | 4.0 (2.2 ~ 6.5) | 3.3 (1.6 ~ 5.8) | -2.682 ^b | 0.007* |
| Serum creatinine (umol/L) | 64.8 (54.1 ~ 78.5) | 64.4 (52.6 ~ 76.4) | -1.243 ^b | 0.214 |
| Carcinoembryonic antigen (ng/mL) | 3.9 (2.1 ~ 10.9) | 2.0 (1.2 ~ 2.4) | -10.559 ^b | <0.001* |
| Total cholesterol (mmol/L) | 4.2 (4.1 ~ 4.7) | 4.6 (4.1 ~ 5.4) | -4.665 ^b | <0.001* |
| Triglycerides (mmol/L) | 1.28 (0.96 ~ 2.34) | 1.28 (0.86 ~ 2.18) | -0.399 ^b | 0.690 |
| HDL-C (mmol/L) | 3.11 (3.11 ~ 3.11) | 1.24 (1.02 ~ 1.53) | -13.552 ^b | <0.001* |
| LDL-C (mmol/L) | 2.74 (2.24 ~ 3.74) | 2.52 (2.01 ~ 3.20) | -0.721 ^b | 0.471 |

a is χ^2 ; b represents the z-value; *: p < 0.05; CRC, colorectal cancer; BMI, body mass index; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; AST/ALT, ratio of aspartate aminotransferase to alanine aminotransferase; ALP, alkaline phosphatase; GGT, gamma-glutamyltransferase; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, LDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol.

The nomogram model was plotted using R 4.4.1 software (Figure 1). For example, a patient with fatty liver, carotid atherosclerosis, and an HDL-C level above 1.615 mmol/L would have

a total score indicating a 94% risk of CRC occurrence, according to the nomogram model. This suggests that the higher the total score of the nomogram model, the higher is the risk of CRC in the patient.

| Parameters | Correlation a | inalysis | Multivariable logistic regression analysis | | | |
|--------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|--|--------|----------------------------|----------|
| | Correlation coefficient | <i>p</i> value | | OR | 95% confidence interval | p value |
| Age | 0.247 | <0.001* | | 1.061 | 1.026 ~ 1.079 | <0.001* |
| Diabetes mellitus | 0.127 | 0.002* | | 7.032 | 3.317 ~ 14.905 | < 0.001* |
| Hypertension | 0.172 | <0.001* | | 0.959 | 0.448 ~ 2.056 | 0.915 |
| Carotid atherosclerosis | 0.461 | <0.001* | | 6.879 | 3.420 ~ 13.836 | <0.001* |
| Fatty liver | 0.090 | 0.026* | | 3.118 | 1.606 ~ 6.052 | 0.001* |
| COPD | 0.152 | <0.001* | | 4.659 | 1.364 ~ 15.913 | 0.014* |
| Smoking | 0.181 | <0.001* | | 2.501 | 0.942 ~ 6.636 | 0.066 |
| Alcohol consumption | 0.150 | <0.001* | | 1.363 | 0.497 ~ 3.738 | 0.548 |
| Aspirin use | 0.116 | 0.004* | | 0.870 | 0.155 ~ 4.875 | 0.874 |
| Gut microbiota dysbiosis | 0.291 | <0.001* | | 19.887 | 2.752 ~ 143.744 | 0.003* |
| Platelet count | 0.241 | <0.001* | | 1.008 | 1.004 ~ 1.012 | <0.001* |
| AST/ALT | 0.166 | <0.001* | | 1.113 | 0.976 ~ 1.268 | 0.111 |
| Total bile acids | 0.089 | 0.027* | | 1.012 | 0.959 ~ 1.068 | 0.669 |
| HDL-C | 0.741 | 0.001* | | 29.002 | 14.158 ~ 59.418 | <0.001* |
| Carcinoembryonic antigen | 0.426 | <0.001* | | 1.081 | 1.035 ~ 1.129 | <0.001* |

TABLE 2 Analysis of colorectal cancer risk factors.

OR, odds ratio; *: p < 0.05; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; AST/ALT, ratio of aspartate aminotransferase to alanine aminotransferase; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol.

