Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Cheng Ni, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences and Peking Union Medical College, China

REVIEWED BY Youxin Wang, Peking University, China Shufei Peng, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences and Peking Union Medical College, China

*CORRESPONDENCE Feng Liu ⊠ liufengwuxi@126.com Mingfeng Zheng ⊠ zhengmf68@sina.com

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work

RECEIVED 03 October 2024 ACCEPTED 10 February 2025 PUBLISHED 24 February 2025

CITATION

Zhou J, Du W, Huang H, Chen Y, Li H, Chen L, Liu F and Zheng M (2025) HRR as a predictor of lung health: insights from the NHANES database. *Front. Med.* 12:1503142. doi: 10.3389/fmed.2025.1503142

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Zhou, Du, Huang, Chen, Li, Chen, Liu and Zheng. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

HRR as a predictor of lung health: insights from the NHANES database

Jiaji Zhou^{1,2†}, Wenyi Du^{3†}, Hanzhou Huang^{1,2}, Yongqi Chen^{1,2}, Huixing Li^{1,2}, Leyan Chen^{1,2}, Feng Liu^{1,2*} and Mingfeng Zheng^{1,2*}

¹Department of Thoracic Surgery, Wuxi People's Hospital, Nanjing Medical University, Wuxi Medical Center, Wuxi, China, ²Wuxi People's Hospital Affiliated with Nanjing Medical University, Wuxi, China, ³Department of General Surgery, The Affiliated Wuxi People's Hospital of Nanjing Medical University, Wuxi, China

Background: Chronic respiratory diseases (CRPD) are a global health threat characterized by oxidative stress, systemic inflammation, hypoxemia, and respiratory distress. Inflammatory indicators such as hemoglobin-to-red blood cell distribution width ratio (HRR) have been explored in relation to diseases of the respiratory system, but the correlation between HRR and pulmonary function has not been established. As part of this study, a representative sample of the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) respondents aged 40 or over was used to examine the correlation between HRR and pulmonary function indices.

Methods: Data from the 2007–2012 NHANES were used for this study. HRR and four pulmonary function parameters were compared using regression and subgroup analyses. The Restricted Cubic Spline (RCS) model was employed to find out if there are any non-linear relationships between these associations. Multiple sensitivity analyses were used to verify the correlation between the two.

Results: After adjusting for confounding variables, the data showed that for each unit increase in HRR among the population as a whole, for each unit increase in HRR, FVC increased by 0.11, FEV1 increased by 0.22, peak expiratory flow (PEF) increased by 0.24 and forced expiratory flow at 25–75% (FEF25-75%) was elevated by 0.49. In addition, we determined linear and positive correlations between FVC, FEV1, PEF or PEF 25–75% and HRR by constructing the RCS model curves. The positive correlation between HRR and pulmonary function parameters was affirmed through sensitivity analysis. Furthermore, except for the PEF 25–75%, FVC, FEV1, PEF all showed a significant upward trend with the increase of HRR in non-Hispanic white female population.

Conclusion: According to our study, HRR was positively correlated with FVC, FEV1, PEF, and PEF25-75% in a middle-aged and older adult US population. It would be useful to study the specific impact of HRR on pulmonary function and to investigate the potential pathophysiological mechanisms that might link them.

KEYWORDS

chronic respiratory disease, HRR, pulmonary function, NHANES, cross-sectional study

1 Introduction

The primary classifications of CRPD encompass chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) and asthma (1). The condition is primarily characterized by airflow limitation (AL). The incidence of CRPD is high worldwide and is increasing year by year (1, 2). CRPD prevalence and severity are silent plagues that silently erode society's health, as reported in the 2019 Global Burden of Disease (GBD) study (3). The global prevalence of CRPD exceeded 400 million, and the number of deaths exceeded 4 million, an increase of 28.5 and 39.8%, respectively (3). Among them, deaths from COPD exceed 3 million, the leading cause of death from CRPD. In addition, there are more than 200 million cases of asthma, which has the highest prevalence among CRPD (3). All of this indicates the current grim situation of CRPD. Therefore, screening for CRPD at an early stage, before it becomes symptomatic and progresses, is crucial.

At an early stage of CRPD, lung function assessment has advantages (4–6). However, lung function is only tested at the onset of respiratory symptoms. Furthermore, lung function testing is not widely used as part of health screening or primary care (7, 8). According to a US study, since the implementation of the National Lung Health Education Program (NLHEP), although the use of spirometers in primary care to detect and manage COPD has improved, 70% of patients diagnosed with COPD still do not undergo spirometry (9). In an epidemiological context, a simple biological marker that can be used to screen for lung dysfunction in the early stages of the disease could be helpful in its assessment, management, and treatment.

Red cell distribution width (RDW) is an advantageous hematological indicator for detecting heterogeneity in red blood cell volume. It is commonly used in clinical practice to diagnose and differentiate anaemia (10). RDW levels, are dynamic indicators of the body's homeostasis, responding to internal and external stimuli. Clinical surveys have demonstrated that RDW has been linked to various diseases, and its impact on mortality is independent of other clinical factors (11-13). Hemoglobin (HGB), the oxygen-carrying protein found in large quantities in red blood cell (RBC) (14, 15), is presently the most widely utilized biochemical marker for the diagnosis of anemia in blood (16, 17). The ratio of HRR is calculated by dividing HGB by RDW. This is a recently proposed inflammatory marker by Sun et al. (18). There is a strong correlation between low HRR levels and poor prognosis, shorter disease-free survival, and disease progression among other adverse outcomes (19–22). The association between HRR and pulmonary function is potentially attributable to the interplay of various biological mechanisms, including oxygen transport and supply-demand equilibrium, inflammatory response, neuroendocrine regulation, and oxidative stress. Insufficient oxygen intake alters erythrocyte production, impacting HGB and increasing RDW due to reduced deformability and more immature erythrocytes. Inflammatory cytokines lower HGB, damage vascular endothelium, and activate the sympathetic nervous system and RAAS, affecting RDW and HGB. Additionally, free radicals damage erythrocytes,

influencing HRR. These mechanisms influence alterations in HRR by modulating erythrocyte production and function, as well as blood components, thereby serving as indicators of pulmonary function status.

Unlike spirometry, HRR and RDW can indicate early lung disease changes, which may miss early damage. Blood tests for HRR and RDW are more convenient and repeatable, requiring only blood samples and allowing for dynamic disease monitoring over time. While HRR and RDW exhibit certain attributes, such as potential early alterations in pulmonary diseases, ease of detection, and repeatability, they are not exclusive to pulmonary conditions. Multiple factors influence these measures, provide an indirect reflection of impacts on the hematological system, and possess limitations in diagnostic precision and the assessment of pulmonary function impairment. In U.S. middle-aged and older adult populations, no correlation between HRR and pulmonary function has been explored as of yet. This survey uses NHANES data to explore the relationship between HRR and pulmonary function in this demographic and to assess HRR as a potential early indicator of lung function issues.

2 Methods

2.1 Data source

The present survey employed data from the NHANES between 2007 and 2012. To guarantee the comprehensiveness and precision of the findings, stringent inclusion and exclusion criteria were applied. Individuals below the age of 40 (n = 18,679) were excluded, as were those with missing pulmonary function test outcome data (FVC, FEV1, PEF or PEF25-75%) or low data quality (C, D, F) (*n* = 4,619). Similarly, participants who were involved in the study of incomplete HRR data (n = 245) and those with other missing data (n = 4,282) on at least one of the following covariates were also excluded: The variables included in the analysis were poverty-to-income ratio (PIR), drink history, alanine aminotransferase (ALT); aspartate aminotransferase (AST), creatinine, uric acid, glycohemoglobin, monocyte number, HGB, waist circumference (WC), body mass index (BMI), smoking history and so on. In conclusion, this study encompasses a substantial, accurate representation of the United States population. For purposes of clarity, Figure 1 presents a flow chart delineating the screening process. All participants provided informed written consent before participating in the NHANES study, and the National Center for Health Statistics verified all data prior to public release.

2.2 Lung function assessment

Lung function was evaluated utilizing the Ohio 822/827 dry-roll volume spirometer in accordance with the guidelines established by the American Thoracic Society (ATS) and the European Respiratory Society (ERS). The primary spirometric variables measured included FVC, FEV1, PEF, and PEF 25–75%. It is essential to ensure that the results of the measurement are reliable and accurate, the ATS/ERS criteria for acceptability and reproducibility were rigorously applied, resulting in quality grades ranging from A to F, with grades A and B denoting acceptable measurements.

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; HRR, hemoglobin-to-red blood cell distribution width ratio; CI, confidence interval; NHANES, National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey; PIR, the ratio of family income to poverty; CRPD, chronic respiratory diseases; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase.



2.3 HRR measurement

For each participant, HRR was computed by dividing HGB (measured in grams per deciliter, g/dL) by RDW, with results rounded to two decimal places. Information on blood indicators is derived from a representative sample of NHANES data, including such as CRP (mg/dL), WBC (1,000 cells/uL), HRR, RDW (%), and HGB (g/dL). Detailed protocols for sample collection and laboratory testing are documented in the Laboratory/Medical Technician Procedures Manual, which can be accessed from the NHANES website.

2.4 Other covariates

In order to guarantee the thoroughness of the study, a number of additional variables were included, based on the findings of previous research and the collective experience of the clinical team. A demographic dataset provided by the NHANES contains variables such as sex, age, race (other Hispanic, non-Hispanic white, Mexican American, others, non-Hispanic black), educational level, and poverty-income ratio (PIR). Smoking history (over the course of their lifetime, they have smoked at least 100 cigarettes), drinking history (consumed a minimum of 12 alcoholic beverages per year.), BMI, high blood pressure, and respiratory illness were derived from questionnaire data available on the NHANES website. The level of education was divided into three categories: high school or GED, above high school, and less than high school. Weight can be classified into three categories based on BMI: obesity (>30.0 kg/m²), overweight $(25.0-30.0 \text{ kg/m}^2)$, and below average or healthy weight (>25.0 kg/m²). Individuals of married or cohabiting status are classified into the first category; divorced, separated, or widowed individuals are classified as the second category; and those who are unmarried are classified as reconstituted. Visit the NHANES website for more information on these covariates.

2.5 Statistical analysis

Continuous variables obtained from participants were assessed for normality based on data characteristics. Variables that conformed to normal distribution were expressed as mean ± standard deviation (SD), and those that did not conform to normal distribution were expressed as median and interquartile range (IQR) using non-parametric tests. Simultaneously, frequencies and percentages are employed to characterize categorical variables. Furthermore, the analysis of categorical variables is conducted utilizing the chi-square test or Fisher's exact test when the expected frequency is less than five. Continuous variables were tested using linear regression, and the Wilcoxon rank-sum test was employed to assess the differences between the groups. Lung function parameters were ln transformed for normal distribution before modeling. Subsequently, four models of linear regressions were employed to examine the correlation between HRR and pulmonary function indicators. Crude Model was unadjusted, excluding any covariates. Model 2 incorporated key demographic variables, including sex, age, and race. Model 3 extended Model 2 by adding BMI. Model 4 adds education level, marital status, poverty-to-income ratio (PIR), drinking history, smoking history, alanine aminotransferase (ALT), aspartate aminotransferase (AST), creatinine, uric acid, glycohemoglobin, monocyte number, hemoglobin (HGB), and waist circumference on the basis of Model 3 (23). The fully adjusted models were also used to explore possible stratified associations between HRR and pulmonary function. In addition, RCS smoothed curve fitting was used to determine whether there is a relationship between the two variables. Sensitivity analyses, such as standardization processes, reverse causation analysis, new models, and studies on specific populations, were employed to further demonstrate the correlation between HRR and pulmonary function parameters.