3.4 Clinical efficacy and validation of the FAH model

ROC curves were plotted for the FAH model and the individual components of fatty liver, carotid atherosclerosis, and HDL-C to obtain their respective AUCs. The AUC for the FAH model in predicting CRC was 0.902 (95% CI: 0.875-0.929), with a sensitivity of 86.7% and a specificity of 78.1% (p < 0.001). The AUCs for predicting CRC with fatty liver disease, carotid atherosclerosis, and HDL-C levels were 0.549, 0.727, and 0.807, respectively (Figure 2). The predictive ability of each individual risk factor for CRC was lower than that of the FAH model, indicating the model's strong discriminative power. Internal validation of the model was performed using the bootstrap resampling method with 1,000 repetitions. The adjusted bootstrap-AUC was 0.899 (95% CI: 0.871–0.925), *p* < 0.001 (Figure 3). The calibration curve after internal validation revealed that the biascorrected calibration curve of the model was close to the ideal curve, indicating good predictive stability and consistency (Figure 4). Decision Curve Analysis demonstrated that the model had a favorable clinical net benefit (Figure 5).

4 Discussion

4.1 CRC screening methods

CRC remains the second leading cause of cancer-related deaths (15), with a 5-year survival rate of up to 90.6% for early-stage CRC, compared to only 14.7% for late-stage CRC (16). Despite the effectiveness of clinical screening in reducing CRC incidence and mortality, data published by the National Cancer Center highlight

persistent high risk and fatality rates across different age groups (17), indicating the need for continued efforts to develop CRC screening methods. Current screening methods, such as colonoscopy, are highly invasive and have low acceptance. Serum and fecal tumor marker screenings, although easy to perform, have insufficient specificity, limiting their application in large-scale screening. Although it is highly sensitive and specific, fecal DNA screening is costly and difficult to promote routinely. Moreover, comprehensive screening strategies, although theoretically comprehensive, are still controversial regarding their long-term efficacy and practical feasibility. Therefore, CRC screening faces many challenges in terms of method selection, patient compliance, risk of overdiagnosis, personalized screening strategies, application of emerging technologies, and resource allocation. Further research and improvements are needed to enhance the effectiveness and feasibility of CRC screening.

4.2 Effectiveness of the FAH model in predicting CRC

This study constructed an FAH prediction model using binary logistic regression analysis. The risk prediction nomogram model can intuitively display the impact of each risk factor on the occurrence of CRC in patients, providing clinical guidance for medical staff to perform colonoscopies for CRC screening. The model was tested using the Hosmer–Lemeshow goodness-of-fit test to enhance the scientific and rigorous nature of the modeling process. An AUC above 0.9 indicates good prediction performance (18), and the AUC of the model in this study was 0.902 with a cut-off value of 0.275, sensitivity of 86.7%, specificity of 78.1%, and a 95% CI of 0.875–0.929 (p < 0.001). This suggests that the model has a diagnostic value for predicting CRC. Internal validation of the model showed a sensitivity of 94%,

TABLE 3 Binary logistic analysis of independent risk factors for colorectal cancer.

| Risk factors | В | SE | Wald | p value | OR | OR95%CI | |
|------------------------------------|-------|-------|---------|---------|--------|-------------|-------------|
| | | | | | | Lower limit | Upper limit |
| Fatty Liver | 1.059 | 0.275 | 14.878 | < 0.001 | 2.885 | 1.684 | 4.942 |
| Carotid atherosclerosis | 2.438 | 0.291 | 70.417 | < 0.001 | 11.452 | 6.480 | 20.239 |
| HDL-C | 3.205 | 0.294 | 118.790 | <0.001 | 24.659 | 13.857 | 43.882 |
| Dysbiosis of the gut microbiota | 3.111 | 0.762 | 16.649 | <0.001 | 22.445 | 5.036 | 100.026 |

S, regression coefficient; SE, standard error; Wald, statistical test; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol.