These statistical methods enabled comprehensive analyses examining possible HRR and lung function associations. Statistical analyses were conducted utilizing R (version 4.2.0) and MSTATA (version 0.92). Statistical significance is typically determined by 0.05 or less as a p-value.

3 Results

3.1 Baseline characteristics of the participants

Among the participants from the 2007–2012 NHANES survey, Table 1 provides demographic, exam, laboratory, and questionnaire information. A total of 2,617 participants, 1,294 males, and 1,323 females, were incorporated into our research. Participants in the study were 56 years old on average, with non-Hispanic whites comprising the majority of the study population at 51.4%. 75% consumed a minimum of twelve alcoholic beverages annually, and 50.2% smoked over 100 cigarettes in their lifetime. Further details are shown in Table 1.

3.2 The associations between HRR and lung function parameters

In accordance with Table 2, multiple regression analyses were used to test the association between HRR and lung function parameters. In

Crude Model and Model 2, HRR demonstrated a positive association with FVC, FEV1, PEF, and the PEF 25–75%. Crude Model illustrates the unadjusted association, whereas Model 2 accounts for adjustments based on sex, age, and racial factors. In Model 3, adjustments were made for BMI based on the parameters established in Model 2, similar results were observed. Finally, in analyses that are fully adjusted population-wide, HRR was positive for FVC [β (95% CI) = 0.11 (0.01, 0.21)], FEV1 [β (95% CI) = 0.22 (0.10, 0.33)], PEF [β (95% CI) = 0.24 (0.11, 0.36)] and PEF 25–75% [β (95% CI) = 0.49 (0.23, 0.74)].

3.3 Assessment of the association between HRR and pulmonary function parameters on a stratified basis

Stratified data analysis was conducted to determine whether the results from the multivariate regression analysis examined the relationship between HRR and functional indices of lung parameters across various subgroups were stable. Figure 2 depicts the results.

In the subgroup analyses, FEV1 generally rises as HRR increases among individuals of non-Hispanic white and those with a high school education or higher. Similarly, FVC demonstrated an upward trend with the augmentation of HRR in most subgroups such as females, aged over 60 years, non-Hispanic white, high school or GED education, divorced, separated, or widowed, and less than 100 cigarettes smoked in a lifetime. In contrast, HRR was positively associated with PEF in the subgroups non-Hispanic whites, above high school education, divorced, separated, or widowed, drinkers of 12 or more drinks per year, and lifetime smokers of 100 or more cigarettes; similar results were observed for HRR and PEF 25-75% in the same subgroups. Except for PEF 25-75%, there is an interaction between smoking history and other pulmonary function parameters. There was also an interaction between age and FVC and an interaction between sex and PEF 25–75%. Furthermore, an interaction also exists among sex, race, and PEF.

3.4 Linear relationship between HRR and lung function parameters

A linear relationship has been empirically validated between HRR and FVC (nonlinear p = 0.920) (Figure 3A), FEV1 (nonlinear p = 0.821) (Figure 3B), PEF (nonlinear p = 0.266) (Figure 3C), and PEF 25–75% (nonlinear p = 0.277) (Figure 3D) by RCS model and smoothing the curve fitting. FVC, FEV1, PEF, and PEF 25–75% showed a significant increasing trend with increasing HRR. Results are shown in Figure 3.

3.5 Sensitivity analysis

Multivariate regression analysis after the standardization of HRR verified the correlation between HRR and pulmonary function parameters (Supplementary Table 1). Moreover, the reverse causal relationship between the two was also demonstrated (Supplementary Table 2). In accordance with Supplementary Table 3, multiple regression analyses were used to test the association between HRR and lung function parameters. In Models 5 and 6, HRR

TABLE 1 Baseline characteristics of the selected participants.

Overall, N = 2,617 Female, N = 1,323 Male, N = 1,294 Age 56 (47, 65) 56 (47, 65) 0.680 Race/ethnicity 0.772 Mexican American 364 (13.9%) 180 (13.6%) 184 (14.2%) Non-Hispanic Black 488 (18.6%) 254 (19.2%) 234 (18.1%)
Age 56 (47, 65) 56 (47, 65) 56 (48, 65) 0.680 Race/ethnicity 0.772 Mexican American 364 (13.9%) 180 (13.6%) 184 (14.2%) Non-Hispanic Black 488 (18.6%) 254 (19.2%) 234 (18.1%)
Race/ethnicity 0.772 Mexican American 364 (13.9%) 180 (13.6%) 184 (14.2%) Non-Hispanic Black 488 (18.6%) 254 (19.2%) 234 (18.1%)
Mexican American 364 (13.9%) 180 (13.6%) 184 (14.2%) Non-Hispanic Black 488 (18.6%) 254 (19.2%) 234 (18.1%)
Non-Hispanic Black 488 (18.6%) 254 (19.2%) 234 (18.1%)
Non-Hispanic White 1,346 (51.4%) 687 (51.9%) 659 (50.9%)
Other Hispanic 270 (10.3%) 132 (10.0%) 138 (10.7%)
Other Race 149 (5.7%) 70 (5.3%) 79 (6.1%)
Education Level 0.113
Above high school 1,414 (54.0%) 739 (55.9%) 675 (52.2%)
High school or GED 588 (22.5%) 293 (22.1%) 295 (22.8%)
Less than high school 615 (23.5%) 291 (22.0%) 324 (25.0%)
Marital status <0.001
Divorced, separated, or widowed 692 (26.4%) 435 (32.9%) 257 (19.9%)
Married or cohabiting 1,708 (65.3%) 770 (58.2%) 938 (72.5%)
Unmarried 217 (8.3%) 118 (8.9%) 99 (7.7%)
PIR 0.070
Low [0,1.65] 866 (33.1%) 462 (34.9%) 404 (31.2%)
Medium [1.65,4.04] 877 (33.5%) 443 (33.5%) 434 (33.5%)
High [4.04,5] 874 (33.4%) 418 (31.6%) 456 (35.2%)
Body mass index (kg/m ²) <0.001
< 25 640 (24.5%) 356 (26.9%) 284 (21.9%)
≥ 30 1,033 (39.5%) 574 (43.4%) 459 (35.5%)
25-30 944 (36.1%) 393 (29.7%) 551 (42.6%)
HRR <
Low [0.26,1.06] 870 (33.2%) 645 (48.8%) 225 (17.4%)
Medium [1.06,1.18] 873 (33.4%) 500 (37.8%) 373 (28.8%)
High [1.18,1.58] 874 (33.4%) 178 (13.5%) 696 (53.8%)
Smoking history <0.001
No 1,304 (49.8%) 758 (57.3%) 546 (42.2%)
Yes 1,313 (50.2%) 565 (42.7%) 748 (57.8%)
High blood pressure 0.761
No 1,470 (56.2%) 747 (56.5%) 723 (55.9%)
Yes 1,147 (43.8%) 576 (43.5%) 571 (44.1%)
Drinking history <0.001
No 653 (25.0%) 469 (35.4%) 184 (14.2%)
Yes 1,964 (75.0%) 854 (64.6%) 1,110 (85.8%)
Respiratory illness 0.361
No 2,113 (80.7%) 1,059 (80.0%) 1,054 (81.5%)
Yes 504 (19.3%) 264 (20.0%) 240 (18.5%)
Glycohemoglobin (%) 5.60 (5.30, 6.00) 5.60 (5.30, 6.00) 5.60 (5.30, 6.00) 0.324
Albumin (g/dL) 4.20 (4.00, 4.40) 4.20 (4.00, 4.30) 4.30 (4.10, 4.40) <0.001
ALT (U/L) 22 (17, 29) 20 (16, 25) 25 (20, 33) <0.001
AST (U/L) 24 (20, 28) 22 (19, 27) 25 (22, 30) <0.001

(Continued)

Characteristic		<i>p</i> -value		
	Overall, <i>N</i> = 2,617	Female, <i>N</i> = 1,323	Male, <i>N</i> = 1,294	
Creatinine (µmol/L)	74 (64, 88)	66 (58, 75)	84 (74, 95)	<0.001
Uric acid (mg/dL)	5.50 (4.60, 6.40)	4.90 (4.10, 5.80)	6.00 (5.30, 6.80)	<0.001
Fasting Glucose (mg/dL)	102 (95, 113)	100 (93, 109)	104 (97, 116)	<0.001
Insulin (uU/mL)	10 (7, 16)	10 (6, 16)	11 (7, 17)	0.101
Lymphocyte number (1,000 cells/uL)	1.90 (1.50, 2.30)	1.90 (1.60, 2.30)	1.80 (1.50, 2.20)	<0.001
Monocyte number (1,000 cells/uL)	0.50 (0.40, 0.60)	0.50 (0.40, 0.60)	0.50 (0.40, 0.60)	< 0.001
White blood cell count (1,000 cells/uL)	6.30 (5.30, 7.60)	6.20 (5.10, 7.60)	6.30 (5.30, 7.60)	0.124
HGB (g/dL)	14.30 (13.30, 15.20)	13.60 (12.70, 14.30)	15.10 (14.30, 15.90)	<0.001
Red cell distribution width (%)	12.70 (12.30, 13.30)	12.70 (12.30, 13.50)	12.70 (12.30, 13.30)	0.011
Platelet count (1,000 cells/uL)	238 (201, 283)	254 (216, 299)	224 (190, 259)	<0.001
LDL-cholesterol (mg/dL)	118 (96, 142)	120 (99, 144)	116 (94, 140)	0.008
Waist Circumference (cm)	100 (91, 110)	97 (88, 108)	102 (94, 112)	<0.001
FVC	8.19 (7.98, 8.39)	8.02 (7.86, 8.17)	8.38 (8.23, 8.50)	< 0.001
FEV1	7.91 (7.70, 8.11)	7.75 (7.59, 7.91)	8.09 (7.92, 8.24)	<0.001
PEF	8.93 (8.74, 9.13)	8.79 (8.62, 8.92)	9.12 (8.96, 9.25)	<0.001
PEF 25-75%	7.75 (7.38, 8.06)	7.64 (7.30, 7.92)	7.89 (7.49, 8.19)	<0.001

TABLE 1 (Continued)

demonstrated a positive association with FVC, FEV1, PEF, and the PEF 25–75%. In Model 5, adjustments were made for high blood pressure based on the parameters established in Model 4, similar results were observed. Finally, in analyses that are fully adjusted population-wide, HRR was positive for FVC [β (95% CI) = 0.11 (0.01, 0.21)], FEV1 [β (95% CI) = 0.21 (0.10, 0.32)], PEF [β (95% CI) = 0.23 (0.10, 0.36)] and PEF 25–75% [β (95% CI) = 0.46 (0.21, 0.72)]. Furthermore, among non-Hispanic white women, except for the PEF 25–75%, FVC, FEV1, and PEF all showed a significant upward trend with the increase of HRR. Results are shown in Supplementary Table 4.

4 Discussion

HRR and lung function parameters are only briefly studied in the United States in people who are middle-aged and older. Researchers examined 2,617 older and middle-aged adults between 2007 and 2012 to determine whether HRR was related to lung function parameters. An analysis of the relationship between HRR and four pulmonary function parameters was conducted using four linear regression models with multiple coefficients. According to the 2007-2012 NHANES data, Model 2 was adjusted to account for variations in basic covariate, and unadjusted Crude Model showed that a positive correlation was found between HRR and FVC, FEV1, PEF, and PEF 25-75%. BMI was taken into account in Model 3, and HRR was correlated with the above-mentioned pulmonary function parameters. Lastly, Model 4 has been thoroughly refined, and analyzed in the overall population, HRR was associated with FVC [β (95% CI) = 0.11 (0.01, 0.21)], FEV1 [β (95% CI) = 0.22 (0.10, 0.33)], PEF [β (95% CI) = 0.24 (0.11, 0.36)], and PEF 25–75% [β (95% CI) = 0.49 (0.23, 0.74)]. To assess the precision and robustness of this correlation, a stratified analysis was performed, and further exploratory subgroup analyses showed that with the exception of PEF 25-75%, interactions were observed between smoking history and various pulmonary function parameters. Additionally, interactions were identified between age and FVC, as well as between sex and PEF 25-75%. Moreover, a further interaction was noted among sex, race, and PEF. Given the inherent differences in baseline characteristics, hormonal levels, and other factors between male and female pulmonary functions (24), these variations may account for the observed significant disparities in the impact of HRR on pulmonary function parameters across sex subgroups. The substantial variation in the influence of HRR on pulmonary function parameters across subgroups with differing smoking histories may be attributed to the fact that smoking elevates the risk of respiratory tract inflammation and airway obstruction (25). Individuals from diverse racial backgrounds possess distinct genetic profiles that may influence lung development and physiological functions. Concurrently, environmental and lifestyle factors, such as levels of air pollution, dietary practices, and exercise habits, also differ across racial groups, potentially exerting indirect effects on pulmonary function. In addition, socioeconomic variables, which are often closely intertwined with race, can impact access to and utilization of healthcare resources, thereby influencing the monitoring of lung function and the efficacy of disease treatment. These multifaceted differences may contribute to the observed disparities in the effects of HRR on pulmonary function parameters among racial subgroups (26-30). Furthermore, prolonged smoking can result in severe pulmonary conditions, such as COPD. The reliability of the results was further assessed by constructing an RCS model for curve fitting.

This study's results show direct correlations, suggesting that an increase in HRR may cause an increase in FVC, FEV1, PEF, and PEF 25–75%. Although there are relatively few existing findings on the relationship between HRR and lung function, the use of HRR as a novel inflammatory marker to predict the prognosis of lung-related diseases has become a current research hotspot. Chen JL et al. evaluate

TABLE 2 Multivariate regression model analysis among HRR and lung function paraments.

Characteristic	haracteristic Model		Mode	l 2	Mode	el 3	Model 4		
	β (95% CI)	p value	β (95% CI)	p value	β (95% CI)	p value	β (95% CI)	p value	
FVC	0.73 (0.67, 0.79)	< 0.001	0.61 (0.55, 0.67)	< 0.001	0.58 (0.52, 0.64)	< 0.001	0.11 (0.01, 0.21)	0.028	
FEV1	0.70 (0.64, 0.77)	< 0.001	0.14 (0.08, 0.20)	< 0.001	0.13 (0.07, 0.19)	< 0.001	0.22 (0.10, 0.33)	< 0.001	
PEF	0.63 (0.56, 0.69)	< 0.001	0.14 (0.08, 0.21)	< 0.001	0.15 (0.08, 0.21)	< 0.001	0.24 (0.11, 0.36)	< 0.001	
PEF 25-75%	0.63 (0.50, 0.75)	< 0.001	0.19 (0.06, 0.32)	0.003	0.23 (0.10, 0.36)	< 0.001	0.49 (0.23, 0.74)	< 0.001	

Crude Model: no covariates were adjusted. Model 2: sex, age, and race/ethnicity were adjusted. Model 3: Model 2 plus BMI was adjusted. Model 4: Model 3 plus education level, marital status, PIR, drink history, smoking history, ALT, AST, creatinine, uric acid, glycohemoglobin, monocyte number, HGB, and waist circumference. HRR, hemoglobin-to-red blood cell distribution width ratio, CI, confidence interval; PIR, poverty-income ratio; BMI, body mass index. ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase.