TABLE 4 Efficacy analysis of various models in predicting colorectal cancer.

| Model | Cut-off value | AUC | Sensitivity | Specificity | 95%CI | <i>p</i> value |
|-------|------------------|-------|-------------|-------------|---------------|----------------|
| FAHD | 0.311 | 0.913 | 0.892 | 0.780 | 0.887 ~ 0.939 | <0.001 |
| FAH | 0.275 | 0.902 | 0.867 | 0.781 | 0.875 ~ 0.929 | <0.001 |
| FA | 0.381 | 0.760 | 0.590 | 0.864 | 0.714 ~ 0.805 | <0.001 |
| AH | 0.166 | 0.884 | 0.934 | 0.708 | 0.853 ~ 0.914 | <0.001 |
| AHD | 0.146 | 0.898 | 0.946 | 0.703 | 0.870 ~ 0.926 | <0.001 |

CRC, colorectal cancer; AUC, area under the curve; CI, confidence interval; FAHD, Fatty Liver + Carotid Atherosclerosis + High-Density Lipoprotein Cholesterol + Gut Microbiota Dysbiosis; FAH, Fatty Liver + Carotid Atherosclerosis + High-Density Lipoprotein Cholesterol; FA, Fatty Liver + Carotid Atherosclerosis; AH, Carotid Atherosclerosis + High-Density Lipoprotein Cholesterol; AHD, Carotid Atherosclerosis + High-Density Lipoprotein Cholesterol + Gut Microbiota Dysbiosis.

TABLE 5 Variables in the FAH model equation.

| Risk factors | В | SE | Wald | p value | OR | OR95%CI | |
|-------------------------|--------|-------|---------|---------|--------|-------------|-------------|
| | | | | | | Lower limit | Upper limit |
| Fatty liver | 1.082 | 0.265 | 16.657 | <0.001 | 2.951 | 1.755 | 4.963 |
| Carotid atherosclerosis | 2.443 | 0.281 | 75.434 | < 0.001 | 11.513 | 6.633 | 19.983 |
| HDL-C | 3.165 | 0.282 | 126.093 | < 0.001 | 23.683 | 13.631 | 41.146 |
| Constants | -3.803 | 0.303 | 157.781 | <0.001 | 0.022 | _ | _ |

S, regression coefficient; SE, standard error; Wald, Wald test statistic; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol.







4.3 Theoretical basis and risk factor analysis of FAH model

Fatty liver, carotid atherosclerosis, and HDL-C are closely related to lipid metabolism and play key roles in the occurrence and development of CRC. Dyslipidemia provides tumor cells with abundant energy, nutrients, and redox requirements, supporting their malignant growth and metastasis (19). A study based on circular RNA microarray revealed that circCAPRIN1 can promote CRC progression, elucidating the molecular mechanism by which circular RNA promote tumor progression through dysregulated lipid metabolism (20). Dyslipidemia is a hallmark of cancer (21). Multiple enzymes, proteins, and transcription factors participate in the reprogramming of CRC lipid metabolism. Their abnormal expression promotes lipid synthesis and droplet accumulation through various mechanisms, thereby affecting the growth, proliferation, and metastasis of CRC cells.

This study found that fatty liver and carotid atherosclerosis were independent risk factors for CRC. Fatty liver may promote CRC by increasing insulin resistance, altering the secretion of adipokines, and affecting the balance of inflammatory mediators (22). The association between carotid atherosclerosis and CRC may be related to pathophysiological mechanisms, such as chronic inflammation, oxidative stress, and endothelial dysfunction (6). Notably, this study identified HDL-C as an independent risk factor for CRC, which contradicts traditional views that HDL-C is generally protective against tumor development (23). A retrospective study observed that serum HDL-C levels were significantly increased in CRC patients with ocular metastasis, with levels above 1.27 mmol/L associated with an increased risk of ocular metastasis (24). This threshold was close to 1.615 mmol/L for HDL-C, which was identified as a risk factor for CRC in this study. A Mendelian randomization analysis found higher genetically predicted HDL-C levels were associated with increased risk of non-endometrioid endometrial cancer (25). The findings of this study suggest that HDL-C may not be a universally protective factor



for all types of cancer but rather may act as a risk factor for specific tumor types (26), and may also be related to the degree of differentiation or staging of CRC (27). However, the exact causal relationship between HDL-C levels and the development of CRC requires further research to provide definitive evidence. Emerging evidence suggests that the distribution and functional aberrations of HDL-C subtypes may indirectly facilitate cancer progression through alterations in cholesterol metabolism (28). At the genetic level, genome-wide association studies (GWAS) have identified a functional mutation at the rs5888 locus of the SCARB1 gene, which can impede HDL-C metabolism. This leads to the accumulation of oxidized HDL-C in circulation, triggering the release of inflammatory cytokines and subsequently promoting the proliferation of colorectal epithelial cells via the activation of the TLR4/NF-KB signaling pathway (29, 30). These findings highlight that clinical practice should not only focus on HDL-C concentrations but also on its functional status and genetic background, thereby providing novel insights for the early detection and warning of CRC. Additionally, this study highlighted gut microbiota dysbiosis as another risk factor for CRC, emphasizing that an imbalance in the gut microbiota may lead to lipid metabolism disorders. Recent research has shown that an imbalance in the gut microbiota significantly affects lipid metabolism in the host, leading to diseases such as obesity, hyperlipidemia, and NAFLD (31). These findings provide new perspectives for understanding the mechanisms of fatty liver, carotid atherosclerosis, HDL-C, and gut microbiota dysbiosis in CRC and may have significant implications for CRC risk assessment and clinical treatment strategies.

4.4 Limitations of this study

Although the FAH model has certain value in CRC prediction, it has limitations. Firstly, there is a lack of external validation. External validation is a crucial step in evaluating the generalization ability of a model, ensuring that the model still exhibits good predictive performance in different populations and environments (32). However, the FAH model is constructed based on specific datasets and lacks validation from independent samples, which





raises doubts about its reliability in practical applications. Therefore, future research should focus on conducting multi—center, large sample external validation to fully evaluate the stability and applicability of the FAH model, thus providing more robust support for the accurate prediction of CRC. Secondly, the FAH model assesses the sensitivity and specificity of the test based on a single screening method. Furthermore, current guidelines identify intestinal polyps as a risk factor for CRC. However, this study found no association between colonic polyps and CRC risk. On the contrary, patients with intestinal polyps exhibited a lower probability of developing CRC. This may be attributed to the surgical removal of polyps following their detection through colonoscopy, thereby placing patients in a disease-free state and reducing the incidence of CRC. This observation indirectly suggests that early detection and intervention in patients with intestinal polyps could potentially decrease CRC incidence. As this was a retrospective study, some patients were excluded, and the analysis may have been biased. Large-sample, multicenter prospective studies are needed to explore the relationship between metabolismrelated diseases and CRC.

In conclusion, this study identified NAFLD, carotid atherosclerosis, low HDL-C levels, and intestinal dysbiosis as independent risk factors for CRC. These findings provide a scientific basis for healthcare professionals to implement effective preventive measures, thereby reducing CRC incidence. The FAH model offers a practical, straightforward, and rapid approach for predicting CRC, providing valuable guidance for clinical practice and introducing novel perspectives for CRC screening.

Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

Ethics statement

The studies involving humans were approved by Ethics Committee of Ziyang People's Hospital. The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. The participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study. Written informed consent was obtained from the individual(s) for the publication of any potentially identifiable images or data included in this article.

Author contributions

ZM: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Writing – original draft. QW: Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Writing – original draft. QMW: Formal analysis, Methodology, Software, Writing – original draft.

Funding

The author(s) declare that no financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Acknowledgments

We wish to express our gratitude to the editor for the support provided in the submission of our manuscript and to the Information

References

1. Xi Y, Xu P. Global colorectal cancer burden in 2020 and projections to 2040. *Transl Oncol.* (2021) 14:101174. doi: 10.1016/j.tranon.2021.101174

2. Yang Y, Han Z, Li X, Huang A, Shi J, Gu J. Epidemiology and risk factors of colorectal cancer in China. *Chin J Cancer Res.* (2020) 32:729–41. doi: 10.21147/j.issn. 1000-9604.2020.06.06

3. Chen H, Zheng X, Zong X, Li Z, Li N, Hur J, et al. Metabolic syndrome, metabolic comorbid conditions and risk of early-onset colorectal cancer. *Gut.* (2021) 70:1147–54. doi: 10.1136/gutjnl-2020-321661