Subgroup	N		β (95% Cl)	P value	P for interaction	В.	Subgroup	N		β (95% Cl)	P value	P for interaction
Overall	2617		0.11 (0.01, 0.21)	0.025			Overall	2617	1	0.22 (0.10, 0.33)	< 0.001	
Sex				onomo	0.169		Sex					0.084
Female	1323		0.14 (0.01, 0.28)	0.039	01100		Female	1323		0.25 (0.10, 0.40)	0.002	
Male	1294	L	0.13 (-0.02, 0.28)	0.093			Male	1294		0.20 (0.03, 0.38)	0.025	
Age					0.028		Age					0.641
> 60	979		0.21 (0.02 0.39)	0.028	0.020		> 60	979		0.26 (0.04, 0.47)	0.018	
40-60	1638		0.08 (-0.04 0.20)	0.193			40-60	1638		0.21 (0.07 0.34)	0.002	
Bace/Ethnicity	1000		0.00 (0.04, 0.20)	0.100	0.09		Bace/Ethnicity					0.265
Maxican Amarican	264		0.07 (-0.19.0.24)	0 506	0.03		Mexican American	364		0 12 (-0 16 0 39)	0.403	
Nee Historia Disek	400		0.07 (-0.13, 0.04)	0.030			Non-Hispanic Black	499		0.18 (0.09 0.44)	0.10	
Neg Lingenie Milite	400		0.01 (0.25, 0.25)	0.045			Non-Hispania White	1246		0.26 (0.10, 0.62)	-0.001	
Other Usersela	1346		0.23 (0.09, 0.37)	0.001			Other Hispanic	270		0.00 (0.13, 0.02)	0.007	
Other Hispanic	270		0.00 (-0.28, 0.28)	0.986			Other Pispanic	270	1	0.00 (+0.34, 0.33)	0.993	
Other Race	149	•	-0.25 (-0.82, 0.33)	0.404			Other Hace	149		-0.53 (-1.11, 0.06)	0.082	
Education Level					0.544		Education Level					0.664
Above high school	1414		0.11 (-0.02, 0.23)	0.106			Above high school	1414		0.19 (0.05, 0.34)	0.01	
High school or GED	588		4 0.25 (0.02, 0.48)	0.034			High school or GED	588		0.27 (0.02, 0.53)	0.033	
Less than high school	615		-0.02 (-0.24, 0.21)	0.876			Less than high school	615		0.18 (-0.09, 0.44)	0.197	
Marital Status					0.834		Marital Status					0.586
Divorced, separated, or widowed	d 692		0.24 (0.04, 0.43)	0.019			Divorced, separated, or widowed	692		→ 0.40 (0.17, 0.63)	0.001	
Married or cohabiting	1708	·•••	0.09 (-0.04, 0.21)	0.164			Married or cohabiting	1708) •• •	0.16 (0.02, 0.30)	0.03	
Unmarried	217		-0.04 (-0.38, 0.31)	0.835			Unmarried	217		0.16 (-0.21, 0.53)	0.404	
Smoking history		1			0.007		Smoking history					0.003
No	1304		0.11 (-0.03, 0.25)	0.133			No	1304	(0.13 (-0.02, 0.28)	0.084	
Yes	1313	i e e	0.10 (-0.04, 0.24)	0.168			Yes	1313		0.25 (0.08, 0.42)	0.004	
Drinking history					0.932		Drinking history					0.813
No	653		0 24 (0 02 0 45)	0.033	01004		No	653		0.30 (0.06, 0.54)	0.016	
Vae	1964		0.08 (-0.04 0.19)	0 183			Vae	1964		0.19 (0.06, 0.32)	0.004	
-			0 (05% CI)	Pualue	O fan lettere effere	D	Subgroup	N		β (95% Cl)	P value	P for interaction
Subgroup	N		b (ap % ci)	r value	P for Interaction							
Overall	N 2617	: •••	0.24 (0.11, 0.36)	<0.001	Ptor Interaction	2.	Overall	2617	1	0.49 (0.23, 0.74)	<0.001	
Overall Sex	N 2617	**	0.24 (0.11, 0.36)	<0.001	0.032	2.	Overall Sex	2617	-	0.49 (0.23, 0.74)	<0.001	0.019
Overall Sex Female	N 2617 1323	-	0.24 (0.11, 0.36) 0.22 (0.05, 0.40)	<0.001 0.013	0.032	2.	Overall Sex Female	2617 1323		0.49 (0.23, 0.74)	<0.001	0.019
Subgroup Overall Sex Female Male	N 2617 1323 1294	•	0.22 (0.05, 0.40) 0.28 (0.08, 0.48)	<0.001 0.013 0.005	0.032	2.	Overall Sex Female Male	2617 1323 1294	+	0.49 (0.23, 0.74) 0.50 (0.16, 0.85) 0.44 (0.04, 0.83)	<0.001 0.004 0.03	0.019
Subgroup Overall Sex Female Male Age	N 2617 1323 1294	-+ 	0.24 (0.11, 0.36) 0.22 (0.05, 0.40) 0.28 (0.08, 0.48)	<0.001 0.013 0.005	0.032 0.53		Overall Sex Female Male Age	2617 1323 1294	•	0.49 (0.23, 0.74) 0.50 (0.16, 0.85) 0.44 (0.04, 0.83)	<0.001 0.004 0.03	0.019 0.079
Subgroup Overall Sex Female Male Age > 60	N 2617 1323 1294 979	÷ •	0.24 (0.11, 0.36) 0.22 (0.05, 0.40) 0.28 (0.08, 0.48) 0.27 (0.04, 0.50)	<0.001 0.013 0.005 0.024	0.032 0.53		Overall Sex Female Male Age > 60	2617 1323 1294 979	·•·	0.49 (0.23, 0.74) 0.50 (0.16, 0.85) 0.44 (0.04, 0.83) 0.32 (-0.15, 0.78)	<0.001 0.004 0.03 0.188	0.019 0.079
Subgroup Overall Sex Female Male Age > 60 40-60	N 2617 1323 1294 979 1638		0.24 (0.11, 0.36) 0.22 (0.05, 0.40) 0.28 (0.08, 0.48) 0.27 (0.04, 0.50) 0.22 (0.07, 0.37)	<0.001 0.013 0.005 0.024 0.005	0.032 0.53		Overall Sex Female Male Age > 60 40-60	2617 1323 1294 979 1638	•	0.49 (0.23, 0.74) 0.50 (0.16, 0.85) 0.44 (0.04, 0.83) 0.32 (-0.15, 0.78) 0.60 (0.31, 0.90)	<0.001 0.004 0.03 0.188 <0.001	0.019 0.079
Subgroup Overall Sex Female Male Age > 60 40-60 Race/Ethnicity	N 2617 1323 1294 979 1638		0.22 (0.05, 0.40) 0.22 (0.08, 0.40) 0.28 (0.08, 0.48) 0.27 (0.04, 0.50) 0.22 (0.07, 0.37)	<0.001 0.013 0.005 0.024 0.005	0.032 0.53 0.036	2,	Overall Sex Female Male Age > 60 40-60 Race/Ethnicity	2617 1323 1294 979 1638	+ + +	0.49 (0.23, 0.74) 0.50 (0.16, 0.85) 0.44 (0.04, 0.83) 0.32 (-0.15, 0.78) 0.60 (0.31, 0.90)	<0.001 0.004 0.03 0.188 <0.001	0.019 0.079 0.582
Subgroup Overall Sex Female Male > 60 40-60 Race/Ethnicity Mexican American	N 2617 1323 1294 979 1638 364	₽ ₽ ₽ ₽ ₽ ₽ ₽ ₽ ₽ ₽ ₽ ₽ ₽ ₽ ₽ ₽ ₽ ₽ ₽	0.22 (0.05, 0.40) 0.22 (0.05, 0.40) 0.28 (0.08, 0.48) 0.27 (0.04, 0.50) 0.22 (0.07, 0.37) 0.10 (-0.22, 0.42)	<0.001 0.013 0.005 0.024 0.005 0.531	0.032 0.53 0.036	2.	Overall Sex Female Mate > 60 40-60 Race/Ehnicity Mexican American	2617 1323 1294 979 1638 364	+ + + + +	0.49 (0.23, 0.74) 0.50 (0.16, 0.85) 0.44 (0.04, 0.83) 0.32 (-0.15, 0.78) 0.60 (0.31, 0.90) 0.25 (-0.33, 0.82)	<0.001 0.004 0.03 0.188 <0.001 0.401	0.019 0.079 0.582
Subgroup Overall Sex Female Mate Age > 60 40-60 Race/Ethnicity Mexican American Non-Hispanic Black	N 2617 1323 1294 979 1638 364 488	+ + + + +	0.22 (0.05, 0.40) 0.22 (0.05, 0.40) 0.28 (0.08, 0.48) 0.27 (0.04, 0.50) 0.22 (0.07, 0.37) 0.10 (-0.22, 0.42) 0.20 (-0.10, 0.51)	<0.001 0.013 0.005 0.024 0.005 0.531 0.193	0.032 0.53 0.036	2.	Overall Sex Female Age > 60 40-60 Race/Ehnicity Mexican American Non Hispanic Black	2617 1323 1294 979 1638 364 488	• • • •	0.49 (0.23, 0.74) 0.50 (0.16, 0.85) 0.44 (0.04, 0.83) 0.32 (-0.15, 0.78) 0.60 (0.31, 0.90) 0.25 (-0.33, 0.82) 0.88 (0.29, 1.46)	<0.001 0.004 0.03 0.188 <0.001 0.401 0.003	0.019 0.079 0.582
Subgroup Overall Sex Female Male Age > 60 40-60 Race/Ethnicity Mexican American Non-Hispanic White	N 2617 1323 1294 979 1638 364 488 1346		0.24 (0.11, 0.36) 0.22 (0.05, 0.40) 0.28 (0.08, 0.48) 0.27 (0.04, 0.50) 0.22 (0.07, 0.37) 0.10 (-0.22, 0.42) 0.20 (-0.10, 0.51) 0.43 (0.25, 0.61)	 <0.001 0.013 0.005 0.024 0.005 0.531 0.193 <0.001 	0.032 0.53 0.036		Overall Sex Female Age > 60 40-50 Race/Elnicity Mexican American Non-Hispanic Black Non-Hispanic Whate	2617 1323 1294 979 1638 364 488 1346	+ + + + + + + +	0.49 (0.23, 0.74) 0.50 (0.16, 0.85) 0.44 (0.04, 0.83) 0.32 (-0.15, 0.78) 0.60 (0.31, 0.90) 0.25 (-0.33, 0.82) 0.88 (0.29, 1.46) 0.64 (0.27, 1.02)	<0.001 0.004 0.03 0.188 <0.001 0.401 0.003 0.001	0.019 0.079 0.582
Subgroup Overall Sex Female Male Age > 60 40-60 Race/Ethnicity Mexican American Non-Hispanic Black Non-Hispanic White Other Hispanic	N 2617 1323 1294 979 1638 364 488 1346 270		0.24 (0.11, 0.36) 0.22 (0.05, 0.40) 0.28 (0.08, 0.48) 0.27 (0.04, 0.50) 0.22 (0.07, 0.37) 0.10 (-0.22, 0.42) 0.20 (-0.10, 0.51) 0.43 (0.25, 0.61) -0.25 (-0.66, 0.16)	<0.001 0.013 0.005 0.024 0.005 0.531 0.193 <0.001 0.238	0.032 0.53 0.036		Overall Sex Female Male Age > 60 40-60 Race/Ehnicity Moxican American Non-Hispanic Black Non-Hispanic White Othor Hispanic	2617 1323 1294 979 1638 364 488 1346 270		0.49 (0.23, 0.74) 0.50 (0.16, 0.85) 0.44 (0.04, 0.83) 0.32 (-0.15, 0.78) 0.60 (0.31, 0.90) 0.25 (-0.33, 0.82) 0.88 (0.29, 1.46) 0.64 (0.27, 1.02) -0.04 (-0.80, 0.72]	<0.001 0.004 0.03 0.188 <0.001 0.401 0.003 0.001) 0.92	0.019 0.079 0.582
Subgroup Overall Sex Female Male > 60 40-60 Race/Ethnicity Mexican American Non-Hispanic Black Non-Hispanic Black Non-Hispanic White Other Hispanic	N 2617 1323 1294 979 1638 364 488 1346 270 149		0.24 (0.11, 0.36) 0.22 (0.05, 0.40) 0.28 (0.08, 0.48) 0.27 (0.04, 0.50) 0.22 (0.07, 0.37) 0.10 (0.22, 0.42) 0.20 (0.10, 0.51) 0.43 (0.25, 0.61) -0.25 (0.66, 0.16) 0.58 (1.31, 0.15)	 <0.001 0.013 0.005 0.024 0.005 0.531 0.193 <0.001 0.238 0.119 	0.032 0.53 0.036		Overall Sex Female Age > 60 40-60 Race/Ethnicity Mexican American Non-Hispanic Black Non-Hispanic White Other Hispanic Other Hapanic	2617 1323 1294 979 1638 364 488 1346 270 149 		0.49 (0.23, 0.74) 0.50 (0.16, 0.85) 0.44 (0.04, 0.83) 0.32 (-0.15, 0.78) 0.60 (0.31, 0.90) 0.25 (-0.33, 0.82) 0.88 (0.29, 1.46) 0.64 (0.80, 0.72] -1.46 (-2.80, 0.712)	<0.001 0.004 0.03 0.188 <0.001 0.401 0.003 0.001 0.92 0.035	0.019 0.079 0.582
Subgroup Overall Sex Female Male Age > 60 40-60 Race/Ethnicity Mexican American Non-Hispanic Black Non-Hispanic White Other Hispanic Other Race Education Level	N 2617 1323 1294 979 1638 364 488 1346 270 149		0.24 (0.11, 0.36) 0.22 (0.05, 0.40) 0.28 (0.08, 0.48) 0.27 (0.04, 0.50) 0.22 (0.07, 0.37) 0.10 (0.22, 0.42) 0.20 (0.01, 0.051) 0.25 (0.66, 0.16) -0.58 (1.31, 0.15)	 <0.001 0.013 0.005 0.024 0.053 0.531 0.193 <0.001 0.238 0.119 	0.032 0.53 0.036		Overall Sex Female Male Age > 60 40-60 Race/Ehnicity Mexican American Non-Hispanic Black Non-Hispanic White Other Hispanic Other Race Education Level	2617 1323 1294 979 1638 364 488 1346 270 149		0.49 (0.23, 0.74) 0.50 (0.16, 0.85) 0.44 (0.04, 0.83) 0.32 (-0.15, 0.78) 0.60 (0.31, 0.90) 0.25 (-0.33, 0.82) 0.88 (0.29, 1.46) 0.64 (0.27, 1.02) -0.04 (-0.80, 0.72) -1.46 (-2.80, -0.12)	<0.001 0.004 0.03 0.188 <0.001 0.401 0.003 0.001 0.92 2) 0.035	0.019
Subgroup Overall Sex Female Male > 60 40-60 Race/Elmicity Mexican American Non-Hispanic Black Non-Hispanic White Other Hispanic Other Hispanic Other Race Education Level Above hip school	N 2617 1323 1294 979 1638 364 488 1346 270 149 ↓ 1414		0.24 (0.11, 0.36) 0.24 (0.5, 0.40) 0.28 (0.68, 0.48) 0.27 (0.04, 0.50) 0.22 (0.07, 0.37) 0.10 (0.22, 0.42) 0.20 (0.10, 0.51) 0.43 (0.25, 0.61) 0.25 (0.66, 0.16) 0.25 (1.31, 0.15) 0.21 (0.05, 0.37)	 <0.001 0.013 0.005 0.024 0.005 0.531 0.193 <0.001 0.238 0.119 0.012 	0.032 0.53 0.036		Overall Sex Female Age 50 Age 50 40-60 Race/Ethnicity Mexican American Non-Hispanic Black Non-Hispanic Black Other Maco Other Race Education Level Above high school	2617 1323 1294 979 1638 364 488 1346 270 149 		0.49 (0.23, 0.74) 0.50 (0.16, 0.85) 0.44 (0.04, 0.83) 0.32 (0.15, 0.78) 0.60 (0.31, 0.90) 0.25 (0.33, 0.82) 0.88 (0.29, 1.46) 0.64 (0.27, 1.02) -0.04 (0.80, 0.72) -1.46 (2.80, 0.12) 0.42 (0.09, 0.75)	<0.001 0.004 0.03 0.188 <0.001 0.401 0.003 0.001 0.92 2) 0.035 0.012	0.019 0.079 0.582 0.062
Subgroup Overall Sex Female Male Age > 60 40-60 Race/Ethnicity Mexican American Non-Hispanic Black Non-Hispanic White Other Hispanic Other Hispanic Other Race Education Level Above high school High school or GED	N 2617 1323 1294 979 1638 364 488 1346 270 149 1414 588		0.24 (0.11, 0.36) 0.22 (0.05, 0.40) 0.28 (0.08, 0.48) 0.27 (0.04, 0.50) 0.22 (0.07, 0.37) 0.10 (-0.22, 0.42) 0.20 (-0.10, 0.51) 0.43 (0.25, 0.61) -0.25 (-0.66, 0.16) -0.58 (-1.31, 0.15) 0.21 (0.05, 0.37) 0.23 (-0.05, 0.51)	 < 0.001 0.013 0.005 0.024 0.005 0.531 0.193 < 0.001 0.238 0.119 0.012 0.108 	0.032 0.53 0.036		Overall Sex Female Age 560 Age 560 40-60 Race/Elhnicity Mexican American Non-Hispanic Black Non-Hispanic Black Other Hispanic Other Hispanic Other Kepanic Other Kepanic Other Kepanic How School High school High school or GED	2617 1323 1294 979 1638 364 488 1346 270 149 		0.49 (0.23, 0.74) 0.50 (0.16, 0.85) 0.44 (0.04, 0.83) 0.32 (0.15, 0.78) 0.60 (0.31, 0.90) 0.25 (0.33, 0.82) 0.64 (0.27, 1.02) -0.04 (0.80, 0.72) -1.46 (2.80, 0.12) 0.42 (0.09, 0.75) 0.35 (0.21, 0.91)	<0.001 0.004 0.03 0.188 <0.001 0.401 0.003 0.001 0.92 2) 0.035 0.012 0.226	0.019
Subgroup Overall Sex Female Male Age > 60 40-60 Race/Ethnicity Mexican American Non-Hispanic Black Non-Hispanic Black Non-Hispanic Black Other Race Education Level Above high school High school or GED Less than high school	N 2617 1323 1294 979 1638 364 488 1346 270 149 1419 		0.24 (0.11, 0.36) 0.24 (0.11, 0.36) 0.22 (0.05, 0.40) 0.28 (0.08, 0.48) 0.27 (0.04, 0.50) 0.22 (0.07, 0.37) 0.10 (0.22, 0.42) 0.20 (0.10, 0.51) 0.43 (0.25, 0.61) 0.43 (0.25, 0.61) 0.43 (0.25, 0.61) 0.25 (0.46, 0.16) 0.25 (0.46, 0.16) 0.25 (0.46, 0.16) 0.25 (0.45, 0.37) 0.23 (0.07, 0.54)	 <0.001 0.013 0.005 0.024 0.005 0.531 0.193 <0.001 0.238 0.119 0.012 0.108 0.135 	0.032 0.53 0.036		Overall Sex Female Age > 60 40-60 Race/Ehnicity Mexican American Non-Hispanic Black Non-Hispanic Black Other Race Education Level Above high school High school or GED Loss than high school	2617 1323 1294 979 1638 364 488 1346 270 149 1414 588 615		0.49 (0.23, 0.74) 0.50 (0.16, 0.85) 0.44 (0.04, 0.83) 0.32 (0.15, 0.78) 0.60 (0.31, 0.90) 0.25 (0.33, 0.82) 0.88 (0.29, 1.46) 0.64 (0.27, 1.02) -0.04 (0.80, 0.72) -1.46 (2.80, 0.12) 0.42 (0.90, 0.75) 0.35 (0.21, 0.91) 0.69 (0.11, 1.28)	<0.001 0.004 0.03 0.188 <0.001 0.401 0.003 0.001 0.92 0.035 0.012 0.226 0.021	0.019 0.079 0.582 0.062
Subgroup Overall Sex Female Maio Age > 60 40-60 Race/Ethnicity Mexican American Non-Hispanic Black Non-Hispanic Black Non-Hispanic White Other Hispanic Other Hispanic Other Race Education Level Above high school High school or GED Loss than high school Marital Status	N 2617 1323 1294 979 1638 364 488 1346 270 149 1414 588 615		(23 4 0.1) 0.24 (0.11, 0.36) 0.24 (0.11, 0.36) 0.28 (0.08, 0.48) 0.27 (0.04, 0.50) 0.22 (0.07, 0.37) 0.10 (-0.22, 0.42) 0.20 (-0.10, 0.51) -0.25 (-0.66, 0.16) -0.25 (-0.66, 0.16) -0.25 (-0.65, 0.51) 0.23 (-0.05, 0.51) 0.23 (-0.07, 0.54)	 < 0.001 < 0.013 < 0.005 	0.032 0.53 0.036 0.106		Overall Sex Female Age > 60 40-60 Race/Ethnicity Mexican American Non-Hispanic Black Non-Hispanic Black Non-Hispanic Black Other Hispanic Other Hace Education Level Above hip school High school or GED Loss than high school Marintal Status	2617 1323 1294 979 1638 364 488 1346 270 149 1414 588 615		0.49 (0.23, 0.74) 0.50 (0.16, 0.85) 0.44 (0.04, 0.83) 0.32 (0.15, 0.78) 0.60 (0.31, 0.30) 0.25 (0.31, 0.30) 0.45 (0.23, 1.46) 0.48 (0.22, 1.46) 0.48 (0.22, 1.46) 0.46 (0.20, 0.72) 1.46 (2.80, 0.72) 0.35 (0.21, 0.91) 0.69 (0.11, 1.28)	<0.001 0.004 0.03 0.188 <0.001 0.401 0.003 0.001 0.035 0.0012 0.226 0.021	0.019 0.079 0.582 0.062 0.389
Subgroup Overall Sex Female Mate Age > 60 40-60 Race/Ethnicity Mexican American Non-Hispanic Black Non-Hispanic Black Non-Hispanic Black Other Hispanic Other Hispanic Other Agove Education Lovel Above high school High school or GED Loss than high school Marinal Status Divorced, separated, or widowet	N 2617 1323 1294 979 1638 364 488 1346 270 149 1414 1414 588 615		0.24 (0.11, 0.38) 0.24 (0.11, 0.38) 0.22 (0.05, 0.40) 0.28 (0.08, 0.48) 0.27 (0.04, 0.50) 0.22 (0.07, 0.37) 0.10 (0.22, 0.42) 0.20 (0.10, 0.51) 0.43 (0.25, 0.61) 0.43 (0.25, 0.61) 0.25 (0.65, 0.16) 0.25 (0.05, 0.51) 0.23 (0.07, 0.54) 0.23 (0.07, 0.54)	 < 0.001 < 0.013 < 0.005 < 0.024 < 0.005 	0.032 0.53 0.036 0.106		Overall Sex Female Age Female Age > 60 40.60 ReacrEthnicity Mexican American Non-Hispanic Black Non-Hispanic Unite Other Haganic Other Race Education Level Above high school or GED Lass than high school Martal Status Divorced, separated, or widowed	2617 1323 1294 979 1638 364 488 1346 270 149 1414 588 615 692		0.49 (0.23, 0.74) 0.50 (0.16, 0.85) 0.44 (0.04, 0.83) 0.32 (0.15, 0.78) 0.60 (0.31, 0.90) 0.25 (0.33, 0.62) 0.58 (0.29, 1.46) 0.64 (0.27, 1.02) -1.46 (2.80, 0.72) -1.46 (2.80, 0.72) -1.46 (2.09, 0.75) 0.35 (0.21, 0.91) 0.59 (0.11, 1.28) 0.78 (0.29, 1.26)	<0.001 0.004 0.03 0.188 <0.001 0.401 0.003 0.001 0.92 2) 0.035 0.012 0.226 0.021 0.002	0.019
Subgroup Overall Sex Female Male Age > 60 40.60 Race/Ethicity Mexican American Non-Hispanic Black Non-Hispanic Black Non-Hispanic Black Non-Hispanic Black Non-Hispanic Black Other Hispanic Uthite Other Hispanic Other Hispanic Other Hispanic Other Hispanic Other Hace Education Level Above high school High school or GED Lass than high school Martial Status Divorced, separated, or widowet Martied or cohabiling	N 2617 1323 1294 979 1638 364 488 1346 270 1414 586 615 4692 1708		(23 × 07) 0.24 (0.11, 0.38) 0.22 (0.05, 0.40) 0.28 (0.08, 0.48) 0.27 (0.04, 0.50) 0.22 (0.07, 0.37) 0.10 (0.22, 0.42) 0.20 (0.01, 0.51) 0.22 (0.06, 0.61) 0.23 (0.05, 0.37) 0.23 (0.05, 0.37) 0.23 (0.05, 0.37) 0.23 (0.05, 0.37) 0.23 (0.07, 0.54) 0.44 (0.19, 0.70) 0.45 (0.01, 0.31)	 < 0.001 < 0.013 < 0.005 < 0.024 < 0.005 	0.032 0.53 0.036 0.106		Overall Sex Female Age Female Age > 60 40.60 Race/Ethnicity Mexican American Non-Hispanic Black Non-Hispanic Black Other Race Other Race Other Race Education Level Above hip school High school or GED Less than high school Marital Status Divorced, separated, or widowed Marited or cohabiling	2617 1323 1294 979 1638 364 488 364 488 270 149 		0.49 (0.23, 0.74) 0.50 (0.16, 0.85) 0.44 (0.04, 0.83) 0.32 (0.15, 0.78) 0.60 (0.31, 0.30) 0.25 (0.31, 0.30) 0.88 (0.22, 1.46) 0.64 (0.02, 1.02) 0.45 (0.80, 0.72) -1.46 (2.80, 0.12) 0.42 (0.09, 0.75) 0.35 (0.21, 0.91) 0.69 (0.11, 1.28) 0.44 (0.02, 0.67)	<0.001 0.004 0.03 0.188 <0.001 0.401 0.003 0.001 0.92 0.035 0.012 0.226 0.021 0.002 0.038	0.019 0.079 0.582 0.062 0.389
Subgroup Overall Sex Female Mate Age > 60 40-60 Race/Ethnicity Mexican American Non-Hispanic Black Non-Hispanic Black Non-Hispanic Black Other Hispanic Other Race Education Levol Above high school High school or GED Less than high school Marital Status Divorced, separated, or widower Married or conbabing Unmarried	N 2617 1323 1294 979 1638 364 488 1346 270 149 1414 588 615 		(9, 24, 0.11, 0.38) 0.24 (0.11, 0.38) 0.22 (0.05, 0.40) 0.28 (0.08, 0.48) 0.27 (0.04, 0.50) 0.22 (0.07, 0.37) 0.10 (0.22, 0.42) 0.20 (0.10, 0.51) 0.43 (0.25, 0.61) 0.25 (0.66, 0.16) 0.25 (0.66, 0.16) 0.25 (0.05, 0.37) 0.23 (0.07, 0.54) 0.23 (0.07, 0.54) 0.23 (0.07, 0.54) 0.23 (0.07, 0.54) 0.23 (0.07, 0.54)	 <0.001 0.013 0.005 0.024 0.005 0.531 0.193 <0.001 0.238 0.119 0.012 0.108 0.135 0.001 0.062 0.246 	0.032 0.53 0.036 0.106		Overall Sex Female Male Age > 60 40.60 Reace/Ehnicity Mexican American Non-Hispanic Black Non-Hispanic White Othor Hispanic White Othor Hispanic White Othor Hispanic Unit Education Level Above high school High school High school Mariat Istaus Divicroid, separated, or widowed Married or cohabling Unmarried	2617 1323 1294 979 1638 979 1638 979 1638 964 488 1346 1346 1346 1346 1346 1414 568 615 5692 1708 1217		0.49 (0.23, 0.74) 0.50 (0.16, 0.85) 0.44 (0.04, 0.83) 0.32 (0.15, 0.78) 0.60 (0.31, 0.90) 0.25 (0.33, 0.82) 0.86 (0.29, 1.46) 0.86 (0.29, 1.46) 0.64 (0.29, 1.62) 1.46 (2.80, 0.72) 1.46 (2.80, 0.72) 1.46 (2.80, 0.11) 0.59 (0.11, 1.28) 0.78 (0.29, 1.28) 0.78 (0.29, 1.28) 0.34 (0.02, 1.67) 0.42 (0.02, 1.65)	<0.001 0.004 0.03 0.188 <0.001 0.401 0.003 0.001 0.92 0.035 0.012 0.226 0.021 0.002 0.038 0.058	0.019 0.079 0.582 0.062 0.389
Subgroup Overall Sex Female Male Age 5 60 40-60 Race/Elmicity Mexican American Non-Hispanic White Other Hispanic White Other Hispanic White Other Hispanic White Other Hispanic Other High school or GED Loss than high school High school or GED Loss stan high school Marital Status Divorced, separated, or widower Marited or cohabiling Ummariled	N 2617 1323 1294 979 1638 364 488 1346 270 149 1414 588 615 55 652 1708 217		(23 × 0.) 0.24 (0.11, 0.38) 0.22 (0.05, 0.40) 0.28 (0.08, 0.48) 0.27 (0.04, 0.50) 0.22 (0.07, 0.37) 0.10 (0.22, 0.42) 0.22 (0.07, 0.37) 0.43 (0.25, 0.61) 0.43 (0.25, 0.61) 0.43 (0.25, 0.61) 0.43 (0.25, 0.61) 0.23 (0.05, 0.37) 0.23 (0.05, 0.37) 0.23 (0.05, 0.51) 0.23 (0.07, 0.54) 0.44 (0.19, 0.70) 0.15 (0.01, 0.31) 0.27 (0.18, 0.72)	 <0.001 <0.013 <0.005 <0.024 <0.005 <0.531 <0.193 <0.001 <0.238 <0.119 <0.012 <0.108 <0.135 <0.001 <0.062 <0.246 	0.032 0.53 0.036 0.106 0.995		Overall Sex Female Age Female Age > 60 40-60 Race/Ehnicity Mexican American Non-Hispanic Black Non-Hispanic White Other Hispanic White Other Hispanic Other Race Education Level Above high school High school or GED Less than high school Marital Status Divorced, separated, or widowed Marited or cohabiling Ummarited Smoking history	2617 1323 1294 979 1638 364 488 1346 270 1414 588 615 592 1708 692 1270		0.49 (0.23, 0.74) 0.50 (0.16, 0.85) 0.44 (0.04, 0.83) 0.32 (0.15, 0.78) 0.60 (0.31, 0.90) 0.25 (0.31, 0.90) 0.45 (0.31, 0.90) 0.45 (0.32, 1.46) 0.44 (0.02, 1.02) 0.44 (0.02, 0.12) 0.42 (0.09, 0.75) 0.35 (0.21, 0.91) 0.45 (0.29, 1.28) 0.34 (0.02, 0.67) → 0.82 (0.02, 0.16)	<0.001 0.004 0.03 0.188 <0.001 0.401 0.001 0.001 0.92 2) 0.035 0.012 0.226 0.021 0.022 0.038 0.058	0.019 0.079 0.582 0.062 0.389