4. Mantovani A, Petracca G, Beatrice G, Csermely A, Tilg H, Byrne CD, et al. Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease and increased risk of incident extrahepatic cancers: a metaanalysis of observational cohort studies. *Gut.* (2022) 71:778–88. doi: 10.1136/gutjnl-2021-324191

5. Park J-H, Hong JY, Shen JJ, Han K, Park JO, Park YS, et al. Increased risk of youngonset digestive tract cancers among young adults age 20–39 years with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: a nationwide cohort study. *J Clin Oncol.* (2023) 41:3363–73. doi: 10.1200/JCO.22.01740

6. Onyeaghala G, Lintelmann AK, Joshu CE, Lutsey PL, Folsom AR, Robien K, et al. Adherence to the World Cancer Research Fund/American Institute for Cancer Research cancer prevention guidelines and colorectal cancer incidence among African Americans and whites: the atherosclerosis risk in communities study. *Cancer*. (2020) 126:1041–50. doi: 10.1002/cncr.32616

7. Samson SL, Garber AJ. Metabolic syndrome. *Endocrinol Metab Clin N Am.* (2014) 43:1–23. doi: 10.1016/j.ecl.2013.09.009

8. Carethers JM. Stool-based screening tests for colorectal cancer. JAMA. (2023) 329:839–40. doi: 10.1001/jama.2023.0547

9. Nierengarten MB. Colonoscopy remains the gold standard for screening despite recent tarnish: although a recent study seemed to indicate that colonoscopies are not as effective as once thought at detecting colorectal cancer, a closer look at the study clears the confusion: although a recent study seemed to indicate that colonoscopies are not as effective as once thought at detecting colorectal cancer, a closer look at the study clears the confusion. *Cancer.* (2023) 129:330–1. doi: 10.1002/cncr.34622

10. Garrett WS. The gut microbiota and colon cancer. *Science*. (2019) 364:1133–5. doi: 10.1126/science.aaw2367

11. Hamaguchi M, Kojima T, Itoh Y, Harano Y, Fujii K, Nakajima T, et al. The severity of ultrasonographic findings in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease reflects the metabolic

Technology Department of Ziyang People's Hospital for their invaluable support in data collection. We would like to thank Editage (www.Editage.com) for English language editing.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Generative AI statement

The authors declare that no Gen AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

syndrome and visceral fat accumulation. Am J Gastroenterol. (2007) 102:2708-15. doi: 10.1111/j.1572-0241.2007.01526.x

12. National Health and Family Planning Commission stroke prevention and treatment engineering committee. Chinese guidelines for vascular ultrasound examination in stroke. *Chin J Med Ultrasound*. (2015) 12:599–610. doi: 10.3877/cma. j.issn.1672-6448.2015.08.004

13. Benson AB, Venook AP, Al-Hawary MM, Cederquist L, Chen YJ, Ciombor KK, et al. NCCN guidelines insights: Colon Cancer. Version 2.2018. J Natl Compr Cancer Netw. (2018) 16:359–69. doi: 10.6004/jnccn.2018.0021

14. Chinese Preventive Medicine Association Microecology Branch. Expert consensus on the clinical application of microecological modulators in China. *Chin J Microecol.* (2020) 32:953–65. doi: 10.13381/j.cnki.cjm.202008020

15. Bray F, Laversanne M, Sung H, Ferlay J, Siegel RL, Soerjomataram I, et al. Global cancer statistics 2022: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. *CA Cancer J Clin.* (2024) 74:229–63. doi: 10.3322/caac.21834

16. Siegel RL, Miller KD, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2020. CA Cancer J Clin. (2020) 70:7–30. doi: 10.3322/caac.21590

17. Zheng R, Wang S, Zhang S, Zeng H, Chen R, Sun K, et al. Global, regional, and national lifetime probabilities of developing cancer in 2020. *Sci Bull (Beijing)*. (2023) 68:2620–8. doi: 10.1016/j.scib.2023.09.041