Subgroup Overall Sex Female Male Age > 60 40-60 Race/Efinicity Mexican American Non-Hispanic Black Non-Hispanic White Other Hispanic White Other Hispanic Other Hace Education Level Above high school High school or GED Less than high school High school or GED Loss than high school Marital Status Divorced, separated, or widowed Marited or cohabiling Unmarifed Smoking history No	N 2617 1323 1294 979 1638 364 488 1346 270 1414 588 615 1708 217 1304		(9, 24, 0.11, 0.38) 0.24 (0.11, 0.38) 0.22 (0.05, 0.40) 0.28 (0.08, 0.48) 0.27 (0.04, 0.50) 0.22 (0.07, 0.37) 0.10 (-0.22, 0.42) 0.20 (-0.10, 0.51) 0.43 (0.25, 0.61) 0.25 (-0.66, 0.16) -0.25 (-0.66, 0.16) -0.25 (-0.56, 0.17) 0.23 (-0.05, 0.37) 0.23 (-0.05, 0.37) 0.23 (-0.07, 0.54) 0.44 (0.19, 0.70) 0.15 (-0.01, 0.31) 0.27 (-0.18, 0.72) 0.07 (-0.16, 0.24)	 <0.001 0.013 0.005 0.024 0.005 0.531 0.193 <0.001 0.028 0.119 0.012 0.108 0.135 0.001 0.062 0.246 0.409 	0.032 0.53 0.036 0.106 0.995		Overall Sex Female Age Age > 60 40-60 Reace/Ehnicity Mexican American Non-Hispanic Black Non-Hispanic White Other Hispanic Other Reac Education Level Above high school High school of CED Less than high school Martial Stafus Divorced, separated, or widowed Martied or cohabiling Unmartied Smoking history No	2617 1323 1294 979 979 1638 364 488 364 488 364 488 588 615 588 615 692 1708 217 1304		0.49 (0.23, 0.74) 0.50 (0.16, 0.85) 0.44 (0.04, 0.83) 0.32 (0.45, 0.78) 0.60 (0.31, 0.90) 0.25 (0.33, 0.82) 0.86 (0.27, 1.02) -0.44 (0.80, 0.72) -1.46 (2.80, 0.72) -1.46 (2.80, 0.72) -0.44 (0.80, 0.72) -0.44 (0.80, 0.72) -0.44 (0.80, 0.72) -0.45 (0.27, 1.02) 0.45 (0.27, 1.02) 0.45 (0.09, 0.75) 0.35 (0.21, 0.91) 0.45 (0.02, 0.67) 0.34 (0.02, 0.67) 0.34 (0.02, 1.65) 0.13 (0.19, 0.45)	<0.001 0.004 0.03 0.188 <0.001 0.401 0.003 0.001 0.92 2) 0.032 0.226 0.021 0.002 0.038 0.058 0.425	0.019 0.079 0.582 0.062 0.389
Subgroup Overall Sex Female Mate Age > 60 40-60 Race/Ethnicity Mexican American Non-Hespanic White Other Hispanic Other Hispanic Hispanic Other Hispanic Other Hispanic Other Hispanic Other Hispanic Other Hispanic Other Hispanic Hispanic Hispanic Other Hispanic Hispanic Hispanic Hispanic Other Hispanic	N 2617 1323 1294 979 1638 364 488 1346 270 149 1414 588 615 615 4 692 1708 217 1303		(23 4 0.11 0.38) 0.24 (0.11 0.38) 0.22 (0.05, 0.40) 0.28 (0.08, 0.48) 0.27 (0.04, 0.50) 0.22 (0.07, 0.37) 0.10 (0.22, 0.42) 0.20 (0.10, 0.23) 0.43 (0.25, 0.61) 0.25 (0.66, 0.16) 0.25 (0.05, 0.37) 0.23 (0.05, 0.51) 0.23 (0.05, 0.51) 0.23 (0.07, 0.54) 0.15 (0.01, 0.31) 0.27 (0.18, 0.72) 0.33 (0.14, 0.52)	 <0.001 0.013 0.024 0.005 0.531 0.193 <0.001 0.238 0.119 0.012 0.108 0.135 0.001 0.062 0.246 0.409 0.001 	0.032 0.53 0.036 0.106 0.995 0.045		Overall Sex Female Age Female Age > 60 40-60 Race/Ehnicity Mexican American Non-Hispanic Black Non-Hispanic Black Non-Hispanic Black Other Race Other Race Education Level Above high school High school or GED Loss than high school Marital Status Divorced, separated, or widowed Maried or cohabiling Ummaried Smoking history No Yes	2617 1323 1294 979 1638 384 488 1346 270 149 1414 588 615 615 1708 217 1304		0.49 (0.23, 0.74) 0.50 (0.16, 0.85) 0.44 (0.04, 0.83) 0.32 (0.15, 0.78) 0.60 (0.31, 0.90) 0.25 (0.33, 0.82) 0.88 (0.22, 1.46) 0.84 (0.27, 1.02) 0.44 (0.80, 0.72) -1.46 (2.80, 0.12) 0.45 (0.21, 0.22) 0.45 (0.22, 1.28) 0.34 (0.02, 0.67) -0.82 (4.02, 1.66) 0.13 (0.19, 0.45) 0.49 (0.1, 0.49, 0.45) 0.13 (0.19, 0.45) 0.49 (0.01, 0.48) 0.13 (0.19, 0.45) 0.49 (0.01, 0.18) 0.49 (<0.001 0.004 0.03 0.188 0.001 0.401 0.003 0.001 0.92 2) 0.035 0.012 0.226 0.021 0.021 0.002 0.038 0.058 0.425 0.001	0.019 0.079 0.582 0.062 0.389
Subgroup Overall Sex Female Maio Age > 60 40-60 Race/Ehnicity Mexican American Non-Hispanic Black Non-Hispanic Black Non-Hispanic Black Non-Hispanic White Other Hispanic White Other Hispanic White Other Hispanic White Other Hispanic Second High school or GED Less than high school High school or GED Loss than high school High school or GED Loss than high school Marital Status Divorced, separated, or widowed Marited or cohabiling Unmarited Smoking history No Yes	N 2617 1323 1324 979 1638 364 488 488 488 488 488 488 488 488 488 4		(13.40) 0.24 (0.11, 0.38) 0.24 (0.11, 0.38) 0.28 (0.08, 0.48) 0.28 (0.08, 0.48) 0.27 (0.04, 0.50) 0.22 (0.07, 0.37) 0.10 (0.22, 0.42) 0.20 (0.10, 0.51) 0.25 (0.66, 0.16) 0.25 (0.65, 0.51) 0.23 (0.05, 0.51) 0.23 (0.05, 0.51) 0.23 (0.05, 0.51) 0.23 (0.07, 0.54) 0.15 (0.01, 0.31) 0.27 (0.18, 0.72) 0.07 (0.10, 0.24) 0.33 (0.14, 0.52)	 <0.001 0.013 0.005 0.024 0.005 0.531 0.193 <0.001 0.238 0.119 0.012 0.108 0.135 0.001 0.062 0.246 0.409 0.001 	0.032 0.53 0.036 0.106 0.995 0.045 0.117		Overall Sex Female Female Male Age > 60 40-60 Race/Ehnicity Mexican American Non-Hispanic Black Non-Hispanic White Other Hispanic Other Race Education Level Above high school High school of CED Loss than high school High school of CED Loss than high school Mariad Status Divorced, separated, or widowed Mariad or cohabiling Unmaried Smoking history No Yes Diraken phistory	2617 1323 1294 979 1638 364 488 1346 270 1414 588 615 5692 1708 217 1304 1313		0.49 (0.23, 0.74) 0.50 (0.16, 0.85) 0.44 (0.04, 0.83) 0.32 (0.15, 0.78) 0.60 (0.31, 0.90) 0.25 (0.33, 0.82) 0.83 (0.28, 1.46) 0.64 (0.27, 1.02) -0.04 (0.80, 0.72) -1.46 (2.80, 0.12) 0.42 (0.90, 0.75) 0.35 (0.21, 0.91) 0.69 (0.11, 1.28) 0.78 (0.29, 1.28) 0.34 (0.02, 0.67) -0.34 (0.02, 0.67) 0.34 (0.02, 0.61) 0.34 (0.02, 0.61) 0.34 (0.02, 0.65) 0.33 (0.19, 0.45) 0.69 (0.30, 1.08)	<0.001 0.004 0.03 0.188 <0.001 0.401 0.003 0.001 0.92 0.035 0.012 0.226 0.021 0.022 0.038 0.058 0.425 0.001	0.019 0.079 0.582 0.062 0.389 0.124
Subgroup Overall Sex Female Male Age > 60 40-60 Race/Ethnicity Mexican American Non-Hespanic White Other Hapanic Other Race Education Level Above hips achool High school or GED Loss than high school High school or of CED Loss than high school Marriad Status Divorced, separated, or widowee Married or conhabiling Ummarried Smoking history No Yes	N 2617 1323 1224 979 1638 364 488 270 1346 270 1414 588 615 1692 1706 217 1304 1313 653		(23 4 0.11 0.38) 0.24 (0.11 0.38) 0.22 (0.05, 0.40) 0.28 (0.08, 0.48) 0.22 (0.04, 0.50) 0.22 (0.07, 0.37) 0.10 (0.22, 0.42) 0.20 (0.10, 0.51) 0.43 (0.25, 0.61) 0.43 (0.25, 0.61) 0.23 (0.07, 0.54) 0.23 (0.07, 0.54) 0.23 (0.07, 0.54) 0.44 (0.19, 0.70) 0.15 (0.01, 0.31) 0.27 (0.18, 0.72) 0.07 (0.10, 0.24) 0.07 (0.10, 0.24) 0.33 (0.14, 0.52) 0.13 (0.16, 0.42)	 <0.001 0.013 0.024 0.024 0.0531 0.193 <0.001 0.238 0.119 0.012 0.001 0.062 0.246 0.409 0.001 0.379 	0.032 0.53 0.035 0.106 0.995 0.045 0.117		Overall Sex Female Male Age > 60 40-60 Raco/Ehnicity Moxican American Non-Hispanic Black Non-Hispanic Black Non-Hispanic Mhite Other Race Education Level Above high school High school or GED Loss than high school Married or cohabiling Ummarried Smoking history No Yes Dinking history No	2617 1323 1294 979 1638 364 488 1346 270 149 1414 588 615 692 1708 217 1304 1313 653		0.49 (0.23, 0.74) 0.50 (0.16, 0.85) 0.44 (0.04, 0.83) 0.32 (0.15, 0.78) 0.60 (0.31, 0.90) 0.25 (-0.33, 0.82) 0.88 (0.29, 1.46) 0.64 (0.27, 1.02) -1.46 (2.80, 0.72) -1.46 (2.80, 0.72) -1.46 (2.80, 0.72) -0.47 (0.00, 0.75) 0.35 (-0.21, 0.91) 0.35 (0.22, 1.28) 0.34 (0.02, 0.67) -0.82 (-0.02, 1.66) 0.13 (-0.19, 0.45) 0.40 (-0, 12, 0.92)	 <0.001 0.004 0.03 0.188 <0.001 0.401 0.003 0.001 0.92 0.035 0.012 0.226 0.021 0.021 0.038 0.058 0.425 0.001 0.134 	0.019 0.079 0.582 0.062 0.389 0.124 0.283
Subgroup Overall Sex Female Malo Age > 60 40.60 Race/Ethnicity Mexican American Non-Hispanic Black Non-Hispanic Black Non-Hispanic Black Non-Hispanic Black Non-Hispanic Black Non-Hispanic Black Non-Hispanic Black Other Hispanic Other Hispanic Other Hispanic Other Hispanic Other Hace Education Level Above high school High school or GED Lass than high school High school or GED Lass than high school Marital Status Divorced, separated, or widowet Marited Ottor Smoking history No Yes	N 2617 1323 1224 979 1638 364 488 1346 270 149 1414 588 615 4692 217 1304 1313 653 1964		(23 × 0.7) 0.24 (0.11, 0.38) 0.22 (0.05, 0.40) 0.28 (0.08, 0.48) 0.27 (0.04, 0.50) 0.22 (0.07, 0.37) 0.10 (0.22, 0.42) 0.20 (0.10, 0.51) 0.22 (0.65, 0.61) 0.22 (0.65, 0.61) 0.23 (0.05, 0.37) 0.23 (0.05, 0.37) 0.23 (0.05, 0.37) 0.23 (0.05, 0.51) 0.23 (0.07, 0.54) 0.27 (0.18, 0.72) 0.07 (0.10, 0.24) 0.33 (0.14, 0.52) 0.13 (0.16, 0.42) 0.27 (0.16, 0.42) 0.27 (0.16, 0.42)	 <0.001 0.013 0.005 0.024 0.005 0.193 <0.001 0.238 <0.001 0.012 0.108 0.135 0.001 0.062 0.246 0.409 0.001 0.379 <0.001 	0.032 0.53 0.036 0.106 0.995 0.045 0.117		Overall Sex Female Female Age Age > 60 40-60 Face/Ehnicity Mexican American Non-Hispanic Black Non-Hispanic Whate Other Hispanic Other Accel Education Level Above high school High school of CED Loss than high school High school of CED Loss than high school Marintal Status Divorced, separated, or widowed Marinel or cohabiting Unmanied Smoking history No Yes Dirinking history No Yes	2617 1323 1294 979 1638 364 488 1346 488 1346 588 615 662 1708 217 1304 1313 663 134		0.49 (0.23, 0.74) 0.50 (0.16, 0.85) 0.44 (0.04, 0.83) 0.32 (0.15, 0.78) 0.60 (0.31, 0.30) 0.25 (0.31, 0.30) 0.88 (0.22, 1.46) 0.44 (0.02, 1.02) 1.46 (2.80, 0.72) 1.46 (2.80, 0.72) 1.46 (2.80, 0.72) 0.35 (0.21, 0.81) 0.49 (0.22, 0.75) 0.35 (0.21, 0.81) 0.49 (0.22, 0.75) 0.35 (0.21, 0.81) 0.49 (0.22, 0.72) 1.46 (2.00, 0.75) 0.35 (0.21, 0.81) 0.49 (0.21, 0.82) 0.40 (0.12, 0.92) 0.41 (0.41, 0.045) 0.40 (0.12, 0.82)	<0.001 0.004 0.03 0.188 -0.001 0.401 0.003 0.001 0.02 0.035 0.012 0.226 0.021 0.022 0.038 0.058 0.425 0.011 0.134 0.001	0.019 0.079 0.582 0.062 0.389 0.124 0.283

FIGURE 2

Subgroup analysis of the association of HRR with (A) FVC, (B) FEV1, (C) PEF, and (D) PEF 25–75%. The above was adjusted for sex, age, race/ethnicity, BMI, education level, marital status, PIR, drink history, smoking history, ALT, AST, creatinine, uric acid, glycohemoglobin, monocyte number, HGB, and waist circumference. In each case, the model was not adjusted for the stratification variable itself. HRR, hemoglobin-to-red blood cell distribution width ratio, CI, confidence interval; PIR, poverty-income ratio; BMI, body mass index; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase.

the value of RDW, neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio (NLR), and HRR in the progression of non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC), drawing the conclusion: RDW, NLR, and HRR have demonstrated significant discriminatory power between NSCLC patients and healthy controls. Furthermore, RDW and NLR exhibit a positive correlation with the stage of NSCLC, whereas HRR shows a negative correlation with the disease stage (31). In response to this conclusion, subsequent ROC curve analysis demonstrated that the combined use of RDW, NLR, HRR, and CEA exhibits substantial diagnostic efficacy, with an AUC of 0.925 (95% CI: 0.897–0.954), sensitivity of 79.60%, and specificity of 93.60%. This combination can serve as a straightforward and effective biomarker for the diagnosis and progression assessment of NSCLC. A single-center retrospective cohort study found that low HRR was associated with overall survival (OS) in patients with pulmonary



large-cell neuroendocrine carcinoma (PLCNEC) (22). Wu F et al. conducted a study to evaluate the prognostic value of HRR in small cell lung cancer (SCLC) and showed that low HRR was associated with OS [HR (95% CI) = 3.782 (2.151-6.652)] and progression-free survival (PFS) [HR (95% CI) = 2.112 (1.195-3.733)] and was an independent predictor of poor prognosis (32). These findings align with the outcomes of our research, which showed that HRR was positively correlated with lung function parameters. However, Liu S et al. showed that HRR exhibited a negative correlation exclusively with overall mortality rates among patients diagnosed with COPD, and there was no significant correlation with mortality associated with chronic lower respiratory disease (CLRD) (33). In the Liu-S study, COPD was defined using NHANES questionnaire data, where participants were considered to have COPD if they answered 'yes' to any of these questions: "Have you ever been diagnosed by a physician with chronic bronchitis, emphysema, or COPD?." Participants under 18 and pregnant women were excluded (33). However, the lung function parameters in our study were derived from NHANES examination data, which excluded participants under 40 years of age. The heterogeneity among the reported studies-encompassing variations in comorbidity data, statistical analyses, demographic data, etc-renders it challenging to ascertain the association between HRR and lung function. This variability may account for the discrepancies observed in the reported epidemiological studies.

However, no studies have investigated its relationship with pulmonary function parameters. Therefore, our goal is to investigate the possible relationship between HRR and pulmonary function. To the extent of our current understanding, this survey constitutes the inaugural cross-sectional study investigating the relationship between HRR and lung function. The research encompassed a sample size of 2,617 cases, and the results included four lung function-related indicators: FVC, FEV1, PEF, and PEF25-75%. A thorough stratified analysis encompassing examination, personal history, comorbidity data, and demographics was conducted to validate the precision and robustness of the findings. Accordingly, our study showed that corrected HRR was positively correlated with FVC, FEV1, PEF, and PEF25-75% in the general US middle-aged and older adult population.

Currently, the specific mechanism linking HRR and lung function is not clear. To the best of our knowledge, the main possible mechanisms are as follows: First, inflammation plays a central role in COPD's pathophysiology (34). Findings have substantiated that RDW and HRR are associated with inflammatory processes, thereby establishing them as novel biomarkers indicative of inflammation (10, 35–37). Studies have shown that high levels of RDW are associated with oxidative stress and inflammatory states (10, 38, 39). Oxidative stress markedly influences erythrocyte homeostasis and viability, contributing to an elevated RDW through the acceleration of erythrocyte turnover (40). Inflammation may lead to an increase

in RDW by inhibiting erythropoiesis and disrupting the clearance of immature erythrocytes (41). In addition, low hemoglobin levels are a key indicator of the inflammatory process. Research has substantiated a correlation between hemoglobin levels and the prognosis of patients with COPD, indicating that anemia is linked to a poorer prognosis in this patient population (42, 43). The HRR, as determined by this specific calculation method, may serve as an indirect indicator of pulmonary oxygen supply and the oxygencarrying capacity of the blood. A diminished HRR value could suggest abnormalities within the hematological system, potentially impairing normal pulmonary function. For instance, a reduction in HGB levels or an increase in RDW resulting in decreased HRR might indicate conditions such as anemia or abnormal erythrocyte maturation, which could adversely affect pulmonary oxygen uptake and transport. Consequently, monitoring HRR variations may facilitate the timely detection of hematological issues that could compromise lung health, thereby offering valuable insights for early intervention. In chronic pulmonary disorders such as COPD, persistent inflammation and hypoxia can influence hematological parameters. HRR may exhibit heightened sensitivity to these alterations, potentially manifesting abnormalities during the early stages of the disease or upon changes in the patient's condition. For instance, as COPD advances, exacerbated anemia or alterations in erythrocyte morphology may arise, consequently affecting HRR. In patients with established pulmonary diseases, HRR may serve as a valuable biomarker for monitoring disease progression and evaluating therapeutic efficacy. An improvement in HRR during treatment may suggest that the therapeutic interventions have effectively enhanced blood supply and pulmonary function. In conclusion, HRR, a composite metric derived from RDW, serves as an informative parameter for elucidating the relationship between these hematological indices and COPD.

This study has multiple advantages. In this study, we used a nationally representative cohort of middle-aged and older adult individuals in the United States to assess the correlation between HRR and lung function, which means that the data represents a general sample of the American population with high-quality and standardized measurements. In addition, this study represents the inaugural investigation into the relationship between HRR and pulmonary function. Secondly, we accounted for potential confounders by adjusting for covariates such as socio-demographic factors, lifestyle variables, and BMI. Thirdly, subgroup analyses were conducted to elucidate the differential impact of HRR on lung function between various subpopulations. Lastly, using fully adjusted models, RCS curve fitting was employed to further explore the relationship between HRR and pulmonary function parameters.

The principal limitations of our study are its cross-sectional design, which precludes the establishment of causal and temporal relationships between HRR and lung function. Additionally, a prolonged follow-up period may be necessary to thoroughly evaluate the relationship between HRR and lung function. Our sample size was insufficient to exclude individuals with specific diseases that could impact lung function, thereby limiting our ability to account for numerous factors that may influence lung function. Future research should consider investigating a larger population. Finally, the relationship between HRR and lung function remains to be fully elucidated.

5 Conclusion

Our findings demonstrate that, within a fully adjusted model, HRR is positively associated with FVC, FEV1, PEF, and PEF25-75% among middle-aged and older adult populations in the United States. These results underscore the significance of HRR as a potential determinant of lung function in this demographic.

Data availability statement

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found at: https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes/index.htm.