18. Nahm FS. Receiver operating characteristic curve: overview and practical use for clinicians. *Korean J Anesthesiol.* (2022) 75:25–36. doi: 10.4097/kja.21209

19. Nenkov M, Ma Y, Gaßler N, Chen Y. Metabolic reprogramming of colorectal cancer cells and the microenvironment: implication for therapy. *Int J Mol Sci.* (2021) 22:6262. doi: 10.3390/ijms22126262

20. Yang Y, Luo D, Shao Y, Shan Z, Liu Q, Weng J, et al. circCAPRIN1 interacts with STAT2 to promote tumor progression and lipid synthesis via upregulating ACC1 expression in colorectal cancer. *Cancer Commun (Lond).* (2023) 43:100–22. doi: 10.1002/cac2.12380

21. Long Z, Zhou J, Xie K, Wu Z, Yin H, Daria V, et al. Metabolomic markers of colorectal tumor with different clinicopathological features. *Front Oncol.* (2020) 10:981. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2020.00981

22. Parizadeh SM, Parizadeh SA, Alizade-Noghani M, Jafarzadeh-Esfehani R, Ghandehari M, Mottaghi-Moghaddam A, et al. Association between non-alcoholic fatty liver disease and colorectal cancer. *Expert Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol.* (2019) 13:633–41. doi: 10.1080/17474124.2019.1617696

23. Xu R, Shen J, Song Y, Lu J, Liu Y, Cao Y, et al. Exploration of the application potential of serum multi-biomarker model in colorectal cancer screening. *Sci Rep.* (2024) 14:10127. doi: 10.1038/s41598-024-60867-0

24. Zhu P-W, Gong Y-X, Min Y-L, Lin Q, Li B, Shi W-Q, et al. The predictive value of high-density lipoprotein for ocular metastases in colorectal cancer patients. *Cancer Manag Res.* (2019) 11:3511–9. doi: 10.2147/CMAR.S194637

25. Kho PF, Amant F, Annibali D, Ashton K, Attia J, Auer PL, et al. Mendelian randomization analyses suggest a role for cholesterol in the development of endometrial cancer. *Int J Cancer*. (2021) 148:307–19. doi: 10.1002/ijc.33206

26. Nam SY, Jo J, Jeon SW. Discrepant effect of high-density lipoprotein cholesterol on esophageal and gastric cancer risk in a nationwide cohort. *Gastric Cancer*. (2024) 27:451–60. doi: 10.1007/s10120-024-01477-7

27. Zhang X, Zhao X-W, Liu D-B, Han CZ, du LL, Jing JX, et al. Lipid levels in serum and cancerous tissues of colorectal cancer patients. *World J Gastroenterol.* (2014) 20:8646–52. doi: 10.3748/wjg.v20.i26.8646

28. Xiao M, Xu J, Wang W, Zhang B, Liu J, Li J, et al. Functional significance of cholesterol metabolism in cancer: from threat to treatment. *Exp Mol Med.* (2023) 55:1982–95. doi: 10.1038/s12276-023-01079-w

29. Sahebi R, Ghazizadeh H, Avan A, Tayefi M, Saffar-Soflaei S, Mouhebati M, et al. Association between a genetic variant in scavenger receptor class B type 1 and its role on codon usage bias with increased risk of developing coronary artery disease. *Clin Biochem.* (2021) 95:60–5. doi: 10.1016/j.clinbiochem.2021.06.001

30. He Y, Zhu W, Qiu Y, Zhou K. Loss of RIP3 alleviates insulin resistance and inflammation in gestational diabetes mellitus mice via TLR4/MyD88/NF-κB signaling pathway. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth*. (2025) 25:163. doi: 10.1186/s12884-025-07217-8

31. Schoeler M, Caesar R. Dietary lipids, gut microbiota and lipid metabolism. *Rev Endocr Metab Disord.* (2019) 20:461–72. doi: 10.1007/s11154-019-09512-0

32. Riley RD, Archer L, Snell KIE, Ensor J, Dhiman P, Martin GP, et al. Evaluation of clinical prediction models (part 2): how to undertake an external validation study. *BMJ.* (2024) 384:e074820. doi: 10.1136/bmj-2023-074820