Ethics statement

The studies involving humans were approved by The Ethics Committee of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. The participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

Author contributions

JZ: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. WD: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. HH: Conceptualization, Data curation, Investigation, Methodology, Writing – review & editing. YC: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Project administration, Writing – review & editing. HL: Data curation, Formal analysis, Methodology, Writing – review & editing. LC: Data curation, Methodology, Project administration, Validation, Writing – review & editing. FL: Investigation, Software, Writing – review & editing. MZ: Investigation, Software, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that no financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Acknowledgments

The authors extend their gratitude to all staff members for their invaluable assistance.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Generative AI statement

The author(s) declare that no Gen AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations,

References

1. Reddel HK, Bacharier LB, Bateman ED, Brightling CE, Brusselle GG, Buhl R, et al. Global initiative for asthma strategy 2021: executive summary and rationale for key changes. *Eur Respir J.* (2022) 59:2102730. doi: 10.1183/13993003.02730-2021

2. Singh D, Agusti A, Anzueto A, Barnes PJ, Bourbeau J, Celli BR, et al. Global strategy for the diagnosis, management, and prevention of chronic obstructive lung disease: the GOLD science committee report 2019. *Eur Respir J.* (2019) 53:1900164. doi: 10.1183/13993003.00164-2019

3. Momtazmanesh S, Moghaddam SS, Ghamari SH, Rad EM, Rezaei N, Shobeiri P, et al. Global burden of chronic respiratory diseases and risk factors, 1990–2019: an update from the global burden of disease study 2019. *eClinicalMedicine*. (2023) 59:101936. doi: 10.1016/j.eclinm.2023.101936

4. Chang JH, Lee JH, Kim MK, Kim SJ, Kim KH, Park JS, et al. Determinants of respiratory symptom development in patients with chronic airflow obstruction. *Respir Med.* (2006) 100:2170–6. doi: 10.1016/j.rmed.2006.03.014

5. Buffels J, Degryse J, Heyrman J, Decramer M. Office spirometry significantly improves early detection of COPD in general practice. *Chest.* (2004) 125:1394–9. doi: 10.1378/chest.125.4.1394

6. Bridevaux P-O, Probst-Hensch NM, Schindler C, Curjuric I, Felber Dietrich D, Braendli O, et al. Prevalence of airflow obstruction in smokers and never-smokers in Switzerland. *Eur Respir J.* (2010) 36:1259–69. doi: 10.1183/09031936.00004110

7. Han MK, Kim MG, Mardon R, Renner P, Sullivan S, Diette GB, et al. Spirometry utilization for COPD. *Chest.* (2007) 132:403–9. doi: 10.1378/chest.06-2846

8. Arne M, Lisspers K, Ställberg B, Boman G, Hedenström H, Janson C, et al. How often is diagnosis of COPD confirmed with spirometry? *Respir Med.* (2010) 104:550–6. doi: 10.1016/j.rmed.2009.10.023

9. Ruppel GL, Carlin BW, Hart M, Doherty DE. Office spirometry in primary Care for the Diagnosis and Management of COPD: National Lung Health Education Program Update. *Respir Care*. (2018) 63:242–52. doi: 10.4187/respcare.05710

10. Salvagno GL, Sanchis-Gomar F, Picanza A, Lippi G. Red blood cell distribution width: A simple parameter with multiple clinical applications. *Crit Rev Clin Lab Sci.* (2015) 52:86–105. doi: 10.3109/10408363.2014.992064

11. Günay E, Sarınç Ulaşlı S, Akar O, Ahsen A, Günay S, Koyuncu T, et al. Neutrophilto-lymphocyte ratio in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: A retrospective study. *Inflammation*. (2014) 37:374–80. doi: 10.1007/s10753-013-9749-1

12. Sincer I, Zorlu A, Yilmaz MB, Dogan OT, Ege MR, Amioglu G, et al. Relationship between red cell distribution width and right ventricular dysfunction in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Heart Lung.* (2012) 41:238–43. doi: 10.1016/j.hrtlng.2011.07.011

13. Marvisi M, Mancini C, Balzarini L, Ramponi S. Red cell distribution width: A new parameter for predicting the risk of exacerbation in COPD patients. *Int J Clin Pract.* (2021) 75:e14468. doi: 10.1111/ijcp.14468

14. Ali A, Soman SS, Vijayan R. Dynamics of camel and human hemoglobin revealed by molecular simulations. *Sci Rep.* (2022) 12:122. doi: 10.1038/s41598-021-04112-y

15. Ahmed MH, Ghatge MS, Safo MK. Hemoglobin: structure, function and Allostery. Subcell Biochem. (2020) 94:345–82. doi: 10.1007/978-3-030-41769-7_14

16. Brożonowicz J, Ćwirlej-Sozańska A, Sozański B, Orzech-Janusz E, Garus A, Grzesik M, et al. Relationship between selected functional performance parameters and the occurrence of Anaemia in hospitalized females and males aged 80 and more. *IJERPH*. (2022) 19:13179. doi: 10.3390/ijerph192013179

17. Garcia-Casal MN, Pasricha S, Sharma AJ, Peña-Rosas JP. Use and interpretation of hemoglobin concentrations for assessing anemia status in individuals and populations: results from a WHO technical meeting. *Ann N Y Acad Sci.* (2019) 1450:5–14. doi: 10.1111/nyas.14090

18. Sun P, Zhang F, Chen C, Bi X, Yang H, An X, et al. The ratio of hemoglobin to red cell distribution width as a novel prognostic parameter in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma: a retrospective study from southern China. *Oncotarget.* (2016) 7:42650–60. doi: 10.18632/oncotarget.9516

or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmed.2025.1503142/ full#supplementary-material

19. Su Y-C, Wen SC, Li CC, Su HC, Ke HL, Li WM, et al. Low hemoglobin-to-red cell distribution width ratio is associated with disease progression and poor prognosis in upper tract urothelial carcinoma. *Biomedicines*. (2021) 9:672. doi: 10.3390/biomedicines9060672

20. Yu Z, Zhang T, Shen J. Low hemoglobin-to-red cell distribution width ratio is associated with mortality in patients with HBV-related decompensated cirrhosis. *Biomed Res Int*. (2022) 2022:1–6. doi: 10.1155/2022/5754790

21. Wang H, Lv Y, Ti G, Ren G. Association of low-carbohydrate-diet score and cognitive performance in older adults: National Health and nutrition examination survey (NHANES). *BMC Geriatr*. (2022) 22:983. doi: 10.1186/s12877-022-03607-1

22. Zhao W, Shi M, Zhang J. Preoperative hemoglobin-to-red cell distribution width ratio as a prognostic factor in pulmonary large cell neuroendocrine carcinoma: a retrospective cohort study. *Ann Transl Med.* (2022) 10:42–2. doi: 10.21037/atm-21-6348

23. Xu Z, Zhuang L, Li L, Jiang L, Huang J, Liu D, et al. Association between waist circumference and lung function in American middle-aged and older adults: findings from NHANES 2007–2012. J Health Popul Nutr. (2024) 43:98. doi: 10.1186/s41043-024-00592-6

24. Lei X, Wen H, Xu Z. Relationship between urinary tobacco-specific nitrosamine 4-(methylnitrosamino)-1-(3-pyridyl)-1-butanol (NNAL) and lung function: evidence from NHANES 2007–2012. *Tob Induc Dis.* (2023) 21:1–13. doi: 10.18332/tid/175009

25. Adeloye D, Song P, Zhu Y, Campbell H, Sheikh A, Rudan I, et al. Global, regional, and national prevalence of, and risk factors for, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) in 2019: a systematic review and modelling analysis. *Lancet Respir Med.* (2022) 10:447–58. doi: 10.1016/S2213-2600(21)00511-7

26. Aida Y, Shibata Y, Osaka D, Abe S, Inoue S, Fukuzaki K, et al. The relationship between serum uric acid and Spirometric values in participants in a health check: the Takahata study. *Int J Med Sci.* (2011) 8:470–8. doi: 10.7150/ijms.8.470

27. Jeong H, Baek SY, Kim SW, Park EJ, Kim H, Lee J, et al. Sex-specific Association of Serum Uric Acid and Pulmonary Function: data from the Korea National Health and nutrition examination survey. *Medicina*. (2021) 57:953. doi: 10.3390/medicina57090953

28. Hong JW, Noh JH, Kim D-J. Association between serum uric acid and spirometric pulmonary function in Korean adults: the 2016 Korea National Health and nutrition examination survey. *PLoS One.* (2020) 15:e0240987. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0240987

29. Song J-U, Hwang J, Ahn JK. Serum uric acid is positively associated with pulmonary function in Korean health screening examinees. *Mod Rheumatol.* (2017) 27:1057–65. doi: 10.1080/14397595.2017.1285981

30. El Ridi R, Tallima H. Physiological functions and pathogenic potential of uric acid: A review. J Adv Res. (2017) 8:487–93. doi: 10.1016/j.jare.2017.03.003

31. Chen J, Wu JN, Lv XD, Yang QC, Chen JR, Zhang DM. The value of red blood cell distribution width, neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio, and hemoglobin-to-red blood cell distribution width ratio in the progression of non-small cell lung cancer. *PLoS One.* (2020) 15:e0237947. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0237947

32. Wu F, Yang S, Tang X, Liu W, Chen H, Gao H. Prognostic value of baseline hemoglobin-to-red blood cell distribution width ratio in small cell lung cancer: A retrospective analysis. *Thoracic Cancer*. (2020) 11:888–97. doi: 10.1111/1759-7714.13330

33. Liu S, Zhang H, Zhu P, Chen S, Lan Z. Predictive role of red blood cell distribution width and hemoglobin-to-red blood cell distribution width ratio for mortality in patients with COPD: evidence from NHANES 1999–2018. *BMC Pulm Med.* (2024) 24:413. doi: 10.1186/s12890-024-03229-w

34. 2024 GOLD Report. Global initiative for chronic obstructive lung disease – GOLD. Available at: https://goldcopd.org/2024-gold-report/

35. Liu J, Wang J. Association between hemoglobin-to-red blood cell distribution width ratio and hospital mortality in patients with non-traumatic subarachnoid hemorrhage. *Front Neurol.* (2023) 14:1180912. doi: 10.3389/fneur.2023.1180912

36. Hu Z-D, Lippi G, Montagnana M. Diagnostic and prognostic value of red blood cell distribution width in sepsis: A narrative review. *Clin Biochem.* (2020) 77:1–6. doi: 10.1016/j.clinbiochem.2020.01.001

37. Xi L, Fang F, Zhou J, Xu P, Zhang Y, Zhu P, et al. Association of hemoglobin-to-red blood cell distribution width ratio and depression in older adults: A cross sectional study. *J Affect Disord.* (2024) 344:191–7. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2023.10.027

38. Shin JH, Kim HJ, Lee CY, Chang HJ, Woo KA, Jeon B. Laboratory prognostic factors for the long-term survival of multiple system atrophy. *npj Parkinsons Dis.* (2022) 8:141. doi: 10.1038/s41531-022-00413-9

39. Gorelik O, Izhakian S, Barchel D, Almoznino-Sarafian D, Tzur I, Swarka M, et al. Changes in red cell distribution width during hospitalization for community-acquired pneumonia: clinical characteristics and prognostic significance. *Lung.* (2016) 194:985–95. doi: 10.1007/s00408-016-9942-8

40. Friedman JS, Lopez MF, Fleming MD, Rivera A, Martin FM, Welsh ML, et al. SOD2-deficiency anemia: protein oxidation and altered protein expression reveal targets

of damage, stress response, and antioxidant responsiveness. *Blood*. (2004) 104:2565–73. doi: 10.1182/blood-2003-11-3858

41. Jelkmann I, Jelkmann W. Impact of erythropoietin on intensive care unit patients. *Transfus Med Hemother.* (2013) 40:310–8. doi: 10.1159/000354128

42. Balasubramanian A, Henderson RJ, Putcha N, Fawzy A, Raju S, Hansel NN, et al. Haemoglobin as a biomarker for clinical outcomes in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *ERJ Open Res.* (2021) 7:00068–2021. doi: 10.1183/23120541. 00068-2021

43. Putcha N, Fawzy A, Paul GG, Lambert AA, Psoter KJ, Sidhaye VK, et al. Anemia and adverse outcomes in a chronic obstructive pulmonary disease population with a high burden of comorbidities. An Analysis from SPIROMICS. *Annals ATS*. (2018) 15:710–7. doi: 10.1513/AnnalsATS.201708-687OC